SHARP HARDY-LERAY AND RELLICH-LERAY INEQUALITIES FOR CURL-FREE VECTOR FIELDS

NAOKI HAMAMOTO AND FUTOSHI TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove Hardy-Leray and Rellich-Leray inequalities for curl-free vector fields with sharp constants. This complements the former work by Costin-Maz'ya [2] on the sharp Hardy-Leray inequality for axisymmetric divergence-free vector fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we concern the classical functional inequalities called Hardy-Leray and Rellich-Leray inequality for smooth vector fields and study how the best constants will change according to the pointwise constraints on their differentials.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be an integer with $N \geq 2$ and put $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. In the following, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ denotes a set of smooth vector fields

$$\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \ni \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

having compact supports on \mathbb{R}^N . Let $\gamma \neq 1 - N/2$. Then it is well known that

(1)
$$\left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x}$$

holds for any vector field $\boldsymbol{u} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ with $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{0}) = \boldsymbol{0}$ if $\gamma < 1 - N/2$. This is a higher dimensional extension of 1-dimensional inequality by G. H. Hardy, see [6], also [10], and was first proved by J. Leray [8] in 1933 when the weight $\gamma = 0$, see also the book by Ladyzhenskaya [7]. It is also known that the constant $\left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2$ is sharp and never attained. In [2], Costin and Maz'ya proved that if the smooth vector fields are axisymmetric and subject to the divergence-free constraint div $\boldsymbol{u} = 0$, then the constant in (1) can be improved and replaced by a larger one. More precisely, they proved the following:

Theorem A. (Costin-Maz'ya [2]) Let $N \ge 3$. Let $\gamma \ne 1 - N/2$ be a real number and $\mathbf{u} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ be an axisymmetric divergence-free vector field. Assume that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ if $\gamma < 1 - N/2$. Then

$$C_{N,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x}$$

Date: August 30, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35A23; Secondary 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Leray inequality, Rellich-Leray inequality, curl-free vector fields.

holds with the optimal constant $C_{N,\gamma}$ given by

$$C_{N,\gamma} = \begin{cases} \left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 \frac{N + 1 + \left(\gamma - \frac{N}{2}\right)^2}{N - 1 + \left(\gamma - \frac{N}{2}\right)^2} & (\gamma \le 1), \\ \left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 + 2 + \min_{\kappa \ge 0} \left(\kappa + \frac{4(N - 1)(\gamma - 1)}{\kappa + N - 1 + \left(\gamma - \frac{N}{2}\right)^2}\right) & (N \ge 4, \gamma > 1), \\ \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 2 & (N = 3, \gamma > 1), \end{cases}$$

Note that the expression of the best constant $C(N, \gamma)$ is slightly different from that in [2] when $N \ge 4$, but a careful checking the proof in [2] leads to the above formula in Theorem A. Choosing $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem A, we see that the best constant in (1) is actually improved for axisymmetric divergence-free vector fields in the sense that

$$C_{N,0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2} dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 dx$$

holds with the optimal constant $C_{N,0} = \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 \frac{N^2 + 4N + 4}{N^2 + 4N - 4} > \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$. In 2-dimensional case, the result in [2] reads as follows:

Theorem B. (Costin-Maz'ya [2]) Let $\gamma \neq 0$ be a real number and $\mathbf{u} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ be a divergence-free vector field. We assume that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ if $\gamma < 0$. Then

$$C_{2,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} dx$$

holds with the optimal constant $C_{2,\gamma}$ given by

$$C_{2,\gamma} = \begin{cases} \gamma^2 \frac{3 + (\gamma - 1)^2}{1 + (\gamma - 1)^2} & \text{for } |\gamma + 1| \le \sqrt{3}, \\ \gamma^2 + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When N = 2, \boldsymbol{u} in Theorem B need not be axisymmetric. Furthermore if we consider $\boldsymbol{u}^{\perp} = (-u_2, u_1)$ for $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ in Theorem B, then the condition div $\boldsymbol{u} = 0$ is replaced by curl $\boldsymbol{u}^{\perp} = 0$ and also $|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 = |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{\perp}|^2$. Thus the above inequality in Theorem B holds also for curl-free vector fields with the same constant.

Motivated by this observation, our aim in this paper is to generalize Costin-Maz'ya's result for curl-free vector fields when N = 2 to higher-dimensional cases. In addition, we also consider the Rellich type inequality involving higher-order derivative, Δu , for curl-free vector fields. We refer to [5] for the Rellich-Leray inequality for divergence-free vector fields.

Now, main results of this paper are as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $\gamma \neq 1 - N/2$ be a real number and let $\boldsymbol{u} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ be a curl-free vector field. We assume that $\boldsymbol{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ if $\gamma < 1 - N/2$. Then

(2)
$$H_{N,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x}$$

with the optimal constant $H_{N,\gamma}$ given by

(3)
$$H_{N,\gamma} = \begin{cases} \left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 \frac{3(N-1) + \left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 2\right)^2}{N-1 + \left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 2\right)^2} & \text{if } |\gamma + \frac{N}{2}| \le \sqrt{N+1}, \\ \left(\gamma + \frac{N}{2} - 1\right)^2 + N - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We remark that no symmetry assumption for \boldsymbol{u} is needed. Theorem 1 corresponds to the higher-dimensional analogue of Theorem B in the sense that $C_{2,\gamma} = H_{2,\gamma}$.

For curl-free vector fields \boldsymbol{u} , Poincaré's lemma implies that there exists a smooth ϕ such that $\boldsymbol{u} = \nabla \phi$. Thus by using the potential function ϕ , Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $\gamma \neq 1 - N/2$ be a real number and let $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We assume that $\nabla \phi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ if $\gamma < 1 - N/2$. Then

$$H_{N,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |D^2 \phi|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x}$$

with the optimal constant $H_{N,\gamma}$ given in (3). Here $D^2\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq N}$ denotes the Hessian matrix of ϕ .

By similar arguments, we prove the following Rellich-Leray inequality for curlfree vector fields.

Theorem 3. Let $\gamma \neq 2-N/2$ be a real number and let $\boldsymbol{u} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ be a curl-free vector field. We assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 dx < \infty$. Then

(4)
$$R_{N,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^4} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta \boldsymbol{u}|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x}$$

with the optimal constant $R_{N,\gamma}$ given by

(5)
$$R_{N,\gamma} = \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \frac{(1 - \frac{N}{2} - \gamma)^2 + \alpha_{\nu}}{(3 - \frac{N}{2} - \gamma)^2 + \alpha_{\nu}} (\alpha_{3 - \frac{N}{2} - \gamma} - \alpha_{\nu})^2$$

for $\gamma \neq 3 - N/2$, where we put

$$\alpha_s = s(s+N-2), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

(6)
$$R_{N,3-N/2} = \begin{cases} 4(N-2)^2 & \text{for } N = 2,3,4, \\ (N+3)(N-1) & \text{for } N \ge 5. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 4. Let $\gamma \neq 2 - N/2$ be a real number and let $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a potential function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx < \infty$. Then

$$R_{N,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^4} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \Delta \phi|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} d\boldsymbol{x}$$

holds with the optimal constant $R_{N,\gamma}$ as in (5) and (6).

Remark 5. We do not know that the optimal constants $H_{N,\gamma}$ and $R_{N,\gamma}$ are attained or not in the class of vector fields in Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

Also in Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, if we restrict ourselves only on vector fields in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$, then the additional assumptions $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ if $\gamma < 1 - N/2$, or $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx < \infty$ are not needed and the same conclusions hold true.

Concerning Corollary 2 which is equivalent to Theorem 1, we should remark that the similar results already exist by [11], [3]; see also [4] Chapter 6.5. More precisely, improving the work by Tertikas and Zographopoulos [11], Ghoussoub and Moradifam ([3]: Appendix B) prove the following: Let $C_c^{\infty}(B_R)$ denote the set of smooth functions having compact supports in a ball $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with radius R. Define

$$A_{N,\gamma}(R) = \inf\left\{\frac{\int_{B_R} |\Delta\phi|^2 |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} dx}{\int_{B_R} \frac{|\nabla\phi|^2}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} dx} : \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_R)\right\}$$

Assume $\gamma \geq 1 - N/2$. Then $A_{N,\gamma}(R)$ is independent of R, and is equal to

$$A_{N,\gamma} = \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{(N-4+2\gamma)(N-2\gamma)}{4} + \alpha_{\nu}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{N-4+2\gamma}{2}\right)^{2} + \alpha_{\nu}} \right\},$$

where $\alpha_{\nu} = \nu(N+\nu-2)$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) is the ν -th eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} in \mathbb{R}^N . Note that by a simple formula

$$|D^2\phi|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\right)^2 = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla|\nabla\phi|^2 - (\Delta\phi)\nabla\phi\right) + (\Delta\phi)^2,$$

for $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_R)$, we have $\int_{B_R} |D^2 \phi|^2 dx = \int_{B_R} |\Delta \phi|^2 dx$ which implies $H_{N,0} = A_{N,0}$. However, in weighted cases, it holds $\int_{B_R} |D^2 \phi|^2 |\mathbf{x}|^{2\gamma} dx \neq \int_{B_R} |\Delta \phi|^2 |\mathbf{x}|^{2\gamma} dx$, and in general we have $H_{N,\gamma} \neq A_{N,\gamma}$. Also the inequality in Corollary 4 seems new.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In $\S2$, we recall the method by Costin-Maz'ya in [2] and derive the equivalent curl-free condition in polar coordinates. In $\S3$, we prove Theorem 1 and the sharpness of the constant (3). In $\S4$, we prove Theorem 3 and the sharpness of the constants (5) and (6). Since the test vector fields introduced in [2] may not have compact supports, we will use different test vector fields for the proof of the sharpness of the constants.

2. Preparation: Costin-Maz'ya's setting

In this section, we recall the method of Costin-Maz'ya [2] and derive the polar coordinate representation of the curl-free condition.

Spherical polar coordinate. We introduce the spherical polar coordinates

$$(\rho, \theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_{N-2}, \theta_{N-1}) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \pi)^{N-2} \times [0, 2\pi)$$

whose relation to the standard Euclidean coordinates $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is given by

 $\boldsymbol{x} = \rho(\cos\theta_1, \pi_1\cos\theta_2, \pi_2\cos\theta_3, \cdots, \pi_{k-1}\cos\theta_k, \cdots, \pi_{N-2}\cos\theta_{N-1}, \pi_{N-1}),$

hereafter we use the notation

$$\pi_0 = 1, \quad \pi_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \sin \theta_j, \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, N - 1)$$

for simplicity. Also we use the notation

$$\partial_{\rho} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \quad \partial_{\theta_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1)$$

for the partial derivatives, and

$$dx = \prod_{k=1}^{N} dx_k = dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_N, \quad d\sigma = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (\sin \theta_k)^{N-k-1} d\theta_k$$

for the volume elements on \mathbb{R}^N and \mathbb{S}^{N-1} .

The orthonormal basis vector fields $e_{\rho}, e_{\theta_1}, e_{\theta_2}, \cdots, e_{\theta_{N-1}}$ along the polar coordinates are given by

(7)
$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} = \frac{\partial_{\rho}\boldsymbol{x}}{|\partial_{\rho}\boldsymbol{x}|} = (\cos\theta_{1}, \pi_{1}\cos\theta_{2}, \pi_{2}\cos\theta_{3}, \cdots, \pi_{N-2}\cos\theta_{N-1}, \pi_{N-1}), \\ \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_{k}} = \frac{\partial_{\theta_{k}}\boldsymbol{x}}{|\partial_{\theta_{k}}\boldsymbol{x}|} = \frac{1}{\pi_{k-1}}\partial_{\theta_{k}}\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1) \end{cases}$$

that are clearly independent of the variable ρ . Note that we can rewrite

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} = \left(\cos\theta_{1}, \pi_{1}\cos\theta_{2}, \pi_{2}\cos\theta_{3}, \cdots, \pi_{k-1}\cos\theta_{k}, \pi_{k}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{k}\right),$$
$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_{k}} = \left(\underbrace{0, 0, \cdots, 0}_{k-1}, -\sin\theta_{k}, \cos\theta_{k}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{k}\right),$$

where

$$\varphi_k = \left(\cos\theta_{k+1}, \frac{\pi_{k+1}}{\pi_k}\cos\theta_{k+2}, \frac{\pi_{k+2}}{\pi_k}\cos\theta_{k+3}, \cdots, \frac{\pi_{N-2}}{\pi_k}\cos\theta_{N-1}, \frac{\pi_{N-1}}{\pi_k}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{N-k-1}$$

is a (N-k)-vector, which depends only on $\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_{N-1}$. From these expressions, we can easily check the orthonormality of $\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_1}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_2}, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_{N-1}}$.

For any smooth vector field $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$, its polar components $u_{\rho}, u_{\theta_1}, u_{\theta_2}, \cdots, u_{\theta_{N-1}}$ as \mathbb{R} -valued smooth functions are defined by

$$\boldsymbol{u} = u_{
ho} \boldsymbol{e}_{
ho} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} u_{ heta_k} \boldsymbol{e}_{ heta_k} \; .$$

The second term of the right-hand side is denoted as

$$oldsymbol{u}_{oldsymbol{\sigma}} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} u_{oldsymbol{ heta}_k} oldsymbol{e}_{oldsymbol{ heta}_k}$$

and we call this the spherical component of u. Thus we have the polar decomposition of u:

(8)
$$\boldsymbol{u} = u_{\rho}\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} + \boldsymbol{u}_{\sigma}$$

which gives the decomposition of u into the radial and the spherical parts. Also by using the chain rules together with (7), we have

$$\partial_{\rho} = \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \cdot \nabla, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{\theta_k} = \pi_{k-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_k} \cdot \nabla, \quad (k = 1, \cdots, N-1),$$

which give the polar decomposition of the gradient operator ∇ :

(9)
$$\nabla = \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}\partial_{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} ,$$

where

(10)
$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k}}{\pi_{k-1}} \partial_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_k}$$

is the gradient operator on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} .

Moreover, it is well-known that the polar representation of the Laplace operator $\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^N \partial^2 / \partial x_k^2$ is given by

(11)
$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\rho^{N-1}} \partial_{\rho} \left(\rho^{N-1} \partial_{\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \Delta_{\sigma} ,$$

where

(12)
$$\Delta_{\sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{(\sin \theta_k)^{k+1-N}}{\pi_{k-1}^2} \partial_{\theta_k} \left((\sin \theta_k)^{N-k-1} \partial_{\theta_k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\pi_{k-1}^2} D_{\theta_k} \partial_{\theta_k}$$

is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} and for every $k=1,\cdots,N-1$

$$D_{\theta_k} = \partial_{\theta_k} + (N - k - 1) \cot \theta_k$$

is the adjoint operator of $-\partial_{\theta_k}$ in $L^2(d\sigma,\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$: it holds that

$$-\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} f\left(\partial_{\theta_k} g\right) d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left(D_{\theta_k} f\right) g d\sigma$$

for any smooth functions f, g on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} .

We also introduce the deformed radial coordinate $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the Emden transformation

(13)
$$t = \log \rho$$

Note that (13) leads to the transformation law of the differential operators $\rho \partial_{\rho} = \partial_t$. By this transformation, it is easy to check that the polar decomposition of ∇ , Δ in (9), (11) are also given by

(14)
$$\rho \nabla = \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \partial_t + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}},$$

(15)
$$\rho^2 \Delta = \partial_t^2 + (N-2)\partial_t + \Delta_{\sigma}$$

For the later use, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6. Let ∇_{σ} and Δ_{σ} are defined by (10) and (12) respectively. Then for any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$, $\sigma = e_{\rho} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, there hold that

(16)
$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}f) - \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}f = \left(2\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - (N-1)\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}\right)f,$$

(17)
$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f = \left((N-3) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - 2\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) f$$

(18)
$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left(f \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} + \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f \right) = \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \left((1 - 2\alpha) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f - (N - 1) f \right) \\ + \left(2 + (N - 3)\alpha \right) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f + \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f.$$

Proof. Take any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$. We identify f and the function $\tilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$ defined by $\tilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}/|\boldsymbol{x}|)$. Since $f = \tilde{f}$ does not depend on the radial variable ρ , we have $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f = \rho \nabla f$ by (9) and $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f = \rho^2 \Delta f$ by (11). Thus we compute

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}f) - \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}f &= \rho^{2}\Delta\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}f}{\rho}\right) - \frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\rho}\rho^{2}\Delta f\\ &= \rho^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta(\boldsymbol{x}f)}{\rho} + 2\left(\left(\nabla\rho^{-1}\right)\cdot\nabla\right)(\boldsymbol{x}f) + (\Delta\rho^{-1})\boldsymbol{x}f\right) - \rho\boldsymbol{x}\Delta f\\ &= 2\rho(\nabla f\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{x} - 2\left(\nabla\rho\cdot\nabla\right)(\boldsymbol{x}f) + \rho^{3}(\Delta\rho^{-1})\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}f\\ &= 2\rho\nabla f - 2\partial_{\rho}(\rho\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}f) - (N-3)\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}f\\ &= \left(2\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - (N-1)\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}\right)f, \end{split}$$

here we have used $\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla = \partial_{\rho}$ and $\Delta \rho^{-1} = -(N-3)\rho^{-3}$. This proves (16). Similarly, also noting the commutativity $\Delta \nabla = \nabla \Delta$ and using $\Delta \rho = (N-1)\rho^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{\sigma} \nabla_{\sigma} - \nabla_{\sigma} \Delta_{\sigma})f &= \rho^2 \Delta \nabla_{\sigma} f - \rho \nabla \Delta_{\sigma} f \\ &= \rho^2 \Delta (\rho \nabla f) - \rho \nabla (\rho^2 \Delta f) \\ &= \rho^2 ((\Delta \rho) \nabla f + 2(\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla) \nabla f) - \rho (\nabla \rho^2) \Delta f \\ &= (N-1)\rho \nabla f + 2\rho^2 \partial_{\rho} \rho^{-1} \nabla_{\sigma} f - 2\rho^2 e_{\rho} \Delta f \\ &= (N-3) \nabla_{\sigma} f - 2e_{\rho} \Delta_{\sigma} f . \end{aligned}$$

This proves (17). Finally, by (16) and (17), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left(f \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} + \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f \right) &= \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} (\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} f) + \alpha \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f \\ &= \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + 2 \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - (N-1) \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \right) f + \alpha \left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + (N-3) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - 2 \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right) f \\ &= \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \left((1-2\alpha) \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f - (N-1) f \right) + \left(2 + (N-3)\alpha \right) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f + \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (18).

Representing the curl-free condition in polar coordinates. In the following, let "·" denote the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^N , " \wedge " the wedge product for differential forms and "d" the exterior derivative operator. For a vector field $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_N) : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$, we define the vector valued 1-form $d\boldsymbol{a} = (da_1, da_2, \cdots, da_N)$. If $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_N)$ is a vector field, then $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{a}$ denotes the 1-form $\sum_{k=1}^N u_k da_k$. Now, for any C^{∞} vector field $\boldsymbol{u} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ with variable $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_N)$, we define its curl as the differential 2-form

$$\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{u} = d(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{x}).$$

This can be expressed in terms of the standard Euclidean coordinates, according to the usual manipulations for the exterior derivative d and the wedge product \wedge :

$$d(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} du_k \wedge dx_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} dx_j \wedge dx_k = \sum_{j < k} \left(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} \right) dx_j \wedge dx_k.$$

As well as the standard representation, we want to find a representation of $d(\mathbf{u} \cdot d\mathbf{x})$ in terms of the polar coordinates $(\rho, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N-1})$. For this purpose, first we differentiate the unit vector field \mathbf{e}_{ρ} given by (7) and expand it in the spherical-coordinate basis:

$$d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}}{\partial \theta_k} d\theta_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_k} \pi_{k-1} d\theta_k \; .$$

Then, taking the inner product with the vector field $\boldsymbol{u} = u_{\rho}\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} u_{\theta_k}\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta_k}$ and also taking its exterior derivative, we see that

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} u_{\theta_{k}} \pi_{k-1} d\theta_{k} ,$$

$$d(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}) = d\rho \wedge \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\partial_{\rho} u_{\theta_{k}}) \pi_{k-1} d\theta_{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \partial_{\theta_{j}} (\pi_{k-1} u_{\theta_{k}}) d\theta_{j} \wedge d\theta_{k} .$$

Also we have

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \left((d\rho)\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} + \rho d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \right) = u_{\rho}d\rho + \rho \boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}$$

From these relations, we obtain the polar representation of the curl of u:

$$d(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{x}) = d(u_{\rho}d\rho + \rho\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho})$$

= $du_{\rho} \wedge d\rho + d\rho \wedge (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}) + \rho d(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho})$
= $d\rho \wedge \left(-du_{\rho} + \sum_{k} u_{\theta_{k}}\pi_{k-1}d\theta_{k} + \sum_{k} \rho \partial_{\rho}u_{\theta_{k}}\pi_{k-1}d\theta_{k} \right)$
+ $\rho \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \partial_{\theta_{j}} (\pi_{k-1}u_{\theta_{k}}) d\theta_{j} \wedge d\theta_{k}$
= $d\rho \wedge \sum_{k} \left(\pi_{k-1}\partial_{\rho}(\rho u_{\theta_{k}}) - \partial_{\theta_{k}}u_{\rho} \right) d\theta_{k}$
+ $\rho \sum_{j \leq k} \left(\partial_{\theta_{j}} (\pi_{k-1}u_{\theta_{k}}) - \partial_{\theta_{k}} (\pi_{j-1}u_{\theta_{j}}) \right) d\theta_{j} \wedge d\theta_{k} .$

Therefore, the curl-free condition $d(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ for the vector field \boldsymbol{u} is represented by

(19)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\rho} (\rho \pi_{k-1} u_{\theta_k}) = \partial_{\theta_k} u_{\rho} \\ \partial_{\theta_j} (\pi_{k-1} u_{\theta_k}) = \partial_{\theta_k} (\pi_{j-1} u_{\theta_j}) \end{cases}, \quad (j,k = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1). \end{cases}$$

We claim that the second relations in (19) are actually the consequences of the first. Indeed, by integrating the first equation in (19) on any interval $(0, r] \subset \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the variable ρ , we have $r\pi_{k-1}u_{\theta_k} = \partial_{\theta_k} \int_0^r u_\rho d\rho$ for every k. Thus the function $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ defined by $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} \int_0^{|\boldsymbol{x}|} u_\rho(\rho \boldsymbol{x}/|\boldsymbol{x}|) d\rho$ satisfies $\pi_{k-1}u_{\theta_k} = \partial_{\theta_k}\phi$ for all k. Then the second relation in (19) is the same as $\partial_{\theta_j}\partial_{\theta_k}\phi = \partial_{\theta_k}\partial_{\theta_j}\phi$, which holds trivially. This proves the claim.

Consequently we have proved that a vector field $\boldsymbol{u} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ is curl-free if and only if

$$\partial_{\rho}(\rho u_{\theta_k}) = \frac{1}{\pi_{k-1}} \partial_{\theta_k} u_{\rho} , \qquad k = 1, \cdots, N-1 .$$

That is, using vector notation as in (8) and (9), we have

(20)
$$\partial_{\rho}(\rho \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} u_{\rho} \quad (\rho, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$$

In what follows we also call (20) the curl-free condition for u.

Brezis-Vazquez, Maz'ya transformation. Let $\varepsilon \neq 1$ be a real number. As in [1], [9], we introduce a new vector field \boldsymbol{v} by the formula

(21)
$$\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \rho^{1-\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Then the curl-free condition (20) is transformed into

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left(\rho^{\varepsilon - 1} v_{\rho} \right) = \partial_{\rho} \left(\rho^{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \right)$$

that is,

(22)
$$(\varepsilon + \rho \partial_{\rho}) \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\rho} \ .$$

Fourier transformation in radial direction. In the following, let us denote $\mathbf{x} = \rho \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and we abbreviate $\mathbf{v}(t, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathbf{v}(e^t \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ for a vector field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}(\rho \boldsymbol{\sigma})$, where $t = \log \rho$ is the Emden transformation given in (13). As in [2], we apply the one-dimensional Fourier transformation

$$\boldsymbol{v}(t, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \mapsto \widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda t} \boldsymbol{v}(t, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) dt$$

with respect to the variable t. By the transformation law between the derivative operators

$$\rho \partial_{\rho} = \partial_t \; \mapsto \; \partial_t = i\lambda \cdot \; ,$$

the curl-free condition (22) is changed into the equation

$$(\varepsilon + i\lambda)\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}_{\sigma}} = \nabla_{\sigma}\widehat{v_{\rho}} \,,$$

that is,

$$\widehat{v_{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon + i\lambda} \nabla_{\sigma} f$$
 where $f = \widehat{v_{\rho}}$

Thus we see that \hat{v}_{σ} is expressed by the spherical gradient of some function $f = \hat{v}_{\rho}$. In this sense, we may consider f as a kind of scalar potential of \hat{v} , corresponding to the fact that the curl-free vector field \boldsymbol{u} has a scalar potential.

Now we have proved the following proposition:

Proposition 7. Let $\varepsilon \neq 1$ and let \boldsymbol{u} be a smooth vector field on \mathbb{R}^N . Then \boldsymbol{u} is curl-free if and only if its Brezis-Vázquez, Maz'ya transformation $\boldsymbol{v}(t, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = e^{t(1-\varepsilon)}\boldsymbol{u}(e^t\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ satisfies

(23)
$$(\varepsilon + \partial_t) \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$$

In particular, if u is curl-free and has a compact support on \mathbb{R}^N , then the Fourier transformation of v satisfies

(24)
$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}(\lambda,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = f\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon + i\lambda}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}f$$

for some complex-valued scalar function $f = f(\lambda, \sigma) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}).$

We list up some formulae for \hat{v} and its differentials. The square length of \hat{v} is

$$|\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 = |f|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2} |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} f|^2.$$

By using Lemma 6, we also see that

$$-\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}} = \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}\left((N-1)f + \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon+i\lambda} - 1\right)\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}f\right) - \left(\frac{N-3}{\varepsilon+i\lambda} + 2\right)\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}f - \frac{1}{\varepsilon+i\lambda}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}f.$$
Then integrating $|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}|^2 = \overline{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}} = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ and $|\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}|^2$ group \mathbb{S}^{N-1} , we find that

Then integrating $|\hat{v}|^2$, $-\hat{v} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma} \hat{v}$ and $|\Delta_{\sigma} \hat{v}|^2$ over \mathbb{S}^{N-1} , we find that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 d\sigma &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2} (-\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) \right) f d\sigma, \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 d\sigma &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} \left(N - 1 + \left(1 + \frac{3 - 4\varepsilon - N}{\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2} \right) (-\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2} (-\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 \right) f d\sigma \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 d\sigma &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} \left((N - 1)^2 + \left(2N + 2 + \frac{(N - 3)^2 - 8\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2} \right) (-\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) \right. \\ &+ \left(1 + \frac{10 - 8\varepsilon - 2N}{\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2} \right) (-\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2} (-\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})^3 \right) f d\sigma. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have proved the following lemma.

Lemma 8. Let $\hat{v} = f e_{\rho} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon + i\lambda} \nabla_{\sigma} f$ as in (24). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} P_1(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\sigma,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} P_2(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\sigma,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} P_3(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\sigma$$

where the three polynomials $\alpha \mapsto P_k(\lambda, \alpha)$ (k = 1, 2, 3) are given by

$$P_{1}(\lambda, \alpha) = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}} \alpha,$$

$$P_{2}(\lambda, \alpha) = N - 1 + \left(1 + \frac{3 - 4\varepsilon - N}{\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}}\right) \alpha + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}} \alpha^{2},$$

$$P_{3}(\lambda, \alpha) = (N - 1)^{2} + \left(2N + 2 + \frac{(N - 3)^{2} - 8\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}}\right) \alpha$$

$$+ \left(1 + \frac{10 - 8\varepsilon - 2N}{\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}}\right) \alpha^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}} \alpha^{3}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\gamma \neq 1 - N/2$ be a real number and put $\varepsilon = 2 - N/2 - \gamma \neq 1$. If the righthand side of (2) diverges, there is nothing to prove. When the right-hand side of (2) is bounded, the smoothness of \boldsymbol{u} implies the existence of an integer $m > \varepsilon - 2$ such that $\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = O(|\boldsymbol{x}|^m)$ as $|\boldsymbol{x}| \to +0$. If $\varepsilon < 1$, then the Brezis-Vázquez, Maz'ya transformation $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})$ in (21) is Hölder continuous at $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{0}$ and satisfies $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{0}) = \boldsymbol{0}$. When $\varepsilon > 1$, again the assumption $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{0}) = \boldsymbol{0}$ implies $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = O(|\boldsymbol{x}|^{m+1})$ and $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}) = O(|\boldsymbol{x}|^{m+2-\varepsilon})$, thus the same properties hold for \boldsymbol{v} . Also since

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{u} = \nabla (\rho^{\varepsilon - 1} \boldsymbol{v}) = \rho^{\varepsilon - 2} \left((\varepsilon - 1) \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} + \rho \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \right)$$
$$= \rho^{\varepsilon - 2} \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \otimes (\varepsilon - 1 + \partial_t) \, \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{v} \right)$$

by (14), and since $\iint_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}} \partial_t \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} d\sigma dt$ vanishes, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^{2} d\boldsymbol{x} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{4-2\varepsilon-N} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^{2} d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \boldsymbol{e}_{\rho} \otimes (\varepsilon - 1 + \partial_{t}) \, \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \right|^{2} dt \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \left((\varepsilon - 1)^{2} |\boldsymbol{v}|^{2} + |\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} + |\nabla_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} \right) d\sigma dt \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \left(\left((\varepsilon - 1)^{2} + \lambda^{2} \right) |\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^{2} + |\nabla_{\sigma} \widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^{2} \right) d\sigma d\lambda \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \overline{f} \left(\left((\varepsilon - 1)^{2} + \lambda^{2} \right) P_{1}(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) + P_{2}(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) \right) f d\sigma d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(26) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-2} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2-2\varepsilon-N} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 d\boldsymbol{x}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\sigma \int_0^\infty |\boldsymbol{v}|^2 \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\boldsymbol{v}|^2 dt d\sigma$$
$$= \iint_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2 d\lambda d\sigma = \iint_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} P_1(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\lambda d\sigma$$

by Lemma 8. Therefore, by (25) and (26), the optimal constant in (2) can be expressed as

(27) $H_{N,\gamma} = \inf_{\boldsymbol{u}\neq 0, \operatorname{curl}\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-2} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 dx} = \inf_{f\neq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} Q_1(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\lambda d\sigma}{\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} P_1(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\lambda d\sigma} ,$

where $Q_1(\lambda, \cdot)$ is the polynomial defined by

(28)
$$Q_1(\lambda,\alpha) = \left((\varepsilon - 1)^2 + \lambda^2 \right) P_1(\lambda,\alpha) + P_2(\lambda,\alpha).$$

Calculation of a lower bound. In the same manner as Costin-Maz'ya [2], we expand f in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ by eigenfunctions $\{\psi_{\nu}\}_{\nu \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}}$ of $-\Delta_{\sigma}$ as

(29)
$$f(\lambda,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f_{\nu}(\lambda)\psi_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) , \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\psi_{\nu} = \alpha_{\nu}\psi_{\nu} ,\\ \alpha_{\nu} = \nu(\nu+N-2) \quad (\nu=0,1,2,\cdots). \end{cases}$$

Then we find that (27) is estimated from below as

$$H_{N,\gamma} = \inf_{f \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu}) |f_{\nu}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu}) |f_{\nu}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda} \ge \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} \inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \frac{Q_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu})}{P_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu})} ,$$

where P_1 , Q_1 is as in Lemma 8, (28) and where in the last inequality we have used Lemma 9 in Appendix, applied to $X = \{(\nu, \lambda) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}\}, \mu = \left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \delta_{\nu}\right) \times d\lambda$ and $g(\nu, \lambda) = |f_{\nu}(\lambda)|^2$. Therefore, we have

(30)
$$H_{N,\gamma} \ge \inf_{\kappa>0} \inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(\kappa, \alpha_{\nu})$$

with $F(\kappa, \cdot)$ defined by

(31)
$$F(\kappa,\alpha) = \frac{Q_1(\sqrt{\kappa},\alpha)}{P_1(\sqrt{\kappa},\alpha)} = (\varepsilon - 1)^2 + N - 1 + \kappa + \alpha - 2\alpha \frac{2\varepsilon + N - 2}{\varepsilon^2 + \kappa + \alpha}$$

for $\kappa > 0$ and $\alpha \ge 0$. Here we also define $F(0, \alpha)$ by

(32)

$$F(0,\alpha) = \lim_{|\lambda| \searrow + 0} \frac{Q_1(\lambda,\alpha)}{P_1(\lambda,\alpha)} = \lim_{\kappa \searrow + 0} F(\kappa,\alpha)$$

$$= \begin{cases} (\varepsilon - 1)^2 + N - 1 + \alpha - 2\alpha \frac{2\varepsilon + N - 2}{\varepsilon^2 + \alpha} & \text{for } \alpha > 0 \\ (\varepsilon - 1)^2 + N - 1 & \text{for } \alpha = 0 . \end{cases}$$

In this setting, we calculate the right-hand side of (30). In the case $\varepsilon < 1 - N/2$, by differentiating (31) directly with respect to α , we see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} F(\kappa, \alpha) = 1 - 2(2\varepsilon + N - 2) \frac{\varepsilon^2 + \kappa}{(\varepsilon^2 + \kappa + \alpha)^2} > 0 .$$

Thus $0 \leq \alpha \mapsto F(\kappa, \alpha)$ is monotone increasing for each $\kappa > 0$, and

$$F(\kappa, \alpha) \ge F(\kappa, 0) = (\varepsilon - 1)^2 + N - 1 + \kappa > F(0, 0) = F(0, \alpha_0)$$
,

that implies

$$\inf_{\kappa>0} \inf_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} F(\kappa,\alpha_{\nu}) = F(0,\alpha_0) \quad \text{when} \quad \varepsilon < 1 - N/2.$$

In the case $\varepsilon \ge 1 - N/2$, by (31) we see that $F(\kappa, \alpha)$ is increasing in $\kappa > 0$ for each $\alpha \ge 0$. Thus $F(\kappa, \alpha) \ge F(0, \alpha)$ and

$$\inf_{\kappa>0} \inf_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} F(\kappa,\alpha_{\nu}) = \inf_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} F(0,\alpha_{\nu}).$$

To evaluate the right-hand side, we compute

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} F(0,\alpha) &= 1 - 2(2\varepsilon + N - 2) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + \alpha)^2} = \frac{\varepsilon^4 - 4\varepsilon^3 + 2(\alpha - (N - 2))\varepsilon^2 + \alpha^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + \alpha)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon + 2)^2 + \alpha^2}{(\varepsilon^2 + \alpha)^2} > 0 \qquad \text{if} \ \alpha \geq N \ . \end{split}$$

Thus we have $F(0,\alpha) > F(0,N)$ for any $\alpha \ge N$, which implies $F(0,\alpha_{\nu}) \ge F(0,\alpha_2) = F(0,2N)$ for all $\nu \ge 2$. This in turn implies

$$\inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) = \min_{\nu \in \{0, 1, 2\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) \ .$$

Moreover, by computing

$$\begin{split} F(0,\alpha_2) - F(0,\alpha_1) &= F(0,2N) - F(0,N-1) \\ &= \frac{(N+1)\varepsilon^2 \big((\varepsilon-2)^2 + N - 1\big) + 2N(N-1)}{(\varepsilon^2 + N - 1)(\varepsilon^2 + 2N)} > 0 \ , \end{split}$$

we see that

$$\inf_{\nu \in \{0,1,2\}} F(0,\alpha_{\nu}) = \min_{\nu \in \{0,1\}} F(0,\alpha_{\nu}).$$

Therefore, by calculating

$$F(0,\alpha_1) - F(0,\alpha_0) = F(0,N-1) - F(0,0) = (N-1)\frac{(\varepsilon - 2)^2 - (N+1)}{\varepsilon^2 + N - 1},$$

it turns out that

(33)

$$\inf_{\kappa>0} \inf_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} F(\kappa, \alpha_{\nu}) = \min_{\nu\in\{0,1\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) \\
= \begin{cases} F(0, \alpha_1) & \text{for } (\varepsilon-2)^2 \le N+1 , \\ F(0, \alpha_0) & \text{for } (\varepsilon-2)^2 > N+1 \end{cases}$$

when $\varepsilon \ge 1 - N/2$. The expression (33) holds true even for $\varepsilon < 1 - N/2$ since $\varepsilon < 1 - N/2$ implies $(\varepsilon - 2)^2 > N + 1$.

Inserting this result into (30), we have

$$H_{N,\gamma} \ge \min_{\nu \in \{0,1\}} F(0,\alpha_{\nu})$$

$$= \begin{cases} F(0,\alpha_1) = (\varepsilon - 1)^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2 + 3(N-1)}{\varepsilon^2 + N-1} & \text{for } |\varepsilon - 2| \le \sqrt{N+1} \\ F(0,\alpha_0) = (\varepsilon - 1)^2 + N - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Returning to $\varepsilon = 2 - \frac{N}{2} - \gamma$, we arrive at the desired infimum value in Theorem 1.

Optimality for $H_{N,\gamma}$. In this subsection, we prove that the former lower bound of $H_{N,\gamma}$ is indeed realized as equality:

$$H_{N,\gamma} = \min_{\nu \in \{0,1\}} F(0,\alpha_{\nu}) = \min_{\nu \in \{0,1\}} \lim_{|\lambda| \searrow +0} \frac{Q_1(\lambda,\alpha_{\nu})}{P_1(\lambda,\alpha_{\nu})}.$$

For that purpose, let $\nu_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ be such that

$$\min_{\nu \in \{0,1\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) = F(0, \alpha_{\nu_0})$$

By the argument in the last subsection, it is enough to prove that there exists a sequence of vector fields $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ such that

(34)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-2} |\boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx} = \lim_{|\lambda| \searrow +0} \frac{Q_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0})}{P_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0})}.$$

For the construction of $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, take any nonnegative $h \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $h \neq 0$ and put $h_n(t) = h(t/n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set

(35)
$$\boldsymbol{v}_n(\rho, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \boldsymbol{e}_\rho \left(\varepsilon h_n(t) + h'_n(t)\right) \psi_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + h_n(t) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \psi_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

where $\rho = e^t$ and ψ_{ν_0} denotes an eigenfunction of $-\Delta_{\sigma}$ associated with the eigenvalue $\alpha_{\nu_0} = \nu_0(\nu_0 + N - 2)$. Then it is clear that v_n satisfies (23). Define

(36)
$$\boldsymbol{u}_n(\rho, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \rho^{\varepsilon - 1} \boldsymbol{v}_n(\rho, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

for $\varepsilon = 2 - N/2 - \gamma$. Then $\{\boldsymbol{u}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of curl-free vector fields having compact supports in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Put

$$f_n(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = (v_n)_{\rho}(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = (\varepsilon + i\lambda) \, \widehat{h_n}(\lambda) \psi_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

and compute the Hardy-Leray quotient for u_n by using (25) and (26). We see

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{n}|^{2} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-2} |\boldsymbol{u}_{n}|^{2} dx} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \overline{f_{n}} Q_{1}(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) f_{n} d\sigma d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \overline{f_{n}} P_{1}(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) f_{n} d\sigma d\lambda} \\
= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}) Q_{1}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_{0}}) |\widehat{h_{n}}(\lambda)|^{2} d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon^{2} + \lambda^{2}) P_{1}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_{0}}) |\widehat{h_{n}}(\lambda)|^{2} d\lambda} \\
= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{01}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_{0}}) |\widehat{h_{n}}(\lambda)|^{2} d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{01}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_{0}}) |\widehat{h_{n}}(\lambda)|^{2} d\lambda},$$

here

(37)
$$P_{01}(\lambda, \alpha) = (\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2) P_1(\lambda, \alpha) = \varepsilon^2 + \alpha + \lambda^2,$$
$$Q_{01}(\lambda, \alpha) = (\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2) Q_1(\lambda, \alpha)$$

are polynomials of λ . Note that $\widehat{h_n}(\lambda) = \widehat{h(t/n)}(\lambda) = n\widehat{h}(n\lambda)$. Thus if $\varepsilon^2 + \alpha_{\nu_0} \neq 0$, then we have

$$\frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 |x|^{2\gamma} dx}{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 |x|^{2\gamma-2} dx} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{01}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0}) |\hat{h}(n\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{01}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0}) |\hat{h}(n\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}$$
$$\to \frac{Q_{01}(0, \alpha_{\nu_0})}{P_{01}(0, \alpha_{\nu_0})} = \lim_{|\lambda| \to +0} \frac{Q_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0})}{P_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0})}$$

as $n \to \infty$. In the case $\varepsilon = 0 = \alpha_{\nu_0}$, by using

$$P_{01}(\lambda, 0) = \lambda^2, \quad Q_{01}(\lambda, 0) = N\lambda^2 + \lambda^4,$$

we can check that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 |x|^{2\gamma} dx}{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 |x|^{2\gamma-2} dx} &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{01}(\lambda, 0) |\hat{h}(n\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{01}(\lambda, 0) |\hat{h}(n\lambda)|^2 d\lambda} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (N\lambda^2 + \lambda^4) |\hat{h}(n\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^2 |\hat{h}(n\lambda)|^2 d\lambda} \\ &\to N = \lim_{|\lambda| \to +0} \frac{Q_1(\lambda, 0)}{P_1(\lambda, 0)} \end{split}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Thus we have proved (34) which shows the optimality of $H_{N,\gamma}$ in the class of curl-free vector fields in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $\gamma \neq 2 - N/2$ be a real number and put $\varepsilon = 3 - N/2 - \gamma \neq 1$. Under the transformation $\boldsymbol{v} = \rho^{1-\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{u}$ in (21), the gradient vector field is transformed as

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{v} = \nabla(\rho^{1-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{u}) = (1-\varepsilon)\rho^{-\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{e}_{\rho}\otimes\boldsymbol{u} + \rho^{1-\varepsilon}\nabla\boldsymbol{u},$$

which leads to

(38)
$$|\rho \nabla \boldsymbol{v}|^2 = (1-\varepsilon)^2 |\rho^{1-\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{u}|^2 + 2(1-\varepsilon)\rho^{2-2\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \rho \partial_\rho \boldsymbol{u} + \rho^{2-2\varepsilon} |\rho \nabla \boldsymbol{u}|^2.$$

On the other hand, the assumption $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{2-2\varepsilon-N} |u|^2 dx < \infty$ and the smoothness of u imply that

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = O\left(|\boldsymbol{x}|^{m}\right), \quad \nabla \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = O\left(|\boldsymbol{x}|^{m-1}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad |\boldsymbol{x}| \searrow 0$$

for some integer $m > \varepsilon - 1$ if $\varepsilon > 1$. Therefore, we see that v must satisfy

(39)
$$|\boldsymbol{v}(0)| = \lim_{\rho \searrow 0} |\rho \nabla \boldsymbol{v}| = 0$$

by (38) when $\varepsilon > 1$.

Next, we see the Δu is written in terms of v as follows:

(40)
$$\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \Delta(\rho^{\varepsilon-1}\boldsymbol{v}) = \rho^{\varepsilon-3} \left(\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}\boldsymbol{v} + (2\varepsilon + N - 4)\partial_t \boldsymbol{v} + \partial_t^2 \boldsymbol{v} + \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{v} \right),$$

here we have used (15) and $\Delta \rho^{\varepsilon-1} = \alpha_{\varepsilon-1} \rho^{\varepsilon-3}$. Note that $\iint_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}} \partial_t \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} d\sigma dt = \iint_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}} \partial_t^2 \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{v} d\sigma dt = 0$ and $\iint_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \partial_t^2 \boldsymbol{v} d\sigma dt = -\iint_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}} |\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}|^2 d\sigma dt$ by (39). Thus by using (40), Lemma 8, and noting $(2\varepsilon+N-4)^2 - 2\alpha_{\varepsilon} = (N-2)^2 + 2\alpha_{\varepsilon}$,

we find that the both sides of the Rellich-Leray inequality (4) are written as (41)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\Delta \boldsymbol{u}|^{2} d\boldsymbol{x} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{6-2\varepsilon-N} |\Delta \boldsymbol{u}|^{2} d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{\varepsilon-1} \boldsymbol{v} + (2\varepsilon + N - 4)\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{v} + \partial_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{v} + \Delta_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}^{2} |\boldsymbol{v}|^{2} + ((N-2)^{2} + 2\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}) |\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} + |\partial_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} \\ &\quad - 2\alpha_{\varepsilon-1} |\nabla_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} + 2|\partial_{t} \nabla_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} + |\Delta_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}|^{2} \right) dt \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left(\left(\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}^{2} + ((N-2)^{2} + 2\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}) \lambda^{2} + \lambda^{4} \right) |\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^{2} \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\lambda^{2} - \alpha_{\varepsilon-1} \right) |\nabla_{\sigma} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^{2} + |\Delta_{\sigma} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}|^{2} \right) d\lambda d\sigma \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} \left(\left(\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}^{2} + ((N-2)^{2} + 2\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}) \lambda^{2} + \lambda^{4} \right) P_{1}(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\lambda^{2} - \alpha_{\varepsilon-1} \right) P_{2}(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) + P_{3}(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) \right) f d\lambda d\sigma, \end{split}$$

and

(42)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{x}|^{2-2\varepsilon-N} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx$$
$$= \iint_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} P_1(\lambda, -\Delta_{\sigma}) f d\lambda d\sigma.$$

Therefore, by (41) and (42), the optimal constant in (4) can be expressed as

(43)
$$R_{N,\gamma} = \inf_{\boldsymbol{u}\neq 0, \text{curl}\,\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\Delta \boldsymbol{u}|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 dx} = \inf_{f\neq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} Q_2(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\lambda d\sigma}{\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \overline{f} P_1(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f d\lambda d\sigma}$$

with the polynomial $Q_2(\lambda, \alpha)$ given by

(44)
$$Q_2(\lambda, \alpha) = \left(\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}^2 + \left((N-2)^2 + 2\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}\right)\lambda^2 + \lambda^4\right)P_1(\lambda, \alpha) + 2\left(\lambda^2 - \alpha_{\varepsilon-1}\right)P_2(\lambda, \alpha) + P_3(\lambda, \alpha).$$

Calculation of a lower bound. As in (29), we expand f in terms of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{\sigma}$. Then by (43), (44), and Lemma 9, we find

$$R_{N,\gamma} \geq \inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{Q_2(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu})}{P_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu})} = \inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \inf_{\kappa > 0} F(\kappa, \alpha_{\nu}),$$

where for $\kappa > 0$ and $\alpha \ge 0, F(\kappa, \alpha)$ is defined as

$$F(\kappa,\alpha) = \frac{Q_2(\sqrt{\kappa},\alpha)}{P_1(\sqrt{\kappa},\alpha)}$$
$$= \alpha_{\varepsilon-1}^2 + \left((N-2)^2 + 2\alpha_{\varepsilon-1}\right)\kappa + \kappa^2 + \frac{2(\kappa-\alpha_{\varepsilon-1})P_2(\sqrt{\kappa},\alpha) + P_3(\sqrt{\kappa},\alpha)}{P_1(\sqrt{\kappa},\alpha)}$$

By directly calculating further, we can check that

$$F(\kappa,\alpha) = \kappa^2 + \frac{4\alpha(1-\varepsilon)(N+2\varepsilon-2)^2\kappa}{(\varepsilon^2+\alpha)(\kappa+\varepsilon^2+\alpha)} + \left(\frac{N^2}{2} + 2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{N-4}{2}\right)^2 + 2\alpha\right)\kappa + \frac{(\varepsilon-2)^2+\alpha}{\varepsilon^2+\alpha}(\alpha_\varepsilon - \alpha)^2$$

for $\varepsilon = 3 - N/2 - \gamma \neq 0$, and

$$F(\kappa, \alpha) = \kappa^{2} + \frac{4(N-2)^{2}\kappa}{\kappa + \alpha} + ((N-2)^{2} + 4 + 2\alpha)\kappa + (4+\alpha)\alpha$$

for $\varepsilon = 0$. We also define $F(0, \alpha)$ as

(45)

$$F(0,\alpha) = \lim_{|\lambda| \searrow +0} \frac{Q_2(\lambda,\alpha)}{P_1(\lambda,\alpha)} = \lim_{\kappa \searrow +0} F(\kappa,\alpha)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{(\varepsilon-2)^2 + \alpha}{\varepsilon^2 + \alpha} (\alpha_\varepsilon - \alpha)^2, & \text{for } \varepsilon \neq 0, \alpha \ge 0, \\ (4 + \alpha)\alpha, & \text{for } \varepsilon = 0, \alpha > 0, \\ 4(N-2)^2, & \text{for } \varepsilon = 0, \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

In these settings, from now on we evaluate the expression

$$\inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \inf_{\kappa > 0} F(\kappa, \alpha_{\nu})$$

If $\varepsilon < 1$, it is clear that the map $0 < \kappa \mapsto F(\kappa, \alpha)$ is increasing for any fixed $\alpha \ge 0$. Also, if $\varepsilon > 1$, estimating $\partial_{\kappa}F(\kappa, \alpha)$ from below as

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial F(\kappa,\alpha)}{\partial \kappa} &= 2\kappa - \frac{4\alpha(\varepsilon-1)(N+2\varepsilon-2)^2}{(\kappa+\varepsilon^2+\alpha)^2} + \frac{N^2}{2} + 2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{N-4}{2}\right)^2 + 2\alpha\\ &\geq -\frac{4\alpha(\varepsilon-1)(N+2\varepsilon-2)^2}{(\varepsilon^2+\alpha)^2} + \frac{N^2}{2} + 2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{N-4}{2}\right)^2 + 2\alpha\\ &\geq -\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon^2}(N+2\varepsilon-2)^2 + \frac{N^2}{2} + 2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{N-4}{2}\right)^2 + 2\alpha\\ &\geq -\frac{1}{4}(N+2\varepsilon-2)^2 + \frac{N^2}{2} + 2\left(\varepsilon + \frac{N-4}{2}\right)^2 + 2\alpha\\ &= \left(\varepsilon + \frac{N}{2} - 3\right)^2 + \frac{N^2-4}{2} + 2\alpha \ge 0, \end{split}$$

we see again $F(\kappa, \alpha)$ is increasing with respect to $\kappa > 0$ for any $\alpha \ge 0$. Therefore we have

$$\inf_{\kappa>0} F(\kappa,\alpha) = F(0,\alpha)$$

for all $\varepsilon \neq 1$, which implies

$$\inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \inf_{\kappa > 0} F(\kappa, \alpha_{\nu}) = \inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) .$$

Moreover, we can check that

$$\frac{\partial F(0,\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \ge 0, \quad \alpha \ge \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_\varepsilon\},$$

see Lemma 10. This implies $\inf_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu})$ is attained. Therefore, we have the desired estimate:

(46)
$$R_{N,\gamma} \ge \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) \quad \text{with} \quad F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) = \lim_{|\lambda| \searrow + 0} \frac{Q_2(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu})}{P_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu})} .$$

Furthermore, we see that $\min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu})$ is given by

$$\min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) = \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \frac{(\varepsilon - 2)^2 + \alpha_{\nu}}{\varepsilon^2 + \alpha_{\nu}} (\alpha_{\varepsilon} - \alpha_{\nu})^2$$

for $\varepsilon = 3 - N/2 - \gamma \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{split} \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu}) &= \min \left\{ 4(N-2)^2, (4+\alpha_1)\alpha_1 \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4(N-2)^2 = F(0, \alpha_0) & \text{for } N = 2, 3, 4, \\ (N+3)(N-1) = F(0, \alpha_1) & \text{for } N \ge 5 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

for $\varepsilon = 3 - N/2 - \gamma = 0$. This gives the lower bound of $R_{N,\gamma}$. In the next subsection we will show that the above inequality is indeed the equality.

Optimality for $R_{N,\gamma}$. To show that the inequality (46) is indeed the equality, let $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be such that $F(0, \alpha_{\nu_0}) = \min_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} F(0, \alpha_{\nu})$ is satisfied. We use the sequence of curl-free vector fields $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (36) again with (35), however for $\varepsilon = 3 - N/2 - \gamma$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the following expression:

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\Delta \boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \overline{f_n} Q_2(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f_n d\sigma d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \overline{f_n} P_1(\lambda, -\Delta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) f_n d\sigma d\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2) Q_2(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0}) |\widehat{h_n}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2) P_1(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0}) |\widehat{h_n}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{02}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0}) |\widehat{h_n}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{01}(\lambda, \alpha_{\nu_0}) |\widehat{h_n}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda},$$

where $P_{01}(\lambda, \alpha)$ is as in (37) and

$$Q_{02}(\lambda,\alpha) = (\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2)Q_2(\lambda,\alpha)$$

is a polynomial of λ . When $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\alpha_{\nu_0} = 0$, by using the facts

$$Q_{02}(\lambda,0) = 4(N-2)^2\lambda^2 + (N^2 - 4N + 8)\lambda^4 + \lambda^6$$

and $P_{01}(\lambda, 0) = \lambda^2$, we prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\Delta \boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx} = 4(N-2)^2 = F(0,0).$$

Thus as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma} |\Delta \boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\boldsymbol{x}|^{2\gamma-4} |\boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 dx} = F(0, \alpha_{\nu_0})$$

for all cases $\varepsilon^2 + \alpha_{\nu_0} \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon^2 + \alpha_{\nu_0} = 0$. This leads to the optimality of $R_{N,\gamma}$. \Box

5. Appendix.

In this appendix, we prove technical lemmas.

Lemma 9. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and let $\xi, \eta : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a μ -measurable function such that $\xi \neq 0$ μ -a.e. Suppose $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a μ -measurable function satisfying, $\xi g \geq 0$ μ -a.e., $0 < \int_X \xi g d\mu < \infty$, and $\int_X |\eta g| d\mu < \infty$. Then we have

$$\frac{\int_X \eta g d\mu}{\int_X \xi g d\mu} \ge \operatorname{ess} \inf_{x \in X} \frac{\eta(x)}{\xi(x)}.$$

Proof. Let $I = \text{ess inf}_{x \in X} \frac{\eta(x)}{\xi(x)}$. Then $\frac{\eta}{\xi} \ge I$ μ -a.e. Multiply the both sides by $\xi g \ge 0$, we have $\eta g = \frac{\eta}{\xi} \xi g \ge I \xi g \ \mu$ -a.e.. By integrating over X, we obtain

$$\int_X \eta g d\mu \ge I \int_X \xi g d\mu$$

which leads the result.

Lemma 10. Let $F(0, \alpha)$ be defined by (45). Then we have

$$\frac{\partial F(0,\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \ge 0 \quad for \quad \alpha \ge \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_\varepsilon\}.$$

Proof. Recall $\alpha_1 = N - 1$ and $\alpha_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon(\varepsilon + N - 2)$. It is enough to show Lemma when $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $F(0, \alpha) = \frac{(\varepsilon - 2)^2 + \alpha}{\varepsilon^2 + \alpha} (\alpha_{\varepsilon} - \alpha)^2$. A direct computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial F(0,\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{2(\alpha - \alpha_{\varepsilon})}{(\alpha + \varepsilon^2)^2} f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha), \text{ where} \\ f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \alpha^2 + 2(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon + 1)\alpha + \varepsilon^2(\varepsilon - 1)^2 + 2\alpha_{\varepsilon}(1 - \varepsilon).$$

Since $\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon + 1 > 0$ for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that f_{ε} is strictly increasing for $\alpha \ge 0$. Thus if we show (i) $f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_{\varepsilon}) \ge 0$ if $\alpha_{\varepsilon} \ge \alpha_1$, and (ii) $f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_1) \ge 0$ if $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_{\varepsilon}$, then $f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) \ge 0$ for any $\alpha \ge \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_{\varepsilon}\}$, which concludes Lemma.

To prove (i), we observe that $f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_{\varepsilon}) = (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^2)(\alpha_{\varepsilon} + (\varepsilon - 2)^2)$. Thus if $\alpha_{\varepsilon} \ge \alpha_1 = N - 1 > 0$, clearly we have $f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_{\varepsilon}) > 0$.

To prove (ii), we observe that $f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_1) = f_{\varepsilon}(N-1) = \varepsilon^4 - 6\varepsilon^3 + 8\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + N^2 - 1$. We need to prove this quartic function is nonnegative for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_{\varepsilon}$, i.e., $-(N-1) \le \varepsilon \le 1$. However, this is an elementary fact.

Acknowledgments.

The second author (F.T.) was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), No.15H03631.

References

- H. Brezis, and J. L. Vázquez: Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid., 10, (1997), No. 2, 443–469.
- [2] O. Costin, and V. Maz'ya: Sharp Hardy-Leray inequality for axisymmetric divergence-free fields, Calculus of Variations and Partial Diff. Eq., 32 (2008), no. 4, 523–532.
- [3] N. Ghoussoub, and A. Moradifam: Bessel pairs and optimal Hardy and Hardy-Rellich inequalities, Math. Ann., 349, (2011), 1–57.
- [4] N. Ghoussoub, and A. Moradifam: Functional inequalities: new perspectives and new applications, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 187. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. xxiv+299.

18

HARDY-LERAY INEQUALITY

- [5] N. Hamamoto: Rellich-Leray inequality for divergence free vector fields, In preparation.
- [6] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya: Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [7] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya: The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow, Second edition, revised and enlarged, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, (1969).
- [8] J. Leray: Étude de diverses équations intégrales non linéaires et de quelques problèmes que pose l'hydrodynamique, J. Math. Pures Appl., 12, 1933. 1–82.
- [9] V. Maz'ya: Sobolev spaces with applications to elliptic partial differential equations. Second, revised and augmented edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 342. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. xxviii+866 pp.
- [10] B. Opic, and A. Kufner: *Hardy-type inequalities*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 219, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1990.
- [11] A. Tertikas, and N. B. Zographopoulos: Best constants in the Hardy-Rellich inequalities and related improvements, Adv. Math., 209 407–459, (2007)

Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

E-mail address: yhjyoe@yahoo.co.jp (N.Hamamoto) *E-mail address*: futoshi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp (F.Takahashi)