

Bayesian analysis of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with location and scale parameters

Biplab Paul, Arabin Kumar Dey and Sanku Dey

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract This paper provides two different novel approaches of slice sampling to estimate the parameters of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with location and scale parameters. We carry out the bayesian analysis taking gamma prior for shape and scale parameters and truncated normal for location parameters. Credible intervals and coverage probabilities are also provided for all methods. A real-life data analysis is shown for illustrative purpose.

Keywords Bivariate Pareto distribution; Absolute continuous bivariate distribution; Slice sampling; Gibbs sampler.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider bayesian analysis of the parameters of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with location and scale parameters through slice sampling. Usual slice sampling won't work in monte carlo set up as posterior function in this case becomes discontinuous with respect to location

B. Paul
Department of Mathematics,
IIT Guwahati,
Guwahati, India
E-mail: biplab.paul@iitg.ac.in

A. K. Dey
Department of Mathematics,
IIT Guwahati,
Guwahati, India
Assam
Tel.: +91361-258-4620
E-mail: arabin@iitg.ac.in

Sanku Dey
Department of Statistics,
St. Anthony's College, Shillong.

and scale parameters. We propose two novel approaches in implementation of slice sampling to perform the bayesian analysis.

Recently three parameter bayesian analysis of absolute continuous version of Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution is studied by [Dey and Paul \(2017\)](#). This absolute continuous version of Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution has marginals which are not type-II univariate Pareto distributions. We use the notation BB-BVPA for absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto. This form of Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto is similar to absolute continuous bivariate exponential distribution as proposed by [Block and Basu \(1974\)](#). A variety of bivariate (multivariate) extensions of bivariate Pareto distribution also have been studied in the literature. These include the distributions described in the following works : [Sankaran and Kundu \(2014\)](#), [Yeh \(2000\)](#), [Yeh \(2004\)](#), [Asimit et al. \(2010\)](#), [Asimit et al. \(2016\)](#). Finding efficient technique to estimate the parameters of BB-BVPA, particularly in the presence of location and scale was a major challenge in last few decades. Parameter estimation by EM algorithm for BB-BVPA is also available in a recent work by [Dey and Kundu \(2017\)](#). There is no work available for seven parameter bayesian analysis on BB-BVPA.

Finding confidence interval for location and scale parameters for BB-BVPA is a difficult problem in frequentist set up. Bayesian credible intervals can easily solve this problem. Sometimes Bayes estimators exist when MLEs do not exist. Bayesian estimators may work reasonably well with suitable choice of prior even when MLE's performance is extremely poor. Therefore working in bayesian set up with such a complicated distribution has its own advantages. In this paper we use gamma prior for shape and scale parameters. However for location parameters we use truncated normal as prior.

Rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 shows formulation of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution. Bayesian analysis through slice sampling is described in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the construction of credible interval. Numerical results are discussed in section 5. Data analysis is shown in section 6. We conclude the paper in section 7.

2 Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto Distribution:

Let U_0, U_1 and U_2 are mutually independent random variable where $U_0 \sim PA(II)(0, 1, \alpha_0)$, $U_1 \sim PA(II)(\mu_1, \sigma_1, \alpha_1)$ and $U_2 \sim PA(II)(\mu_2, \sigma_2, \alpha_2)$. We define $X_1 = \min\{\mu_1 + \sigma_1 U_0, U_1\}$ and $X_2 = \min\{\mu_2 + \sigma_2 U_0, U_2\}$, then the joint distribution of (X_1, X_2) is called the **Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto (MOBVPA) distribution** or singular bivariate Pareto distribution. The joint survival function of (X_1, X_2) can be written for $z = \max\{\frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}, \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\}$ as;

$$S(x_1, x_2) = (1+z)^{-\alpha_0} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_1} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_2}$$

$$= \begin{cases} S_1(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} < \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \\ S_2(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} > \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \\ S_0(x), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} = \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \text{ and } x_1 = x_2 = x \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x_1, x_2) &= \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_1} \\ S_2(x_1, x_2) &= \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1} \\ S_0(x) &= \left(1 + \frac{x - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \end{aligned}$$

so it's pdf can be written as

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} f_1(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} < \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \\ f_2(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} > \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \\ f_0(x), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} = \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \text{ and } x_1 = x_2 = x \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, x_2) &= \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - 1} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_1 - 1} \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{\alpha_2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_2 - 1} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 1} \\ f_0(x) &= \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_1} \left(1 + \frac{x - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

We denote this distribution as $MOBVPA(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

2.1 Block Basu bivariate Pareto Distribution

We know that joint survival function of (X_1, X_2) can be written as a mixture of an absolute continuous part and a singular part as follows;

$$S(x_1, x_2) = pS_a(x_1, x_2) + (1 - p)S_s(x_1, x_2)$$

where $S_a(x_1, x_2)$ is the absolute continuous part and $S_s(x_1, x_2)$ is the singular part. Also $p = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$. Note that for $z = \max\{\frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}, \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\}$,

$$S_s(x_1, x_2) = (1 + z)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2}$$

We define $S_a(x_1, x_2)$ as the joint survival function of **Block Basu bivariate Pareto (BBBVPA) distribution** or absolute continuous bivariate pareto distribution. It can then be expressed as

$$S_a(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} S_{a1}(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} < \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \\ S_{a2}(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} > \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \end{cases}$$

where

$$S_{a1}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_1} - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2}$$

$$S_{a2}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1} - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2}$$

so it's pdf can be written as

$$f_a(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} f_1(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} < \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \\ \frac{1}{p} f_2(x_1, x_2), & \text{if } \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} > \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} f_1(x_1, x_2) = \frac{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \frac{\alpha_1 (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - 1} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_1 - 1}$$

$$\frac{1}{p} f_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \frac{\alpha_2 (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_2 - 1} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 1}$$

We denote this distribution as $BBBVA(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. One can easily calculate the marginal distribution of X_1 and X_2 . The pdf expressions are as follows:

$$f_{X_1}(x_1) = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{\sigma_1} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 1} - \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_1} \left(1 + \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right\}$$

$$f_{X_2}(x_2) = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_2}{\sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - 1} - \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right\}$$

2.2 Likelihood Function

Now we divide our data set $I = \{(x_{11}, x_{21}), (x_{12}, x_{22}) \cdots, (x_{1n}, x_{2n})\}$ into three parts. They are as follow, $I_0 = \{i : \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} = \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\}$, $I_1 = \{i : \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} < \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\}$, $I_2 = \{i : \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} > \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\}$ and $n_0 = |I_0|$, $n_1 = |I_1|$, $n_2 = |I_2|$ where $|I_i|$ denotes number of observations in I_i . Total number of observations $n = n_0 + n_1 + n_2$.

Therefore log-likelihood function takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\
&= n \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - n \ln(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + n_1 \ln \alpha_1 + n_1 \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) \\
&- n_1 \ln \sigma_1 - n_1 \ln \sigma_2 - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) \\
&- (\alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right) - n_2 \ln \sigma_1 - n_2 \ln \sigma_2 + n_2 \ln \alpha_2 \\
&+ n_2 \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1) - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \\
&- (\alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Bayesian Analysis

First step of all bayesian analysis follows bayesian mantra to calculate the posterior distribution. We use $posterior \propto likelihood \times prior$. Therefore we need a suitable choice of set of priors in this case.

3.1 Prior Assumption

We assume that the shape parameters α_0 , α_1 and α_2 are distributed according to the gamma distribution with shape parameters k_i and scale parameters θ_i , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_0(\alpha_0) &= \text{Gamma}(k_0, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k_0)\theta_0^{k_0}} \alpha_0^{k_0-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha_0}{\theta_0}}, \quad \alpha_0 > 0 \\
\pi_1(\alpha_1) &= \text{Gamma}(k_1, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k_1)\theta_1^{k_1}} \alpha_1^{k_1-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha_1}{\theta_1}}, \quad \alpha_1 > 0 \\
\pi_2(\alpha_2) &= \text{Gamma}(k_2, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k_2)\theta_2^{k_2}} \alpha_2^{k_2-1} e^{-\frac{\alpha_2}{\theta_2}}, \quad \alpha_2 > 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $k_i > 0$ and $\theta_i > 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. Here $\Gamma(k)$ is the gamma function evaluated at k . Suppose, f_N is the probability density function of normal distribution. Let's assume that the location parameters μ_1 and μ_2 are distributed according to the truncated normal distribution with mean = μ'_i and variance = σ'_i , $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_3(\mu_1) &= \frac{f_N(\mu_1; \mu'_1, \sigma'_1)}{\Phi(\min\{x_{1i}\}; \mu'_1, \sigma'_1)} \\
\pi_4(\mu_2) &= \frac{f_N(\mu_2; \mu'_2, \sigma'_2)}{\Phi(\min\{x_{2i}\}; \mu'_2, \sigma'_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

Further, We assume that the scale parameters σ_1 and σ_2 are distributed according to the gamma distribution with the hyper-parameters c_1, c_2, d_1 and d_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_5(\sigma_1) &= \text{Gamma}(c_1, d_1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c_1)d_1^{c_1}} \sigma_1^{c_1-1} e^{-\frac{\sigma_1}{d_1}}, \quad \sigma_1 > 0 \\ \pi_6(\sigma_2) &= \text{Gamma}(c_2, d_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c_2)d_2^{c_2}} \sigma_2^{c_2-1} e^{-\frac{\sigma_2}{d_2}}, \quad \sigma_2 > 0\end{aligned}$$

where $c_i > 0$ and $d_i > 0, i = 1, 2$.

One important assumption here is prior distributions of all parameters are independent of each other.

3.2 Proposed Methodology

In this paper we obtain the Bayes estimates through slice cum gibbs sampler. To form the Gibbs sampler first, we need to find out the expressions for conditional distribution of each parameter given the other parameters and the data. We provide the expressions for logarithm of those conditional distributions.

The log conditional posterior distributions of $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1$, and σ_2 are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(\pi(\alpha_0 \mid \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, x_1, x_2)) &\propto n \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + n_1 \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) \\ &- (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right) + n_2 \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1) \\ &- (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) + (k_0 - 1) \ln \alpha_0 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\theta_0}\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(\pi(\alpha_1 \mid \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, x_1, x_2)) &\propto n \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - n \ln(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + n_1 \ln \alpha_1 \\ &- (\alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) + n_2 \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1) \\ &- (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) + (k_1 - 1) \ln \alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\theta_1}\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(\pi(\alpha_2 \mid \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, x_1, x_2)) &\propto n \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - n \ln(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \\ &+ n_1 \ln(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right) + n_2 \ln \alpha_2 \\ &- (\alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right) + (k_2 - 1) \ln \alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\theta_2}\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(\pi(\mu_1 \mid \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, x_1, x_2)) &\propto -(\alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) \\ &- (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right) - 0.5 \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu'_1)^2}{(\sigma'_1)^2}\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi(\mu_2 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, x_1, x_2)) &\propto -(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) \\ &- (\alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) - 0.5 \frac{(\mu_2 - \mu'_2)^2}{(\sigma'_2)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi(\sigma_1 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_2, x_1, x_2)) &\propto -n_1 \ln \sigma_1 - (\alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \\ &- n_2 \ln \sigma_1 - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right) + (c_1 - 1) \ln(\sigma_1) - \frac{\sigma_1}{d_1} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi(\sigma_2 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, x_1, x_2)) &\propto -n_1 \ln \sigma_2 - n_2 \ln \sigma_2 \\ &- (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) - (\alpha_2 + 1) \sum_{i \in I_2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) \\ &+ (c_2 - 1) \ln(\sigma_2) - \frac{\sigma_2}{d_2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We also require conditional distribution of μ_1 , μ_2 , σ_1 and σ_2 from posterior based on univariate likelihood function.

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi(\mu_1 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, x_1)) &\propto \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{\sigma_1} \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 1} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_1} \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right\} - 0.5 \frac{(\mu_1 - \mu'_1)^2}{(\sigma'_1)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi(\mu_2 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, x_2)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_2}{\sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right\} - 0.5 \frac{(\mu_2 - \mu'_2)^2}{(\sigma'_2)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi(\sigma_1 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_2, x_1)) &\propto \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{\sigma_1} \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 1} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_1} \left(1 + \frac{x_{1i} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right\} + (c_1 - 1) \ln(\sigma_1) - \frac{\sigma_1}{d_1} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\pi(\sigma_2 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, x_2)) &\propto \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_2}{\sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma_2} \left(1 + \frac{x_{2i} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^{-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \right\} + (c_2 - 1) \ln(\sigma_2) - \frac{\sigma_2}{d_2} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We propose to perform two variations of the algorithms to solve the problem using stepout method in slice sampling (Neal (2003)) to generate the respective parameters.

Approach 1 : In this approach we propose to use usual step out methods for slice sampling for each conditional distributions. Standard slice sampling works only for continuous posterior. The algorithm is as follows :

- Sample z and u uniformly from the area under the distribution, say $p(\cdot)$.
 1. Fix z , sample u uniformly from $[0, p(z)]$.
 2. Fix u , sample z uniformly from the slice through the region $\{z : p(z) > u\}$
- How to sample z from the slice.
 1. Start with the region of width w containing $z^{(t)}$.
 2. If end point in slice, then extend region by w in that direction.
 3. Sample z' uniformly from the region.
 4. If z' is in the slice, the accept it as $z^{(t+1)}$.
 5. If not : make z' new end point of the region, and resample z' .

Since the above algorithm needs continuous posterior, it is not going to work in this case. Therefore we suggest the following modifications. Instead of drawing sample from (4), (5), (6), (7), we draw μ_1, μ_2, σ_1 and σ_2 from (8), (9), (10), (11) which are based on univariate likelihood. Therefore the steps of sampling are as follows :

- Start with some initial choice of parameters $\mu_1^{(0)}, \mu_2^{(0)}, \sigma_1^{(0)}, \sigma_2^{(0)}, \alpha_0^{(0)}, \alpha_1^{(0)}$ and $\alpha_2^{(0)}$.
- Sample $\alpha_0^{(t+1)}, \alpha_1^{(t+1)}$ and $\alpha_2^{(t+1)}$ from (1), (2) and (3) using standard step-out slice sampling.
- Sample $\mu_1^{(0)}, \mu_2^{(0)}, \sigma_1^{(0)}, \sigma_2^{(0)}$ from (8), (9), (10), (11) using standard step-out slice sampling.
- Go back to step-2.

Approach 2 : In this approach we use directly discontinuous conditional posterior based on likelihood of bivariate distribution. Using slice sampling on each of these conditional distributions from (1) - (7) is not straight forward. We modify our slice sampling to fit for a discontinuous set up. Modified slice sampling steps are as follows :

- Sample z and u uniformly from the area under the distribution, say $p(\cdot)$.
 1. Fix z , sample u uniformly from $[0, p(z)]$.
 2. Fix u , sample z uniformly from the slice through the region $\{z : p(z) > u\}$
- How to sample z from the slice.
 1. Start with the region of width w containing $z^{(t)}$ **where it is defined.**
 2. If end point in slice and **in the region where the function is defined**, then extend region by w in that direction.
 3. If end point in slice and **in the region where the function is not defined**, then also extend region by w in that direction.
 4. Sample z' uniformly from the region **until the point is defined.**
 5. If z' is in the slice, the accept it as $z^{(t+1)}$.
 6. If not : make z' new end point of the region, and resample z' .
 7. If resample point is not defined, **perform resampling until it is defined.**

Therefore the steps of sampling are as follows :

- Start with some initial choice of parameters $\mu_1^{(0)}, \mu_2^{(0)}, \sigma_1^{(0)}, \sigma_2^{(0)}, \alpha_0^{(0)}, \alpha_1^{(0)}$ and $\alpha_2^{(0)}$.
- Sample $\alpha_0^{(t+1)}, \alpha_1^{(t+1)}, \alpha_2^{(t+1)}, \mu_1^{(t+1)}, \mu_2^{(t+1)}, \sigma_1^{(t+1)}, \sigma_2^{(t+1)}$ from (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) respectively using modified slice sampling.

4 Constructing credible intervals for $\underline{\theta}$

We find the credible intervals for parameters as described by [Chen and Shao \(1999\)](#). Let assume $\underline{\theta}$ is vector. To obtain credible intervals of first variable θ_{1i} , we order $\{\theta_{1i}\}$, as $\theta_{1(1)} < \theta_{1(2)} < \dots < \theta_{1(M)}$. Then $100(1 - \gamma)\%$ credible interval of θ_1 become

$$(\theta_{1(j)}, \theta_{1(j+M-M\gamma)}), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, M\gamma$$

Therefore $100(1 - \gamma)\%$ credible interval for θ_1 becomes $(\theta_{1(j^*)}, \theta_{1(j^*+M-M\gamma)})$, where j^* is such that

$$\theta_{1(j^*+M-M\gamma)} - \theta_{1(j^*)} \leq \theta_{1(j+M-M\gamma)} - \theta_{1(j)}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, M\gamma$. Similarly, we can obtain the credible interval for other co-ordinates of θ .

In this context we consider $\underline{\theta} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\gamma = 0.05$ to construct credible interval for all parameters.

5 Numerical Result

The numerical results are obtained by using package R 3.2.3. The codes are run at IIT Guwahati computers with model : Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-6200U CPU 2.30 GHz. The codes will be available on request to authors. Bayes estimates, mean square errors, credible intervals are calculated by two approaches for two sets of parameters $\mu_1 = 0.3, \mu_2 = 0.4, \sigma_1 = 0.6, \sigma_2 = 0.7, \alpha_0 = 1.7, \alpha_1 = 1.2, \alpha_2 = 1.4$ and $\mu_1 = 1.0, \mu_2 = 2.0, \sigma_1 = 0.4, \sigma_2 = 0.5, \alpha_0 = 0.5, \alpha_1 = 0.70, \alpha_2 = 0.65$. We use the following hyper parameters of prior as defined in Section 3.1 : $c_1 = 0.1, d_1 = 0.25, c_2 = 3, d_2 = 2, k_0 = 2, \theta_0 = 3, k_1 = 4, \theta_1 = 3, k_2 = 3, \theta_2 = 2, \mu'_1 = 0, \sigma'_1 = 1, \mu'_2 = 0, \sigma'_2 = 1$. However we observe that results does not vary much with different choice of hyper parameters.

Table-5, Table-6, Table-7 and Table-8 show bayes estimates, 95% credible intervals for different parameter sets. We also calculate mean square errors and coverage probabilities for 95% credible intervals based on 200 different simulated samples from BB-BVPA. Table-5, Table-6 are results in Approach-1 whereas Table-7, Table-8 are results obtained through Approach-2. In slice cum gibbs sampling we take burn in period as 500. Bayes estimates are calculated based on 2000 and more iterations after burn-in period. We take bivariate sample size 450 and 1000 to get the estimates of the parameters. In both the slice sampling by step-out method, width is taken 1. However sampling procedure does not depend much on choice of width. Numerical result show that estimates are very close to the original parameters. Mean square errors are on higher side for shape parameters as compared to other parameters. However mean square errors decrease as sample size increases. Coverage Probabilities are more closer to 95% in Approach 2 than Approach 1. This shows credible interval construction seems better fit for Approach 2 than Approach 1.

6 Case Study in real-life Data :

We study one particular data sets which is used by [Dey and Kundu \(2017\)](#). The data set is taken from <https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases>. The age of abalone is determined by cutting the shell through the cone, staining it, and counting the number of rings through a microscope. The data set contains related measurements. We extract a part of the data for bivariate modeling. We consider only measurements related to female population where one of the variable is Length as Longest shell measurement

and other variable is Diameter which is perpendicular to length. We use peak over threshold method on this data set.

From [Falk and Guillou \(2008\)](#), we know that peak over threshold method on random variable U provides polynomial generalized Pareto distribution for any x_0 with $1 + \log(G(x_0)) \in (0, 1)$ i.e. $P(U > tx_0 | U > x_0) = t^{-\alpha}$, $t \geq 1$ where $G(\cdot)$ is the distribution function of U . We choose appropriate t and x_0 so that data should behave more like Pareto distribution. The transformed data set does not have any singular component. Therefore one possible assumption can be absolute continuous Marshall Olkin bivariate Pareto.

These data set are used to model seven parameter BB-BVPA. EM estimates for BB-BVPA produces the values as $\mu_1 = 10.855$, $\mu_2 = 8.632$, $\sigma_1 = 2.124$, $\sigma_2 = 1.7110$, $\alpha_0 = 3.124$, $\alpha_1 = 1.743$, $\alpha_2 = 1.602$. Figure-1 shows that the empirical marginals coincide with the marginals calculated from the estimated parameters.

We also verify our assumption by plotting empirical two dimensional density plot in Figure-2 which resembles closer to the surface of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution.




Fig. 1 Survival plots for two marginals of the transformed dataset




Fig. 2 Two dimensional density plots for the transformed dataset

We observe number of singular observations after transformation is zero. Therefore it is reasonable to model the data with absolute continuous Marshall Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution. Sample size for the data set is 329. Note that even after location scale transformation observed cardinalities of I_1 and I_2 are good representative for the actual ones. Bayesian estimates are calculated and provided in Table-1 and Table-2 using Approach 1 and Approach 2 respectively for the abalone data. We use parametric bootstrap technique to generate different samples from seven parameter BB-BVPA using estimated parameters as original parameter and then find out the mean square error and coverage probabilities for the constructed credible interval. Results are available for in Table-3 and Table-4 using Approach 1 and Approach 2 respectively. Both the algorithm works quite well in estimating all parameters. However we observe that the mean square error is on higher side for α_0 as compared to other parameters. It is also unable to capture the coverage probability of α_0 at desired level and provides a value significantly smaller than the desired 95% confidence level. However we get significant improvement in both MSEs and Coverage Probabilities if we increase the sample size. Therefore it is safer to work with larger sample size to obtain a desired accuracy level while applying these methods in real life data sets.

7 Conclusion

Bayes estimates of the parameters of absolute continuous bivariate Pareto under square error loss are obtained using Slice cum Gibbs sampler approach. Two approaches proposed in this paper works quite well even for moderately large sample size. However coverage probabilities calculated based on parametric bootstrap samples show that the proposed credible interval construction does not work very well for all the shape parameters. Although we see its significant improvement for large sample, more research is needed to explore the best credible interval construction in small sample set up. The same study can be made using many other algorithms like importance sampling, HMC etc. This estimation procedure can be used in bayesian discrimination between two bivariate distributions using bayes factor. The work is on progress.

References

- Arnold, B. C. (1967). A note on multivariate distributions with specified marginals. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 62(320):1460–1461.
- Asimit, A. V., Furman, E., and Vernic, R. (2010). On a multivariate pareto distribution. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 46(2):308–316. [2](#)
- Asimit, A. V., Furman, E., and Vernic, R. (2016). Statistical inference for a new class of multivariate pareto distributions. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 45(2):456–471. [2](#)
- Block, H. W. and Basu, A. (1974). A continuous bivariate exponential extension. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 69(348):1031–1037. [2](#)
- Chen, M.-H. and Shao, Q.-M. (1999). Monte carlo estimation of bayesian credible and hpd intervals. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 8(1):69–92. [9](#)
- Christian, P. R. and Casella, G. (1999). Monte carlo statistical methods.
- Dey, A. K. and Kundu, D. (2017). Em algorithm for absolute continuous bivariate pareto distribution. <https://fr.arxiv.org/pdf/1608.02199>. [2](#), [9](#)
- Dey, A. K. and Paul, B. (2017). Some variations of em algorithms for marshall-olkin bivariate pareto distribution with location and scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.09974*. [2](#)
- Falk, M. and Guillou, A. (2008). Peaks-over-threshold stability of multivariate generalized pareto distributions. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 99(4):715–734. [10](#)
- Hanagal, D. D. (1996). A multivariate pareto distribution. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 25(7):1471–1488.
- Khosravi, M., Kundu, D., and Jamalizadeh, A. (2015). On bivariate and a mixture of bivariate birnbaum-saunders distributions. *Statistical Methodology*, 23:1–17.
- Kundu, D. (2012). On sarhan-balakrishnan bivariate distribution. *Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability*, 1(3):163.
- Kundu, D. and Gupta, R. D. (2010). A class of absolute continuous bivariate distributions. *Statistical Methodology*, 7(4):464–477.
- Kundu, D. and Gupta, R. D. (2011). Absolute continuous bivariate generalized exponential distribution. *ASIA Advances in Statistical Analysis*, 95(2):169–185.
- Kundu, D., Kumar, A., and Gupta, A. K. (2015). Absolute continuous multivariate generalized exponential distribution. *Sankhya B*, 77(2):175–206.
- Marshall, A. W. and Olkin, I. (1967). A multivariate exponential distribution. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 62(317):30–44.
- Mirhosseini, S. M., Amini, M., Kundu, D., and Dolati, A. (2015). On a new absolute continuous bivariate generalized exponential distribution. *Statistical Methods & Applications*, 24(1):61–83.
- Neal, R. M. (2003). Slice sampling. *Annals of Statistics*, pages 705–741. [8](#)
- Rakonczai, P. and Zempléni, A. (2012). Bivariate generalized pareto distribution in practice: models and estimation. *Environmetrics*, 23(3):219–227.
- Ristić, M. M. and Kundu, D. (2015). Marshall-olkin generalized exponential distribution. *Metron*, 73(3):317–333.
- Sankaran, P. and Kundu, D. (2014). A bivariate pareto model. *Statistics*, 48(2):241–255. [2](#)
- Sarhan, A. M. and Balakrishnan, N. (2007). A new class of bivariate distributions and its mixture. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 98(7):1508–1527.
- Yeh, H. C. (2000). Two multivariate pareto distributions and their related inferences. *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica.*, 28(2):71–86. [2](#)

Yeh, H.-C. (2004). Some properties and characterizations for generalized multivariate pareto distributions. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 88(1):47–60. [2](#)

Slice-cum-Gibbs							
Gamma Prior							
n = 329							
Original Parameter Sets	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2	α_0	α_1	α_2
Starting Value	5.204	7.470	0.778	0.539	0.442	0.614	0.455
Bayes Estimates	10.853	8.630	1.365	1.188	2.020	1.245	1.218

Table 1 The Bayes Estimates of the parameters of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution based on the abalone data set

Slice-cum-Gibbs							
Gamma Prior							
n = 329							
Original Parameter Sets	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2	α_0	α_1	α_2
Starting Value	5.204	7.470	0.778	0.539	0.442	0.614	0.455
Bayes Estimates	10.853	8.630	2.316	1.923	4.049	1.240	1.158

Table 2 The Bayes Estimates of the parameters of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution based on the abalone data set

Slice-cum-Gibbs				
Gamma Prior				
n = 329				
Parameter Sets	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
Starting Value	5.203	7.469	0.778	0.539
Average Bayes Estimates	10.852	8.6303	1.365	1.188
Mean Square Error	4.374×10^{-6}	3.598×10^{-6}	0.660	0.371
Credible Intervals	[10.854, 10.859]	[8.628, 8.632]	[0.699, 1.674]	[0.691, 1.492]
Coverage Probability	0.965	0.92	0.48	0.59
n = 329				
Parameter Sets		α_0	α_1	α_2
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Average Bayes Estimates		2.020	1.245	1.177
Mean Square Error		2.198	0.046	0.043
Credible Intervals		[0.804, 2.866]	[0.029, 1.362]	[0.284, 1.538]
Coverage Probability		0.43	1	1
n = 1000				
Parameter Sets	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
Starting Value	5.203	7.469	0.778	0.539
Average Bayes Estimates	10.853	8.630	1.605	1.295
Mean Square Error	3.589×10^{-07}	4.556×10^{-07}	0.082	0.033
Credible Intervals	[10.851, 10.853]	[8.630, 8.632]	[0.815, 1.443]	[0.861, 1.338]
Coverage Probability	0.96	0.94	0.90	0.93
n = 1000				
Parameter Sets		α_0	α_1	α_2
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Average Bayes Estimates		2.829	1.172	1.064
Mean Square Error		0.374	0.199	0.087
Credible Intervals		[1.849, 2.793]	[0.330, 1.003]	[0.337, 0.986]
Coverage Probability		0.78	0.965	0.99

Table 3 Results for Approach 1 : The Bayes Estimates (BE), Mean Square Error (MSE) and credible intervals of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with parameters $\mu_1 = 10.852$, $\mu_2 = 8.630$, $\sigma_1 = 2.316$, $\sigma_2 = 1.923$, $\alpha_0 = 4.049$, $\alpha_1 = 1.240$, $\alpha_2 = 1.158$.

Slice-cum-Gibbs				
Gamma Prior				
n = 329				
Parameter Sets	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
Starting Value	5.203	7.469	0.778	0.539
Average Bayes Estimates	10.852	8.6303	1.519	1.330
Mean Square Error	4.374×10^{-6}	3.598×10^{-6}	0.660	0.371
Credible Intervals	[10.854, 10.859]	[8.628, 8.632]	[0.699, 1.674]	[0.691, 1.492]
Coverage Probability	0.965	0.92	0.48	0.59
n = 329				
Parameter Sets		α_0	α_1	α_2
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Average Bayes Estimates		2.871	1.216	1.177
Mean Square Error		2.198	0.046	0.043
Credible Intervals		[0.804, 2.866]	[0.029, 1.362]	[0.284, 1.538]
Coverage Probability		0.43	1	1
n = 1000				
Parameter Sets	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
Starting Value	5.203	7.469	0.778	0.539
Average Bayes Estimates	10.853	8.630	1.849	1.608
Mean Square Error	6.156×10^{-7}	1.86×10^{-6}	0.549	0.289
Credible Intervals	[10.852, 10.854]	[8.629, 8.630]	[1.230, 2.077]	[1.054, 1.763]
Coverage Probability	0.91	0.955	0.775	0.88
n = 1000				
Parameter Sets		α_0	α_1	α_2
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Average Bayes Estimates		3.555	1.145	1.124
Mean Square Error		1.863	0.049	0.041
Credible Intervals		[1.918, 3.655]	[0.601, 1.712]	[0.598, 1.599]
Coverage Probability		0.77	1	1

Table 4 Results for Approach 2 : The Bayes Estimates (BE), Mean Square Error (MSE) and credible intervals of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with parameters $\mu_1 = 10.852$, $\mu_2 = 8.630$, $\sigma_1 = 2.316$, $\sigma_2 = 1.923$, $\alpha_0 = 4.049$, $\alpha_1 = 1.240$, $\alpha_2 = 1.158$.

Slice-cum-Gibbs				
Gamma Prior				
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 0.3$	$\mu_2 = 0.4$	$\sigma_1 = 0.6$	$\sigma_2 = 0.7$
Starting Value	0.143	0.346	0.775	0.539
Bayes Estimates	0.300	0.400	0.490	0.627
Mean Square Error	0.00000052	0.00000049	0.039	0.012
Credible Intervals	[0.298, 0.301]	[0.398, 0.401]	[0.269, 0.592]	[0.149, 0.752]
Coverage Probability	0.93	0.935	0.98	0.89
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	$\alpha_1 = 1.2$	$\alpha_2 = 1.4$
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Bayes Estimates		1.526	0.889	1.095
Mean Square Error		0.292	0.636	0.108
Credible Intervals		[0.150, 0.752]	[0.3298, 1.058]	[0.526, 1.426]
Coverage Probability		0.715	0.945	0.99
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 0.3$	$\mu_2 = 0.4$	$\sigma_1 = 0.6$	$\sigma_2 = 0.7$
Starting Value	0.144	0.346	0.778	0.539
Bayes Estimates	0.300	0.400	0.490	0.627
Mean Square Error	0.00000052	0.00000062	0.029	0.024
Credible Intervals	[0.298, 0.301]	[0.398, 0.400]	[0.312, 0.547]	[0.401, 0.629]
Coverage Probability	0.995	0.975	0.945	0.895
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	$\alpha_1 = 1.2$	$\alpha_2 = 1.4$
Starting Value		0.441	0.614	1.425
Bayes Estimates		1.852	0.844	1.011
Mean Square Error		0.058	0.213	0.254
Credible Intervals		[0.829, 1.446]	[0.645, 1.295]	[0.5501, 1.197]
Coverage Probability		0.79	0.945	0.96

Table 5 Approach 1 : The Bayes Estimates (BE), Mean Square Error (MSE) and credible intervals of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with parameters $\mu_1 = 0.3$, $\mu_2 = 0.4$, $\sigma_1 = 0.6$, $\sigma_2 = 0.7$, $\alpha_0 = 1.7$, $\alpha_1 = 1.2$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$.

Slice-cum-Gibbs				
Gamma Prior				
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 1.0$	$\mu_2 = 2.0$	$\sigma_1 = 0.4$	$\sigma_2 = 0.5$
Starting Value	0.157	0.656	0.153	0.645
Bayes Estimates	0.999	1.1999	0.399	0.608
Mean Square Error	6.233×10^{-7}	9.398×10^{-7}	0.011	0.023
Credible Intervals	[0.998, 1.0006]	[1.9986, 2.0011]	[0.1684, 0.3552]	[0.2401, 0.5190]
Coverage Probability	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.94
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 0.50$	$\alpha_1 = 0.70$	$\alpha_2 = 0.65$
Starting Value		0.523	0.253	0.478
Bayes Estimates		0.731	0.671	0.705
Mean Square Error		0.063	0.098	0.1009
Credible Intervals		[0.463, 0.956]	[0.264, 0.714]	[0.305, 0.758]
Coverage Probability		0.78	0.93	0.89
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 1.0$	$\mu_2 = 2.0$	$\sigma_1 = 0.4$	$\sigma_2 = 0.5$
Starting Value	0.812	0.747	0.567	0.818
Bayes Estimates	1.000	2.000	0.445	0.609
Mean Square Error	0.00000014	0.00000028	0.0084	0.0217
Credible Intervals	[0.9992, 1.0003]	[1.999, 2.0006]	[0.2139, 0.3671]	[0.3366, 0.5136]
Coverage Probability	0.95	0.945	0.92	0.965
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 0.50$	$\alpha_1 = 0.70$	$\alpha_2 = 0.65$
Starting Value		0.387	0.0227	0.059
Bayes Estimates		0.857	0.682	0.694
Mean Square Error		0.0182	0.03004	0.0274
Credible Intervals		[0.6762, 1.0229]	[0.3201, 0.6573]	[0.2906, 0.6196]
Coverage Probability		0.895	0.915	0.925

Table 6 Approach 1 : The Bayes Estimates (BE), Mean Square Error (MSE) and credible intervals of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with parameters $\mu_1 = 1.0$, $\mu_2 = 2.0$, $\sigma_1 = 0.4$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$, $\alpha_0 = 0.5$, $\alpha_1 = 0.70$, $\alpha_2 = 0.65$.

Slice-cum-Gibbs				
Gamma Prior				
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 1.0$	$\mu_2 = 2.0$	$\sigma_1 = 0.4$	$\sigma_2 = 0.5$
Starting Value	0.479	1.731	0.778	0.539
Bayes Estimates	1.00004	2.00004	0.432	0.582
Mean Square Error	6.6623×10^{-7}	1.265×10^{-7}	0.0059	0.0155
Credible Intervals	[0.998, 1.0005]	[1.998, 2.0006]	[0.209, 0.414]	[0.293, 0.539]
Coverage Probability	0.93	0.935	0.99	0.96
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 0.50$	$\alpha_1 = 0.70$	$\alpha_2 = 0.65$
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Bayes Estimates		0.603	0.903	0.888
Mean Square Error		0.090	0.098	0.1119
Credible Intervals		[0.00012, 0.3065]	[0.786, 1.353]	[0.377, 0.935]
Coverage Probability		0.775	0.915	0.86
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 1.0$	$\mu_2 = 2.0$	$\sigma_1 = 0.4$	$\sigma_2 = 0.5$
Starting Value	0.479	1.731	0.778	0.539
Bayes Estimates	1.000	1.999	0.405	0.544
Mean Square Error	0.00000014	0.00000028	0.0018	0.0053
Credible Intervals	[0.999, 1.00008]	[1.999, 2.0002]	[0.226, 0.364]	[0.321, 0.505]
Coverage Probability	0.945	0.955	0.98	0.975
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 0.50$	$\alpha_1 = 0.70$	$\alpha_2 = 0.65$
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Bayes Estimates		0.729	0.761	0.748
Mean Square Error		0.021	0.021	0.026
Credible Intervals		[0.504, 0.914]	[0.373, 0.792]	[0.278, 0.657]
Coverage Probability		0.925	0.975	0.955

Table 7 Approach 2 : The Bayes Estimates (BE), Mean Square Error (MSE) and credible intervals of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with parameters $\mu_1 = 1.0$, $\mu_2 = 2.0$, $\sigma_1 = 0.4$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$, $\alpha_0 = 0.5$, $\alpha_1 = 0.70$, $\alpha_2 = 0.65$.

Slice-cum-Gibbs				
Gamma Prior				
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 0.3$	$\mu_2 = 0.4$	$\sigma_1 = 0.6$	$\sigma_2 = 0.70$
Starting Value	0.144	0.346	0.778	0.539
Average Bayes Estimates	0.3001	0.40003	0.6376	0.6456
Mean Square Error	6.002×10^{-7}	5.22×10^{-7}	0.0099	0.0106
Credible Intervals	[0.298, 0.300]	[0.399, 0.4003]	[0.321, 0.616]	[0.330, 0.636]
Coverage Probability	0.92	0.935	0.995	0.935
n = 450				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	$\alpha_1 = 1.2$	$\alpha_2 = 1.4$
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Average Bayes Estimates		1.359	1.530	1.474
Mean Square Error		0.236	0.259	0.125
Credible Intervals		[0.041, 1.038]	[0.572, 1.691]	[0.362, 1.365]
Coverage Probability		0.84	0.98	0.98
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets	$\mu_1 = 0.3$	$\mu_2 = 0.4$	$\sigma_1 = 0.6$	$\sigma_2 = 0.7$
Starting Value	0.479	1.731	0.778	0.539
Average Bayes Estimates	0.3000	0.3999	0.623	0.639
Mean Square Error	8.28×10^{-8}	9.78×10^{-8}	0.0054	0.0084
Credible Intervals	[0.299, 0.300]	[0.3996, 0.4003]	[0.348, 0.556]	[0.380, 0.612]
Coverage Probability	0.95	0.95	0.98	0.895
n = 1000				
Original Parameter Sets		$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	$\alpha_1 = 1.2$	$\alpha_2 = 1.4$
Starting Value		0.442	0.614	0.455
Average Bayes Estimates		1.593	1.319	1.313
Mean Square Error		0.0580	0.062	0.054
Credible Intervals		[1.096, 1.874]	[0.556, 1.334]	[0.598, 1.334]
Coverage Probability		0.975	0.99	0.955

Table 8 Approach 2 : The Bayes Estimates (BE), Mean Square Error (MSE) and credible intervals of absolute continuous Marshall-Olkin bivariate Pareto distribution with parameters $\mu_1 = 0.3$, $\mu_2 = 0.4$, $\sigma_1 = 0.6$, $\sigma_2 = 0.7$, $\alpha_0 = 1.7$, $\alpha_1 = 1.2$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$.