

New bounds on the anti-Ramsey numbers of star graphs

L. Sunil Chandran^{*1}, Talha Hashim¹, Dalu Jacob¹, Rogers Mathew², Deepak Rajendraprasad³, and Nitin Singh⁴

¹Department of Computer Science and Automation, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, India, sunil@iisc.ac.in, talhashim@iisc.ac.in, dalu1991@gmail.com

²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad-502285, India, rogers@cse.iith.ac.in

³Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Palakkad-678557, India, deepakmail@gmail.com

⁴IBM Research Lab, Manyata Embassy Business Park, Bangalore-560045, India, nitisin1@in.ibm.com

Abstract

The *anti-Ramsey number* $ar(G, H)$ with *input graph* G and *pattern graph* H , is the maximum positive integer k such that there exists an edge coloring of G using k colors, in which there are no *rainbow* subgraphs isomorphic to H in G . (H is *rainbow* if all its edges get distinct colors). The concept of *anti-Ramsey number* was introduced by Erdős, Simanovitz, and Sós in 1973. Thereafter several researchers investigated this concept in the *combinatorial* setting. The cases where pattern graph H is a complete graph K_r , a path P_r or a star $K_{1,r}$ for a fixed positive integer r , are well studied. Recently, Feng et al. revisited the *anti-Ramsey* problem for the pattern graph $K_{1,t}$ (for $t \geq 3$) purely from an *algorithmic* point of view, due to its applications in *interference modeling of wireless networks*. They posed it as an optimization problem, the *maximum edge q -coloring problem*. For a graph G and an integer $q \geq 2$, an edge q -coloring of G is an assignment of colors to edges of G , such that edges incident on a vertex span at most q distinct colors. The *maximum edge q -coloring problem* seeks to *maximize* the number of colors in an edge q -coloring of the graph G . Note that the *optimum value* of the edge q -coloring problem of G equals $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$.

In this paper, we study $ar(G, K_{1,t})$, the *anti-Ramsey number* of stars, for each fixed integer $t \geq 3$, both from *combinatorial* and *algorithmic* point of view. The first of our main results presents an upper bound for $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$, in terms of number of vertices and the minimum degree of G . The second one improves this result for the case of triangle-free input graphs.

For a positive integer t , let H_t denote a subgraph of G with maximum number of possible edges and maximum degree t . From an observation of Erdős, Simanovitz, and Sós, we get: $|E(H_{q-1})| + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq |E(H_q)|$. For instance, when $q = 2$, the subgraph $E(H_{q-1})$ refers to a maximum matching. It looks like $|E(H_{q-1})|$ is the most natural parameter associated with the anti-Ramsey number $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$, and the approximation algorithms for the maximum edge coloring problem usually proceed by first computing the H_{q-1} , then coloring all its edges with different colors and by giving one (sometimes more than one) extra colors to the remaining edges. The approximation guarantee of these algorithms usually depends on upper bounds for $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$ in terms of $|E(H_{q-1})|$.

Our third main result presents an upper bound for $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$ in terms of $|E(H_{q-1})|$.

All our results have algorithmic consequences. For some large special classes of graphs, such as d -regular graphs, where $d \geq 4$, our results can be used to prove a better approximation guarantee for the well-known approximation algorithm for the maximum edge coloring problem. We also show that all our bounds are almost tight.

Key Words: Anti-Ramsey Number, Approximation algorithm, Maximum edge q -coloring problem.

^{*}part of this work was done while the author was visiting Max-Planck Institute for Informatik, Saarbruecken, Germany, under Alexandr von Humboldt fellowship.

1 Introduction

The concept of *anti-Ramsey number* was introduced by Erdős and Simanovitz in 1973 [6]. A k -edge-coloring of G is a function $c : E(G) \rightarrow [k]$. (Note that an edge coloring here need not be proper.) A subgraph G' of G is called a *rainbow subgraph* with respect to an edge coloring c of G , if all the edges of G' are colored distinctly, i.e. no two edges of G' share the same color in the coloring c . The *anti-Ramsey number* $ar(G, H)$ is defined to be the largest integer k such that there exists an edge-coloring of G using k colors, in which there are no *rainbow* subgraphs isomorphic to H in G . In this paper, we will refer to the first parameter of $ar(G, H)$ as ‘*input graph*’ and the second parameter as the ‘*pattern graph*’. Typically the *pattern graph* H is a *fixed graph* on r vertices with simple structure, like the *complete graph* K_r , the path on r vertices P_r or the star on r vertices, $K_{1,r}$. For convenience’s sake, we will call $ar(K_n, H)$, where the input graph is a complete graph as the *classical anti-Ramsey number* of H , and $ar(G, H)$ where the input graph is *any* simple graph on n vertices as *the general anti-Ramsey number* of H .

After Erdős and Simanovitz introduced *anti-Ramsey numbers*, several researchers investigated this concept. A survey of known results is available in [10]. As mentioned before, the *pattern graphs* are usually graphs of very simple structure (like complete graphs, paths, stars, cycles) on a fixed number of vertices. The case where the *pattern graph* is P_k , the path on k vertices was studied by Simanovitz and Sóz [24], who gave an exact expression for the classical anti-Ramsey number of P_k , (i.e. $ar(K_n, P_k)$). When the *pattern graph* is a cycle of length k (denoted by C_k), Erdős, Simanovitz and Sós proved that $ar(K_n, C_3) = n - 1$ and conjectured that $ar(K_n, C_k) = \left(\frac{k-2}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)n + O(1)$ for $k \geq 4$ [6]. This was indeed verified by Alon et. al. [1] for *pattern graph* C_4 . $ar(K_n, C_k)$ was also studied by Jiang and West [15]. Montellano-Ballesteros and Neumann-Lara verified the conjecture for any k [19], about 30 years after it was conjectured. The case where the *pattern graph* is K_r , (i.e. $ar(K_n, K_r)$) was independently studied in [23] and [21]. Apart from the above, Manoussakis et. al. [17] had studied $ar(K_n, K_{n-1})$. Another variant was considered in [20]: They studied rainbow stars within chosen subsets of vertices in colored multi-graphs. The classical anti-Ramsey number of tP_2 (i.e. $ar(K_n, tP_2)$, where tP_2 represent a matching consisting of t edges) was studied by Schiermeyer [23], Fujita, Kaneko, Schiermeyer and Suzuki [11], Haas and Young [12]. The case where the *pattern graphs* are sub-divided graphs [14] was studied by Jiang, and trees of order k was studied by Jiang and West [16]. The case where the input graph is $K_{n,n}$ instead of K_n was considered with even cycles as *pattern graphs* by Axenovich et.al. [2] and stars as *pattern graphs* by Jiang [13].

The classical anti-Ramsey number of $K_{1,t}$ (i.e. $ar(K_n, K_{1,t})$) and its bipartite analogue $ar(K_{n,n}, K_{1,t})$ is extensively studied in literature: Improving the bounds of [17], both Jiang [13] and Montellano-Ballesteros [18] proved the following formula for $ar(K_n, K_{1,t})$:

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n(t-2)}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n-t+2} \right\rfloor \leq ar(K_n, K_{1,t}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n(t-2)}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n-t+2} \right\rfloor + 1 \quad (1)$$

The bipartite analogue was considered by Jiang [13], who proved the following:

$$ar(K_{n,n}, K_{1,t}) = n(t-2) + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n-t+2} \right\rfloor + 1 \quad (2)$$

In this paper, we study the *general anti-Ramsey number* of *star graphs*. i.e. we will consider only the star graphs as the *pattern graph*, namely the class $K_{1,t}$ for any fixed integer $t \geq 3$. Though we restrict the *pattern graph*, we allow the *input graph* to be a *general graph* and study the *general anti-Ramsey number* of star graphs, $ar(G, K_{1,t})$. It should be mentioned that Montellano-Ballesteros [18] had studied the generalized *anti-Ramsey number* of stars, $ar(G, K_{1,t})$, and had proved an upper bound expression which depends on the value of a particular function defined on certain subgraphs of the given graph. Their expression is not easy to state or use, but they cleverly use that expression to derive the generalised *anti-Ramsey number* of stars for complete graphs, complete r -partite graphs, hypercubes, Cartesian products of two cycles, etc. The advantage of their approach is that it is an attempt to use a uniform technique for the above-listed input graphs. As far as we can see, it is not possible to get any simple formula as an upper bound for $ar(G, K_{1,t})$, or even for $ar(G, K_{1,3})$ from their expression: rather it provides a method to try on special cases, wherever it works.

The edge q -coloring problem: Interestingly, the problem of finding $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$, for integers $q \geq 2$, was revisited recently by some applied researchers due to its applications in modeling channel assignment

in networks equipped with multi-channel wireless interfaces [22]. For a graph G and an integer $q \geq 2$, the edge q -coloring problem seeks to maximize the number of colors used to color the edges of G , subject to the constraint that a vertex $v \in V(G)$, is incident with edges of at most q different colors. It is easy to see that the number of colors in an optimal edge q -coloring (hereafter referred to as OPT) equals $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$.

The maximum edge q -coloring problem has been studied from an algorithmic perspective. Adamaszek and Pota [3] proved that the problem is NP-hard for every $q \geq 2$. Moreover, they proved that assuming the *unique games conjecture*, it cannot be approximated within a factor less than $1 + 1/q$ for every $q \geq 2$ and assuming just $P \neq NP$, it cannot be approximated within a factor less than $1 + (q - 2)/(q - 1)^2$ for every $q \geq 3$ [4]. An algorithm (Algorithm 1) with an approximation guarantee 2 for the maximum edge 2-coloring for general graphs was given by Feng et. al. in [7, 8, 9]. The same algorithm has an approximation guarantee, $(1 + \frac{4q-2}{3q^2-5q+2})$, for $q > 2$. The algorithm of Feng et. al. is simple and intuitive and is given below (Algorithm 1).

Note that for a positive integer t , a t -factor of G is a t -regular spanning subgraph of G . Now we define a t -sub-factor of G , to be a sub-graph of G with maximum degree t . Further, we call a subgraph of G to be a *maximum t -sub-factor*, if it is a t -sub-factor of G having the maximum number of possible edges. For instance, any maximum matching in G is a maximum 1-sub-factor of G , whereas a perfect matching (if exists) in G is a 1-factor of G . Hereafter, we refer to Algorithm 1 as the *sub-factor based algorithm*. Recall that a maximum matching in a graph can be computed in polynomial time. As a generalization of this, Gabow [29] proposed an $O(|V||E|\log|V|)$ -time algorithm for finding a maximum t -sub-factor in an input graph. Consequently, Algorithm 1 runs in polynomial time. Feng et.al. [9] also showed that the 2-edge coloring problem is polynomial-time solvable for trees and complete graphs. Adamaszek and Pota [3] showed that the approximation guarantee of the sub-factor based algorithm (when $q = 2$) of Feng et. al. can be improved to $5/3$, for graphs with *perfect matching*. Later, for *triangle-free graphs with perfect matching*, this approximation guarantee (when $q = 2$) was improved to $8/5$ by Chandran et.al. [25].

Algorithm 1

Input: A graph G and a positive integer $q \geq 2$

Find a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor, say M of G ;

To each edge in M assign a new color;

For each non-trivial connected component C of the subgraph $G' = G \setminus M$ of G , assign a new single color to all the edges in C ;

Output: each edge along with the color assigned to it.

1.1 Our Results:

Our contributions in this paper are both combinatorial and algorithmic: On the one hand, we give bounds for the general anti-Ramsey number of stars in the same style as [13, 17, 18] and, on the other hand, we improve the approximation guarantee of the sub-factor based algorithm (Algorithm 1) significantly for graphs with a large minimum degree, supplementing the works of [3, 7, 8, 9].

Specifically, we obtain the following results: Results 1 and 2 supplement the traditional literature on anti-Ramsey numbers, whereas Result 3, though essentially a combinatorial bound, is motivated by improving the approximation guarantee for large special classes of graphs, such as regular graphs and graphs of minimum degree greater than $C\sqrt{n}$. Result 3' is a generalization of Result 3 for each integer $q \geq 2$. We also propose two constructions for families of graphs to demonstrate that the bounds we obtained are *almost* tight. First, we note the following comment.

Comment: Given a family of graphs \mathcal{H} , let the Turan-type extremal number $ex(G, \mathcal{H})$ be defined as the maximum number of edges in a subgraph of G containing no copy of H for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$. When $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$, we may just write $ex(G, H)$ instead of $ex(G, \mathcal{H})$. Erdős et al. [6] related the above extremal numbers of Turan with general anti-Ramsey numbers by proving the following [28]:

For input graph G and pattern graph H :

$$\begin{aligned} ex(G, \mathcal{H}) + 1 &\leq ar(G, H) \leq ex(G, H), \\ \text{where } \mathcal{H} &= \{H - \{e\} : e \in E(H)\} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Note that when $H = K_{1,q+1}$, for some integer $q \geq 2$, $ex(G, H)$ refers to a maximum q -sub-factor in G , and $ex(G, \mathcal{H})$ refers to maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor in G . For a positive integer t , let H_t denote a maximum t -sub-factor in G . Therefore, when $H = K_{1,q+1}$, by the above remark we have $ex(G, H) = |E(H_q)|$ and $ex(G, \mathcal{H}) = |E(H_{q-1})|$. For any integer $q \geq 2$, we then have the following by (3):

$$|E(H_{q-1})| + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq |E(H_q)| \quad (4)$$

Note that inequality (4) indicates that $|E(H_{q-1})|$, the cardinality of a maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor in G is the most natural parameter associated with $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$. It is usual in graph theory to attempt to tighten the bounds in terms of such naturally associated parameters- for example, the Vizing's theorem was a result of attempts to tighten the upper bound for the chromatic index in terms of a naturally associated lower bound, namely the maximum degree. Also, since a maximum matching, and in general, a maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor in graphs, can be efficiently computed [29], the inequality (4) inspires to look for approximation algorithms. The sub-factor based algorithm proposed by Feng et. al. [7, 8, 9] is one such algorithm.

In the remaining part of the section, we discuss our major contributions in the paper.

Result 1: Let G be a graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq q + 1$. We then have the following by Theorem 3.1(i):

$$ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(\delta-q+2)} \right)$$

In addition, if G has a $(q-1)$ -factor, by (4) we then have that :

$$\frac{n(q-1)}{2} + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(\delta-q+2)} \right) \quad (5)$$

Note that, it follows from a result by Li and Cheng [27] that every graph G with number of vertices, $n \geq 4(q-1) - 1$ and minimum degree $\delta \geq n/2$ has a $(q-1)$ -factor. For such a graph G , we can then derive the following by (5).

$$\frac{n(q-1)}{2} + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq 4 + \frac{n(q-1)}{2} - \frac{4}{q} \quad (6)$$

In particular, for $q = 2$ case, we note the following additional observations.

Let G be any graph. If F is a 2-sub-factor of G (i.e., a collection of paths and cycles), there exists a matching, M_F in F of cardinality at least $|F|/3$, and therefore $|E(F)| \leq 3|M_F|$. By (4) we then have the following:

$$|M| + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,3}) \leq 3|M| \quad (7)$$

where M is a maximum matching of G .

The lower bound of $|M| + 1$ along with Result 1 (for $q = 2$), allows us to derive the exact value of $ar(G, K_{1,3})$ for graphs of minimum degree $> n/2$ when n is even. This is because in graphs of minimum degree $\delta > n/2$, there exists a hamiltonian cycle (by Dirac's theorem [26]) and therefore, a perfect matching if n is even. Therefore we get $n/2 + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,3}) \leq n/2 + n/\delta < n/2 + 2$. Since $ar(G, K_{1,3})$ is an integer we infer that $ar(G, K_{1,3}) = n/2 + 1$. When n is odd, we can infer that $ar(G, K_{1,3}) \in \{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2\}$.

Result 2: For a triangle-free graph G with $\delta \geq q + 1$ we show a better upper bound by Theorem 3.1(ii):

$$ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q-1)(\delta-q+1)} \right)$$

Again as in Result 1, in addition, if G has a $(q - 1)$ -factor, by (4) we have that :

$$\frac{n(q-1)}{2} + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q-1)(\delta-q+2)} \right)$$

Implication of Result 1 and Result 2 to the approximation guarantee of the sub-factor based Algorithm (when $q = 2$): Result 1 implies that, when $q = 2$, for graphs with a perfect matching, the sub-factor based algorithm (Algorithm 1) has an approximation guarantee, $(1 + \frac{2}{\delta})$ where δ is the minimum degree. Recall that Adamaszek and Popa [3] proved an approximation guarantee, $\frac{5}{3}$ for this case. The approximation guarantee proved by us is better than that of Adamaszek and Popa for $\delta \geq 4$, and equals to theirs for $\delta = 3$. For triangle-free graphs, our approximation guarantee is even better: $(1 + \frac{1}{\delta-1})$. This is better than the $5/3$ -guarantee of [3] and the $8/5$ -guarantee of [25] even for $\delta \geq 3$. Note that the analysis of [3] cannot be specialized for the triangle-free case to achieve a better approximation guarantee, as far as we understand. Further, as mentioned earlier, when the minimum degree $> n/2$, Algorithm 1 is almost optimal, in fact, an additive 1-approximation algorithm for odd n , and optimal for even n .

Observe that a 3-approximation guarantee is obvious from (7). Feng et. al [7, 8, 9] proved that the approximation guarantee of the sub-factor based algorithm is 2, by improving the upper bound of (7) from $3|M|$ to $2|M|$. Thus we have the following in the place of inequality (7):

$$|M| + 1 \leq ar(G, K_{1,3}) \leq 2|M| \tag{8}$$

Unfortunately, in general, this upper bound of $2|M|$ cannot be improved- for example, consider an even cycle: It has a perfect matching, whereas $ar(C_n, K_{1,3}) = n$.¹ Looking at examples like cycles, at first, we thought that if we assume a high minimum degree, we may get a better upper bound for $ar(G, K_{1,3})$. That is, a bound in terms of $|M|$ and δ in the same spirit as that of Result 1. But soon we realized that if we fix δ , it is possible to get a graph G , such that $ar(G, K_{1,3})$ is very close to $2|M|$, but just that the cardinality of its maximum matching is correspondingly small with respect to the number of vertices n . (See the construction in Section 5.1 and take $\kappa = (\delta + 1)/2$.) Thus we realized that we also need to consider another parameter: $\kappa = \frac{n}{2|M|}$. Note that for graphs with a perfect matching, $\kappa = 1$, and it increases as the fraction of matched vertices decreases. Thus, we also intend to find an upper bound for $ar(G, K_{1,3})$ in terms of $|M|$, which also involves both κ and the minimum degree δ .

Result 3: For a graph G with a maximum matching M , $ar(G, K_{1,3}) \leq |M|(1 + \frac{2(\kappa+1)}{\delta-1})$ (Theorem 4.1).

It is evident that our upper bound is better than $2|M|$ as long as the cardinality of the maximum matching is not too small: i.e., as long as $\kappa < (\delta - 3)/2$. We may also wonder about the cases in which Result 3 has an upper hand over Result 1. It is worth noting that Result 1 (when $q = 2$), is not useful to get any useful upper bound in terms of $|M|$ when κ is even 2: The upper bound implied in terms of maximum matching would be $> 2|M|$.

Implication of Result 3 to the approximation guarantee of the sub-factor based Algorithm (when $q = 2$): From Result 3, for the general graphs, we get that the sub-factor based algorithm (when $q = 2$) has an approximation guarantee, $(1 + \frac{2(\kappa+1)}{\delta-1})$. Here $\kappa = n/2|M|$, where n is the number of vertices in G and M is a maximum matching in G . Considering the attempts of Adamaszek and Popa to get a better approximation guarantee for graphs with a perfect matching, it is natural to consider this ratio. The approximation guarantee that we obtained using the parameter κ is better than the previously known 2-approximation guarantee for the general graphs (for $q = 2$) when $\kappa < (\delta - 3)/2$.

From the technical looking $(1 + 2(\kappa + 1)/(\delta - 1))$ -approximation guarantee, we can easily get the following corollaries for some interesting special cases:

- Corollary 1 of Result 3: Let M be a maximum matching in a d -regular graph on n vertices. We then have, $|M| \geq \frac{nd/2}{d+1}$. Therefore, $\kappa \leq 1 + 1/d$. Thus for d -regular graphs, we have the approximation guarantee to be $(1 + \frac{2(2+1/d)}{d-1}) = (1 + \frac{2(2d+1)}{d(d-1)})$. This is better than the 2-approximation guarantee known for the general case [7, 8, 9], when $d \geq 6$. (Note that all d -regular graphs need not have a

¹If you are curious how a $5/3$ -approximation guarantee was proved in [3], please note that it is not by proving $ar(G, K_{1,3}) \leq (5/3)|M|$ when M is a perfect matching. They cleverly make use of another aspect of the sub-factor based algorithm, namely the number of components produced when certain perfect matching is removed.

perfect matching and thus 5/3 factor of [3] or the $(1 + 2/\delta) = (1 + 2/d)$ -factor from our Result 1, is not applicable.)

- Corollary 2 of Result 3: It is easy to see that $\kappa \leq \frac{(\Delta + \delta)}{\delta}$, where Δ is the maximum degree of G . For graphs of minimum degree $h\sqrt{n} + 1$, we have an approximation guarantee, $(1 + \frac{6}{h^2})$. To see this note that $\kappa \leq \left(\frac{\Delta + \delta}{\delta}\right) \leq \left(\frac{\Delta}{\delta} + 1\right)$. Now substituting $\Delta \leq n$ and $\delta \geq h\sqrt{n} + 1$, we see that the approximation guarantee is at most $(1 + \frac{6}{h^2})$. For $h > \sqrt{6}$, this is better than the 2-approximation guarantee known for the general graphs [7, 8, 9].

Note that, for $q \geq 2$, a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor in G is a natural generalization of a maximum matching in G . Also, as in Result 1 and 2, for $q \geq 2$, analogous to a perfect matching in G , we can consider a $(q - 1)$ -factor in G . In the following result (which is a generalization of Result 3), we set the parameter, $\kappa = \frac{n(q-1)}{2|E(H_{q-1})|}$, where $|E(H_{q-1})|$ is the number of edges in a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor of the given graph G . Note that, as any $(q - 1)$ -factor in a graph on n vertices is of size $\frac{n(q-1)}{2}$, we can observe that for graphs with a $(q - 1)$ -factor, we have $\kappa = 1$, and it increases as the fraction of the vertices belonging to the $(q - 1)$ -factor decreases.

Result 3': For general graphs we have the following upper bound: (by Theorem 4.1 and 5.1)

$$ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq |E(H_{q-1})| \left(1 + \frac{2\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{(q-1)}\right)}{\delta - 1}\right),$$

where $|E(H_{q-1})|$ is the number of edges in a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor of G and $\kappa = \frac{n(q-1)}{2|E(H_{q-1})|}$.

We then have the following implication for a maximum edge q -coloring problem for each integer $q > 2$. i.e. when $\kappa < \frac{1}{(q-1)} \left(\frac{(2q-1)(\delta-1)}{(3q-2)} - 1\right)$, the bound provided in this result improves the previously known approximation guarantee, $\left(1 + \frac{4q-2}{3q^2-5q+2}\right)$ given by Feng et.al.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we consider connected graphs with minimum degree $\delta \geq q + 1$, where $q \geq 2$. An edge q -coloring of a graph G with c colors is a map $\mathcal{C} : E(G) \rightarrow [c]$, such that a vertex is incident with edges of at most q colors. Let $ALG(G)$ denote the number of colors in the coloring returned by Algorithm 1 for the graph G , and let $OPT(G)$ be the number of colors in a maximum edge q -coloring of G .

2.1 Characteristic subgraph for edge q -coloring

Let \mathcal{C} be an edge q -coloring of the graph G . We are interested in subgraphs of G containing exactly one edge of each color in \mathcal{C} . Note that the maximum degree of such a subgraph is at most q .

Characteristic Subgraph: We define the *characteristic subgraph* of G with respect to a coloring \mathcal{C} as a subgraph of G containing exactly one edge of each color in \mathcal{C} . Let \mathcal{C} be an optimal edge q -coloring of G , and let χ be a characteristic subgraph of G with respect to the coloring \mathcal{C} . For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q\}$, let N_i denote the vertices of G with degree i in χ and let $n_i = |N_i|$. Clearly $n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_q = n$.

3 Graphs with a $(q - 1)$ -factor

In this section, we first derive an upper bound for $ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$, where $q \geq 2$. As a consequence, we obtain some useful bounds for the approximation guarantee of the sub-factor based algorithm, when it is applied to graphs with a $(q - 1)$ -factor. The proofs here also help to illustrate key ideas in a simpler setting, which will be extended further in the upcoming sections.

Theorem 3.1. *For a fixed integer $q \geq 2$, let G be an n -vertex graph with a $(q - 1)$ -factor and have minimum degree $\delta(G) = \delta \geq q + 1$. We then have:*

$$(i) \ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(\delta-q+2)} \right)$$

$$(ii) \text{ Further, if } G \text{ is also triangle-free, we have } \ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q-1)(\delta-q+1)} \right).$$

Proof of (i). Let \mathcal{C} be an optimal edge q -coloring of G using, say c colors. We then have, $c = \ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$. Let χ be a characteristic subgraph of G with respect to the coloring \mathcal{C} . Recall that for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q\}$, N_i denote the vertices of G with degree i in χ and $n_i = |N_i|$. We also have $n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_q = n$.

Note that the number of colors, c used in the coloring \mathcal{C} is the same as the number of edges in χ and is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{qn_q + (q-1)n_{q-1} + \dots + 2n_2 + n_1}{2} \\ &= \frac{(q-1)(n_q + n_{q-1} + \dots + n_2 + n_1 + n_0) + (n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0)}{2} \\ &= \frac{n(q-1)}{2} + \frac{n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For $v \in N_q$, let $N'(v)$ denote the neighbors of v in G through edges not in χ . Clearly $|N'(v)| \geq \delta - q$ for each $v \in N_q$.

Claim 1. For $u, v \in N_q$, $v \notin N'(u)$.

Proof of Claim: Let \mathcal{C}_u and \mathcal{C}_v be the set of colors incident at vertices u and v respectively. If $v \in N'(u)$ then the color of uv belongs to $\mathcal{C}_u \cap \mathcal{C}_v$. Since $u, v \in N_q$, by the definition of the characteristic graph, we have that if uv is not an edge in χ , then $\mathcal{C}_u \cap \mathcal{C}_v = \emptyset$. This implies that the edge uv cannot get a color if $v \in N'(u)$. Thus we infer that $v \notin N'(u)$.

By the above claim, it follows that for each $v \in N_q$, we have $N'(v) \subseteq N_0 \cup N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_{q-1}$. Consider the bipartite graph H with bipartition $N_q \uplus (N_0 \cup N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_{q-1})$ and edge set of H , $E(H)$ given by $E(H) := \cup_{v \in N_q} E(v, N'(v))$. Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$. We now show that $d_H(u) \leq 2(q-i)$ for each vertex $u \in N_i$. Consider a vertex $u \in N_i$. Now, by the definition of N_i and H , there are at most $q-i$ colors, say a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-i} in \mathcal{C}_u , but not incident to u in χ . Let w be a neighbor of u in H . Then we must have that $a_j \in \mathcal{C}_w$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-i\}$. Since there are at most two vertices, say $v, w \in N_q$ such that $a_j \in \mathcal{C}_v \cap \mathcal{C}_w$, it follows that u has at most $2(q-i)$ neighbors in H . i.e. $d_H(u) \leq 2(q-i)$ for each $u \in N_i$.

Now by counting the edges across the bipartition, $N_q \uplus (N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_{q-1})$ in two ways, we have:

$$n_q(\delta - q) \leq 2qn_0 + 2(q-1)n_1 + 2(q-2)n_2 + \dots + 2n_{q-1} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_q(\delta - q + 2) &\leq (2n_q + 2n_{q-1} + 2n_{q-2} + \dots + 2n_0) + 2n_{q-2} + 4n_{q-3} + \dots + 2(q-1)n_0 \\ &\leq 2n + 2(n_{q-2} + 2n_{q-3} + \dots + (q-1)n_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{n_q(\delta - q + 2)}{2} \leq n + (n_{q-2} + 2n_{q-3} + \dots + (q-1)n_0) \quad (11)$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\delta - q + 2)(n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0)}{2} &\leq n_q \left(\frac{\delta - q + 2}{2} \right) - (n_{q-2} + 2n_{q-3} + 3n_{q-4} + \dots + (q-1)n_0) \\ &\leq n \quad (\text{since } \delta \geq q + 1 \text{ and by equation (11)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have,

$$\frac{n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0}{2} \leq \frac{n}{(\delta - q + 2)} \quad (12)$$

Now by equations (9) and (12), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c &\leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} + \frac{n}{\delta - q + 2} \\ &\leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(\delta - q + 2)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $c = ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$, we then have $ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(\delta - q + 2)} \right)$. This proves Part (i) of the theorem.

Proof of (ii). Let $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$ and $u \in N_i$. As in Part (i), by the definition of N_i and H , we know that there are at most $q-i$ colors available at u to color the edges incident to u in H . Since here, the graph G is further assumed to be triangle-free, we now show that $d_H(u) \leq (q-i)$. This can be achieved by proving that the vertex u can have at most one edge of a given color incident on it in H . Let a be one of the colors incident to u in \mathcal{C} . For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exist distinct vertices $x, y \in N_q$ such that $ux, uy \in E(H)$ and both the edges ux and uy are colored a . Since $x, y \in N_q$ and $a \in \mathcal{C}_x \cap \mathcal{C}_y$, we then have that xy is an edge in χ . This is a contradiction to the fact that G is triangle-free. Therefore, we can conclude that for each $u \in N_i$, where $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$, $d_H(u) \leq q-i$. Thus, instead of equation (10) in Part (i), we now have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} n_q(\delta - q) &\leq qn_0 + (q-1)n_1 + (q-2)n_2 + \dots + n_{q-1} \\ n_q(\delta - q + 1) &\leq (n_q + n_{q-1} + n_{q-2} + \dots + n_0) + n_{q-2} + 2n_{q-3} + \dots + (q-1)n_0 \\ n_q(\delta - q + 1) &\leq n + (n_{q-2} + 2n_{q-3} + \dots + (q-1)n_0) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Making similar substitutions as before, we now have,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta - q + 1)(n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0) &\leq n_q(\delta - q + 1) - (n_{q-2} + 2n_{q-3} + 3n_{q-4} + \\ &\quad \dots + (q-1)n_0) \\ &\leq n \quad (\text{since } \delta \geq q + 1 \text{ and by equation (13)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have,

$$n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0 \leq \frac{n}{(\delta - q + 1)} \quad (14)$$

Now by equations (9) and (14), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c &\leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} + \frac{n}{2(\delta - q + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q-1)(\delta - q + 1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can conclude that, $ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq \frac{n(q-1)}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q-1)(\delta - q + 1)} \right)$. This proves Part (ii) of the theorem. \square

Corollary 1. *For a fixed integer $q \geq 2$, let G be an n -vertex graph with a $(q-1)$ -factor and with minimum degree, $\delta(G) = \delta \geq q + 1$. We then have:*

$$(i) \text{ OPT}(G) \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(\delta - q + 2)} \right) \cdot \text{ALG}(G).$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } G \text{ is triangle-free, then } \text{OPT}(G) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q-1)(\delta - q + 1)} \right) \cdot \text{ALG}(G).$$

Proof. Since G has a $(q-1)$ -factor, we have $\text{ALG}(G) \geq \frac{n(q-1)}{2}$. Now the corollary is immediate from Theorem 3.1 and the fact that $\text{OPT}(G) = ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$. \square

Corollary 2. For the case $q = 2$, $\text{OPT}(G) \leq \text{ALG}(G) + 1$ when $\delta \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Moreover, if n is even and $\delta > n/2$, then Algorithm 1 (for $q = 2$) is optimal, i.e. $\text{ALG}(G) = \text{OPT}(G)$.

Proof. Observe that by Dirac's theorem, a graph G with $\delta \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ has a hamiltonian cycle, and hence a maximum matching of size $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Thus $\text{ALG}(G) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$. Further from Part (i), we have $c \leq n/2 + n/\delta < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 3$. Thus, since c is an integer we get $c \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \text{ALG}(G) + 1$. Note that if we assume that n is even and $\delta > n/2$, this proves that Algorithm 1 is optimal in this case. \square

3.1 Tight example for Theorem 3.1

In this section, we propose a construction of a family of graphs for which the sub-factor based algorithm can end up with an approximation guarantee almost equal to the expressions obtained in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 1. This shows that the expressions for the approximation guarantee that we provided in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 1 are almost tight. To describe the construction, we need the following concept.

The degree-preserving lift $L(G)$ of a d -regular graph G : Let G be a d -regular graph. For each vertex $v \in V(G)$ there are exactly d edges, say $e_v^1, e_v^2, \dots, e_v^d$ incident at v . Now, for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, we introduce d vertices, say v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d , where the vertex v_i "represents" the edge e_v^i incident at v . We then construct the graph $L(G)$ from G by replacing each vertex v in G by a clique, say K_v on these d vertices, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d . Clearly, in $L(G)$, all the vertices v_i has $d - 1$ neighbours in its clique K_v . Further, for $u \neq v$, two vertices $u_i \in K_u$ and $v_j \in K_v$ are connected by an edge $u_i v_j$ in $L(G)$, if and only if $uv \in E(G)$ and both u_i and v_j "represents" the same edge, i.e. $e_u^i = e_v^j = uv$. Thus apart from the $d - 1$ neighbours from inside its clique K_v , the vertex v_i gets exactly one more neighbour from outside K_v . Thus it is easy to verify that $L(G)$ is again a d -regular graph, whose $n' = nd$ vertices are partitioned into $n = \frac{n'}{d}$ cliques, each on d vertices, such that each vertex in any of these cliques, say K has exactly one neighbor in $V(L(G)) \setminus K$.

Given a fixed integer $q \geq 2$, let $d \geq 2q + 1$ be any integer such that $d - q + 2$ is even. Let G be any d -regular graph on n vertices. Let $G_0 = G$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$, we will recursively construct a graph G_i with $|V(G_i)| = n_i$ satisfying the following property:

$$V(G_i) \text{ can be partitioned into } l_i = \frac{n_i}{d - i + 1} \text{ cliques, say } K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{l_i}, \text{ where each clique } K_j, \\ j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_i\} \text{ is of size } d - i + 1, \text{ and each vertex } v \in K_j \text{ has } i \text{ neighbors in } V(G_i) \setminus K_j. \quad (15)$$

Note that the graphs G_i satisfying the Property (15) is a d -regular graph, since any vertex $v \in K_j$ has $d - i$ neighbors in K_j and i neighbors in $V(G_i) \setminus K_j$. We call the edges of G_i inside a clique K_j , $1 \leq j \leq l_i$ as *clique-edges* and the remaining edges (having end points in two different cliques) as *cross edges*. Thus at each vertex of G_i , exactly $(d - i)$ clique edges and i cross edges are incident.

Now, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$, we define the graph G_i as follows:

Define $G_1 = L(G_0)$, the degree-preserving lift of G_0 , where $G_0 = G$. It is clear that due to the properties of degree preserving lift, G_1 satisfies Property (15).

For $i > 1$, we recursively define the graph G_i as follows: We can assume that $V(G_{i-1})$ satisfies Property (15). i.e. $V(G_{i-1})$ can be partitioned into $l_{i-1} = \frac{n_{i-1}}{d - i + 2}$ cliques, say $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{l_{i-1}}$. For any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_{i-1}\}$, we also have that K_j is a clique on $d - i + 2$ vertices, and for each vertex $v \in K_j$, v has exactly $i - 1$ neighbors in $V(G_{i-1}) \setminus K_j$. Now to obtain the graph G_i , we first replace each clique K_j in G_{i-1} by its degree-preserving lift $L(K_j)$, where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_{i-1}\}$. In effect, each vertex v of G_{i-1} is replaced by a $d - i + 1$ sized clique K'_v consisting of vertices, say $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d-i+1}$. The vertex set of G_i is the union of the vertex sets of all these n_{i-1} cliques. Clearly, $n_i = n_{i-1} \cdot (d - i + 1)$ and $V(G_i)$ has a trivial partition into cliques of order $d - i + 1$, namely $\{K'_v : v \in V(G_{i-1})\}$. Now for each cross edge uv of G_{i-1} we add a perfect matching between K'_v and K'_u by making v_j adjacent to u_j for $1 \leq j \leq d - i + 1$. Since there are exactly $i - 1$ cross edges incident on each vertex v in G_{i-1} , each v_j ($1 \leq j \leq d - i + 1$) inherits $i - 1$ cross edges in G_i from the corresponding cross edges of G_{i-1} incident on v . In addition to that, inside $L(K_j)$ (where $v \in K_j$) there is one cross edge incident at each v_j , along with the $d - i$ clique edges from the clique K'_v . Thus at a vertex v_j of G_i there are exactly i cross edges and $d - i$ clique edges. Now it is easy to see that G_i satisfies Property (15), for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$.

Consider the graph G_{q-1} . Let $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{l_{q-1}}$ denote the partition of $V(G_{q-1})$ into cliques, where $l_{q-1} = \frac{n_{q-1}}{d - q + 2}$. Since G_{q-1} satisfies Property (15), we have that each vertex in any clique K_j , where

$j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_{q-1}\}$ has $q-1$ neighbors outside the clique. This implies that the set of all cross edges M of G_{q-1} form a $(q-1)$ -regular subgraph of G_{q-1} . More precisely, $M = \{uv \in E(G_{q-1}) : u \in K_i, v \in K_j, \text{ where } i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_{q-1}\}\}$. But it is easy to see that removing all the $\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2}$ edges of the subgraph M of G_{q-1} leaves $l_{q-1} = \frac{n_{q-1}}{d-q+2}$ connected components namely, $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{l_{q-1}}$. Coloring $\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2}$ edges in the subgraph M with $\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2}$ distinct colors and coloring the edges of each of the $l_{q-1} = \frac{n_{q-1}}{d-q+2}$ components, with a new color yields a q -coloring using $\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + \frac{n_{q-1}}{d-q+2}$ colors. This implies that for the graph G_{q-1} , $\text{OPT}(G_{q-1}) \geq \frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + \frac{n_{q-1}}{d-q+2}$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that M is not the only $(q-1)$ -regular subgraph available in the graph G_{q-1} . There is another simple way to get a $(q-1)$ -regular subgraph of G_{q-1} as follows: Since $d \geq 2q+1$ and $d-q+2$ is even, from each clique K_j , where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_{q-1}\}$, we can choose a $(q-1)$ -regular subgraph, say C_j using only the clique edges in such a way that $K_j \setminus C_j$ remains connected. The union of all these $(q-1)$ -regular subgraphs, say $M' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_{q-1}} C_j$ is again a $(q-1)$ -regular subgraph of G_{q-1} . Note that Algorithm 1 when applied to G_{q-1} , picks up an arbitrary maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor of G_{q-1} , colors its edges with distinct colors, and then gives new distinct colors to each of the connected components that results when the chosen maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor is removed from G_{q-1} . Note that both the subgraphs M and M' defined above are maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factors of G_{q-1} . Suppose that the Algorithm 1 picks up M' instead of M to start with. It is obvious that if M' is removed from G_{q-1} , the resulting graph has only one connected component in it. Therefore, Algorithm 1 may yield a q -edge coloring of size $\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + 1$.

Thus for G_{q-1} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{OPT}(G_{q-1})}{\text{ALG}(G_{q-1})} &\geq \frac{\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + \frac{n_{q-1}}{d-q+2}}{\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + 1} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + 1\right) \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(d-q+2)} - \frac{1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(d-q+2)}}{\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + 1}\right)}{\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + 1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(d-q+2)} - \frac{1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(d-q+2)}}{\frac{n_{q-1}(q-1)}{2} + 1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The above ratio is very close to $\left(1 + \frac{2}{(q-1)(d-q+2)}\right)$ for large n_{q-1} .

Remark 1. Note that in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we bound the number of edges in the characteristic subgraph (which is the same as the number of colors in the coloring) by $\frac{n(q-1)}{2} + \frac{n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0}{2}$. In the case of graphs with a $(q-1)$ factor, $\frac{n(q-1)}{2} + 1$ is a lower bound on the number of colors returned by Algorithm 1, and thus intuitively, the term $\frac{n_q - n_{q-2} - 2n_{q-3} - 3n_{q-4} - \dots - (q-1)n_0}{2}$ was the ‘‘excess’’. We tried only to tackle this excess in the previous proof leaving the first part (i.e. $\frac{n(q-1)}{2}$, untouched). However, in the *general case*, $\frac{n(q-1)}{2}$ could be a gross overestimate of the number of edges in a maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor, so the previous strategy does not give any approximation guarantee. Therefore, to deal with general graphs, in the upcoming sections, we introduce a new parameter, $\kappa = \frac{n(q-1)}{2|E(H_{q-1})|}$, where $|E(H_{q-1})|$ is the number of edges in a maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor of the given graph G .

4 Result for general graphs when $q = 2$

In this section, we deal with the case $q = 2$, and derive a bound for general graphs in terms of κ . Refer Section 1.1, for the motivation behind the choice of parameter κ . First, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *For a graph G with minimum degree, $\delta(G) \geq 3$, and an edge 2-coloring \mathcal{C} of G , there exists a characteristic subgraph χ of G such that χ is a disjoint union of paths.*

Proof. Let χ be a characteristic subgraph of G (i.e. a subgraph of G that contains exactly one of each color in \mathcal{C}), but with the minimum possible number of cycles. We claim that then χ has no cycles. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that χ has a cycle. Let u be one of the vertices in the cycle, and v, w

be its two neighbors in the cycle. Since $\delta(G) \geq 3$, there is a neighbor z of u in G , where $z \notin \{v, w\}$. Now the edge uz must have the same color as uv or uw , say uv . It follows that z is incident with at most one edge in χ , i.e. $\text{degree}_\chi(z) \leq 1$, since otherwise the number of distinct colors incident at vertex z is greater than or equal to 3, contradicting the assumption. Then $\chi - uv + uz$ is a characteristic subgraph with a smaller number of cycles, violating the assumption of minimality. Hence, χ is acyclic and is a disjoint union of paths. \square

In view of Lemma 1, in this section, we can assume that χ is an acyclic subgraph of G and the components of the characteristic subgraph (which are paths) will be called *characteristic paths*. We now have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be an n -vertex connected graph with minimum degree, $\delta(G) = \delta \geq 3$. Let M be a maximum matching of G . Then $\text{ar}(G, K_{1,3}) \leq |M|(1 + \frac{2(\kappa+1)}{\delta-1})$, where $\kappa = n/2|M|$ being the ratio of number of vertices to the size of a maximum matching of the graph.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be an optimal coloring of G using c colors. Let χ be a characteristic subgraph of G with respect to the coloring \mathcal{C} , with the maximum number of characteristic paths. We will say a vertex v is an *internal* vertex of χ if it is an internal vertex of one of the characteristic paths. Similarly, a vertex will be called a *terminal* vertex of χ if it is a terminal vertex of one of the characteristic paths. Now, we pick a matching M' from within χ by selecting alternate edges in each characteristic path, starting with the first edge in each path. Let t be the number of *unselected* edges. Then we get:

$$c = |M'| + t \leq |M| + t \quad (16)$$

The remainder of the proof attempts to upper bound the excess term t . In fact we show that $t \leq |M| \cdot (2(\kappa+1)/(\delta-1))$ from which the theorem immediately follows. Let N_2 denote the set of all internal vertices in χ and let $T \subseteq N_2$ be the set of vertices consisting of *left* endpoint of each unselected edge (see Figure 1). Thus we have $|T| = t$. First, we note that T is an independent set in G . This is because vertices in T are mutually non-adjacent internal vertices of χ and hence have mutually disjoint incident colors. For each $v \in T$, choose a set of $\delta - 2$ edges incident at v , which are not present in χ (this is possible, as each vertex has at most two neighbors in χ and $\delta(G) \geq 3$). Let us call these edges as *special* edges. Let S_0, S_1 and S_2 be sets of vertices of $V \setminus T$ which are incident with 0, 1 and 2 of these special edges. Let $s_i = |S_i|$. Since the vertices in T are incident with mutually disjoint sets of colors, a vertex in $V \setminus T$ is incident with at most two special edges emanating from T . Thus $V \setminus T = S_0 \uplus S_1 \uplus S_2$, or $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = n - t$. Counting the special edges across the bipartition $(T, V \setminus T)$ we have:

$$t(\delta - 2) = 2s_2 + s_1$$

Moreover, as $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = n - t$, we can rewrite the above equality as $t(\delta - 2) = n - t + (s_2 - s_0)$, and thus,

$$t(\delta - 1) \leq n + s_2. \quad (17)$$




Figure 1: Characteristic paths are shown on the left. The unselected edges are marked with \times , and corresponding vertices in T are indicated by a box. The special edges are indicated with dashed lines. The set S_2 is indicated by the ellipse.

To obtain a bound on s_2 , we consider the neighbors of vertices in S_2 which are not in T . Note that each vertex $v \in S_2$ has at least $\delta - 2$ neighbors outside T . Let N_1 be the set of terminal vertices of the characteristic subgraph χ and $N'_2 \subseteq N_2$ be the set of internal vertices of χ , which are not in T . Let N_0

denote the set of vertices that are not incident with an edge in χ . Note that $S_2 \subseteq N_0$. This is because a vertex incident with an edge in χ can only receive special edges of at most one color. But then it has at most one neighbor in T , and hence it is not a vertex in S_2 . We show the following:

Claim 1. Let a be a color present in a characteristic path of length at least two in χ . Then there is no a -colored edge between two vertices of N_0 .

Proof of Claim: We prove by contradiction. Let uv be an edge in a characteristic path of length at least two, and let a be the color of uv . If possible, let x and y be two vertices in N_0 with edge xy having color a . Then $\chi - uv + xy$ is a characteristic subgraph with more characteristic paths than χ , a contradiction. The claim follows.

Claim 2. Let a be a color present in a characteristic path of length at least two in χ . Then there is no a -colored triangle formed by the a -colored edge in χ and a vertex from N_0 .

Proof of Claim: To prove, again assume that uv is an a -colored edge in χ where u is not a terminal vertex. If possible, let uvw be an a -colored triangle with $w \in N_0$. Again, $\chi - uv + vw$ is a characteristic subgraph with more characteristic paths than χ , contradicting the choice of χ . The claim follows.

Claim 3. The neighbors of vertices in S_2 , which are not in T , lie in N_1 .

Proof of Claim: To prove, we observe that each color incident on vertices in S_2 appears in some characteristic path of length at least two (as vertices in T are on such paths). Then as $S_2 \subseteq N_0$, from Claim 1, we have that a vertex $v \in S_2$ is not adjacent to a vertex in N_0 . Further, v is not adjacent to a vertex in N'_2 : Suppose v is adjacent to a vertex u in N'_2 . Let $color(vu) = a$. Since $u \in N'_2$, it is an internal vertex in χ , and therefore there should be an edge, say uw in χ such that $color(uw) = a$. Now there should be an edge vx such that $color(vx) = a$, for some $x \in T$ since $v \in S_2$. But it is clear that uw is the only edge colored with color a in χ , and since $u \notin T$, $w = x$. But then v is adjacent to both u and w , forming an a -colored triangle containing an edge of χ , which is a contradiction by Claim 2. We infer that v cannot be adjacent to any vertex in N'_2 . Hence, all the neighbors of v , not in T are in N_1 .

Consider the bipartite graph X with bipartition (S_2, N_1) with the edge set consisting of N_1 - S_2 edges in G . Clearly $d_X(v) \geq \delta - 2$ for $v \in S_2$. We now prove that $d_X(u) \leq \delta - 2$ for $u \in N_1$. Let $u \in N_1$, and let uz be the edge of χ incident at u , where a is the color of uz . Let v be a neighbor of u in S_2 . We show that uv is not of color a . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that color of uv is a . Then as $v \in S_2$, it has a neighbor w in T , which is incident with an edge of color a . But then $w = z$ as z is the only possible internal vertex in χ incident with edge of color a . Now uvw form an a -colored triangle, which contradicts Claim 2. Thus, all the edges of X incident at u must have the color different from a and hence must have the same color (say b). Clearly, all the vertices in S_2 incident with an edge of color b must be incident with a b -colored special edge from a vertex in T . As vertices in T are incident with mutually disjoint colors, we infer that all such vertices are incident with b -colored special edges from a single vertex $w \in T$ (see Figure 2). Since there are exactly $\delta - 2$ special edges emanating from a vertex in T , we conclude that there are, at most $\delta - 2$ vertices in S_2 incident with color b . Hence $d_X(u) \leq \delta - 2$ for $u \in N_1$. Now, we have $s_2(\delta - 2) \leq |E(S_2, N_1)| \leq (\delta - 2) \cdot |N_1|$. Finally, observe that $|N_1| \leq 2|M|$ and hence $s_2 \leq 2|M|$. Substituting in Equation (17), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} t(\delta - 1) &\leq n + 2|M| \\ &\leq |M| \cdot \left(\frac{n}{|M|} + 2 \right) \\ t &\leq 2|M| \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\delta - 1} \right) \quad \left(\text{as } \kappa = \frac{n}{2|M|} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Substituting (18) in inequality (16), we then have

$$ar(G, K_{1,3}) = c \leq |M| \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa + 1)}{\delta - 1} \right)$$

This proves the theorem. \square

The corollary below follows from the fact that, when $q = 2$, for any graph G , $\text{OPT}(G) = ar(G, K_{1,3})$ and $\text{ALG}(G) \geq |M|$, where M is a maximum matching of G .

Corollary 3. *Let G be an n -vertex connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Let M be a maximum matching of G . Then $\text{OPT}(G) \leq \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa+1)}{\delta-1}\right)\text{ALG}(G)$, where $\kappa = n/2|M|$ being the ratio of number of vertices to the size of a maximum matching of the graph.*




Figure 2: The vertex u in N_1 is incident with a -colored edge in χ . Its neighbors in S_2 are through the “other” color at u , namely b . Moreover, these neighbors are also incident with b -colored special edges from a vertex in T .

5 Result for general graphs when $q > 2$

As a generalization of the results obtained in Section 4, here we derive a bound for general graphs (for $q > 2$) in terms of κ . Even though we achieve this by extending some ideas that we used in proving Theorem 4.1, here it is crucial to have q to be a positive integer such that ‘ $q > 2$ ’.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices, with minimum degree $\delta(G) = \delta \geq q + 1$, where q is a positive integer such that $q > 2$. Let $E(H_{q-1})$ be the set of edges in a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor of G . Then $ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) \leq |E(H_{q-1})| \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa + \frac{1}{q-1})}{\delta-1}\right)$, where $\kappa = \frac{n(q-1)}{2|E(H_{q-1})|}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be an optimal edge q -coloring of G using c colors. Let χ be a characteristic subgraph of G with respect to the coloring \mathcal{C} , having a minimum possible number of q degree vertices. Let T denote the set of q degree vertices in χ , where $|T| = t$. Let χ' denote the subgraph of G obtained from χ by removing one edge incident to each of the t vertices in T . Clearly, the maximum degree of χ' is less than or equal to $q - 1$. Thus we have,

$$c = |E(\chi)| \leq |E(\chi')| + t \leq |E(H_{q-1})| + t \quad (19)$$

where $|E(H_{q-1})|$ is the number of edges in a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor of G . In the remainder of the proof, we bound this excess t . Our goal is to show that $t \leq 2|E(H_{q-1})| \left(\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{q-1}\right) / (\delta - 1)\right)$.

For each $v \in T$, choose a set of $\delta - q$ edges incident at v , which are not present in χ (this is possible since each vertex in T has at most q neighbors in χ and $\delta \geq q + 1$). As in Theorem 4.1, we call these edges as *special edges* and say that u is a *special neighbor* of v if uv is a special edge in G . Note that for any vertex $v \in T$, any special neighbor of v belong to the set $V \setminus T$. This is because, any edge between a pair of vertices in T has to be an edge in χ . Recall that for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q\}$, N_i denote the set of vertices that has degree i in χ . Clearly, $T = N_q$ and $V \setminus T = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{q-1} N_i$. Then by the definition of

special neighbors and the fact that each vertex in G has at most q distinct colors incident to it, we have the following remark.

Remark 2. Let xu be a special edge in G having a color a on it such that $x \in T$ and $u \in V \setminus T$. Then the color a is present in χ on an edge incident to x , say xw and $u \neq w$.

Now we claim the following.

Claim 4. Let $u, v \in V \setminus T$ (possibly, $u = v$) and $x, y \in T$ be such that $x \neq y$, and the special edges ux and vy incident to u and v have the same color with respect to the coloring C . Then $u, v \in N_{q-1}$. Moreover, the vertices x and y are the only possible special neighbors of both the vertices u and v .

Proof of Claim: Let a be the color on the edges ux and vy . Since $x, y \in T$ and $x \neq y$, by Remark 2, we have that xy is an edge in χ and a is the color on the edge xy . Without loss of generality, assume that $u \in N_i$, for some $i \leq q-2$. Then, as $d_\chi(u) \leq q-2$, we have that $\chi - xy + ux$ is a characteristic graph of G having a fewer number of degree q vertices than χ . This contradicts the choice of χ . Since the proof for the case, $v \in N_i$ is symmetric, we can therefore conclude that $u, v \in N_{q-1}$.

Suppose that there exists a vertex $z \neq x, y$ in T such that z is a special neighbor of u (as in the previous paragraph, proof for the case in which z is a special neighbor of v is symmetric). Let b be the color on the special edge uz . Note that $a \neq b$, as $z \neq x, y$, and at most two vertices in $T = N_q$ can share the same color a . Now as $z \in T$, we have by Remark 2 that the color b is present in χ on an edge incident to the vertex z , say zw and $w \neq u$. Since $u \in N_{q-1}$, there are $q-1$ distinct colors incident at u in χ . Then by the definition of special edges and Remark 2, we have that all these $q-1$ colors are distinct from both the colors a and b on the special edges incident at u . Since $a \neq b$, we then have $q+1$ distinct colors incident with the vertex u . This contradiction proves the claim.

Claim 5. Each vertex $u \in V \setminus T$ has at most q special edges incident to it.

Proof of Claim: Suppose not. Assume that there exists a vertex $u \in V \setminus T$ that has at least $q+1$ special edges incident to it. Since there is only q distinct colors incident at u , there exist at least two vertices $x, y \in T$ such that the special edges ux and uy have the same color. By the application of Claim 4 (with $u = v$), we then have that u cannot have more than two special neighbors in T and, therefore, cannot have more than two special edges incident to it. This contradicts the fact that $q+1 > 2$. This proves the claim.

Let $S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_q$ denote the set of vertices in $V \setminus T$, which are incident with $0, 1, 2, \dots, q$ special edges incident to it. By Claim 5, we have $V \setminus T = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^q S_i$. Note that the definition of special edges together with Remark 2 implies that for any $u \in S_i$, where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, the color on the special edges incident at u is distinct from the color on the edges incident at u in χ . We then have the following remark due to Claim 4 and the fact that each vertex in G has at most q distinct colors incident to it.

Remark 3.

- (a) For each $i > 2$, the i special edges incident to each vertex in S_i are of distinct colors. Further, for each $i > 2$ and $u \in S_i$, we have that $d_\chi(u) \leq q-i$ (i.e. for each $i > 2$, we have $S_i \subseteq N_0 \cup N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_{q-i}$).
- (b) Let $i > 2$ and $x, y \in T$. If there exist distinct vertices $u, v \in S_i$ such that the special edges ux and vy have the same color, then $x = y$.

Let $s_i = |S_i|$. Then, as $V \setminus T = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^q S_i$, we have $s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_q = n - t$. Counting the special edges across the bipartition $(T, V \setminus T)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} t(\delta - q) &= qs_q + (q-1)s_{q-1} + \dots + 2s_2 + s_1 \\ &= (q-1)(s_q + s_{q-1} + \dots + s_2 + s_1 + s_0) + s_q - s_{q-2} - 2s_{q-3} - \dots - (q-1)s_0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_q = n - t$, the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} t(\delta - q) &= (q-1)(n-t) + s_q - s_{q-2} - 2s_{q-3} - \dots - (q-1)s_0 \\ &\leq (q-1)(n-t) + s_q \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have,

$$t(\delta - 1) \leq n(q - 1) + s_q \quad (20)$$

To obtain a bound on s_q , we consider the neighbors of vertices in S_q that are not present in T . Since $\delta \geq q + 1$, each vertex $u \in S_q$ has at least $\delta - q$ neighbors outside T . (For any vertex $u \in S_q$, it is not difficult to verify that all the neighbors of u in T are its special neighbors and, hence u has at most q neighbors in T). Also, note that as $q > 2$, by Remark 3(a), we have that each vertex in S_q has zero degree in χ . Therefore, $S_q \subseteq N_0$.

Let $B = N_0 \cup N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_{q-2}$. We then have the following claim.

Claim 6. For any pair of vertices $u \in B$ and $v \in S_q$ we have $uv \notin E(G)$.

Proof of Claim: Suppose not. Assume that there exist vertices $u \in B$ and $v \in S_q$ such that $uv \in E(G)$. Let a be the color on edge uv with respect to the coloring \mathcal{C} . Since $v \in S_q$, by Remark 3(a), there exists a vertex $w \in T$ such that the special edge vw has the color a on it. Since $w \in T = N_q$, there exists an edge say wx in χ that has the color a on it (possibly, $x = w$). Note that as $v \in S_q \subseteq N_0$ and $u \in B = N_0 \cup N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_{q-2}$, degree of both the vertices u and v are at most $q - 2$ in χ . Therefore, $\chi - wx + uv$ is a characteristic subgraph of G that has a fewer number of q degree vertices than χ . This contradicts the choice of χ and proves the claim.

Therefore by Claim 6 and the fact that $V \setminus T = B \uplus N_{q-1}$, we can conclude that each vertex in S_q has its $\delta - q$ neighbors in N_{q-1} . Now consider the bipartite graph X with bipartition (S_q, N_{q-1}) with edge set consisting of $S_q - N_{q-1}$ edges in G . Clearly, for each vertex $v \in S_q$, we have $d_X(v) \geq \delta - q$. We now prove that for each vertex $u \in N_{q-1}$, $d_X(u) \leq \delta - q$. Let $u \in N_{q-1}$. Let a be any color among the $q - 1$ colors on the edges incident with u in χ . Let uz be the edge colored a in χ . Clearly, $z \notin N_0$ and therefore $z \notin S_q$ (since $S_q \subseteq N_0$). We claim that the color a is not present on any edge of the form uv , where $v \in S_q$. Suppose not. Let $v \in S_q$ be such that the edge uv is colored a with respect to the coloring \mathcal{C} . Since $v \in S_q$, we then have that there exists a vertex $w \in T = N_q$ such that the special edge vw has the color a on it. Thus by choice of the color a and Remark 2, it should be the case that $w = z$. But then as $d_\chi(v) = 0$, we can observe that $\chi - uz + uv$ is a characteristic subgraph of G that have fewer number of q degree vertices than χ . This contradicts the choice of χ . Therefore, we can conclude that for any vertex $u \in N_{q-1}$, none of the $q - 1$ colors on the edges incident to u in χ is present on the edges of the form uv , where $v \in S_q$. This implies that all the edges of X incident at u must have the same color (which is remaining at u), say b . Since $q > 2$, by Remark 3(a), we have that each vertex in S_q is incident with q special edges, all having distinct colors on it. This implies that all the vertices in S_q that are incident with a b -colored edge in X are also incident with a b -colored special edge. Again, as $q > 2$, by Remark 3(b), we can conclude that all the b colored special edges incident at the vertices in S_q have its other end point, a single vertex from T , say $w \in T$ (refer Figure 2, where in this context, N_1 and S_2 are now replaced by N_{q-1} and S_q respectively). Since there are exactly $\delta - q$ special edges emanating from a vertex in T , this implies that there are at most $\delta - q$ vertices in S_q incident with color b . Hence $d_X(u) \leq \delta - q$ for each $u \in N_{q-1}$. Thus we have, $s_q(\delta - q) \leq |E(S_q, N_{q-1})| \leq (\delta - q)|N_{q-1}|$. This implies that $s_q \leq |N_{q-1}|$.

Applying the above inequality in (20), we then have:

$$t(\delta - 1) \leq n(q - 1) + |N_{q-1}| \quad (21)$$

Recall the $(q - 1)$ -degree bounded subgraph χ' that we have defined earlier. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} |E(H_{q-1})| &\geq |E(\chi')| \geq |E(\chi)| - t \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} [tq + (q - 1)|N_{q-1}|] - t \\ \text{i.e. } \left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)|N_{q-1}| &\leq |E(H_{q-1})| - \left[\frac{tq}{2} - t\right] \\ |N_{q-1}| &\leq \left(\frac{2}{q-1}\right)|E(H_{q-1})| \quad (\text{since } q > 2) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above in (21), we then have:

$$\begin{aligned} t(\delta - 1) &\leq n(q - 1) + \left(\frac{2}{q - 1}\right)|E(H_{q-1})| \\ &= 2|E(H_{q-1})|\left[\frac{n(q - 1)}{2|E(H_{q-1})|} + \frac{1}{q - 1}\right] \end{aligned}$$

This implies that,

$$t \leq 2|E(H_{q-1})|\left[\frac{\kappa + \frac{1}{q-1}}{\delta - 1}\right] \quad \left(\text{as } \kappa = \frac{n(q - 1)}{2|E(H_{q-1})|}\right) \quad (22)$$

By substituting (22) in inequality (19), and the fact that $ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) = \text{OPT}(G) = c$, we then have

$$ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) = c \leq |E(H_{q-1})| + t \leq |E(H_{q-1})|\left[1 + \frac{2(\kappa + \frac{1}{q-1})}{\delta - 1}\right].$$

Hence the theorem. \square

As in the case $q = 2$, we have the following corollary due to the fact that, $\text{OPT}(G) = ar(G, K_{1,q+1})$ and $\text{ALG}(G) \geq |E(H_{q-1})|$, where $E(H_{q-1})$ is the set of edges in a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor of G .

Corollary 4. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices, with $\delta(G) \geq q + 1$. Let $E(H_{q-1})$ be the set of edges in a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor of G . Then $\text{OPT}(G) \leq \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa + \frac{1}{q-1})}{\delta - 1}\right)\text{ALG}(G)$, where $\kappa = n(q - 1)/2|E(H_{q-1})|$.*

5.1 Tightness for Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1:

In this section, we propose a construction of a family of graphs for which the sub-factor based algorithm can end up with an approximation guarantee almost equal to the expressions obtained in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. *Let a positive rational number κ and a positive integer $q \geq 2$ be given. Then for any $\delta > \max\{q, (2\kappa - 1)(q - 1)\}$, it is possible to find infinitely many positive integers t such that there exists a graph G on $2\kappa t(q - 1)$ vertices and with the following properties: (1) $|E(H_{q-1})| = t(q - 1)^2$, (2) minimum degree of G equals δ , and (3) G possesses an edge q -coloring using at least $|E(H_{q-1})|\left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa - 1)}{\delta - 1}\right)$ colors, where $E(H_{q-1})$ denotes the set of edges in a maximum $(q - 1)$ -sub-factor of G .*

Comment: Note that by Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, we have the following for each $q \geq 2$: For a connected graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) = \delta \geq q + 1$, $ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) = \text{OPT}(G) \leq |E(H_{q-1})|\left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa + \frac{1}{q-1})}{\delta - 1}\right)$. Now, for a graph G , satisfying the conditions in Theorem 5.2, we have that $ar(G, K_{1,q+1}) = \text{OPT}(G) \geq |E(H_{q-1})|\left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa - 1)}{\delta - 1}\right)$. This implies that, the approximation guarantee that we provided in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1 are almost tight.

Proof. We will derive the value of t in terms of κ, q , and δ towards the end of the proof. Let G be a bipartite graph with partite sets S and T , where the set S is a disjoint union of $q - 1$ sets say, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{q-1} and T is a disjoint union of q sets, say T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{q-1} and B , where $|S_i| = |T_i| = t$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$ (refer Figure 3).

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, let s_i^j and t_i^j respectively denote the j^{th} vertices of the sets S_i and T_i . In G , we first add some edges to form a $(q - 1)$ -regular subgraph, say M as follows: For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q - 1\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, make the vertex $s_i^j \in S_i$ adjacent to each vertex in the set, $\{t_1^j, t_2^j, \dots, t_{q-1}^j\}$. Clearly, the edges of M form a $(q - 1)$ -regular subgraph on $2t(q - 1)$ vertices (since $|S \cup T| = 2t(q - 1)$). In our coloring of G , we give $t(q - 1)^2$ distinct colors to the edges of M . Now, we will add more edges to the graph G . Note that, irrespective of any additional edges in G ,

the subgraph M remains as a suitable candidate for a maximum $(q-1)$ -sub-factor, H_{q-1} of G . This is because all the vertices in the partite set S of the bipartite graph G have degree $q-1$ in M . Thus we have, $|E(H_{q-1})| = |M| = t(q-1)^2$. This proves condition (1) in the statement of the theorem.

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, let $S_i = X_i \uplus Y_i \uplus Z_i$, where $X_i = (x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^{\delta-q+2})$, $Y_i = (y_i^1, y_i^2, \dots, y_i^h)$ and $Z_i = (z_i^1, z_i^2, \dots, z_i^{h'})$. Note that the value of h will be derived along with the parameter t in the later part of the proof, but we define $h' = t - (\delta - q + 2) - h$. Now for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$, in G , we also make all the vertices in X_i adjacent to all the vertices in $T_i \cup B$ (see the dashed edges in Figure 3). This implies that each vertex in T_i has degree exactly δ and each vertex in the sets X_i and B has degree at least δ in G . Further, in the above coloring of G , we can give all these additional edges (i.e. dashed edges in Figure 3) a single color.

Let $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\alpha\}$, where $\alpha = (h' + 1)(\delta - 1)$. In fact, the set B can be viewed as $(h' + 1)$ bags, say $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{h'+1}$, where each bag is of size $(\delta - 1)$. In G , for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$, we make all the vertices in the set Y_i adjacent to all the vertices in B_1 so that each vertex in Y_i has degree at least δ in G . In the coloring of G , we introduce $(q-1)$ new colors to color the sets of edges connecting Y_i and B_1 , where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$ (one color for each set). In G , for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\}$, we also make the vertices in the set $\{z_1^j, z_2^j, \dots, z_{q-1}^j\}$ adjacent to all the vertices in B_{j+1} , so that each vertex in Z_i has degree at least δ in G (see bold edges in Figure 3). Here we introduce $h'(q-1)$ new colors in the coloring of G to color the sets of edges connecting the set $\{z_1^j, z_2^j, \dots, z_{q-1}^j\}$ to each bag B_{j+1} , where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h'\}$. Therefore, we have an edge coloring of the graph G that uses at least $t(q-1)^2 + (h'+1)(q-1) + 1$ colors. Moreover, as we have the minimum degree of G to be δ , condition (2) in the statement of the theorem is also satisfied.

Recall that $|E(H_{q-1})| = |M| = t(q-1)^2$. Then, by the definition, the value of κ has to be, $\kappa = \frac{n(q-1)}{2|E(H_{q-1})|} = \frac{n(q-1)}{2t(q-1)^2}$. This implies that the number of vertices in G , i.e. $n = 2\kappa t(q-1)$.

Alternatively, from the construction of the graph G , we have the number of vertices in G as follows:

$$n = 2t(q-1) + (t - (\delta - q + 2) - h + 1)(\delta - 1)$$

Therefore we have,

$$2\kappa t(q-1) = 2t(q-1) + (t - (\delta - q + 2) - h + 1)(\delta - 1)$$

From the above equation, we can infer that the value of h has to be the following:

$$h = \frac{t}{(\delta - 1)}(2(q-1) + \delta - 1 - 2\kappa(q-1)) - (\delta - q + 1) \quad (23)$$

Note that the above value of h has to be a positive integer, where κ and q are already given. Therefore, for h to be a positive integer, the value of t should be selected in such a way that $t > \frac{(\delta-1)(\delta-q+1)}{2(q-1)+\delta-1-2\kappa(q-1)}$ and to satisfy a further requirement, we also need $t(q-1)^2(1 + \frac{2(\kappa-1)}{\delta-1})$ to be a positive integer. It is clear that we can choose infinitely many positive integers t , satisfying the above property.

Recall that the number of colors used in G is at least $t(q-1)^2 + (h'+1)(q-1) + 1$, where $h' = t - (\delta - q + 2) - h$. By substituting the value of h from (23) in the above expression, we then have that the number of colors used in G to be at least, $t(q-1)^2 + \left[t - \delta + q - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{\delta-1} (2(q-1) + \delta - 1 - 2\kappa(q-1)) - (\delta - q + 1) \right\rfloor \right] (q-1) + 1$. On simplifying, we get the number of colors to be at least,

$t(q-1)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa-1)}{\delta-1} + \frac{1}{t(q-1)^2} \right) \geq t(q-1)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa-1)}{\delta-1} \right) = |E(H_{q-1})| \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa-1)}{\delta-1} \right)$ as required in condition (3) in the statement of the theorem.

The above value is very close to our approximation, $|E(H_{q-1})| \left(1 + \frac{2(\kappa + \frac{1}{q-1})}{\delta-1} \right)$. □

References

- [1] N. Alon, On a conjecture of Erdős, Simonovits, and Sós, concerning anti-Ramsey theorems, *Journal of Graph Theory* 7(1), 91–94, 1983.




Figure 3: The figure illustrates the edges other than the edges belonging to the $(q - 1)$ -regular subgraph of the tight example in Theorem 5.2. An ellipse represents a group of vertices, the number at the center is the cardinality of the group. A \bullet represents a single vertex. An edge (dashed or bold) between two groups indicates the complete set of edges between them.

- [2] M. Axenovich, T. Jiang, and A. Kündgen. Bipartite anti-Ramsey numbers of cycles. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 47, 9-28, 2004.
- [3] Anna Adamaszek and Alexandru Popa. Approximation and hardness results for maximum edge q -coloring problem. *ISAAC*, 132–143, 2010.
- [4] Anna Adamaszek and Alexandru Popa. Personal communication.
- [5] Arie Bialostocki, Shoni Gilboa, Yehuda Roditty. Anti-Ramsey Numbers of Small Graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 123, 41–53, 2013.
- [6] Paul Erdős, Miklós Simonovits and Vera T. Sós. Anti-Ramsey theorems. *Infinite and finite sets (Colloquium, Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday)*, Vol II, 633–643.
- [7] Wangsen Feng, Ping Chen and Bei Zhang. Approximate maximum edge coloring within factor 2: a further analysis. *ISORA*, 182–189, 2008.
- [8] Wangsen Feng, Li’ang Zhang, Wanling Qu and Hanpin Wang. Approximation algorithms for maximum edge coloring problem. *TAMC*, 646–658, 2007.
- [9] Wangsen Feng, Li’ang Zhang and Hanpin Wang. Approximation algorithms for maximum edge coloring. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 410(11), 1022–1029, 2009.
- [10] Shinya Fujita, Colton Magnant, Kenta Ozeki. Rainbow Generalizations of Ramsey Theory: A Survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 26, 1–30, 2010.
- [11] S. Fujita, A. Kaneko, I. Schiermeyer and K. Suzuki. A rainbow k -matching in the complete graph with r colors, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 16(1), pp. 13, 2009.
- [12] R. Haas and M. Young. The anti-Ramsey number of perfect matching. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312 (5), 933–937, 2012.
- [13] T. Jiang. Edge-colorings with no large polychromatic stars, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 18 (2), 303–308, 2002.
- [14] T. Jiang. Anti-Ramsey numbers of subdivided graphs. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser.B*, 85, 361-366, 2002.
- [15] T. Jiang and D.B. West. On the Erdős-Simonovits-Sós conjecture on the anti-Ramsey number of a cycle. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 12, 585–598, 2003.

- [16] T. Jiang and D.B. West. Edge-colorings of complete graphs that avoid polychromatic trees. *Discrete Mathematics*, 274, 137-145, 2004.
- [17] Y. Manoussakis, M. Spyratos, Zs. Tuza, M. Voigt. Minimal colorings for properly colored sub- graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 12 (4), 345–360, 1996.
- [18] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros. On totally multicolored stars. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 51(3), 225–243, 2006.
- [19] J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara. An anti-Ramsey theorem on cycles. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 21 (3), 343–354, 2005.
- [20] J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros, V. Neumann-Lara. A linear heterochromatic number of graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 19(4), 533–536, 2003.
- [21] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara. An anti-Ramsey theorem. *Combinatorica*, 22, 445-449, 2002.
- [22] Ashish Raniwala and Tzi-cker Chiueh. Architecture and algorithms for an IEEE 802.11-based multi-channel wireless mesh network. *INFOCOM*, 2223–2234, 2005.
- [23] I. Schiermeyer. Rainbow numbers for matchings and complete graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 286 (1-2), 157–162, 2004.
- [24] M. Simonovits and V. T. Sós, On restricted colorings of K_n , *Combinatorica* 4(1), 101–110, 1984,
- [25] L. S. Chandran, A. Lahiri, and N. Singh, Improved approximation for maximum edge colouring problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 319, 42-52, 2022.
- [26] G. A. Dirac. Some theorems on abstract graphs. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s3-2(1):69–81, 1952.
- [27] Y. Li, C. Mao-cheng, A degree condition for a graph to have $[a, b]$ -factors. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 27, 1–6, 1998.
- [28] M. Axenovich, T. Jiang, Anti-Ramsey numbers for small complete bipartite graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 73, 311–318, 2004.
- [29] N. Gabow, An efficient reduction technique for degree-constrained subgraph and bidirected network flow problems, *Proceedings of. 15th ACM STOC*, 448–456, 1983.