

# Resetting with a refractory period

Martin R. Evans<sup>(1)</sup> and Satya N. Majumdar<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> SUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Peter Guthrie Tait Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK

<sup>(2)</sup> Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, LPTMS, UMR 8626, Orsay F-01405, France

E-mail: m.evans@ed.ac.uk, satya.majumdar@u-psud.fr

**Abstract.** We consider a stochastic process undergoing resetting after which a random refractory period is imposed. In this period the process remains quiescent. Using a renewal approach, we compute exactly the stationary position distribution, as well as the first-passage probability to a fixed target in one dimension. Several generalisations are considered such as when the interval between resets and the refractory periods are correlated. We also compute exactly the joint distribution of the active and absorption time to a target.

PACS numbers: 05.40.a, 02.50.r, 87.23.Ge, 05.10.Gg

**Keywords:** stochastic resetting, refractory period, diffusion

Submitted to: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical Letters*

## 1. Introduction

Resetting a stochastic process may improve the time to complete a task considerably. For example if the task at hand is to locate a fixed target, a purely diffusive process has infinite mean first passage time (MFPT) to the target. However when a resetting process is introduced the MFPT is rendered finite [1]. The idea of resetting a random process to improve efficiency or throughput extends to diffusion processes with random target position [2], to arbitrary dimensions [3], to computer algorithms [4, 5, 6], Michaelis-Menton type reaction schemes [7, 8] and kinetic proofreading mechanisms [9, 10, 11]. The central idea is that resetting may eliminate a long tail of completion times in the absence of resetting.

However, in most contexts resetting does not come without a cost. For example, ‘teleporting’ a searcher back to where he started must take some time and effort [12, 13, 14]. In a different context, neuron and nerve cells undergo resetting events involving the firing of action potentials after which the cell is in a quiescent state in which subsequent stimuli are ineffective. Such a *refractory* period may be absolute,

in which case a subsequent action potential cannot occur, or relative in which case a subsequent action potential is inhibited but not impossible. Refractory periods may be psychological as well as physiological in nature. For example, large movements in the stock exchange are often interpreted as resetting events after which there is often a slow-down period in which activity abates [15].

In this note we consider the effect of the cost of resetting on stochastic processes described by a spatial variable  $x(t)$ . Our intention is to show generally how a refractory period affects the stationary state and MFPT of a stochastic process rather than model any particular context. After a resetting event we impose a refractory period, i.e., a period where there is no activity. Consider any stochastic process  $x(t)$  in continuous time  $t$ , starting say from  $x = 0$  at  $t = 0$ . The process evolves by its own dynamics, but is reset to a position  $X_r$  with a constant Poisson rate  $r$ . Immediately after the reset, the particle ‘sleeps’ (no movement, i.e., a quiescent state) during a refractory period  $\tau$  which is taken to be a random variable, drawn independently after each reset event from a distribution  $W(\tau)$ . The distribution  $W(\tau)$  may or may not have finite mean. At the end of the random refractory period, the particle resumes its random motion till the next reset event. The evolution is shown schematically in Fig. 1, where the reset position  $X_r$  is taken to be the origin  $x = 0$  for simplicity.

## 2. Renewal equation for probability distribution


We begin by writing a renewal equation for the probability density which uses the first reset to renew the process. Such first-reset renewal equations have been previously used in the case of non-Poissonian reset [16]. In other contexts last-reset renewal equations are employed [1, 17, 18].

First note that for a Poissonian resetting process (constant rate  $r$ ) the probability density for the first reset to occur at time  $t_1$  is  $re^{-rt_1}$ . Then we may write down an equation for the probability density for the process to be at  $x$  at time  $t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x, t) &= e^{-rt} G_0(x, t) \\
 &+ r \int_0^t dt_1 e^{-rt_1} \int_0^{t-t_1} d\tau_2 W(\tau_2) P(x, t - t_1 - \tau_2) \\
 &+ r \int_0^t dt_1 e^{-rt_1} \int_{t-t_1}^{\infty} d\tau_2 W(\tau_2) \delta(x). \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $G_0(x, t)$  is the time-dependent probability density without resetting for the particle to have reached  $x$  after time  $t$  where we have suppressed the initial condition which we take to be the resetting position  $X_r = 0$  (taken here to be at the origin). We shall refer to  $G_0(x, t)$  as the propagator of the process.

The first term on the r.h.s of (1) is the contribution from trajectories in which there is no resetting, which occurs with probability  $e^{-rt}$ ; the second term integrates over the first resetting time  $t_1$ , and the subsequent refractory period with duration  $\tau_2$  which finishes before  $t$  leaving a period  $t - t_1 - \tau_2$  for the particle to pass from the resetting



**Figure 1.** Schematic evolution of a stochastic process  $x(t)$  as a function of time  $t$ , starting from  $x(0) = 0$ . The red arrows denote the reset (to the origin) events. The first reset happens at time  $t_1$ . Immediately following a reset, the particle spends a random refractory period during which the particle does not move (shown by the thick green lines). The process resumes its dynamics only at the end of the refractory period.

site to  $x$ ; the third contribution is from first resets at  $t_1$  with refractory periods  $\tau_2$  which last until beyond time  $t$ , leaving the particle at the resetting position (here the origin).

We now take the Laplace transform of (1) which is defined as

$$\tilde{P}(x, s) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} P(x, t). \quad (2)$$

The Laplace transform of the first term yields  $\tilde{G}_0(x, r+s)$  which is the Laplace transform (with Laplace variable  $r+s$ ) of the propagator. The Laplace transform of the second term yields from the convolution theorem

$$\frac{r}{r+s} \tilde{W}(s) \tilde{P}(x, s), \quad (3)$$

where  $\tilde{W}(s)$  is the Laplace transform of the refractory period distribution, and the Laplace transform of the third term yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(x) r \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \int_0^t dt_1 e^{-rt_1} \int_{t-t_1}^\infty d\tau_2 W(\tau_2) \\ &= \delta(x) r \int_0^\infty dt_1 e^{-rt_1} \int_0^\infty d\tau_2 W(\tau_2) \int_{t_1}^{\tau_2+t_1} dt e^{-st} \\ &= \delta(x) \frac{r}{s(r+s)} \left[ 1 - \tilde{W}(s) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Putting these results together yields

$$\tilde{P}(x, s) = \frac{1}{r+s-r\tilde{W}(s)} \left[ (r+s)\tilde{G}_0(x, r+s) + \delta(x) \frac{r}{s} \left[ 1 - \tilde{W}(s) \right] \right]. \quad (5)$$

We first check the case of vanishing refractory period  $W(\tau) = \delta(\tau)$ . Then  $\tilde{W}(s) = 1$  and we find

$$\tilde{P}(x, s) \rightarrow \frac{(r+s)}{s} \tilde{G}_0(x, r+s). \quad (6)$$

The stationary state  $P^{\text{st}}(x)$  is easy to extract as the coefficient of  $1/s$  in the small  $s$  expansion of (5). In the case of vanishing refractory period (6) we recover  $P^{\text{st}}(x) = r\tilde{G}_0(x, r)$  [1]. In the general case, assuming  $W(\tau)$  has finite mean  $\langle\tau\rangle$ , we have for small  $s$

$$\tilde{W}(s) \simeq 1 - s\langle\tau\rangle. \quad (7)$$

Then we obtain the stationary distribution

$$P^{\text{st}}(x) = \frac{r}{1+r\langle\tau\rangle} \left[ \tilde{G}_0(x, r) + \delta(x)\langle\tau\rangle \right]. \quad (8)$$

Thus the probability distribution is a superposition of the distribution with zero refractory period and a delta function at the resetting position with relative weight equal to  $r\langle\tau\rangle$ . On the other hand in the case where the mean of  $W(\tau)$  diverges we find that the stationary state  $P^{\text{st}}(x) \rightarrow \delta(x)$ , i.e., the stationary state is dominated by the divergent mean refractory period.

### 3. Survival Probability

We now consider the survival probability of the process under resetting and with refractory period, in the presence of an absorbing boundary at the origin. The survival probability is the probability of the process not having been absorbed upto time  $t$ . In the context of a search we refer to the origin as the target; clearly, the event of the particle touching the boundary corresponds to the event of a searcher locating the target.

We again take advantage of a renewal equation. We first define  $Q_r(x_0, t)$  as the survival probability of the process in the *presence* of resetting and refractory period and  $Q_0(x_0, t)$  as the survival probability in the *absence* of resetting both starting from  $x_0$  at  $t = 0$ . Note that a survival probability implies an integration over the final position of the particle. Also note that the initial position  $x_0$  is a variable which, at the end of the calculation, we may set equal to the resetting position  $X_r$ .

We may write down a renewal equation analogous to (1)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_r(x_0, t) &= e^{-rt} Q_0(x_0, t) \\ &+ r \int_0^t dt_1 e^{-rt_1} Q_0(x_0, t_1) \int_0^{t-t_1} d\tau_2 W(\tau_2) Q_r(X_r, t-t_1-\tau_2) \\ &+ r \int_0^t dt_1 e^{-rt_1} Q_0(x_0, t_1) \int_{t-t_1}^\infty d\tau_2 W(\tau_2). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Again, the first term on the r.h.s is the contribution from survival trajectories in which there is no resetting; the second term integrates the contributions from survival trajectories in which the first reset occurs at time  $t_1$  and the refractory period  $\tau_2$  ends

before time  $t$ ; the third term integrates the contributions from survival trajectories in which the first reset occurs at time  $t_1$  and the refractory period  $\tau_2$  is ongoing at time  $t$ .

We now take the Laplace transform with Laplace variable  $s$  and we find, following similar computations to those used to obtain (3, 4)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_r(x_0, s) &= \tilde{Q}_0(x_0, r + s) \\ &+ r\tilde{Q}_0(x_0, r + s)\tilde{W}(s)\tilde{Q}_r(X_r, s) + \frac{r}{s}\tilde{Q}_0(x_0, r + s) \left[1 - \tilde{W}(s)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Setting  $x_0 = X_r$  and rearranging yields

$$\tilde{Q}_r(X_r, s) = \frac{\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r + s) \left[1 + \frac{r}{s} \left[1 - \tilde{W}(s)\right]\right]}{1 - r\tilde{W}(s)\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r + s)}. \quad (11)$$

This is our main result. It gives the Laplace transform of the survival probability under resetting with refractory period in terms of the Laplace transforms of the refractory period distribution and survival probability without resetting.

We can check the vanishing refractory period case where  $\tilde{W}(s) = 1$  and we recover the result [17, 18]

$$\tilde{Q}_r(X_r, s) = \frac{\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r + s)}{1 - r\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r + s)}. \quad (12)$$

### 3.1. Mean first passage time

The mean first passage time to the origin (or equivalently the mean time to absorption at the origin),  $T(X_r)$ , is conveniently given by

$$T(X_r) = \tilde{Q}_r(X_r, s = 0). \quad (13)$$

In the  $s \rightarrow 0$  limit it can be checked that (11) reduces to

$$T(X_r) = \frac{\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r) [1 + r\langle\tau\rangle]}{[1 - r\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r)]} \quad (14)$$

Thus the mean time to absorption is given by the mean time to absorption with zero refractory period multiplied by the factor  $1 + r\langle\tau\rangle$

### 3.2. Divergent mean refractory period

As an example of a case where the mean refractory period diverges we can consider asymptotic decay of the refractory period distribution

$$W(\tau) \sim A\tau^{-(1+\beta)} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad (15)$$

with  $A$  some constant. The Laplace transform of (15) behaves for small  $s$  as

$$\tilde{W}(s) = 1 - a s^\beta \quad (16)$$

where the constant  $a = A\Gamma(1 - \beta)/\beta$ .

Then one finds from (11) that as  $s \rightarrow 0$

$$\tilde{Q}_r(X_r, s) \simeq \frac{\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r)}{1 - r\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r)} \left[ \frac{ar}{s^{1-\beta}} \right] \quad (17)$$

which implies a survival probability which decays to 0 slowly as

$$Q_r(X_r, t) \simeq \frac{\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r)}{1 - r\tilde{Q}_0(X_r, r)} \frac{ar}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} t^{-\beta}. \quad (18)$$

#### 4. Diffusive process

In order to obtain explicit expressions for the effect of a refractory period on the stationary position distribution in Eq. (8) and also the mean first-passage time in Eq. (14), let us consider the fundamental process of diffusion on a line with diffusion constant  $D$  as our exemplary stochastic process.

We first note that the propagator for this process, in the absence of resetting, is simply  $G_0(x, t) = e^{-x^2/4Dt} / \sqrt{4\pi Dt}$ . Consequently, its Laplace transform is given by  $\tilde{G}_0(x, s) = e^{-|x|\sqrt{s/D}} / \sqrt{4Ds}$ . Hence, the stationary distribution, using Eq. (8), is given by

$$P^{\text{st}}(x) = \frac{r}{1 + r\langle\tau\rangle} \left[ \frac{e^{-|x|\sqrt{r/D}}}{\sqrt{4Dr}} + \delta(x)\langle\tau\rangle \right]. \quad (19)$$

For  $\langle\tau\rangle = 0$ , this recovers the result in [1].

The survival probability  $Q_0(x_0, t)$ , in the absence of resetting, can be obtained by solving the backward Fokker-Planck equation [19]

$$\frac{\partial Q_0(x_0, t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 Q_0}{\partial x_0^2} \quad (20)$$

for  $x_0 \geq 0$ , with absorbing boundary condition  $Q_0(x_0 = 0, t) = 0$ . Taking Laplace transform with respect to  $t$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_0(x_0, s) = \int_0^\infty Q_0(x_0, t) e^{-st} dt$ , and solving the resulting second order equation one gets


$$\tilde{Q}_0(x_0, s) = \frac{1}{s} \left[ 1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{s}{D}} x_0} \right]. \quad (21)$$

Substituting this result (setting  $x_0 = X_r$  and replacing  $s$  by  $r$ ) in Eq. (14), we get the mean absorption time in the *presence* of resetting and refractory period

$$T(X_r) = \frac{(1 + r\langle\tau\rangle)}{r} \left[ e^{\sqrt{\frac{r}{D}} X_r} - 1 \right]. \quad (22)$$

Let us now extremise the expression in Eq. (22) with respect to  $r$ , for a fixed resetting (or starting) position  $X_r$ . This will give us the optimal resetting rate  $r_*$  that minimises  $T$ . Setting the derivative with respect to  $r$  to zero, yields the condition

$$\frac{z}{2} [1 + \chi^2 z^2] = 1 - e^{-z} \quad (23)$$



**Figure 2.**  $z_*(\chi)$ , obtained by numerically solving Eq. (23), is plotted as a function of  $\chi$ . As  $\chi \rightarrow 0$ ,  $z_* \rightarrow 1.59362\dots$ , while  $z_*(\chi) \sim 1/\chi$  as  $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ .

where we have defined reduced parameters

$$z = \left(\frac{r}{D}\right)^{1/2} X_r \quad (24)$$

$$\chi = \frac{(D\langle\tau\rangle)^{1/2}}{X_r} \quad (25)$$

whose interpretation is, for  $z$ , the ratio of distance to target to typical distance diffused between resets and, for  $\chi$ , the the ratio of the typical distance that would be diffused in a refractory period to the distance to the target.

From (23) it is easy to deduce that there is a unique, non-zero solution  $z_*(\chi)$  that is a decreasing function of  $\chi$ . Indeed,  $z_*(\chi)$  can be easily obtained by numerically finding the root of Eq. (23) and is plotted in Fig. (2) as a function of  $\chi$ . Also, by analysing Eq. (23), it is straightforward to derive the asymptotic behaviours of  $z_*(\chi)$ . We find

$$z_*(\chi) = z_0 - \frac{z_0^3}{z_0 - 1} \chi^2 + O(\chi^4) \quad \text{as } \chi \rightarrow 0 \quad (26)$$

where  $z_0 = 1.59362\dots$  is the root of  $2(1 - e^{-z_0}) = z_0$ . Thus, when  $\chi \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.  $\langle\tau\rangle \rightarrow 0$ , we recover the result for optimal resetting without refractory period [1, 2]. In the opposite limit we get

$$z_*(\chi) = \frac{1}{\chi} - \frac{1}{2\chi^2} + \frac{7}{24\chi^3} + O(\chi^{-4}) \quad \text{as } \chi \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

Thus when  $\langle\tau\rangle \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $1/r_* \sim 1/\langle\tau\rangle$  meaning that, roughly speaking, the optimal time between resets is equal to the mean refractory period.

## 5. Conclusion and generalisations

In this note we have introduced a cost for resetting in the form of a refractory period. We have shown how calculations of the probability distribution and survival probability in

the presence of an absorbing boundary may be carried out by using a renewal equation based on the first reset. The calculations yield some interesting results concerning (i) how the stationary state develops a delta function at the resetting position with weight proportional to the mean refractory period (ii) how the MFPT is extended by the refractory period (14) and (iii) how the MFPT may be minimised through the choice of resetting rate; in the large refractory period limit the resetting period should be chosen to be the same as the mean refractory period. It would be straightforward to extend our renewal approach to processes in higher dimensions in the presence of a random refractory period. It would be interesting to see how the presence of a finite refractory period affects other observables associated to reset dynamics [20, 21, 22]. Finally, the refractory period may have interesting consequences for bacterial population dynamics in the presence of ‘catastrophic’ events that are similar to reset events [23].

We conclude by discussing some simple generalisations of our calculations.

### 5.1. Correlated resetting and refractory period distribution

In the previous sections the time  $t_1$  to the first reset and the duration  $\tau_2$  of the subsequent refractory period were independent random variables. It is of interest to consider the more general situation where they are correlated. For example, it would be natural if after a long period of activity before the first reset the refractory period were longer than after a short period of activity.

Generally we can consider the joint probability density  $H(t, \tau)$  for the the first reset event to occur at time  $t$  and the subsequent refractory period to be of duration  $\tau$ . The marginal distributions for time of the first reset event and the refractory period durations are

$$h(t) = \int d\tau H(t, \tau) \quad (28)$$

$$W(\tau) = \int dt H(t, \tau) . \quad (29)$$

We also require the probability  $g(t)$  of no resetting up to time  $t$  which is given by

$$\frac{dg(t)}{dt} = -h(t) . \quad (30)$$

Following the first renewal approach presented above it is easy to arrive at the Laplace transform for the probability distribution with resetting to the origin

$$\tilde{P}(x, s) = \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{H}(s, s)} \left[ \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} g(t) G_0(x, t) + \delta(x) \frac{1}{s} \left[ \tilde{H}(s, 0) - \tilde{H}(s, s) \right] \right] . \quad (31)$$

As before the stationary state  $P^{\text{st}}(x)$  is extracted as the coefficient of  $1/s$  in the small  $s$  expansion of (31) and in the case where the time to first reset and refractory period have finite means  $\langle R \rangle$  and  $\langle \tau \rangle$ , we obtain the stationary distribution

$$P^{\text{st}}(x) = \frac{1}{\langle R \rangle + \langle \tau \rangle} \left[ \int_0^\infty dt g(t) G_0(x, t) + \delta(x) \langle \tau \rangle \right] . \quad (32)$$

Again we see that the refractory period introduces a delta function at the resetting position with weight proportional to the mean refractory period, which is superposed with the distribution in the absence of a refractory period with weight proportional to the mean time between resets.

Similarly we may generalise the calculation of the survival probability and the MFPT under resetting to  $X_r$  with an absorbing boundary at the origin. Omitting details one finds

$$T(X_r) = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt g(t)Q_0(X_r, t) + \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau H(t, \tau)Q_0(X_r, t)}{1 - \int_0^\infty dt h(t)Q_0(X_r, t)}. \quad (33)$$

Let us first check the case of independent time to first reset and refractory period  $H(t, \tau) = h(t)W(\tau)$  in which case

$$T(X_r) = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt g(t)Q_0(X_r, t) + \langle \tau \rangle \int_0^\infty dt h(t)Q_0(X_r, t)}{1 - \int_0^\infty dt h(t)Q_0(X_r, t)} \quad (34)$$

In the zero refractory period limit the second term in the numerator vanishes and one recovers the result of [16]

$$T(X_r) \rightarrow \frac{\int_0^\infty dt g(t)Q_0(X_r, t)}{1 - \int_0^\infty dt h(t)Q_0(X_r, t)}. \quad (35)$$

## 5.2. Active time distribution

Finally we consider the active time of a particle which is the time elapsed *excluding* refractory periods. We can write down a recursion relation for the joint probability density  $P_r(t_a, t)$  of the particle being absorbed at time  $t$  and having spent active time  $t_a$  under resetting dynamics (here we just consider Poissonian resetting with rate  $r$  and independent refractory period). The recursion relies on dividing up trajectories according to how many resets have occurred:

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(t_a, t) &= e^{-rt}F_0(t)\delta(t - t_a) \\ &+ r \int dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 e^{-rt_1}Q_0(t_1)W(t_2)e^{-rt_3}F_0(t_3) \\ &\quad \times \delta(t - (t_1 + t_2 + t_3))\delta(t_a - (t_1 + t_3)) \\ &+ r^2 \int dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 dt_5 e^{-rt_1}Q_0(t_1)W(t_2)e^{-rt_3}W(t_4)e^{-rt_5}F_0(t_5) \\ &\quad \times \delta(t - (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5))\delta(t_a - (t_1 + t_3 + t_5)) \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The first term on the r.h.s is the contribution from trajectories in which there is no resetting which occurs with probability  $e^{-rt}$ . For these trajectories  $t_a = t$  and given there is no resetting the survival probability is that of the process without resetting which we denote  $Q_0(t)$ . The second term is the contribution from trajectories with one reset which occurs at time  $t_1$ , a refractory period of duration  $t_2$  and a period with no resetting of duration  $t_3$  at the end of which the particle is absorbed which occurs with first passage probability of the process without resetting which we denote  $F_0(t)$ .

Similarly, the third term is the contribution from trajectories with two resets which occur at time  $t_1$  followed by refractory period of duration  $t_2$  and at  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3$  followed by a refractory period of duration  $t_4$ , and with no resetting of duration  $t_5$  at the end of which the particle is absorbed. Clearly there is an infinite sequence of contributions corresponding to higher numbers of resets.

Now taking the Laplace transform with Laplace variable  $s$  conjugate to  $t$  and Laplace variable  $\lambda$  conjugate to  $t_a$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_r(\lambda, s) = & \tilde{F}_0(\lambda + r + s) + r\tilde{Q}_0(\lambda + r + s)\tilde{W}(s)\tilde{F}_0(\lambda + r + s) \\ & + r^2\tilde{Q}_0(\lambda + r + s)^2\tilde{W}(s)^2\tilde{F}_0(\lambda + r + s) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Summing the geometric series yields

$$\tilde{P}_r(\lambda, s) = \frac{\tilde{F}_0(\lambda + r + s)}{1 - r\tilde{Q}_0(\lambda + r + s)\tilde{W}(s)}. \quad (38)$$

First let us consider the marginal distributions for  $t$  (obtained by setting  $\lambda = 0$ ) and for  $t_a$  (obtained by setting  $s = 0$ )

$$\tilde{P}_r(0, s) = \frac{\tilde{F}_0(r + s)}{1 - r\tilde{Q}_0(r + s)\tilde{W}(s)} \quad (39)$$

$$\tilde{P}_r(\lambda, 0) = \frac{\tilde{F}_0(\lambda + r)}{1 - r\tilde{Q}_0(\lambda + r)}. \quad (40)$$

The first equation (39) recovers (11) when we identify

$$\tilde{P}_r(0, s) = \tilde{F}_r(s) = 1 - s\tilde{Q}_r(s) \quad (41)$$

The second equation (40) shows that distribution of active time is precisely the first passage time distribution in the absence of resetting, as expected.

## Acknowledgments

MRE acknowledges a CNRS Visiting Professorship and thanks LPTMS for hospitality. SNM thanks the Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics for hospitality.

## References

- [1] Evans M R and Majumdar S N 2011 Diffusion with stochastic resetting, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 160601
- [2] Evans M R and Majumdar S N 2011 Diffusion with optimal resetting, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, 435001
- [3] Evans M R and Majumdar S N 2014 Diffusion with resetting in arbitrary spatial dimension *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 285001
- [4] Villen-Altramirano M and Villen-Altramirano J 1991 RESTART: A method for accelerating rare event simulations *Queueing Performance and Control in ATM* Editors Cohen J W and Pack C D
- [5] Luby M, Sinclair A and Zuckerman D 1993 Optimal speedup of Las Vegas algorithms, *Inf. Proc. Lett.* **47** 4391

- [6] Tong H, Faloutsos C and Pan J-Y 2008 Random walk with restart: fast solutions and applications *Knowl. Inf. Syst.* **14** 327
- [7] Reuveni S, Urbakh M, and Klafter J 2014 Role of substrate unbinding in Michaelis-Menten enzymatic reactions *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **111** 4391
- [8] Rothart T, Reuveni S and Urbakh M 2015 Michaelis-Menten reaction scheme as a unified approach towards the optimal restart problem *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 060101
- [9] Bar-Ziv R, Thusty T, and Libchaber A 2002 ProteinDNA computation by stochastic assembly cascade *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **99** 11589
- [10] Murugan A, Huse D A and Leibler S 2012 Speed, dissipation, and error in kinetic proofreading *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **109** 12034
- [11] Murugan A, Huse D A and Leibler S 2014 Discriminatory proofreading regimes in nonequilibrium systems *Phys. Rev. X* **4** 012016
- [12] Abdelrahman O H and Gelenbe E 2013 Time and energy in team-based search *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 032125
- [13] Bénichou O, Moreau M, Suet P-H and Voituriez R 2007, Intermittent search process and teleportation, *J. Chem. Phys.* **126**, 234109
- [14] Husain K and Krishna S 2016 Efficiency of a Stochastic Search with Punctual and Costly Restarts *Preprint* arXiv: 1609.03754
- [15] Sornette D 2017 Why Stock Markets Crash *Princeton University Press*
- [16] Pal A, Kundu A and Evans M R 2016 Diffusion under time-dependent resetting *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49**, 225001
- [17] Kusmierz L, Majumdar S N, Sabhapandit S and Schehr G 2014 First order transition for the optimal search time of Lévy flights with resetting *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** 220602
- [18] Evans M R, and Majumdar S N 2018 Run and tumble particle under resetting: a renewal approach *Preprint* arXiv: 1808.06450
- [19] Majumdar S N 2005 Brownian functionals in Physics and Computer Science *Curr. Sci.* **89**, 2076
- [20] Majumdar S N, Sabhapandit S and Schehr G 2015, Dynamical transition in the temporal relaxation of stochastic processes under resetting *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 052131
- [21] Reuveni S 2016 Optimal stochastic restart renders fluctuations in first-passage times universal *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** 170601
- [22] Montero M, Masó-Puigdellosas A, Villarroel J 2017 Continuous-time random walks with reset events: Historical background and new perspectives *European Physical Journal B* **90** 176
- [23] Visco P, Allen R J, Majumdar S N, Evans M R 2010, Switching and growth for microbial populations in catastrophic responsive environments, *Biophysical Journal* **98**, 10991108