Thermodynamics of the scalar radiation in the presence of a reflecting plane wall

E. S. Moreira Jr.¹

Instituto de Matemática e Computação, Universidade Federal de Itajubá, Itajubá, Minas Gerais 37500-903, Brazil

October, 2018

Abstract

This paper investigates further how the presence of a single reflecting plane wall modifies the usual Planckian forms in the thermodynamics of the massless scalar radiation in Ndimensional Minkowski spacetime. This is done in a rather unconventional way by integrating the energy density over space to obtain the internal energy and from that the Helmholtz free energy. The reflecting wall is modelled by assuming the Dirichlet or the Neumann boundary conditions on the wall. It is found that when N > 2 integration over space eliminates dependence on the curvature coupling parameter ξ . Unexpectedly though, when N = 2, the internal energy and the corresponding thermodynamics turn out to be dependent on ξ . For instance, the correction to the two-dimensional Planckian heat capacity is $\pm \xi k_B$ (minus for Dirichlet, plus for Neumann). Other aspects of this dependence on ξ are also discussed. Results are confronted with those in the literature concerning related setups of reflecting walls (such as slabs) where conventional (i.e., global) approaches to obtain thermodynamics have been used.

Keywords: hot scalar radiation, boundaries

1 Introduction

Scalar fields are common ingredients of models in particle physics, cosmology and gravitation. It is therefore pertinent to investigate the many aspects of thermodynamics of the scalar radiation. The traditional way of obtaining the equations of state of blackbody radiation is by means of statistical mechanics of standing waves in a cavity. There is however another approach which is to use the ensemble average $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ of the stress-energy-momentum tensor. Applying tools of quantum field theory at finite temperature T, one finds for a massless scalar field in four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime the following homogeneous and isotropic $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$.

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = \operatorname{diag}(\rho, p, p, p), \tag{1}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{\pi^2}{30} \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(\hbar c)^3}, \qquad p = \frac{\rho}{3}.$$
 (2)

¹E-mail: moreira@unifei.edu.br

The internal energy U of the scalar radiation in a cavity of volume V can be obtained by integrating over space the energy density ρ in eq. (2),

$$U = \frac{\pi^2}{30} V \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(\hbar c)^3}.$$
 (3)

The expression in eq. (3) and the pressure p in eq. (2) are the familiar equations of state for the scalar blackbody radiation in a cavity of volume V and at thermodynamic equilibrium with the cavity walls at temperature T. (For electromagnetic radiation U is twice that in eq. (3), see e.g. ref. [1].)

Nevertheless, eq. (3) is an approximation which holds only when the temperature is high enough or the cavity is big enough. This follows from the fact that $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ is not homogeneous and isotropic when the walls of the cavity are taking into account. Indeed, as has been shown in ref. [2], in the presence of a single reflecting plane wall ρ is given as in eq. (2) far away from the wall; but it is radically modified when the wall is approached.

The main purpose of this paper is to calculate the internal energy U as described above, i.e., integrating ρ over space, now considering the inhomogeneous energy density ρ in the presence of a single reflecting plane wall [2, 3, 4] (in so doing, effects due to other walls of the cavity will assumed to be negligible). Before embarking on this task, which will be referred in this work as the local procedure to determine U, a short account on early works is required.

In order to obtain the thermodynamics of a quantum field in a background with boundaries one usually applies a global procedure which consists first in calculating the Helmholtz free energy F [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Then the internal energy U of the field in a volume V follows from F in the usual manner,

$$U = \left(\frac{\partial(\beta F)}{\partial\beta}\right)_V,\tag{4}$$

where $\beta := 1/k_B T$. It should be remarked that in the implementation of this global procedure zeta function is a mathematical tool very much used.

The study of connections between thermodynamics of fields in backgrounds with boundaries and the local ensemble average $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ goes back to the 1960's and 1970's [12, 13, 14, 5, 15]. Such a study has been spoiled by the fact that typically $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ has a nonintegrable divergence at idealized boundaries [14, 16], where idealized boundary conditions are assumed to hold in order to model real walls of a cavity. Various proposals to "regularize" such divergences have appeared in the literature [2, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] and many aspects of the subject is still actively investigated [28]. The present work wishes to examine further the connections mentioned above.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. The next section is devoted to reviewing the calculation in ref. [4] of $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ for a massless scalar field in N-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, where space is divided in two halves by a Dirichlet or by a Neumann plane wall (two models of reflecting wall). Some aspects of the inhomogeneous and anisotropic $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ are explored further for the purpose of use in the following sections. In section 3, the local procedure to determine the internal energy is applied. Such a calculation of U is performed when N > 2 and when N = 2, and the results are compared with those in the literature, for related backgrounds, where global procedures to obtain U have been used. In section 4, the expressions found for U in the previous section are used to calculate the free energy F by integrating eq. (4). Once one knows F, familiar thermodynamic relations lead to the entropy and two thermodynamic pressures (there are two different thermodynamic pressures due to anisotropy). The relationship between the thermodynamic pressures and the stress components of $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ is also established in section 4. Section 5 closes the article, presenting a summary and conclusions. (In the rest of the text, $k_B = \hbar = c = 1$.)

2 Stress-energy-momentum tensor ensemble average

Before addressing $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ in the presence of a reflecting plane wall in flat spacetime it is pertinent to review the tools that allow calculation of $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ in arbitrary backgrounds. Conventions and the material of the review below are those in the textbooks [29, 30], and have been used in ref. [4].

2.1 Review

One begins with an N-dimensional spacetime with metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, where μ in the generalized coordinate x^{μ} runs from 0 to N-1 and x^{0} is identified with the time coordinate t as is usually done. In the Heinsenberg picture a massless free scalar field is described by the operator $\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ satisfying the covariant equation

$$(g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu} + \xi R)\,\phi(x) = 0,\tag{5}$$

where ξ is a dimensionless parameter multiplying the curvature scalar R and for this reason called curvature coupling parameter. It should be noted that when spacetime is locally flat (which is the case of interest in this paper) R = 0, then choosing flat coordinates such that $g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}$ and $\nabla_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}$, eq. (5) becomes the well known wave equation. When ξ equals

$$\xi_N := \frac{N-2}{4(N-1)},\tag{6}$$

it follows that the field eq. (5) is covariant under conformal transformations, i.e., $g_{\mu\nu} \to \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\phi \to \Omega^{(2-N)/2} \phi$, where $\Omega(x)$ is a real function. In the literature the conformal coupling corresponds to $\xi = \xi_N$ and the minimal coupling to $\xi = 0$.

To complete the scheme one must specify the quantum commutation relations

$$[\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \phi(t, \mathbf{x}')] = 0, \qquad [\pi(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi(t, \mathbf{x}')] = 0,$$
$$[\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi(t, \mathbf{x}')] = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \qquad (7)$$

where $\pi = \sqrt{|g|}g^{0\nu}\partial_{\nu}\phi$. A background with nontrivial topology may be taken into account by considering boundary conditions.

The Hilbert space is constructed from eqs. (5) and (7) as in Minkowski coordinates; but with the crucial difference that the normal modes are not plane waves. Once the modes are determined from eq. (5) and boundary conditions, a vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is defined as the state that vanishes under the action of the annihilation operator. The normal modes (and consequently the vacuum) may or may not be associated with some symmetry of the background (e.g., in globally flat spacetime, plane waves are associated with translation invariance). This makes the particle concept less useful for dealing with quantum fields in nontrivial backgrounds since there is no privileged vacuum, i.e., a particular symmetry. Therefore one looks at expectation values of observables instead. A simple example is the vaccum fluctuation $\langle 0|\phi^2(x)|0\rangle$, which can be formally written as

$$\langle \phi^2(x) \rangle = \lim_{x' \to x} \frac{1}{2} G^{(1)}(x, x'),$$
(8)

where $G^{(1)}(x, x')/2$ is obtained by symmetrizing $\langle 0|\phi(x)\phi(x')|0\rangle$, i.e.,

$$G^{(1)}(x, x') := \langle 0 | \{ \phi(x), \phi(x') \} | 0 \rangle, \tag{9}$$

known as Hadamard function. Note that eq. (9) also satisfies eq. (5). When the limit in eq. (8) is taken a divergent quantity arises. If spacetime is locally flat (again, which is the case of interest in this paper), divergences are cured simply by removing the corresponding contribution in Minkowski spacetime. For nonlocally flat spacetimes more elaborate renomalization procedures must be applied.

The Feynman propagator is defined as usual, i.e., as the vacuum expectation value of a time-ordered product,

$$iG_{\mathcal{F}}(x,x') := \langle 0 | \mathcal{T}(\phi(x)\phi(x')) | 0 \rangle.$$
(10)

This definition implies that the imaginary part of $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ is given by $-G^{(1)}/2$. Thus eq. (8) may be recast as

$$\langle \phi^2(x) \rangle = i \lim_{x' \to x} G_{\mathcal{F}}(x, x'), \tag{11}$$

where it has been used the fact that the real part of the Feynman propagator plays no role in the final result. By considering that the derivative of the step-function (present in the definition of the time-ordered product) is a δ -function and by observing eqs. (5) and (7) it follows that the Feynman propagator is a Green function of the generalized wave equation, namely,

$$(g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu} + \xi R) G_{\mathcal{F}}(x, x') = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \delta(x - x').$$

$$(12)$$

Therefore one solves eq. (12) and then uses eq. (11) to find the vacuum fluctuation.

In order to address the energy and momentum content of the field the following differential operator is defined,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(x,x') := (1-2\xi)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu'} + (2\xi - 1/2)g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\sigma}\nabla^{\sigma'} - 2\xi\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}, \tag{13}$$

where the prime in $\nabla_{\nu'}$ indicates that the covariant derivative is taken with respect to $x^{\nu'}$. By letting eq. (13) act on $G^{(1)}/2$ and considering the field equation (5) it results that

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x)\rangle = i \lim_{x' \to x} \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(x, x') G_{\mathcal{F}}(x, x'), \qquad (14)$$

where (semicolons below denote covariant differentiation)

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) := (1/2 - \xi) \left(\phi_{;\mu}\phi_{;\nu} + \phi_{;\nu}\phi_{;\mu}\right) + (2\xi - 1/2) g_{\mu\nu}\phi_{;\sigma}\phi^{;\sigma} - \xi \left(\phi_{;\nu\mu}\phi + \phi\phi_{;\nu\mu}\right).$$
(15)

An examination of the operator defined in eq. (15), where the field and its derivatives appear conveniently symmetrized, reveals that it corresponds to the flat spacetime expression for the classical stress-energy-momentum tensor, i.e., $2|g|^{-1/2}\delta S/\delta g^{\mu\nu}$, where $S = \int \mathcal{L} dx^N$ is the action functional and

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|g|} \left[g^{\mu\nu}\phi_{;\mu}\phi_{;\nu} - \xi R\phi^2 \right]$$
(16)

is the Lagrangian density associated with eq. (5) through the least action principle, $\delta S = 0$. The expression in eq. (14) is by definition the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energymomentum tensor of a massless scalar field in (locally) flat spacetime [the corresponding expression in curved spacetime may be obtained by suitably inserting terms depending on the curvature in eq. (13)].

It should be remarked that in flat geometry ξ does not appear either in eq. (16) or, consequently, in eq. (5) since R = 0. However it does appear in the expression for the stressenergy-momentum tensor [cf. eq. (15)] and the reason to do so is that the variation with respect to the metric tensor, $\delta S/\delta g^{\mu\nu}$, is made before solving Einstein's equations which yield the flat geometry.

Another point worth mentioning here is that the only dependence on ξ of the (classical) energy density $T_{00}(x)$ in (locally) flat spacetime appears in a term which is a spatial divergence (colons below denote differentiation with respect to Cartesian coordinates),

$$-2\xi(\phi\phi_{,j})_{,j}.$$
 (17)

Thus by integrating $T_{00}(x)$ over space eq. (17) does not contribute if ϕ (or its derivative) vanishes at the boundaries of the spatial region, resulting that all values of ξ should lead to the same total energy.

In other to introduce thermal effects in quantum field theory one borrows tools and concepts from quantum statistical mechanics. A quantum system in thermodynamical equilibrium at temperature $1/\beta$ is characterized by the Hamiltonian operator H and by the density matrix (operator) ρ . The functional form of ρ is obtained by requiring that the entropy $S := -\text{Tr}\rho \log \rho$ is a maximum, leading to

$$\varrho = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{Z}.$$
(18)

In order to fulfill its probabilistic interpretation ρ should satify $\text{Tr}\rho = 1$. Consequently the partition function Z in eq. (18) equals $\text{Tr} \exp\{-\beta H\}$ and the thermal average of an observable A is given by

$$\langle A \rangle_{\beta} = \text{Tr}\varrho A,$$
 (19)

which at zero temperature ($\beta = \infty$) reduces to the vacuum average.

Next a scalar field $\phi(x)$ is taken to be in thermodynamical equilibrium with a reservoir at temperature $1/\beta$. For a time independent Hamiltonian the field evolves according to

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = U(t_0 - t)\phi(t_0, \mathbf{x})U(t - t_0),$$
(20)

where $U(t) := \exp\{-iHt\}$ is the familiar time evolution operator. The thermal Feynman propagator is still defined by eq. (10) with the vacuum average replaced by the thermal average according to eq. (19). Noting the cyclic property of the trace, eqs. (18), (19) and (20) lead to $G_{\mathcal{F}}(t) = G_{\mathcal{F}}(t+i\beta)$ (other coordinates have been omited). As the propagators are analytic functions of imaginary values of the time t, one can analytically continue $\tau := it$ to real values and the boundary condition above becomes

$$G_{\mathcal{F}}^{(\beta)}(\tau) = G_{\mathcal{F}}^{(\beta)}(\tau+\beta),\tag{21}$$

where $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{(\beta)}(\tau) := G_{\mathcal{F}}(-i\tau)$. Then, once $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{(\beta)}(\tau)$ is determined by solving eq. (12) with eq. (21) satisfied, one can analytically continue back to real values of t and interpret the effects at temperature $T = 1/\beta$ [see, e.g., eqs. (11) and (14)].

2.2 $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ in the presence of a reflecting plane wall

Consider a Minkowski spacetime with $N \geq 2$ dimensions where points are labelled by usual flat coordinates, (t, x, y, z, \dots) . An arbitrarily large plane wall is taken at x = 0 simulating a real wall of a large cavity in which a massless neutral scalar field ϕ is in thermodynamic equilibrium at temperature T. In order to model a reflecting wall at x = 0, the thermal Feynman propagator is assumed to satisfy the Dirichlet or the Neumann boundary conditions besides satisfying eq. (21) (for more details regarding this material see ref. [4]). Only the diagonal components of the corresponding ensemble average of the stress-energy-momentum tensor are nonvanishing [4], i.e. [it should be noted that $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ follows from eqs. (13) and (14) by raising indices],

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = \operatorname{diag}(\rho, P_{\perp}, P_{\parallel}, \cdots, P_{\parallel}).$$
 (22)

The energy density in eq. (22) is given by,

$$\rho = \rho_{\text{vacuum}} + \rho_{\text{mixed}} + \rho_{\text{thermal}}, \qquad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\text{vacuum}} &= \pm \frac{2(N-1)}{(2\pi^{1/2})^N} (\xi - \xi_N) \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) x^{-N},\\ \rho_{\text{mixed}} &= \pm \frac{4}{\pi^{N/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) T^N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[(2Tx)^2 + m^2 \right]^{-(N+2)/2} \end{split}$$

$$\times \left[(N-1)(\xi - \xi_N) \left(4T^2 x^2 + m^2 \right) - \xi N m^2 \right],$$

$$\rho_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{N-1}{\pi^{N/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \zeta(N) T^N,$$
(24)

upper signs for Dirichlet and lower signs for Neumann (as in the rest of the text). When $\xi = \xi_N$ [cf. eq. (6)] one has that $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ is traceless. It is worth noting that as $T \to 0$ only the first term in eq. (23) is left behind. As $x \to \infty$ only the Planckian ρ_{thermal} remains. (In all formulas x is taken to be nonnegative which corresponds to choosing one side of the wall.) The contribution ρ_{mixed} in eq. (23) bridges the vacuum ρ_{vacuum} and the blackbody ρ_{thermal} contributions and that is, in fact, a general feature common to all densities [4].

The remaining components of $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ in eq. (22) are,

$$P_{\perp} = \frac{\rho_{\text{thermal}}}{N-1}, \qquad P_{\parallel} = -\rho_{\text{vacuum}} + P_{\parallel \text{ mixed}} + \frac{\rho_{\text{thermal}}}{N-1}, \tag{25}$$

where

$$P_{\parallel \text{ mixed}} = -\frac{4}{\pi^{N/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) T^N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[(2Tx)^2 + m^2 \right]^{-(N+2)/2} \\ \times \left[(N-1)(\xi - \xi_N) \left(4T^2x^2 + m^2 \right) - (\xi - 1/4)Nm^2 \right].$$
(26)

It follows from eqs. (25) and (26) that $P_{\perp} \neq P_{\parallel}$ and that isotropy holds only asymptotically, i.e., when $x \to \infty$. The following relation between ρ_{mixed} and $P_{\parallel \text{ mixed}}$ will be useful later in the paper,

$$\rho_{\text{mixed}} - (N-2)P_{\parallel \text{ mixed}} = \frac{4}{\pi^{N/2}}(N-1)(\xi-\xi_N)\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)T^N \\ \times \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[(N-1)(2Tx)^2 - m^2 \right] \left[(2Tx)^2 + m^2 \right]^{-(N+2)/2}.$$
(27)

As has been shown in ref. [31] there is a range over which the values of ξ are restricted in order that stable thermodynamic equilibrium prevails. For the Dirichlet boundary condition ξ must satisfy,

$$\frac{N-3}{4(N-2)} < \xi < \frac{1}{4}, \qquad N > 2, \tag{28}$$

$$\xi < \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \qquad N = 2, \tag{29}$$

and for the Neumann boundary condition ξ must be such that,

$$\frac{3-2N}{4} < \xi < \frac{3N-5}{4(N-2)}, \qquad N>2, \tag{30}$$

$$\xi > -\frac{1}{4}, \qquad N = 2.$$
 (31)

It should be pointed out that the conformal coupling in eq. (6) fits these bounds; but that the minimal coupling ($\xi = 0$) does not fit in eq. (28) for N > 3. Although equalities have not been included in the bounds of ξ in eqs. (28) to (31) there are evidences that they still hold when equalities are included. Before closing this section it should also be remarked that eqs. (30) and (31) were not presented in ref. [31] for arbitrary N, but the way to obtain them is the same as that leading to eqs. (28) and (29) which appeared first in ref. [31].

3 Internal energy

When N > 2, one assumes that the reflecting plane wall of the large cavity described in the previous section has area A. The cavity is considered to be cylindrical with height a, such that its volume is Aa. Only boundary conditions on this particular wall will be taken into account, although connection with other configurations of walls in the literature will be made. The internal energy U of the scalar radiation in the cavity is obtained by integrating eq. (23) and noting eq. (24),

$$U = U_{\text{vacuum}} + U_{\text{mixed}} + U_{\text{thermal}}, \qquad (32)$$

where,

$$U_{\text{vacuum}} = A \int_{\epsilon}^{a} \rho_{\text{vacuum}} \, dx, \qquad U_{\text{mixed}} = A \int_{0}^{a} \rho_{\text{mixed}} \, dx, \qquad (33)$$

and,

$$U_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{N-1}{\pi^{N/2}} A a \, \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \zeta(N) T^N. \tag{34}$$

The nonvanishing lower bound of the integration in eq. (33), ϵ , takes into account the nonintegrable divergence mentioned in section 1. When N = 2, one simply sets A equal to unity in the arguments above, including eqs. (32) to (34). It should be noticed that eq. (34) is the usual internal energy of a gas of massless scalar bosons in an (N - 1)-dimensional cavity of volume Aa. In particular, for N = 4, eq. (3) is reproduced.

In the present calculation the cavity is considered arbitrarily large in the sense that for any nonvanishing T, it is assumed that

$$Ta \gg 1.$$
 (35)

Consequently, one can formally take $a = \infty$ in integrations in eq. (33) and, in doing so, the result is as if only leading contributions in each integration were kept. In dealing with the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ in eq. (33) one of the regularization schemes mentioned previously must be used. It turns out that any of them yields [2, 6, 18, 19, 21],

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_{\text{vacuum}} \, dx = 0,\tag{36}$$

and thus eq. (32) becomes,

$$U = U_{\text{thermal}} + U_{\text{mixed}},\tag{37}$$

with

$$U_{\text{mixed}} = A \int_0^\infty \rho_{\text{mixed}} \, dx. \tag{38}$$

Note that U_{mixed} in eq. (37) should be seen as the leading correction to the Planckian U_{thermal} in eq. (34) due to the presence of a reflecting wall. Terms in eq. (37) have been rearranged to denote this fact. In order to proceed with the calculation of U, the cases N > 2 and N = 2 will be treated separately.

3.1 N > 2

Before using in eq. (38) the expression for ρ_{mixed} as given in eq. (24), it is convenient to consider the definitions,

$$f(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\chi \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left[(\alpha - 1)\chi^2 - m^2 \right] \left(\chi^2 + m^2\right)^{-(\alpha + 2)/2},\tag{39}$$

and

$$g(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\chi \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left(\chi^2 + m^2\right)^{-\alpha}.$$
 (40)

Then, for $\chi := 2Tx$, it follows that

$$U_{\text{mixed}} = \pm \frac{4A}{\pi^{N/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) T^{N-1} \left[\xi f(N) - (N-1)\xi_N g(N/2)\right], \qquad N > 2.$$
(41)

When $\alpha > 2$, by using $t := \chi^2/m^2$ in eq. (39), it results

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4}\zeta(\alpha - 1)\int_0^\infty dt \, t^{-1/2} \left[(\alpha - 1)t - 1 \right] (1 + t)^{-(\alpha + 2)/2} \,. \tag{42}$$

Noticing now that,

$$\int dt \, t^{-1/2} \left[(\alpha - 1)t - 1 \right] (1 + t)^{-(\alpha + 2)/2} = -2t^{1/2} (1 + t)^{-\alpha/2},$$

eq. (42) yields

$$f(\alpha) = 0, \qquad \alpha > 2. \tag{43}$$

Thus, eq. (41) agrees with the common knowledge that total energies in flat spacetime do not depend on ξ [see, e.g., ref. [32] and the paragraph containing eq. (17)]. Function $g(\alpha)$ in eq. (40) can be manipulated in a similar manner as $f(\alpha)$, following that (using, e.g., MATHEMATICA [33]),

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{4} \zeta(2\alpha - 1) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - 1/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \qquad \alpha > 1.$$
(44)

By inserting eqs. (43) and (44) in eq. (41), one ends up with

$$U_{\text{mixed}} = \mp \frac{1}{4} \frac{N-2}{\pi^{(N-1)/2}} A \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \zeta(N-1) T^{N-1}, \tag{45}$$

where eq. (6) has been used. It should be stressed that eq. (45) holds when N > 2.

Comparing eqs. (34) and (45), it is seen that the contribution in eq. (37) due to the presence of the plane Dirichlet wall is a deficit of 1/4 of blackbody energy in a (N - 2)-dimensional cavity; and a excess of 1/4 for the plane Neumann wall. According to this loose picture the corresponding "bosons" can be thought to be confined to the wall.

Working with zeta function regularization in static spacetimes, ref. [34] calculated the high temperature behaviour of the free energy of a massless scalar field confined to an (N-1)-dimensional compact space \mathcal{M} , whose volume is $|\mathcal{M}|$, and where the area of the corresponding boundary $\partial \mathcal{M}$ is $|\partial \mathcal{M}|$, finding,

$$F = -\frac{1}{\pi^{N/2}} \left[|\mathcal{M}| \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \zeta(N) T^N \mp \frac{1}{4} \pi^{1/2} |\partial \mathcal{M}| \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \zeta(N-1) T^{N-1} \right], \tag{46}$$

where subleading contributions involving spacetime curvature have been omitted. Now, using eq. (46) in eq. (4), it results eq. (37) with $|\mathcal{M}|$ in the place of Aa in eq. (34), and $|\partial\mathcal{M}|$ in the place of A in eq. (45). Such a correspondence suggests that, at high temperature T, each reflecting wall of a large cavity contributes with U_{mixed} in eq. (45). Indeed, for a slab, which consists of two parallel plane walls separated by a distance a, in the regime where eq. (35) holds it follows that the leading correction to U_{thermal} in eq. (34) is twice eq. (45) [6, 9].

3.2 N = 2

When N = 2, noticing eq. (34), one goes back to eq. (37) and writes,

$$U = \frac{\pi}{6}aT^2 + U_{\text{mixed}},\tag{47}$$

where U_{mixed} is given by eq. (38), now with $A \equiv 1$ and ρ_{mixed} given in eq. (24) for N = 2,

$$U_{\text{mixed}} = \pm \frac{4}{\pi} T \xi f(2). \tag{48}$$

Noting eq. (39) and using ref. [33], it results,

$$f(2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\chi \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{\chi^2 - m^2}{(\chi^2 + m^2)^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\chi \frac{(\pi\chi)^2 \operatorname{csch}^2(\pi\chi) - 1}{2\chi^2} = -\frac{\pi}{4}, \tag{49}$$

which should be compared with eq. (43). [See also in figure 1 plots against χ of the integrands of f(3) in eq. (39) and of f(2) in eq. (49).] Using eq. (49) in eq. (48), one finds, unexpectedly, that the correction to the blackbody contribution in eq. (47) depends on ξ , namely,

$$U_{\text{mixed}} = \mp \xi T. \tag{50}$$



Figure 1: The upper plot (yellow) is the the integrand of f(3) and the lower plot (blue) is the integrand of f(2). The upper plot initially runs under zero, and then over zero, explaining eq. (43). The lower plot always runs under zero, such that eq. (49) holds.

Looking at the literature for related configurations of reflecting "walls" (more properly, reflecting points) in spacetimes with N = 2 dimensions, one sees that the general formula in eq. (46) is not applicable. Nevertheless, refs. [6] and [11], by using global regularization procedures appropriate for N = 2, have arrived to an internal energy given by, $\pi a T^2/6 - T/2$, for the case of a slab of width *a* regardless the boundary condition is Dirichlet's or Neumann's. Now, taking into account eqs. (47) and (50), and assuming that (as when N > 2) the correction to the Planckian internal energy in the slab is twice eq. (50), the result of the global procedure is reproduced if ξ is taken to be 1/4 for the Dirichlet, and -1/4 for the Neumann boundary conditions. It should be remarked that these values fit the bounds on ξ given in the previous section [note that, as mentioned earlier, equalities are likely not discarded in eqs. (29) and (31)].

At this point a word of caution should be given regarding the assumption above that the correction to the Planckian internal energy in the slab is twice eq. (50). In the case of a slab (two reflecting walls separated by a), besides ρ_{mixed} given in eq. (24) for N = 2, additional terms such as $\pm \xi T/a$ might appear. Consequently, recalling eq. (50), the integration in eq. (33) would sweep away the dependence on ξ in the expression of U_{mixed} . Although this is certainly a possibility which would lead to agreement with global procedure [6, 11], only a calculation of U_{mixed} using the present local procedure extended to the case of two reflecting walls could give an answer for sure ².

4 Other thermodynamic quantities

Before studying other thermodynamic quantities in the large cavity, it is convenient at this stage to consider the space averages (integration over space divided by the volume), p_{\perp} and p_{\parallel} , of P_{\perp} and P_{\parallel} in eq. (22),

$$p_{\perp} = \frac{1}{\pi^{N/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \zeta(N) T^N, \qquad p_{\parallel} = p_{\perp} + \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty P_{\parallel \text{ mixed }} dx, \tag{51}$$

where eqs. (24), (25) and (36) have been used. Note that, naturally, the formula for p_{\parallel} only applies when N > 2. As will be seen below, thermodynamics involves these space averages.

$4.1 \quad N{>}2$

By integrating eq. (27) over x noting eq. (39), one finds that its right hand side yields a term proportional to f(N) which, on account of eq. (43), vanishes. Using then eq. (45), it follows that p_{\parallel} in eq. (51) is given by,

$$p_{\parallel} = p_{\perp} \mp \frac{1}{4a\pi^{(N-1)/2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) \zeta(N-1)T^{N-1}.$$
 (52)

Considering T, A and a as independent thermodynamic parameters and observing eqs. (37), (34) and (45), integration of eq. (4) leads to the free energy in eq. (46) with $|\mathcal{M}|$ and $|\partial \mathcal{M}|$ replaced by Aa and A, respectively [cf. text after eq. (46)]. It can be readily checked that F so obtained satisfies,

$$p_{\perp} = -\frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial a} \right)_{T,A}, \qquad p_{\parallel} = -\frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial A} \right)_{T,a}, \tag{53}$$

i.e., the thermodynamic pressures are the space averages in eqs. (51) and (52). Note that the pressure perpendicular to the wall p_{\perp} is the familiar blackbody pressure [by setting N = 4 in eq. (51), p_{\perp} yields p in eq. (2)], and that the pressure parallel to the wall p_{\parallel} is diminished or increased by the presence of a Dirichlet or Neumann wall, respectively [see text in the paragraph starting after eq. (45)]. At this point, it should be added that an integration constant (independent of T) in F/T has been ignored when integrating eq. (4). If such a constant is taken to be different from zero, the partial derivatives in eq. (53) could give rise to Casimir terms (linear on T [6]) in conflict with eqs. (51) and (52) where Casimir pressures are not present (recall that in this paper boundary conditions are considered on a single wall).

Clearly one can go further to obtain other thermodynamic quantities, for example entropy,

$$S = -\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}\right)_{A,a},\tag{54}$$

whose expression follows immediately from eq. (46).

²This author intends to investigate this point and results will appear elsewhere.

$4.2 \quad N = 2$

Turning now to N = 2, using eqs. (47) and (50) in eq. (4), integration yields,

$$F = -\frac{\pi}{6}aT^2 \pm \xi T \ln{(T\ell)},$$
(55)

where ℓ is an arbitrary length scale which must be introduced such that the argument of the logarithm is dimensionless. (As done above, an integration constant in F/T has been omitted.) Assuming that ℓ is independent of a, it follows from eq. (55) a thermodynamic pressure which does not depend on ξ ,

$$p = -\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial a}\right)_T = \frac{\pi}{6}T^2,\tag{56}$$

agreeing with the space average p_{\perp} in eq. (51). By inserting eq. (55) into eq. (54), it results that,

$$S = \frac{\pi}{3}aT \mp \xi[\ln{(T\ell)} + 1],$$
(57)

i.e., the blackbody entropy is corrected by a term that depends on ξ and ℓ . It is worth mentioning that one cannot set $T \to 0$ in eq. (57) and argue that entropy diverges as the absolute zero of temperature is approached, since the leading contribution in eq. (57) is the Planckian form [cf. eq. (35)].

Noting eqs. (55) and (56), it follows that the Gibbs free energy G = F + pa is given by

$$G = \pm \xi T \ln \left(T \ell \right), \tag{58}$$

and then only for the minimal coupling $\xi = 0$ [which is the same as the conformal coupling when N = 2, cf. eq. (6)] the Euler relation is satisfied. In fact, for $\xi = 0$, all thermodynamic quantities reduce to Planckian forms.

5 Conclusion

This paper examined how the single Dirichlet and Neumann plane walls modify the thermodynamics of standard scalar blackbody radiation. This was done using the local procedure, which consists in integrating the renormalized energy density to obtain the internal energy, instead of obtaining the latter by means of the free energy as is usually done following the global procedure. The main result is that in N = 2-dimensional spacetime, total thermodynamic quantities depend on the curvature coupling parameter ξ although spacetime is flat. When N > 2, agreement between the local and global procedures was achieved; not so much when N = 2 due to the presence of ξ in the corresponding total thermodynamic quantities. The central point of the argument is that the following integration in "half space" [see eqs. (38), (45) and (50)],

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_{\texttt{mixed}} \, dx,$$

does not depend on ξ when N > 2; but it does depend on ξ when N = 2.

It should be recalled that although total thermodynamic quantities in N = 2 carry dependence on the curvature coupling parameter ξ , the value of the latter is not completely arbitrary. Namely, eqs. (29) and (31) dictate that ξ must not be greater than 1/4 in the case of Dirichlet's and not smaller than -1/4 in the case of Neumann's boundary condition, otherwise stable thermodynamic equilibrium will not prevail.

In dealing with the Dirichlet and the Neumann boundary conditions in the context of flat spacetimes, appearance of ξ in total quantities such as the internal energy [cf. eqs. (47) and

(50)], the Helmholtz free energy [cf. eq. (55)], and the entropy [cf. eq. (57)] is puzzling [see paragraph containing eq. (17)] and asks for further investigation of the thermodynamics of the scalar radiation in the presence of boundaries in two-dimensional backgrounds. As mentioned earlier in the paper this study will appear elsewhere.

Perhaps, it is worth commenting here that there is in literature another instance where the curvature coupling parameter ξ plays a role in total quantities, even in flat backgrounds. Namely, in the calculation of geometric entropies [35].

Acknowledgements – Work partially supported by "Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais" (FAPEMIG).

References

- [1] K. Huang, Statistical Mechanics, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A. (1987).
- [2] G. Kennedy, R. Critchley and J. S. Dowker, Finite Temperature Field Theory with Boundaries: Stress Tensor and Surface Action Renormalisation, Annals Phys. 125 (1980) 346.
- [3] S. Tadaki and S. Takagi, Casimir Effect at Finite Temperature, Prog. Theor. Phys. 75 (1986) 262.
- [4] V. A. De Lorenci, L. G. Gomes and E. S. Moreira Jr., Local thermal behaviour of a massive scalar field near a reflecting wall, JHEP 03 (2015) 096 [arXiv:1410.7826].
- [5] J. S. Dowker and G. Kennedy, Finite temperature and boundary effects in static spacetimes, J. Phys. A 11 (1978) 895.
- [6] J. Ambjørn and S. Wolfram, Properties of the Vacuum. I. Mechanical and Thermodynamic, Annals Phys. 147 (1983) 1.
- [7] K. Kirsten, Casimir effect at finite temperature, J. Phys. A 24 (1991) 3281.
- [8] K. Kirsten, Grand thermodynamic potential in a static spacetime with boundary, Class. Quant. Grav. 8 (1991) 2239.
- [9] S. C. Lim and L. P. Teo, Finite temperature Casimir energy in closed rectangular cavities: a rigorous derivation based on a zeta function technique, J. Phys. A 40 (2007) 11645 [arXiv:0804.3916].
- [10] B. Geyer, G. L. Klimchitskaya and V. M. Mostepanenko, Thermal Casimir effect in ideal metal rectangular boxes, Eur. Phys. J. C 57 (2008) 823 [arXiv:0808.3754].
- [11] S. C. Lim and L. P. Teo, Finite-temperature Casimir effect in piston geometry and its classical limit, Eur. Phys. J. C 60 (2009) 323 [arXiv:0808.0047].
- [12] L. S. Brown and G. J. Maclay, Vacuum Stress between Conducting Plates: An Image Solution, Phys. Rev. 184 (1969) 1272.
- [13] J. S. Dowker and R. Critchley, Vacuum stress tensor in an Einstein universe: Finitetemperature effects, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 1484.
- [14] R. Balian and B. Duplantier, Electromagnetic Waves near Perfect Conductors, II. Casimir Effect, Annals Phys. 112 (1978) 165.
- [15] S. D. Unwin, Thermodynamics in multiply connected spaces, J. Phys. A 12 (1979) L309.
- [16] D. Deutsch and P. Candelas, Boundary Effects in Quantum Field Theory, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 3063.
- [17] G. Kennedy, Finite Temperature Field Theory with Boundaries: The Photon Field, Annals Phys. 138 (1982) 353.

- [18] L. H. Ford and N. F. Svaiter, Vacuum energy density near fluctuating boundaries, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 065007 [arXiv:quant-ph/9804056].
- [19] A. Romeo and A. A. Saharian, Casimir effect for scalar fields under Robin boundary conditions on plates, J. Phys. A 35 (2002) 1297 [arXiv:hep-th/0007242].
- [20] N. Graham et al., The Dirichlet Casimir problem, Nucl. Phys. B 677 (2004) 379 [arXiv:hep-th/0309130].
- [21] S. A. Fulling, Vacuum Energy as Spectral Geometry, SIGMA 3 (2007) 094.
- [22] S. A. Fulling, Vacuum energy density and pressure near boundaries, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 25 (2010) 2364.
- [23] K. A. Milton, Hard and soft walls, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 065028 [arXiv:1107.4589].
- [24] J. D. Bouas et al., Investigating The Spectral Geometry of a Soft Wall, Spectral Geometry Book Series: Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 84 (2012) 139 [arXiv:1106.1162].
- [25] F. D. Mazzitelli, J. P. Nery and A. Satz, Boundary divergences in vacuum selfenergies and quantum field theory in curved spacetime, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 125008 [arXiv:1110.3554].
- [26] N. Bartolo and R. Passante, Electromagnetic-field fluctuations near a dielectric-vacuum boundary and surface divergences in the ideal conductor limit, Phys. Rev. A 86 (2012) 012122 [arXiv:1204.6475].
- [27] K. A. Milton, K. V. Shajesh, S. A. Fulling and P. Parashar, How does Casimir energy fall? IV. Gravitational interaction of regularized quantum vacuum energy, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 064027 [arXiv:1401.0784].
- [28] S. W. Murray et al., Vacuum energy density and pressure near a soft wall, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 105010 [arXiv:1512.09121].
- [29] N. D. Birrel and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK (1982).
- [30] S. A. Fulling, Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK (1989).
- [31] V. A. De Lorenci, L. G. Gomes and E. S. Moreira Jr., Hot scalar radiation setting bounds on the curvature coupling parameter, Class. Quant. Grav. 32 (2015) 085002 [arXiv:1304.6041].
- [32] S. A. Fulling, Systematics of the relationship between vacuum energy calculations and heat-kernel coefficients, J. Phys. A 36 (2003) 6857 [arXiv:quant-ph/0302117].
- [33] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 11.2, Champaign, IL (2017).
- [34] J. S. Dowker, Finite temperature and vacuum effects in higher dimensions, Class. Quant. Grav. 1 (1984) 359.
- [35] F. Larsen and F. Wilczek, Renormalization of black hole entropy and of the gravitational coupling constant, Nucl. Phys. B 458 (1996) 249 [arXiv:hep-th/9506066].