Global Existence of Strong Solutions to Compressible Navier-Stokes System with Degenerate Heat Conductivity in Unbounded Domains*

Kexin LI, Xuanlin SHU, Xiaojing XU

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P. R. China

Abstract

In one-dimensional unbounded domains, we prove global existence of strong solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes system for a viscous and heat conducting ideal polytropic gas, when the viscosity is constant and the heat conductivity κ depends on the temperature θ according to $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}\theta^{\beta}(\beta > 0)$. Note that the conditions imposed on the initial data are the same as those of the constant heat conductivity case ([Kazhikhov, A. V. Siberian Math. J. 23 (1982), 44-49]) and can be arbitrarily large. Therefore, our result generalizes Kazhikhov's result for the constant heat conductivity case to the degenerate and nonlinear one.

Keywords: compressible Navier-Stokes system; degenerate heat conductivity; global strong solution; unbounded domains

Math Subject Classification: 35Q35; 76N10.

1 Introduction

The compressible Navier-Stokes system describing the one-dimensional motion of a viscous heat-conducting gas can be written in the Lagrange variables in the following form (see [5, 20])

$$v_t = u_x, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u_t + P_x = \left(\mu \frac{u_x}{v}\right)_x,\tag{1.2}$$

$$\left(e + \frac{u^2}{2}\right)_t + (Pu)_x = \left(\kappa \frac{\theta_x}{v} + \mu \frac{uu_x}{v}\right)_x,\tag{1.3}$$

where t > 0 is time, $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ denotes the Lagrange mass coordinate, and the unknown functions v > 0, u, $\theta > 0$, e > 0, and P are, respectively, the specific volume of the gas, fluid velocity, absolute temperature, internal energy, and pressure. In general, P, e, the viscosity μ , and heat conductivity κ are functions of θ and v. In this paper, we consider ideal polytropic gas, that is, P and e satisfy

$$P = R\theta/v, \quad e = c_v\theta + \text{ const.},$$
 (1.4)

^{*}Partially supported by Undergraduate Research Fund of BNU 2017-150, Email addresses: kexinli98@163.com (K. Li), shuxl03@hotmail.com (X. Shu), xjxu@bnu.edu.cn(X. Xu)

where R (specific gas constant) and c_v (heat capacity at constant volume) are both positive constants. For μ and κ , we consider the case where μ and κ are proportional to (possibly different) powers of θ :

$$\mu = \tilde{\mu}\theta^{\gamma}, \quad \kappa = \tilde{\kappa}\theta^{\beta},$$
 (1.5)

where $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\kappa} > 0$ and $\gamma, \beta \geq 0$ are constants.

The system (1.1)-(1.5) is imposed on the initial condition

$$(v(x,0), u(x,0), \theta(x,0)) = (v_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x)), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.6}$$

and three types of far-field and boundary conditions:

1) Cauchy problem

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}, \lim_{|x| \to \infty} (v(x, t), u(x, t), \theta(x, t)) = (1, 0, 1), \quad t > 0;$$
(1.7)

2) boundary and far-field conditions for $\Omega = (0, \infty)$,

$$u(0,t) = 0, \ \theta_x(0,t) = 0, \ \lim_{x \to \infty} (v(x,t), u(x,t), \theta(x,t)) = (1,0,1), \quad t > 0;$$
 (1.8)

3) boundary and far-field conditions for $\Omega = (0, \infty)$,

$$u(0,t) = 0, \ \theta(0,t) = 1, \lim_{x \to \infty} (v(x,t), u(x,t), \theta(x,t)) = (1,0,1), \quad t > 0.$$
 (1.9)

According to the Chapman-Enskog expansion for the first level of approximation in kinetic theory, the viscosity μ and heat conductivity κ are functions of temperature alone ([6,7]). In particular, if the intermolecular potential varies as r^{-a} , with intermolecular distance r, then μ and κ are both proportional to the power (a+4)/(2a) of the temperature, that is, (1.5) holds with $\gamma = \beta = (a+4)/(2a)$. Indeed, for Maxwellian molecules (a=4), the dependence is linear, while for elastic spheres $(a \to \infty)$, the dependence is like $\theta^{1/2}$.

For constant coefficients ($\gamma = \beta = 0$), Kazhikhov and Shelukhin [16] first obtained the global existence of solutions in bounded domains for large initial data. From then on, significant progress has been made on the mathematical aspect of the initial and initial boundary value problems, see [1–4,8,9,14] and the references therein. For the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.7) and the initial boundary value problems (1.1)-(1.6) (1.8) and (1.1)-(1.6) (1.9) (in unbounded domains), Kazhikhov [15] (also cf. [4,8]) obtains the global existence of strong solutions.

Much effort has been made to generalize this approach to other cases and in particular to models satisfying (1.5). However, there are few results for the case that $\gamma = \beta$, partially because of the difficulty introduced in such relations, which lead to possible degeneracy and strong nonlinearity in viscosity and heat diffusion. As a first step in this direction, for bounded domain Ω , Jenssen-Karper [12] proved the global existence of weak solutions to (1.1)–(1.5) under the assumption that $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 3/2)$. Later, for $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta \in (0, \infty)$, Pan-Zhang [19] obtain the global strong solutions. However, their methods depend heavily on the boundedness of the domain Ω . In this paper, we will prove the global existence of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.7) and the initial boundary value problems (1.1)-(1.6) (1.8) and (1.1)-(1.6) (1.9). That is, our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that

$$\gamma = 0, \quad \beta > 0, \tag{1.10}$$

and that the initial data (v_0, u_0, θ_0) satisfy

$$v_0 - 1, u_0, \theta_0 - 1 \in H^1(\Omega), \inf_{x \in \Omega} v_0(x) > 0, \inf_{x \in \Omega} \theta_0(x) > 0,$$
 (1.11)

and are compatible with (1.8), (1.9). Then there exists a unique global strong solution (v, u, θ) with positive v(x, t) and $\theta(x, t)$ to the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.7), or (1.1)-(1.6) (1.8), or (1.1)-(1.6) (1.9) satisfying for any T > 0,

$$\begin{cases} v - 1, \ u, \ \theta - 1 \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H^{1}(\Omega)), \\ v_{t} \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{2}(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}(\Omega)), \\ u_{t}, \ \theta_{t}, \ v_{xt}, \ u_{xx}, \ \theta_{xx} \in L^{2}(\Omega \times (0, T)). \end{cases}$$
(1.12)

Remark 1.1 Our Theorem 1.1 can be regarded as a natural generalization of Kazhikhov's result ([15]) where he considered the constant viscosity case ($\gamma = \beta = 0$) to the degenerate and nonlinear one that $\gamma = 0, \beta > 0$.

Remark 1.2 Although for $\gamma = \beta = 0$, the large-time behavior of the strong solution to the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.7), or (1.1)-(1.6) (1.8), or (1.1)-(1.6) (1.9) has been proved just recently (see [10, 11, 17]), their methods cannot be applied directly to our case due to the degeneracy and nonlinearity of the heat conductivity since $\beta > 0$. It is interesting to study the large-time behavior of the strong solutions for $\beta > 0$. This will be left for future.

We now comment on the analysis of this paper. Compared with the constant viscosity case $(\gamma = \beta = 0)$ ([15]), the main difficulty comes from the degeneracy and nonlinearity of the heat conductivity due to the fact that $\beta > 0$. The key observations are as follows: First, we modify slightly the idea due to Kazhikhov [15] to prove the lower and upper bounds of v. Next, multiplying the energy equation (1.3) by $\theta^{-2}(\theta^{-1}-2)_+^p$ and noticing that the domains $\{x \in \Omega | \theta(x,t) < 1/2\}$ remain bounded for all $t \in [0,T]$ (see (2.15)), we find that the temperature is indeed bounded from below (see Lemma 2.3) which lays a firm foundation for our further analysis. Finally, to obtain the higher order estimates, we will modify some ideas due to Li-Liang [17] to obtain the estimates on the $L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))$ -norms of both u_t and u_{xx} (see Lemma 2.6) which are crucial for further estimates on the upper bound of the temperature. The whole procedure will be carried out in the next section.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first state the local existence lemma which can be proved by using the principle of compressed mappings (c.f. [13, 18, 21]).

Lemma 2.1 Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, there exists some T > 0 such that the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.7), or (1.1)-(1.6) (1.8), or (1.1)-(1.6) (1.9) has a unique strong solution (v, u, θ) with positive v(x, t) and $\theta(x, t)$ satisfying (1.12).

Then, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, it only remains to obtain a priori estimates (see (2.1), (2.14), (2.24), (2.27), (2.35) below) the constants in which depend only on T and the data of the problem. The estimates make it possible to continue the local solution to the whole interval [0, T].

Next, without loss of generality, we assume that $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\kappa} = R = c_v = 1$. We modify slightly the idea due to Kazhikhov [15] to prove the lower and upper bounds of v.

Lemma 2.2 There exists a positive constant C such that

$$C^{-1} \le v(x,t) \le C,\tag{2.1}$$

where (and in what follows) C denotes a generic positive constant depending only on $T, \beta, \|(v_0 - 1, u_0, \theta_0 - 1)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \inf_{x \in \Omega} v_0(x), \text{ and } \inf_{x \in \Omega} \theta_0(x).$

Proof. First, using (1.1), (1.2), and (1.4), we rewrite (1.3) as

$$\theta_t + \frac{\theta}{v} u_x = \left(\frac{\theta^\beta \theta_x}{v}\right)_x + \frac{u_x^2}{v}.$$
 (2.2)

Multiplying (1.1) by $1 - v^{-1}$, (1.2) by u, and (2.2) by $1 - \theta^{-1}$, and adding them altogether, we obtain

$$(u^{2}/2 + (v - \log v - 1) + (\theta - \log \theta - 1))_{t} + \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{v\theta} + \frac{\theta_{x}^{2}}{v\theta^{2}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{uu_{x}}{v} - \frac{u\theta}{v}\right)_{x} + u_{x} + \left((1 - \theta^{-1})\frac{\theta_{x}}{v}\right)_{x},$$

which together with (1.7) or (1.8) or (1.9) yields

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u^2}{2} + (v - \log v - 1) + (\theta - \log \theta - 1) \right) dx + \int_{0}^{T} W(s) ds \le e_0, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$W(t) \triangleq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_x^2}{v \theta^2} + \frac{u_x^2}{v \theta} \right) (x, t) dx, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$e_0 \triangleq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u_0^2}{2} + (v_0 - \log v_0 - 1) + (\theta_0 - \log \theta_0 - 1) \right) dx.$$

Next, for any $x \in \Omega$, denoting N = [x], we have by (2.3)

$$\int_{N}^{N+1} (v - \log v - 1) + (\theta - \log \theta - 1) dx \le e_0, \tag{2.5}$$

which together with Jensen's inequality yields

$$\alpha_1 \le \int_N^{N+1} v(x,t) dx \le \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \le \int_N^{N+1} \theta(x,t) dx \le \alpha_2, \tag{2.6}$$

where $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ are two roots of

$$x - \log x - 1 = e_0.$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.5) that for any t > 0, there exists some $b_N(t) \in [N, N+1]$ such that

$$(v - \log v - 1 + \theta - \log \theta - 1)(b_N(t), t) \le e_0,$$

which implies

$$\alpha_1 \le v(b_N(t), t) \le \alpha_2, \ \alpha_1 \le \theta(b_N(t), t) \le \alpha_2. \tag{2.7}$$

Letting $\sigma \triangleq \frac{u_x}{v} - \frac{\theta}{v} = (\log v)_t - \frac{\theta}{v}$, we write (1.2) as

$$u_t = \sigma_x. (2.8)$$

Integrating (2.8) over $[N, x] \times [0, t]$ leads to

$$\int_{N}^{x} (u(y,t) - u_0) dy = \log v - \log v_0 - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\theta}{v} ds - \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(N,s) ds,$$

which gives

$$v(x,t) = D_N(x,t)Y_N(t)\exp\left\{\int_0^t \frac{\theta}{v}ds\right\},\tag{2.9}$$

where

$$D_N(x,t) \triangleq v_0(x) \exp\left\{ \int_N^x \left(u(y,t) - u_0(y) \right) dy \right\},\,$$

and

$$Y_N(t) \triangleq \exp\left\{\int_0^t \sigma(N,s)ds\right\}.$$

Thus, it follows from (2.9) that

$$v(x,t) = D_N(x,t)Y_N(t)\left(1 + \int_0^t \frac{\theta(x,\tau)}{D_N(x,\tau)Y_N(\tau)}d\tau\right). \tag{2.10}$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{N}^{x} \left(u(y,t) - u_0(y) \right) dy \right| \leq \left(\int_{N}^{N+1} u^2 dy \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{N}^{N+1} u_0^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \leq C,$$

we have

$$C^{-1} \le D_N(x,t) \le C,$$
 (2.11)

where and in what follows, C is a constant independent of N.

Moreover, integrating (2.10) with respect to x over [N, N+1] gives

$$\frac{1}{Y_N(t)} \int_{N}^{N+1} v(x,t) dx = \int_{N}^{N+1} D_N(x,t) \left(1 + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\theta(x,\tau)}{D_N(x,\tau) Y_N(\tau)} d\tau \right) dx,$$

which yields

$$C^{-1} \le \frac{1}{Y_N(t)} \le C + C \int_0^t \frac{1}{Y_N(\tau)} d\tau,$$
 (2.12)

where we have used (2.6), (2.11), and the following simple fact:

$$\int_{N}^{N+1} \frac{\theta(x,\tau)D_{N}(x,t)}{D_{N}(x,\tau)} dx \le C \int_{N}^{N+1} \theta dx \le C.$$

Applying Grönwall's inequality to (2.12) gives

$$C^{-1} \le \frac{1}{Y_N(t)} \le C,$$

which together with (2.10) and (2.11) implies that for $(x,t) \in [N,N+1] \times [0,T]$,

$$C^{-1} \le v(x,t) \le C + C \int_0^t \max_{x \in [N,N+1]} \theta(x,t) dt.$$
 (2.13)

Then, direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \theta^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(x,t) - \theta^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}(b_N(t),t) \right| \\ & = \left| \int_{b_N(t)}^x \left(\theta^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}} \right)_x dx \right| \\ & = \frac{\beta+1}{2} \left| \int_{b_N(t)}^x \frac{\theta^{\beta/2} \theta_x}{\sqrt{\theta}} dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\beta+1}{2} \left(\int_N^{N+1} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_x^2}{\theta^{2v}} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_N^{N+1} \theta v dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C W^{1/2}(t) \max_{x \in [N,N+1]} v^{1/2}(x,t), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.7) yields that for any t > 0,

$$\max_{x \in [N, N+1]} \theta(x, t) \le C \left(1 + W(t) \max_{x \in [N, N+1]} v(x, t) \right).$$

Putting this into (2.13) and using Grönwall's inequality yields that

$$\max_{(x,t)\in[N,N+1]\times[0,T]}v(x,t)\leq C,$$

which combined with (2.13) and the fact that C is independent of N gives (2.1). The proof of Lemma 2.2 is finished.

Now we are in a position to obtain the lower bound of the temperature θ .

Lemma 2.3 There exists a positive constant C such that for all $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$,

$$\theta(x,t) \ge C^{-1}. (2.14)$$

Proof. First, denoting by

$$(\theta > 2)(t) = \{x \in \Omega \mid \theta(x, t) > 2\},\$$

and

$$(\theta < 1/2)(t) = \{x \in \Omega \mid \theta(x, t) < 1/2\},\$$

we get by (2.3)

$$e_0 \ge \int_{(\theta < 1/2)(t)} (\theta - \log \theta - 1) dx + \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} (\theta - \log \theta - 1) dx$$

$$\ge (\log 2 - 1/2) |(\theta < 1/2)(t)| + (1 - \log 2) |(\theta > 2)(t)|$$

$$\ge (\log 2 - 1/2) (|(\theta < 1/2)(t)| + |(\theta > 2)(t)|),$$

which shows that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$|(\theta < 1/2)(t)| + |(\theta > 2)(t)| \le \frac{2e_0}{2\log 2 - 1}.$$
 (2.15)

Next, for p > 2, multiplying (2.2) by $\theta^{-2}(\theta^{-1}-2)_+^p$ with $(\theta^{-1}-2)_+ \triangleq \max\{\theta^{-1}-2,0\}$ and integrating over Ω , we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{p+1} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_{+}^{p+1} dx \right)_{t} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{v \theta^{2}} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_{+}^{p} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{x}}{v \theta} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_{+}^{p} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{v \theta^{2}} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_{+}^{p} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{v} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_{+}^{p} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{v \theta^{2}} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_{+}^{p} dx + C \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta^{-1} - 2)_{+}^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.15). Thus, we have

$$\|(\theta^{-1}-2)_+\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^p \left(\|(\theta^{-1}-2)_+\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}\right)_t \le C\|(\theta^{-1}-2)_+\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^p$$

with C independent of p. This in particular implies that there exists some positive constant C independent of p such that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left\| (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \right\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \le C. \tag{2.16}$$

Using (2.15), letting $p \to \infty$ in (2.16) shows

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| (\theta^{-1} - 2)_+ \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C,$$

which proves (2.14) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

For further uses, we need the following estimates on the $L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))$ -norm of u_x .

Lemma 2.4 There exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(u_x^2 + \theta^{-1} \theta_x^2 \right) dx dt \le C. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. First, by (2.3), we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta^{1+\beta} dt \leq C \int_{0}^{T} \max_{x \in \Omega} (\theta - 2)_{+}^{1+\beta} dt + C$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_{+}^{\beta} |\theta_{x}| dx dt + C$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta^{\beta} |\theta_{x}| dx dt + C$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta^{\beta+2} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta-2} \theta_{x}^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} dt + C$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \left(\max_{x \in \Omega} \theta^{1+\beta} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta-2} \theta_{x}^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} dt + C$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta^{1+\beta} dt + C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta-2} \theta_{x}^{2} dx dt + C,$$
(2.18)

where in the fourth inequality we have used

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta dx \le C \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} (\theta - \log \theta - 1) dx \le C, \tag{2.19}$$

due to (2.3). Combining (2.18), (2.3), and (2.1) yields

$$\int_0^T \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta^{1+\beta} dt \le C,\tag{2.20}$$

which implies

$$\int_{0}^{T} \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta dt \le C \int_{0}^{T} \max_{x \in \Omega} \left(1 + \theta^{1+\beta} \right) dt \le C. \tag{2.21}$$

Next, integrating the momentum equation (1.2) multiplied by u with respect to x over Ω , after integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \right)_t + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta}{v} u_x dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{(\theta-1)}{v} u_x dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v-1) u_x}{v} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} (\theta-1)^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} (v-1)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{(\theta>2)} \theta^2 dx + C + C \int_{\Omega} (v-1)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx \\ &\leq C \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta + C + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x^2}{v} dx, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (2.19), (2.3), and (2.1). Combining this with (2.21) gives

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx dt \le C. \tag{2.22}$$

Finally, if $\beta \geq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta^{-1} \theta_x^2 dx dt \le C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta - 2} \theta_x^2 dx dt \le C.$$
 (2.23)

If $\beta \in (0,1)$, for $0 < 2\alpha < 1$, multiplying (2.2) by $\theta^{\alpha-1}(\theta^{\alpha} - 2^{\alpha})_{+}$ and integration by parts gives

$$\begin{split} &(1-2\alpha)\int_{(\theta>2)(t)}\frac{\theta^{\beta+2\alpha-2}\theta_x^2}{v}dx + \int_{\Omega}\frac{u_x^2}{v}\theta^{\alpha-1}(\theta^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha})_+dx\\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha}\left(\int_{\Omega}(\theta^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha})_+^2dx\right)_t + 2^{\alpha}(1-\alpha)\int_{(\theta>2)(t)}\frac{\theta^{\beta+\alpha-2}\theta_x^2}{v}dx\\ &+ \int_{\Omega}\frac{\theta^{\alpha}(\theta^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha})_+u_x}{v}dx\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha}\left(\int_{\Omega}(\theta^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha})_+^2dx\right)_t + \frac{1-2\alpha}{2}\int_{(\theta>2)(t)}\frac{\theta^{\beta+2\alpha-2}\theta_x^2}{v}dx\\ &+ C(\alpha)\int_{(\theta>2)(t)}\frac{\theta^{\beta-2}\theta_x^2}{v}dx + C\int_{\Omega}u_x^2dx + C + C\max_{x\in\Omega}\theta\int_{(\theta>2)(t)}\theta dx, \end{split}$$

which together with (2.22), (2.21), (2.19), (2.15), and Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta+2\alpha-2} \theta_{x}^{2} dx dt \le C(\alpha).$$

In particular, combining this where we choose $2\alpha = 1 - \beta \in (0,1)$, (2.23), and (2.22) proves (2.17). The proof of Lemma 2.4 is completed.

Lemma 2.5 There exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} v_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} v_x^2 \theta dx dt \le C. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. Rewriting momentum equation (1.2) as

$$\left(\frac{v_x}{v}\right)_t = u_t + \left(\frac{\theta}{v}\right)_x,\tag{2.25}$$

due to

$$\left(\frac{v_t}{v}\right)_x = \left(\frac{v_x}{v}\right)_t$$

we multiply (2.25) by $\frac{v_x}{v}$ to get

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{v_x}{v} \right)^2 \right]_t = \frac{v_x}{v} u_t + \frac{v_x}{v} \left(\frac{\theta}{v} \right)_x
= \left(\frac{v_x}{v} u \right)_t - u(\log v)_{xt} + \frac{v_x \theta_x}{v^2} - \frac{v_x^2 \theta}{v^3}
= \left(\frac{v_x}{v} u \right)_t - \left[u(\log v)_t \right]_x + \frac{u_x^2}{v} + \frac{v_x \theta_x}{v^2} - \frac{v_x^2 \theta}{v^3}.$$
(2.26)

Integrating (2.26) over $\Omega \times [0, T]$ and using (2.17), one has

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v_x}{v} \right)^2 - \frac{v_x}{v} u \right] dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_x^2 \theta}{v^3} dx dt \\
\le C + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_x \theta_x}{v^2} dx dt \\
\le C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_x^2 \theta}{v^3} dx dt + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta^{-1} \theta_x^2 dx dt \\
\le C + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_x^2 \theta}{v^3} dx dt.$$

This in particular implies (2.24) due to the following simply fact:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{v_x}{v} u dx \le \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{v_x}{v}\right)^2 dx + C.$$

Thus, the proof of Lemma 2.5 is finished.

Lemma 2.6 There exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_{xx}^2 + u_t^2) dx dt \le C.$$
 (2.27)

Proof. First, we rewrite the momentum equation (1.2) as

$$u_t - \frac{u_{xx}}{v} = -\frac{u_x v_x}{v^2} - \frac{\theta_x}{v} + \frac{\theta v_x}{v^2}.$$
 (2.28)

Multiplying both sides of (2.28) by u_{xx} , and integrating the resultant equality in x over Ω , one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x v_x}{v^2} u_{xx} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta_x}{v} u_{xx} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta v_x}{v^2} u_{xx} dx \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \left(u_x^2 v_x^2 + v_x^2 \theta^2 + \theta_x^2 \right) dx.$$
(2.29)

Direct computation yields that for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(u_x^2 v_x^2 + v_x^2 \theta^2 + \theta_x^2 \right) dx$$

$$\leq C \left(\max_{x \in \Omega} u_x^2 + \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta^2 \right) \int_{\Omega} v_x^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx$$

$$\leq C \max_{x \in \Omega} u_x^2 + C \max_{x \in \Omega} (\theta - 2)_+^2 + C + \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx$$

$$\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} u_{xx}^2 dx + C(\delta) \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + C + C \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx,$$
(2.30)

where in the last inequality we have used

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} u_x^2 \le \int_{\Omega} \left| \left(u_x^2 \right)_x \right| dx$$

$$\le 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} u_{xx}^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le \delta \int_{\Omega} u_{xx}^2 dx + C(\delta) \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx,$$
(2.31)

and

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} (\theta - 2)_{+}^{2} = \max_{x \in \Omega} \left(\int_{x}^{\infty} \partial_{y} (\theta - 2)_{+}(y, t) dy \right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} |\theta_{y}| dy \right)^{2}$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} \theta_{x}^{2} dx.$$

Putting (2.30) into (2.29) and choosing δ suitably small yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{xx}^2}{v} dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} u_x^2 dx + C + C_1 \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_x^2 dx.$$
(2.32)

Next, motivated by [17], we integrate (2.2) multiplied by $(\theta - 2)_{+} \triangleq \max\{\theta - 2, 0\}$ over Ω to get

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_{+}^{2} dx \right)_{t} + \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x}^{2}}{v} dx$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta}{v} u_{x} (\theta - 2)_{+} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{v} (\theta - 2)_{+} dx$$

$$\leq C \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_{+}^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} u_{x}^{2} dx \right).$$
(2.33)

Noticing that

$$\int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_x^2 dx \le \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \theta^{\beta} \theta_x^2 dx + \int_{(\theta \le 2)(t)} \theta^{\beta} \theta_x^2 dx
\le C \int_{(\theta > 2)(t)} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_x^2}{v} dx + C \int_{(\theta \le 2)(t)} \frac{\theta^{\beta - 2} \theta_x^2}{v} dx,$$

we deduce from (2.33) that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_{+}^{2} dx \right)_{t} + C_{2} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{x}^{2} dx \\ &\leq C + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta - 2} \theta_{x}^{2}}{v} dx + C \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta \int_{\Omega} (\theta - 2)_{+}^{2} dx + C \max_{x \in \Omega} \theta \int_{\Omega} u_{x}^{2} dx. \end{split}$$

Adding this multiplied by $2C_2^{-1}C_1$ to (2.32) together with Gronwall's inequality gives

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} (u_x^2 + (\theta - 2)_+^2) dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_{xx}^2 + \theta^\beta \theta_x^2) dx dt \le C, \tag{2.34}$$

which together with (2.28), (2.29), and (2.30) yields (2.27) and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7 There exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\theta_t^2 + \theta_{xx}^2 \right) dx dt \le C.$$
 (2.35)

Proof. First, multiplying (2.2) by $\theta^{\beta}\theta_t$ and integrating the resultant equality over Ω yields

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{t}^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta+1} \theta_{t} u_{x}}{v} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} \left(\frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x}}{v} \right)_{x} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} u_{x}^{2}}{v} dx \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x}}{v} \left(\theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} \right)_{x} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} u_{x}^{2}}{v} dx \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x}}{v} \left(\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x} \right)_{t} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} u_{x}^{2}}{v} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left((\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x})^{2} \right)_{t}}{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} u_{x}^{2}}{v} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x})^{2}}{v} dx \right)_{t} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x})^{2} u_{x}}{v^{2}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} u_{x}^{2}}{v} dx, \end{split}$$

which gives

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{t}^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x})^{2}}{v} dx \right)_{t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(\theta^{\beta} \theta_{x})^{2} u_{x}}{v^{2}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta+1} \theta_{t} u_{x}}{v} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta^{\beta} \theta_{t} u_{x}^{2}}{v} dx \\ &\leq C \max_{x \in \Omega} (|u_{x}| \theta^{\beta/2}) \int_{\Omega} \left(\theta^{3\beta/4} \theta_{x} \right)^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{t}^{2} dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta+2} u_{x}^{2} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} u_{x}^{4} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \theta^{2\beta} \theta_{x}^{2} dx \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{x}^{2} dx + C \max_{x \in \Omega} (\theta^{\beta+2} + \theta^{\beta} u_{x}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{t}^{2} dx + C \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \theta^{2\beta} \theta_{x}^{2} dx \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{x}^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_{t}^{2} dx + C \max_{x \in \Omega} (\theta^{2\beta+2} + u_{x}^{4}) + C \end{split}$$

due to (2.34).

Next, it follows from (2.31) and (2.34) that

$$\int_0^T \max_{x \in \Omega} u_x^4 dt \le C,$$

which together with (2.36), the Gronwall inequality, and (2.34) leads to

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} \left(\theta^{\beta} \theta_x \right)^2 dx + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{\beta} \theta_t^2 dx dt \le C, \tag{2.37}$$

where we have used

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} \theta^{2\beta+2} \le C + C \int_{\Omega} (\theta^{\beta} \theta_x)^2 dx. \tag{2.38}$$

Combining (2.38) with (2.37) implies that for all $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$,

$$\theta(x,t) \le C. \tag{2.39}$$

Then, combining (2.14) and (2.37) leads to

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_t^2 dx dt \le C. \tag{2.40}$$

Finally, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\frac{\theta^{\beta}\theta_{xx} + \beta\theta^{\beta - 1}\theta_x^2}{v} = \frac{\left(\theta^{\beta}\theta_x\right)_x}{v} = \frac{\theta^{\beta}\theta_x v_x}{v^2} - \frac{u_x^2}{v} + \frac{\theta u_x}{v} + \theta_t,$$

which together with (2.14), (2.39), (2.40), (2.34), and (2.31) gives

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_{xx}^2 dx dt \le C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\theta_x^4 + \theta_x^2 v_x^2 + u_x^4 + u_x^2 + \theta_t^2 \right) dx dt$$

$$\le C + C \int_0^T \max_{x \in \Omega} \left(\theta_x^2 + u_x^2 \right) dt$$

$$\le C + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_x^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \theta_{xx}^2 dx dt.$$

Combining this with (2.40) gives (2.35) and finished the proof of Lemma 2.7.

References

- [1] Amosov, A. A., Zlotnik, A. A. Global generalized solutions of the equations of the one-dimensional motion of a viscous heat-conducting gas. Soviet Math. Dokl. 38 (1989), 1-5.
- [2] Amosov, A. A., Zlotnik, A. A. Solvability "in the large" of a system of equations of the one-dimensional motion of an inhomogeneous viscous heat-conducting gas. Math. Notes 52 (1992),753-763.
- [3] Amosov, A. A., Zlotnik, A. A. On the stability of generalized solutions of equations of one-dimensional motion of a viscous heat-conducting gas, Sib. Math. J. 38 (1997) 663-684.
- [4] Antontsev, S. N., Kazhikhov, A. V., Monakhov, V. N. Boundary Value Problems in Mechanics of Nonhomogeneous Fluids. Amsterdam, New York: North-Holland, 1990.
- [5] Batchelor, G. K. An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics. London: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1967
- [6] Cercignani, C., Illner, R., Pulvirenti, M. The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases, Appl. Math. Sci., vol.106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [7] Chapman, S., Colwing, T. G. The Mathematical Theory of Nonuniform Gases, 3rd ed., Cambridge Math. Lib., Cam-bridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] Jiang, S. Global spherically symmetric solutions to the equations of a viscous polytropic ideal gas in an exterior domain. Comm. Math. Phys. 178 (1996), 339-374.

- [9] Jiang, S. Large-time behavior of solutions to the equations of a viscous polytropic ideal gas. Annli Mat. Pura Appl. 175 (1998), 253-275.
- [10] Jiang, S.: Large-time behavior of solutions to the equations of a one-dimensional viscous polytropic ideal gas in unbounded domains. Comm. Math. Phys. 200 (1999), 181-193.
- [11] Jiang, S.: Remarks on the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the half-line. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 132 (2002), 627-638.
- [12] Jenssen, H. K., Karper, T. K. One-dimensional compressible flow with temperature dependent transport coefficients, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 42 (2010), 904-930.
- [13] Kanel, Y. I. On a model system of equations of one-dimensional gas motion. Differential Equations, 4 (1968), 374-380.
- [14] Kawashima, S. Large-time behaviour of solutions to hyperbolic-parabolic systems of conservation laws and applications. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 106 (1987), 169-194.
- [15] Kazhikhov, A. V. Cauchy problem for viscous gas equations. Siberian Math. J. 23 (1982), 44-49.
- [16] Kazhikhov, A. V., Shelukhin, V. V. Unique global solution with respect to time of initial boundary value problems for one-dimensional equations of a viscous gas. J. Appl. Math. Mech. 41 (1977), 273-282.
- [17] Li, J., Liang, Z. L. Some uniform estimates and large-time behavior of solutions to onedimensional compressible Navier-Stokes system in unbounded domains with large data. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 220 (2016), 1195-1208.
- [18] Nash, J. Le probleme de Cauchy pour les équations différentielles dún fluide général. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 90, 487-497, 1962.
- [19] Pan, R., Zhang, W. Compressible Navier-Stokes equations with temperaturedependent heat conductivities. Commun. Math. Sci. 13 (2015), 401-425.
- [20] Serrin, J. Mathematical principles of classical fluid mechanics. In: Flügge, S., Truesdell, C. (eds.), Handbuch der Physik. VIII/1, Berlin-Heidelberg-NewYork: Springer-Verlag, 1972, pp. 125-262.
- [21] Tani, A. On the first initial-boundary value problem of compressible viscous fluid motion, Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 13, 193-253, 1977.