

Representations of chiral alternative to vierbein and construction of non-Abelian instanton solution in curved space-time

K. Maharana*

Physics Department, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar 751004, India

A chiral alternative to the vierbein field in general relativity was considered by 't Hooft in an attempt to facilitate the construction of a quantum theory of gravity. These objects $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ behave like the “cube root” of the metric tensor. We try to construct specific representations of these tensors in terms of Dirac γ matrices in Euclidean and Minkowski space, and promote these to curved space through Newman-Penrose formalism. We conjecture that these are new objects with physical significance and are the analog of Killing-Yano tensors. As an application, we try to construct non-Abelian instanton like solutions in curved space from the flat space 't Hooft tensors. The space part of the tensors are decomposed to a product of Levi-Civita tensor and flat space Dirac gamma matrices. The gamma matrices are promoted to the curved space with the help of vierbeins, as in separability of Dirac equation by Chandrasekhar, using Newman-Penrose formalism. The curved space generalisation of the instanton solution A^a_μ is now constructed by substituting all objects to their general covariant form.

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 11. 15.-q, 11.30.j, 04.20.Gz

A consistent and complete quantum formulation of gravity is yet to be realised[1]. Problems are encountered with quantizing even pure gravity [2–5]. The very existence of matter fields, and in particular the fermions, further necessitates their incorporation in a complete quantisation programme. Unlike tensors, there is no spinor representation for the diffeomorphism invariance corresponding to the GL(4) general coordinate transformations of general relativity[6]. The only way to incorporate spinors in general relativity is through the introduction of vierbeins, or tetrads, introduced by Weyl[7]. A tetrad behaves like the “square root” of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$. The spinor, which is “square root” of a vector or tensor of rank one, can be incorporated into general relativity by using the tetrad. The construction of both fermions and bosons out of spinors and their various combinations has been exploited through this approach.

The variation of the action with respect to tetrads, or their higher dimensional incarnations, and the spin connections gives the Einstein field equations. Such an action is the basis for quantum formulation of gravity in string theory, as well as in other covariant formulations, and also in the canonical approach. The examples of application of tetrad formalism are the calculation of the metric perturbation of black hole solutions, reflexion of electromagnetic waves and Dirac particles in such geometries.[8]. In the canonical approach to quantum gravity, tetrads appear ultimately through Sen-Ashtekar variables [9].

Another class of objects which will interest us, closely connected to the vierbein, are the self-dual or anti-dual solutions to the Yang-Mills equations of the gauge theories in the form of monopoles, instantons, etc.[10–12] These objects appear naturally in the gauge formulation of any grand unified theory. Although these objects are not yet seen in direct experiments, the instanton solves the U(1) problem of QCD, as well as, it may contribute to

the baryon violating process in the early universe [13, 14]. Topological objects, which are analogues of monopoles, have been created in lower dimensional systems in the form of vortex and other configurations.

It would be of interest to have a theory where the SU(3) of Quantum Chromo Dynamics and the SU(2) \times U(1) of electroweak interactions come out naturally in a manner similar to the Dirac’s relativistic theory of electrons, where the spin, magnetic moment and other consequences, like the $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ coupling, emerge automatically.

In an attempt to quantize gravity, 't Hooft has considered a chiral alternative to vierbein [15]. The Einstein-Hilbert action, constructed through these fields, takes an elegant form. However, imposition of the gauge condition and the consequent constraint term added to the Lagrangian makes it non-renormalizable.

A rare natural appearance of an internal SU(3) symmetry occurs in this formulation. As it stands, this SU(3) does not seem to be related to Quantum Chromodynamics. However, it is tempting to speculate that the elementary fields could be variously U(1), SU(2), and SU(3) representations, somehow, arising naturally from the chiral alternative. This chiral alternative to the vierbein was explored by 't Hooft as an object at the deeper level with interesting characteristics that reflect some properties of the dual solutions.

Another motivation for looking at the chiral alternative to the vierbein is to analyse the “cube root of the metric tensor”, so as to obtain some objects analogous to the Killing-Yano tensors. Starting with a metric admitting Killing tensors and its “square-root”, i.e., Killing-Yano tensors, it leads to discovery of hidden symmetries, exotic supersymmetries, and conserved entities of the background. The separability of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations are also a consequence there of [16–26]. So, it is expected that the chiral alternative being a “cube root” of the metric tensor would have similar attributes lead-

ing to enumeration of symmetries, conservation laws, and separability of the equation.

Though the formulated Lagrangian in terms of chiral vierbein is not renormalizable, the chiral alternative has many interesting features, such as, emergence of new symmetry, self(anti)-duality, etc. In this paper, we first try to construct the representations of the chiral alternatives in terms of Dirac γ matrices in curved space.

A chiral alternative: Since chiral fermions and vie(r)(l)beins play a very important role in both covariant and canonical formulations of quantum gravity, as well as in term of loop, and string theory, 't Hooft has introduced an alternate new interesting object that behaves like the “cube root” of the metric tensor, instead of the vierbein. Following is a brief review of the role of different fields leading to the chiral alternative, and in setting up the basic formalism [15].

For introduction of Dirac field to general relativity, as well as an alternative to the metric tensor as the fundamental variable in the covariant formalism, it is useful to introduce the “square root” of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$; the vierbein field e^a_μ ,

$$e^a_\mu e^a_\nu = g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1)$$

Here μ, ν are four vector indices and a represents “internal” indices.

Instead of e^a_μ 't Hooft has introduced a field $e^a_{\mu\nu}$ in curved space-time that takes the values $\eta^a_{\mu\nu}$ in a locally flat coordinate frame. This $\eta^a_{\mu\nu}$ is the the invariant self-dual tensor of the monopole solution obtained by him[11],

$$\eta^a_{\mu\nu} = -\eta^a_{\nu\mu} = \epsilon_{a\mu\nu} + \delta_{a\mu} \delta_{\nu 4} - \delta_{a\nu} \delta_{\mu 4}, \quad (2)$$

where $a = 1, 2$ or 3 , and ϵ is 3-dim Levi-Civita symbol.

This new field $e^a_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies

$$\epsilon_{abc} e^a_{\mu\nu} e^b_{\kappa\lambda} e^c_{\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\rho} = 24\sqrt{g} g_{\lambda\rho}, \quad (3)$$

or better,

$$\epsilon_{abc} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\kappa\lambda} f^c_{\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\rho} = 24 g_{\lambda\rho}. \quad (4)$$

This is invariant under any transformation of the form

$$e^a_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow S^a_b e^b_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

where $S^a_b \in \text{SL}(3)$ and $\det S = 1$ for the Euclidean space. Analogous to vierbein field, one introduces an $\text{SL}(3)$ connection field $A^a_{b\mu}$ by demanding

$$D_\mu e^a_{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_\mu e^a_{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\alpha} e^a_{\lambda\beta} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\beta} e^a_{\alpha\lambda} + A^a_{b\mu} e^b_{\alpha\beta}) = 0. \quad (6)$$

This leads to [15],

$$A^a_{a\mu} = 0, \quad (7)$$

and a bilinear expression in $e^a_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$K^{ab} = \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} e^a_{\alpha\beta} e^b_{\mu\nu}, \quad (8)$$

which has an inverse K_{ab} .

By redefining

$$e^a_{\mu\nu} (\det K)^{-\frac{1}{9}} = f^a_{\mu\nu}, \quad (9)$$

equation (3) can be rewritten as

$$\epsilon_{abc} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\kappa\lambda} f^c_{\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\rho} = 24 g_{\lambda\rho}, \quad (10)$$

where $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ is the chiral alternative. The Lagrangian now becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{c.a.} = \frac{\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\rho\sigma}}{32} f^c_{\kappa\lambda} f^b_{\rho\sigma} F^a_{c\mu\nu} \epsilon_{abd} f^d_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \quad (11)$$

which is the analog of the Lagrangian with vierbein

$$\mathcal{L}_{vierbein} = \sqrt{g} R = \det(e) F^{ab}_{\mu\nu} e^\mu_a e^\nu_b. \quad (12)$$

For the case of chiral alternative

$$\begin{aligned} F^a_{b\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A^a_{b\nu} - \partial_\nu A^a_{b\mu} + [A_\mu, A_\nu]^a_b \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abd} \eta^d_{\lambda\alpha} R_{\lambda\alpha\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This gives a relation between f and the metric g , i.e., for “cube root” of $g_{\kappa\tau}$,

$$\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau} = 24 g_{\kappa\tau}. \quad (14)$$

In Minkowski space, putting a reality condition

$$\hat{f}^a_{\mu\nu} = (f^a_{\mu\nu})^*, \quad (15)$$

converts the internal $\text{SL}(3)$ to an $\text{SU}(3)$ symmetry.

An attempt to obtain a representation in flat Euclidean space: Here we try to construct $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of Dirac γ^μ matrices to get a relation related to (14) for flat Euclidean space, and also for Minkowski space. Then, as for the generalisation of Dirac equation to curved space, we can promote the γ^μ matrices, and hence $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ to the curved space.

The 't Hooft tensor, introduced in the context of monopole-like solutions, is given by

$$\eta^a_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{a\mu\nu} + g_{4\mu} g_{\nu a} - g_{4\nu} g_{\mu a}, \quad (16)$$

where $(a = 1, 2, 3)$ and it has the property of being anti-symmetric and self-dual.

If we express $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$f^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial^a \gamma_5 \sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad (17)$$

with ∂^a corresponding to an internal $\text{SU}(2)$, and the γ^μ matrices in Pauli-Dirac representation satisfy [27],

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2i} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu], \\ \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu &= 2\delta_{\mu\nu}, \\ \gamma_5 &= \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4 = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\rho, \\ \gamma_\mu^\dagger &= \gamma_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

then $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric in μ and ν and is anti-self-dual modulo γ_5 . Here $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ appears as an object in the direct product of two spaces, the internal space indices a, b , and c , which may arise from some $SU(2)$ and the 4-space Greek indices $\mu, \nu \dots$ etc. of Lorentz group or the $SL(2, C)$, and hence do not have the deep significance of $\eta^a_{\mu\nu}$ as in the instanton or monopole solution. For $\kappa = \tau$, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau} \\ &= \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \sigma^a \sigma^b \sigma^c \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\lambda \gamma_\kappa \gamma_5 \gamma_\rho \gamma_\tau \\ &= 6i I_2 \times 12 I_4. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

This expression must vanish for $\kappa \neq \tau$.

To make the right hand side vanish for $\kappa \neq \tau$, we may take either the trace or the determinant of $\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau}$, so that

$$\text{tr} (\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau}) \propto g_{\kappa\tau}. \quad (20)$$

Here, the expression on r.h.s. means the value of that component. One can also use

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau} \propto g_{\kappa\tau}, \quad (21)$$

where $g_{\kappa\tau}$ is the Euclidean flat space metric. We can also get the general relation

$$\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau} + (\kappa \rightleftharpoons \tau) = 6i I_2 \times 12 I_4 g_{\kappa\tau}. \quad (22)$$

So the relation (14) is not produced exactly, but equation (14) satisfies equation (22) modulo a constant and identity matrices. Since we have considered in the simplest case σ^a to be two dimensional, and the space to be a direct product space, it would be more appropriate to call our construct as an attempt to a realisation, rather than a representation. It is also intriguing that due to the presence of γ 's in equation (17), $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ can act on spinors.

Minkowski space: In the Minkowskian case, we again define

$$f^a_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^a \gamma_5 \sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad (23)$$

which is antisymmetric in μ and ν , and is anti-self-dual modulo $i\gamma_5$. Here we use the γ_μ matrices of Bjorken and Drell [28],

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2i} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu], \\ \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu &= 2g_{\mu\nu}, \\ g_{\mu\nu} &= (1, -1, -1, -1) \\ \gamma_5 &= -i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We get, for $\kappa = \tau$,

$$\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau} = 6i I_2 \times (-i) 12 I_4 g_{\kappa\tau}. \quad (25)$$

To make it vanish for $\kappa \neq \tau$, we consider as in the Euclidean case, and obtain

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau} + (\kappa \rightleftharpoons \tau) = 144 I_2 \times I_4 g_{\kappa\tau}, \quad (26)$$

or take the trace of the left hand side to obtain

$$\text{tr} (\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} f^a_{\mu\nu} f^b_{\lambda\kappa} f^c_{\rho\tau}) \propto g_{\kappa\tau}. \quad (27)$$

Another object can be constructed

$$\check{f}^a_{\mu\nu} = \sigma^a (g_{\mu\mu} g_{\nu\nu} + i\gamma_5) \sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad (28)$$

which is antisymmetric in μ and ν and is anti-self-dual. Its properties are similar to that of $f^a_{\mu\nu}$. However, promoting this to curved space will have problem as both the left and right side would contain the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, unless we write

$$\begin{aligned} \check{f}^a_{ij} &= \sigma^a (1 + i\gamma_5) \sigma_{ij}, \\ \check{f}^a_{i0} &= \sigma^a (-1 + i\gamma_5) \sigma_{i0}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Gauge instanton in curved space: Chandrasekhar [8] was able to show the separability of the Dirac equation in curved space by making use of the Newman-Penrose formalism[29]. For this, the constant Pauli matrices, σ_i , constituting the γ_μ matrices are replaced by their natural generalization

$$\sigma^i_{AB'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} l^i & m^i \\ \bar{m}^i & n^i \end{vmatrix}, \quad (30)$$

where l, m, \bar{m}, n are the null basis vectors [8]. For the Kerr space-time the contravariant basis are

$$\begin{aligned} l^i &= \frac{1}{\Delta} (r^2 + a^2, +\Delta, 0, a) & n^i &= \frac{1}{2\rho^2} (r^2 + a^2, -\Delta, 0, a) \\ m^i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\rho} (ia \sin \theta, 0, 1, i \csc \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$, with M the mass and a the angular momentum per unit mass of the black hole, and $\bar{\rho} = ra \cos \theta$. Now, the Dirac gamma matrices γ^μ , for the curved space expression, become [30]

$$\gamma^\mu = \sqrt{2} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & (\sigma^{\mu AB'})^T \\ (\sigma^\mu_{AB'}) & 0 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

This γ^μ is to be substituted in eqn.(23), for example, to obtain $f^a_{\mu\nu}$. So the instanton solution in curved space-time, on substituting all objects to their general covariant form, becomes

$$A^a_\mu = \frac{2}{g} \frac{e^a_{\mu\nu} (x - x_0)^\nu}{(x - x_0)^2 + \lambda^2}, \quad (33)$$

where x_0 is free due to translational invariance, and λ is a free scale parameter. Using equations (23), (30), (32), and the appropriate substitution of the null basis vectors for Kerr and other geometries, gives the gauge instanton solutions of corresponding curved spaces.

Discussion: Besides its appearance in the soliton solution, the 't Hooft tensor $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ itself has many interesting properties. The use of twistors in producing anti-self-dual solutions of the Yang-Mills equations [31] indicates that twistors are related to 't Hooft tensor $f^a_{\mu\nu}$. It is remarkable that an internal SU(3) transformation of $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ appears for the Minkowski space. It is interesting to note that two other rare instances of an SU(3), that arise naturally, are the one as a consequence of a dynamical symmetry implying the existence of a Runge-Lenz type vector in 3-dimension harmonic oscillator potential[32], and the other from the Dirac equation in fractal dimension[33]. However, the SU(3) of chiral alternative is not the consequence of a potential, and its origin is geometrical. It is natural to expect conserved quantities arising out of the SU(3) symmetry of equation (15). It would be interesting to relate these to the Killing-Yano type symmetries. For matter coupled to gravity, where there is coupling to higher spin states, the acceleration is expected to depend on higher powers of the four velocity and this may give rise to new type of conserved quantities [34]. Symmetries of spinning particles in Schwarzschild and Kerr-Newman type space times have been analysed by Gibbons, Rietdijk and van Holten [25]. They found new nontrivial supersymmetry corresponding to the Killing-Yano tensor for the black hole space-time. This also plays an important role in solving the Dirac equation in these black hole metrics. The fermionic symmetries are generated by the square root of bosonic constants of motion other than the Hamiltonian [25, 34, 35]. Therefore, one would like to conjecture that the “cube root” $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ would have analogous relation with the symmetries and the conserved quantities.

This Killing-'t Hooft tensor $f^a_{\mu\nu}$ would be a new mathematically interesting object to analyse. Establishing such a connection is expected to lead to a better understanding of the structure of space-time as well as the origin of the gauge symmetries.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to G. 't Hooft for critical comments, and thank B. de Wit and H. Nicolai for discussions. Grants from Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO) and Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute) are acknowledged with gratitude.

- [1] S. Carlip, D. W. Chiou, W. T. Ni, and R. Woodard, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24**, 1530028 (2015).
- [2] M. H. Goroff and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. **160B**, 81 (1985).
- [3] M. H. Goroff and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. **B266**, 709 (1986).
- [4] A. E. M. van de Ven, Nucl. Phys. **B378**, 309 (1992).
- [5] H. Nicolai, K. Peeters, and M. Zamaklar, Class. Quantum Grav. **22** R193R247 (2005)
- [6] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. 3: Supersymmetry* (Cambridge University Press, 2000);
- [7] H. Weyl, Z. Phys. **56**, 330 (1929); Phys. Rev. **77**, 699 (1950).
- [8] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford University Press, 1981).
- [9] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 1587 (1987).
- [10] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz, and Yu. S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. **B59**, 85 (1975).
- [11] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B79**, 276 (1974).
- [12] A. M. Polyakov, JETP Lett. **20**, 194 (1974).
- [13] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976).
- [14] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rep. C **142**, 357 (1986).
- [15] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B357**, 221 (1991).
- [16] R. Floyd, The dynamics of Kerr fields, Ph.D. thesis, London University (1973).
- [17] R. Penrose, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. **224**, 125 (1973).
- [18] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 3395 (1977).
- [19] B. Carter, R. G. Mclenaghan, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 1093 (1979).
- [20] D. N. Page, D. Kubiznak, M. Vasudevan, and P. Krtous, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 061102 (2007).
- [21] V. P. Frolov and D. Kubiznak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 011101 (2007).
- [22] P. Krtous, D. Kubiznak, D. N. Page, and V. P. Frolov, J. High Energy Phys. **0702**, 004 (2007).
- [23] D. Kubiznak, H. K. Kunduri, and Yukinori Yasui, Phys. Lett. **678B**, 240 (2009).
- [24] V. P. Frolov, P. Krtous, and D. Kubiznak, Living Rev. Relativ. **20:6** (2017).
- [25] G.W. Gibbons, R.H. Rietdijk, and J.W. van Holten, Nucl. Phys. **B404**, 42 (1993).
- [26] O. P. Santillan, J. Math. Phys. **53**, 043509 (2012).
- [27] J. J. Sakurai, *Advanced Quantum Mechanics* (Addison Wesley, Reading, 1967).
- [28] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw Hill, New York, 1964).
- [29] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose (1962). J. Math. Phys. **3**, 566 (1962).
- [30] J. A. H. Futterman, F. A. Handler, and R. A. Matzner, *Scattering from black holes*, (Cambridge University Press, 1988).
- [31] R. S. Ward, Phys. Lett. **61 (2)A**, 81 (1977).
- [32] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, (McGraw Hill, New York, 1968)
- [33] R. Kerner, Class. Quantum Grav. **9**, S137 (1992).
- [34] B. de Wit and D. Z. Freedman, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 358 (1980).
- [35] J. W. van Holten and R. H. Rietdijk, arXiv:hep-th/9205074.

* karmadevamaharana@gmail.com, karmadev@iopb.res.in