

# Validity of Non-Hermitian fluctuation theorem in open Quantum system with unbroken PT-symmetry

Saurov Hazarika

Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore-21218, USA

E-mail: shazari1@jhu.edu.in

## Abstract

In a recent paper, Deffner and Saxena (2015 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114 150601) showed that quantum Jarzynski equality generalizes to  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric quantum mechanics with unbroken PT symmetry. Later Zeng and Yong (2017 *Journal of Phys. Commun.* 1 031001) extended this work to Crook's fluctuation theorem. In another recent paper, Andrew Smith *et al* 2018 discusses non-equilibrium work relation in open quantum system. In this paper, we will discuss the validity of Non-Hermitian fluctuation theorem in open quantum system, in a region of unbroken PT-symmetry.

## 1. Introduction

In recent time, the far from equilibrium phenomena have attracted a lot of attention in the scientific community. In 1993 Evans *et al.* and in 1994 Gallavotti *et al.* have developed a series of fluctuation theorems, making the earliest breakthroughs in the field. In his seminal paper [1], Jarzynski derived a very fundamental relationship between non-equilibrium work and free energy difference. The relation reads as

$$\langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = e^{-\beta \Delta F}$$

here W stands for work done when a driving force acts on the system and drives the system out of the equilibrium, and  $\Delta F$  stands for the change in free energy during the process. In 1999, Gavin E Crooks, in his papers [3,4], extended this relation and derived a more powerful relationship which is known as Crook's fluctuation theorem. The theorem states

$$\frac{P_F(+\beta W)}{P_R(-\beta W)} = e^{\beta(W - \Delta F)}$$

In recent years, a lot of works have been done in generalizing these result for the Quantum system [5,9-11]. But, although a remarkable progress has been made in discussing fluctuation theorems for closed quantum systems, there are many questions yet to discussed for Quantum Open system. In 2013, Rastegin [17] has discussed these non-equilibrium equalities with unital quantum channels. In 2017, Smith *et al.* [19] discussed the experimental verification of it. In their paper, Smith *et al.* considered the correlation between system and bath very weak, so that

while decoherence plays an important role in the process, dissipation can be neglected.

In 1998, C. M. Bender [12,13] has discussed about a special non-hermitian Hamiltonian. The interesting thing about these set of Hamiltonians is that despite being non-hermitian, in a specific condition, they produce real spectra. The underlying condition they need to follow, is to have a  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetry. In 2015, Deffner and Saxena (2015 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114 150601) showed that quantum Jarzynski Equality generalizes to  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetric quantum mechanics in a region of unbroken  $\mathcal{PT}$ - symmetry. Later Zeng and Yong (2017 *Journal of Phys. Commun.* 1 031001) extended this work to Crook's fluctuation theorem. In this paper, we consider a Quantum open system which is described by a  $\mathcal{PT}$  – symmetric non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. The correlation between the system and bath is weak so that we can ignore dissipation [19]. We will show that Jarzynski Equality and Crook's fluctuation theorem still hold true.

## 2. Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with PT symmetry

According to the earlier conviction, in quantum mechanics, a Hamiltonian to give real spectra must follow the condition of Hermiticity. The Hermiticity of a Hamiltonian can be expressed mathematically as

$$H = H^\dagger \quad (1)$$

Here  $\dagger$  signifies matrix transposition followed by complex conjugation. Once we have this relationship, it can be easily shown that the Eigen values of H are real signifying real spectra. Up until the last decade, it was thought that Hermiticity is a necessary condition for a Hamiltonian in quantum mechanics to have real spectra. But recently C. M. Bender [12,13] showed that even if the Hamiltonian is non-Hermitian, it can have real energy spectrum provided H has an unbroken space-time ( $\mathcal{PT}$  – symmetry) symmetry. In this case (1) can be replaced by the following expression

$$H = H^{PT}$$

Here P stands for the space-reflection operator or parity operator and T stands for time-reversal operator. The conditions followed by P and T operators are as follows [13]

$$\begin{array}{ll} P \hat{x} P = -\hat{x} & P \hat{p} P = -\hat{p} \\ T \hat{x} T = \hat{x} & T \hat{p} T = -\hat{p} \end{array}$$

Here  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$  are position and momentum operators respectively. Also

$$T i T = -i$$

Here  $i$  is the complex number.

Since we don't have the property of Hermiticity any more, many properties of quantum mechanics need to be modified [21,12,13,18]. The new bra-ket relationship for non-Hermitian quantum mechanics can be expressed as [21,12,13,18]

$$|a\rangle \leftrightarrow \langle Ba| = \langle a|B^\dagger = \langle a|B$$

Here B is a Hermitian operator such that  $B = B^\dagger$

And hence the normalization condition can be expressed as  $\langle a|B|a\rangle = 1$ . The completeness can be expressed as  $\sum_i |a_i\rangle \langle a_i|B = 1$ .

The quantum dynamical equation, in the context of non-Hermitian formalism, can be written as [13],

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial |\psi\rangle}{\partial t} = (H(t) + A(t))|\psi\rangle$$

In the above equation, H(t) is the time dependent non-Hermitian Hamiltonian and A(t) is the time dependent gauge field term. The above modified equation preserves the unitarity of the non-Hermitian system.

### 3. Quantum channels

In this section, we will define Quantum Channel. The material of this section is borrowed from [17].

Let's  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is the space of linear operators on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Now let us consider two Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$ .  $\Phi$  is a linear map between  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$  such that  $\Phi: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ . For all  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ ,

The linear map can be written as

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_u K_u X K_u^\dagger \quad (2)$$

Here  $K_u$  is the kraus operator. The adjoint of this linear map is written as

$$\Phi^\dagger(Y) = \sum_u K_u^\dagger Y K_u$$

In case of open quantum system, the dynamics is represented by density matrix rather than wave function. The density operator can be written as [20]

$$\rho = \sum_i w_i |i\rangle \langle i|$$

where the system is in state  $i$  with probability  $w_i$ . For a pure state,  $\rho$  can be written as [20]

$$\rho = |i_{pure}\rangle \langle i_{pure}|$$

We can use the formalism of linear map mentioned above to establish the relationship between the density matrices in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ . If  $\rho_A$  is the input then  $\Phi(\rho_A)$  is the output. The output density matrix can be written as [17]

$$\rho_B = Tr(\Phi(\rho_A))^{-1} \Phi(\rho_A)$$

from (2), it can easily be shown that

$$\Phi(1_A) = \sum_u K_u K_u^\dagger$$

Or  $\Phi(1_A) = 1_B$

In this discussion we are considering a unital quantum channel.

#### 4. Jarzynski Equality in non-Hermitian (unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric region) quantum open system

For classical system, Jarzynski equality can be written as [1,2]

$$\langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = e^{-\beta \Delta F}$$

If we consider the correlation between the system and the bath is weak, we can assume that only decoherence is present and dissipation can be neglected [19]. Since there is no dissipation, two-point energy measurement scheme can be considered [9-11]. Let's the initial Eigen state be  $\varphi_m(t_0)$  and final  $\varphi_n(t_f)$ . Now considering only decoherence and neglecting dissipation [19], the work done by the external force in the system can be written as,

$$W = E_n(t_f) - E_m(t_0)$$

Now, applying the conditions of  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric quantum system,

$$\langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = \sum_{m,n} \frac{e^{-\beta E_m(t_0)}}{Z(t_0)} \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(\rho_A) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle e^{-\beta(E_n(t_f) - E_m(t_0))}$$

Here  $\langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(\rho_A) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle$  is the transition probability.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle &= \sum_{m,n} \frac{e^{-\beta E_m(t_0)}}{Z(t_0)} e^{-\beta(E_n(t_f) - E_m(t_0))} \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(t_0)} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)} \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(\sum_m |\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(t_0)} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)} \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(1) | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(t_0)} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)} \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(t_0)} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)} \\ &= \frac{Z(t_f)}{Z(t_0)} \\ &= e^{-\beta \Delta F} \end{aligned}$$

Since, free energy can be written as  $F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z$  and this is the mathematical representation of

Jarzynski Equality.

## 5. Crook's fluctuation theorem in non-Hermitian (unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric region) quantum open system

The probability distribution of work can be written [10,11]

$$P_{t_0 t_f}(W) = \sum_{m,n} \delta(W - (E_n(t_f) - E_m(t_0))) P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle) P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle)$$

Here,  $P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle)$  denotes the probability of the system found in the Eigen state  $|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle$  and  $P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle)$  is the transition probability.  $P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle)$  can be written as [20]

$$P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle) = \frac{e^{-\beta E_m(t_0)}}{Z(t_0)}$$

The transition probability for the Hermitian quantum mechanics can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle) &= \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | \Phi(\rho_A) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | \Phi(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0)|) | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Considering the first pure energy state of the system to be  $|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle$ .

Hence the transition probability for non-Hermitian system in unbroken  $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric region can be written as

$$P(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \rightarrow |\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle) = \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0)| B_{t_0}) | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle$$

Next, we will follow the same method adopted by Talkner and Hanggi [10,11]. The Fourier transformation of the work distribution is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{t_0 t_f}(u) &= \int dW e^{iuW} P_{t_0 t_f}(W) \\ &= \sum_{m,n} e^{iu(E_n(t_f) - E_m(t_0))} \frac{e^{-\beta E_m(t_0)}}{Z(t_0)} \\ &\quad \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0)| B_{t_0}) | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The time reversed distribution can be written [10,11], setting  $\vartheta = u + i\beta$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{t_f t_0}(\vartheta) &= \int dW e^{i\vartheta W} P_{t_f t_0}(W) \\ &= \sum_{m,n} e^{i\vartheta(E_m(t_0) - E_n(t_f))} \frac{e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)}}{Z(t_f)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} \Phi(|\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f}) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle$$

Now, using the property of linear map explained above

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0}) | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle &= \\ \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \sum_u K_u (|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0}) K_u^\dagger | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle & \\ = \sum_u \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} K_u | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} K_u^\dagger | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle & \\ = \sum_u \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} K_u^\dagger | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} K_u | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle & \\ = \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} \sum_u K_u^\dagger (|\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f}) K_u | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle & \\ = \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} \Phi(|\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f}) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle & \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now from (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{t_0 t_f}(u) &= \sum_{m,n} e^{iu(E_n(t_f) - E_m(t_0))} e^{-\beta E_m(t_0)} / Z(t_0) \\ &\quad \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0}) | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \\ \Rightarrow Z(t_0) \tilde{P}_{t_0 t_f}(u) &= \sum_{m,n} e^{iu(E_n(t_f) - E_m(t_0))} \\ &\quad e^{-\beta E_m(t_0)} \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f} \Phi(|\varphi_m(t_0)\rangle \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0}) | \varphi_n(t_f) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{t_f t_0}(\vartheta) &= \sum_{m,n} e^{i\vartheta(E_m(t_0) - E_n(t_f))} e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)} / Z(t_f) \\ &\quad \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} \Phi(|\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f}) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle \\ \Rightarrow Z(t_f) \tilde{P}_{t_f t_0}(\vartheta) &= \sum_{m,n} e^{i\vartheta(E_m(t_0) - E_n(t_f))} e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)} \\ &\quad \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} \Phi(|\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f}) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m,n} e^{i(u+i\beta)(E_m(t_0)-E_n(t_f))} e^{-\beta E_n(t_f)} \\
&\quad \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} \Phi(|\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f}) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{m,n} e^{iu(E_n(t_f)-E_m(t_0))} e^{-\beta E_m(t_0)} \\
&\quad \langle \varphi_m(t_0) | B_{t_0} \Phi(|\varphi_n(t_f)\rangle \langle \varphi_n(t_f) | B_{t_f}) | \varphi_m(t_0) \rangle \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

Now considering the condition (5), from (6) and (7), we can say that

$$Z(t_0) \tilde{P}_{t_0 t_f}(u) = Z(t_f) \tilde{P}_{t_f t_0}(\vartheta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{P}_{t_0 t_f}(u)}{\tilde{P}_{t_f t_0}(\vartheta)} = \frac{Z(t_f)}{Z(t_0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{P}_{t_0 t_f}(u)}{\tilde{P}_{t_f t_0}(u+i\beta)} = \frac{Z(t_f)}{Z(t_0)}$$

After taking the inverse Fourier transformation

$$\frac{P_{t_0 t_f}(W)}{P_{t_f t_0}(-W)} = \frac{Z(t_f)}{Z(t_0)} e^{\beta W} = e^{\beta(W-\Delta F)}$$

And this is nothing but the mathematical representation of Crook's fluctuation theorem.

The above derivation is valid when we have unbroken PT- symmetry. In case of broken PT- symmetry the dynamics is no longer unitary [14] and it can be concluded that the crook's theorem and Jarzynski Equality are not valid for the Quantum open system with broken PT- symmetry.

## 6. Conclusion

In the above discussion, it has been shown that both Jarzynski Equality and Crook's Fluctuation Theorem valid in non-Hermitian open quantum system with unbroken PT-symmetry. In this work, the coupling between the system and the Bath is considered to be weak so that we can assume dissipation to be negligible compared to decoherence. A future goal would be to examine the validity of these theorems when the coupling is strong and both dissipation and decoherence are significant.

## References

- [1] Jarzynski C 1997 Nonequilibrium equality for free energy difference *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 2690
- [2] Jarzynski C 2011 Equalities and inequalities: irreversibility and the second law of thermodynamics at the nanoscale *Annu. Rev. Cond. Matt. Phys.* 56 5018
- [3] Crooks G E 1998 Nonequilibrium measurements of free energy differences for microscopically reversible Markovian systems *J Stat Phys* 90 1481
- [4] Crooks G E 1999 Entropy production fluctuation theorem and the non-equilibrium work relation for free energy differences *Phys Rev E* 60 2721
- [5] Tasaki H *Jarzynski relations for quantum systems and some applications* arXiv: cond-mat/0009244
- [6] Evans D J, Cohen E G D and Morriss G P 1993 Probability of second law violations in shearing steady states *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71 2401-4
- [7] Evans D J and Searless D J 1994 Equilibrium microstates which generates second law violating steady states *Phys. Rev. E* 50 1645-8
- [8] Gallavotti G and Cohen E G D 1995 Dynamical ensembles in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 74 2694-7
- [9] Mukamel S 2003 Quantum extension of Jarzynski relation: analogy with stochastic dephasing *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
- [10] Talkner P and Hänggi P 2007 The Tasaki-crook's fluctuation theorem *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 40 F569
- [11] Talkner P, Lutz E and Hänggi P 2007 Fluctuation theorems: work is not an observable *Phys. Rev. E* 75 050102
- [12] Bender C M and Boettcher S Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonian having PT-symmetry 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 5243
- [13] Bender C M 2007 Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 70 947
- [14] Deffner S and Saxena A 2015 Jarzynski equality in PT-symmetric quantum mechanics *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114 150601
- [15] Gardas B, Deffner S and Saxena A 2016 Non-Hermitian Quantum thermodynamics *Sci. Rep* 6 23408
- [16] Gardas B, Deffner S and Saxena A 2016 Repeatability of measurements: non-Hermitian observables and quantum coriolis *Phys. Rev. A* 94 022121
- [17] Rastegin A E 2013 Non-equilibrium equalities with unital quantum channels *J. Stat. Mech.* P06016
- [18] Meng Zeng and Ee Hou Yong 2017 Crook's fluctuation theorem in PT-symmetric quantum mechanics *J. Phys. Commun* 1 031001
- [19] Smith A, Lu Y, An S, Zhang J-N, Gong Z, Quan H T, Jarzynski C and Kim K 2018 Verification of the quantum nonequilibrium work relation in the presence of Decoherence *New J. Phys.* 20 013008
- [20] Richard P. Feynman Statistical Mechanics, A Set of Lectures 1998 (Addison-Wesley's *Frontiers in Physics*)
- [21] Brody D C 2013 Biorthogonal Quantum Mechanics *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 46 485302