

# CMB spectroscopy at third-order in cosmological perturbations

Atsuhisa Ota<sup>1\*</sup> and Nicola Bartolo<sup>2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Theoretical Physics and Center for Extreme Matter and Emergent Phenomena, Utrecht University, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia “G. Galilei”,*

*Università degli Studi di Padova, via Marzolo 8, I-35131, Padova, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*INFN, Sezione di Padova, via Marzolo 8, I-35131, Padova, Italy and*

<sup>4</sup>*INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell’Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

(Dated: April 11, 2022)

Early energy injection to the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) from dissipation of acoustic waves generates deviations from the blackbody spectrum not only at second-order but also at third-order in cosmological perturbations. For the first time, we compute this new spectral distortion  $\kappa$  based on third-order cosmological perturbation theory. We point out that  $\kappa$  arises from heat conduction and shear viscosity of spectral distortions and temperature perturbations. The ensemble average of  $\kappa$  can be directly sourced by primordial non-Gaussianity, being an integral of the primordial bispectrum and thus depending on its shape. For local non-Gaussianity we roughly estimate the signal and find  $\kappa = f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loc}} \times \mathcal{O}(10^{-18})$ . The signal is incredibly tiny; however, we argue that it carries a specific frequency dependence different from other types of CMB spectral distortions. Moreover, we comment on other possible applications of our results.

Distortions to the blackbody spectrum of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) are useful to investigate the thermal history of the early universe. In particular, spectral distortions from dissipation of acoustic waves have been intensely investigated in the context of the study of the primordial density perturbations since they can provide us with rich information on cosmic inflation [1–6]. The effect is known to be second-order in the cosmological perturbations; therefore, the ensemble averages of the distortions directly arise from the primordial power spectrum, and the spectral distortion anisotropy can be related to the primordial bispectrum (and hence to primordial non-Gaussianity) [7–18]. The CMB spectral distortions are usually classified into two types:  $\mu$  and  $y$ , the chemical potential and the Compton  $y$  parameter, respectively (see Refs. [19, 20] for other types of spectral distortions). The monopole component of the  $\mu$ - and the  $y$ -distortions from acoustic damping can be estimated as  $10^{-8}$  and  $10^{-9}$  respectively for almost scale-invariant Gaussian adiabatic perturbations, and they are the target of next generation of space missions [21–23]. Thus, the CMB spectral distortions are known as a powerful tool for observations of the primordial density perturbations. In this *Letter*, we point out that a new spectral distortion arises from dissipation of acoustic waves at third-order in the cosmological perturbations, and that its ensemble average can be directly sourced by primordial non-Gaussianity. Being third-order in the cosmological perturbations, the signal can be thought of as very tiny. Still, in principle, we can distinguish it from the other types of spectral distortions such as  $\mu$  and  $y$  because of its peculiar frequency dependence. In this sense, the third-order spectral distortion would be a new window for the primordial bispectrum. In this *Letter*, we compute such a third-order spectral distortion for the first time.

*Set up*— The CMB radiation initially follows the local blackbody spectrum due to frequent photon interactions in the early universe. However, deviations from the local blackbody spectrum are possible, e.g., for the redshift  $z \lesssim 5 \times 10^4$  since the Compton scattering is too weak against Hubble expansion to establish local kinetic equilibrium states so that  $y$ -distortions are generated [24]. Then, the photon distribution function can be determined by solving the general relativistic Boltzmann equation which employs the scattering processes and the gravitational effects. One linearizes the equations to find the evolutions of the temperature perturbations, which arise from the primordial density perturbations. The  $y$ -distortion is a deviation from the local blackbody spectrum that appears at the next-to-leading order in the cosmological perturbations. More generally, we can introduce the following ansatz for the photon Boltzmann equation up to third-order [25]:

$$f(\eta, \mathbf{x}, p\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{p}{T_{\text{rf}}} e^{-\Theta}} - 1} + y\mathcal{Y}(p) + \kappa\mathcal{K}(p), \quad (1)$$

where  $(\eta, \mathbf{x})$  are *comoving* spacetime coordinates,  $p$  is the magnitude of the photon *comoving* momentum,  $\mathbf{n}$  is photon’s direction,  $T_{\text{rf}} = 2.725\text{K}$  is the temperature of the comoving blackbody. The temperature perturbation  $\Theta$ , the  $y$ -distortion  $y$ , the new third-order distortion  $\kappa$  are functions of  $(\eta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ ; they are  $p$  independent. These parameters can be expanded perturbatively as  $\Theta = \Theta^{(1)} + \Theta^{(2)} + \Theta^{(3)} + \dots$ ,  $y = y^{(2)} + y^{(3)} + \dots$ , and  $\kappa = \kappa^{(3)} + \dots$  with superscripts being the order of the cosmological perturbations. Note that  $\Theta y$  in the coefficient of  $\mathcal{Y}$  is included in the  $y$ -distortion at third-order (see Ref. [25]). We have also defined the momentum basis  $\mathcal{G} = (-p \partial/\partial p) f^{(0)}$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} = (-p \partial/\partial p)^2 f^{(0)} - 3\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{K} = (-p \partial/\partial p)^3 f^{(0)} - 3\mathcal{Y} - 9\mathcal{G}$  where  $f^{(0)}(p) = (e^{p/T_{\text{rf}}} - 1)^{-1}$ . Then, all  $p$  dependences in Eq. (1) can be factorized by

these momentum bases. This implies that we can in principle distinguish  $\kappa$  from  $y$  thanks to the difference between  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We have omitted the chemical potential, that is, the spectral  $\mu$ -distortion because we only consider the late epoch out of kinetic equilibrium for simplicity. The primary goal of this *Letter* is to derive the evolution equation of this  $\kappa$ -distortion.

We introduce a harmonic coefficient of a linearly evolved perturbation  $A(\eta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$  in terms of spherical harmonics  $Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n})$  as  $A_{lm}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \int d\mathbf{n} Y_{lm}^*(\mathbf{n}) A(\eta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ . The Fourier integral of  $A(\eta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$  is defined as  $A(\eta, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}) \equiv \int d^3x e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} A(\eta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ , which is linear in the primordial comoving curvature perturbation  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$  for the scalar perturbations. We can expand it by using the Legendre polynomials as

$$\begin{aligned} A(\eta, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}) &= \sum_l (-i)^l (2l+1) P_l(\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) A_l(\eta, k) \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= (4\pi) \sum_{lm} (-i)^l Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) A_l(\eta, k) \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we call  $A_l(\eta, k)$  ‘‘transfer function’’ of  $A$ . Note that, in this *Letter*,  $A_{lm}$  is always defined in real space, and so is  $A_l$  in Fourier space, respectively. Ensemble averages of the curvature perturbations are defined as  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) P_\zeta(k_1)$ ,  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B_\zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ , giving, respectively, the primordial power spectrum and bispectrum of the curvature fluctuations, which can be calculated in each inflationary model (see, e.g., [26–29]).

*Evolution of the distribution function along the geodesics*— Thanks to the parametrization (1), the Boltzmann equation for the photon distribution function translates into the equations for the coefficients of the momentum basis [25]. This is the essential reason to put forward the ansatz (1), as we see below in detail. Expanding Eq. (1) up to third-order in cosmological perturbations, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f^{(0)} + [\Theta + \dots] \mathcal{G} \\ &+ \left[ y + \frac{1}{2} \Theta^2 + \dots \right] \mathcal{Y} + \left[ \frac{1}{3!} \Theta^3 + \kappa \right] \mathcal{K}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the dots imply the next-to-leading order corrections to each part here and hereafter. We take a time derivative of both sides w.r.t. conformal time to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{d\eta} &= \left[ \frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} - \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} + \dots \right] \mathcal{G} \\ &+ \left[ \frac{dy}{d\eta} + \Theta \left( \frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} - \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} \right) + \dots \right] \mathcal{Y} \\ &+ \left[ \frac{d\kappa}{d\eta} - y \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \Theta^2 \left( \frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} - \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} \right) + \dots \right] \mathcal{K}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we have used  $d\mathcal{Y}/d\eta = dp/d\eta \cdot d\mathcal{Y}/dp = -d \ln p/d\eta \cdot \mathcal{K}$ , and one can use similar techniques for  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $f^{(0)}$ .

Note that  $d \ln p/d\eta$  starts with linear perturbations since  $p$  is the comoving momentum; therefore, terms with the time derivative of  $\mathcal{K}$  become fourth-order. The gravitational effects are included in  $d \ln p/d\eta$ , which does not have any explicit  $p$  dependence even at nonlinear order (see, e.g., Ref. [30] for the linear case). Thus, the  $p$  dependence of the Liouville term can be reduced to the linear combination of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  in our set up.

*Collision terms for the Compton scattering*— Next, let us consider the right hand side (RHS) of the Boltzmann equation. For  $z \lesssim 5 \times 10^4$ , the collision terms for the Compton scattering can be expanded into the following form up to third-order in the cosmological perturbations [25]:

$$\mathcal{C}_T[f] = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{D}\mathcal{K}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(1)} + \dots$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{(2)} + \dots$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{(3)} + \dots$  are  $p$  independent. We may drop the other linear order corrections with  $(1+z)p/m_e$ ,  $(1+z)T_{\text{rf}}/m_e$  and  $T_e/m_e$ , where  $z$ ,  $T_e$  and  $m_e$  are the redshift, the physical electron temperature and electron mass respectively. This is because the ensemble average of the linear perturbations are zero so that they do not affect the final expression. Combining Eqs. (4) and (5), we obtain the following Boltzmann equations for the temperature perturbation, the  $y$ -distortion and the  $\kappa$ -distortion:

$$\frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} - \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} + \dots = \mathcal{A}, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{dy}{d\eta} + \Theta \left( \frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} - \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} \right) + \dots = \mathcal{B}, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{d\kappa}{d\eta} - y \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \Theta^2 \left( \frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} - \frac{d \ln p}{d\eta} \right) = \mathcal{D}. \quad (8)$$

*y-distortion from acoustic damping*— Before focusing on the third-order distortion, let us derive the evolution equation for the second-order  $y$ -distortion based on cosmological perturbation theory. This can be a useful preliminary computation that allows introducing some quantities we will use in the following, and it also provides a term of comparison to the physics giving rise to the  $\kappa$ -distortion. Eqs. (6) and (7) yield

$$\frac{dy}{d\eta} = -\Theta \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \dots. \quad (9)$$

The leading order terms of  $\mathcal{A}$  are [30]

$$-\dot{\tau}^{-1} \mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \Theta_{00} - \Theta + V + \frac{1}{10} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} \Theta_{2m}, \quad (10)$$

where  $V = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}$  with  $\mathbf{v}$  being the velocity of the baryon fluid.  $\tau$  is the optical depth and the dot implies the derivative w.r.t. the conformal time ( $\dot{\tau} < 0$ ). Those of  $\mathcal{B}$  can be given as [5]

$$-\dot{\tau}^{-1} \mathcal{B} = \frac{1}{4\pi} y_{00} - y + \frac{1}{10} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} y_{2m}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4\pi} [\Theta^2]_{00} - \frac{1}{2} \Theta^2 + \frac{1}{20} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} [\Theta^2]_{2m} \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi} V \Theta_{00} - \frac{1}{4\pi} [V\Theta]_{00} + \frac{1}{2} V^2 + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4\pi} [V^2]_{00} \\
& + \frac{1}{10} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} \left[ V\Theta_{2m} - [V\Theta]_{2m} + \frac{1}{2} [V^2]_{2m} \right]. \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the following evolution equation for the  $y$ -distortion up to second-order:

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \dot{\tau}^{-1} \frac{dy}{d\eta} = \frac{1}{4\pi} y_{00} - y + \frac{1}{10} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} y_{2m} \\
& - \frac{1}{4\pi} \Theta \Theta_{00} + \Theta^2 - V\Theta - \frac{1}{10} \Theta \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} \Theta_{2m} \\
& + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4\pi} [\Theta^2]_{00} - \frac{1}{2} \Theta^2 + \frac{1}{20} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} [\Theta^2]_{2m} \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi} V \Theta_{00} - \frac{1}{4\pi} [V\Theta]_{00} + \frac{1}{2} V^2 + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4\pi} [V^2]_{00} \\
& + \frac{1}{10} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_{2m} \left[ V\Theta_{2m} - [V\Theta]_{2m} + [V^2]_{2m} \right]. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation is complicated but the isotropic component of the equation has a simple form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \dot{\tau}^{-1} \frac{dy_{00}}{d\eta} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Theta_{00}^2 + [\Theta^2]_{00} \\
& - 2[V\Theta]_{00} + [V^2]_{00} + \frac{4\pi}{10} \sum_{m=-2}^2 |\Theta_{2m}|^2. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Practically, we express the above formula by using the transfer functions in Fourier space, which can be calculated by Boltzmann codes. The theoretical prediction is given by taking the ensemble average using Eq. (2), and we obtain [5]

$$\frac{d\langle y \rangle}{d\eta} = -\dot{\tau} \int \frac{dk}{k} \frac{k^3 P_\zeta(k)}{2\pi^3} \left[ \frac{9}{2} \Theta_2^2 + 3\Theta_{1g}^2 \right], \quad (14)$$

where  $\Theta_{1g} \equiv \Theta_1 - V_1$  is the gauge invariant relative velocity between photons and baryons, and we drop  $l \geq 3$  since the higher order multipoles are less significant due to the exponential damping of higher multipoles during free streaming [5]. Note that  $\langle \langle \dots \rangle \rangle$  implies that we take both the ensemble average and the sky average in terms of  $\mathbf{n}$ . Thus, the spectral  $y$ -distortion is related to the primordial power spectrum in a framework of second-order Boltzmann equations. It is generated from the local processes of shear viscosity  $\Theta_2$  and heat conduction  $\Theta_{1g}$ , which are both gauge invariant at linear order.

*$\kappa$ -distortion from acoustic damping*— Similar steps are possible at third-order, and we naively expect the third-order distortion to be directly related to the primordial bispectrum in analogy with Eq. (14). We then

obtain the following formula from Eqs. (4), (6), (7) and (8):

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} (\kappa - \Theta y) = \frac{1}{2} \Theta^2 \mathcal{A} - y \mathcal{A} - \Theta \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}. \quad (15)$$

In contrast to the  $y$ -distortion, we find the total derivative  $d(\Theta y)/d\eta$ , which does not imply the local processes such as heat conduction or shear viscosity in the early universe. Since  $y = 0$  at the initial time, this term turns into a product of  $\Theta$  and  $y$  at present. In other words, this part is automatically fixed by  $\Theta$  and  $y$ . Therefore, it can be thought of as an offset of the  $\kappa$ -distortion, and the part arising from physical processes in the early universe is given as  $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa - \Theta y$ .

The angular dependence in Eq. (15) in Fourier space is complicated, so let us consider only the isotropic component of the ensemble average. Here we assume the separable form bispectrum for simplicity:

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = \int d^3x \sum_j \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}} f^{(ij)}(k_i), \quad (16)$$

where the so-called ‘‘local’’ and ‘‘equilateral’’ shapes can be written in this form. Hereafter, we frequently take the angle averages and then the ensemble averages of triple products of perturbations, which can be calculated in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{d\mathbf{n}}{4\pi} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 A^{(i)}(\eta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \right\rangle \\
& = (4\pi)^2 \int dr r^2 \sum_j \prod_{i=1}^3 \left[ \int \frac{dk_i k_i^2}{2\pi^2} \sum_{l_i m_i} A_{l_i}^{(i)}(\eta, k_i) j_{l_i}(k_i r) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times f^{(ij)}(k_i) \right] \mathcal{G}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}^{m_1 m_2 m_3} (\mathcal{G}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}^{m_1 m_2 m_3})^*, \\
& = (4\pi) \sum_j \prod_{i=1}^3 \left[ \int \frac{dk_i k_i^2}{2\pi^2} \sum_{l_i} A_{l_i}^{(i)}(\eta, k_i) f^{(ij)}(k_i) \right] \\
& \quad \times X_{l_1 l_2 l_3} J_{l_1 l_2 l_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3), \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Eqs. (2), (16) and partial wave expansion  $e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = 4\pi \sum_{LM} i^L j_L(kr) Y_{LM}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) Y_{LM}^*(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ ,  $j_L$  being the spherical Bessel functions. Note that the Gaunt integral is also introduced as  $\mathcal{G}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}^{m_1 m_2 m_3} \equiv \int d\mathbf{n} \prod_{i=1}^3 Y_{l_i m_i}(\mathbf{n})$ . We derived the last line by defining  $J_{l_1 l_2 l_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \equiv \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_{l_1}(k_1 r) j_{l_2}(k_2 r) j_{l_3}(k_3 r)$ , and  $X_{l_1 l_2 l_3} \equiv 4\pi \sum_{m_1 m_2 m_3} \mathcal{G}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}^{m_1 m_2 m_3} (\mathcal{G}_{l_1 l_2 l_3}^{m_1 m_2 m_3})^*$ . Then we use a shortcut notation to simply express the triple product as

$$\left\langle \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 A^{(i)} \right\rangle \right\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{F}} \left[ \sum_{l_1 l_2 l_3} X_{l_1 l_2 l_3} A_{l_1}^{(1)} A_{l_2}^{(2)} A_{l_3}^{(3)} \right]. \quad (18)$$

Thus,  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$  always implies momentum integrals with  $J_{l_1 l_2 l_3}$  and separable shape functions.  $X_{l_1 l_2 l_3}$  can be concretely

evaluated as follows up to the quadruple moment:

$$\{X_{l_1 l_2 0}, X_{l_1 l_2 1}, X_{l_1 l_2 2}\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & \frac{50}{7} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \quad (19)$$

Note that we drop higher order multipole moments through out this paper for the same reason as in Eq. (14). Using Eqs. (18) to (19), let us compute the ensemble average of the isotropic component of Eq. (15). We start from the third-order collision term  $\mathcal{D}$ . This was derived in Ref. [25], but angular dependence in Fourier space was not treated correctly. We newly find the following expression:

$$\dot{\tau}^{-1} \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = \hat{\mathcal{F}} [3\Theta_0 \Theta_{1g} V_1 + 6\Theta_1 \Theta_2 V_1] + \langle V y \rangle, \quad (20)$$

where we follow the notation introduced in Eq. (18). On the other hand, Eqs. (18), (19) and (10) straightforwardly yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\tau}^{-1} \langle \Theta^2 \mathcal{A} \rangle &= \hat{\mathcal{F}} \left[ \frac{9}{2} \Theta_0 \Theta_2^2 + \frac{45}{14} \Theta_2^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3\Theta_0 \Theta_{1g} V_1 + \frac{87}{10} \Theta_1^2 \Theta_2 - 6\Theta_1 \Theta_2 V_1 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Employing Eqs. (18), (19) and (11), we also find

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tau}^{-1} \langle \Theta \mathcal{B} \rangle &= \hat{\mathcal{F}} \left[ -3\Theta_0 V_1^2 - 6\Theta_2 V_1^2 + \frac{9}{2} \Theta_0 \Theta_2^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{45}{14} \Theta_2^3 + 3\Theta_0 \Theta_1^2 + \frac{87}{10} \Theta_1^2 \Theta_2 \right] - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \langle \Theta_{00} y_{00} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \Theta y \rangle - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 4\pi} \sum_{m=-2}^2 \langle \Theta_{2m}^* y_{2m} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Finally, the remaining part  $y\mathcal{A}$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tau}^{-1} \langle y \mathcal{A} \rangle &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \langle \Theta_{00} y_{00} \rangle + \langle \Theta y \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 4\pi} \sum_{m=-2}^2 \langle \Theta_{2m}^* y_{2m} \rangle - \langle y V \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Combining these expressions, we find

$$\frac{d \langle \bar{\kappa} \rangle}{d\eta} = -2 \langle y \mathcal{A} \rangle. \quad (24)$$

Thus, the triple products of the perturbations are canceled, and only the mode coupling between the  $y$ -distortion and  $\mathcal{A}$  contributes to the  $\kappa$ -distortion. The absence of triple products of the temperature multipoles in Eq. (24) implies that deviations from the blackbody spectrum are necessary to produce the  $\kappa$ -distortion. In other words, deviations from the Planck distribution appear step by step. Now it is manifest that a possible source of the RHS of Eq. (24) is primordial non-Gaussianity.




FIG. 1. Hierarchy of the scales. The dashed arrow corresponds to the Fourier momenta in the convolutions of  $\Theta_2^2$  or  $\Theta_{1g}^2$ . The solid and dotted arrows are those of the  $y$ - and  $\kappa$ -distortion, respectively. For the left squeezed shape, the superhorizon  $y$ -distortion is produced from  $\mathbf{k}_{1(2)}$  modes in the earlier stage. Then, the  $y$ -distortion enters the horizon and produces the  $\kappa$ -distortion with  $\mathbf{k}'$  modes. On the other hand,  $y$ - and  $\kappa$ -distortions are produced from  $\mathbf{k}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_2$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$  modes simultaneously if we consider the equilateral shape. In this case, our assumption behind Eq. (25) is no more available and we need to account thoroughly for the nonlinear evolution of the  $y$ -distortion. In any case, we consider  $|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}'| \rightarrow 0$  limit when we calculate the ensemble average of  $\kappa$ .

*Numerical estimation*— Full evaluation of Eq. (24) requires full nonlinear evolution of the second-order  $y$ -distortion, and this is beyond the scope of this *Letter*. Instead, we roughly estimate the  $\kappa$ -distortion in a more simplified way. First, we assume the local type configuration for primordial non-Gaussianity,  $B_\zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{6}{5} f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loc.}} (P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2) + 2 \text{ perms.})$ . We then drop the  $y$  source, and set the  $y$ -distortion at the initial time. That is, we assume that the superhorizon  $y$ -distortion has been already generated in the earlier epoch, and we linearly extrapolate free streaming of the  $y$ -distortion by employing the evolution equation without the source. This approximation can be justified as long as we focus on the late period  $z \sim 10^3$ , because  $y$ -distortion generation starts from  $z \sim 5 \times 10^4$ . Here, we write the initial superhorizon  $y$ -distortion as  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^y$ , which is obtained by integrating Eq. (13) up to  $z \sim 10^3$ , and hence we have  $\langle \zeta^y \rangle = \langle y \rangle$  in real space. Then, transfer functions of the  $y$ -distortion (accounting just for free-streaming) can be introduced as we did in Eq. (2):

$$y(\eta, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}) \approx (4\pi) \sum_{lm} (-i)^l Y_{lm}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{lm}(\hat{k}) y_l(\eta, k) \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^y. \quad (25)$$

The statistics of  $\zeta^y$  in Fourier space can be calculated as

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^y \rangle \approx (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}) \langle y \rangle, \quad (26)$$

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^y \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'}^y \rangle \approx (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \langle y \rangle \frac{12}{5} f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loc.}} P_\zeta(k), \quad (27)$$

where this approximation is valid if  $|\mathbf{k}|$  is much smaller than  $|\mathbf{k}_{1,2}|$ , the Fourier momenta in the convolutions of  $\Theta_2^2$  and  $\Theta_{1g}^2$ . The relation between these momenta is depicted in the left panel of Fig. 1. The transfer function of the  $y$ -distortion can be obtained by solving the following hierarchy equation without the source:

$$\dot{y}_l + \frac{k(l+1)}{2l+1} y_{l+1} - \frac{kl}{2l+1} y_{l-1}$$




FIG. 2. Transfer functions for  $\Theta_1 - V_1$  (top left),  $\Theta_2$  (top right),  $y_1$  (bottom left) and  $y_2$  (bottom right). The horizontal axis is the redshift. In contrast to the temperature multipoles,  $y$  multipoles do not oscillate in the earlier epoch. This is because the  $y$ -distortion is not tightly coupled to baryon fluctuations.

$$= \dot{\tau} \left( 1 - \delta_{l0} - \frac{1}{10} \delta_{2l} \right) y_l. \quad (28)$$

Eqs. (10), (24), (25) and (27) yield the following formula up to  $l = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \bar{\kappa} \rangle\rangle &\approx -f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loc.}} \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle \int \frac{dk}{k} \frac{k^3 P_\zeta(k)}{2\pi^3} \\ &\times \frac{24}{5} \int_{\eta_i}^{\eta_0} d\eta \dot{\tau} \left[ \frac{9}{2} \Theta_2 y_2 + 3\Theta_{1g} y_1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Thus, the  $\kappa$ -distortion is produced from shear and heat conduction of  $y$  and  $\Theta$ , which are again gauge independent. Fig. 2 shows time evolution of  $\Theta_2$ ,  $\Theta_{1g}$ ,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  calculated by modifying the cosmic linear anisotropy solving system (CLASS) [31]. The  $y$ -distortions are erased in the earlier epoch when the universe is in kinetic equilibrium since they are converted into the  $\mu$  distortion. We similarly account for such a thermalization effect for the  $\kappa$ -distortion by inserting  $J_y = (1 + [(1+z)/(6 \times 10^4)]^{2.58})^{-1}$  into Eq. (29), assuming the same discussions for the  $y$ -distortions [32]. Then, we numerically integrate Eq. (29). Fig. 3 shows the estimation of the second line of Eq. (29). Though the Fourier space window function for the  $y$ -distortion picks modes on  $k \text{ Mpc} \lesssim 10^2$  up [4], the contribution to the  $\kappa$ -distortion only comes from the modes on  $k \text{ Mpc} < 0.5$ . This is because the phase discrepancy between  $\Theta$  and  $y$  cancels most of the energy injection. Still, integration




FIG. 3. The Fourier space window functions for the spectral distortions in units of  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loc.}} \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle k^3 P_\zeta(k) / 2\pi^3$  (solid line) and  $k^3 P_\zeta(k) / 2\pi^3$  (dashed line).

between  $0.01 < k \text{ Mpc} < 0.5$  results in non zero value

$$\langle\langle \bar{\kappa} \rangle\rangle \approx -1.4 \times 10^{-18} f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loc.}} \left( \frac{\langle\langle y \rangle\rangle}{4 \times 10^{-9}} \right), \quad (30)$$

where we set  $k^3 P_\zeta / 2\pi^2 = A_\zeta (k/k_0)^{n_s-1}$  with  $A_\zeta 10^9 = 2.2$ ,  $k_0 \text{ Mpc} = 0.05$  and  $n_s = 0.96$ . Thus, the  $\kappa$ -distortion is directly related to primordial non-Gaussianity.

*Discussions*— Even though the overall signal resulting from a primordial local non-Gaussianity is expected to be tiny, such a signal can, in principle, be distinguished from other types of CMB spectral distortions due to the specific frequency dependence of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Note that we easily translate observational upper bounds on the  $y$ -distortion into those for the  $\kappa$ -distortion; upper bounds on  $\kappa$ -distortion is four times tighter than those on the  $y$ -distortion since we have  $\int dp p^3 \mathcal{K} = 4 \int dp p^3 \mathcal{Y}$ .

Moreover, there are various aspects related to this new signal that it is worth briefly to mention here as possible future investigations. For example, the right panel of Fig. 1 suggests that the  $\kappa$ -distortion is also sensitive to equilateral type non-Gaussianity, though this would require us a more exact estimation since the approximation behind Eq. (25) is not valid. Anisotropy in the  $\kappa$ -distortion would also be a new window for the primordial higher-order correlations. It is conceivable that the new cubic spectral distortion in Eq. (24) could also receive non-primordial contributions (e.g., weakly non-linear effects and projection effects, similarly to [33]). Finally, we expect astrophysical applications of this new type of spectral distortion in the similar direction of Refs. [34, 35]. Our result suggests that there exists a new type of the spectral distortion if incoming photon distribution deviates from the ideal Planck distribution. Therefore it is foreseeable that this process might take place also within clusters of galaxies.

We would like to thank Giovanni Cabass, Jens Chluba, Michele Liguori, Andrea Ravenni, Masahide Yamaguchi and Matias Zaldarriaga for useful discussions. We would

like to thank Jens Chluba, Enrico Pajer, Andrea Ravenni for careful reading of our manuscript. We thank CERN for hosting the TH Institute “Probing Fundamental with CMB Spectral Distortions”, where part of this work has been carried out. A.O. thanks the Physics and Astronomy Dept. of Padova for their hospitality during the development of this work. A.O. is supported by JSPS Overseas Research Fellowships. N.B. acknowledges partial financial support by ASI Grant No. 2016-24-H.O.

---

\* a.ota@uu.nl

- [1] R. A. Sunyaev and Y. B. Zeldovich, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **7**, 3 (1970).
- [2] W. Hu, D. Scott and J. Silk, *Astrophys. J.* **430**, L5 (1994) doi:10.1086/187424 [astro-ph/9402045].
- [3] J. Chluba and R. A. Sunyaev, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **419**, 1294 (2012) doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19786.x [arXiv:1109.6552 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [4] J. Chluba, A. L. Erickcek and I. Ben-Dayan, *Astrophys. J.* **758**, 76 (2012) doi:10.1088/0004-637X/758/2/76 [arXiv:1203.2681 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [5] J. Chluba, R. Khatri and R. A. Sunyaev, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **425**, 1129 (2012) doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21474.x [arXiv:1202.0057 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [6] A. A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Lett. B* **91**, 99 (1980). A. H. Guth, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981); K. Sato, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **195**, 467 (1981).
- [7] E. Pajer and M. Zaldarriaga, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 021302 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.021302 [arXiv:1201.5375 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [8] E. Pajer and M. Zaldarriaga, *JCAP* **1302**, 036 (2013) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/02/036 [arXiv:1206.4479 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [9] J. Ganc and E. Komatsu, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 023518 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.023518 [arXiv:1204.4241 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [10] M. Biagetti, H. Perrier, A. Riotto and V. Desjacques, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 063521 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.063521 [arXiv:1301.2771 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [11] A. Ota, T. Sekiguchi, Y. Tada and S. Yokoyama, *JCAP* **1503** (2015) no.03, 013 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/03/013 [arXiv:1412.4517 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [12] R. Emami, E. Dimastrogiovanni, J. Chluba and M. Kamionkowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) no.12, 123531 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.123531 [arXiv:1504.00675 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [13] M. Shiraishi, M. Liguori, N. Bartolo and S. Matarrese, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 083502 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.083502 [arXiv:1506.06670 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [14] N. Bartolo, M. Liguori and M. Shiraishi, *JCAP* **1603** (2016) no.03, 029 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/03/029 [arXiv:1511.01474 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [15] M. Shiraishi, N. Bartolo and M. Liguori, *JCAP* **1610**, no. 10, 015 (2016) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/10/015 [arXiv:1607.01363 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [16] A. Ravenni, M. Liguori, N. Bartolo and M. Shiraishi, *JCAP* **1709** (2017) no.09, 042 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/09/042 [arXiv:1707.04759 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [17] J. Chluba, E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. A. Amin and M. Kamionkowski, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **466** (2017) no.2, 2390 doi:10.1093/mnras/stw3230 [arXiv:1610.08711 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [18] R. Khatri and R. Sunyaev, *JCAP* **1509**, no. 09, 026 (2015) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/9/026, 10.1088/1475-7516/2015/09/026 [arXiv:1507.05615 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [19] R. Khatri and R. A. Sunyaev, *JCAP* **1209**, 016 (2012) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/09/016 [arXiv:1207.6654 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [20] J. Chluba and D. Jeong, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **438**, no. 3, 2065 (2014) doi:10.1093/mnras/stt2327 [arXiv:1306.5751 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [21] A. Kogut *et al.*, *JCAP* **1107**, 025 (2011) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/07/025 [arXiv:1105.2044 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [22] P. André *et al.* [PRISM Collaboration], *JCAP* **1402**, 006 (2014) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/02/006 [arXiv:1310.1554 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [23] P. André *et al.* [PRISM Collaboration], arXiv:1306.2259 [astro-ph.CO].
- [24] L. Danese and G. de Zotti, *Nuovo Cimento* (1971-1977) Volume 7, Number 3, 277-362, DOI: 10.1007/BF02747276, J.D. Barrow and P. Coles *Mon. Not. Roy. astr. Soc.*, 248, 52-57 (1991)
- [25] A. Ota, *JCAP* **1701**, no. 01, 037 (2017) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/01/037 [arXiv:1611.08058 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [26] V. Acquaviva, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, *Nucl. Phys. B* **667**, 119 (2003) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00550-9 [astro-ph/0209156].
- [27] J. M. Maldacena, *JHEP* **0305**, 013 (2003) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/05/013 [astro-ph/0210603].
- [28] N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rept.* **402**, 103 (2004) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2004.08.022 [astro-ph/0406398].
- [29] X. Chen *Adv. Astron.* **2010**, 638979 (2010) doi:10.1155/2010/638979 [arXiv:1002.1416 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] S. Dodelson, Amsterdam, Netherlands: Academic Pr. (2003) 440 p
- [31] D. Blas, J. Lesgourgues and T. Tram, *JCAP* **1107**, 034 (2011) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/07/034 [arXiv:1104.2933 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [32] J. Chluba, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **434**, 352 (2013) doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1025 [arXiv:1304.6120 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [33] G. Cabass, E. Pajer and D. van der Woude, arXiv:1805.08775 [astro-ph.CO].
- [34] J. Chluba, L. Dai and M. Kamionkowski, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **437**, no. 1, 67 (2014) doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1861 [arXiv:1308.5969 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [35] J. Chluba and L. Dai, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **438**, no. 2, 1324 (2014) doi:10.1093/mnras/stt2277 [arXiv:1309.3274 [astro-ph.CO]].