

EXCEPTIONAL QUANTUM GEOMETRY AND PARTICLE PHYSICS II

Michel DUBOIS-VIOLETTE ¹ and Ivan TODOROV ²

Abstract

We continue the study undertaken in [13] of the relevance of the exceptional Jordan algebra J_3^8 of hermitian 3×3 octonionic matrices for the description of the internal space of the fundamental fermions of the Standard Model with 3 generations. By using the suggestion of [30] (properly justified here) that the Jordan algebra J_2^8 of hermitian 2×2 octonionic matrices is relevant for the description of the internal space of the fundamental fermions of one generation, we show that, based on the same principles and the same framework as in [13], there is a way to describe the internal space of the 3 generations which avoids the introduction of new fundamental fermions and where there is no problem with respect to the electroweak symmetry.

LPT-ORSAY 18-78

¹Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Bâtiment 210, F-91 405 Orsay.
Michel.Dubois-Violette@u-psud.fr

²INRNE, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia.
ivbortodorov@gmail.com

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Unimodularity of $SU(3)_c$ and the quark-lepton symmetry	5
3	The 3 generations and the triality in J_3^8	7
4	The factor $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ for one generation	9
5	W2-triality and the 3 generations	12
6	The extended electroweak symmetry $SU(3)_{ew}$	14
7	The internal symmetry $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3$	15
8	Discussion	15

1 Introduction

It is natural to associate the finite spectrum of fundamental particles to a finite quantum space endowed possibly with some additional structure. This point of view underlies, with some variations, most noncommutative geometric approaches to particle physics (see e.g. [14], [15], [11], [9], [6], [7]). Such a finite quantum space is a virtual space associated to its algebra of observables that is a finite-dimensional real algebra which is a quantum analog of a finite-dimensional algebra of real functions.

In [13] an argument based on an interpretation of the quark-lepton symmetry in terms of the unimodularity of the color group and the existence of 3 generations was presented suggesting that the finite quantum space corresponding to the exceptional Jordan algebra $J_3^8 = \mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{O})$ of 3×3 hermitian octonionic matrices is relevant for the description of the internal space of the 3 generations of fundamental fermions. The triality underlying the structure of J_3^8 corresponds to the 3 generations while the representation of the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} as a complex 4-dimensional space $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is associated with the quark-lepton symmetry (\mathbb{C}^3 for the quark and \mathbb{C} for the corresponding lepton). This part of [13] will be briefly reviewed in Sections 2 and 3.

The quark-lepton symmetry means here (as in [13]) the fact that to each quark corresponds one and only one lepton.

The automorphism group of the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} is the exceptional group G_2 while the subgroup which preserves the splitting $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is $SU(3)$ which in our interpretation should be identified to the color group $SU(3)_c$ (compare to the approach of [18]). The automorphism group of the exceptional algebra J_3^8 is the exceptional group F_4 while the subgroup which preserves the representation of the octonions occurring in the elements of J_3^8 as elements of $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is isomorphic to $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ where the first factor $SU(3)$ should be again identified to the color group $SU(3)_c$ (see Section 3 and [13] and Section 2.12 of [33]).

In [30] it has been suggested that the quantum space corresponding to the Jordan subalgebra $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ of J_3^8 is relevant for the description of the fundamental fermions of the Standard Model (i.e. of one generation). Here J_2^8 is the Euclidean Jordan algebra $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{O})$ of hermitian 2×2 -matrices

with octonionic entries. The automorphism group of J_2^8 is $Spin_9$ and the intersection in F_4 of $Spin_9$ with $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is precisely the group of symmetry $G_{SM} = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_6$ of the Standard Model, where $SU(3)$ above is again the color group $SU(3)_c$ (see [31]). This latter point has an intrinsic meaning in terms of J_2^8 , namely $G_{SM} = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_6$ is the subgroup of the automorphism group $Spin_9$ of J_2^8 which preserves the representation of the octonions occurring in the elements of J_2^8 as elements of $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$, (i.e. which preserves the imaginary unit of \mathbb{O} playing the role of $i \in \mathbb{C}$). This part is the content of Section 4.

In Section 5 it is noticed that corresponding to the triality there are 3 canonical Jordan subalgebras $J_{(1)}, J_{(2)}, J_{(3)}$ of J_3^8 isomorphic to $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ associated to the 3 diagonal primitive idempotents

$$E_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defined by $J_{(i)} = (\mathbb{1} - E_{(i)}) J_3^8 (\mathbb{1} - E_{(i)})$. This leads us to a correspondence triality-generations slightly different conceptually from the one suggested in Section 4.3 of [13] ; here there is no potential problem with respect to the electroweak symmetry and no additional new Majorana fermions.

Indeed the automorphism groups $Aut(J_{(i)})$ of the $J_{(i)}$ are 3 subgroups of F_4 which are isomorphic to $Spin_9$, they are the subgroups of F_4 leaving respectively invariant the idempotents $E_{(i)}$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$). The intersections $G_{SM}^{(i)}$ of $Aut(J_{(i)})$ with the subgroup $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ of F_4 are 3 subgroups $G_{SM}^{(i)}$ isomorphic to G_{SM} having the color $SU(3)$ in common. This justifies the interpretation given in Section 6 of the second factor $SU(3)$ above as the extended electroweak symmetry since “by restriction” to the subalgebras $J_{(i)}$ corresponding to the 3 generations it leads to their corresponding electroweak symmetry ; this $SU(3)$ will be denoted by $SU(3)_{ew}$ so one can write $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ for the subgroup of F_4 preserving the representation $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ of \mathbb{O} as explained in Section 7.

Section 8 is our provisional conclusion.

The fact that the finite-dimensional quantum observable algebras are exactly the finite-dimensional Euclidean (i.e. formally real) Jordan algebras is

well understood and explained in the classical paper [26]. The case of J_3^8 needs some care since this algebra is exceptional [2] and therefore cannot enter within the usual Hilbert space framework ; a detailed analysis of this case has been given in [20].

The occurrence in particle physics of octonions and exceptional structures has a long history (see e.g. [26], [18], [19], [20], [22], [21], [10], [28], [17]).

For the octonions, we refer to [3], for the exceptional Lie groups, we have used [33] and for the Jordan algebras [24], [29], [4], [27], [23], [32].

Concerning our notations, we use throughout the Einstein convention of summation on the repeated up-down indices. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(A)$ the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in a $*$ -algebra A and by $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$ the set of hermitian $n \times n$ matrices with entries in A while the set of hermitian elements of A will be simply denoted by $\mathcal{H}(A)$.

2 Unimodularity of $SU(3)_c$ and the quark-lepton symmetry

The space of internal states of a quark is the 3-dimensional complex space \mathbb{C}^3 acted by the color group $SU(3)$. Since $SU(3)$ is a subgroup of $U(3)$ this means that the above state's space is a 3-dimensional complex Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^3 but the role of the unimodularity of $SU(3)$ that is of a corresponding normalized volume on \mathbb{C}^3 is not transparent. As an element of comparison, one has not the same problem with \mathbb{C}^2 acted by $SU(2)$ because since $SU(2)$ coincides with the group $U(1, \mathbb{H})$ of 1-dimensional quaternionic unitaries it means that one has just to interpret \mathbb{C}^2 as a 1-dimensional quaternionic Hilbert space \mathbb{H} .

Now given the volume form v on the complex Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^3 with $\|v\| = 1$, one defines an internal antisymmetric antilinear cross-product \times on \mathbb{C}^3 by setting

$$v(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = \langle Z_1 \times Z_2, Z_3 \rangle \quad (2.1)$$

for $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathbb{C}^3$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the sesquilinear scalar product of the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^3 . By choosing an orthonormal basis such that $v(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) =$

$\varepsilon_{klm} Z_1^k Z_2^\ell Z_3^m$, one has in components

$$(Z_1 \times Z_2)^k = \varepsilon_{klm} \bar{Z}_1^\ell \bar{Z}_2^m \quad (2.2)$$

for the cross-product defined by (2.1). Thus one has now two products on \mathbb{C}^3 , the internal cross-product $\times : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ and the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore one has

$$\| Z_1 \times Z_2 \|^2 = \| Z_1 \|^2 \| Z_2 \|^2 - |\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle|^2 \quad (2.3)$$

for $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^3$. This suggests by adding a unit to define a product \bullet on the Hilbert space direct sum $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \| (z_1, Z_1) \bullet (z_2, Z_2) \|^2 = \| (z_1, Z_1) \|^2 \| (z_2, Z_2) \|^2 \\ (0, Z_1) \bullet (0, Z_2) = (u \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle^\sharp, Z_1 \times Z_2) \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^3$ where $u \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|u| = 1$ and where the unit $\mathbf{1}$ of $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is $1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$. In (2.4), $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\sharp$ means either $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ or its complex conjugate $\overline{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Such a product is easy to construct, for instance by setting

$$(z, Z) \bullet (z', Z') = (zz' - \overline{\langle Z, Z' \rangle}, zZ' + \bar{z}'Z + Z \times Z') \quad (2.5)$$

for $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$ and $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{C}^3$, which corresponds to the choice $u \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle^\sharp = -\overline{\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle}$. One has then

$$\overline{(z, Z)} \bullet (z, Z) = (z, Z) \bullet \overline{(z, Z)} = \| (z, Z) \|^2 \mathbf{1} \quad (2.6)$$

by defining $\overline{(z, Z)}$ as $\overline{(z, Z)} = (\bar{z}, -Z)$. This implies that the underlying real algebra is a normed division algebra of real dimension 8 which is therefore isomorphic to the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} . The group $SU(3)$ is the group of complex linear transformation of $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ which preserves the product (2.5). We thus recover the classical fact that the subgroup of the automorphism group of \mathbb{O} which preserves an imaginary octonionic unit (here the $i \in \mathbb{C}$) is isomorphic to $SU(3)$. Since the $SU(3)$ -action on $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is the fundamental action on \mathbb{C}^3 , that is the action of the color group on the internal state's space \mathbb{C}^3 of a quark, and the trivial action on \mathbb{C} , it is natural to interpret \mathbb{C} as the internal state's space of the corresponding lepton. In this way the quark-lepton symmetry corresponds to the splitting of the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} as $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ which itself is connected with the unimodularity of the color group $SU(3)$. This corresponds to the original approach of [18].

3 The 3 generations and the triality in J_3^8

As recalled in Section 3.2 of [13] and originally analyzed in [26], the algebra of observables of a finite quantum space should be a finite-dimensional Euclidean Jordan algebra which is clearly not the case of the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} .

However, as pointed out in Section 3.1 of [13], the existence of three generations of fundamental fermions reveals a sort of triality underlying the classification of these fundamental fermions. On the other hand, it is well known that the combination of \mathbb{O} with the usual triality (of $Spin_8$) leads naturally to the exceptional Jordan algebra $J_3^8 = \mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{O})$ of hermitian octonionic 3×3 matrices (see e.g. [1], [24], [33]). This exceptional algebra is a perfect observable algebra for a finite quantum space which we call the exceptional quantum space, i.e. J_3^8 plays the role of the algebra of real functions on this (virtual) exceptional quantum space. This leads to the suggestion of [13] that this finite quantum space corresponding to the exceptional Jordan algebra J_3^8 is relevant for the description of the internal state's space of the three generations of fundamental fermions.

We will follow this point of view here. But in order to understand the following of the paper, one observes that there are two apparently equivalent ways to describe the underlying triality of J_3^8 :

- W1 - this triality corresponds to the three octonions of the matrix of an element of J_3^8 ,
- W2 - this triality corresponds to the three canonical subalgebras of hermitian octonionic 2×2 matrices of J_3^8 corresponding themselves to the above three octonions of W1.

As in [13], this underlying triality of J_3^8 is interpreted as corresponding to the 3 generations. It turns out that, in spite of their obvious formal equivalence, the descriptions W1 and W2 lead naturally to 2 conceptually different interpretations.

If one adopts W1, as in [13], each octonion occurring in J_3^8 should correspond to one quark-lepton family but then remembering that one generation contains two families of quark-lepton having distinct sectors of charges, namely the neutrino and the electron families, and remembering that the

J_3^8 -modules are free we are led to consider a free module which is a factor of the sum of 2 copies J^u and J^d of J_3^8 with the particle assignment

$$J^u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \nu_\tau + t & \bar{\nu}_\mu - c \\ \bar{\nu}_\tau - t & \alpha_2 & \nu_e + u \\ \nu_\mu + c & \bar{\nu}_e - u & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J^d = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \tau + b & \bar{\mu} - s \\ \bar{\tau} - b & \beta_2 & e + d \\ \mu + s & \bar{e} - d & \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

where the fundamental fermions are identified with their internal spaces that is \mathbb{C} for the leptons and \mathbb{C}^3 for the quarks in the above representation $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{O}$ of the octonion algebra, and where the α_k, β_k ($k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) are new spin 1/2 fermions with \mathbb{R} as internal space which do not carry any charge and should be described by Majorana spinors (see [13], Section 4).

If one adopts W2 which is our new approach here, the situation looks completely different because an algebra $J_2^8 = \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{O})$ of hermitian octonionic 2×2 -matrices is an Euclidean Jordan algebra which is a spin factor

$$J_2^8 = JSpin_9 \quad (3.2)$$

that is a finite-dimensional quantum observable algebra corresponding to a finite quantum space can be associated to one complete generation [30]. More precisely, we shall prove in Section 4 that there is a canonical Euclidean extension of the observable algebra J_2^8 which corresponds to one full generation. Within this approach, the factor J_3^8 already potentially contains the 3 generations with their usual electroweak symmetries and without need to introduce new particles.

Let us remind the action of the subgroup $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ of the automorphism group F_4 of J_3^8 which preserves the representation of the octonions occurring in the elements of J_3^8 as elements of $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$. For this, one associates to the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & \zeta_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \bar{x}_1 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

of $J_3^8 = \mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{O})$ the element of $J_3^2 \oplus \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & z_3 & \bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_3 & \zeta_2 & z_1 \\ z_2 & \bar{z}_1 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} + (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$x_i = z_i + Z_i \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 \quad (3.5)$$

are the representation in $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ of the 3 elements of x_i of \mathbb{O} . Then the action of $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ on J_3^8 is induced by the action of $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ on $\mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$H \mapsto VHV^*, \quad M \mapsto UMV^* \quad (3.6)$$

for $(U, V) \in SU(3) \times SU(3)$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{C})$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Clearly the first $SU(3)$ factor of $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset F_4$ is the color group.

4 The factor $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ for one generation

The spin factor $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ of hermitian octonionic 2×2 -matrices is a 10-dimensional Euclidean Jordan algebra which is strongly special [24]. This means essentially that it is a special Jordan algebra which is such that its unital Jordan modules can be extracted from its universal unital associative envelop. The universal unital associative envelop of $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ is the Clifford algebra $Cl(9, 0)$.

The automorphism group of $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ is the group $Spin_9$ while the subgroup which preserves the splitting $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ of the octonion entering into the matrix of an element of J_2^8 is the subgroup

$$G_{SM} = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_6 \quad (4.1)$$

of $Spin_9$ where the factor $SU(3)$ can be identified to the color group $SU(3)_c$. Indeed if one identifies J_2^8 with the Jordan subalgebra of J_3^8 consisting of the matrices of J_3^8 having vanishing first column and first row (which will be later associated to the first generation), then the above G_{SM} coincides with the intersection in F_4 of the subgroup $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ with the subgroup $Spin_9$ of F_4 leaving invariant the idempotent $E_{(1)} \in J_3^8$. It is worth noticing here that the subgroup of $Spin_9$ which preserves the splitting $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ and acts by automorphism on $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ is in fact $U(3) \times SO(3)$.

In order to understand this action of $U(3) \times SO(3)$ on J_2^8 , one associates to the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta & x \\ \bar{x} & \xi \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

of J_2^8 the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta & z \\ \bar{z} & \xi \end{pmatrix} + Z \quad (4.3)$$

of $J_2^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 = \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$, where

$$x = z + Z \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3 \quad (4.4)$$

is the representation of the octonion x in $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$. Then the action of $U(3) \times SO(3) = U(3) \times (SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ on $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is given by

$$H \mapsto VHV^*, \quad Z \mapsto UZ \quad (4.5)$$

for $(U, V) \in U(3) \times SU(2)$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $Z \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Clearly the action of $U(3)$ corresponds to the action of $SU(3)_c \times U(1)$ on \mathbb{C}^3 while the action of $SO(3)$ corresponds to the adjoint action of $SU(2)$ on $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

The Clifford algebra $Cl(9, 0)$ has exactly 2 irreducible representations which are both of dimension $16 = 2^4$ (and which are inequivalent). The corresponding injective representation of $Cl(9, 0)$ is of dimension $32 = 2^5$. This is exactly *after complexification* the dimension of the internal space of the fundamental fermions for one generation. Later on in this section we will come back to the question of complexification.

This representation is for instance the one induced by the canonical identification and inclusion

$$Cl(9, 0) = Cl^0(9, 1) \subset Cl(9, 1) \quad (4.6)$$

where $Cl^0(9, 1)$ is the even part of the Clifford algebra $Cl(9, 1)$ and where the isomorphisms

$$Cl(9, 1) = \mathcal{M}_{32}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (4.7)$$

$$Cl^0(9, 1) = \mathcal{M}_{16}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{16}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (4.8)$$

are classical ones.

It is worth noticing here that the structure group [25] $Str(J_2^8)$ of $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ is the direct product of

$$Str_0(J_2^8) = Spin(9, 1) \quad (4.9)$$

with the multiplicative group of dilatations \mathbb{R}^\times , while one has

$$Co(J_2^8) = Spin(10, 2) \quad (4.10)$$

for the conformal (or Möbius) group of $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$.

Let us come back now to the question of complexification. Given a real unital special Jordan algebra J with product denoted by \circ , there are two natural universal unital associative envelops $S_1(J)$ and $S_1^c(J)$ for J . Namely $S_1(J)$ is the real associative unital algebra generated by J with relations

$$\begin{cases} xy + yx = 2x \circ y, \quad \forall x, y \in J \\ \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_J \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

while $S_1^c(J)$ is the complex associative unital $*$ -algebra generated by J with relations

$$\begin{cases} xy + yx = 2x \circ y, \quad \forall x, y \in J \\ \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_J \\ x^* = x, \quad \forall x \in J \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit of $S_1(J)$ or of $S_1^c(J)$ while $\mathbf{1}_J$ is the unit of J .

The first definition $S_1(J)$ is the standard one in mathematics [24] while the second one $S_1^c(J)$ is very natural for quantum theory (see e.g. [12],[8] and [16]).

In the case where J is a finite-dimensional special Euclidean Jordan algebra, $S_1^c(J)$ is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{H}(S_1^c(J))$ is again an Euclidean Jordan algebra which is special and one has

$$\dim \mathcal{H}(S_1^c(J)) = \dim(S_1(J)) \quad (4.13)$$

for these real algebras. Thus $\mathcal{H}(S_1^c(J))$ is again a finite-dimensional quantum observable algebra which is a sort of completion of J .

In the case of $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$, one has $S_1(J_2^8) = Cl(9, 0)$ while $S_1^c(J_2^8)$ will be denoted by Cl_9 . Thus $\mathcal{H}(Cl_9)$ is the completion of J_2^8 . The C^* -algebra Cl_9 is given by

$$Cl_9 = \mathcal{M}_{16}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{16}(\mathbb{C}) \quad (4.14)$$

which has a minimal injective representation as operator C^* -algebra in the Hilbert space

$$\mathbb{C}^{16} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{16} = \mathbb{C}^{32} \quad (4.15)$$

that is the internal space of the fundamental fermions for one generation. The Euclidean Jordan algebra $\mathcal{H}(Cl_9)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(Cl_9) = J_{16}^2 \oplus J_{16}^2 \quad (4.16)$$

where $J_{16}^2 = \mathcal{H}_{16}(\mathbb{C})$.

This gives the true basis for the realizations of 32-dimensional space of the fundamental fermions of one generation considered in [30].

5 W2-triality and the 3 generations

We now consider the triality as corresponding to the 3 canonical Jordan subalgebras $J_{(1)}, J_{(2)}, J_{(3)}$ isomorphic to J_2^8 of J_3^8 where $J_{(1)}$ consists of the matrices of J_3^8 having vanishing elements in the first row and the first column, $J_{(2)}$ consists of the matrices having vanishing elements in the second row and the second column while $J_{(3)}$ consists of the matrices having vanishing elements in the third row and the third column. These 3 Jordan subalgebras $J_{(i)}$ correspond to the 3 diagonal primitive idempotent $E_{(i)}$ via

$$J_{(i)} = (\mathbf{1} - E_{(i)})J_3^8(\mathbf{1} - E_{(i)}) \quad (5.1)$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

These 3 subalgebras of J_3^8 isomorphic to J_2^8 will be associated to the 3 complete generations of fundamental fermions. $J_{(1)}$ being associated to the generation containing the leptons e and ν_e , $J_{(2)}$, being associated to the generation containing the leptons μ and ν_μ and $J_{(3)}$ being associated to the generation containing the leptons τ and ν_τ .

It is interesting to localize the set of pure states $L_{(i)}$ of the $J_{(i)} (\simeq J_2^8)$ within the set of pure states M of J_3^8 .

The set M is the Moufang plane that is the octonionic projective plane while the $L_{(i)}$ are projective lines in M which intersect at the 3 primitive

diagonal idempotents $E_{(j)} \in M$. Indeed $L_{(1)}$ is the projective line passing through $E_{(2)}$ and $E_{(3)}$, $L_{(2)}$ is the projective line passing through $E_{(3)}$ and $E_{(1)}$, $L_{(3)}$ is the projective line passing through $E_{(1)}$ and $E_{(2)}$.

The subalgebras $J_{(i)}$ of J_3^8 are not independent and one has in J_3^8

$$J_{(i)} \cap J_{(j)} = \mathbb{R}E_{(k)} \quad (5.2)$$

for any permutation (i, j, k) of $(1, 2, 3)$. This corresponds to the intersections of the projective lines $L_{(i)}$ since one has canonically

$$M \subset J_3^8 \text{ and } L_{(i)} \subset J_{(i)} \quad (5.3)$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

It is worth noticing here that the $L_{(i)}$ do not include the pure states of fundamental fermions. The latter ones are primitive idempotents in the corresponding completed Euclidean Jordan algebras of the $J_{(i)}$.

Another aspect of the triality in J_3^8 is the existence in F_4 of the element c defined by

$$c \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \bar{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_2 & x_1 & \bar{x}_3 \\ \bar{x}_1 & \xi_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & \bar{x}_2 & \xi_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.4)$$

which generates the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_3 and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} c(J_{(1)}) = J_{(3)} \\ c(J_{(2)}) = J_{(1)} \\ c(J_{(3)}) = J_{(2)} \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

that is

$$c(J_{(i)}) = J_{(i-1)}, \quad c(E_{(i)}) = E_{(i-1)} \quad (5.6)$$

where $i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ mod}(3)$. One has

$$c(X) = aXa^{-1} \quad (5.7)$$

with a given by

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.8)$$

and $X \in J_3^8$. Notice that c is in the subgroup $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ of F_4 and corresponds to the action of the element $(1, a)$ of $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)$. It commutes with the action of G_2 and in particular with $SU(3)_c$. With the notation of the next section $a \in SU(3)_{ew}$.

In view of the triality, one should have 3 subgroups $Str_0(J_{(i)})$ of $Str_0(J_3^8) = E_{6(-26)}$ isomorphic to $Spin(9, 1)$ and 3 subgroups $Co(J_{(i)})$ of $Co(J_3^8) = E_{7(-25)}$ isomorphic to $Spin(10, 2)$ corresponding to the 3 canonical subalgebras $J_{(i)}$ of J_3^8 isomorphic to $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$.

6 The extended electroweak symmetry $SU(3)_{ew}$

The subgroup of F_4 which preserves the splitting $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ of the octonions entering into the matrices of the elements of J_3^8 is

$$SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3 = SU(3)_c \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3 \quad (6.1)$$

where the first factor is the color group $SU(3)_c$ as explained in [13]. It is our aim in this section to give a similar interpretation for the second $SU(3)$ factor occurring in 6.1.

The automorphism groups of the Jordan algebras $J_{(i)}$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) are 3 subgroups of $F_4 = \text{Aut}(J_3^8)$ isomorphic to $Spin_9$. The group $\text{Aut}(J_{(i)})$ is also the subgroup of F_4 leaving invariant the idempotent $E_{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The intersection in F_4 of $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ with $\text{Aut}(J_{(i)})$ is a subgroup $G_{SM}^{(i)}$ isomorphic to the symmetry group of the Standard Model

$$G_{SM} = SU(3)_c \times SU(2) \times U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_6 \quad (6.2)$$

where the first $SU(3)$ factor is common for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and is the color group $SU(3)_c$.

This means that the second $SU(3)$ factor projects for each of the 3 generations to its electroweak symmetry $U(2)$. It is why its natural interpretation is that of the *extended electroweak symmetry* of the Standard Model with 3 generations and we denote it by $SU(3)_{ew}$ writing therefore $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ for the symmetry group 6.1.

7 The internal symmetry $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3$

It is important to understand that in our approach here as well as in [13], the exceptional Jordan algebra J_3^8 plays the same role for the internal structure of fundamental particles as the algebra $\mathcal{C}(M)$ of smooth functions on spacetime for the external structure.

In particular the automorphism group F_4 of J_3^8 plays the same role for the internal structure as the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(M)$ of spacetime for the external structure while the subgroup $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ of F_4 plays for the internal structure the role of the Poincaré group (i.e the inhomogeneous Lorentz group) for the external structure.

This means that our internal space is the exceptional quantum space equipped with an additional structure (here the splitting $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ of \mathbb{O}), like the flat Lorentzian metric of the external spacetime.

8 Discussion

The advantage of the approach of this paper to the correspondence triality-generations with respect to the one of [13] is that here one does not need the introduction of new fundamental fermions and that the formulation of the electroweak symmetry is straightforward. This new approach which is based on the key observation that the Euclidean Jordan algebra J_2^8 can be associated with a complete generation seems to be the most appealing one.

Nevertheless one should not forget that there is another possibility, namely the one suggested in Section 4 of [13], which is based on the same basic principles within this exceptional quantum geometry. Furthermore in this approach the interpretation of the group which preserves the splitting $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is straightforward (namely the quark-lepton symmetry).

In both cases one replaces the algebra of real functions on spacetime by the Jordan algebra of J_3^8 -valued functions on spacetime which is interpreted as algebra of function on a corresponding “almost classical quantum spacetime”.

Since there is an additional structure involved on J_3^8 which is preserved by the subgroup $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ of the automorphism group F_4 of J_3^8 , the gauge theory giving the interactions will be based on $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ as structure group with the gauge part $SU(3)_{ew}$ broken via the Higgs mechanism. It is expected that the corresponding Higgs fields are parts of the connection in the “quantum directions”.

There is an important step remaining which is to make the relation with the theory of Jordan modules in particular with the theory of J_3^8 -modules. This is necessary for instance in order to use the differential calculus on Jordan algebras and Jordan modules developed in [13] and in [5] to formulate the relevant dynamical theory and to get an interpretation of the Higgs sector. This is also of course important for the problems of classification.

References

- [1] J.F. Adams. Spin (8), triality, F_4 and all that. In Hawking and Rocek, editors, *Superspace and Supergravity*. Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [2] A.A. Albert. On a certain algebra of quantum mechanics. *Ann. of Math.*, 35:65–73, 1934.
- [3] J. Baez. The octonions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 39:145–205, 2002.
- [4] W. Bertram. *The geometry of Jordan and Lie structures*. Springer, 2000.
- [5] A. Carotenuto, Dabrowski L., and M. Dubois-Violette. Differential calculus on Jordan algebra and Jordan modules. ArXiv 1803.08373, 2018.
- [6] A. Chamseddine and A. Connes. The spectral action principle. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 186:731–750, 1997.
- [7] A.H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli. Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 11(991-1089), 2007.
- [8] A. Connes and M. Dubois-Violette. Noncommutative finite-dimensional manifolds. I. Spherical manifolds and related examples. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 230:539–579, 2002.

- [9] A. Connes and J. Lott. Particle models and noncommutative geometry. *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.*, B18:29–47, 1990.
- [10] G.M. Dixon. *Division algebras: Octonions, quaternions, complex numbers and the algebraic design of physics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [11] M. Dubois-Violette. Non-commutative differential geometry, quantum mechanics and gauge theory. In C. Bartocci, U. Bruzzo, and R. Cianci, editors, *Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics*, pages 13–24. Springer Verlag, 1991.
- [12] M. Dubois-Violette. Lectures on graded differential algebras and non-commutative geometry. In Y. Maeda and al., editors, *Noncommutative Differential Geometry and Its Applications to Physics*, pages 245–306. Shonan, Japan, 1999, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [13] M. Dubois-Violette. Exceptional quantum geometry and particle physics. *Nucl. Phys.*, B912:426–449, 2016.
- [14] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, and J. Madore. Gauge bosons in a non-commutative geometry. *Phys. Lett.*, B 217:485–488, 1989.
- [15] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, and J. Madore. Non-commutative differential geometry and new models of gauge theory. *J. Math. Phys.*, 31:323–329, 1990.
- [16] M. Dubois-Violette and G. Landi. Noncommutative Euclidean spaces. ArXiv 1801.03410, 2018.
- [17] C. Furey. $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_\ell \times U(1)_Y (\times U(1)_X)$ as a symmetry of division algebraic ladder operators. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C78:375, 2018.
- [18] M. Günaydin and F. Gürsey. Quark structure and octonions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 14:1651–1667, 1973.
- [19] M. Günaydin and F. Gürsey. Quark statistics and octonions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 9:3387–3391, 1974.
- [20] M. Günaydin, C. Piron, and H. Ruegg. Moufang plane and octionic quantum mechanics. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 61:69–85, 1978.

- [21] F. Gürsey and C.-H. Tze. *On the role of division, Jordan and related algebras in particle physics*. World Scientific, 1996.
- [22] L.P. Horwitz and L.C. Biedenharn. Exceptional gauge groups and quantum theory. *J. Math. Phys.*, 20:269–298, 1979.
- [23] R. Iordanescu. *Jordan structures in analysis, geometry and physics*. Ed. Acad. Romane, 2009.
- [24] N. Jacobson. *Structure and representations of Jordan algebras*. American Mathematical Society, 1968.
- [25] N. Jacobson. Structure groups and Lie algebras of Jordan algebras with involution. *Adv. Math.*, 26:106–150, 1976.
- [26] P. Jordan, J. von Neumann, and E. Wigner. On an algebraic generalization of the quantum mechanical formalism. *Ann. Math.*, 36:29–64, 1934.
- [27] K. McCrimmon. *A taste of Jordan algebras*. Springer, 2004.
- [28] P. Ramond. Exceptional groups in physics. In J.P. Gazeau, R. Kerner, J.P. Antoine, S. Melens, and Thibon J.Y., editors, *24th Int. Coll. Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, volume 173 of *Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, pages 129–138. Institute of Physics, 2003.
- [29] R.D. Schafer. *An introduction to non associative algebras*. Dover Publication, 1995.
- [30] I.T. Todorov and S. Drenska. Octonions, exceptional Jordan algebra and the role of the group F_4 in particle physics. 2018. ArXiv 1805.06739 and Composition algebras, exceptional Jordan algebra and related groups, *JGSP*, 46:59-93, 2017.
- [31] I.T. Todorov and M. Dubois-Violette. Deducing the symmetry of the standard model from the automorphism and structure groups of the exceptional Jordan algebra. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A 33:1850118, 2018.
- [32] P. Townsend. The Jordan formulation of quantum mechanics : a review. ArXiv 1612.09228.
- [33] I. Yokota. Exceptional Lie groups. ArXiv : 0902.0431, 2009.