

GLOBAL SOLUTIONS OF A SURFACE QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC FRONT EQUATION

JOHN K. HUNTER, JINGYANG SHU, AND QINGTIAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We consider a nonlinear, spatially-nonlocal initial value problem in one space dimension on \mathbb{R} that describes the motion of surface quasi-geostrophic (SQG) fronts. We prove that the initial value problem has unique local smooth solutions under a convergence condition on the multilinear expansion of the nonlinear term in the equation, and, for sufficiently smooth and small initial data, we prove that these solutions are global.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Para-differential calculus	4
2.2. Fourier multipliers	7
3. Reformulation of the equation	12
3.1. Expansion of the equation	12
3.2. Para-linearization of the equation	13
4. Energy estimates and local well-posedness	18
5. Global solution for small initial data	24
6. Sharp dispersive estimate	26
7. Scaling-Galilean estimate	29
8. Nonlinear dispersive estimate	30
8.1. Cubic resonances	31
8.2. High frequencies	33
8.3. Low frequencies	33
8.4. Nonresonant frequencies	36
8.5. Near the space resonances	40
8.6. Space resonances	42
8.7. Space-time resonances	44
8.8. Higher-degree terms	45
Appendix A. Alternative formulation of the SQG front equation	47
References	48

Date: June 26, 2021.

JKH was supported by the NSF under grant number DMS-1616988.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we prove the existence of global smooth solutions of the following initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t(x, t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\varphi_x(x, t) - \varphi_x(x + \zeta, t)] \left\{ \frac{1}{|\zeta|} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 + [\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x + \zeta, t)]^2}} \right\} d\zeta &= 2 \log |\partial_x| \varphi_x(x, t), \\ \varphi(x, 0) &= \varphi_0(x), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\log |\partial_x|$ is the Fourier multiplier operator with symbol $\log |\xi|$. Our main result is stated in Theorem 5.1.

This initial value problem describes front solutions of the surface quasi-geostrophic (SQG) equation

$$\theta_t + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0, \quad u = \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \theta, \quad (1.2)$$

where $(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ is a fractional inverse Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^2 , and $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_y, \partial_x)$. The SQG equation arises as a description of quasi-geostrophic flows confined to a surface [38]. After the incompressible Euler equation, it is the most physically important member of a family of two-dimensional active scalar problems for θ with a divergence-free transport velocity $u = \nabla^\perp (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \theta$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. The case $\alpha = 2$ gives the vorticity-stream function formulation of the incompressible Euler equation [40], while $\alpha = 1$ gives the SQG equation. The SQG equation is also of interest from an analytical perspective because it has similar features to the three-dimensional incompressible Euler equation [10]; in both cases, the question of singularity formation in smooth solutions remains open. The SQG equation has global weak solutions [41, 43], and, as for the Euler equation, non-unique weak solutions of the SQG initial value problem may be constructed by convex integration [3]. The SQG equation also has a nontrivial family of global smooth solutions [6].

By SQG front solutions, we mean piecewise-constant solutions of (1.2) with

$$\theta(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \theta_+ & \text{if } y > \varphi(x, t), \\ \theta_- & \text{if } y < \varphi(x, t), \end{cases}$$

where θ_+ and θ_- are distinct constants, in which θ has a jump discontinuity across a front located at $y = \varphi(x, t)$. Here, we assume that the front is a graph and do not consider questions about the breaking or filamentation of the front.

We contrast these front solutions with SQG patches, in which

$$\theta(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \theta_+ & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Omega(t), \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \notin \Omega(t), \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a simply-connected region with compact closure and smooth boundary. Contour dynamics equations for the motion of patches in SQG, Euler, and generalized SQG (with arbitrary values of $0 < \alpha \leq 2$) are straightforward to write down, although they require an appropriate regularization of a locally non-integrable singularity in the Green's function of $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Local well-posedness of the contour dynamics equations for SQG and generalized SQG patches is proved in [7, 11, 21]. The boundary of a vortex patch in the Euler equation remains globally smooth in time [1, 8, 9], but this question remains open for SQG patches. Splash singularities cannot occur in a smooth boundary of an SQG patch [22], while numerical results suggest the formation of complex, self-similar singularities in a single patch [45], and a curvature blow up when two patches touch [13]. Singularity formation in the boundary of generalized SQG patches has been proved in the presence of a rigid boundary when α is sufficiently close to 2 [36, 37], and a class of nontrivial global smooth solutions for SQG patches is constructed in [4, 5, 26].

When $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, additional problems arise in the formulation of contour dynamics equations for fronts as a result of the slow decay of the Green's function and the lack of compact support of θ . Regularized front equations, including (1.1), are derived in [29]. Unlike the front equations with $\alpha \neq 1$, the SQG front equation

requires both ‘ultraviolet’ and ‘infrared’ regularization to account for both the failure of local integrability and the slow decay of the SQG Green’s function in the contour-dynamics equations. This regularization leads to the logarithmic derivatives in (1.1), rather than the fractional derivatives that occur for generalized SQG fronts. Local well-posedness for spatially-periodic SQG front-type equations is proved in [44] for C^∞ solutions by a Nash-Moser method, and in [18] for analytic solution by a Cauchy-Kowalewski method. Almost sharp fronts, across which θ is continuous, are studied in [12, 17, 19, 20].

The local well-posedness of a cubically nonlinear approximation of (1.1) for spatially periodic solutions $\varphi(x, t)$, with $x \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, is proved in [30]. In this paper, we consider the fully nonlinear equation (1.1) on \mathbb{R} . The problem on \mathbb{R} differs from the problem on \mathbb{T} in two respects. First, the logarithmic multiplier $\log|\xi|$ is unbounded at low frequencies, which does not occur on \mathbb{T} where $\xi \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ is discrete and nonzero. Second, the linearized equation provides some dispersive decay on \mathbb{R} , which allows us to get global solutions.

The general strategy for proving the global existence of small solutions of dispersive equations is to prove an energy estimate together with a dispersive decay estimate. Energy estimates for (1.1) in the usual H^s -Sobolev spaces lead to a logarithmic loss of derivatives [29]. However, as shown in [30] for spatially periodic solutions of the cubic approximation, we can obtain good energy estimates in suitably weighted H^s -spaces by para-linearizing the equation.

The proof of the dispersive estimates is more delicate. The linear part of the equation provides at most $t^{-1/2}$ decay for the L^∞ -norm of the solution, but this is not sufficient to close the global energy estimates for the full equation, so we need to analyze the nonlinear dispersive behavior in more detail. We do this by the method of space-time resonances introduced by Germain, Masmoudi and Shatah [23, 24, 25], together with estimates for weighted L_ξ^∞ -norms — the so-called Z -norms — developed by Ionescu and his collaborators [14, 15, 16, 32, 33, 34, 35].

Our main reference is [14]. In that paper, the authors prove global well-posedness for a generalized SQG front equation. The linearized dispersion relation of that equation is $\tau = \xi|\xi|^{1-\alpha}$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$. The linearized equation $\varphi_t = \partial_x |\partial_x|^{1-\alpha} \varphi$ commutes with a scaling vector field $x\partial_x + (2-\alpha)t\partial_t$, which provides a key ingredient in the dispersive estimates. The SQG equation considered here corresponds to the limiting case $\alpha = 1$, and its linearized dispersion relation is $\tau = 2\xi \log|\xi|$. The linearized equation $\varphi_t = 2 \log|\partial_x| \varphi_x$ is not scale-invariant, but it has a combined scaling-Galilean invariance and commutes with the scaling-Galilean vector field $(x+2t)\partial_x + t\partial_t$.

Our Z -norm estimates in Section 8 involve a detailed frequency-space analysis. The most difficult part is the estimate of the cubically nonlinear terms. In most regions of frequency space (see Figure 8.1), these terms are nonresonant, and we can use integration-by-parts in either the spatial frequency variables or time to estimate the corresponding oscillatory integrals. We decompose the region near a resonant frequency into an annulus and an inner disc (see Figure 8.2) whose radius decreases sufficiently rapidly in time to get the needed time decay, and use the method of modified scattering to account for the nonlinear, long-time asymptotics of the solutions [31, 42].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some fundamental facts and estimates that we use later. In Section 3, we expand and para-linearize the nonlinear terms in the evolution equation. In Section 4, we derive the weighted energy estimates and state the local existence and uniqueness result Theorem 4.3. In Section 5, we state the global existence result Theorem 5.1. Sections 6–8 carry out the three key steps in the proof of global existence: linear dispersive estimates; scaling-Galilean estimates; and nonlinear dispersive estimates.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Para-differential calculus. In this section, we state several lemmas for the Fourier multiplier operators

$$L = \log |\partial_x|, \quad |D|^s$$

with symbols $\log |\xi|$ and $|\xi|^s$, respectively, that follow from the Weyl para-differential calculus. Further discussion of the Weyl calculus and para-products can be found in [2, 9, 28, 46].

We denote the Fourier transform of $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}f$ is given by

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi, \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ the space of Schwartz distributions f with $\|f\|_{H^s} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{H^s} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \xi^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{1/2}.$$

Throughout this paper, we use $A \lesssim B$ to mean there is a constant C such that $A \leq CB$, and $A \gtrsim B$ to mean there is a constant C such that $A \geq CB$. We use $A \approx B$ to mean that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. The notation $\mathcal{O}(f)$ denotes a term satisfying

$$\|\mathcal{O}(f)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s}$$

whenever there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f \in H^s$. We also use $O(f)$ to denote a term satisfying $|O(f)| \lesssim |f|$ pointwise.

Let $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function supported in the interval $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid |\xi| \leq 1/10\}$ and equal to 1 on $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid |\xi| \leq 3/40\}$. If f is a Schwartz distribution on \mathbb{R} and $a: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a symbol, then we define the Weyl para-product $T_a f$ by

$$\mathcal{F}[T_a f](\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi\left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|}\right) \tilde{a}\left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tilde{a}(\xi, \eta)$ denotes the partial Fourier transform of $a(x, \eta)$ with respect to x . For $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define a normed symbol space by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{(r_1, r_2)} &= \{a: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_{(r_1, r_2)}} < \infty\}, \\ \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_{(r_1, r_2)}} &= \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{r_1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{r_2} |\xi|^\beta |\partial_\xi^\beta \partial_x^\alpha a(x, \xi)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a \in \mathcal{M}_{(0,0)}$ and $f \in L^p$, with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $T_a f \in L^p$ and

$$\|T_a f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_{(0,0)}} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

In particular, if $a \in \mathcal{M}_{(0,0)}$ is real-valued, then T_a is a self-adjoint, bounded linear operator on L^2 .

Next, we prove some commutator estimates.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $a \in \mathcal{M}_{(1,0)}$, and $b, xb \in \mathcal{M}_{(0,0)}$. Then*

$$\|[L, T_a]f\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_{(1,0)}} \|f\|_{H^{s-1}}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|[x, T_b]f\|_{H^s} \lesssim (\|b\|_{\mathcal{M}_{(0,0)}} + \|xb\|_{\mathcal{M}_{(0,0)}}) \|f\|_{H^s}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|[x, L]f\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}} \quad \text{if } s \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. 1. We shall prove that

$$LT_a v = T_a L v + T_{D_a} D^{-1} v + \mathcal{O}(T_{D^2 a} D^{-2} v).$$

Indeed, by the definition of Weyl para-product, we have for $\xi \neq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[LT_a v](\xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |\xi| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi\left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|}\right) \tilde{a}\left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \hat{v}(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log |\xi - \eta + \eta| \chi\left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|}\right) \tilde{a}\left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \hat{v}(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

If (ξ, η) belongs to the support of $\chi(|\xi - \eta|/|\xi + \eta|)$, then

$$\left| \frac{\xi - \eta}{\eta} \right| \leq \frac{2}{9}. \quad (2.6)$$

To prove this claim, we use the fact that

$$|\xi - \eta| \leq \frac{1}{10} |\xi + \eta| \quad (2.7)$$

on the support of $\chi(|\xi - \eta|/|\xi + \eta|)$ and consider two cases.

- If $|\xi + \eta| \leq |\eta|$, then $|\xi - \eta| \leq |\eta|/10$, so

$$\left| \frac{\xi - \eta}{\eta} \right| \leq \frac{1}{10} < \frac{2}{9}.$$

- If $|\xi + \eta| > |\eta|$, then $\xi\eta > 0$, so $|\xi - \eta| = ||\xi| - |\eta||$, and can we rewrite (2.7) as

$$||\xi| - |\eta|| \leq \frac{1}{10} (|\xi| + |\eta|),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{9}{11} |\xi| \leq |\eta| \leq \frac{11}{9} |\xi|,$$

and (2.6) follows in this case also.

Using the Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\xi - \eta + \eta| &= \log |\eta| + \log \left| 1 + \frac{\xi - \eta}{\eta} \right| \\ &= \log |\eta| + \frac{\xi - \eta}{\eta} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\eta^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

in (2.5), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[LT_a v](\xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\log |\eta| + \frac{\xi - \eta}{\eta} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\eta^2}\right) \right] \chi\left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|}\right) \tilde{a}\left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \hat{v}(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \mathcal{F}\left[T_a L v + T_{D_a} D^{-1} v + \mathcal{O}(T_{D^2 a} D^{-2} v)\right](\xi), \end{aligned}$$

and (2.2) follows directly from the assumption on a .

2. To prove (2.3), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}[x, T_b]f &= -i\partial_\xi \widehat{T_b f} - \widehat{T_b(xf)} \\
&= -i\partial_\xi \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right) \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right) i\partial_\eta \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \\
&= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \partial_\xi \left[\tilde{b} \left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \hat{f}(\eta) \\
&\quad + \partial_\xi \left[\chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \right] \left[\tilde{b} \left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right) i\partial_\eta \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the first integral above as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_\xi \left[\chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{\xi_1} + \partial_{\xi_2}) \left[\chi \left(\frac{|\xi_1 - \eta|}{|\xi_2 + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi_1 - \eta, \frac{\xi_2 + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \Big|_{\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2\partial_{\xi_1} + \partial_\eta) \left[\chi \left(\frac{|\xi_1 - \eta|}{|\xi_2 + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi_1 - \eta, \frac{\xi_2 + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \Big|_{\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\partial_{\xi_1} \left[\chi \left(\frac{|\xi_1 - \eta|}{|\xi_2 + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi_1 - \eta, \frac{\xi_2 + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \Big|_{\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi} \hat{f}(\eta) + \left[\chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi - \eta, \frac{\xi + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \partial_\eta \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}[x, T_b]f &= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\partial_{\xi_1} \left[\chi \left(\frac{|\xi_1 - \eta|}{|\xi_2 + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi_1 - \eta, \frac{\xi_2 + \eta}{2} \right) \right] \Big|_{\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi} \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \\
&= \frac{-i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\xi - \eta)}{|\xi + \eta|} \chi' \left(\frac{|\xi_1 - \eta|}{|\xi_2 + \eta|} \right) \tilde{b} \left(\xi_1 - \eta, \frac{\xi_2 + \eta}{2} \right) \hat{f}(\eta) \\
&\quad + \chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \partial_{\xi_1} \tilde{b} \left(\xi_1 - \eta, \frac{\xi_2 + \eta}{2} \right) \Big|_{\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi} \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

and (2.3) follows.

3. Taking Fourier transforms, we get that

$$\mathcal{F}([x, L]f) = -i\partial_\xi [\log |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi)] - \log |\xi| (-i\partial_\xi \hat{f}(\xi)) = -\frac{i}{\xi} \hat{f}(\xi),$$

and (2.4) follows. \square

Finally, we give an expansion of $|D|$ acting on para-products (cf. [39]).

Lemma 2.2. *If $a(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{(3,0)}$, $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$|D|^s T_a f = T_a |D|^s f + s T_{D_a} |D|^{s-2} D f + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} T_{|D|^2 a} |D|^{s-2} f + \mathcal{O}(T_{|D|^3 a} |D|^{s-3} f),$$

where D_a means that the differential operator D acts on the function $x \mapsto a(x, \xi)$ for fixed ξ , and similarly for $|D|^2 a$ and $|D|^3 a$.

Proof. By the definition of Weyl para-product

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(|D|^s T_a f)(\xi) &= |\xi|^s \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi\left(\frac{|\xi-\eta|}{|\xi+\eta|}\right) \tilde{a}\left(\xi-\eta, \frac{\xi+\eta}{2}\right) \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi-\eta+\eta|^s \chi\left(\frac{|\xi-\eta|}{|\xi+\eta|}\right) \tilde{a}\left(\xi-\eta, \frac{\xi+\eta}{2}\right) \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta,\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{a} denotes the partial Fourier transform of f in the first variable. On the support of $\chi(|\xi-\eta|/|\xi+\eta|)$ we have that

$$\left|\frac{\xi-\eta}{\eta}\right| \leq \frac{2}{9},$$

and, using the Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned}|\xi-\eta+\eta|^s &= |\eta|^s \left|1 + \frac{\xi-\eta}{\eta}\right|^s \\ &= |\eta|^s \left(1 + s \frac{\xi-\eta}{\eta} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \frac{(\xi-\eta)^2}{\eta^2} + O\left(\frac{|\xi-\eta|^3}{\eta^3}\right)\right)\end{aligned}$$

in the expression for $\mathcal{F}[|D|^s T_a f]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}[|D|^s T_a f](\xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta|^s \left(1 + s \frac{\xi-\eta}{\eta} + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \frac{(\xi-\eta)^2}{\eta^2} + O\left(\frac{|\xi-\eta|^3}{\eta^3}\right)\right) \chi\left(\frac{|\xi-\eta|}{|\xi+\eta|}\right) \tilde{a}\left(\xi-\eta, \frac{\xi+\eta}{2}\right) \hat{f}(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &= \mathcal{F}\left[T_a |D|^s f + s T_{D_a} |D|^{s-2} D f + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} T_{|D|^2 a} |D|^{s-2} f + O(T_{|D|^3 a} |D|^{s-3} f)\right](\xi),\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

2.2. Fourier multipliers. Let $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function supported in $[-8/5, 8/5]$ and equal to 1 in $[-5/4, 5/4]$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\psi_k(\xi) = \psi(\xi/2^k) - \psi(\xi/2^{k-1}), \quad \psi_{\leq k}(\xi) = \psi(\xi/2^k), \quad \psi_{\geq k}(\xi) = 1 - \psi(\xi/2^{k-1}), \quad (2.8)$$

and denote by $P_k, P_{\leq k}, P_{\geq k}$ the Fourier multiplier operators with symbols $\psi_k, \psi_{\leq k}, \psi_{\geq k}$, respectively. It is easy to check that

$$\|\psi_k\|_{L^2} \approx 2^{k/2}, \quad \|\partial_\xi \psi_k\|_{L^2} \approx 2^{-k/2}. \quad (2.9)$$

We will need the following interpolation lemma, whose proof can be found in [35].

Lemma 2.3. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\|\widehat{P_k f}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \|P_k f\|_{L^1}^2 \lesssim 2^{-k} \|\hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2} \left[2^k \|\partial_\xi \hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2} + \|\hat{f}\|_{L_\xi^2}\right].$$

We will also use an estimate for multilinear Fourier multipliers proved in [27]. Before stating the estimate, we introduce some notation. Let $s_1, \dots, s_m > 0$. We define the $W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}$ -norm of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\|f\|_{W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (1 + \xi_1^2)^{s_1} \cdots (1 + \xi_m^2)^{s_m} |\hat{f}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)|^2 \, d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_m\right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.10)$$

and denote by $W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the space of functions with $\|f\|_{W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}} < \infty$.

We remark that the space $W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}$ is an algebra for $s_1, \dots, s_m > 1/2$, and if $f, g \in W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}$, then $\|fg\|_{W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}} \|g\|_{W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}}$.




FIGURE 2.1. An illustration of the frequency-region used in Lemma 2.6. Left: without the cone condition. Right: with the cone condition in the first quadrant.

Given $\kappa \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$, we define a multilinear operator M_κ acting on Schwartz functions $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$M_\kappa(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{ix(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m)} \kappa(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \hat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \hat{f}_m(\xi_m) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_m. \quad (2.11)$$

We fix a test function $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ that is supported on $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m : \frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2\}$ such that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho(2^j \xi) = 1$ for $\xi \neq 0$ and define

$$A_\kappa = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\kappa_j \rho\|_{W^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}},$$

where $\kappa_j(\xi) = \kappa(2^j \xi)$. We then have the following multilinear estimate [27], which we state in the one-dimensional case for simplicity.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m \leq \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$, and $s_1, \dots, s_m > 1/2$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = \frac{1}{p}, \quad \sum_{k \in J} \left(s_k - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}$$

for every nonempty subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. If $\kappa \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $A_\kappa < \infty$, then

$$\|M_\kappa\|_{L^{p_1} \times \dots \times L^{p_m} \rightarrow L^p} \lesssim A_\kappa.$$

We will apply this lemma with $p_1 = p_2 = 2$, and, for convenience, we take $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 1/2 + \epsilon$, where ϵ is a small positive number.

Next, we prove an estimate for multilinear symbols that arise in our analysis of (1.1), which will be used in conjunction with Lemma 2.4 in Section 8. We begin by introducing a cone condition that is illustrated in Figure 2.1.

Definition 2.5. A function $b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies the *cone condition* if the support of $b(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ in each quadrant is included in a cone which has its apex on $|\eta_1| = |\eta_2|$ in the corresponding quadrant and lies on

one side of the line $|\eta_1| = |\eta_2|$. That is, for each $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, there exist $\xi, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{supp } b) \cap \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (-1)^i \eta_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } (-1)^j \eta_2 \geq 0 \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \left| \left(\eta_2 - (-1)^j \xi \right) - (-1)^{i+j} \left(\eta_1 - (-1)^i \xi \right) \right| \geq \vartheta \left| \eta_1 - (-1)^i \xi \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let*

$$\nu_1(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|}, \quad \nu_2(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|)^2},$$

where $b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If

$$\text{supp } b \subset \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 \leq R^2 \text{ and } ||\eta_1| - |\eta_2|| > \delta \right\}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $0 < \delta \ll 1$ and $R > 0$, then for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$

$$\|\nu_1\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim R\delta^{-2(1+\epsilon)}, \quad \|\nu_2\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim R^2\delta^{-(3+2\epsilon)}.$$

Furthermore, if b also satisfies the cone condition in Definition 2.5 with parameters $\xi, \theta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$\|\nu_1\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\vartheta} |\xi| \delta^{-(1+\epsilon)}, \quad \|\nu_2\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\vartheta} |\xi|^2 \delta^{-(2+2\epsilon)}.$$

Proof. We divide the region (2.12) into eight parts by the two axes and the lines $|\eta_1| = |\eta_2|$ (see Figure 2.1). It suffices to estimate the $W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}$ -norms of ν_1, ν_2 in the region R_1 , since we can sum the norms in each octant. To do this, we carry out a dyadic decomposition in R_1 which is illustrated in Figure 2.2.




FIGURE 2.2. An illustration of the covering of R_1 by dyadic cells $R_{k,m}$ for the proof of Lemma 2.6. The integer k labels the distance from the singular line $|\eta_1| = |\eta_2|$, and m labels translates of cells with a given value k . The shaded region illustrates the relevant cells when b satisfies the cone condition.

We use $[x]$ to denote the floor function (the largest integer less or equal to x) and $\lceil x \rceil$ to denote the ceiling function (the smallest integer greater or equal to x). We choose any point (ξ, ξ) with $0 < \xi < R$, and fix

$$j = \lfloor \log_2 |\xi| \rfloor.$$

Let

$$R_{k,m} = R_1 \cap \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \left| \eta_1 - 2^{k-1/2} (m + \sqrt{2^{2(j-k)} - 1}) \right| \right. \\ \left. + \left| \eta_2 - 2^{k-1/2} (m - 2 + \sqrt{2^{2(j-k)} - 1}) \right| \right| < 2^{k-1/2} \left. \right\},$$

where $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are chosen so that the sets $R_{k,m}$ cover $\text{supp } b$. Since

$$(1 + y_1^2)^{1/2+\epsilon} (1 + y_2^2)^{1/2+\epsilon} \lesssim 1 + |y_1|^{2+4\epsilon} + |y_2|^{2+4\epsilon},$$

and b is a smooth function, we can estimate the $W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}$ -norm of ν_1 on $R_{k,m}$ in terms of

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(1 + |y_1|^{2+4\epsilon} + |y_2|^{2+4\epsilon} \right) \left| \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|y_1|^{2+4\epsilon}}{(|y_1| + 1)^4 (|y_2| + 1)^2} \left| (|y_1| + 1)^2 (|y_2| + 1) \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|y_2|^{2+4\epsilon}}{(|y_2| + 1)^4 (|y_1| + 1)^2} \left| (|y_2| + 1)^2 (|y_1| + 1) \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim 2^{2j - (4\epsilon + 2)k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, to obtain the last inequality, we rescale the integration variables (η_1, η_2) so as to transform the region $R_{k,m}$ into $R_{1,1}$, rescale (y_1, y_2) to obtain a uniformly constant integral, and compute the resulting scaling constant.

Similarly, for the $W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}$ -norm of ν_2 on $R_{k,m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(1 + |y_1|^{2+4\epsilon} + |y_2|^{2+4\epsilon} \right) \left| \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|)^2} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|)^2} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|y_1|^{2+4\epsilon}}{(|y_1| + 1)^4 (|y_2| + 1)^2} \left| (|y_1| + 1)^2 (|y_2| + 1) \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|)^2} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|y_2|^{2+4\epsilon}}{(|y_2| + 1)^4 (|y_1| + 1)^2} \left| (|y_2| + 1)^2 (|y_1| + 1) \int_{R_{k,m}} \frac{b(\eta_1, \eta_2)}{(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_2|)^2} e^{-i(\eta_1 y_1 + \eta_2 y_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right|^2 dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim 2^{4j - (4\epsilon + 4)k}. \end{aligned}$$

In the absence of the cone condition, there exist $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\text{supp } b \subset \bigcup_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \bigcup_{m=m_1}^{m_2} R_{k,m}.$$

In the layer $\{R_{k,m} : m_1 \leq m \leq m_2\}$, which has distance $O(2^k)$ to the singular set $\{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : |\eta_1| = |\eta_2|\}$, there are $O(2^{-k})$ small squares, so $m_2 - m_1 = O(2^{-k})$. Summing the $W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}$ -norms on each of the

$R_{k,m}$, we then get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu_1\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} 2^{j-(1+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j 2^{j-(2+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim R\delta^{-(2+2\epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu_2\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} 2^{2j-(2+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j 2^{2j-(3+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim R^2\delta^{-(3+2\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If b satisfies the cone condition with parameters $\xi, \theta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then we have

$$\text{supp } b \subset \bigcup_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \bigcup_{m=m_1}^{m_2} R_{k,m},$$

where

$$m_1 = \left\lfloor 2^{-k+1/2}\xi - \frac{2}{\vartheta} - \sqrt{2^{2(j-k)} - 1} \right\rfloor, \quad m_2 = \left\lceil 2^{-k+1/2}\xi + \frac{2}{\vartheta} - \sqrt{2^{2(j-k)} - 1} \right\rceil.$$

We then compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu_1\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} 2^{j-(1+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \vartheta^{-1} 2^{j-(1+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim |\xi| \delta^{-(1+2\epsilon)} \vartheta^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu_2\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon, 1/2+\epsilon)}} &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} 2^{2j-(2+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor}^j \vartheta^{-1} 2^{2j-(2+2\epsilon)k} \\ &\lesssim |\xi| \delta^{-(2+2\epsilon)} \vartheta^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let*

$$\nu(\eta) = \frac{b(\eta)}{\eta},$$

where $b(\eta) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. If $\text{supp } b \subset [\delta, \varsigma]$, where $0 < \delta < \varsigma$ and $\delta \ll 1$, then for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$

$$\|\nu\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim \delta^{-(1+\epsilon)}.$$

Proof. We use the similar idea as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 and carry out a dyadic decomposition of the interval $[\delta, \varsigma]$. We let $R_k = [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ for $k \in [m_1, m_2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ where $m_1 = \lfloor \log_2 \delta \rfloor$ and $m_2 = \lceil \log_2 \varsigma \rceil$. Then the collection $\{R_k : m_1 \leq k \leq m_2\}$ covers $\text{supp } b$.

In each R_k , we estimate the square of the $W^{(1/2+\epsilon)}$ -norm of ν as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y|^{1+2\epsilon}) \left| \int_{R_k} \frac{b(\eta)}{\eta} e^{-i\eta y} d\eta \right|^2 dy &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{R_k} \frac{b(\eta)}{\eta} e^{-i\eta y} d\eta \right|^2 dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{3-2\epsilon}} |y|^2 \left| \int_{R_k} \frac{b(\eta)}{\eta} e^{-i\eta y} d\eta \right|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{R_k} \frac{b(\eta)}{\eta} e^{-i\eta y} d\eta \right|^2 dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{3-2\epsilon}} \left| \int_{R_k} \frac{b(\eta)}{\eta^3} e^{-i\eta y} d\eta \right|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim 2^{-(2+2\epsilon)k}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\|\nu\|_{W^{(1/2+\epsilon)}} \lesssim \sum_{k=m_1}^{m_2} 2^{-(1+\epsilon)k} \lesssim \delta^{-(1+\epsilon)}.$$

□

3. REFORMULATION OF THE EQUATION

3.1. Expansion of the equation. In this section, we expand the nonlinearity in the SQG front equation

$$\varphi_t(x, t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\varphi_x(x, t) - \varphi_x(x + \zeta, t)] \left\{ \frac{1}{|\zeta|} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 + [\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x + \zeta, t)]^2}} \right\} d\zeta = 2 \log |\partial_x \varphi_x(x, t)| \quad (3.1)$$

for fronts with small slopes $|\varphi_x| \ll 1$. As we will show, (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t(x, t) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{2n+1} \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1, t) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2, t) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}, t) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1})x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n \\ = 2 \log |\partial_x \varphi_x(x, t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_n = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{2n+1})$, and

$$\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 - e^{i\eta_j \zeta})}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta, \quad c_n = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - n) \Gamma(n+1)}. \quad (3.3)$$

We remark that $c_n = O(n^{-1/2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact, if we expand the nonlinearity in (3.1) around $\varphi_x(x, t) = 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\varphi_x(x, t) - \varphi_x(x + \zeta, t)}{|\zeta|} - \frac{\varphi_x(x, t) - \varphi_x(x + \zeta, t)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 + (\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x + \zeta, t))^2}} \right] d\zeta \\ = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{[\varphi_x(x, t) - \varphi_x(x + \zeta, t)] \cdot [\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x + \zeta, t)]^{2n}}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta \\ = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{2n+1} \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x + \zeta, t)}{|\zeta|} \right]^{2n+1} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$f_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(x + \zeta)}{|\zeta|} \right]^{2n+1} d\zeta, \quad \varphi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(\eta) e^{i\eta x} d\eta,$$

we have

$$f_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1})x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n,$$

which gives (3.2).

In the appendix, we evaluate the integrals in (3.3) and show that we can write (3.2) in the alternative form

$$\varphi_t + \partial_x \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} d_{n,\ell} \varphi^{2n-\ell+1} \partial_x^{2n} \log |\partial_x \varphi^\ell| \right\} = 2 \log |\partial_x \varphi_x, \quad (3.4)$$

where the constants $d_{n,\ell}$ are given by (A.3). We will not use (3.4) in this paper, however, since it makes sense classically only for C^∞ -solutions and does not make explicit the fact that, owing to a cancelation of derivatives in (3.4), the multilinear flux of degree $2n+1$ involves at most first-order derivatives of φ .

Isolating the lowest degree nonlinear term, which is cubic, we can also write (3.1) as

$$\varphi_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left\{ \varphi^2 \log |\partial_x \varphi_{xx} - \varphi \log |\partial_x |(\varphi^2)_{xx} + \frac{1}{3} \log |\partial_x |(\varphi^3)_{xx} \right\} + \mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi) = 2 \log |\partial_x \varphi_x, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)$ denotes the nonlinear terms of quintic degree or higher

$$\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi) = - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{2n+1} \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1, t) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2, t) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}, t) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1})x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n. \quad (3.6)$$

Equation (3.5) will be used in Section 8 in order to carry out nonlinear dispersive estimates, where the main difficulty is controlling the slowest decay in time caused by the lowest degree nonlinearity.

3.2. Para-linearization of the equation. In this section, we para-linearize the SQG front equation (3.2) and put it in a form that allows us to make weighted energy estimates. This form extracts a nonlinear term $L(T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\varphi)$ from the flux that is responsible for the logarithmic loss of derivatives in the dispersionless equation.

First, we state an estimate which shows that we can distribute derivatives on each factor of φ in the multilinear terms. This estimate is not sharp, but it is sufficient for our needs below.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathbf{T}_n be defined by (3.3) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$|\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)| \leq 4 \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} |\eta_j| + 2 \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\eta}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}.$$

Proof. Splitting up the integral and using a Taylor expansion, we have

$$|\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} |1 - e^{i\eta_j \zeta}|}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta \leq \int_{|\zeta| < 2} \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} |\eta_j| d\zeta + \int_{|\zeta| > 2} \frac{2^{2n+1}}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta \leq 4 \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} |\eta_j| + 2.$$

□

Next, we use Weyl para-differential calculus to decompose the nonlinearity in (3.1). In the following, we denote by $C(n, s)$ a positive constant depending only on n and s , which may change from line to line.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $\varphi(\cdot, t) \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 4$ and $\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}$ is sufficiently small. Then (3.1) can be written as*

$$\varphi_t + \partial_x T_{B^0[\varphi]}\varphi + \mathcal{R} = L[(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})\varphi]_x, \quad (3.7)$$

where the symbols $B^0[\varphi]$ and $B^{\log}[\varphi]$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{\log}[\varphi](\cdot, \xi) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^{\log}[\varphi](\cdot, \xi), \quad B^0[\varphi](\cdot, \xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^0[\varphi](\cdot, \xi), \\
B_n^{\log}[\varphi](\cdot, \xi) &= -\mathcal{F}_{\zeta}^{-1} \left[2c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \delta \left(\zeta - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \left(i\eta_j \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\xi} \right) \right) d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \right], \\
B_n^0[\varphi](\cdot, \xi) &= \mathcal{F}_{\zeta}^{-1} \left[2c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \delta \left(\zeta - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \left(i\eta_j \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\xi} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \int_{[0,1]^{2n}} \log \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j s_j \right| d\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Here, c_n is given by (3.3), δ is the delta-distribution, χ is the cutoff function in (2.1), $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{2n})$, and $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n = (s_1, \dots, s_{2n})$. The operators $T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}$ and $T_{B^0[\varphi]}$ are self-adjoint and their symbols satisfy the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B^{\log}[\varphi]\|_{\mathcal{M}(0,0)} &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^{2n}, \\
\|B^0[\varphi]\|_{\mathcal{M}(0,0)} &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^{2n} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^{2n} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

while the remainder term \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{R}\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right\}, \tag{3.10}$$

where the constants $C(n, s)$ have at most exponential growth in n .

Proof. We define

$$f_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1})x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n.$$

In view of the commutator estimate (2.2), we only need to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{2n+1} \partial_x f_n(x) = \partial_x T_{B^0[\varphi]} \varphi + \partial_x [(T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}) L\varphi] + \mathcal{R},$$

where \mathcal{R} satisfies (3.10), and to do this it suffices to prove for each n that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_n}{2n+1} \partial_x f_n(x) &= -\partial_x T_{B_n^0[\varphi]} \varphi - \partial_x [(T_{B_n^{\log}[\varphi]}) L\varphi] + \mathcal{R}_n, \\
\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{H^s} &\lesssim C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we can assume that $|\eta_{2n+1}|$ is the largest frequency in the expression of f_n . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_n}{2n+1} \partial_x f_n(x) &= c_n \partial_x \int_{\substack{|\eta_{2n+1}| \geq |\eta_j| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1})x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n \\
&= c_n \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n}) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n})x} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{ix\eta_{2n+1}} d\eta_{2n+1} \\
&= c_n \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \left[\chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) + 1 - \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) \right] \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) \\
&\quad e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n})x} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{ix\eta_{2n+1}} d\eta_{2n+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Next, we expand the product in the above integral, and consider two cases depending on whether a term in the expansion contains only factors of χ or contains at least one factor $1 - \chi$. In the first case, the frequency η_{2n+1} is much larger than all of the other frequencies, and we can extract a logarithmic derivative acting on the highest frequency; in the second case at least one other frequency is comparable to η_{2n+1} , and we get a remainder term by distributing derivatives on comparable frequencies.

Case I. When we take only factors of χ in the expansion of the product, we get the integral

$$c_n \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{2n})x} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{ix\eta_{2n+1}} d\eta_{2n+1}. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.3), we can write \mathbf{T}_n as an integral with respect to $\mathbf{s}_n = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2n+1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn} \zeta \int_{[0,1]^{2n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} i\eta_j e^{i\eta_j s_j \zeta} d\mathbf{s}_n d\zeta \\
&= i(-1)^{n+1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \eta_j \right) \int_{[0,1]^{2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\operatorname{sgn} \zeta) e^{i(\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \eta_j s_j) \zeta} d\zeta d\mathbf{s}_n \\
&= 2(-1)^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \eta_j \right) \int_{[0,1]^{2n+1}} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \eta_j s_j} d\mathbf{s}_n \\
&= 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} (i\eta_j) \right) \int_{[0,1]^{2n}} \log \left| 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} s_j \right| - \log \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} s_j \right| d\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n \\
&= 2 \log |\eta_{2n+1}| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2n} (i\eta_j) - 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} (i\eta_j) \right) \int_{[0,1]^{2n}} \log \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j s_j \right| d\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n \\
&\quad + \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} (i\eta_j) \right) \int_{[0,1]^{2n}} \log \left| 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} s_j \right| d\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of this expression into (3.12) gives the following three terms

$$c_n \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n^{\log}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_{2n})x} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{ix\eta_{2n+1}} d\eta_{2n+1}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$c_n \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n^0(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_{2n})x} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{ix\eta_{2n+1}} d\eta_{2n+1}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$c_n \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n^{\leq -1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_{2n})x} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{ix\eta_{2n+1}} d\eta_{2n+1}, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_n^{\log}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) &= 2 \log |\eta_{2n+1}| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2n} (i\eta_j), \\ \mathbf{T}_n^0(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) &= -2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} (i\eta_j) \right) \int_{[0,1]^{2n}} \log \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j s_j \right| d\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n, \\ \mathbf{T}_n^{\leq -1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) &= 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} (i\eta_j) \right) \int_{[0,1]^{2n}} \log \left| 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} s_j \right| d\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the terms (3.13) and (3.14) can be rewritten as

$$-\partial_x T_{B_n^{\log[\varphi]}} L\varphi + \mathcal{R}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad -\partial_x T_{B_n^0[\varphi]} \varphi + \mathcal{R}_2, \quad (3.16)$$

where \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 satisfy the estimate (3.10). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[\partial_x T_{B_n^{\log[\varphi]}} L\varphi](\xi) &= -2c_n i\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi + \eta|} \right) \log |\eta| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \delta \left(\xi - \eta - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \left(i\eta_j \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) \chi \left(\frac{2(2n+1)\eta_j}{\xi + \eta} \right) \right) d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta) d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

while the Fourier transform of (3.13) is

$$2c_n i\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \delta \left(\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \eta_j \right) \log |\eta_{2n+1}| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) (i\eta_j) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) d\eta_{2n+1}.$$

The difference of the above two integrals is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2c_n i\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \delta \left(\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \eta_j \right) \log |\eta_{2n+1}| \cdot \left[\mathbb{I}_n \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) (i\eta_j) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta_{2n+1}|}{|\xi + \eta_{2n+1}|} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \left(i\eta_j \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) \chi \left(\frac{2(2n+1)\eta_j}{\xi + \eta_{2n+1}} \right) \right) \right] d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) d\eta_{2n+1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where \mathbb{I}_n is the function which is equal to 1 on $\{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}|, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, 2n\}$ and equal to zero otherwise.

When $\boldsymbol{\eta}_n$ satisfies

$$|\eta_j| \leq \frac{1}{40} \frac{1}{2n+1} |\eta_{2n+1}| \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n, \tag{3.18}$$

we have $\mathbb{I}_n = 1$ and $\chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) = 1$. In addition, since $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \eta_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{|\xi - \eta_{2n+1}|}{|\xi + \eta_{2n+1}|} &= \frac{\left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j \right|}{\left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j + 2\eta_{2n+1} \right|} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{40} |\eta_{2n+1}|}{\left(2 - \frac{1}{40}\right) |\eta_{2n+1}|} = \frac{1}{79} < \frac{3}{40}, \\
 \frac{2(2n+1)|\eta_j|}{|\xi + \eta_{2n+1}|} &\leq \frac{\frac{1}{20} |\eta_{2n+1}|}{\left(2 - \frac{1}{40}\right) |\eta_{2n+1}|} = \frac{2}{79} < \frac{3}{40},
 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\chi \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta_{2n+1}|}{|\xi + \eta_{2n+1}|} \right) = 1, \quad \chi \left(\frac{2(2n+1)\eta_j}{\xi + \eta_{2n+1}} \right) = 1.$$

Therefore the integrand of (3.17) is supported outside the set (3.18), and there exists $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$, such that $|\eta_{j_1}| > \frac{1}{40} \frac{1}{2n+1} |\eta_{2n+1}|$. Since $|\eta_{2n+1}|$ is the largest frequency, we see that $|\eta_{j_1}|$ and $|\eta_{2n+1}|$ are comparable in the error term. Therefore, the H^s -norm of (3.17) is bounded by

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^s} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right).$$

It follows that (3.13) can be written as in (3.16). A similar calculation applies to (3.14).

The symbols $B_n^{\log}[\varphi]$ and $B_n^0[\varphi]$ are real, so that $T_{B_n^{\log}[\varphi]}$ and $T_{B_n^0[\varphi]}$ are self-adjoint. Again, without loss of generality, we assume $|\eta_{2n}| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2n} |\eta_j|$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{[0,1]^{2n}} \log \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \eta_j s_j \right| d\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n \\
 &= \log |\eta_{2n}| + \int_{[0,1]^{2n-1}} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{2n}} s_j \right) \log \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{2n}} s_j} \right| + \log \left| 1 + \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\eta_j}{\eta_{2n}} s_j} \right| - 1 \right\} d\mathbf{s}_{n-1} \\
 &= \log |\eta_{2n}| + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Young's inequality, we obtain from (3.8) the estimate (3.9), where the constants $C(n, s)$ have at most exponential growth in n .

To estimate the third term (3.15), we observe that on the support of the functions $\chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_j}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right)$, we have

$$\frac{|\eta_j|}{|\eta_{2n+1}|} \leq \frac{1}{10(2n+1)}.$$

Since $s_j \in [0, 1]$, a Taylor expansion gives

$$\left| \mathbf{T}_n^{\leq -1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \right| \lesssim \frac{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{2n} |\eta_j| \right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2n} |\eta_j| \right]}{|\eta_{2n+1}|}.$$

Therefore the H^s -norm of (3.15) is bounded by $C(n, s)|c_n| \|\varphi\|_{H^s} \|\varphi\|_{W^{2, \infty}}^{2n}$, where $C(n, s)$ has at most exponential growth in n .

Case II. When there is at least one factor of the form $1 - \chi$ in the expansion of the product in the integral (3.11), we get a term like

$$c_n \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\substack{|\eta_j| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}| \\ \text{for all } j=1, \dots, 2n}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[1 - \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_{j_k}}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) \right] \prod_{k=\ell+1}^{2n} \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_{j_k}}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_j) e^{i(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_{2n})x} d\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_n \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}) e^{ix\eta_{2n+1}} d\eta_{2n+1}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $1 \leq \ell \leq 2n$ is an integer, and $\{j_k : k = 1, \dots, 2n\}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$.

$1 - \chi \left(\frac{(2n+1)\eta_{j_1}}{\eta_{2n+1}} \right)$ is compactly supported on

$$\frac{|\eta_{j_1}|}{|\eta_{2n+1}|} \geq \frac{3}{40(2n+1)}.$$

By assumption, η_{2n+1} has the largest absolute value, so

$$\frac{3}{40(2n+1)} |\eta_{2n+1}| \leq |\eta_{j_1}| \leq |\eta_{2n+1}|,$$

meaning that the frequencies $|\eta_{j_1}|$ and $|\eta_{2n+1}|$ are comparable. Using Lemma 3.1, we can bound the H^s -norm of (3.19) by

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^s} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \|\varphi\|_{W^{2, \infty}}^{2n} \right),$$

and the proposition follows. \square

4. ENERGY ESTIMATES AND LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS

In this section, we prove an *a priori* estimate for the initial value problem (1.1), which is stated in Proposition 4.1. As noted in the introduction, standard H^s -estimates do not close, so we introduce a weighted energy $E^{(s)}$.

If $\|T_{B^{1 \log[\varphi]}}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} < 2$, then $(2 - T_{B^{1 \log[\varphi]}})$ is a positive, self-adjoint operator on L^2 . We can therefore define homogeneous and nonhomogeneous weighted energies that are equivalent to the H^s -energies by

$$E^{(s)}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi(x, t) \cdot \left(2 - T_{B^{1 \log[\varphi]}} \right)^{2s+1} |D|^s \varphi(x, t) dx, \quad \tilde{E}^{(s)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^s E^{(j)}(t). \quad (4.1)$$

For simplicity, we consider only integer norms with $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following, we use F to denote an increasing, continuous, real-valued function, which might change from line to line.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $s > 4$ be an integer and φ a smooth solution of (1.1) with $\varphi_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a constant $\tilde{C} > 0$, depending only on s , such that if φ_0 satisfies*

$$\|T_{B^{1 \log[\varphi_0]}}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{C}^n |c_n| \left(\|\varphi_0\|_{W^{3, \infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi_0\|_{W^{3, \infty}}^{2n} \right) < \infty$$

for some constant $0 < C < 2$, then there exists a time $T > 0$ such that

$$\|T_{B^{\log}[\varphi(t)]}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} < 2, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{C}^n |c_n| \left(\|\varphi(t)\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi(t)\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) < \infty$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}^{(s)}(t) \leq (\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}})^2 F(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}) \tilde{E}^{(s)}(t), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\tilde{E}^{(s)}$ is defined in (4.1), and $F(\cdot)$ is an increasing, continuous, real-valued function such that

$$F(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{C}^n |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Before proving this proposition, we first state a lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $s > 4$ is an integer. If φ is a smooth solution of (3.7) and $\psi \in C_t^1 L_x^2$, then*

$$\partial_t (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^s \psi = (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^s \psi_t - s(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s-1} T_{\partial_t B^{\log}[\varphi]} \psi + \mathcal{R}_2(\psi),$$

where the remainder term satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{R}_2(\psi)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right)$$

for constants $C(n, s)$ with at most exponential growth in n .

Proof. Since s is an integer, we can calculate the time derivative as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^s \psi &= T_{\partial_t B^{\log}[\varphi]} (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s-1} \psi + (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}) T_{\partial_t B^{\log}[\varphi]} (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s-2} \psi \\ &\quad + \dots + (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s-1} T_{\partial_t B^{\log}[\varphi]} \psi + (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^s \psi_t. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.9), we have the commutator estimate

$$\left\| [\partial_t B^{\log}[\varphi], (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})] f \right\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right).$$

By taking $f = (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s-2} \psi, (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s-3} \psi, \dots, (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}) \psi$ and applying the commutator estimate repeatedly, we obtain the conclusion. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By continuity in time, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{C}^n |c_n| \left(\|\varphi(t)\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi(t)\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) < \infty \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We apply the operator $|D|^s$ to equation (3.7) to get

$$|D|^s \varphi_t + \partial_x |D|^s T_{B^0[\varphi]} \varphi + |D|^s \mathcal{R} = \partial_x L |D|^s [(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}) \varphi]. \quad (4.4)$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |D|^s \left[(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}) \varphi \right] &= 2|D|^s \varphi - |D|^s (T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]} \varphi) \\ &= 2|D|^s \varphi - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi + s T_{\partial_x B^{\log}[\varphi]} |D|^{s-2} \varphi_x + \mathcal{R}_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|\partial_x \mathcal{R}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \|\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^{s-1}}.$$

Thus, we can write the right-hand side of (4.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_x L |D|^s \left[(2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]}) \varphi \right] \\
&= \partial_x L \left[(2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]}) |D|^s \varphi + s T_{\partial_x B^{1\log}[\varphi]} |D|^{s-2} \varphi_x \right] + \mathcal{R}_3 \\
&= L \left\{ (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]}) |D|^s \varphi_x - T_{\partial_x B^{1\log}[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi - s T_{\partial_x B^{1\log}[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right\} + \mathcal{R}_3 \\
&= L \left\{ (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]}) |D|^s \varphi_x - (s+1) T_{\partial_x B^{1\log}[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right\} + \mathcal{R}_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|\mathcal{R}_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}.$$

Applying $(2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^s$ to (4.4), and commuting $(2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^s$ with L up to remainder terms, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^s |D|^s \varphi_t + (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^s \partial_x |D|^s T_{B^0[\varphi]} \varphi \\
&= L \left\{ (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1} |D|^s \varphi_x - (s+1) (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^s T_{\partial_x B^{1\log}[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right\} + \mathcal{R}_4 \\
&= \partial_x L \left\{ (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1} |D|^s \varphi \right\} + \mathcal{R}_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\|\mathcal{R}_5\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}$.

By Lemma 4.2, with $\psi = |D|^s \varphi$, the time derivative of $E^{(s)}(t)$ in (4.1) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} E^{(s)}(t) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2s+1) |D|^s \varphi \cdot (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{2s} T_{\partial_t B^{1\log}[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \, dx \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi \cdot (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{2s+1} |D|^s \varphi_t \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{R}_2 (|D|^s \varphi) |D|^s \varphi \, dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We will estimate each of the terms on the right-hand side of (4.6), where the second term requires the most work.

Equation (3.7) implies that

$$\|\varphi_{xt}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right),$$

so the first term on the right-hand side of (4.6) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2s+1) |D|^s \varphi \cdot (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{2s} T_{\partial_t B^{1\log}[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \, dx \right| \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.2, we can estimate the third term on the right-hand side of (4.6) by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{R}_2 (|D|^s \varphi) |D|^s \varphi \, dx \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s} \|\varphi\|_{H^{s-1}}.$$

To estimate the second term on the right-hand side (4.6), we multiply (4.5) by $(2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1} |D|^s \varphi$, integrate the result with respect to x , and use the self-adjointness of $(2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1}$, which gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi \cdot (2 - T_{B^{1\log}[\varphi]})^{2s+1} |D|^s \varphi_t \, dx = \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi \cdot (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{2s+1} |D|^s \partial_x T_{B^0[\varphi]} \varphi \, dx, \\ \text{II} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1} |D|^s \varphi \cdot \partial_x L (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1} |D|^s \varphi \, dx, \\ \text{III} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1} |D|^s \varphi \cdot \mathcal{R}_5 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\text{II} = 0$, since $\partial_x L$ is skew-symmetric, and

$$\text{III} \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2,$$

since $\|\mathcal{R}_5\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}$ and $(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{s+1}$ is bounded on L^2 .

Term I estimate. We write $\text{I} = -\text{I}_a + \text{I}_b$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}_a &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi \cdot (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{2s+1} \partial_x T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \, dx, \\ \text{I}_b &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi \cdot (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{2s+1} \partial_x [T_{B^0[\varphi]}, |D|^s] \varphi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By a commutator estimate and (3.9), the second integral satisfies

$$|\text{I}_b| \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2.$$

To estimate the first integral, we write it as

$$\text{I}_a = \text{I}_{a_1} - \text{I}_{a_2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}_{a_1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi \cdot [(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{2s+1}, \partial_x] \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right) \, dx, \\ \text{I}_{a_2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^s \varphi_x \cdot (2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})^{2s+1} \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Term I_{a_1} estimate. A Kato-Ponce commutator estimate and (3.9) gives

$$|\text{I}_{a_1}| \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2.$$

Term I_{a_2} estimate. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{a_2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right) \cdot (2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} |D|^s \varphi_x \, dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right) \cdot \left\{ \partial_x \left((2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} |D|^s \varphi \right) - \left[\partial_x, (2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} \right] |D|^s \varphi \right\} \, dx \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right) \cdot (2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} |D|^s \varphi \, dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right) \cdot \left[\partial_x, (2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} \right] |D|^s \varphi \, dx \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi_x + \left[\partial_x, T_{B^0[\varphi]} \right] |D|^s \varphi \right) \cdot (2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} |D|^s \varphi \, dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(T_{B^0[\varphi]} |D|^s \varphi \right) \cdot \left[\partial_x, (2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} \right] |D|^s \varphi \, dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Using commutator estimates and (3.9), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left[\partial_x, T_{B^0[\varphi]} \right] |D|^s \varphi \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^2 + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^2 \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}, \\
\left\| \left[\partial_x, (2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1} \right] |D|^s \varphi \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}, \\
\left\| \partial_x \left[(2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}})^{2s+1}, T_{B^0[\varphi]} \right] |D|^s \varphi \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $T_{B^0[\varphi]}$ is self-adjoint, we can rewrite (4.7) as

$$I_{a_2} = -I_{a_2} + \mathcal{R}_6,$$

with

$$|\mathcal{R}_6| \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2,$$

and we conclude that

$$|I_{a_2}| \lesssim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2.$$

This completes the estimate of the terms on the right hand side of (4.6). Collecting the above estimates and summing the corresponding inequalities for $E^{(j)}$ over $0 \leq j \leq s$, we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E}^{(s)}(t) \leq \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \right)^2 F \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2, \tag{4.8}$$

with

$$F \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \right) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C(n, s) |c_n| \left(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right).$$

We observe that there exists a constant $\tilde{C}(s) > 0$ such that $C(n, s) \lesssim \tilde{C}(s)^n$. The series in (4.3) then converges whenever $\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}$ is sufficiently small, and we can choose F to be an increasing, continuous, real-valued function that satisfies (4.3).

Finally, since $\|2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi_0]}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \geq 2 - C$, and $\|B^{\log}[\varphi](\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{(0,0)}}$ and $F(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}})$ are continuous in time, there exist $T > 0$ and $m > 0$, depending only on the initial data, such that

$$\|2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi(t)]}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \geq m \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We therefore obtain that

$$m^{2s+1} \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \tilde{E}^{(s)} \leq 2^{2s+1} \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2,$$

so (4.8) implies (4.2). \square

Proposition 4.1 leads to the following local existence, uniqueness and breakdown result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $s > 4$ be an integer. Suppose that $\varphi_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\|T_{B^{\log}[\varphi_0]}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{C}^n |c_n| \left(\|\varphi_0\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi_0\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) < \infty$$

for some constant $0 < C < 2$, where \tilde{C} is the same constant as the one in Proposition 4.1. Then there exists a maximal time of existence $0 < T_{\max} \leq \infty$ depending only on $\|\varphi_0\|_{H^s}$, C , and \tilde{C} such that the initial value problem (1.1) has a unique solution with $\varphi \in C([0, T_{\max}); H^s(\mathbb{R}))$. If $T_{\max} < \infty$, then either

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{C}^n |c_n| \left(\|\varphi(t)\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi(t)\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right) = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|T_{B^{\log}[\varphi(\cdot, t)]}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} = 2. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. By Sobolev embedding, we have $\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s}$ and $\|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s}$, so we obtain from (4.2) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^{(j)}(t) \lesssim F \left([\tilde{E}^{(s)}(t)]^{1/2} \right) [\tilde{E}^{(j)}(t)]^2.$$

Thus, there exists a time $T > 0$ such that $E^{(s)}(t)$ is bounded when $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore, by standard arguments using Galerkin approximations [47], there is a unique solution $\varphi \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$.

By applying Grönwall's inequality to (4.2), we get that

$$\tilde{E}^{(s)}(t) \leq \tilde{E}^{(s)}(0) \exp \left[\int_0^t (\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}})^2 F(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}) d\tau \right], \quad (4.10)$$

where F is given by (4.3). It follows from the local existence result that the solution can be continued so long as $\|2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi(t)]}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$ remains bounded away from zero, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{C}^n |c_n| \left(\|\varphi(t)\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} + \|L\varphi(t)\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n} \right)$$

remains bounded, so the breakdown criterion (4.9) follows. \square

The front equation is invariant under $(x, t) \mapsto (-x, -t)$, so the same result holds backward in time. As in [30], one could use a Bona-Smith argument to prove that the solution depends continuously on the initial data, but we will not carry out the details here.

5. GLOBAL SOLUTION FOR SMALL INITIAL DATA

Beginning with this section, we address the global well-posedness of (1.1) with small initial data. From now on, we fix the following parameter values

$$s = 1200, \quad r = 4, \quad \iota = 10^{-3}, \quad p_0 = 10^{-4}. \quad (5.1)$$

We denote by

$$\mathcal{S} = (x + 2t)\partial_x + t\partial_t \quad (5.2)$$

the scaling-Galilean vector field that commutes with the linearized equation, and recall that $|D|^{-(1-\iota)}$ is the Fourier multiplier operator with symbol $|\xi|^{-(1-\iota)}$. We also introduce the notation

$$h(x, t) = e^{-2t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|}\varphi(x, t), \quad \hat{h}(\xi, t) = e^{-2it\xi \log|\xi|}\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t) \quad (5.3)$$

for the function h obtained by removing the action of the linearized evolution group on φ . When convenient, we write $h(\cdot, t) = h(t)$, $\varphi(\cdot, t) = \varphi(t)$. Our global existence theorem is as follows.

Theorem 5.1. *Let s, r, ι, p_0 be defined as in (5.1). There exists a constant $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, such that if $\varphi_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\||D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^s} + \|x\partial_x\varphi_0\|_{H^r} \leq \varepsilon_0$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq \varepsilon$, then there exists a unique global solution $\varphi \in C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ of (1.1). Moreover, this solution satisfies

$$\||D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\mathcal{S}\varphi(t)\|_{H^r} + \|x\partial_x h(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_0(t+1)^{p_0},$$

where \mathcal{S} is the vector field in (5.2) and h is defined in (5.3).

Given local existence, we only need to prove the global *a priori* bound. In order to do this, we introduce the Z -norm of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, defined by

$$\|f\|_Z = \left\| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})\hat{f}(\xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty}, \quad (5.4)$$

and prove the global bound by use of the following bootstrap argument.

Proposition 5.2 (Bootstrap). *Let $T > 1$ and suppose that $\varphi \in C([0, T]; H^s)$ is a solution of (1.1), where the initial data satisfies*

$$\||D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi_0\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^s} + \|x\partial_x\varphi_0\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon_0$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon_0 \ll 1$. If there exists $\varepsilon_0 \ll \varepsilon_1 \lesssim \varepsilon_0^{1/3}$ such that the solution satisfies

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} \left(\||D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\mathcal{S}\varphi(t)\|_{H^r} + \|x\partial_x h(t)\|_{L^2} \right) + \|\varphi\|_Z \leq \varepsilon_1$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$, then the solution satisfies an improved bound

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} \left(\||D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi(t)\|_{H^s} + \|\mathcal{S}\varphi(t)\|_{H^r} + \|x\partial_x h(x)\|_{L_x^2} \right) + \|\varphi\|_Z \lesssim \varepsilon_0.$$

We call the assumptions in Proposition 5.2 the *bootstrap assumptions*. To prove Proposition 5.2, we need the following lemmas, some of whose proofs are deferred to the next sections.

Lemma 5.3 (Sharp pointwise decay). *Under the bootstrap assumptions,*

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial^{r+1}L\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1(t+1)^{-1/2}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *Under the bootstrap assumptions,*

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} \||D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_0.$$

Proof. Applying the operator $|D|^{-(1-\iota)}$ to (3.7), we obtain

$$(|D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi)_t + \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{H}|D|^\iota \left\{ T_{B^0[\varphi]}\varphi + \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \right\} = i\mathcal{H}|D|^\iota L(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})\varphi$$

where \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert transform with symbol $-i \operatorname{sgn} \xi$. Multiplying this equation by $|D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi$ and taking the integral with respect to x , we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| |D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim F(\|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})(\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}})^2 (\|L\varphi\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2}) \left\| |D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi \right\|_{L^2},$$

where F is a positive increasing continuous real-valued function.

Interpolation and integration in time then gives

$$\left\| |D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi(t) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| |D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi_0 \right\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t F(\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{2,\infty}}) (\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}})^2 \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{H^s} d\tau.$$

Using the bootstrap assumptions and Lemma 5.3, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |D|^{-(1-\iota)}\varphi_0 \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon_0, \\ F(\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{2,\infty}}) &\lesssim 1, \\ \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}} &\lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1, \\ \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{H^s} &\lesssim (t+1)^{p_0}\varepsilon_1, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows, since $\varepsilon_1^3 \lesssim \varepsilon_0$. □

Lemma 5.5 (Scaling-Galilean estimate). *Under the bootstrap assumptions,*

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} \|\mathcal{S}\varphi(t)\|_{H^r} \lesssim \varepsilon_0.$$

Lemma 5.6. *Under the bootstrap assumptions,*

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} (\|\varphi(t)\|_{H^s} + \|x\partial_x h(t)\|_{L^2}) \lesssim \varepsilon_0.$$

Proof. Recall the energy estimate (4.10)

$$\tilde{E}^{(s)}(t) \lesssim \tilde{E}^{(s)}(0) e^{\int_0^t F(\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{2,\infty}})(\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}})^2 d\tau}.$$

From Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{2,\infty}}) &\lesssim 1, \\ \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi(\tau)\|_{W^{3,\infty}} &\lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{E}^{(s)}(t) \lesssim \varepsilon_0^2 (t+1)^{C\varepsilon_1^2},$$

so once $\varepsilon_1^2 \ll p_0$, we have

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} \|\varphi\|_{H^s} \lesssim \varepsilon_0.$$

It follows from (5.3), the definition of \mathcal{S} , and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \partial_\xi \hat{h}(\xi, t) &= \xi e^{-2it\xi \log|\xi|} (-2it(\log|\xi| + 1)\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t) + \partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}(\xi, t)) \\ &= \xi \partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}(\xi, t) - (2it\xi - 1)\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t) - t\hat{\varphi}_t(\xi, t) - t\mathcal{N}(\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t)) - \hat{\varphi}(\xi, t) \\ &= -\widehat{\mathcal{S}\varphi}(\xi, t) - \hat{\varphi}(\xi, t) - t\mathcal{N}(\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t)), \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\hat{\varphi}(\xi))$ denotes the Fourier transform of the nonlinear term in (3.1). By the bootstrap assumptions, Lemma 5.3, and Lemma 5.5 we then find that

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} \|x\partial_x h(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.7 (Modified dispersive estimate). *Under the bootstrap assumptions, the solution of (1.1) satisfies*

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_Z \lesssim \varepsilon_0.$$

Proposition 5.2 then follows by combining Lemmas 5.4–5.7.

6. SHARP DISPERSIVE ESTIMATE

In this section, we prove Lemma 5.3. To this end, we first prove a dispersive estimate for the linearized evolution operator $e^{t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|}$ defined in (5.3). We recall that P_k is the frequency-localization operator with symbol ψ_k defined in (2.8).

Lemma 6.1. *For $t > 0$ and $\varphi_0 \in L^2$, we have the linear dispersive estimates*

$$\|e^{2t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|} P_k \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} 2^{k/2} \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty_\xi} + (t+1)^{-3/4} 2^{-k/4} \left[2^k \|\partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2_\xi} + \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2_\xi} \right], \quad (6.1)$$

$$\|e^{2t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|} P_k \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} \max\{1, 2^{k/2}\} \|\varphi_0\|_{L^1}, \quad (6.2)$$

and, if φ_0 is sufficiently smooth, then

$$\|e^{2t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|} \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} \|\xi\|^{1/2} \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty_\xi} + (t+1)^{-3/4} \left[\|\xi\|^{3/4} \partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2_\xi} + \|\xi\|^{-1/4} \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2_\xi} \right]. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. Using the inverse Fourier transform, we can write the solution as

$$e^{2t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|} P_k \varphi_0 = \int e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log|\xi|)t} \psi_k(\xi) \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \, d\xi.$$

Since

$$\partial_\xi e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log|\xi|)t} = [ix + 2it(\log|\xi| + 1)] e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log|\xi|)t}, \quad (6.4)$$

we can integrate by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{2t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|} P_k \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} &= \left\| \int e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log|\xi|)t} \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \psi_k(\xi) \, d\xi \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \left\| \int \frac{1}{[ix + 2it(\log|\xi| + 1)]} \partial_\xi e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log|\xi|)t} \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \psi_k(\xi) \, d\xi \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \left\| \int e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log|\xi|)t} \partial_\xi \left(\frac{1}{[ix + 2it(\log|\xi| + 1)]} \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \psi_k(\xi) \right) \, d\xi \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \left\| \int e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log|\xi|)t} \left(\frac{-2it}{[\xi[ix + 2it(\log|\xi| + 1)]^2]} \hat{\varphi}_0 \psi_k(\xi) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{[ix + 2it(\log|\xi| + 1)]} \psi_k(\xi) \partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) + \frac{1}{[ix + 2it(\log|\xi| + 1)]} \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \psi'_k(\xi) \right) \, d\xi \right\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

1. If $|ix + 2it(\log|\xi| + 1)| \gtrsim (t+1)$, we use (2.9) and get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{2t\partial_x \log|\partial_x|} P_k \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+1)} \int \left| \xi^{-1} \hat{\varphi}_0 \psi_k(\xi) + \psi_k(\xi) \partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0 + \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \psi'_k(\xi) \right| \, d\xi \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+1)} \left[2^{-k} \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} \|\psi_k\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} \|\psi_k\|_{L^2} + \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} \|\partial_\xi \psi_k\|_{L^2} \right] \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(t+1)} \left[2^{-k/2} \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} + 2^{k/2} \|\partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} + 2^{-k/2} \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} \right] \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^{-k/2}}{(t+1)} \left[2^k \|\partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} + \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then (6.1) follows when $(t+1)^{-1} \lesssim 2^k$. Otherwise, when $t+1 \lesssim 2^{-k}$, we have

$$\|e^{2t\partial_x \log |\partial_x|} P_k \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^k \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty_\xi} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} 2^{k/2} \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty_\xi}.$$

2. Next we prove estimates for the case when $|ix + 2it(\log |\xi| + 1)| \ll (t+1)$. Let

$$\xi_0^\pm = \pm e^{-1-x/2t}$$

be the solutions of $x + 2t(\log |\xi| + 1) = 0$. Since ψ_k is supported in an annulus with radius around 2^k , we only need to consider the case when $|\xi_0^\pm| \approx 2^k$ and ψ_k is supported on the neighborhood of the stationary phase point ξ_0^\pm . We decompose the integral and estimate it as

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log |\xi|)t} \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \psi_k(\xi) d\xi \right| \lesssim \sum_{l \leq k+N} \left[|J_l^+| + |J_l^-| \right],$$

with

$$J_l^\pm = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi + 2i(\xi \log |\xi|)t} \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) \psi_k(\xi) \mathbf{1}_\pm(\xi) \psi_l(\xi - \xi_0^\pm) d\xi,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_\pm$ is the indicator function supported on \mathbb{R}_\pm and N is large enough that the support of ψ_k is covered by the set $\bigcup_{l \leq k+N} \{\xi \mid \psi_l(\xi - \xi_0^\pm) = 1\}$.

When $2^l \leq 2^{k/2}(t+1)^{-1/2}$, we have

$$|J_l^\pm| \lesssim 2^l \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{k/2}(t+1)^{-1/2} \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty}.$$

When $2^{k/2}(t+1)^{-1/2} \leq 2^l \leq 2^{k+N}$, since $|\xi - \xi_0| \approx 2^l$ and $|\xi_0| \approx 2^k$, we get the estimate

$$x + 2t(\log |\xi| + 1) = 2t \log \left| \frac{\xi}{\xi_0} \right| \approx 2t \log \left| 1 \pm \frac{2^l}{2^k} \right|.$$

Using (6.4) and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_l^\pm| &\lesssim \frac{2^{k-l}}{(t+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0(\xi)| + 2^{-l} |\hat{\varphi}_0(\xi)|) \psi_l(\xi - \xi_0^\pm) d\xi \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^{k-l}}{(t+1)} \|\hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{2^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(t+1)} \|\partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we take the sum of J_l over $2^l \geq 2^{k/2}(t+1)^{-1/2}$ to get the estimates (6.1) and (6.3).

3. To prove the last assertion (6.2), we write $e^{it\partial_x L} P_k \varphi_0 = \varphi_0 * G_k$, with

$$G_k = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\xi \log |\xi| + ix\xi} \psi_k(\xi) d\xi.$$

By (6.1), we obtain

$$\|G_k\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} 2^{k/2} + (t+1)^{-3/4} 2^{k/4} = (t+1)^{-1/2} 2^{k/2} (1 + (t+1)^{-1/4} 2^{-k/4}).$$

If $(t+1)2^k \geq 1$, then $\|G_k\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} 2^{k/2}$. If $(t+1)2^k < 1$, that is $k < 0$, then $\|G_k\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}$.

Therefore, $\|G\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} \max\{1, 2^{k/2}\}$, which implies (6.2). \square

Now we are able to complete the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We divide the proof into two parts: we first prove the localized version for high frequencies

$$2^{(r+2)k} \|P_k \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (t+1)^{-1/2}. \quad (6.5)$$

and then prove that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (t+1)^{-1/2}. \quad (6.6)$$

Lemma 5.3 then follows immediately from

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L\partial_x^{r+1}\varphi\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |k|2^{(r+1)k}\|P_k\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \\
&= \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 |k|2^{(r+1)k}\|P_k\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k2^{-k}2^{(r+2)k}\|P_k\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 |k|2^{(r+1)k} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k2^{-k}\varepsilon_1(t+1)^{-1/2} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon_1(t+1)^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (6.5), we write $P'_k := P_{[k-2, k+2]}$, and recall the definition of h in (5.3). It follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$\|P_k\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}2^{k/2}\|\widehat{P'_k h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_\xi} + (t+1)^{-3/4}2^{-k/4} \left[2^k\|\partial_\xi\widehat{P'_k h}(t)\|_{L^2_\xi} + \|\widehat{P'_k h}(t)\|_{L^2_\xi} \right]$$

For localized frequencies, we can rewrite the bootstrap assumptions as

$$\begin{aligned}
2^k\|\partial_\xi\widehat{P'_k h}(t)\|_{L^2_\xi} + \|\widehat{P'_k h}(t)\|_{H^s} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1(t+1)^{p_0}, \\
(2^k + 2^{(r+3)k})\|\widehat{P'_k h}(t)\|_{L^\infty_\xi} &\lesssim \varepsilon_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|P_k\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} \left(2^{(5/2+r)k} + 2^{k/2} \right)^{-1} \varepsilon_1 + (t+1)^{-3/4}2^{-k/4}\varepsilon_1(t+1)^{p_0},$$

which implies that

$$2^{(r+2)k}\|P_k\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1 + (t+1)^{-3/4}2^{(r+7/4)k}\varepsilon_1(t+1)^{p_0}.$$

It is clear that (6.5) holds if $(t+1) \geq 2^{5(r+7/4)k}$. When $(t+1) \leq 2^{5(r+7/4)k}$, we must have $k \geq 0$. Combining (6.2) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{(r+2)k}\|P_k\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{(r+2)k}(t+1)^{-1/2}2^{k/2}\|P_k h\|_{L^1} \\
&\lesssim 2^{(r+2)k}(t+1)^{-1/2}2^{-k/2}\|\widehat{P_k h}(t)\|_{L^2_\xi}^{1/2} [2^k\|\partial\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi} + \|P_k h\|_{L^2}]^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim 2^{(r+2)k}(t+1)^{-1/2}2^{-k/2-sk/2-rk/2}\|P_k\varphi(t)\|_{H^s}^{1/2}\varepsilon_1^{1/2}(t+1)^{p_0/2} \\
&\lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1 2^{(r+2)k-k/2-sk/2-rk/2+5(r+7/4)p_0k} \\
&\lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (6.5).

As for (6.6), when $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\|P_k\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-k}2^{(r+2)k}\|P_k\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-k}(t+1)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1.$$

Thus, we only need to prove the decay for $|\xi| < 1$. Let $P_{(a,b)}$ denote the projection operator onto frequencies $\{\xi : a < |\xi| < b\}$. We consider the following two cases.

- If $(t+1)^{-1} < |\xi| < 1$, then we use (6.3), Sobolev embeddings, interpolation inequalities, and the bootstrap assumptions to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{((t+1)^{-1}, 1)}\varphi\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} \|\xi^{1/2}\hat{h}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} + (t+1)^{-3/4} [\|\xi^{3/4}\partial_\xi\hat{h}\|_{L_\xi^2} + \|\xi^{-1/4}\hat{h}\|_{L_\xi^2}] \\ &\lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2} \|h\|_{H^2} + (t+1)^{-1/2} \|\xi|\partial_\xi\hat{h}\|_{L_\xi^2} + (t+1)^{-3/4} \| |D|^{-1/2}h\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|h\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim (t+1)^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

- When $|\xi| < (t+1)^{-1}$, we have

$$\|P_{(0, (t+1)^{-1})}\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{L_\xi^1(|\xi| < (t+1)^{-1})} \lesssim \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{L^2} (t+1)^{-1/2} \lesssim \varepsilon_1 (t+1)^{-1/2}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.3. \square

7. SCALING-GALILEAN ESTIMATE

In this section, we prove the scaling-Galilean estimate in Lemma 5.5.

First, we summarize some commutator identities for the scaling-Galilean operator \mathcal{S} defined in (5.2) and $L = \log|\partial_x|$. The straightforward proofs follow by use of the Fourier transform and are omitted.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\varphi(x, t)$ be a Schwartz distribution on \mathbb{R}^2 such that $L\varphi(x, t)$ is a Schwartz distribution. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{S}, \partial_x]\varphi &= -\partial_x\varphi, & [\mathcal{S}, L]\varphi &= -\varphi, & [\mathcal{S}, L\partial_x]\varphi &= -\varphi_x - L\partial_x\varphi, \\ [\mathcal{S}, \partial_t]\varphi &= -2\partial_x\varphi - \partial_t\varphi, & [\mathcal{S}, \partial_t - 2L\partial_x]\varphi &= -\partial_t\varphi + 2L\partial_x\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the weighted energy estimate for $\mathcal{S}\varphi$.

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Applying \mathcal{S} to equation (3.7) and using Lemma 7.1, we get

$$(\mathcal{S}\varphi)_t - 2L\partial_x(\mathcal{S}\varphi) + \partial_x T_{B^0[\varphi]}\mathcal{S}\varphi + L[T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\mathcal{S}\varphi]_x + \mathcal{SR} = \text{commutators},$$

where the commutators include $\partial_x[\mathcal{S}, T_{B^0[\varphi]}\varphi]$, $[\mathcal{S}, \partial_x]T_{B^0[\varphi]}\varphi$, $[\mathcal{S}, L\partial_x](T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\varphi)$, $L\partial_x([\mathcal{S}, T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\varphi])$.

By the commutator estimate Lemma 2.1 and (3.9), we obtain that when $k \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x[\mathcal{S}, T_{B^0[\varphi]}\varphi]\|_{H^k} &\lesssim F(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})\|\varphi\|_{W^{k+1,\infty}}\|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r} \\ &\lesssim F(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})^2\|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|[\mathcal{S}, \partial_x]T_{B^0[\varphi]}\varphi\|_{H^k} = \| -T_{B^0[\varphi]}\varphi\|_{H^k} \lesssim F(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})^2\|\varphi\|_{H^k},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\mathcal{S}, L\partial_x](T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\varphi)\|_{H^k} &= \|(T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\varphi)_x + L\partial_x(T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\varphi)\|_{H^k} \\ &\lesssim F(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})^2(\|\varphi\|_{H^{k+1}} + \|L\varphi\|_{H^{k+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\| L\partial_x([\mathcal{S}, T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]}\varphi]) \right\|_{H^k} \lesssim F(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})(\|L\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}})^2\|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r}.$$

Thus, the evolution equation for $\mathcal{S}\varphi$ can be written as

$$(\mathcal{S}\varphi)_t + \partial_x T_{B^0[\varphi]}\mathcal{S}\varphi + \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{S} = L[(2 - T_{B^{\log}[\varphi]})\mathcal{S}\varphi],$$

where the remainder $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{S}$ satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{S}\|_{H^r} \lesssim (\|\varphi\|_{W^{r+1,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{r+1,\infty}})^2\|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r}.$$

As in (4.1), we define a weighted energy for $\mathcal{S}\varphi$ by

$$E_S^{(j)}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |D|^j \mathcal{S}\varphi(x, t) \cdot \left(2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}}\right)^{2j+1} |D|^j \mathcal{S}\varphi(x, t) dx, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, r,$$

$$\tilde{E}_S^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^k E_S^{(j)}(t)$$

and repeat similar estimates to the ones in the proof of Proposition 4.1 to get

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_S^{(j)}(t) \lesssim (\|\varphi\|_{W^{r+1, \infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{r+1, \infty}})^2 \|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^j}^2$$

By Lemma 5.3, we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_S^{(j)}(t) \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 (t+1)^{-1} \tilde{E}_S^{(j)}(t),$$

so

$$\tilde{E}_S^{(j)}(t) \lesssim \varepsilon_0^2 (t+1)^{p_0}.$$

The lemma then follows from the equivalence of $\tilde{E}_S^{(r)}$ and $\|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r}$ when $\|2 - T_{B^{\log[\varphi]}}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$ is bounded away from zero. \square

8. NONLINEAR DISPERSIVE ESTIMATE

In this section, we prove the estimate in Lemma 5.7 for the Z -fnorm $\|\varphi\|_Z$ defined in (5.4).

When $|\xi| < (t+1)^{-p_0}$, Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t)|^2 &\lesssim (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})^2 |\xi|^{-1} \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{L_\xi^2} (|\xi| \|\partial_\xi \hat{\varphi}\|_{L_\xi^2} + \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{L_\xi^2}) \\ &\lesssim (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \|\varphi\|_{L^2} (\|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p_1 = 10^{-6}$. When $|\xi| \geq (t+1)^{p_1}$, Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t)|^2 &\lesssim \frac{|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}}{|\xi|^s} \|\varphi\|_{H^s} (\|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim |\xi|^{r+3-s} \varepsilon_0^2 (t+1)^{2p_0} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we only need to consider the frequency range

$$(t+1)^{-p_0} \leq |\xi| \leq (t+1)^{p_1}. \quad (8.1)$$

In the following, we fix ξ in this range.

Taking the Fourier transform of (3.5), we obtain

$$\hat{\varphi}_t + \frac{1}{6} i \xi \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 + \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)} = 2i\xi \log |\xi| \hat{\varphi}, \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) &= -\eta_1^2 \log |\eta_1| - \eta_2^2 \log |\eta_2| - \eta_3^2 \log |\eta_3| - (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)^2 \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3| \\ &\quad + \left\{ (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2 \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2| + (\eta_1 + \eta_3)^2 \log |\eta_1 + \eta_3| + (\eta_2 + \eta_3)^2 \log |\eta_2 + \eta_3| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\eta_1}[\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)] &= -2\{\eta_1 \log |\eta_1| - (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2| \\ &\quad + (\xi - \eta_1) \log |\xi - \eta_1| - (\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|\}, \\ \partial_{\eta_2}[\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)] &= -2\{\eta_2 \log |\eta_2| - (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2| \\ &\quad + (\xi - \eta_2) \log |\xi - \eta_2| - (\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|\}.\end{aligned}$$

As proved in Lemma A.4 of [29], the function \mathbf{T}_1 satisfies the inequality

$$|\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)| \lesssim |\eta_{\min_1}| |\eta_{\min_2}| \log \left(1 + \left| \frac{\eta_{\max_2}}{\eta_{\min_2}} \right| \right) \quad \text{for all } \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (8.3)$$

where $(\eta_{\min_1}, \eta_{\min_2}, \eta_{\max_2}, \eta_{\max_1})$ is a permutation of $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)$ such that

$$|\eta_{\min_1}| \leq |\eta_{\min_2}| \leq |\eta_{\max_2}| \leq |\eta_{\max_1}|.$$

This sharp estimate for \mathbf{T}_1 indicates a cancelation of derivatives in the cubic term that is stronger than the one given by Lemma 3.1.

Recall that we define $h = e^{-2t\partial_x \log |\partial_x|} \varphi$ by (5.3). Then, from (8.2), we find that \hat{h} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}_t + \frac{1}{6} i \xi \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \\ + e^{-2it\xi \log |\xi|} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)} = 0,\end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

where

$$\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) = 2(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| + 2\eta_1 \log |\eta_1| + 2\eta_2 \log |\eta_2| - 2\xi \log |\xi|. \quad (8.5)$$

We want to estimate $(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})|\hat{h}(t, \xi)|$ for ξ in the range (8.1) and $t \in [0, T]$. To this end, we only need to show that the integrals

$$I_1 = \int_0^T \xi (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dt, \quad (8.6)$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^T (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) e^{-2it\xi \log |\xi|} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)} dt \quad (8.7)$$

are bounded uniformly with respect to ξ and T , and to do this we need to show that the nonlinear terms in the evolution equation decay sufficiently rapidly in time to give estimates that are integrable with respect to t on \mathbb{R}_+ .

In the following, we will first estimate the cubic term (8.6). The more straightforward estimate of the higher-degree remainder (8.7) is given in Section 8.8.

8.1. Cubic resonances. The first and second order partial derivatives of Φ in (8.5) with respect to η_1, η_2 are

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi &= 2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|, & \partial_{\eta_2} \Phi &= 2 \log |\eta_2| - 2 \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|, \\ \partial_{\eta_1}^2 \Phi &= \frac{2}{\eta_1} + \frac{2}{\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2}, & \partial_{\eta_1 \eta_2}^2 \Phi &= \frac{2}{\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2}, & \partial_{\eta_2}^2 \Phi &= \frac{2}{\eta_2} + \frac{2}{\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Time resonances occur when $\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) = 0$ and the time-frequencies of the Fourier components match, while space resonances occur when $\Phi_{\eta_1}(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) = \Phi_{\eta_2}(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) = 0$ and the group velocities match. We find that the space-time resonances, where both occur simultaneously, correspond to $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \xi$, $\eta_1 = -\eta_2 = \xi$, and $\eta_1 = -\eta_2 = -\xi$. In addition, there is a space resonance at $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \xi/3$. For fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote these resonance points in the (η_1, η_2) -plane by

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 = (\xi, \xi), \quad \mathfrak{R}_2 = (\xi, -\xi), \quad \mathfrak{R}_3 = (-\xi, -\xi), \quad \mathfrak{R}_4 = (\xi/3, \xi/3).$$

We introduce constant parameters

$$a_1 = -0.249, \quad a_2 = -0.374, \quad a_3 = -0.42, \quad q_1 = -0.49, \quad q_2 = -0.2 \quad (8.8)$$

and, for fixed ξ , define

$$\delta(t) = (t+1)^{a_3}\mu, \quad j(t) = (t+1)^{q_1}\mu, \quad \varrho(t) = (t+1)^{q_2}\mu, \quad \mu = \min\left\{\frac{|\xi|}{10}, 1\right\}.$$

Since $0 > a_1 > a_2 > a_3$, we have

$$(t+1)^{a_1}\mu \geq (t+1)^{a_2}\mu \geq (t+1)^{a_3}\mu.$$

We decompose the (η_1, η_2) -plane as illustrated in Figure 8.1, with a partition around the low frequencies that is shown in Figure 8.1, and a partition around each of the resonance points that is shown in Figure 8.2.

For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$, we define the near-resonant sets A_i, B_i, D_i^j by

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : |(\eta_1, \eta_2) - \mathfrak{R}_i| \leq \mu\}, \\ B_i &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : |(\eta_1, \eta_2) - \mathfrak{R}_i| \leq \delta(t)\}, \\ D_i^1 &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : (t+1)^{a_1}\mu \leq |(\eta_1, \eta_2) - \mathfrak{R}_i| \leq \mu\}, \\ D_i^2 &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : (t+1)^{a_2}\mu \leq |(\eta_1, \eta_2) - \mathfrak{R}_i| \leq (t+1)^{a_1}\mu\}, \\ D_i^3 &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) : (t+1)^{a_3}\mu \leq |(\eta_1, \eta_2) - \mathfrak{R}_i| \leq (t+1)^{a_2}\mu\}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

and we define the high-frequency set by

$$Q = \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \max\{|\eta_1|, |\eta_2|, |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|\} > (1 + 2|\xi|)(t+1)^\lambda\},$$

where $\lambda = 10^{-3}$.

We define the low-frequency sets by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in Q^c : |\eta_1| < j(t)\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in Q^c : |\eta_2| < j(t)\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in Q^c : |\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \xi| < j(t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{12} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{L}_{13} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_3, \quad \mathcal{L}_{23} = \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_3.$$

We also define the sets X_{ij} to be X -shaped neighborhoods of \mathcal{L}_{ij} with radius $\mu(t+1)^{q_2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{12} &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 : \sqrt{|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2} < \varrho(t)\}, \\ X_{13} &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_3 : \sqrt{|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2 - \xi|^2} < \varrho(t)\}, \\ X_{23} &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3 : \sqrt{|\eta_1 - \xi|^2 + |\eta_2|^2} < \varrho(t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

and (see Figure 8.1)

$$\mathcal{L}_1^0 = \mathcal{L}_1 \setminus (X_{12} \cup X_{13}), \quad \mathcal{L}_2^0 = \mathcal{L}_2 \setminus (X_{12} \cup X_{23}), \quad \mathcal{L}_3^0 = \mathcal{L}_3 \setminus (X_{13} \cup X_{23}).$$

We further define the exterior sets, away from the resonant, high, and low frequencies, by

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi &= Q^c \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_i \right) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_i \right), \\ \Xi_1 &= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi : |\eta_2 - \xi| > \mu/10, \text{ and } |2\eta_1 + \eta_2 - \xi| > \mu/10\}, \\ \Xi_2 &= \Xi \setminus \Xi_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{Q, \Xi, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, \mathcal{L}_1^0, \mathcal{L}_2^0, \mathcal{L}_3^0, X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{23}\}$ covers \mathbb{R}^2 .

By use of a partition of unity, we only need to estimate the integrals

$$\int_0^T \xi(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d}(\xi, t) \mathfrak{b}(\eta_1, \eta_2) \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dt, \quad (8.10)$$

where, $\mathfrak{d}(\xi, t)$ is a smooth cut-off function compactly supported on a small neighborhood of

$$\{(\xi, t) : (t+1)^{-p_0} < |\xi| < (t+1)^{p_1}\},$$

and \mathfrak{b} is a smooth cut-off function compactly supported on a small neighborhood of each domain. In the following, we use $\mathfrak{b}_Q, \mathfrak{b}_\Xi, \mathfrak{b}_{A_j}, \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}_i^0}, \mathfrak{b}_{X_{ij}}$ to denote cut-off functions for the subscripted domains.

In the following, we will estimate the integral (8.10) on each subset of the (η_1, η_2) -plane. In Section 8.2, we estimate the integral on Q for high frequencies. In Section 8.3, we estimate the integral on the low-frequency regions \mathcal{L}_i . The integrals for \mathcal{L}_i^0 can be bounded by the Z -norm and one low-frequency term, while the integrals for X_{ij} can be bounded by the Z -norm and two low-frequency terms. In Section 8.4, we estimate the integral on Ξ , away from the space resonance points, using oscillatory integral estimates with respect to the frequency variables together with multilinear estimates to get sufficient time decay. In Section 8.5, we estimate the integral in the annuli $A_i \setminus B_i$ about the resonance points. In this part, we use the time decay from the oscillatory integral estimates, but we pay the price of a worse estimate for the symbol, which is singular at the resonance points. We compensate for this by using the shrinking of the inner radius of each annulus in time. In Section 8.6, we estimate the integral in a shrinking disc B_4 about the space resonance point by an oscillatory integral estimate with respect to the time variable and use of the equation to eliminate the time-derivative of the solution. Finally, in Section 8.7, we estimate the integral on shrinking discs B_j about the space-time resonance points, which requires the introduction of a phase correction to account for the long-time, modified scattering of the waves.

8.2. High frequencies. We first estimate the integral on the set Q .

We can estimate the nonlinear terms above by using Lemma 2.4, with the L^∞ -norm placed on the lowest derivative term. There are, in total, $r+6=10$ derivatives shared on three factors of φ . Thus, we can ensure that the lowest derivative term has at most has three derivatives, with or without a logarithmic derivative.

Therefore, using Lemma 5.3 and the bootstrap assumptions, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) |\xi| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{b}_Q \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\ & \lesssim t^{(r+7-s)\lambda} \|\varphi\|_{L^2} (\|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}) \|\varphi\|_{H^s} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{2p_0-1/2-1.1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to t .

8.3. Low frequencies. In this subsection, we estimate (8.10) around the sets $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ and \mathcal{L}_3 . First, we consider the sets \mathcal{L}_j^0 , then we consider X_{jk} .

If $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}_1^0}$, then $|\eta_1|$ is the smallest element of $\{|\eta_1|, |\eta_2|, |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|, |\xi|\}$. For definiteness, we suppose that $|\eta_2| \leq |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|$ and define

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{0,a} = \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathcal{L}_1^0 : |\xi| \leq |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_1^{0,b} = \mathcal{L}_1^0 \setminus \mathcal{L}_1^{0,a}.$$

The other cases can be discussed similarly.




FIGURE 8.1. Left: An illustration of the different regions of the (η_1, η_2) -plane when $\xi > 0$. The three points (ξ, ξ) , $(\xi, -\xi)$, $(-\xi, \xi)$ correspond to space-time resonances and $(\xi/3, \xi/3)$ corresponds to a space resonance. Their neighborhoods are denoted by A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , and A_4 , respectively. The blue belt is the neighborhood of $\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi = 0$, while the pink belt is the neighborhood of $\partial_{\eta_2} \Phi = 0$. The region Q corresponds to high frequencies, the green belts to low frequencies, and Ξ to the remaining frequencies. Right: The decomposition of the low frequencies. The light green belts are the \mathcal{L}_i^0 and the dark green X -shape regions are the X_{ij} .

Around the set $\mathcal{L}_1^{0,a}$, $|\eta_1| \leq \min\{|\eta_2|, |\xi|\} \leq \max\{|\eta_2|, |\xi|\} \leq |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|$. By (8.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\xi(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \mathbf{T}_1| \\ & \lesssim |\xi(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})| \cdot |\eta_1| |\eta_2| \log \left(1 + \frac{(1 + 2|\xi|)(t+1)^\lambda}{\min\{|\eta_2|, |\xi|\}} \right) \\ & \lesssim (t+1)^{\lambda(r+3)} |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| |\eta_1| |\eta_2| \log \left(1 + \frac{(1 + 2|\xi|)(t+1)^\lambda}{\min\{|\eta_2|, |\xi|\}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality then implies that (8.10) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \mathfrak{D}(\xi, t) \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}_1^{0,a}}(\eta_1, \eta_2) (t+1)^{(r+3)\lambda} |\eta_1|^{2-\iota} \log \left(1 + \frac{(1 + 2|\xi|)(t+1)^\lambda}{\min\{|\eta_2|, |\xi|\}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. [|\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)] \cdot [|\eta_1|^{-(1-\iota)} \hat{h}(\eta_1)] \cdot [|\eta_2| \hat{h}(\eta_2)] \right| d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^T \| |\eta_1|^{-(1-\iota)} \hat{h}(\eta_1) \|_{L_{\eta_1}^2} \left(\int_0^{j(t)} |\eta_1|^{2(2-\iota)} d\eta_1 \right)^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_Z^2 (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda} \log(1+t) dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^T \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{2p_0 + (r+4)\lambda + (2.5-\iota)q_1} dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^T \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{-1.2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly bounded with respect to T .

Around the set $\mathcal{L}_1^{0,b}$, we have $|\eta_1| \leq |\eta_2| \leq |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| \leq |\xi|$ and $|\eta_{\min_2}| = |\eta_2|$. By (8.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\xi(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})\mathbf{T}_1| \\
& \lesssim (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda} |\eta_1| |\eta_2| \log \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|}{|\eta_2|} \right) \\
& \lesssim (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda} |\eta_1| |\eta_2| \max \left\{ 1, \left| \log \left(\frac{|\xi|}{|\eta_2|} \right) \right| \right\} \\
& \lesssim (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda} |\eta_1|^{0.9999} |\eta_2| \max \left\{ 1, |\log |\xi||, |\eta_2|^{0.0001} |\log |\eta_2|| \right\} \\
& \lesssim (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda} |\eta_1|^{0.9999} |\eta_2| (t+1)^{0.0002\lambda}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

From the definition of \mathcal{L}_1^0 , we have $|\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| \geq \varrho(t)$, and by Hölder inequality, (8.10) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \mathfrak{d}(\xi, t) \mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{L}_1^{0,b}}(\eta_1, \eta_2) (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda + 0.0002\lambda} |\eta_1|^{1.9989} |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. [|\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)] \cdot [|\eta_1|^{-0.999} \hat{h}(\eta_1)] \cdot [|\eta_2| \hat{h}(\eta_2)] \right| d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dt \\
& \lesssim \int_0^T \| |\eta_1|^{-0.999} \hat{h}(\eta_1) \|_{L_{\eta_1}^2} \left(\int_0^{j(t)} |\eta_1|^{1.9989 \cdot 2} d\eta_1 \right)^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 (t+1)^{(r+5.0002)\lambda} [\varrho(t)]^{-1} dt \\
& \lesssim \int_0^T \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{2p_0 + (r+5.0002)\lambda + 2.4989q_1 - q_2} dt \\
& \lesssim \int_0^T \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{-1.015} dt
\end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly bounded with respect to T .

If $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_{X_{13}}$, then either (a) $|\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| \lesssim \varrho(t)$ and $|\eta_1| \leq j(t)$; or (b) $|\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| \lesssim j(t)$ and $|\eta_1| \leq \varrho(t)$. We denote the corresponding integration regions by X_{13}^a or X_{13}^b , respectively. In the following, we estimate the integral around X_{13}^a . The estimate for X_{13}^b is similar.

For X_{13}^a , we find from (8.11) and Hölder's inequality, that (8.10) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \mathfrak{d}(\xi, t) \mathbf{b}_{X_{13}^a}(\eta_1, \eta_2) (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda} |\eta_1|^{1.9989} |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|^{0.999} (t+1)^{0.0002\lambda} \right. \\
& \quad \left. [|\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|^{-0.999} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)] \cdot [|\eta_1|^{-0.999} \hat{h}(\eta_1)] \cdot [|\eta_2| \hat{h}(\eta_2)] \right| d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dt \\
& \lesssim \int_0^T \|h\|_{\dot{H}^{-(1-\nu)}}^2 \|h\|_{\mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_0^{j(t)} |\eta_1|^{1.9989 \times 2} d\eta_1 \right)^{1/2} \left| \int_0^{\varrho(t)} |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|^{0.999 \times 2} d(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \right|^{1/2} \\
& \quad (t+1)^{(r+4)\lambda + 0.0002\lambda} dt \\
& \lesssim \int_0^T \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{2p_0 + (r+4)\lambda + 2.4989q_1 + 1.499q_2 + 0.0002\lambda} dt \\
& \lesssim \int_0^T \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{-1.5} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly bounded with respect to T .

The integrals for to X_{12} and X_{23} can be estimated in a similar way.

8.4. Nonresonant frequencies. Next, we estimate (8.6) in Ξ . Since (8.6) is symmetric in (η_1, η_2) and (Ξ_1, Ξ_2) , we may assume that $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi_1$. The case when $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi_2$ is similar.

By the mean value theorem, we have

$$|\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi| = |2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| | \geq \frac{2 \min\{|\xi - \eta_2|, |\xi - \eta_2 - 2\eta_1|\}}{\max\{|\eta_1|, |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|\}} \geq \frac{2\mu}{\max\{|\eta_1|, |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|\}}.$$

If \mathbf{b}_{Ξ_1} is a smooth cut-off function for the region Ξ_1 , then it suffices to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} i\xi \mathfrak{d} \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \\ &= (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} i\xi \mathfrak{d} \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{it\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \partial_{\eta_1} e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \\ &= (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \partial_{\eta_1} \left[\mathfrak{d} \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{t\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right) \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \\ &= W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + W_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d} \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \xi e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \partial_{\eta_1} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{t\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2, \\ W_2 &= (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d} \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \xi e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{t\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\partial_{\eta_1} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2, \\ W_3 &= (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d} \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \xi e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{t\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \partial_{\eta_1} \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2, \\ W_4 &= (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d} \partial_{\eta_1} \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \xi e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{t\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2. \end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

We will estimate these terms by use of the multilinear estimate in Lemma 2.4.

(I) Estimate of W_2 and W_3 . The estimates for W_2 and W_3 are similar, and we only estimate W_2 here. Thus, we want to estimate the L^∞ -norm of

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d}(\xi, t) \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\partial_{\eta_1} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2. \tag{8.13}$$

We decompose \mathbf{T}_1 as

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^7 \mathbf{T}_{1,j},$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{1,j} = -\eta_j^2 \log |\eta_j|, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \mathbf{T}_{1,4} = -(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)^2 \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3| = -\xi^2 \log |\xi|,$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{1,5} = (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2 \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2|, \quad \mathbf{T}_{1,6} = (\eta_1 + \eta_3)^2 \log |\eta_1 + \eta_3|, \quad \mathbf{T}_{1,7} = (\eta_2 + \eta_3)^2 \log |\eta_2 + \eta_3|,$$

and denote by W_2^j the integral for W_2 in (8.12) with \mathbf{T}_1 replaced by $\mathbf{T}_{1,j}$.

First, consider the case $j \neq 4$. We want to estimate the L^∞ -norm of the integral in (8.13) with \mathbf{T}_1 replaced by $\mathbf{T}_{1,j}$. In order to do this, it suffices to estimate the L_x^1 -norm of the inverse Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} \mathfrak{d}(\xi, t) \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_{1,j}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)} \right] \\ & \quad \left[(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \partial_{\eta_1} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variable $\eta_3 = \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2$, we can write the above integral as a trilinear operator of the form (2.11) acting on $(\partial_\eta \hat{h}, \hat{h}, \hat{h})$,

$$\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)} \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1} e^{it\Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_{1,j}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2) \eta_3} \right] \left[\eta_3 \partial_{\eta_3} \hat{h}(\eta_3) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3,$$

whose symbol is

$$\mathfrak{d}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, t) \mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_{1,j}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)}{2(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_3|) \eta_3} \right].$$

According to Lemma 2.4, this trilinear operator is bounded on $L^2 \times L^2 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1$ if its symbol is bounded in $W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}$. Since $W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}$ is an algebra, we can bound the norm of the symbol by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1} e^{it\Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_{1,j}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)}{2(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_3|) \eta_3} \right] \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \mathbf{T}_{1,j} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \left\| (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \frac{1}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left\| (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \frac{1}{\eta_3} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for $j \neq 4$ each term $\mathbf{T}_{1,j}$ depends on at most two different variables. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \mathbf{T}_{1,j}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}}^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \mathbf{T}_{1,j} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_{\eta_1}^2 ((\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \mathbf{T}_{1,j}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_{\eta_2}^2 ((\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \mathbf{T}_{1,j}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_{\eta_3}^2 ((\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \mathbf{T}_{1,j}) \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since the smooth function \mathfrak{b}_{Ξ_1} is compactly supported and each term $\mathbf{T}_{1,j}$ here has the expression of the form $\eta^2 \log |\eta|$, $|\partial_{\eta_k}^2 \mathbf{T}_{1,j}|^2$ is integrable and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} |\partial_{\eta_k}^2 \mathbf{T}_{1,j}|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \lesssim (t+1)^{4\lambda},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} |\mathbf{T}_{1,j}|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \lesssim (t+1)^{8\lambda}.$$

By Lemma 2.6,

$$\left\| (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \frac{1}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \lesssim (t+1)^{2p_1} \mu^{-3}, \quad (8.14)$$

and by Lemma 2.7

$$\left\| (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1})^{1/3} \frac{1}{\eta_3} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \lesssim (t+1)^{2\lambda} [\rho(t)]^{-1} = \mu^{-1} (t+1)^{q_1 + 2\lambda} \leq (t+1)^{q_1 + 2\lambda + p_0}. \quad (8.15)$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1} e^{it\Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_{1,j}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right] \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \lesssim \mu^{-3} (t+1)^{4\lambda + 2p_1}.$$

We recall from (5.5) that

$$\|\xi \partial_\xi \hat{h}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon_0 (t+1)^{p_0}.$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_2^j\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \mu^{-3}(t+1)^{-1+6\lambda+(r+6)p_1} [j(t)]^{-1} \|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r} \|\varphi\|_{H^s} (\|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3(t+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{2}+5p_0+6\lambda+(r+6)p_1+q_1+p_0} = \varepsilon_1^3(t+1)^{-1.0034}, \end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to t .

For $j = 4$, we see that $\mathbf{T}_{1,4}$ depends only on ξ , and we write the integral (8.13) with \mathbf{T}_1 replaced by $\mathbf{T}_{1,4}$ as

$$|\xi|^2 \log |\xi| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d}\mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{1}{(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \partial_{\eta_1} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2.$$

So we need to estimate the integral

$$\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3)} \mathfrak{d}\mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} e^{it\Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{1}{\eta_3 \partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\eta_3 \partial_{\eta_3} \hat{h}(\eta_3) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3,$$

with the symbol

$$\mathfrak{d}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, t) \mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{1}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right].$$

By (8.14), (8.15) and Lemma 2.4, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_2^4\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \mu^{-3}(t+1)^{-1+6\lambda+(r+6)p_1} [j(t)]^{-1} \|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r} \|\varphi\|_{H^s} (\|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3(t+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{2}+5p_0+6\lambda+(r+6)p_1-q_1+p_0} = \varepsilon_1^3(t+1)^{-1.0034}, \end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to t .

(II) Estimate of W_4 . Using Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_4\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \mu^{-3}(t+1)^{-1+4\lambda+(r+6)p_1} \|\varphi\|_{H^r} \|\varphi\|_{H^s} (\|L\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_1^3(t+1)^{-1-\frac{1}{2}+5p_0+4\lambda+(r+6)p_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to t .

(III) Estimate of W_1 . We first calculate the symbol

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\eta_1} \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)} &= - \frac{1}{\log |\eta_1| - \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|} \cdot \left\{ \eta_1 \log |\eta_1| - (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\xi - \eta_1) \log |\xi - \eta_1| - (\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\frac{1}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2}}{2(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|)^2} \cdot \left\{ -\eta_1^2 \log |\eta_1| - \eta_2^2 \log |\eta_2| - \xi^2 \log |\xi| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)^2 \log |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2| + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2 \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\xi - \eta_2)^2 \log |\xi - \eta_2| + (\xi - \eta_1)^2 \log |\xi - \eta_1| \right\} \\ &= \kappa_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) - \frac{\kappa_2(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = - \frac{\eta_1 \log |\eta_1| - (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2| + (\eta_2 + \eta_3) \log |\eta_2 + \eta_3| - \eta_3 \log |\eta_3|}{\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_3|},$$

and

$$\kappa_2(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) \frac{\frac{1}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{\eta_3}}{(\log |\eta_1| - \log |\eta_3|)^2}.$$

Since $\|W_1\|_{L^\infty_\xi} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}W_1\|_{L^1}$, it suffices to consider the following trilinear Fourier integral operator

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\xi} \mathfrak{d}\mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \\ & \quad \cdot \partial_{\eta_1} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{|\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|^{(1-\iota)}} \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\eta_1)}{|\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)}} \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and we need to estimate

$$\left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} |\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_1 \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} , \quad \left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} |\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_2 \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} .$$

Using the following algebraic inequality in the definition (2.10) of the $W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}$ -norm,

$$(1 + y_1^2)^{1/4^+} (1 + y_2^2)^{1/4^+} (1 + y_3^2)^{1/4^+} \lesssim 1 + |y_1| + |y_2| + |y_3| + |y_1 y_2| + |y_2 y_3| + |y_3 y_1|,$$

we see that to estimate $\|\mathfrak{d}\mathbf{b}_{\Xi_1} \kappa_i\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}}$, it suffices to estimate the L^2 -norm of the functions

$$\begin{aligned} & |\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_i, \partial_{\eta_1} (|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_i), \partial_{\eta_2} (|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_i), \partial_{\eta_3} (|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_i), \\ & \partial_{\eta_1} \partial_{\eta_2} (|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_i), \partial_{\eta_1} \partial_{\eta_3} (|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_i), \partial_{\eta_2} \partial_{\eta_3} (|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \kappa_i). \end{aligned}$$

on the set

$$\Xi_1^* = \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) : (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi_1 \text{ and } (t+1)^{-p_0} \leq \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 \leq (t+1)^{p_1} \right\}.$$

By direct calculation, we find that each term is in $L^2(\Xi_1^*)$, with norms can be bounded by $(t+1)^{3p_0+8\lambda+2p_1}$. For example, after taking out the $W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}$ -norm of $1/(\log|\eta_1| - \log|\eta_3|)^2$, we can estimate the most singular term by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\iiint_{\Xi_1^*} \left| \partial_{\eta_1} \partial_{\eta_3} (|\eta_1|^{-\iota} |\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} \mathbf{T}_1) \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\iiint_{\Xi_1^*} \left| \frac{\mathbf{T}_1}{|\eta_1|^{1+\iota} |\eta_3|^\iota} \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\iiint_{\Xi_1^*} \left| |\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} |\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} \partial_{\eta_1} \partial_{\eta_3} \mathbf{T}_1 \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \left(\iiint_{\Xi_1^*} \left| \frac{|\eta_1|^{(1-\iota)} \partial_{\eta_1} \mathbf{T}_1}{|\eta_3|^\iota} \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\iiint_{\Xi_1^*} \left| \frac{|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} \partial_{\eta_3} \mathbf{T}_1}{|\eta_1|^{1+\iota}} \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can use (8.3) to estimate the first term on the right

$$\left(\iiint_{\Xi_1^*} \left| \frac{\mathbf{T}_1}{|\eta_1|^{1+\iota} |\eta_3|^\iota} \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim (t+1)^{4\lambda}.$$

The second and the third terms can also be estimated by $(t+1)^{4\lambda}$. As for the last term, the factor $1/|\eta_1|^{2(1+\iota)}$ is not integrable at $\eta_1 = 0$, but we get a cancelation from $\partial_{\eta_3} \mathbf{T}_1$. By direct computation, we have that

$$\partial_{\eta_3} \mathbf{T}_1 = -2 \left[\eta_3 \log |\eta_3| + (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) \log |\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3| - (\eta_1 + \eta_3) \log |\eta_1 + \eta_3| - (\eta_2 + \eta_3) \log |\eta_2 + \eta_3| \right],$$

and by L'Hôpital's rule that

$$\lim_{\eta_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial_{\eta_3} \mathbf{T}_1}{|\eta_1|^{\frac{2}{3}}} = \lim_{\eta_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{4}{3} |\eta_1|^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[\log |\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3| - \log |\eta_1 + \eta_3| \right] = 0,$$

so it follows that

$$\left(\iiint_{\Xi_1^*} \left| \frac{|\eta_3|^{(1-\iota)} \partial_{\eta_3} \mathbf{T}_1}{|\eta_1|^{1+\iota}} \right|^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim (t+1)^{4\lambda}.$$

We then have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_{\Xi_1} \xi t^{-1} \partial_{\eta_1} \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)} [\hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2)] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\xi \right| \\
& \lesssim (t+1)^{-1+3p_0+8\lambda+(r+6)p_1} \|\varphi\|_{H^{-(1-\iota)}}^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_0^3 (t+1)^{-1.5+(r+6)p_1+5p_0+8\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to t .




FIGURE 8.2. The frequency space partition near the space-time resonances $\mathfrak{R}_1, \mathfrak{R}_2, \mathfrak{R}_3$, and space resonance \mathfrak{R}_4 .

8.5. Near the space resonances. In this subsection, we estimate the integral on an annulus $A_i \setminus B_i$ about each of the space resonance points \mathfrak{R}_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We can improve the decay estimate obtained in the last subsection by choosing the inner radius to decay in time and will prove this claim by an iteration argument.

As illustrated in Figure 8.2, we decompose $A_i \setminus B_i$ into three annuli D_i^j as in (8.9), and further decompose each D_i^j into two parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_1^{j,1} &:= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_1^j \mid |\eta_2 - \xi| \geq |\eta_1 - \xi|\}, \\
 D_1^{j,2} &:= \{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_1^j \mid |\eta_2 - \xi| \leq |\eta_1 - \xi|\}, \\
 D_2^{j,1} &:= \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_2^j \mid \frac{\eta_2 + \xi}{\eta_1 - \xi} \in (-\infty, -3) \cup (-1, \infty) \right\}, \\
 D_2^{j,2} &:= \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_2^j \mid -3 < \frac{\eta_2 + \xi}{\eta_1 - \xi} < -1 \right\}, \\
 D_3^{j,1} &:= \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_3^j \mid \frac{\eta_2 - \xi}{\eta_1 + \xi} \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{3}) \cup (1, \infty) \right\}, \\
 D_3^{j,2} &:= \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_3^j \mid -\frac{1}{3} < \frac{\eta_2 - \xi}{\eta_1 + \xi} < 1 \right\}, \\
 D_4^{j,1} &:= \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_1^j \mid \left| \eta_2 - \frac{\xi}{3} \right| \leq \left| \eta_1 - \frac{\xi}{3} \right| \right\}, \\
 D_4^{j,2} &:= \left\{ (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in D_1^j \mid \left| \eta_2 - \frac{\xi}{3} \right| \geq \left| \eta_1 - \frac{\xi}{3} \right| \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The η_2 resonance is dominant in $D_i^{j,1}$, and we carry out an oscillatory integral estimate with respect to η_1 , while the η_1 resonance is dominant in $D_i^{j,2}$, and we carry out an oscillatory integral estimate with respect to η_2 .

In the region $D_i^{j,1}$, after integration by part with η_1 , we obtain four terms which are the same as the ones in (8.12), with a cut-off function $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1}$ that is compactly supported in a neighborhood of $D_i^{j,1}$. For W_2 and W_3 , we want to estimate

$$\left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \frac{1}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}}.$$

The singular set is $\{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi \mid |\eta_1| = |\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2|\}$, which consists of two straight lines. The closer line to the set $D_1^{j,1}$ and $D_3^{j,1}$ is $\eta_2 = \xi$, whereas the closer line to the set $D_2^{j,1}$ and $D_4^{j,1}$ is $2\eta_1 + \eta_2 = \xi$. The distance from $D_1^{j,1}$ to $\{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi \mid \eta_2 = \xi\}$ is of the order $\mu(t+1)^{a_j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. The same order holds for the distance from $D_2^{j,1}$ to $\{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi \mid 2\eta_1 + \eta_2 = \xi\}$, and the distance from $D_3^{j,1}$ to $\{(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Xi \mid \eta_2 = \xi\}$. Then by Lemma 2.6

$$\left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \frac{1}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \lesssim (t+1)^{p_1} [\mu(t+1)^{a_j}]^{-(1+2\epsilon)}.$$

Since $A_i \setminus B_i$ is away from the singular set of $\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$, the function $\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ is smooth, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_1^{j,1} \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \\
 & \lesssim \left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_1^{j,1} \frac{1}{2 \log |\eta_1| - 2 \log |\eta_3|} \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \left\| \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_1^{j,1} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) \right\|_{W^{(1/2^+, 1/2^+, 1/2^+)}} \\
 & \lesssim (t+1)^{3p_1} [\mu(t+1)^{a_j}]^{-(1+2\epsilon)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.4, and using the shrinking support of the cutoff function $\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \xi e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{t\partial_{\eta_1}\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\partial_{\eta_1} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\ & \lesssim t^{-1} (t+1)^{(r+6)p_1} \|\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \hat{\varphi}\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r} \|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} (t+1)^{-a_j(1+2\epsilon)} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{-1.5+p_0+(r+6)p_1+0.5a_{j-1}-a_j(1+2\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the term W_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \xi e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \partial_{\eta_1} \left[\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{t\partial_{\eta_1}\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \left[\hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\ & \lesssim t^{-1} (t+1)^{(r+7)p_1} \|\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \hat{\varphi}\|_{L^2} \|\mathfrak{b}_i^{j,1} \hat{\varphi}\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} (t+1)^{-2a_j(1+\epsilon)} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{-1.5+2p_0+(r+7)p_1+a_{j-1}-2a_j(1+\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (8.8), we see that the right-hand sides of the above inequalities are integrable with respect to t for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$.

The estimates for $\mathcal{D}_i^{j,2}$ follow by the same argument.

8.6. Space resonances.

In this subsection, we estimate the integral on B_4 .

When $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in B_4$, a Taylor expansion gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) &= \Phi(\xi, \xi/3, \xi/3) + \partial_{\eta_1} \Phi(\xi, \xi/3, \xi/3)(\eta_1 - \xi/3) + \partial_{\eta_2} \Phi(\xi, \xi/3, \xi/3)(\eta_2 - \xi/3) + Err \\ &= -2\xi \log 3 + Err \end{aligned}$$

where $|Err| \lesssim \frac{[\delta(t)]^2}{|\xi|}$.

We can expand \mathbf{T}_1/Φ around $(\xi, \xi/3, \xi/3)$ as

$$\frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2 \log 2}{3 \log 3} \right) \xi + O \left(\left| \eta_1 - \frac{\xi}{3} \right|^2 + \left| \eta_2 - \frac{\xi}{3} \right|^2 \right). \quad (8.16)$$

After writing

$$e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} = \frac{1}{i\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\partial_\tau e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right],$$

and integrating by parts with respect to τ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^t i\xi \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{b}_{B_4} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\tau \\ &= \int_1^t \xi \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathfrak{b}_{B_4} \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \left[\partial_\tau e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right] \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\tau \\ &= J_1 - \int_1^t J_2(\tau) + J_3(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \xi \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \Big|_{\tau=1}^{\tau=t}, \\ J_2(\tau) &= \xi \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \partial_\tau \left[\hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) \right] d\eta_1 d\eta_2, \\ J_3(\tau) &= \xi \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_\tau \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\tau > 1$, we have from the bootstrap assumptions that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \xi \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\ & \lesssim \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \xi^2 \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\ & \quad + (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} [\delta(t)]^2 |\xi| \left| \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \right| d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \\ & \lesssim \|h\|_{H^s} \|h\|_Z^2 [\delta(t)]^{3/2} + \|h\|_{H^s} \|h\|_Z^2 [\delta(t)]^{7/2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{p_0} [\delta(t)]^{3/2} + \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{p_0} [\delta(t)]^{7/2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

After taking the time derivative, the term J_2 can be written as a sum of three terms.

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \frac{1}{i\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \partial_\tau \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2, \\ & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \frac{1}{i\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \partial_\tau \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \frac{1}{i\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \partial_\tau \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2.$$

Notice that by the bootstrap assumptions and Lemma 5.3

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi \mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \partial_x \left\{ \varphi^2 \log |\partial_x |\varphi_{xx} - \varphi \log |\partial_x |(\varphi^2)_{xx} + \frac{1}{3} \log |\partial_x |(\varphi^3)_{xx} \right\} \right\|_{L^1} \\ & \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 \|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{2p_0 - \frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{b}_{B_4} \xi \frac{\mathbf{T}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} e^{i\tau\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \partial_\tau [\hat{h}(\tau, \xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_1) \hat{h}(\tau, \eta_2)] d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\ & \lesssim \|h\|_Z \|\hat{h}_\tau\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \|h\|_Z [\delta(\tau)]^2 \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^5 (\tau+1)^{2p_0 - \frac{1}{2}} [\delta(\tau)]^2 \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (\tau+1)^{2p_0 - 1.34}, \end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to t .

For the term J_3 , by the definition of B_4 , we can choose the partition of unity properly such that \mathbf{b}_{B_4} compactly supported in D_4^3 , and $|\partial_\tau \mathbf{b}_{B_4}| \lesssim (\tau+1)^{a_2} \mu$. The area of its support is of the order of $[\mu(\tau+1)^{a_2}]^2$. Then, using (8.16), we get that

$$(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3})J_3(\tau) \lesssim (\tau+1)^{3a_2} \|\varphi\|_Z^3 \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (\tau+1)^{-1.1},$$

which is integrable in time.

8.7. Space-time resonances. In this subsection, we estimate the integral in the neighborhood $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$ of the space-time resonances. In this region, we need to modify the phase function, but this does not affect the Z -norm, which is insensitive to phase changes in $\hat{\varphi}$.

From the equation (8.4), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{h}_t + e^{-2it\xi \log|\xi|} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} i\xi \left(\iint_Q + \iint_{B_4} + \iint_{\Xi} + \iint_{B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3} + \iint_{\mathcal{L}} \right) \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2)} \hat{h}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{h}(\eta_1) \hat{h}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\Theta(t, \xi) = -2t\xi \log|\xi| + \frac{\pi\xi\delta^2}{6} \int_0^t [\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, \xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, -\xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, -\xi, \xi)] |\hat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi)|^2 d\tau,$$

and

$$\hat{v}(t, \xi) = e^{i\Theta(t, \xi)} \hat{\varphi}(t, \xi).$$

Then

$$\hat{v}_t = e^{i\Theta(t, \xi)} [\hat{\varphi}_t + i\Theta_t \hat{\varphi}] = U_1 + U_2 + e^{i\Theta(t, \xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)}, \quad (8.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= e^{i\Theta(t, \xi)} \left\{ -\frac{1}{6} i\xi \left(\iint_{Q \cup B_4 \cup \Xi \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup \mathcal{L}} \right) \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i\pi\xi\delta^2}{6} [\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, \xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, -\xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, -\xi, \xi)] |\hat{\varphi}(t, \xi)|^2 \hat{\varphi}(t, \xi) \right\}, \\ U_2 &= \hat{v}(\xi, t) \left[\frac{\pi i\xi\delta\delta_t}{3} \int_0^t [\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, \xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, -\xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, -\xi, \xi)] |\hat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi)|^2 d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of \hat{v} in the bracket in the term U_2 is purely imaginary, so it follows from (8.17) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\hat{v}|^2 = \bar{\hat{v}} U_1 + \bar{U}_1 \hat{v} + e^{i\Theta(t, \xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)} \bar{\hat{v}} + e^{-i\Theta(t, \xi)} \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)}} \hat{v}.$$

We estimate U_1 in this subsection, and we take care of the term $e^{i\Theta(t, \xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}(\varphi)}$ in next subsection.

The estimate for

$$e^{i\Theta(t, \xi)} \cdot \frac{1}{6} i\xi \left(\iint_Q + \iint_{B_4} + \iint_{\Xi} + \iint_{\mathcal{L}} \right) \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2$$

follows directly from subsections 8.2–8.4 as above. We only need to estimate the term

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{6} \iint_{B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3} i\xi \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \\ & \quad - \frac{i\pi\xi\delta^2}{6} [\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, \xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, -\xi) + \mathbf{T}_1(\xi, -\xi, \xi)] |\hat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi)|^2 \hat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

In B_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \frac{1}{6} i\xi \iint_{B_1} \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2) - \mathfrak{D}\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \xi, \xi) |\hat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi)|^2 \hat{\varphi}(\tau, \xi) d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\
& \lesssim \left| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \frac{1}{6} i\xi \iint_{B_1} \left| \mathfrak{D}\partial_{\eta_1} [\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2)] (\xi - \eta_1) \right| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left| \mathfrak{D}\partial_{\eta_2} [\mathbf{T}_1(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\xi - \eta_1 - \eta_2) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2)] (\xi - \eta_2) \right| d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \right| \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^s} \delta^{5/2} + \varepsilon_1^2 \|\mathcal{S}\varphi\|_{H^r} \delta^{5/2} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{p_0} \delta^{5/2} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 (t+1)^{-1.05+p_0},
\end{aligned}$$

which is integrable with respect to t .

The estimate for B_2 and B_3 follow by a similar argument.

8.8. Higher-degree terms. In this subsection, we estimate the higher-degree nonlinear terms (8.7).

By (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left\| (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) e^{-2it\xi \log|\xi|} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\geq 5}}(\varphi) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} dt \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|c_n|}{2n+1} \int_0^T \left\| \xi (|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1, t) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2, t) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}, t) e^{i(\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1} - \xi)x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n dx \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to estimate the multilinear Fourier integral operator

$$\mathcal{N}_{2n+1}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_1, t) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_2, t) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}, t) e^{i(\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1})x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n.$$

We divide this integral into two parts, with $|\eta_{\max}| > (t+1)^{-0.4}$ and $|\eta_{\max}| \leq (t+1)^{-0.4}$, and estimate them separately.

Case 1. When $|\eta_{\max}| > (t+1)^{-0.4}$. We rewrite the multiplier as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \frac{\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)} \widehat{(1 - \partial_x^2)\varphi}(\eta_1, t) \widehat{(1 - \partial_x^2)\varphi}(\eta_2, t) \cdots \widehat{(1 - \partial_x^2)\varphi}(\eta_{2n+1}, t) e^{i(\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_{2n+1})x} d\boldsymbol{\eta}_n.$$

Its symbol satisfies

$$\frac{\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)} \in W^{(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, \dots, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}), \quad (8.18)$$

where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. Considering the definition of $W^{(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, \dots, \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ and the inequality

$$(1 + y_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} (1 + y_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \cdots (1 + y_{2n+1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \lesssim 1 + |y_1|^{(1+2\epsilon)(2n+1)} + |y_2|^{(1+2\epsilon)(2n+1)} + \cdots + |y_{2n+1}|^{(1+2\epsilon)(2n+1)},$$

to prove (8.18), we only need to prove that

$$\frac{\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\eta_k}^{n+1} \frac{\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}), \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, 2n+1. \quad (8.19)$$

Indeed, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\left| \frac{\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)} \right| \lesssim \tilde{C}^n \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \frac{|\eta_j|}{(1 + \eta_j^2)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\eta_{\max}|} \right) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}).$$

For any integer $1 \leq k \leq 2n + 1$, the derivative

$$\partial_{\eta_k}^{n+1} \frac{\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)}$$

consists of terms like

$$\partial_{\eta_k}^l \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \partial_{\eta_k}^{n+1-l} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)}, \quad (8.20)$$

with $0 \leq l \leq n + 1$. We shall prove that the term (8.20) is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$.

$$\partial_{\eta_k}^l \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{2n+1} (1 - e^{i\eta_j \zeta})}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} (-i\zeta)^l e^{i\eta_k \zeta} d\zeta$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we divide the integral into two parts, with $|\zeta| < 1$ and $|\zeta| > 1$. By estimating each part, we obtain

$$|\partial_{\eta_k}^l \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)| \lesssim \tilde{C}^n \prod |\eta_j| + 1,$$

where the product is taken over the largest $2n + 1 - l$ terms in $\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_{k-1}|, |\eta_{k+1}|, \dots, |\eta_{2n+1}|\}$.

By direct calculation, we have

$$\left| \partial_{\eta_k}^{n+1-l} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)}.$$

So

$$\left| \partial_{\eta_k}^l \mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n) \partial_{\eta_k}^{n+1-l} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 + \eta_j^2)} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} \sqrt{1 + \eta_j^2}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}),$$

which proves (8.19), and therefore (8.18).

By Lemma 2.4, and the fact that $|\xi| \lesssim |\eta_{\max}|$, we have

$$\|(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{2n+1}(\varphi)}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 \|\varphi\|_{W^{3,\infty}}^{2n-1} \lesssim \varepsilon_1^{2n+1} (t+1)^{2p_0-n+0.5}.$$

Since $n \geq 2$, the right-hand-side above is integrable with respect to t .

Case 2. When $|\eta_{\max}| \leq (t+1)^{-0.4}$, each $\hat{\varphi}(\eta_j, t)$ is compactly supported inside $[-(t+1)^{-0.4}, (t+1)^{-0.4}]$.

Since $|\mathbf{T}_n| \lesssim \prod_{\eta_j \neq \eta_{\max}} |\eta_j|$, (the product of $2n$ terms without η_{\max}), we have

$$\|(|\xi| + |\xi|^{r+3}) \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{2n+1}(\varphi)}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_Z^{2n+1} (t+1)^{-0.4(2n+1)}.$$

When $n \geq 2$, the right-hand-side is integrable with respect to t .

Finally, collecting all of the estimates in the above sections, we get that $\|\varphi\|_Z \lesssim \varepsilon_1^3 \lesssim \varepsilon_0$, which completes the proof of Lemma 5.7.

APPENDIX A. ALTERNATIVE FORMULATION OF THE SQG FRONT EQUATION

We first prove an algebraic identity that will be used in deriving (3.4).

Lemma A.1. *Let $N > 1$ be an integer, then for any $p \in [1, N - 1] \cap \mathbb{N}$ and any $\eta_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^N \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_\ell \leq N} (-1)^\ell (\eta_{m_1} + \eta_{m_2} + \dots + \eta_{m_\ell})^p = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Proof. A general term in the expansion of left-hand-side of (A.1) looks like

$$\eta_1^{\alpha_1} \eta_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \eta_N^{\alpha_N}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with nonnegative integers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ satisfying

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_N = p.$$

It suffices to show the coefficients of (A.2) is zero. Let M denote the number of nonzero terms in the list $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N)$. By assumption, it is clear that $1 \leq M \leq N - 1$. Using multinomial theorem, we see that the coefficient of (A.2) is

$$\binom{p}{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{N-M} (-1)^{M+j} \binom{N-M}{j} = \binom{p}{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N} \cdot (-1)^M (1-1)^{N-M} = 0.$$

□

To compute $\mathbf{T}_n(\boldsymbol{\eta}_n)$ in (3.3), we first expand the product

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (1 - e^{i\eta_j \zeta}) &= 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_\ell \leq 2n+1} (-1)^\ell \cos((\eta_{m_1} + \eta_{m_2} + \dots + \eta_{m_\ell})\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_\ell \leq 2n+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} \left[1 - \cos((\eta_{m_1} + \eta_{m_2} + \dots + \eta_{m_\ell})\zeta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We replace the integral over \mathbb{R} in (3.3) by an integral over $\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, where $\epsilon \ll 1$, and decompose the expression for \mathbf{T}_n into a sum of terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon < |\zeta| < \infty} \frac{1 - \cos(\eta\zeta)}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta &= \int_{\epsilon < |\zeta| \leq 1/|\eta|} \frac{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^j (\eta\zeta)^{2j}}{(2j)!} - \cos(\eta\zeta)}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta + \int_{|\zeta| > 1/|\eta|} \frac{1 - \cos(\eta\zeta)}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^j \eta^{2j}}{(2j)!} \int_{\epsilon < |\zeta| \leq 1/|\eta|} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^{2n-2j+1}} d\zeta \\ &= C_{n,1} \eta^{2n} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^j \eta^{2j}}{(2j)!} \int_{\epsilon < |\zeta| \leq 1/|\eta|} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^{2n-2j+1}} d\zeta + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{n,1} = \int_{|\theta| \leq 1} \frac{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^j (\theta)^{2j}}{(2j)!} - \cos(\theta)}{|\theta|^{2n+1}} d\theta + \int_{|\theta| > 1} \frac{1 - \cos(\theta)}{|\theta|^{2n+1}} d\theta$$

is some constant that depends only on n .

We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^j \eta^{2j}}{(2j)!} \int_{\epsilon < |\zeta| \leq 1/|\eta|} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^{2n-2j+1}} d\zeta = C_{n,2}^\epsilon \eta^{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} C_{n,3}^{j,\epsilon} \eta^{2j} + C_{n,4} \eta^{2n} \log |\eta|,$$

where

$$C_{n,2}^\epsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{(n-j)(2j)!} + 2 \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \log \epsilon}{(2n)!}, \quad C_{n,3}^{j,\epsilon} = \frac{(-1)^j \epsilon^{2j-2n}}{(n-j)(2j)!}, \quad C_{n,4} = 2 \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n)!}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\int_{\epsilon < |\zeta| \leq 1/|\eta|} \frac{1 - \cos(\eta\zeta)}{|\zeta|^{2n+1}} d\zeta = (C_{n,1} - C_{n,2}^\epsilon) \eta^{2n} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} C_{n,3}^{j,\epsilon} \eta^{2j} - C_{n,4} \eta^{2n} \log |\eta|.$$

We use these results in the expression for \mathbf{T}_n and take the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. The singularity at $\epsilon = 0$ does not enter into the final result because of the cancelation in Proposition A.1, and we find that

$$\mathbf{T}_n(\eta_n) = 2 \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_\ell \leq 2n+1} (-1)^\ell (\eta_{m_1} + \eta_{m_2} + \dots + \eta_{m_\ell})^{2n} \log |\eta_{m_1} + \eta_{m_2} + \dots + \eta_{m_\ell}|.$$

It follows that

$$f_n = 2 \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \binom{2n+1}{\ell} (-1)^\ell \varphi^{2n-\ell+1} \partial^{2n} \log |\partial|(\varphi^\ell).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\varphi_x(x, t) - \varphi_x(x + \zeta, t)}{|\zeta|} - \frac{\varphi_x(x, t) - \varphi_x(x + \zeta, t)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 + (\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x + \zeta, t))^2}} \right] d\zeta \\ &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2c_n (-1)^n}{\Gamma(2n+2)} \partial_x \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} \binom{2n+1}{\ell} (-1)^\ell \varphi^{2n-\ell+1}(x, t) \partial_x^{2n} \log |\partial_x|(\varphi^\ell(x, t)) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{\ell+1} d_{n,\ell} \partial_x \left\{ \varphi^{2n-\ell+1}(x, t) \partial_x^{2n} \log |\partial_x|(\varphi^\ell(x, t)) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_{n,\ell} = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - n) |\Gamma(\ell+1)\Gamma(2n+2-\ell)\Gamma(n+1)|} > 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using this expansion in (3.1) gives (3.4).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. BERTOZZI AND P. CONSTANTIN. Global regularity for vortex patches. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **152**(1), 19-28, 1993.
- [2] H. BAHOURI, J.-Y. CHEMIN, AND R. DANCHIN, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **343**. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] T. BUCKMASTER, S. SHKOLLER AND V. VICOL. Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the SQG equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, to appear.
- [4] A. CASTRO, D. CÓRDOBA, AND J. GÓMEZ-SERRANO, Existence and regularity of rotating global solutions for the generalized surface quasi-geostrophic equations. *Duke Math. J.*, **165**(5), 93-984, 2016.
- [5] A. CASTRO, D. CÓRDOBA, AND J. GÓMEZ-SERRANO. Uniformly rotating analytic global patch solutions for active scalars. *Annals of PDE*, **2**(1), 1-34, 2016.
- [6] A. CASTRO, D. CÓRDOBA, AND J. GÓMEZ-SERRANO. Global smooth solutions for the inviscid SQG equation. *Memoirs of the AMS*, to appear.
- [7] D. CHAE, P. CONSTANTIN, D. CÓRDOBA, F. GANCEDO, AND J. WU. Generalized surface quasi-geostrophic equations with singular velocities. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **65**(8), 1037-1066, 2012.

- [8] J. Y. CHEMIN. Persistence of geometric structures in two-dimensional incompressible fluids. *Ann. Sci. Ecole. Norm. Sup.*, **26**(4), 517–542, 1993.
- [9] J. Y. CHEMIN. *Perfect Incompressible Fluids*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [10] P. CONSTANTIN, A. J. MAJDA, AND E. G. TABAK. Singular front formation in a model for quasigeostrophic flow. *Phys. Fluids*, **6**, 9–11, 1994.
- [11] A. CÓRDOBA, D. CÓRDOBA AND F. GANCEDO. Uniqueness for SQG patch solutions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **Ser. B.**(5), 1–31, 2018.
- [12] D. CÓRDOBA, C. FEFFERMAN AND J. L. RODRIGO. Almost sharp fronts for the surface quasi-geostrophic equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **101**(9), 2687–2691, 2004.
- [13] D. CÓRDOBA, M. A. FONTELOS, A. M. MANCHO, AND J. L. RODRIGO. Evidence of singularities for a family of contour dynamics equations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **102**(17), 5949–5952, 2005.
- [14] D. CÓRDOBA, J. GÓMEZ-SERRANO, AND A. D. IONESCU. Global solutions for the generalized SQG patch equation. arXiv:1705.10842, 2017.
- [15] Y. DENG, A. D. IONESCU, AND B. PAUSADER. The Euler-Maxwell system for electrons: global solutions in 2D. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, **225**, 771–871, 2017.
- [16] Y. DENG, A. D. IONESCU, B. PAUSADER, AND F. PUSATERI. Global solutions of the gravity-capillary water wave system in 3 dimensions. *Acta Mathematica*, **219**, 213–402, 2017.
- [17] C. FEFFERMAN, G. LULI, AND J. RODRIGO. The spine of an SQG almost-sharp front. *Nonlinearity*, **25**(2), 329–342, 2012.
- [18] C. FEFFERMAN AND J. L. RODRIGO. Analytic sharp fronts for the surface quasi-geostrophic equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **303** (1), 261–288, 2011.
- [19] C. FEFFERMAN AND J. L. RODRIGO. Almost sharp fronts for SQG: the limit equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **313**(1), 131–153, 2012.
- [20] C. FEFFERMAN AND J. L. RODRIGO. Construction of almost-sharp fronts for the surface quasi-geostrophic equation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **218**, 123–162, 2015.
- [21] F. GANCEDO. Existence for the α -patch model and the QG sharp front in Sobolev spaces. *Adv. Math.*, **217**(6), 2569–2598, 2008.
- [22] F. GANCEDO AND R. M. STRAIN. Absence of splash singularities for SQG sharp fronts and the muskat problem. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **111**(2), 635–639, 2014.
- [23] P. GERMAIN. Space-time resonances. *Journées équations aux dérivées partielles*, **8**, 1–10, 2010.
- [24] P. GERMAIN, N. MASMOUDI, AND J. SHATAH. Global solutions for 3d quadratic Schrödinger equations. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **2009**:414–432, 2009.
- [25] P. GERMAIN, N. MASMOUDI, AND J. SHATAH. Global solutions for the gravity water waves equation in dimension 3. *Ann. Math.*, **175**, 691–754, 2012.
- [26] J. GÓMEZ-SERRANO. On the existence of stationary patches. arXiv:1807.07021, 2018.
- [27] L. GRAFAKOS, A. MIYACHI, H. V. NGUYEN, AND N. TOMITA, Multilinear Fourier multipliers with minimal Sobolev regularity, II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **69**, 2017.
- [28] L. HÖRMANDER *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. III. Pseudo-Differential Operators.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **274**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [29] J. K. HUNTER AND J. SHU, Regularized and approximate equations for sharp fronts in the surface quasi-geostrophic equation and its generalization. *Nonlinearity*. **31**(6), 2480–2517, 2018.
- [30] J. K. HUNTER, J. SHU, AND Q. ZHANG, Local well-posedness of an approximate equation for SQG fronts. arXiv:1801.02718.
- [31] A. D. IONESCU, AND B. PAUSADER, Nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in one dimension, *J. Func. Anal.*, **266**, 2012.
- [32] A. D. IONESCU, AND B. PAUSADER, The Euler-Poisson system in 2d: global stability of the constant equilibrium solution. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **2013**(4):761–826, 2013.
- [33] A. D. IONESCU AND F. PUSATERI. Global regularity for 2d water waves with surface tension. *Memoirs of the AMS*, to appear.
- [34] A. D. IONESCU AND F. PUSATERI. Global solutions for the gravity water waves system in 2D. *Invent. Math.*, **199**, 653–804, 2015.
- [35] A. D. IONESCU AND F. PUSATERI, Global analysis of a model for capillary water waves in two dimensions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **69**, 2016.
- [36] A. KISELEV, Y. YAO AND A. ZLATOŠ. Local Regularity for the Modified SQG Patch Equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **70**(7), 1253–1315, 2017.
- [37] A. KISELEV, L. RYZHIK, Y. YAO, AND A. ZLATOŠ. Finite time singularity formation for the modified SQG patch equation. *Annals of Mathematics*, **184**(3), 909–948, 2016.
- [38] G. LAPEYRE. Surface quasi-geostrophy, *Fluids*, **2**, 2017.
- [39] D. LI. On Kato-Ponce and fractional Leibniz. arXiv:1609.01780v1, 2016.
- [40] A. J. MAJDA AND A. L. BERTOZI. *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

- [41] F. MARCHAND. Existence and regularity of weak solutions to the quasi-geostrophic equations in the spaces L^p or $\dot{H}^{-1/2}$, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **277**, 45–67, 2008.
- [42] T. OZAWA. Long range scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **139**, 479–493, 1991.
- [43] S. RESNICK. *Dynamical Problems in Nonlinear Advective Partial Differential Equations*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago, Chicago, 1995.
- [44] J. L. RODRIGO. On the evolution of sharp fronts for the quasi-geostrophic equation. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **58**, 0821–0866, 2005.
- [45] R. K. SCOTT AND D. G. DRITSHEL. Numerical simulation of a self-similar cascade of filament instabilities in the Surface quasigeostrophic System. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **112**, 144505, 2014.
- [46] M. E. TAYLOR, *Tools for PDE. Pseudodifferential Operators, Paradifferential Operators, and Layer Potentials*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **81**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [47] M. E. TAYLOR, *Partial Differential Equations, I, II, III*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, **115-117**. Springer, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT DAVIS

E-mail address: `jkhunter@ucdavis.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT DAVIS

E-mail address: `jyshu@ucdavis.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT DAVIS

E-mail address: `qzhang@math.ucdavis.edu`