

MODIFIED DIAGONALS AND LINEAR RELATIONS BETWEEN SMALL DIAGONALS

HUNTER SPINK

ABSTRACT. We prove that the vanishings of the modified diagonal cycles of Gross and Schoen govern the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between small m -diagonals $\text{pt}^{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A} \times \Delta_A$ in the rational Chow ring of X^n for A ranging over m -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Our results generalize to arbitrary symmetric classes in place of the diagonal in X^m , and with different types of inclusions $A^\bullet(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m} \hookrightarrow A^\bullet(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The combinatorial heart of this paper, which may be of independent interest, is showing the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between elementary symmetric polynomials $e_k(x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_m}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are generated by the S_n -translates of a certain alternating sum over the facets of a hyperoctahedron.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective variety, and denote the rational Chow ring of a variety Y by

$$A^\bullet(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} := A^\bullet(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Fix an integer $m \geq 0$ and a symmetric class

$$\alpha \in A^\bullet(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m} \subset A^\bullet(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

A typical example of such an α would be the class of the diagonal $\Delta_m \subset X^m$. We denote by Δ_A the diagonal in X^A for any set A .

Given a subset $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size m , we have the following inclusions $A^\bullet(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m} = A^\bullet(X^A)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m} \hookrightarrow A^\bullet(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$:

- pullback along a projection $X^n \rightarrow X^A$, and
- pushforward along an inclusion $X^A \cong \{\text{pt}\}^{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A} \times X^A \hookrightarrow X^n$.

These are representative of the types of inclusions we will consider, and after choosing such a system of inclusions we denote by

$$\alpha(A) \in A^\bullet(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

the image of α under such a map associated to an m -element set $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

In this paper we classify the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations that can occur between such $\alpha(A)$, i.e. we classify kernels of maps $\mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}} \rightarrow A^\bullet(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ given by $A \mapsto \alpha(A)$. Surprisingly, there are very few possibilities, each of which is a group generated by the S_n -translates of a single relation which we call for reasons that will soon become apparent a “hyperoctahedral relation”.

A very special case is the main application of this paper. In [GS95], Gross and Schoen defined a certain “modified diagonal cycle” on X^k , given by

$$\Delta'_k := \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{k-|B|} \Delta_B(B) \in A^\bullet(X^k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

where $\Delta_B(B)$ is defined to be the pushforward of Δ_B under $X^B \cong \{\text{pt}\}^{\{1, \dots, k\} \setminus B} \times X^B \hookrightarrow X^k$. In general, the class depends on the choice of $\text{pt} \in X$, and the vanishing has been intensely studied in the context of diagonal decompositions in Chow groups. In particular, we have the following incomplete list of results.

- In [GS95], Gross and Schoen showed that
 - $\Delta'_k = 0$ precisely if $k \geq 2$ for $X = \mathbb{P}^1$,
 - $\Delta'_k = 0$ precisely if $k \geq 3$ for X of genus 1, and
 - $\Delta'_3 = 0$ for X a hyperelliptic curve with $\text{pt} \in X$ a Weierstrass point.
- In [BV04], Beauville and Voisin showed that
 - $\Delta'_3 = 0$ on a $K3$ -surface X if $\text{pt} \in X$ lies on a rational curve.
- In [O'G14], O'Grady showed that
 - If $\Delta'_m = 0$ then $\Delta'_{m+s} = 0$ for all $s \geq 0$ for X any smooth projective variety.
- In [Voi15], Voisin showed that
 - if X is a smooth projective connected variety of dimension n swept out by irreducible curves of genus g supporting a zero-cycle rationally equivalent to $\text{pt} \in X$, then we have $\Delta'_m = 0$ for $m \geq (n+1)(g+1)$.
- In [MY16], Moonen and Yin showed that
 - $\Delta'_n = 0$ on a g -dimensional abelian variety precisely when $n \geq 2g+1$, and
 - $\Delta'_n = 0$ on a curve of genus g whenever $n \geq g+2$ (which is sharp for a generic pointed curve, see [Qiz14]).

We will show that the minimal $k \leq m$ such that $\Delta'_k = 0$ determines the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the $\binom{n}{m}$ classes $\Delta_A(A) \in A^\bullet(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ranging over m -element subsets, which will be generated by the S_n -translates of a single “ k -hyperoctahedral m -relation”. As it is known (and easy to show) that only for $X = \text{pt}$ or \mathbb{P}^1 do we have $\Delta'_2 = 0$, we may deduce as a formal consequence the set of such \mathbb{Z} -linear relations for all pairs $m \leq n$ for

- X of genus ≤ 1 ,
- X a g -dimensional abelian variety,
- X a generic pointed curve of genus g ,
- X a K_3 surface and $\text{pt} \in X$ lying on a rational curve, and
- X hyperelliptic with $\text{pt} \in X$ a Weierstrass point.

Moreover, if $\Delta'_k = 0$ then the k -hyperoctahedral m -relations are a subset of the relations satisfied by the $\Delta_A(A)$ classes (which may turn out to be all such relations if k happens to be minimal).

More generally, for an arbitrary symmetric class $\alpha \in A^\bullet(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m}$, if we define α_B to be the pushforward of α along the projection $X^m \rightarrow X^B$ and $\alpha_B(B)$ analogously to $\Delta_B(B)$, then the vanishings of

$$\alpha'_k := \sum_{B \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{k-|B|} \alpha_B(B) \in A^\bullet(X^k)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_k}$$

similarly control the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between $\alpha(A)$ classes in $A^\bullet(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which again arise as “hyperoctahedral m -relations” (note that $\Delta_\emptyset = 0$ but α_\emptyset need not be zero).

As it turns out, the “hyperoctahedral relations” govern the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between elementary symmetric polynomials $e_k(x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_m}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ranges over m -element subsets, and the study of these

relations between elementary symmetric polynomials forms the combinatorial heart of this paper.

The geometric heart of this paper is a Chow motive computation, which allows us to extract useful information about classes in $A^\bullet(X^m)_\mathbb{Q}$ despite having essentially no information about the ring itself. We will decompose the diagonal class in $A^\bullet((X^m)^2)_\mathbb{Q}$ in such a way that convolving with the pieces yields a system of orthogonal idempotent endomorphisms of $A^\bullet(X^m)_\mathbb{Q}$, which consequently decomposes $A^\bullet(X^m)_\mathbb{Q}$ into the direct sum of the images of the idempotents. Our key insight is that we can produce such a decomposition where the non-zero components of α in these summands govern the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the $\alpha(A)$ classes.

The structure of this paper is as follows.

- In Section 2 we describe the “hyperoctahedral relations” and state our main results.
- In Section 3 we prove our main combinatorial result Theorem 2.3 classifying the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between polynomials $e_k(x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_m}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ ranges over m element subsets.
- In Section 4 we prove our main geometric result Theorem 2.5 which specializes to the modified diagonal result mentioned previously.

2. HYPEROCTAHEDRAL RELATIONS AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

To state our results, it will be useful to notate the $\binom{n}{m}$ natural inclusions

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]^{S_m} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

indexed by subsets $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size m .

Definition 2.1. Denote by $e_k(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ the k 'th elementary symmetric polynomial. For a symmetric polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]^{S_m}$ and $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ an m -element set, we denote

$$f(A) := f(x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_m}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$


The “ k -hyperoctahedral m -relations” which we will shortly define are \mathbb{Z} -linear relations which generate all \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the polynomials $e_{k-1}(A)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for fixed k and A ranging over m -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. They are the S_n -translates of a single relation in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{m+k}]$ between the $e_{k-1}(A)$'s with $A \subset \{1, \dots, m+k\}$ ranging over subsets of size m .

As an example, suppose we let $m = 3$ and $k = 3$. Then we can view

$$\sum_{|A|=3} \lambda_A e_2(A) = 0$$

as describing a formal \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of triangles $\{i, j, k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that after replacing each $\{i, j, k\}$ with the sum of its three edges $\{i, j\} + \{j, k\} + \{i, k\}$, the sum becomes zero.

If we have a triangulation of a surface such that the face map has chromatic number two, then we can alternately sum the triangles on the surface to get such a relation. The smallest non-trivial instance of this occurs for an octahedron. The sum of $\{i, j, k\}$ over all dark triangles minus the sum over light triangles in the octahedron



is what we will call a 3-hyperoctahedral 3-sum, yielding the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & e_2(\{1, 2, 3\}) + e_2(\{1, 4, 5\}) + e_2(\{6, 3, 4\}) + e_2(\{6, 2, 5\}) \\ &= e_2(\{1, 3, 4\}) + e_2(\{1, 2, 5\}) + e_2(\{6, 2, 3\}) + e_2(\{6, 4, 5\}). \end{aligned}$$

We will in fact show that such relations generate all \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the $e_2(A)$ with $|A| = 3$. As we will see later, the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the polynomials $e_{m-1}(A)$ for $|A| = m$ correspond to an alternating sum over the facets of an m -dimensional hyperoctahedron, which we will call an m -hyperoctahedral m -sum.

Definition 2.2. Given a set $B \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size $m - k$ and disjoint sets $C_i = \{c_{i,0}, c_{i,1}\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we say that the element

$$\sum_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k) \in \{0,1\}^k} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i} B \sqcup \{c_{1,\epsilon_1}, \dots, c_{k,\epsilon_k}\}$$

is a k -hyperoctahedral m -sum in $\mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}}$.

Define $G_k \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}}$ to be the subgroup generated by k -hyperoctahedral m -sums (we suppress the dependence on m and n in the notation).

The parameter k may be thought of as the “dimension” of the hyperoctahedron, and when $k < m$ this expression can be thought of as an (iterated) cone with apex(es) B over a k -hyperoctahedral k -sum.

The reason for introducing this extra parameter k lies in the following theorem, which is our central combinatorial result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $k \leq m \leq n$ be integers. Then the kernel of the map $\mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ given by $A \mapsto e_k(A)$ is G_{k+1} , i.e. the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the $e_k(A)$ ’s are generated by the $(k+1)$ -hyperoctahedral m -sums.

Furthermore, we have the sequence of inclusions

$$0 = G_{m+1} \subset G_m \subset \dots \subset G_0 = \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}}.$$

Corollary 2.4. If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]^{S_m}$ is a symmetric polynomial, then the kernel of the map $\mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ given by $A \mapsto f(A)$ is G_{k+1} , where k is the largest number of distinct x_i to appear in a non-zero monomial.

Proof of Corollary 2.4. For $B = (b_1, \dots, b_j)$ with $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_j$, if we let g_B be the sum of all distinct monomials $x_{i_1}^{b_1} \cdots x_{i_j}^{b_j}$, then we can write $f = \sum_B \lambda_B g_B$ for some coefficients λ_B , and the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between $f(A)$ are the intersections of the relation groups for each g_B such that $\lambda_B \neq 0$. But it is easy to see that the relations between $g_B(A)$ are identical to the relations between $e_{|B|}(A)$, which by Theorem 2.3 is given by $G_{|B|+1}$. As the G ’s are nested, the result follows. \square

We are now in a position to state our geometric results properly. In a product space, we use \boxtimes to denote intersection product of pullbacks from disjoint factors.

Theorem 2.5. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\gamma \in A^\bullet(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ be a class for which there exists a γ' with $\int_{X \rightarrow \text{pt}} \gamma \cup \gamma' = 1$. Then given $\alpha \in A^\bullet(X^m)_\mathbb{Q}^{S_m}$, the kernel of the map $\mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}} \rightarrow A^\bullet(X^n)_\mathbb{Q}$ given by

$$A \mapsto \alpha(A) := \gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A} \boxtimes \alpha$$

is of the form G_{k+1} for some $-1 \leq k \leq m$. If $\alpha = 0$ then $k = -1$, otherwise k is the largest number $\leq m$ such that

$$0 \neq \alpha'_k := \sum_{B \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{k-|B|} \alpha_B(B) \in A^\bullet(X^k)_\mathbb{Q}$$

where we define $\alpha_B \in A^\bullet(X^B)_\mathbb{Q}^{S_B}$ to be the projection of $(\gamma^*)^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus B} \cup \alpha$ to X^B and $\alpha_B(B) = \gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \alpha_B$.

Corollary 2.6. Let Δ_m be the diagonal in $A^\bullet(X^m)_\mathbb{Q}$, and $\text{pt} \in X$. Then the kernel of the map $\mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{m}} \rightarrow A^\bullet(X^n)_\mathbb{Q}$ given by

$$A \mapsto \Delta_m(A) = \text{pt}^{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A} \times \Delta_A$$

is G_ℓ for $\ell \leq m$ the smallest number such that the modified diagonal

$$\Delta'_\ell := \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}} (-1)^{\ell-|B|} \Delta_B(B) \in A^\bullet(X^\ell)_\mathbb{Q}$$

vanishes, where $\Delta_B(B) = \text{pt}^{\{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus B} \times \Delta_B$. If $\ell = 0$ then there are no relations.

Proof. Take $\gamma = [\text{pt}]$. By [O'G14], if Δ'_k vanishes then Δ'_{k+s} vanishes for all $s \geq 0$, so $\ell = k + 1$ where k is the largest number such that Δ'_k does not vanish. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

In this section, we prove our main combinatorial result Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. First, we will show that there are no linear relations between $e_k(A)$ for A ranging over m element subsets of $\{1, \dots, m+k\}$, and then we will show that using $(k+1)$ -hyperoctahedral m -relations we can reduce every relation down to one where A ranges over fixed m -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, m+k\}$.

To show the linear independence, note there are $\binom{m+k}{m}$ polynomials of the form $e_k(A)$ with A of size m inside $\{1, \dots, m+k\}$, and this is equal to $\binom{m+k}{k}$, the number of k -products of distinct monomials x_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, m+k\}$. Hence, to show the linear independence it suffices to show that we can write each of these monomials as a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of the $e_k(A)$'s with A of size m in $\{1, \dots, m+k\}$.

We will do this by inductively showing that all monomials of the form $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_\ell} e_{k-\ell}(B)$ lie in the \mathbb{Q} -linear span of the $e_k(A)$ with $i_1, \dots, i_\ell \in \{1, \dots, m+k\}$ distinct and $B \subset \{1, \dots, m+k\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_\ell\}$ a subset of size m . Indeed, this is true for $\ell = 0$. Suppose the result is true for $\ell - 1$, we will show it is true for ℓ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (m - (k - \ell))x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_\ell} e_{k-\ell}(B) &= x_1 \dots x_{i_{\ell-1}} \sum_{b \in B} e_{k-\ell+1}(\{i_\ell\} \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}) - \\ &\quad x_1 \dots x_{i_{\ell-1}} (m - (k - \ell + 1))e_{k-\ell+1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\ell = k$ now shows that each such monomial is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of the $e_k(A)$'s as desired.

Now, using the $(k+1)$ -hyperoctahedral m -relations, we will show that every relation can be reduced down to one where the A range over m -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, m+k\}$. We proceed by induction on k . For $k=0$ the result is trivial, so now assume that $k>0$. We are done if $n \leq m+k$, so assume that $n > m+k$. Then by assumption we have a relation

$$0 = \sum \lambda_A e_k(A) = x_n \sum_{n \in A} \lambda_A e_{k-1}(A \setminus n) + \sum_{n \in A} \lambda_A e_k(A \setminus n) + \sum_{n \notin A} \lambda_A e_k(A).$$

By the induction hypothesis, we know that $\sum_{n \in A} \lambda_A e_{k-1}(A \setminus n)$ is the sum of k -hyperoctahedral $(m-1)$ -relations, so for each i there exists an m -element set $B^i \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and disjoint pairs $C_j^i = \{c_{j,0}^i, c_{j,1}^i\} \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus B^i$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ such that

$$\sum_{n \in A} \lambda_A(A \setminus n) = \sum_i \sum_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k) \in \{0,1\}^k} (-1)^{\sum \epsilon_i} B^i \sqcup \{c_{1,\epsilon_1}^i, \dots, c_{k,\epsilon_k}^i\}.$$

As $n-1 \geq m+k$ and only $m+k-1$ elements are used in the i 'th hyperoctahedral sum, there exists an element $r_i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ not used in the i 'th sum. Then letting $c_{k+1,0}^i = n$ and $c_{k+1,1}^i = r_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in A} \lambda_A A &= \sum_i \sum_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k+1}) \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}} (-1)^{\sum \epsilon_i} B^i \sqcup \{c_{1,\epsilon_1}^i, \dots, c_{k+1,\epsilon_{k+1}}^i\} \\ &\quad + \sum_i \sum_{(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k) \in \{0,1\}^k} (-1)^{\sum \epsilon_i} \{r_i\} \cup B^i \sqcup \{c_{1,\epsilon_1}^i, \dots, c_{k,\epsilon_k}^i\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first term on the right hand side is a sum of $(k+1)$ -hyperoctahedral m -sums, and the second term does not involve n . Hence using $(k+1)$ -hyperoctahedral m -relations we can reduce to a situation where $A \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Repeating this we eventually reduce down to $A \subset \{1, \dots, m+k\}$, and the result follows.

Finally, the nesting of the G_i follows as given a relation between $e_k(A)$'s, applying the operator $\frac{1}{m-k+1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ yields the identical relation between $e_{k-1}(A)$'s. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

In this section we prove Theorem 2.5, our main geometric result. We first discuss some generalities on Chow motives, and then proceed with the proof.

In general A^\bullet does not satisfy a Künneth formula, so we only have a possibly non-injective and non-surjective map $A^\bullet(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow A^\bullet(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By using Chow motives we will see that certain correspondences provide enough of a substitute for the Künneth formula for our purposes.

We say that a correspondence on X is an element of $A^\bullet(X^2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let Γ be a correspondence on X , which induces an endomorphism of $A^\bullet(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via

$$\Gamma : \alpha \mapsto (\pi_2)_* ((\pi_1^* \alpha) \cap \Gamma)$$

where π_i is the projection $X^2 \rightarrow X$ onto the i 'th factor. For any space S , Γ similarly induces an endomorphism of $A^\bullet(S \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. There is a notion of composition of correspondences, which for $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in A^\bullet(X^2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is defined by

$$\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1 = (\pi_{13})_* (\pi_{12}^* \Gamma_1 \cup \pi_{23}^* \Gamma_2)$$

where π_{ij} is the projection $X^3 \rightarrow X^2$ onto the i, j factors. On the level of functions, $\Gamma_2 \circ \Gamma_1$ induces the composite endomorphism of $A^\bullet(S \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Suppose that Γ is

idempotent, which means that

$$\Gamma = \Gamma \circ \Gamma.$$

In particular, $\Gamma \in A^{\dim(X)}(X^2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ so the associated function doesn't shift the grading. We remark that an effective Chow motive is a pair of the form (X, Γ) with Γ an idempotent correspondence on X . The identity for composition is the idempotent $\Gamma = \Delta_2$, whose associated endomorphism on $A^{\bullet}(S \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the identity.

As Γ is idempotent and Δ_2 corresponds to the identity, $\Gamma, \Delta_2 - \Gamma$ are orthogonal idempotents, so the images of their associated functions $A^{\bullet}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ direct sum to $A^{\bullet}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. More generally, for $k \in \{m, n\}$, the 2^k elements $\Gamma^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, k\} \setminus B} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}$ form an orthogonal system of idempotent correspondences of X^k in $A^{\bullet}((X^2)^k)_{\mathbb{Q}} = A^{\bullet}((X^k)^2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ summing to the identity correspondence $\Delta_2^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, k\}}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\bullet}(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \bigoplus_{B \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \text{Im}(\Gamma^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus B} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}), \text{ and} \\ A^{\bullet}(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \bigoplus_{B \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \text{Im}(\Gamma^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that on any product space $X_1 \times X_2$ with correspondences $\Gamma_i \in A^{\bullet}((X_i)^2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we have $\Gamma_1 \boxtimes \Gamma_2 = (\Gamma_1 \boxtimes (\Delta_2)_{X_2}) \circ ((\Delta_2)_{X_1} \boxtimes \Gamma_2)$. Hence for $k \in \{m, n\}$, each of the above correspondences is the composition of k commuting correspondences on X^k , with the i 'th correspondence inducing the endomorphism of $A^{\bullet}(X^{i-1} \times X \times X^{k-i})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ from the associated correspondence on X .

It is clear that $\alpha \in A^{\bullet}(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m}$ if and only if the component of α in $\text{Im}(\Gamma^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus B} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B})$ is $S_{\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus B} \times S_B$ -invariant and depends only on $|B|$, and hence

$$A^{\bullet}(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m} \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^m \text{Im}(\Gamma^{\boxtimes m-k} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes k})^{S_{m-k} \times S_k}.$$

Note that Im does not distribute over \boxtimes in general because there may be classes in $A^{\bullet}(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which are not in the image of $A^{\bullet}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow A^{\bullet}(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $\Gamma = \gamma^* \boxtimes \gamma \in A^{\bullet}(X^2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $\gamma^* \in A^{\bullet}(X)$. The idempotency of Γ is equivalent to $\int \gamma \cup \gamma^* = 1 \in A^{\bullet}(\text{pt})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and the map Γ takes $\alpha \mapsto \gamma \cup \int(\alpha \cup \gamma^*)$. More generally, in any space $X \times Y$, Γ induces the endomorphism of $A^{\bullet}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\Gamma : \alpha \mapsto \gamma \boxtimes \int_{X \times Y \rightarrow Y} \alpha \cup (\gamma^* \boxtimes 1).$$

It directly follows that for this choice of Γ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(\Gamma^{\boxtimes m-k} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes k})^{S_{m-k} \times S_k} &= \gamma^{\boxtimes m-k} \boxtimes \text{Im}((\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes k})^{S_k} \\ \text{Im}(\Gamma^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}) &= \gamma^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \text{Im}((\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}). \end{aligned}$$

Under the map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha(A)$, the k 'th summand $\gamma^{\boxtimes m-k} \boxtimes \text{Im}((\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes k})^{S_{m-k} \times S_k}$ of $A^{\bullet}(X^m)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{S_m}$ maps to

$$\bigoplus_{|B|=k} \gamma^{\boxtimes\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \text{Im}((\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}) \subset A^{\bullet}(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

which are disjoint summands for distinct k 's. This map acts on an element $\gamma^{\boxtimes k} \boxtimes \beta \in \gamma^{\boxtimes m-k} \boxtimes \text{Im}((\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes k})^{S_{m-k} \times S_k}$ in the k 'th summand of $A^\bullet(X^m)^{S_m}$ via

$$\gamma^{\boxtimes m-k} \boxtimes \beta \mapsto \sum_{|B|=k, B \subset A} \gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \beta.$$

Note that there is exactly one term in each summand $\gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \text{Im}((\Delta - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}) \subset A^\bullet(X^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $|B| = k$ and $B \subset A$, and the term depends only on β and not on A .

We may check directly that

$$\left(\Gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus B} \boxtimes (\Delta(2) - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B} \right) (\alpha) = \gamma^{\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \alpha'_{|B|},$$

so the component of α in the k 'th summand $\text{Im}(\Gamma^{\boxtimes m-k} \boxtimes (\Delta_2 - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes k})^{S_{m-k} \times S_k}$ is $\gamma^{m-k} \boxtimes \alpha'_k$.

Hence, if we set

$$f = e_{k_1} + \dots + e_{k_r} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]^{S_m}$$

where the k_i are those k such that $\gamma^{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \alpha'_k \neq 0$ for any $|B| = k$ (note that by symmetry either the classes for all such B vanish or none vanish), then the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the $f(A)$ polynomials are identical to the \mathbb{Z} -linear relations between the $\alpha(A)$ for A ranging over m -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Finally,

$$\text{Im}((\Delta - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B}) \cong \gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \text{Im}((\Delta - \Gamma)^{\boxtimes B})$$

because the map $\beta \mapsto \gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \beta$ has an inverse map given by $\delta \mapsto \int_{X^n \rightarrow X^B} \delta \cup (\gamma^*)^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B}$, so we have

$$\gamma^{\boxtimes \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus B} \boxtimes \alpha'_{|B|} = 0 \iff \alpha'_{|B|} = 0.$$

The result now follows by applying Corollary 2.4 to f . □

Remark 4.1. We remark that there is a notion of homomorphism and tensor product for Chow motives, and if $\gamma \in A^k(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $(X, \gamma^* \boxtimes \gamma)$ is isomorphic to the motive $\mathbb{L}^{\otimes k}$ where \mathbb{L} is the *Lefschetz motive* $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\text{pt}\})$, see [Kim05].

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Dennis Tseng for suggesting to study relations between diagonals and for many fruitful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [BV04] Arnaud Beauville and Claire Voisin. On the Chow ring of a K3 surface. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 13(3):417–426, 2004.
- [GS95] B. H. Gross and C. Schoen. The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 45(3):649–679, 1995.
- [Kim05] Shun-Ichi Kimura. Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense. *Math. Ann.*, 331(1):173–201, 2005.
- [MY16] Ben Moonen and Qizheng Yin. Some remarks on modified diagonals. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 18(1):1550009, 16, 2016.
- [O’G14] Kieran G. O’Grady. Computations with modified diagonals. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, 25(3):249–274, 2014.
- [Qiz14] Yin Qizheng. Tautological cycles on curves and jacobians. thesis. radboud university nijmegen. 2014.
- [Voi15] Claire Voisin. Some new results on modified diagonals. *Geom. Topol.*, 19(6):3307–3343, 2015.