

# Straightforward regular wave integration method on free surface Green function and body wave motions

Zhi-Min Chen

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China*

---

## Abstract

An alternative manner is given for solving the classical linearized problem of diffraction and radiation of regular water waves by a floating body in deep water. It is shown that the singular wave integral of the three-dimensional free surface Green function  $G$  and the corresponding part of the gradient  $\nabla G$  can be regarded as regular wave integrals and are evaluated in a straightforward manner. The method is validated by comparing with benchmark data for a floating or submerged body undergoing oscillatory wave motions. The comparison shows that the the evaluation is sufficiently accurate and for practical purposes. As the significance of the method, the numerical approximation stability for the gradient  $\nabla G$  is shown to be the same with that for  $G$ .

*Keywords:* Evaluation of free surface Green function; radiation waves; added mass and damping coefficients; potential flow; Hess-Smith method

---

## 1. Introduction

The determination of wave induced forces resulting from wave body motion is a fundamental problem in hydrodynamics. For the linear situation, the velocity potential of the fluid motion problem is a harmonic function and can be represented as a solution of body boundary integral equation involving the pulsating free surface Green function. The equation can be solved numerically by combining panel method and suitable approximation of the pulsating free surface Green function or free surface sources distributed on the body surface [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. With the use of the dynamic and kinematic free surface boundary conditions, varieties of Rankine simple source methods are also available to solve the wave motion problem [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. For a radial symmetric body undergoing oscillatory wave motion, its linear analytic solution can be approximated by a single free surface source rather than the boundary integral of free surface sources continuously distributed on the body surface. For a heaving or surging hemisphere, the velocity potential solution is decomposed into a free surface source located at the centre of the sphere and a wave-free potential, which is expanded in a series of Legendre polynomials and sinusoidal functions [12, 13, 14]). The unknown source strength and expansion coefficients are determined by

the boundary condition of the velocity potential on the hemisphere. This method also applies to the wave resistance problem [15] of a travelling spheroid in waves. This method is available to the understanding of a submerged sphere in waves [16, 17, 18].

Consider a fluid of infinite water depth upper bounded by the average free water surface  $z = 0$  and consider a pulsating source  $\mathbf{p} = (\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  with the unit strength undergoing periodic oscillatory motion with a constant frequency  $\omega$  in the fluid. The velocity potential of the source measured at a field point  $\mathbf{q} = (x, y, z)$  is expressed as

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{Re}(G(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})e^{-i\omega t}).$$

Here  $G$  is known as the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation under a free surface boundary condition and a radiation condition or the pulsating free surface Green function [20, pages 476-477]

$$G = -\frac{1}{|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}|} - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q} - \bar{\mathbf{p}}|} - K \quad (1)$$

for the singular wave integral

$$K = \frac{\nu}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_L \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)+ik(x-\xi)\cos\theta+ik(y-\eta)\sin\theta}}{k-\nu} d\theta dk \quad (2)$$

Here  $L$  illustrated by Figure 1 is an integration path passing beneath the singular wave number  $k = \nu$ .




Figure 1: Profile of the integration path  $L$  in (2) passing beneath the singular wave number  $k = \nu$ .

On the other hand, if we consider a three-dimensional body undergoing periodic oscillatory motion with a constant frequency  $\omega$  in the fluid, the velocity potential of the linearised oscillatory fluid motion problem can be represented as

$$\Phi = \text{Re}(\phi e^{-i\omega t}) \quad (3)$$

where  $\phi$  is a stationary complex potential satisfying the boundary integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \phi(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla G(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) dS_{\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S G(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi(\mathbf{p}) dS_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S G(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) (-i\omega n_{\alpha}) dS_{\mathbf{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

after the use of the linear body boundary condition

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi = -i\omega n_\alpha \text{ on } S. \quad (5)$$

Here  $\nabla = (\partial_\xi, \partial_\eta, \partial_\zeta)$ ,  $S$  is the average wetted surface of the body and  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{p}) = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$  represents the normal vector field of  $S$ . The body undergoes heave motion for  $\alpha = 3$ , sway motion for  $\alpha = 2$  and surge motion for  $\alpha = 1$ .

Therefore the wave-body motion problem lies on the evaluation of the Green function  $G$  and its gradient  $\nabla G$  or the wave integral  $K$  and its gradient  $\nabla K$ .

Actually, the singular integral in (1) has been approximated by a variety of elementary function expansions (see, for example, [21, 22, 23, 24]). Recently, by using the formulation of [22] for the Green function expressed as the sum of the Rankine simple Green function  $-1/r$ , a wave component  $W$  and a non-oscillatory local flow component  $L$ , a polynomial function approximation to  $L$  is introduced in [25]. Moreover, this approximation together with the wave component  $W$  being evaluated directly by smooth functions is applied to solve a wave-body motion problem (see [5]).

The present study is a continuation of the author's previous regular wave integral method on pulsating free surface Green function [19] by using a regular wave integral to approximate the singular wave integral of the Green function. The regular integral method [19] is based on the approximation

$$K = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} K^\mu. \quad (6)$$

with respect to the regular wave integral

$$K^\mu = \frac{\nu + i\mu}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta) + ik(x-\xi)\cos\theta + ik(y-\eta)\sin\theta}}{k - \nu - i\mu} d\theta dk. \quad (7)$$

Equations (6) and (7) are closely related to the radiation condition widely used in the literature [26, 27, 28].

Without loss of generality, we evaluate the normal derivative  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla G$  or  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K$  instead of the gradient  $\nabla G$  or  $\nabla K$ . Integrating the regular wave integral (7) and the corresponding integral for  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K^\mu$  straightforwardly, the evaluation is obtained as follows [19]

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\theta} c_{i,j} e^{k_i(z+\zeta) + ik_i(x-\xi)\cos\theta_j + ik_i(y-\eta)\sin\theta_j} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\theta} c'_{i,j} k_i e^{k_i(z+\zeta) + ik_i(x-\xi)\cos\theta_j + ik_i(y-\eta)\sin\theta_j}. \quad (9)$$

with respect to a small  $\mu > 0$ , a set of mesh grid points  $\{(k_i, \theta_j) \mid i = 1, \dots, N_k; j = 1, \dots, N_\theta\}$  of  $[0, \infty) \times [-\pi, \pi]$  and the expansion coefficients

$$c_{i,j} = \frac{\nu + i\mu}{\pi} (\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j) \ln \frac{k_{i+1} - \nu - i\mu}{k_i - \nu - i\mu} \quad (10)$$

$$c'_{i,j} = c_{i,j} [n_3 - in_1 \cos\theta_j - in_2 \sin\theta_j] \quad (11)$$

This expansion gives rise to a simple evaluation of the Green function and, what is more, shows the structure of a free surface wave. That is, the singular wave integral is a combination of all incident wave potentials

$$e^{k_i(z+\zeta)+ik_i(x-\xi)\cos\theta_j+ik_i(y-\eta)\sin\theta_j} \quad (12)$$

centered at the image  $\bar{\mathbf{p}} = (\xi, \eta, -\zeta)$  of the singular source  $\mathbf{p} = (\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  in all incident angles  $-\pi \leq \theta_j < \pi$  and wave numbers  $0 < k_i < \infty$ .

However, it should be noted that the expansion (9) for the normal derivative of  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K$  increases with the factor  $k_i$ . Thus the convergence stability of the  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K$  expansion is very different to that of the  $K$  expansion with respect to the free water surface  $z + \zeta = 0$ .

It is the purpose of the present paper to introduce new expansion approximations of  $K$  and  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K$  so that the factor  $k_i$  is removed and thus  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K$  and  $K$  have the same convergent property.

## 2. Evaluation of the Green function

With the use of the Bessel function [29] of the first kind

$$J_0(kR) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik(x-\xi)\cos\theta+ik(y-\eta)\sin\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ikR\sin\theta} d\theta$$

for  $R = \sqrt{(x-\xi)^2 + (y-\eta)^2}$ , the singular wave integral  $K$  of the Green function can be rewritten as [20, pages 476-477]

$$K = 2\nu \int_L \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k-\nu} J_0(kR) dk \quad (13)$$

Accordingly, the regular wave integral can be rewritten as

$$K^\mu = 2(\nu + i\mu) \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k-\nu-i\mu} J_0(kR) dk \quad (14)$$

In order to provide a convergent evaluation of the gradient  $\nabla K$  on the free surface  $z + \zeta = 0$ , we use the derivative of the Bessel function of the first kind [29]

$$J_1(x) = -\frac{dJ_0(x)}{dx} \quad (15)$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial K}{\partial R} &= -2\nu \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)} k}{k-\nu} J_1(kR) dk \\ &= -2\nu^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k-\nu} J_1(kR) dk - 2\nu \int_0^\infty e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_1(kR) dk \end{aligned}$$

With the use of the derivative (15) and integration by parts, the second integral on the right-hand side of the previous equation can be modified as, for  $z + \zeta < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\nu \int_0^\infty e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_1(kR) dk &= -\frac{2\nu}{R} \int_0^\infty e^{k(z+\zeta)} dJ_0(kR) \\
&= -\frac{2\nu}{R} [e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_0(kR)]_{kR=0}^{kR=\infty} + \frac{2\nu}{R} \int_0^\infty J_0(kR) \frac{\partial e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{\partial k} dk \\
&= \frac{2\nu}{R} + \frac{2\nu(z+\zeta)}{R} \int_0^\infty e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_0(kR) dk \\
&= \frac{2\nu}{R} + \frac{2\nu}{R} \frac{z+\zeta}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2}} \\
&= \frac{2\nu R}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2} (\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2} + |z+\zeta|)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2}} = \int_0^\infty e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_0(kR) dk$$

due to two-dimensional Fourier transform on the  $Oxy$  plane. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial R} = -2\nu^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k-\nu} J_1(kR) dk - \frac{2\nu R}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2} (\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2} + |z+\zeta|)}$$

Moreover for the derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial K}{\partial z} &= 2\nu \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)} k}{k-\nu} J_0(kR) dk \\
&= 2\nu^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k-\nu} J_0(kR) dk + 2\nu \int_0^\infty e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_0(kR) dk \\
&= 2\nu^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k-\nu} J_0(kR) dk + \frac{2\nu}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2}}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the regular wave integral  $K^\mu$ , we use the asymptotic behaviors

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0(s) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi s}} \cos\left(s - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(s^{-1}), \\
J_1(s) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi s}} \cos\left(s - \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + O(s^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

with respect to large  $s > 0$  to obtain

$$\int_{k_{\max}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k - \nu - i\mu} J_0(kR) dk = O(k_{\max}^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

$$\int_{k_{\max}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)}}{k - \nu - i\mu} J_1(kR) dk = O(k_{\max}^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Therefore, for  $\mu > 0$  and large  $k_{\max} > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K^\mu &= 2(\nu + i\mu) \int_0^{k_{\max}} \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_0(kR)}{k - \nu - i\mu} dk \\ &= 2(\nu + i\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \int_{k_j}^{k_{j+1}} \frac{e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_0(kR)}{k - \nu - i\mu} dk \\ &= 2(\nu + i\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_0(k_j R) \int_{k_j}^{k_{j+1}} \frac{dk}{k - \nu - i\mu} \\ &= 2(\nu + i\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_0(k_j R) \ln \frac{k_{j+1} - \nu - i\mu}{k_j - \nu - i\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for a set of grid point  $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^{N_k}$  of the interval  $[0, k_{\max}]$ .

Similarly, we have the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial K^\mu}{\partial R} &= -2(\nu + i\mu)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_1(k_j R) \ln \frac{k_{j+1} - \nu - i\mu}{k_j - \nu - i\mu} \\ &\quad - \frac{2R(\nu + i\mu)}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z + \zeta)^2}(\sqrt{R^2 + (z + \zeta)^2} + |z + \zeta|)} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial K^\mu}{\partial z} = 2(\nu + i\mu)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_0(k_j R) \ln \frac{k_{j+1} - \nu - i\mu}{k_j - \nu - i\mu} + \frac{2(\nu + i\mu)}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z + \zeta)^2}} \quad (18)$$

Upon the observation

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} K^\mu = K$$




Figure 2: Convergence of the regular wave integral  $K^\mu$  in (8) and its derivatives in (17) and (18) with respect to different parameters at  $z = 0$  and  $\nu = 5$ .

we thus have the following approximations

$$K = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c_j e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_0(k_j R) \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial R} = -(\nu + i\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c_j e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_1(k_j R) - \frac{2R(\nu + i\mu)}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2}(\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2} + |z+\zeta|)} \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial z} = (\nu + i\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c_j e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_0(k_j R) + \frac{2(\nu + i\mu)}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2}} \quad (21)$$

for small  $\mu > 0$  and

$$c_j = 2(\nu + i\mu) \ln \frac{k_{j+1} - \nu - i\mu}{k_j - \nu - i\mu} \quad (22)$$

Moreover, we have the normal derivative approximation

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K = \frac{\partial K}{\partial R} \left( \frac{(\xi - x)n_1 + (\eta - y)n_2}{R} \right) + n_3 \frac{\partial K}{\partial z}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla K}{\nu + i\mu} &= - \left( \frac{(\xi - x)n_1 + (\eta - y)n_2}{R} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c_j e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_1(k_j R) + n_3 \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c_j e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_0(k_j R) \\ &\quad - \frac{2[(\xi - x)n_1 + (\eta - y)n_2]}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2}(\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2} + |z+\zeta|)} + \frac{2n_3}{\sqrt{R^2 + (z+\zeta)^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The validity of the approximation expansions (19)-(21) are essentially controlled by the quantities  $\mu$ ,  $N_k$  and  $k_{\max}$ . For displaying purpose, we take  $z = 0$  and  $\nu = 5$  to show the convergence of  $K^\mu$  and its derivatives with respect to the approximations (16)-(18) or (19)-(21) in Figure 3. It is shown in Figure 2 that  $K^\mu$  and  $\partial_z K^\mu$  (and the same with  $\partial_R K^\mu$  actually) remain fixed for  $\mu \geq 10^{-4}$ . Thus we usually take  $\mu = 10^{-4}$  in our computations. Moreover, it is illustrated in Figure 2 that we may take  $N_k = 600$  and  $k_{\max} = 12$  in the approximation expansions (19)-(21).

By the linear dynamic free surface boundary condition, the free surface wave elevation  $\chi$  produced by the singular source  $\mathbf{p} = (\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  with strength  $4\pi$  can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= -\frac{1}{g} \partial_t \text{Re}(G e^{-i\omega t}) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{g} \text{Re}(iG e^{-i\omega t}) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{g} \text{Re} \left( \left[ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{R^2 + \zeta^2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c_j e^{k_j \zeta} J_0(k_j R) \right] e^{-i\omega t} \right). \end{aligned}$$



That is, the surface wave produced by the singular source is a combination of all radiation waves

$$e^{k_j \zeta} J_0(k_j R)$$

for wave numbers  $0 < k_j < \infty$ . Therefore, velocity potential of a wave-body motion is also a combination of the incident wave potentials in a similar manner, as the corresponding wetted body surface consists of free surface sources distributed on the body surface.

In contrast to the approximation of the wave integral by the expansion of the plan wave potentials (12) in all directions, the present approximation is the expansion of the radiation wave potentials  $J_0(k_j R)$  centred at the source point.

For convenience, the mesh grid points can be simply defined as

$$k_j = \frac{(j-1)k_{max}}{N_k - 1}.$$

To understand the nature of straightforward integration of  $K^\mu$  and its stability with respect to  $\mu$  and mesh grid points  $\{k_j\}$ , we consider the convergence of the expansion (19), which is actually controlled by the behaviour of the items from the panel integral around the wave number  $k = \nu$ . Note that  $e^{k(z+\zeta)} J_0(kR)$  is a smooth function of  $k$  around  $k = \nu$  and the grid panel  $[k_{j_0}, k_{j_0+1}]$  containing the wave number  $k = \nu$  is sufficiently small. Thus it remains to check the analytical behaviour of the panel integrals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{k_{j_0-1}}^{k_{j_0+1}} \frac{dk}{k - \nu - i\mu} \quad \text{if } k_{j_0} = \nu, \\ & \int_{k_{j_0}}^{k_{j_0+1}} \frac{dk}{k - \nu - i\mu} \quad \text{if } k_{j_0} < \nu < k_{j_0+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\nu$  the central point of a grid panel  $[k_{i_0}, k_{i_0+1}]$ , or  $[\nu - \epsilon, \nu + \epsilon]$  for  $\epsilon = \frac{k_{i_0+1} - k_{i_0}}{2}$ . If we use the original integral route around the singular point  $k = \nu$  as given in Figure 1 of  $K$ , we have for singular integral of the lower half circle around  $k = \nu$

$$\int_{|k-\nu|=\epsilon, \text{Re}(k)\leq 0} \frac{dk}{k - \nu} = \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{\epsilon e^{i\theta} i d\theta}{\epsilon e^{i\theta}} = i\pi$$

In contrast, we have regular integral

$$\int_{\nu-\epsilon}^{\nu+\epsilon} \frac{dk}{k - \nu - i\mu} = \ln \frac{\epsilon - i\mu}{-\epsilon - i\mu} = i\pi + \ln\left(1 + \frac{-2i\mu}{\epsilon + i\mu}\right).$$

That is,

$$\int_{\nu-\epsilon}^{\nu+\epsilon} \text{Re} \frac{dk}{k - \nu - i\mu} = \ln \frac{|\epsilon - i\mu|}{|\epsilon + i\mu|} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_{\nu-\epsilon}^{\nu+\epsilon} \text{Im} \frac{dk}{k-\nu-i\mu} = \arctan \frac{\epsilon}{\mu} - \arctan \frac{-\epsilon}{\mu} \rightarrow \pi \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow 0.$$

This is also illustrated in Figure 3, which shows that the real part of the function  $\frac{1}{k-\nu-i\mu}$  is almost symmetric about the origin and hence the sum of the positive area in the first quadrant and the negative area in the third quadrant tends to zero, while the imaginary part of the function  $\frac{1}{k-\nu-i\mu}$  tends to the dirac delta function as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ .

### 3. The Green function method in the wave body motion problem

Now we consider the body oscillating in the fluid domain  $D$ . For a field point  $\mathbf{q} = (x, y, z) \in D$ , the velocity potential  $\phi = \phi(\mathbf{q})$  has been expressed as a solution of the boundary integral equation (4). When the field point tends to the body boundary  $S$ , Eq. (4) reduces to the boundary integral equation

$$\phi(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{\mathbf{q}' \in D, \mathbf{q}' \rightarrow \mathbf{q}} \int_S \phi(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla G(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{p}) dS_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S G(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi(\mathbf{p}) dS_{\mathbf{p}} \quad (24)$$

for  $\mathbf{q} \in S$ .

Following the discretisation scheme of [19], the boundary integral equation (24) is evaluated by the use of the approximation expansions (19) and (23) in the present study rather than the use of the approximation expansions (8) and (9) in [19]. Moreover the Hess-Smith Rankine formulation [19, 30, 31, 32] is employed to calculate the panel integral of the Rankine source potential and its normal derivative. To do so, the two-dimensional body surface  $S$  is approximated by a set of mesh grid points  $\mathbf{p}_{i,j}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, N + 1$  and  $j = 1, \dots, M + 1$  for suitable integers  $N$  and  $M$ . A single *panel* $_{i,j}$  is determined by the four vertices

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,j}, \mathbf{p}_{i,j+1}, \mathbf{p}_{i+1,j+1}, \mathbf{p}_{i+1,j},$$

by which we define the central panel point

$$\mathbf{q}_{i,j} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{i,j} + \mathbf{p}_{i,j+1} + \mathbf{p}_{i+1,j+1} + \mathbf{p}_{i+1,j}}{4}$$

and the panel normal vector  $\mathbf{n}_{i,j} = (n_{i,j,1}, n_{i,j,2}, n_{i,j,3})$  pointing into the fluid domain.

#### 3.1. Evaluation of influence coefficients

Similar to [19], the boundary integral equation (24) is approximated in the form of the algebraic equation system

$$\phi(\mathbf{q}_{I,J}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \phi(\mathbf{q}_{i,j}) \mathcal{A}_{I,J,i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbf{n}_{i,j} \cdot \nabla \phi(\mathbf{q}_{i,j}) \mathcal{B}_{I,J,i,j} \text{ for } I = 1, \dots, N \text{ and } J = 1, \dots, M. \quad (25)$$




Figure 3: The analytical behaviour of the smooth integrand  $f^\mu = \frac{1}{k-\nu-i\mu}$  for  $\nu = 3$  with respect to  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ .

with the influence coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{I,J,i,j} = & - \lim_{\mathbf{q} \in D, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_{I,J}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{panel_{i,j}} \mathbf{n}_{i,j} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}|} dS_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{panel_{i,j}} \mathbf{n}_{i,j} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}_{I,J} - \bar{\mathbf{p}}|} dS_{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{panel_{i,j}} \mathbf{n}_{i,j} \cdot \nabla K(\mathbf{q}_{I,J}, \mathbf{p}) dS_{\mathbf{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{I,J,i,j} = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{panel_{i,j}} \left( \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}_{I,J} - \mathbf{p}|} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}_{I,J} - \bar{\mathbf{p}}|} + K(\mathbf{q}_{I,J}, \mathbf{p}) \right) dS_{\mathbf{p}} \quad (27)$$

The evaluation of the panel integrals of (26) and (27) involving the Rankine source potential  $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}_{I,J} - \mathbf{p}|}$  and its image  $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}_{I,J} - \bar{\mathbf{p}}|}$  is obtained by the Hess-Smith quadrilateral integral method and has been detailed in [19]. Let  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} = (x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}, 0)$ , the horizontal component of the central panel point  $\mathbf{q}_{i,j} = (x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}, z_{i,j})$ . For the evaluation of the panel integrals of (26) and (27) involving the regular integral  $K$ , we use the (16) and (23) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{panel_{i,j}} \mathbf{n}_{i,j} \cdot \nabla K(\mathbf{q}_{I,J}, \mathbf{p}) dS_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & = \frac{|panel_{i,j}|(\nu + i\mu)}{4\pi} \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i,j}}{|\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J}|} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} c_l e^{k_l(z_{I,J} + z_{i,j})} J_1(k_l |\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J}|) \\ & \quad - n_{i,j,3} \frac{|panel_{i,j}|(\nu + i\mu)}{4\pi} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} c_l e^{k_l(z_{I,J} + z_{i,j})} J_0(k_l |\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J}|) \\ & \quad + \frac{|panel_{i,j}|}{2\pi} \left( \frac{(\nu + i\mu)(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i,j}}{|\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J} - \mathbf{q}_{i,j}|(|\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J} - \mathbf{q}_{i,j}| + |z_{I,J} + z_{i,j}|)} - \frac{(\nu + i\mu)n_{i,j,3}}{|\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J} - \mathbf{q}_{i,j}|} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$- \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{panel_{i,j}} K(\mathbf{q}_{I,J}, \mathbf{p}) dS_{\mathbf{p}} = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} c_l e^{k_l(z_{I,J} + z_{i,j})} J_0(k_l |\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{I,J}|) |panel_{i,j}|. \quad (29)$$

Here  $|panel_{i,j}|$  denotes the area of  $panel_{i,j}$ .

Thus the algebraic equation (25) together with the boundary oscillation condition (5) can be solved from the Gaussian elimination scheme for the determination of the unknown  $\phi(\mathbf{q}_{i,j})$ .

#### 4. Numerical results

For the validation of the numerical approximation scheme, selected numerical results with respect to the wave body motion problem (3)-(5) are displayed. Comparisons with the benchmark given by Wang [16] and Hulme [14] will be presented with respect a

submerged sphere and a floating hemisphere respectively. Let  $R$  be the radius of the sphere or the hemisphere. The submergence of the sphere is defined by the parameter  $h$ , which measures the vertical distance between the calm water surface  $z = 0$  and the centre of the sphere. The mesh grid points are given by the spherical coordinates

$$\mathbf{p}_{i,j} = (R \sin \tau_i \cos \kappa_j, R \sin \tau_i \sin \kappa_j, -h + R \cos \tau_i),$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, N+1$  and  $j = 1, \dots, M+1$ . Here  $-\pi \leq \kappa_j < \pi$ ,  $0 \leq \tau_i \leq \pi$  for the submerged sphere and  $\pi/2 \leq \tau_i \leq \pi$  for the floating hemisphere.

As illustrated in Figure 2, the parameter  $\mu = 10^{-4}$  is selected. The numerical computation is stable with respect to the choice of the parameters  $k_{max}$ ,  $N_k$ ,  $N$  and  $M$ . For the comparison purpose with respect to added mass and damping coefficients, they are selected as  $k_{max} \leq 14$ ,  $N_k \leq 1100$ ,  $N \leq 20$  and  $M \leq 40$ . Here we only provide upper bounds of the parameter. Actually, the bounds can be lowered dependent on individual motions. For example, for the heave motion of the sphere submerged at the water depth  $h/R = 1.5$ , satisfactory numerical results can be produced by taking  $k_{max} = 6$ ,  $N_k = 500$ ,  $N = 12$  and  $M = 14$ . However, for the floating hemisphere, smaller mesh panels and larger truncation integral interval  $[0, k_{max}]$  for the regular wave integral are required as the stability of the wave integral is reduced around the free surface  $z = 0$ .

For the numerical velocity potential solution  $\phi = \phi_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ ) of the boundary value problem (5) and (25), the linear hydrodynamic pressure is expressed as

$$p_\alpha = -\rho \frac{\partial \Phi_\alpha}{\partial t} = \omega \rho \text{Re} (i\phi_\alpha e^{-i\omega t})$$

for  $\rho$  the fluid density. This defines the hydrodynamic wave force exerted on the average wetted body surface  $S$ :

$$F_{\alpha,\alpha} = \int_S p_\alpha n_\alpha dS$$

and the non-dimensional added mass and damping coefficients  $A_{\alpha,\alpha}$  and  $B_{\alpha,\alpha}$ :

$$A_{\alpha,\alpha} + iB_{\alpha,\alpha} = \frac{1}{\omega V a} \int_S i\phi_\alpha n_\alpha dS = \frac{1}{\omega V a} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M i\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{q}_{i,j}) n_\alpha(\mathbf{q}_{i,j}) |panel_{i,j}|. \quad (30)$$

Here  $a$  is the amplitude of the periodic oscillation,  $V$  is the volume of the moving body with the wetted body surface  $S$ . Especially,  $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi R^3$  for the submerged sphere and  $V = \frac{2}{3}\pi R^3$  for the floating hemisphere.

For the wave motion of the floating hemisphere, present method results of  $A_{\alpha,\alpha}$  and  $B_{\alpha,\alpha}$  for surging motion ( $\alpha = 1$ ) and heaving motion ( $\alpha = 3$ ) are displayed in Figure 4, which shows the existence of irregular frequency for  $\omega^2 R/g$  around 4 in a high frequency, the same phenomenon shown in [19]. As is well known [1, 2, 34, 35] that the combination of panel method and free surface Green function gives rise to irregular frequencies in a high




Figure 4: Added mass and damping coefficients produced by the present method and the semi-analytic solution data of Hulme [14] for the floating hemisphere in heaving or surging motions.




Figure 5: Added mass and damping coefficients produced by the present method and the semi-analytic solution data of Wang [16] for the heaving or surging sphere submerged at the water depth of  $h/R = 1.5$ .

frequency range when a floating body undergoes oscillatory motions. Various methods exist (see, for example, [2, 3, 34, 36]) to remove non-physical irregular frequencies. When  $\omega^2 R/g$  is away from the irregular frequency point, Figure 4 presents excellent agreement between numerical solution and the semi-analytic solution of the celebrated work of Hulme [14] for a heaving hemisphere.

For a fully submerged body of a radius  $R$  at a submergence depth  $h$ , the irregular frequency phenomenon disappears due to stability improvement of the free surface Green function, since the individual incident wave potentials  $e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_0(k_j R)$  and  $e^{k_j(z+\zeta)} J_1(k_j R)$  in (19)-(21) are controlled by the exponentially decay function  $e^{k_j(z+\zeta)}$ . Numerical solutions of heaving and surging motions with respect to the submerged sphere are presented in Figure 5 showing that the present method solution agrees well with the semi-analytic solution of Wang [16].

## 5. Discussion and conclusion

The Green function represents a pulsating free surface source potential, which is the sum of the Rankine simple Green function, its image with regarding to the average free water surface and a singular wave integral. Hence the evaluation of the Green function reduces to that of the singular wave integral.

With the rapid development of computing capacity, numerical computation of a linear hydrodynamic problem is no longer time consuming. However, the Green function evaluation due to the presence of an irregular wave integral is known to be troublesome and sophisticated mathematical treatments are supposed to employ to attack the evaluation [21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. However, the author's previous study [19] shows that the irregular wave integral and its gradient can be treated as regular integrals for continuous functions by using the approximation (6)-(7) and hence are integrated in a straightforward manner illustrated in (8)-(9). In the present study, the expansion approximation (8)-(9) is simplified to the simpler expansion approximation (19)-(23). In the present study, the approximation stability of the gradient  $\nabla K$  is significantly improved as the expansion approximation for  $\nabla K$  is the same with that for  $K$  in (19)-(23). The application of the scheme to a floating body or a submerged body motion in regular waves shows that the approximation is sufficiently accurate to compute linear wave loads in practice.

**Acknowledgement.** This work is partially supported by NSFC of China (11571240).

## References

## References

- [1] W. Frank, Oscillation of cylinders in or below the free surface of deep fluids. Rep. 2375. Naval Ship Res. and Dev. Center, Bethesda, MD, 1967.
- [2] C.H. Lee, P.D. Sclavounos, Removing the irregular frequencies from integral equations in wave-body interactions, *J. Fluid Mech.* 207 (1989) 393-418.
- [3] C.H. Lee, J.N. Newman, X. Zhu, An extended boundary integral equation method for the removal of irregular frequency effects, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids* 23 (1996) 637-660.
- [4] C.H. Lee, J.N. Newman, Computation of wave effects using the panel method, In: S.K. Chakrabart (Ed.), *Numerical Models in Fluid-Structure Interaction*, WIT Press, Southampton, 2004.
- [5] H. Liang, H. Wu, F. Noblesse. Validation of a global approximation to the Green function of diffraction radiation in deep water, *Appl. Ocean Res.* 74 (2018) 80-86.
- [6] C.W. Dawson, A practical computer method for solving ship wave problems, In *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Numerical Ship Hydrodynamics*, University of California, Berkeley, 30-38, 1977.



- [7] R.W. Yeung, Added mass and damping of a vertical cylinder in finite depth waters, *Appl. Ocean Res.* 3 (1981) 119-133.
- [8] Y. Cao, W. Schultz, R. Beck, Three-dimensional desingularized boundary integral methods for potential problems, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids* 12 (1991) 785-803.
- [9] D.A. Mantzaris, A Rankine panel method as a tool for the hydrodynamic design of complex marine vehicles, PhD thesis, MIT, 1998.
- [10] A. Feng, Z.M. Chen, W.G. Price, A Rankine source computation for three dimensional wave-body interactions adopting a nonlinear body boundary condition, *Appl. Ocean Research* 47 (2014), 313-321.
- [11] A. Feng, Z.M. Chen, W.G. Price, A continuous desingularized source distribution method describing wave-body interactions of a large amplitude oscillatory body, *J. Offshore Mech. Arctic Engineering* 137 (2015), 021302
- [12] F. Ursell, On the heaving motion of a circular cylinder on the surface of a fluid, *Quart. J. Mech Appl. Math.* 2 (1949) 218-231.
- [13] T. Havelock, Waves due to a floating hemi-sphere making periodic heaving oscillations, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 231 (1955) 1-7.
- [14] A. Hulme, The wave forces acting on a floating hemisphere undergoing forced periodic oscillations, *J. Fluid Mech.* 121 (1982) 443-463.
- [15] C. Farrell, On the wave resistance of a submerged spheroid, *J. Ship Res.* 17 (1973) 1-11.
- [16] S. Wang, Motions of a spherical submarine in waves, *Ocean Engineering* 13 (1986) 249-271.
- [17] G.X. Wu, R. Eatock Taylor, The exciting force on a submerged spheroid in regular waves, *J. Fluid Mech.* 182 (1987) 411-426.
- [18] I.K. Chatjigeorgiou, The analytic solution for hydrodynamic diffraction by submerged prolate spheroids in infinite water depth, *J.Engng.Math.* 81 (2013) 47-65.
- [19] Z.M. Chen, Regular wave integral approach to numerical simulation of radiation and diffraction of surface waves, *Wave Motion* 52 (2015) 171-182.
- [20] J.V. Wehausen, E.V. Laitone, Surface waves, In: S. Flugge, C. Truesdell (Eds.), *Fluid Dynamics III in Handbuch der Physik* 9, Springer, Berlin, 446-778, 1960.
- [21] J.N. Newman, Algorithms for the free-surface Green functions, *J. Engng. Math.* 19 (1985) 57-67.

- [22] F. Noblesse, The Green function in the theory of radiation and diffraction of regular water waves by a body, *J. Engng. Math.* 16 (1982) 137-169.
- [23] J.L. Hess and D.C. Wilcox, Progress in the solution of the problem of a three-dimensional body oscillating in the presence of a free surface - Final technical report, McDonnell Douglas Company Rep. DAC 67647, 1969.
- [24] M.A. Peter, M.H. Meylan, The eigenfunction expansion of the infinite depth free surface Green function in three dimensions, *Wave Motion* 40 (2004) 1-11.
- [25] H. Wu, C. Zhang, Y. Zhu, W. Li, D. Wan, F. Noblesse. A global approximation to the Green function for diffraction radiation of water waves, *European J. Mech. / B Fluids* 65 (2017) 54-64.
- [26] M.J. Lighthill. On waves generated in dispersive systems by travelling forcing effects, with applications to the dynamics of rotating uids. *J Fluid Mech.* 27 (1967) 725-752.
- [27] F. Noblesse, D. Hendrix. On the theory of potential ow about a ship advancing in waves, *J Ship Research* 36 (1992) 17-29.
- [28] F. Noblesse, C. Yang. Elementary water waves, *J Eng. Math.* 59 (2007) 277-299.
- [29] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables.* New York: Dover, 1965.
- [30] A.J. Hess, A.M.O. Smith, Calculation of non-lifting potential flow about arbitrary three-dimensional bodies, Report No. E.S. 40622, Douglas Aircraft Co., Inc. Aircraft Division, Long Beach, California, 1962.
- [31] A.J. Hess, A.M.O. Smith, Calculation of potential flow about arbitrary bodies, *Prog. Aerospace Sci.* 8 (1966) 1-138.
- [32] J.N. Newman, Distributions of sources and normal dipoles over a quadrilateral panel, *J. Engng. Math.* 20 (1986) 113-126.
- [33] T.H. Havelock, The theory of wave resistance, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 138 (1932), 339-348.
- [34] F. Ursell, Irregular frequencies and the motion of floating bodies, *J. Fluid Mech.* 105 (1981) 143-156.
- [35] F. John, On the motion of floating bodies II. Simple harmonic motions, *Communs. Pure Appl. Math.* 3 (1950) 45-101.
- [36] X. Zhu, C.H. Lee, Removing the irregular frequencies in wave-body interactions. The 9th International Workshop on Water Waves and Floating Bodies, Japan, 245-249, 1994.