

Center stable manifolds around line solitary waves of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation

YOHEI YAMAZAKI*

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University,
Kitashirakawa-Oiwakecho, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan,
Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute,
3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku Osaka 558-8585, Japan,
University of Cergy-Pontoise,
UMR CNRS 8088, Cergy-Pontoise, F-95000, France

and

Hiroshima University,
1-3-2 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima, 739-8511 Japan

Keywords and phrases: center stable manifolds; Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation; line solitary wave; transverse instability; asymptotic stability

Abstract

In this paper, we construct center stable manifolds of unstable line solitary waves for the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L$ and show the orbital stability of the unstable line solitary waves on the center stable manifolds, which yields the asymptotic stability of unstable solitary waves on the center stable manifolds near by stable line solitary waves. The construction is based on the graph transform approach by Nakanishi–Schlag [34]. Applying the bilinear estimate on Fourier restriction spaces by Molinet–Pilod [31] and modifying the mobile distance in [34], we construct a contraction map on the graph space.

1 Introduction

We consider the two dimensional Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation

$$u_t + \partial_x(\Delta u + u^2) = 0, \quad (t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$, $u = u(t, x, y)$ is an unknown real-valued function, $\mathbb{T}_L = \mathbb{R}/2\pi L\mathbb{Z}$ and $L > 0$. The equation (1.1) preserves the mass and the energy:

$$M(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L} |u|^2 dx dy,$$

*E-mail addresses: yohei-yamazaki@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
AMS 1991 subject classifications. 35B35, 35Q53.

$$E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{3} u^3 \right) dx dy,$$

where $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$.

The Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation was derived by Zakharov and Kuznetsov [46] to describe the propagation of ionic-acoustic waves in uniformly magnetized plasma. The rigorous derivation of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation from the Euler–Poisson system was proved by Lannes, Linares and Saut [18]. The Cauchy problem of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation has been studied in many paper [10, 8, 11, 19, 20, 21, 22, 31, 37]. The global well-posedness of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation in $H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ for $s > \frac{3}{2}$ has been proved by Linares, Pastor and Saut [21] to study of the transverse instability of the N -soliton of the Korteweg–de Vries equation. By proving a bilinear estimate in the context of Bourgain’s spaces $X^{s,b}$, Molinet and Plé [31] improved the result of the well-posedness on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L$ in [21] and showed the global well-posedness in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$.

The Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation is one of multi-dimensional model of the Korteweg–de Vries equation. The Korteweg–de Vries equation has the one soliton

$$Q_c(x - ct) = \frac{3c}{2} \cosh^{-2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}(x - ct)}{2} \right),$$

where $c > 0$. The orbital stability of the one soliton was showed by Benjamin [5]. Pego and Weinstein [35] proved the asymptotic stability of the one soliton on the exponentially weighted space. To treat solutions including a small soliton, Mizumachi [27] showed the asymptotic stability of the one soliton on a polynomial weighed spaces. In [23, 24, 25], Martel and Merle proved the asymptotic stability on the energy space by using the Liouville type theorem and the monotonicity property.

We regard the one soliton $Q_c(x - ct)$ of the Korteweg–de Vries equation as a line solitary wave of (1.1). In [14], to study the stability of line solitary wave with weak transverse perturbation of the KdV flow, Kadomtsev and Petviashvili derived the two-dimensional models of the KdV equation which is the KP equation. In [45], Zakharov obtained the proof of the instability of line solitary waves on the KP-I flow which was based on the existence of a Lax pair for the KP-I equation. The spectral stability of line solitary waves as the KP equation was obtained by Alexander, Pego and Sachs [1]. In [38, 39, 40], by using the argument which is applicable to show the transverse instability of the dispersive equations without integrable structure, Rousset and Tzvetkov proved the stability and instability of line solitary waves of the KP-I equation on \mathbb{R}^2 and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L$. Applying the inverse scattering method, Villarroel and Ablowitz showed the stability of line solitary waves of the KP-II equation for the decaying perturbations in [42]. The orbital stability and the asymptotic stability of line solitary waves for the KP-II equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L$ was showed by Mizumachi and Tzvetkov [30]. Using the local modulations of the amplitude and the phase shift of line solitary waves which behaves like a self-similar solution of the Burgers equation, Mizumachi proved the asymptotic stability of line solitary waves of the KP-II equation on \mathbb{R}^2 in [28, 29]. The instability of the line solitary waves of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation on \mathbb{R}^2 was proved by Rousset and Tzvetkov [38]. On $\mathbb{T}_{L_1} \times \mathbb{T}_{L_2}$ with sufficiently large L_2 , the linear instability of line periodic solitary waves of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation have been showed by Johnson [13] by using Evan’s function method. The instability of the line

solitary waves of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L$ with large traveling speed was showed by Bridges [7]. In [44], the author proved that the line solitary waves $Q_c(x-ct)$ of the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L$ is orbitally stable and the asymptotically stable for $0 < c \leq \frac{4}{5L^2}$ and is unstable for $c > \frac{4}{5L^2}$. The proof of the asymptotic stability in [44] is based on a Liouville type theorem and virial type estimates in [25]. By the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction method, the existence and the stability of the transversely modulated solitary waves was showed in [44]. Using the normal form which describes the motion of the amplitude of the transversely modulated solitary waves, Pelinovsky proved the asymptotic behavior of solutions near by the transversely modulated solitary waves for the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation in [36]. Moreover, Pelinovsky showed the asymptotic stability of the transversely modulated solitary waves in the sense by Pego and Weinstein [35].

In this paper, we construct a center stable manifold in energy space to study the behavior of solutions near by unstable line solitary waves. There have been many papers [2, 4, 3, 12, 15, 17, 26, 33, 34, 41] for constructing the center stable manifold for various equations. In [2], developing the Hadamard method, Bates and Jones and constructed invariant manifolds in abstract setting for nonlinear partial differential equations. Moreover, applying the construction in abstract setting, Bates and Jones proved the existence of a Lipschitz center stable manifold of the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation under the radial symmetry restriction with the power nonlinearity which satisfies the nonlinearity is local Lipschitz $H^1 \rightarrow L^2$. To treat a derivative loss term due to the translation, Nakanishi and Schlag [34] proved the existence of a center stable manifold for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation around solitary waves which generated from radial stationary solutions by the action of Lorentz transforms and spatial translations by introducing the mobile distance. Using a framework based on vector bundle coordinates, Jin, Lin and Zeng [12] constructed the center stable manifold for the 3D Cross-Pitaevskii equation around solitary waves. By using the Strichartz estimate of the linear evolution around ground states, Schlag constructed a center stable manifold for the 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation around ground states in $W^{1,1} \cup W^{1,2}$ and proved the scattering on the center stable manifold in [41]. Improving the result [41], Beceanu [3] constructed a center stable manifold for the 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation around ground states in the critical space $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Applying the argument [41], Krieger and Schlag [15] constructed a center stable manifold for 1D nonlinear Schrödinger equation with L^2 -critical nonlinearity around ground states. By investigating the ejection of solutions near the ground state and using trichotomy results in [16], Krieger, Nakanishi and Schlag construct a center stable manifold for the energy critical nonlinear wave equation. Martel, Merle, Nakanishi and Raphaël [26] constructed a center stable manifold for the L^2 -critical generalized KdV equation around one soliton on weighted space by applying trichotomy result which classifies initial datum near one soliton by the asymptotic behavior of solution.

To state the main result, we define some notations. The solitary wave manifold of Q_c is defined as

$$S(c) = \{\tau_q Q_c : q \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and the neighborhood of the solitary wave manifold $S(c)$ is defined as

$$N_c(\delta) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) : \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - \tau_q Q_c\|_{H^1} < \delta\},$$

where $(\tau_q u)(x, y) = u(x - q, y)$. Let $\mathbb{L}_c = -\Delta + c - 2Q_c$. Then, the linearized operator of (1.1) around the line solitary wave $Q_c(x - ct)$ as a relative equilibrium point is $\partial_x \mathbb{L}_c$. By the global well-posedness result in [31], we define $U(t)$ as the flow map of (1.1) at time t . The following theorem is the main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $c_0 \in \{c > 4/5L^2 : c \neq 4n^2/5L^2 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then there exists C^1 manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c_0)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ containing the solitary wave manifold $S(c_0)$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *The codimension of $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c_0)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ equals 2 times the integer part of $\frac{\sqrt{5c_0}L}{2}$ which is the total dimension of the eigenspaces of the linearized operator $\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c_0}$ corresponding to eigenvalues with positive real part.*
- (ii) *$\tau_q U(t)\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c_0) \subset \mathcal{M}_{cs}(c_0)$ for $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq 0$.*
- (iii) *$\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c_0)$ is normal at Q_{c_0} to the eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues of $\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c_0}$ with positive real part.*
- (iv) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $U(t)(\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c_0) \cap N_{c_0}(\delta)) \subset \mathcal{M}_{cs}(c_0) \cap N_{c_0}(\varepsilon)$ for $t \geq 0$.*
- (v) *There is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $u_0 \in N_{c_0}(\varepsilon_0) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{cs}$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ satisfying $U(t_0)u_0 \notin N_{c_0}(\varepsilon_0)$.*

Remark 1.2. The construction of the center stable manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c)$ is based on the global well-posedness of (1.1) in [31]. Therefore, in this paper, we only consider the solutions of (1.1) in [31] which are in the Bourgain spaces in local time. If the unconditional uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1) in $C(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L))$ is proved, we can show the center stable manifold without the restriction of the class of solutions.

Remark 1.3. For any positive integer n , $\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{4n^2/5L^2}$ has extra eigenfunctions corresponding to 0 eigenvalue which follows a bifurcation of the branch $\{Q_c : c > 0\}$ at $c = 4n^2/5L^2$. Therefore, it is difficult to construct a manifold around $S(4n^2/5L^2)$ satisfying (i)–(v) in Theorem 1.1.

Applying the asymptotic stability result of the line solitary wave with the critical speed $4/5L^2$, we obtain the asymptotic behavior of solutions on the center stable manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c)$ near by $Q_{4/5L^2}$.

Corollary 1.4. *For any $\beta > 0$ there exists $c_\beta > 4/5L^2$ such that for $4/5L^2 < c < c_\beta$ there exists $\varepsilon_{\beta,c} > 0$ satisfying the following. For any solution $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{sc}(c) \cap N_c(\varepsilon_{\beta,c})$ there exist $\rho_1 \in C^1([0, \infty))$ and $c_+ > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x > \beta t\}} \left(U(t)u_0 - \tau_{\rho_1(t)} Q_{c_+} \right) dx dy = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\rho}_1(t) = c_+,$$

$$|c - c_+| \lesssim \|u_0 - Q_c\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)}.$$

The proof of the existence of the center stable manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c)$ is based on the argument by [34]. Since the translation of functions $\tau_q u$ in the energy space are not Lipschitz continuous generally by the energy norm with respect to the translation parameter q , it

is difficult to show the smallness of the difference between functions and the translated function uniformly. In [34], Nakanishi and Schlag introduced the mobile distance on the energy space which measures the translation of functions as a Lipschitz continuous term.

There are two difficulties to apply the argument by [34]. In the case of (1.1), the generalized eigenfunction of the adjoint operator of the linearized operator of (1.1) around the line solitary wave is not in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$. Therefore, we can not use the suitable symplectic spectral decomposition of functions on the energy space. By applying another decomposition, a modulation term has same order of the difference between a line solitary wave and the solution of (1.1). In [34], Nakanishi and Schlag used that the order of modulation term is higher than the order of the difference to show the estimate for the contraction map on the set of graphs which is in Lemma 3.2 of [34]. Therefore, we can not show the estimate for the contraction map by the mobile distance in [34]. This difficulty appeared to construct a center stable manifold of the energy critical wave equation and was overcome in [17] by the ignition lemma and that the codimension of the center stable manifold is one. To construct the center stable manifold with high codimension and to modify the argument in [34], we adjust the scaling of the correction term in the mobile distance and show the estimate for the contraction in Lemma 3.8. The equation (1.1) has the nonlinear term $\partial_x u^2$ which has a derivative loss. To control the nonlinear term, in [31], Molinet and Plé proved a bilinear estimate on Fourier restriction spaces introduced by Bourgain [6]. To treat the nonlinear term $\partial_x u^2$ and to construct the center stable manifold, we apply the argument in [34] by using space-time estimates with space-time derivatives.

Our plan of the present paper is as follows. In Section 2, we define a spectral decomposition with respect to the linearized operator of (1.1). In Section 3, we show the estimate of the difference between solutions of a linearized equation of (1.1) and solutions of a localized equation of (1.1) by the mobile distance. In Section 4, we construct the center stable manifold by applying the argument in [34]. In Section 5, we prove the C^1 regularity of the center stable manifold which follows the argument in [17].

2 Preliminaries

Let $c^* > 0$. In this section, we assume that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\frac{2n_0}{\sqrt{5c^*}} < L < \frac{2(n_0+1)}{\sqrt{5c^*}}$. We denote the inner product in $L^2(X)$ by

$$(u, v)_{L^2(X)} = \int_X uv \, dx, \quad u, v \in L^2(X),$$

and the coupling between $H^1(X)$ and $H^{-1}(X)$ by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle_{H^1(X), H^{-1}(X)}, \quad u \in H^1(X), v \in H^{-1}(X),$$

where $X = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L$. In particular, we denote $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2} = (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^s} = \|\cdot\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)}$.

We define the linearized operator \mathbb{L}_c of the stationary equation of (1.1) around Q_c as

$$\mathbb{L}_c = -\Delta + c - 2Q_c$$

and the linearized operator \mathcal{L}_c of the stationary equation of the Korteweg–de Vries equation around Q_c as

$$\mathcal{L}_c = -\partial_x^2 + c - 2Q_c.$$

Then, the linearized operator of (1.1) around $Q_c(x-ct)$ is $\partial_x \mathbb{L}_c$. By the Fourier expansion, we have for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$

$$(\mathbb{L}_c u)(x, y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \frac{n^2}{L^2} \right) u_n(x) e^{\frac{iny}{L}},$$

where

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n(x) e^{\frac{iny}{L}}.$$

The following proposition follows in Proposition 3.1 in [43].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $c > 0$. The following holds.*

- (i) \mathcal{L}_c has the only one negative eigenvalue $-\frac{5c}{4}$. Moreover, the set of eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L}_c corresponding to $-\frac{5c}{4}$ is spanned by $Q_c^{\frac{3}{2}}$.
- (ii) If $0 < a < \frac{5c}{4}$, then $\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_c + a)$ has the only one positive eigenvalue $\lambda(a)$ (resp. the only one negative eigenvalue $-\lambda(a)$) which is simple. Moreover, eigenfunctions of $\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_c + a)$ corresponding to $\lambda(a)$ (resp. $-\lambda(a)$) is in $H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
- (iii) If $a > \frac{5c}{4}$, then $\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_c + a)$ has no positive eigenvalues.
- (iv) If $a \neq \frac{5c}{4}$ and $a > 0$, then the kernel of $\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_c + a)$ is trivial.
- (v) If $a = \frac{5c}{4}$, then the kernel of $\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_c + a)$ is spanned by $Q_c^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

Let $\lambda_k = \lambda(\frac{k^2}{L^2})$ for integer k with $0 < k \leq n_0$. We define

$$k^* = \max_{0 < k \leq n_0} \lambda_k, \quad k_* = \min_{0 < k \leq n_0} \lambda_k. \quad (2.1)$$

For $0 < k \leq n_0$, we define the eigenfunction of $\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_{c^*} + \frac{k^2}{L^2})$ corresponding to λ_k (resp. $-\lambda_k$) as f_k^+ (resp. f_k^-). Then, we show the following property of f_k^+ .

Proposition 2.2. *Let $g_k^+(x) = f_k^+(-x)$. The following holds.*

$$\partial_x \left(\mathcal{L}_{c^*} + \frac{k^2}{L^2} \right) g_k^+ = -\lambda_k g_k^+, \quad \left(f_k^+, \left(\mathcal{L}_{c^*} + \frac{k^2}{L^2} \right) g_k^+ \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < 0.$$

Proof. $\partial_x(\mathcal{L}_{c^*} + \frac{k^2}{L^2})g_k^+ = -\lambda_k g_k^+$ follows the definition of g_k^+ . By the spectral decomposition corresponding to \mathcal{L}_{c^*} , we denote f_k^+ by

$$f_k^+ = cQ_{c^*}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \mu \partial_x Q_{c^*} + \gamma^+.$$

Then, the above equation yields

$$g_k^+ = cQ_{c^*}^{\frac{3}{2}} - \mu\partial_x Q_{c^*} + \gamma^-,$$

where $\gamma^-(x) = \gamma^+(-x)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k) = \mathcal{L}_{c^*} + \frac{k^2}{L^2}$. Since

$$(f_k^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)f_k^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\lambda_k}(\partial_x \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)f_k^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)f_k^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

we have

$$(f_k^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)f_k^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = (g_k^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)g_k^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

On the other hand, from the equations

$$(f_k^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)f_k^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \left(\frac{k^2}{L^2} - \frac{5c^*}{4}\right)c^2\|Q_{c^*}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{k^2\mu^2}{L^2}\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + (\gamma^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)\gamma^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

and

$$(g_k^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)g_k^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \left(\frac{k^2}{L^2} - \frac{5c^*}{4}\right)c^2\|Q_{c^*}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{k^2\mu^2}{L^2}\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + (\gamma^-, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)\gamma^-)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (g_k^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)f_k^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \left(\frac{k^2}{L^2} - \frac{5c^*}{4}\right)c^2\|Q_{c^*}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \frac{k^2\mu^2}{L^2}\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + (\gamma^-, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)\gamma^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq -2\frac{k^2}{L^2}\mu^2\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We assume $\mu = 0$ and

$$(\gamma^-, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)\gamma^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = (\gamma^+, \mathcal{L}_{c^*}(k)\gamma^+)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

then $\gamma_+ = \gamma_-$ and $g_k^+ = f_k^+$. This contradicts $\lambda_k > 0$. Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

After an appropriate normalization of f_k^+ and f_k^- , we have

$$\left(f_k^+, \left(\mathcal{L}_{c^*} + \frac{k^2}{L^2}\right)f_k^-\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\pi L}, \quad \|f_k^+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f_k^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Then, from the uniqueness of f_k^+ and f_k^- , we obtain that

$$f_k^+(x) = -f_k^-(-x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The unstable and stable eigenfunctions of $\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}$ are denoted by

$$F_k^{\pm,0}(x, y) = f_k^{\pm}(x) \cos \frac{ky}{L}, \quad F_k^{\pm,1}(x, y) = f_k^{\pm}(x) \sin \frac{ky}{L}.$$

The functions $F_k^{\pm,0}$ and $F_k^{\pm,1}$ satisfy

$$(F_k^{+,j}, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} F_k^{-,j})_{L^2} = 1, \quad \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} F_k^{\pm,j} = \pm \lambda_k F_k^{\pm,j}, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

We consider the decomposition in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ such that

$$u = \sum_{\substack{j=0,1 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n_0}} (\Lambda_k^{+,j} F_k^{+,j} + \Lambda_k^{-,j} F_k^{-,j}) + \mu_1 \partial_x Q_{c^*} + \mu_2 \partial_c Q_{c^*} + \gamma, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\Lambda_k^{\pm,j} = \Lambda_k^{\pm,j}(u) = (u, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} F_k^{\mp,j})_{L^2}, \quad \mu_1 = \mu_1(u) = \frac{(u, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2}}{\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2},$$

$$\mu_2 = \mu_2(u) = \frac{(u, Q_{c^*})_{L^2}}{(\partial_c Q_{c^*}, Q_{c^*})_{L^2}},$$

$$\gamma = \gamma(u) = u - \sum_{\substack{j=0,1 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n_0}} (\Lambda_k^{+,j} F_k^{+,j} + \Lambda_k^{-,j} F_k^{-,j}) - \mu_1 \partial_x Q_{c^*} - \mu_2 \partial_c Q_{c^*}.$$

We define the projections corresponding to (2.2) as

$$P_{\pm} u = \sum_{\substack{j=0,1 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n_0}} \Lambda_k^{\pm,j}(u) F_k^{\pm,j}, \quad P_0 u = \mu_1(u) \partial_x Q_{c^*} + \mu_2(u) \partial_c Q_{c^*}, \quad P_1 u = \mu_1(u) \partial_x Q_{c^*},$$

$$P_2 u = \mu_2(u) \partial_c Q_{c^*}, \quad P_{\gamma} u = \gamma(u), \quad P_d = Id - P_{\gamma}.$$

The orthogonality of the projections yields the properties:

$$P_{\pm} \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_{\pm}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_1 = 0, \quad P_2 \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} = 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_2 = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

The following proposition follows the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [33].

Proposition 2.3. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ we have*

$$\langle P_{\gamma} u, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_{\gamma} u \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}} \geq C \|P_{\gamma} u\|_{H^1}^2,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}}$ is the coupling between $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$.

Proof. We assume that there exists $P_{\gamma} u_0 \neq 0$ satisfying $\langle P_{\gamma} u_0, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_{\gamma} u_0 \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}} \leq 0$. Then, for $0 < k \leq n_0$ and $j = 0, 1$ we have

$$\langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_{\gamma} u_0, \partial_x Q_{c^*} \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} = \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_{\gamma} u_0, F_k^{+,j} \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} = 0.$$

Since for $0 < k_1, k_2 \leq n_0$ and $j_1, j_2 \in \{0, 1\}$

$$(\mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x Q_{c^*}, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = (\mathbb{L}_{c^*} F_{k_1}^{+,j_1}, F_{k_2}^{+,j_2})_{L^2} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\left\langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \left(a P_{\gamma} u_0 + a_0 \partial_x Q_{c^*} + \sum_{j,k} a_{k,j} F_k^{+,j} \right), a P_{\gamma} u_0 + a_0 \partial_x Q_{c^*} + \sum_{j,k} a_{k,j} F_k^{+,j} \right\rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}} \leq 0$$

for $a, a_0, a_{k,j} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the dimension of the non-positive eigenspace of \mathbb{L}_{c^*} is more than $2n_0 + 1$, which contradicts the non-positive eigenspace of \mathbb{L}_{c^*} is spanned by

$$\left\{ Q_{c^*}^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos \frac{ky}{L}, Q_{c^*}^{\frac{3}{2}} \sin \frac{ky}{L}, \partial_x Q_{c^*}; 0 < k \leq n_0, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Therefore, for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ we have $\langle P_\gamma u_0, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma u_0 \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}} \geq 0$. By Weyl's theorem on essential spectrum, the essential spectrum of $P_\gamma^* \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma$ is $[c^*, \infty)$, where P_γ^* is the adjoint operator of P_γ . Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

The linearized energy norm is defined on $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ by

$$\|u\|_E^2 = \sum_{\substack{j=0,1 \\ k=1,2,\dots,n_0}} ((\Lambda_k^{+,j})^2 + (\Lambda_k^{-,j})^2) + \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \langle \gamma, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \gamma \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}}.$$

Then, the linearized energy norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is equivalent to the energy norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$.

Let u be a solution to (1.1) and $\tau_\rho u(t, x, y) = u(t, x - \rho, y)$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $v(t) = \tau_{-\rho(t)} u(t) - Q_{c(t)}$ solves

$$v_t = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v + (\dot{\rho} - c) \partial_x Q_{c^*} - \dot{c} \partial_c Q_{c^*} + N(v, c, \rho), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$N(v, c, \rho) = \partial_x [-v^2 + (\dot{\rho} - c^*)v + 2(Q_{c^*} - Q_c)v + (\dot{\rho} - c)(Q_c - Q_{c^*})] - \dot{c} \partial_c (Q_c - Q_{c^*}).$$

Then, using the following lemma, we choose c and ρ which satisfy the orthogonality condition

$$(v, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = (v, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta, c^*}$, where $v = \tau_{-\rho} u - Q_c$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\delta, c^*} = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L); \inf_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - \tau_\rho Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \delta\}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *There exist $\delta_0, C_{\delta_0} > 0$ and smooth maps $\rho : \mathcal{N}_{\delta_0, c^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c : \mathcal{N}_{\delta_0, c^*} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\delta_0, c^*}$, $v = \tau_{-\rho(u)} u - Q_{c(u)}$ satisfies the orthogonality condition (2.6) and*

$$\|v\|_{H^1} + |c(u) - c^*| < C_{\delta_0} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. We define G by

$$G(u, c, \rho) = \begin{pmatrix} (\tau_{-\rho} u - Q_c, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ (\tau_{-\rho} u - Q_c, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $G(Q_{c^*}, c^*, 0) = {}^t(0, 0)$ and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial c \partial \rho}(Q_{c^*}, c^*, 0) = \text{diag}(-(\partial_c Q_{c^*}, Q_{c^*})_{L^2}, -\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2).$$

By $(\partial_c Q_{c^*}, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} > 0$ and the implicit function theorem, we obtain that there exists $\delta_0, C_{\delta_0} > 0$ such that there is a unique smooth map $(c(u), \rho(u))$ satisfying (2.6) and

$$\|\tau_{-\rho(u)}u - Q_{c(u)}\|_{H^1} + |c(u) - c^*| < C_{\delta_0}\|u - Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1}$$

for $u \in \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L); \|u - Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \delta_0\}$. By the uniqueness of the map $(c(u), \rho(u))$ and the invariance with respect to τ_ρ , expanding the map $(c(u), \rho(u))$, we obtain the unique smooth map $(c(u), \rho(u))$ satisfying the orthogonality condition (2.6) and (2.7). \square

Let $c(t) = c(u(t))$ and $\rho(t) = \rho(u(t))$, where $c(u(t))$ and $\rho(u(t))$ are defined in Lemma 2.4. Then, from the orthogonality condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt}(v, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = (\dot{\rho} - c)(\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\partial_x v, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} + (\partial_x(Q_c - Q_{c^*}), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2}) \\ &\quad + (c - c^*)(\partial_x v, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} - (v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} - (\partial_x v^2, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ &\quad - (2(Q_{c^*} - Q_c)v, \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}(v, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = -\dot{c}(\partial_c Q_c, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} - (\partial_x v^2, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} - (2(Q_{c^*} - Q_c)v, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, $(c(t), \rho(t))$ satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\rho} - c \\ \dot{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2}(v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{N}(v, c), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{N}(v, c) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\partial_x v, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} + (\partial_x(Q_c - Q_{c^*}), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -(\partial_c Q_c, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} ((c - c^*)\partial_x v - \partial_x v^2 + 2\partial_x((Q_{c^*} - Q_c)v), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} - (v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ -(\partial_x v^2, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} - (2(Q_{c^*} - Q_c)v, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2}(v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= O(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}|c - c^*|) \text{ as } \|v\|_{L^2} + |c - c^*| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{\delta_0, c^*}$, $u = \tau_\rho(v + Q_c)$ solves (1.1) with $(c(t), \rho(t))$ satisfying the orthogonality condition (2.6) if and only if $v = \tau_{-\rho}u - Q_c$ solves (2.5) with $(c(t), \rho(t))$ satisfying (2.8) and $(v(0), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = (v(0), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = 0$.

3 Localized equation

Let $c^* > 0$. In this section, we assume that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\frac{2n_0}{\sqrt{5c^*}} < L < \frac{2(n_0+1)}{\sqrt{5c^*}}$. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a smooth function with

$$\chi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|r| \leq 1) \\ 0 & (|r| \geq 2) \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1.$$

Let

$$\chi_\delta = \chi_\delta(v, c - c^*) = \chi\left(\frac{\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + |c - c^*|^2}{\delta^2}\right).$$

We define the localized system of (2.5) as

$$v_t = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v + (\dot{\rho} - c) \partial_x Q_{c^*} - \dot{c} \partial_c Q_{c^*} + \chi_\delta(v, c - c^*) N(v, c, \rho), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\rho} - c \\ \dot{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2}(v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{N}_\delta(v, c), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N}_\delta(v, c) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2 + \chi_\delta(\partial_x v + \partial_x(Q_c - Q_{c^*}), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -(\partial_c Q_{c^*} + \chi_\delta \partial_c(Q_c - Q_{c^*}), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ & \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\delta((c - c^*) \partial_x v - \partial_x v^2 + 2\partial_x((Q_{c^*} - Q_c)v), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} - (v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ -\chi_\delta(\partial_x v^2 - 2\partial_x((Q_{c^*} - Q_c)v), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad - \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2}(v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \chi_\delta O(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2} |c - c^*|) \text{ as } \|v\|_{L^2} + |c - c^*| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for a solution (v, c, ρ) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$P_1 v(0) = P_1 v(t), \quad P_2 v(0) = P_2 v(t).$$

Especially, for initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$ satisfying the orthogonality condition (2.6), the solution (v, c, ρ) of the system (3.1)–(3.2) also satisfies the orthogonality condition (2.6).

To solve the system (3.1)–(3.2), we define the Bourgain space $X^{s,b}$ related to the linear part of (1.1) as the completion of the Schwartz space under the norm

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \sum_{L\eta \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \tau - \xi(\xi^2 + \eta^2) \rangle^{2b} \langle \sqrt{3\xi^2 + \eta^2} \rangle^{2s} |\tilde{u}(\tau, \xi, \eta)|^2 d\tau d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\langle x \rangle = 1 + |x|$ and \tilde{u} is the space-time Fourier transform of u . For $T > 0$, we define the localized space $X_T^{s,b}$ of $X^{s,b}$ by the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_T^{s,b}} = \inf\{\|v\|_{X^{s,b}}; v \in X^{s,b}, v(t) = u(t) \text{ for } t \in [-T, T]\}.$$

Let θ be a smooth function with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\theta(t) = 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$, $\theta(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 2$, let $\theta_T(t) = \theta(t/T)$ for $T > 0$. Then, we have the following linear estimates in [9, 31, 32].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s \geq 0$, $T > 0$, $b > \frac{1}{2}$ and $b_1 \leq 0 \leq b_2 \leq b_1 + 1$. Then*

$$\|\theta(t) e^{-t\partial_x \Delta} u_0\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim_{s,b} \|u_0\|_{H^s}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)} \lesssim_{s,b} \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\left\| \theta_T(t) \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x \Delta} g(t') dt' \right\|_{X^{s,b_2}} \lesssim_{s,b_1,b_2} T^{1-b_2+b_1} \|g\|_{X^{s,b_1}}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|\partial_x(Qu)\|_{X^{s,0}} \lesssim_{s,b} (\|\partial_x Q\|_{L_t^\infty W_{x,y}^{s,\infty}} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \|\partial^\alpha Q\|_{L_x^2 L_y^\infty}) \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}, \quad (3.6)$$

for $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$, $u \in X^{s,b}$, $Q \in L_t^\infty((-\infty, \infty), W_{xy}^{s,\infty} \cap H_{xy}^s)$ and $g \in X^{s,b_1}$, where $\partial^\alpha = \partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_y^{\alpha_2}$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

To estimate the nonlinear term of the system (3.1)–(3.2), we use the following bilinear estimate by Molinet and Pilod [31].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s \geq 1$. Then, there exists $b_* > 0$ such that*

$$\|\partial_x(uv)\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2}+2b}} \lesssim_{s,b} \|u\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+b}} \|v\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+b}}, \quad (3.7)$$

for $0 < b < b_*$, $u, v \in X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+b}$.

To prove the estimate for solutions to the system (3.1)–(3.2), we show the conservation of the linearized energy norm for the linearized system of the system (3.1)–(3.2).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $c_0 > 0$. The solution (v, ρ) to the system*

$$v_t = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v + (\dot{\rho} - c_0) \partial_x Q_{c^*} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\dot{\rho} - c_0 = \frac{(v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2}}{\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2} \quad (3.9)$$

with an initial data $(v(0), \rho(0)) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\gamma v(t)\|_E &= \|P_\gamma v(0)\|_E, & (v(t), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} &= (v(0), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2}, \\ (v(t), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} &= (v(0), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$v(t) = e^{t\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}} v(0) + \int_0^t \frac{(e^{s\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}} v(0), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2}}{\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2} \partial_x Q_{c^*} ds \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let (v, ρ) be the solution to the system (3.8)–(3.9) with a smooth initial data $(v(0), \rho(0))$. Then, we have

$$\partial_t \|P_\gamma v\|_E^2 = 2(\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma v - P_1(\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma v), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma v)_{L^2} = 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x Q_{c^*} = 0$,

$$\partial_t (v(t), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = (\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v(t), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = 0.$$

By the system (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\partial_t (v(t), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = \left(\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v(t) + \frac{(v(t), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2}}{\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2}, \partial_x Q_{c^*} \right)_{L^2} = 0.$$

By the density argument we obtain (3.10). The orthogonality $(\partial_x Q_{c^*}, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = 0$ yields the formula (3.11). \square

Let \mathcal{A} as

$$\mathcal{A}v = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v + \frac{(v, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2}}{\|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^2} \partial_x Q_{c^*}.$$

We define the system

$$w_t = -\partial_x \Delta w - 2\partial_x((\tau_{\rho_*} Q_{c^*})w) + (\dot{\rho} - c)\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_x Q_{c^*} - \dot{c}\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_c Q_{c^*} + \chi_\delta(w, c - c^*)\tilde{N}(w, \rho, c) \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\rho} - c \\ \dot{c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2}(w, \tau_{\rho_*}(\mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*}))_{L^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_\delta(w, c, \rho), \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\tilde{N}(w, c, \rho) = \partial_x[-w^2 + 2w\tau_{\rho_*}(Q_{c^*} - Q_c) + (\dot{\rho} - c)\tau_{\rho_*}(Q_c - Q_{c^*})] - \dot{c}\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_c(Q_c - Q_{c^*}),$$

$\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_\delta(w, c, \rho) = \mathbf{N}_\delta(\tau_{-\rho_*} w, c)$ and

$$\rho_*(w, c, \rho, t) = \rho_*(t) = c^*t + \int_0^t \chi_\delta(w(s), c(s) - c^*)(\dot{\rho}(s) - c^*) ds.$$

We solve the system (3.1)–(3.2) by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *The system (3.1)–(3.2) is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. More precisely there exists $b > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $(v_0, c_0, \rho_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $T > 0$ there exists a unique solution (w, c, ρ) of the system (3.12)–(3.13) such that $(w(0), c(0), \rho(0)) = (v_0, c_0, \rho_0)$,*

$$(w, \dot{c}, \dot{\rho} - c) \in X_T^{1,b} \times L^2(-T, T) \times L^2(-T, T),$$

and $(\tau_{-\rho_*} w, c, \rho)$ is a solution to the system (3.1)–(3.2) with initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$. Moreover, the flow map of the system (3.12)–(3.13) is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets of $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and there exists $T^* > 0$ such that for any $0 < \delta < 1$ and initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0)) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ the solution (v, c, ρ) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) with the initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$ satisfies

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \|v(t)\|_E + \|\dot{c}\|_{L^2(-T^*, T^*)} + \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L^2(-T^*, T^*)} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_E, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \|P_d(v(t) - e^{tA}v(0))\|_E \lesssim \min\{\|v(0)\|_E, \delta\}^2, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \left| \|P_\gamma v(t)\|_E^2 - \|P_\gamma v(0)\|_E^2 \right| \lesssim \min\{\|v(0)\|_E, \delta\}^3, \quad (3.16)$$

where the implicit constants and T^* do not depend on δ and $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$.

Remark 3.5. The above theorem does not imply the Lipschitz continuity of the flow map of the system (3.1)–(3.2).

Proof. First, we consider the case $\|v(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c(0) - c^*|^2 > 16\delta^2$. Since $\chi_\delta(v(0), c(0) - c^*) = 0$, there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that the solution $(v_1(t), c_1(t), \rho_1(t))$ to the system (3.8)–(3.9) with the initial data $(v_1(0), c_1(0), \rho_1(0)) = (v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$ is a solution to the system (3.1)–(3.2) on the time interval $(-T_1, T_1)$ with the initial data $(v(0), \rho(0), c(0))$ and

$$\sup_{t \in (-T_1, T_1)} \|v_1(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c_1(t) - c^*|^2 > 8\delta^2.$$

If $|c(0) - c^*| > 2\delta$, then $c(t) = c_1(t) = c(0)$ for $t > 0$. Thus, the solution $(v, c, \rho) = (v_1, c_1, \rho_1)$ is global in time. Next we consider the case $\|v(0)\|_{H^1} > 2\sqrt{3}\delta$. Then, we have

$$\|v_1(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}\|_{H^1 \rightarrow H^1} \|v(0)\|_{H^1}.$$

Therefore, T_1 is independent of initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$ and $\delta > 0$ if $\|v(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c(0) - c^*|^2 > 16\delta^2$. By the continuity of solutions to the system (3.1)–(3.2) in time, solutions to the system (3.1)–(3.2) with the initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$ is also a solution to the system (3.8)–(3.9) on the time interval $(-T_1, T_1)$. Therefore, we obtain the existence and the uniqueness of solution to the system (3.1)–(3.2) on $C((-T_1, T_1), H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L))$ for initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$ satisfying $\|v(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c(0) - c^*|^2 > 16\delta^2$. From Lemma 3.3 the solution (v, c, ρ) to (3.1)–(3.2) with $\|v(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c(0) - c^*|^2 > 16\delta^2$ satisfies the estimates (3.14)–(3.16) on $[-T_1/2, T_1/2]$, where $T_1/2$ does not depend on δ and initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$.

Second, we treat the case $|c(0) - c^*| \leq 4\delta$ and $\|v(0)\|_{H^1} \leq 4\delta$. We consider solutions (w, c, ρ) to the system (3.12)–(3.13). To show the global well-posedness of the system (3.12)–(3.13), we apply the contraction mapping theorem to

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_T(w, c, \rho)(t) &= e^{-t\partial_x \Delta} v(0) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\partial_x \Delta} [-2\partial_x((\tau_{\rho_*} Q_{c^*})w) \\ &\quad + (\dot{\rho} - c)\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_x Q_{c^*} - \dot{c}\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_c Q_{c^*} + \chi_\delta(w, (c - c^*))\tilde{N}(w, c, \rho)] ds, \\ \Psi_T(w, c, \rho) &= \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{T,1}(w, c, \rho) \\ \Psi_{T,2}(w, c, \rho) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2}(w, \tau_{\rho_*}(\mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*}))_{L^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{N}_\delta(w, c, \rho) \end{aligned}$$

on $X_T^{1,b} \times L_T^2 \times L_T^2$, where $L_T^2 = L^2(-T, T)$. Applying Proposition 3.1, for $\frac{1}{2} < b < \min\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} + b_*\}$ and $0 < T < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Phi_T(w, c, \rho)\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \\ &\lesssim_b \|v(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)} + T^{1-b} \|\partial_x((\tau_{\rho_*} Q_{c^*})w)\|_{X_T^{1,0}} + T^{1-b} \|(\dot{\rho} - c)\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{X_T^{1,0}} \\ &\quad + T^{1-b} \|\dot{c}\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_c Q_{c^*}\|_{X_T^{1,0}} + T^{b-\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_\delta \partial_x(w^2)\|_{X_T^{1,2b-\frac{3}{2}}} + T^{1-b} \|\chi_\delta \partial_x(w\tau_{\rho_*}(Q_{c^*} - Q_c))\|_{X_T^{1,0}} \\ &\quad + T^{1-b} \|\chi_\delta(\dot{\rho} - c)\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_x(Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{X_T^{1,0}} + T^{1-b} \|\chi_\delta \dot{c}\tau_{\rho_*} \partial_c(Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{X_T^{1,0}} \\ &\lesssim_b \|v(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)} + T^{1-b} (1 + \|\tau_{\rho_*} Q_{c^*}\|_{L_x^2 L_{t,y}^\infty} + \|\tau_{\rho_*}(Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{L_x^2 L_{t,y}^\infty}) \|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \\ &\quad + T^{1-b} (1 + |c(0) - c^*| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}) (\|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}) + T^{b-\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_\delta \partial_x(w^2)\|_{X_T^{1,2b-\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Since

$$\sup_{-T \leq t \leq T, y \in \mathbb{T}_L} |\tau_{\rho_*} Q_{c^*}(t, x, y)| \leq \sup_{|a| \leq (Tc^* + T|c(0) - c^*| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_T^2} + T^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2})} Q_{c^*}(x + a),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tau_{\rho_*} Q_{c^*}\|_{L_x^2 L_{t,y}^\infty((-T, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L)} \\ &\lesssim \|Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2} + (Tc^* + T|c(0) - c^*| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_T^2} + T^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2})^{1/2} \|Q_{c^*}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)} \\ &\lesssim 1 + T^{\frac{1}{2}} |c(0) - c^*| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_T^2} + T^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By the similar calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\theta_{2T}\tau_{\rho_*}(Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{L_x^2 L_{t,y}^\infty((-T,T)\times\mathbb{T}_L)} \\ & \lesssim (|c(0) - c^*| + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2})(1 + T^{\frac{1}{2}} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}|c(0) - c^*| + T^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\dot{\rho} - c^*\|_{L_T^2} + T^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since

$$\left\|\partial_t\|u\|_{H_{x,y}^1}\right\|_{L_t^2} \leq \left\|\partial_t e^{t\partial_x\Delta}u\right\|_{H_{x,y}^1}\bigg|_{L_t^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{1,1}}$$

for $u \in X^{1,1}$, by the interpolation theorem we have

$$\left\|\|w\|_{H_{x,y}^1}\right\|_{H_t^b} \lesssim \|w\|_{X^{1,b}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Applying Proposition 3.2 to $\|\chi_\delta\partial_x(w^2)\|_{X_T^{1,2b-\frac{3}{2}}}$, we obtain that there exists

$$C = C(\delta^{-2}(\|w\|_{L^\infty((-T,T)H_{x,y}^1)}^2 + |c(0) - c^*|^2 + T\|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}^2)) > 0$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\chi_\delta\partial_x(w^2)\|_{X_T^{1,2b-\frac{3}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim (\|\chi_\delta(w, (c - c^*)) - 1\|_{H_T^b} + 1)\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}}^2 \\ & \lesssim C(1 + \delta^{-2}\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}}^2 + \delta^{-2}(1 + T^{\frac{1}{2}})|c(0) - c^*|^2 + \delta^{-2}(1 + T^{\frac{3}{2}})\|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}^2)\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\|u\|_{H_T^b} = \inf\{\|v\|_{H^b(\mathbb{R})}; v \in H^b(\mathbb{R}), v(t) = u(t) \text{ for } t \in [-T, T]\}.$$

By the simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Psi_{T,1}(w, c, \rho)\|_{L_T^2} + \|\Psi_{T,2}(w, c, \rho)\|_{L_T^2} \\ & \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}}^3 + T^{\frac{1}{2}}|c(0) - c^*|\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}|c(0) - c^*|^2\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \\ & \quad + T^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}^2 + T^2\|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}^3 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

for $b > \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < T < 1$. From (3.6) and (3.17)–(3.21) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_T(w)\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \\ & \lesssim_b \|v(0)\|_{H^1} + T^{1-b}(\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}} + \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2})(1 + |c(0) - c^*| + \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2})^2 \\ & \quad + T^{b-\frac{1}{2}}C\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

for $\frac{1}{2} < b < \min\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} + b_*\}$ and $0 < T < 1$, where C depend on $\delta^{-2}(\|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}}^2 + |c(0) - c^*|^2 + \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2}^2)$. From the same calculation as (3.17)–(3.23) we obtain the estimate of the difference

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_T(w_1, c_1, \rho_1) - \Phi_T(w_2, c_2, \rho_2)\|_{X_T^{1,b}} + \|\Psi_{T,1}(w_0, c_0, \rho_0) - \Psi_{T,1}(w_1, c_1, \rho_1)\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \\ & \quad + \|\Psi_{T,2}(w_0, c_0, \rho_0) - \Psi_{T,2}(w_1, c_1, \rho_1)\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \\ & \lesssim_b (T^{1-b} + T^{b-\frac{1}{2}})C(\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_T^{1,b}} + \|\dot{\rho}_1 - \dot{\rho}_2 - c_1 + c_2\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{c}_1 - \dot{c}_2\|_{L_T^2}) \\ & \quad \times [1 + |c(0) - c^*| + \max_{j=1,2}(\|w_j\|_{X_T^{1,b}} + \|\dot{\rho}_j - c_j\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{c}_j\|_{L_T^2})]^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\frac{1}{2} < b < \min\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} + b_*\}$ and $0 < T < 1$, where C depend on $\delta^{-2} \max_{j=0,1}(\|w_j\|_{X_T^{1,b}}^2 + |c(0) - c^*|^2 + \|\dot{c}_j\|_{L_T^2})$. Thus, there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $T_{\delta, v(0)} = T(\delta, \|v(0)\|_{H^1}) > 0$ such that the mapping $(\Phi_{T_{\delta, v(0)}}, \Psi_{T_{\delta, v(0)}})$ is the contraction mapping on $\mathcal{B}(C_1\|v(0)\|_{H^1}, C_2\|v(0)\|_{H^1})$, where C_1 and C_2 do not depend on δ and the initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$,

$$\mathcal{B}(r_1, r_2) = \{(w, \dot{c}, \dot{\rho} - c) \in X_T^{1,b} \times (L_T^2)^2 : \|w\|_{X_T^{1,b}} < r_1, \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{c}\|_{L_T^2} < r_2\}.$$

Therefore, the system (3.12)–(3.13) is locally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(\tau_{-\rho_*} w, c, \rho)$ is the solution to the system (3.1)–(3.2) with initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$. Moreover, for $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} < b < \min\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2} + b_*\}$ there exist $C, T_\delta > 0$ such that for any $v(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ with $\|v(0)\|_{H^1} \leq 4\delta$ and $(w, c, \rho) \in \mathcal{B}(C\delta, C\delta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_{T_\delta}(w, c, \rho)\|_{X_{T_\delta}^{1,b}} \\ & \lesssim_b \|v(0)\|_{H^1} + (T_\delta^{1-b} + T_\delta^{b-\frac{1}{2}})(\|w\|_{X_{T_\delta}^{1,b}} + \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_{T_\delta}^2} + \|\dot{c}\|_{L_{T_\delta}^2}) \\ & \quad \times (1 + \|w\|_{X_{T_\delta}^{1,b}} + |c(0) - c^*| + \|\dot{\rho} - c\|_{L_{T_\delta}^2} + \|\dot{c}\|_{L_{T_\delta}^2})^2 < \frac{C\delta}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where C does not depend on δ . By the continuity argument, we obtain that there exists $T^* > 0$ such that the solution (v, c, ρ) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) exists on $[-T^*, T^*]$ and

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \|v(t)\|_E \lesssim \sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \|v(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|w_{T^*}\|_{X^{1,b}} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_E \lesssim \delta,$$

where w_{T^*} is the fixed point by (Φ_{T^*}, Ψ_{T^*}) on $X_{T^*}^{1,b} \times (L_{T^*}^2)^2$ with the initial data $(v(0), c(0), \rho(0))$ and, the implicit constants and T^* do not depend on δ . Thus, (3.14) holds. Since

$$\|\dot{c}\|_{L_{T^*}^2} = O(\|v\|_{H^1}^2)$$

for the solution (v, ρ, c) to the system (3.1)–(3.2), we obtain (3.15).

The identity $\mathbb{L}_{c^*}[P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] = 0$ yields

$$(P_\gamma(\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v + (\dot{\rho} - c)\partial_x Q_{c^*} - \dot{c}\partial_c Q_{c^*}), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma v)_{L^2} = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

for $(v, c, \rho) \in H^3(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times C^1(0, \infty) \times C^1(\mathbb{R})$. By the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L} (-\Delta u)v \, dt dx dy \right| \\ & = \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_\eta \langle \tau - \xi(\xi^2 + \eta^2) \rangle^\beta \widehat{1_{[0,T]}} \nabla \tau_\rho u \times \langle \tau - \xi(\xi^2 + \eta^2) \rangle^{-\beta} \widehat{\nabla \tau_\rho v} \, d\tau d\xi \right| \\ & \lesssim \left(\left\| \langle \tau \rangle^\beta \widehat{1_{[0,T]}} \right\|_{L_\tau^2} \|\tau_\rho u\|_{X^{1,b}} + \left\| \widehat{1_{[0,T]}} \right\|_{L_\tau^p} \left\| \langle \tau \rangle^{\beta-b} \right\|_{L_\tau^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \|\tau_\rho u\|_{X^{1,b}} \right) \|\tau_\rho v\|_{X^{1,-\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty 1_{[0,T]} e^{-it\tau} \, dt = \frac{i(1 - e^{-iT\tau})}{\tau},$$

we have

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L} (-\Delta u)v dt dx dy \right| \lesssim \|\tau_\rho u\|_{X^{1,b}} \|\tau_\rho v\|_{X^{1,-\beta}} \quad (3.25)$$

for $\tau_\rho u \in X^{1,b}$, $\tau_\rho v \in X^{1,-\beta}$, $\rho \in L_t^\infty$, $p > 1$ and $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ with

$$\frac{(b-\beta)p}{p-1} > 1.$$

From Proposition 3.2 and the inequalities (3.14) and (3.25), we have

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L} (\mathbb{L}_{c^*} v)(\chi_\delta(v, c - c^*)N(v, c, \rho)) dt dx dy \right| \lesssim \min\{\|v(0)\|_{H^1}, \delta\}^3. \quad (3.26)$$

From (3.24) and (3.26), by the energy estimate we obtain (3.16).

Combining above two cases, we have the global well-posedness of the (3.1)–(3.2) on $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and the estimate (3.14)–(3.16). \square

Next, we define a mobile distance which was introduced in [34]. Let C_2 be a large real constant and ϕ be the smooth positive non-decreasing function with

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \leq C_2, \\ r, & r \geq 2C_2. \end{cases}$$

We define ϕ_δ by

$$\phi_\delta(u) = \phi\left(\delta^{-1}\|P_\gamma u\|_E\right)$$

for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$. In this paper, to treat the term $\dot{\rho} - c$ in the system (3.1)–(3.2) which has same order of v , we replace a correction term of the mobile distance in [34] by $\delta|q|^2\phi_\delta(v_{1-j})^2$.

Definition 3.6. Let $\delta > 0$. We define the mobile distance $\mathbf{m}_\delta : (H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty))^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1))^2 = & \|P_d(v_0 - v_1)\|_E^2 + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j - \tau_q(P_\gamma v_{1-j})\|_E^2 + \delta|q|^2\phi_\delta(v_{1-j})^2) \\ & + |\log c_0 - \log c_1|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathbf{v}_0 = (v_0, c_0)$, $\mathbf{v}_1 = (v_1, c_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$.

In the following lemma, we show \mathbf{m}_δ is a complete quasi-distance on $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $0 < \delta < 1$. \mathbf{m}_δ satisfies the following.*

- (i) $\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_0) \geq 0$, where the equality holds iff $\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{v}_1$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \leq C(\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2) + \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1))$, for some absolute constant $C > 0$ which does not depend on δ .
- (iii) If $\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_m) \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$), then $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}_n$ converges in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$.

(iv) For $\mathbf{v}_0 = (v_0, c_0), \mathbf{v}_1 = (v_1, c_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|v_1\|_{H^1} - \|v_0\|_{H^1} \right| + \|v_0 - v_1\|_{L^2} + |\log c_0 - \log c_1| &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \\ &\lesssim \|v_0 - v_1\|_{H^1} + |\log c_0 - \log c_1|, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constants do not depend on δ .

Proof. By the definition of \mathbf{m}_δ , we have (i). The right inequality of (iv) follows the equivalence of $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_E$. From the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \|v_0\|_{H^1}^2 - \|v_1\|_{H^1}^2 \right| \\ &\lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \min_{j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j\|_{H^1}^2 - \|\tau_q P_\gamma v_{j-1}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1} (\|P_\gamma v_j - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{j-1}\|_{H^1} + |q| \|P_\gamma v_{1-j}\|_{H^1})) \\ &\quad + (\|v_0\|_{H^1} + \|v_1\|_{H^1}) \|P_d(v_0 - v_1)\|_E \\ &\lesssim (\|v_0\|_{H^1} + \|v_1\|_{H^1}) \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0 - v_1\|_{L^2} &\leq \|P_\gamma(v_0 - v_1)\|_{L^2} + \|P_d(v_0 - v_1)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \{ \|P_\gamma v_j - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}\|_{L^2} + |q| \|\nabla P_\gamma v_{1-j}\|_{L^2} \} + \|P_d(v_0 - v_1)\|_E \\ &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the left inequality of (iv).

Next, we show the quasi-triangle inequality (ii). Let $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$. In the case

$$\|P_\gamma v_2\|_{H^1} \ll \min\{\|P_\gamma v_0\|_{H^1}, \|P_\gamma v_1\|_{H^1}\},$$

by the inequality

$$\|P_\gamma v_j\|_{H^1} \lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|\tau_q P_\gamma v_2 - P_\gamma v_j\|_{H^1}, \quad (j = 0, 1)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) &\lesssim \|P_d(v_0 - v_2)\|_{H^1} + \|P_\gamma u_0\|_{H^1} + |\log c_0 - \log c_2| \\ &\quad + \|P_d(v_1 - v_2)\|_{H^1} + \|P_\gamma u_1\|_{H^1} + |\log c_1 - \log c_2| \\ &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2) + \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1). \end{aligned}$$

In the case

$$\min\{\|P_\gamma v_0\|_{H^1}, \|P_\gamma v_1\|_{H^1}\} \lesssim \|P_\gamma v_2\|_{H^1},$$

by the equivalence between $\|\cdot\|_E$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) &\lesssim \inf_{q_0, q_1 \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j - \tau_{q_j} P_\gamma v_2\|_{H^1} + \sqrt{\delta} |q_j| \min\{\phi_\delta(v_j), \phi_\delta(v_2)\}) \\ &\quad + \|\tau_{q_j} P_\gamma v_2 - \tau_{q_j - q_{1-j}} P_\gamma v_{1-j}\|_{H^1} + \sqrt{\delta} |q_{1-j}| \min\{\phi_\delta(v_2), \phi_\delta(v_{1-j})\}) \\ &\quad + \|P_d(v_0 - v_1)\|_E + |\log c_0 - \log c_1| \\ &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_2) + \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (ii) holds true.

Finally, we show the completeness of \mathbf{m}_δ . Let $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ with $\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. We show $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}_n$ has a convergent subsequence. If $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}_n$ has a subsequence $\{\mathbf{v}_{n_k}\}_k$ with $\|P_\gamma v_{n_k}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{\mathbf{v}_{n_k}\}_k$ is a convergent sequence. Hence, we assume

$$\inf_n \|P_\gamma v_n\|_{H^1} > c > 0.$$

Since $\{\mathbf{v}_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbf{m}_δ , there exists a subsequence $\{\mathbf{v}_{n_k}\}_k \subset \{\mathbf{v}_n\}_n$ such that

$$\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_{n_k}, \mathbf{v}_{n_{k+1}}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}.$$

Thus, there exist $q_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_{q_k} P_\gamma v_{n_k} - P_\gamma v_{n_{k+1}}\|_{H^1} + \frac{|q_k|c}{\sqrt{\delta}} &\leq \|\tau_{q_k} P_\gamma v_{n_k} - P_\gamma v_{n_{k+1}}\|_{H^1} \\ &+ \frac{|q_k| \min\{\|P_\gamma v_{n_k}\|_{H^1}, \|P_\gamma v_{n_{k+1}}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)}\}}{\sqrt{\delta}} \leq \frac{C}{2^{k+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Let

$$p_k = \sum_{j \geq k} q_j.$$

Then, from (3.27) we have $p_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\|\tau_{p_k} P_\gamma v_{n_k} - \tau_{p_{k+1}} P_\gamma v_{n_{k+1}}\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{C}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\tau_{p_k} P_\gamma v_{n_k}\}_k$ converges to an element $v_* \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and $P_\gamma v_{n_k}$ also converge to v_* in H^1 . Since any Cauchy sequence in \mathbf{m}_δ has a convergent sequence in \mathbf{m}_δ , \mathbf{m}_δ is complete. \square

In the following lemma, we show the Lipschitz continuity of the flow of the system (3.1)–(3.2) on the quasi-metric space $(H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), \mathbf{m}_\delta)$ and the estimate of the nonlinear term. To prove the following lemma, we apply the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [34]. In our equation, since the order of $\dot{\rho} - c$ is same as v , we can not show the statement of Lemma 3.2 in [34] directly.

Lemma 3.8. *There exists $T^*, \delta^* > 0$ such that for any $0 < \delta < \delta^*$ and solutions $(\mathbf{v}_j, \rho_j) =$*

(v_j, c_j, ρ_j) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) given in Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)) \lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) \quad (3.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \left(\left\| P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t) - e^{tA}(v_0(0) - v_1(0))) \right\|_E \right. \\ & + \left| \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(t) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(t)\|_E^2 + \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(t))^2 \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. - \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2 \right)^{1/2} \right| \\ & \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where the implicit constants do not depend on δ and solutions (\mathbf{v}_j, ρ_j) .

Proof. To prove Lemma 3.8, we treat three cases. Let $C_1, C_0 > 0$ be large positive numbers with $C_0 \ll C_1 \ll C_2$ and (\mathbf{v}_j, ρ_j) be solutions to the system (3.1)–(3.2) given in Theorem 3.4.

Case (I) We consider the case $\|v_j(0)\|_{H^1} + |c_j(0) - c^*| < C_1 \delta$ ($j = 0, 1$). Then, for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ and any $a_1, a_2 \in (c^* - 2C_1 \delta, c^* + 2C_1 \delta)$, we have

$$|\log a_1 - \log a_2| \simeq |a_1 - a_2| < 4C_1 \delta.$$

For $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$, we define

$$\rho_j^q(t) = q + c^* t + \int_0^t \chi_\delta(v_j(s), c_j(s)) (\dot{\rho}_j(s) - c^*) ds.$$

Let $\zeta_{\rho,j} = \tau_\rho P_\gamma v_j$, $Q_c^j = \tau_\rho Q_c$, $\chi_\delta^j = \chi_\delta(v_j, c_j - c^*)$ and $N^{\rho,j} = \tau_\rho P_\gamma N(v_j, c_j, \rho_j)$. Then, from Theorem 3.4, $\zeta_{\rho_j^q, j}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \zeta_{\rho_j^q, j} = -\partial_x \Delta \zeta_{\rho_j^q, j} + \tau_{\rho_j^q} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] v_j - \partial_x (2Q_{c^*}^{\rho_j^q} \zeta_{\rho_j^q, j}) - \chi_\delta^j (\dot{\rho}_j - c^*) \partial_x \zeta_{\rho_j^q, j} + \chi_\delta^j N^{\rho_j^q, j}$$

and

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \left\| \zeta_{\rho_j^q, j} \right\|_E \lesssim \|v_j(0)\|_{H^1},$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$. The difference $\zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0}, 0} - \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1}, 1}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0}, 0} - \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1}, 1}) &= -\partial_x \Delta (\zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0}, 0} - \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1}, 1}) + \tau_{\rho_0^{q_0}} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] v_0 - \tau_{\rho_1^{q_1}} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] v_1 \\ &\quad - 2\partial_x (Q_{c^*}^{\rho_0^{q_0}} \zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0}, 0} - Q_{c^*}^{\rho_1^{q_1}} \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1}, 1}) - \chi_\delta^0 (\dot{\rho}_0 - c^*) \partial_x \zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0}, 0} \\ &\quad + \chi_\delta^1 (\dot{\rho}_1 - c^*) \partial_x \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1}, 1} + \chi_\delta^0 N^{\rho_0^{q_0}, 0} - \chi_\delta^1 N^{\rho_1^{q_1}, 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We estimate each term of (3.30). By the simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_\delta^0(t) - \chi_\delta^1(t)| &\lesssim \frac{|\|v_0(t)\|_{H^1} - \|v_1(t)\|_{H^1}| + |c_0(t) - c_1(t)|}{\delta} \lesssim \frac{\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))}{\delta} \\ \|\partial_x (v_0(t))^2 - \partial_x (v_1(t))^2\|_{H^{-2}} &\lesssim \|v_0(t) - v_1(t)\|_{L^2} \max_{j=0,1} \|v_j(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \delta \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)) \\ |\dot{c}_0(t) - \dot{c}_1(t)| &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)) \\ |\dot{\rho}_0(t) - c_0(t) - \dot{\rho}_1(t) + c_1(t)| &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t) - e^{tA}(v_0(0) - v_1(0))) \right\|_E \\ & \lesssim \left| \int_0^t \delta \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(s), \mathbf{v}_1(s)) ds \right| \leq |t| \delta \sup_{|s| \leq |t|} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(s), \mathbf{v}_1(s)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

From the boundedness of the operator norm of $e^{t\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}}$, there exists $k^* > 0$ such that for $|t| \leq T^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_d e^{tA}(v_0(0) - v_1(0)) \right\|_E & \lesssim \left\| P_d(v_0(0) - v_1(0)) \right\|_E + \left\| \zeta_{q_0,0}(0) - \zeta_{q_1,1}(0) \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + |q_0 - q_1| \min_{j=0,1} \|v_j(0)\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t)) \right\|_E & \lesssim \left\| P_d(v_0(0) - v_1(0)) \right\|_E + \left\| \zeta_{q_0,0}(0) - \zeta_{q_1,1}(0) \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + |q_0 - q_1| \min_{j=0,1} \|v_j(0)\|_{L^2} + (1 + |t|) \delta \sup_{|s| \leq |t|} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(s), \mathbf{v}_1(s)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

By $P_\gamma = I - P_d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \tau_{\rho_0^{q_0}} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] v_0 - \tau_{\rho_1^{q_1}} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] v_1 \right\|_{H^1} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0},0} - \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1},1} \right\|_{L^2} + |q_0 - q_1| \min_{j=0,1} \|v_j\|_{L^2} + \left\| P_d(v_0 - v_1) \right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

From the definition of the system (3.1)–(3.2), the differences $\dot{\rho}_0 - c_0 - \dot{\rho}_1 + c_1$ and $\dot{c}_0 - \dot{c}_1$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{\rho}_0 - c_0 - \dot{\rho}_1 + c_1| & \lesssim \left\| P_d(v_0 - v_1) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0},0} - \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1},1} \right\|_{L^2} + |q_0 - q_1| \min_{j=0,1} \|v_j\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \delta |c_0(0) - c_1(0)|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

$$|\dot{c}_0 - \dot{c}_1| \lesssim \delta \left(|c_0(0) - c_1(0)| + \left\| P_d(v_0 - v_1) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \zeta_{\rho_0^{q_0},0} - \zeta_{\rho_1^{q_1},1} \right\|_{L^2} + |q_0 - q_1| \min_{j=0,1} \|v_j\|_{L^2} \right). \quad (3.35)$$

Applying the similar estimate as (3.18) and (3.21), for $0 < T < T^*/4$ and $w_0, w_1 \in X^{1,b}$ with $\tau_{-\rho_j^{q_j}} w_j(t) = P_\gamma v_j(t)$ ($|t| \leq T^*, j = 0, 1$) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_x (Q_{c^*}^{\rho_0^{q_0}} w_0 - Q_{c^*}^{\rho_1^{q_1}} w_1) \right\|_{X_T^{1,0}} \\ & \lesssim \delta (|q_0 - q_1| + |c_0(0) - c_1(0)| + \|\dot{\rho}_0 - c_0 - \dot{\rho}_1 + c_1\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{c}_0 - \dot{c}_1\|_{L_T^2}) + \|w_0 - w_1\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \chi_\delta^0 \tau_{\rho_0^{q_0}} \partial_x (\tau_{-\rho_0^{q_0}} w_0 + P_d v_0)^2 - \chi_\delta^1 \tau_{\rho_1^{q_1}} \partial_x (\tau_{-\rho_1^{q_1}} w_1 + P_d v_1)^2 \right\|_{X_T^{1,2b-\frac{3}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim_b \delta \left(\|w_0 - w_1\|_{X_T^{1,b}} + |c_0(0) - c_1(0)| + \|\dot{c}_0 - \dot{c}_1\|_{L_T^2} + \|\dot{\rho}_0 - c_0 - \dot{\rho}_1 + c_1\|_{L_T^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |q_0 - q_1| \sup_{|t| \leq T} \min_{j=0,1} \|v_j(t)\|_{L^2} + \sup_{|t| \leq T} \left\| P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t)) \right\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

By the equations (3.32)–(3.37), from a priori estimate of (3.30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \left\| \zeta_{\rho_0^0,0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_1^1,1}(t) \right\|_E &\lesssim \|P_d(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E + \|\zeta_{q_0,0}(0) - \zeta_{q_1,1}(0)\|_E \\ &\quad + \delta|q_0 - q_1| + \delta|c_0(0) - c_1(0)| + T^* \delta \sup_{|s| \leq |t|} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(s), \mathbf{v}_1(s)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

for small $T^* > 0$ and $|t| < T^*$. Therefore, we obtain (3.28) by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))^2 \\ &\lesssim \sup_{|t| \leq T^*} \left(\|P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E^2 + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\left\| \zeta_{\rho_j^0,j}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j}^q,1-j}(t) \right\|_E^2 + \delta|\rho_j^0(t) - \rho_{1-j}^q(t)|^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |c_0(t) - c_1(t)|^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \|P_d(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2 + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|\zeta_{0,j}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta|q|^2 \right) + |c_0(0) - c_1(0)|^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

The equations (3.31) and (3.39) yields

$$\left\| P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t) - e^{t\mathcal{A}}(v_0(0) - v_1(0))) \right\|_E \lesssim \delta \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)). \quad (3.40)$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $q = q(\epsilon) > 0$ and $j_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_p P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta|p|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2 \right) \geq \|\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta|q|^2 - \epsilon.$$

To show the inequality (3.29), we estimate the right hand side of following inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(t) - \tau_p P_\gamma v_{1-j}(t)\|_E^2 + \delta|p|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(t))^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_p P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta|p|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2 \right) - \epsilon \\ &\lesssim \left\| \zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t) \right\|_E^2 + \delta|\rho_{j_0}^0 - \rho_{1-j_0}^q|^2 - \|\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)\|_E^2 - \delta|q|^2 \\ &\lesssim \left\| P_d(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)) \right\|_E^2 - \|P_d(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0))\|_E^2 \\ &\quad + \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)), P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &\quad - \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &\quad + \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &\quad - \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &\quad + \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &\quad - \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)), P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &\quad + \delta(|\rho_{j_0}^0 - \rho_{1-j_0}^q|^2 - |q|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|q|\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} - \epsilon \lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_d(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)) \right\|_E &= \left\| P_d(\zeta_{0,1-j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)) \right\|_E \\ &\lesssim |\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0| \|\zeta_{0,1-j_0}(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) + \epsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(|\rho_{j_0}^0(t) - \rho_{1-j_0}^q(t)|^2 - |q|^2) \\ &\lesssim \delta \left(|q| \int_0^t |\chi_\delta^0(\dot{\rho}_0 - c^*) - \chi_\delta^1(\dot{\rho}_1 - c^*)| ds + \left(\int_0^t |\chi_\delta^0(\dot{\rho}_0 - c^*) - \chi_\delta^1(\dot{\rho}_1 - c^*)| ds \right)^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) + \epsilon)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

The same calculation as (3.41) yields

$$\|P_d(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0))\|_E \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) + \epsilon), \quad (3.43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)), P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \right| \\ &\lesssim \left\| P_d(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)) \right\|_E^2 \\ &\lesssim \delta (\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) + \epsilon)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)), P_\gamma(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \right| \\ &\lesssim \delta (\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) + \epsilon)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Next, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &\quad - \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \\ &= \int_0^t \partial_s \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(s) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(s)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(s) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(s) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t(\zeta_{0,j_0} - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}) \\ &= \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0} - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}) + [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] v_{j_0} - \tau_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] v_{1-j_0} \\ &\quad - 2\partial_x((Q_{c^*} - Q_{c^*}^{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}) \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}) \\ &\quad + \left(\chi_\delta^{j_0}(\dot{\rho}_{j_0} - c^*) - \chi_\delta^{1-j_0}(\dot{\rho}_{1-j_0} - c^*) \right) \partial_x \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0} \\ &\quad + \chi_\delta^{j_0} N^{0,j} - \chi_\delta^{1-j_0} N^{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}, \end{aligned}$$

by the similar calculation to (3.26) in the proof of Theorem 3.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(t) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(t) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q, 1-j_0}(0)), \zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q, 1-j_0}(0) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \right| \\
& \lesssim \delta(\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) + \varepsilon)^2 + \sup_{|s| < |t|} \left| \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_0}(s) - \zeta_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0, 1-j_0}(s)), [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{j_0}(s) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \tau_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j_0}(s) \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \right|. \tag{3.46}
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\mathbb{L}_{c^*}[P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] = -\mathbb{L}_{c^*}(P_1 + P_2)\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} + \mathbb{L}_{c^*}\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(P_1 + P_2) = 0. \tag{3.47}$$

The above equation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \left([P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{j_0} - \tau_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j_0} \right) \right\|_{H^1} \\
& \leq \left\| \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \left([P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j_0} - \tau_{\rho_{1-j_0}^q - \rho_{j_0}^0} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j_0} \right) \right\|_{H^1} + \left\| \mathbb{L}_{c^*}[P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}](v_{j_0} - v_{1-j_0}) \right\|_{H^1} \\
& \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)). \tag{3.48}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the inequalities (3.41)–(3.48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(t) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(t)\|_E^2 + \delta|q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(t))^2 \right) \\
& - \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta|q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2 \right) \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2 \tag{3.49}
\end{aligned}$$

Showing the lower estimate of (3.49) by reversing time, we complete the proof in Case (I).

Case (II) We consider the case $\min_{j=0,1} \|v_j(0)\|_{H^1} + |c_j(0) - c^*| > C_0 \delta$. In this case, since $\chi_\delta(v_j, c_j - c^*) = 0$, the solution (v_j, c_j, ρ_j) to system (3.1)–(3.2) is a solution to the linear system (3.8)–(3.9). Therefore, from the equation (3.11) we obtain $c_j(t) = c_j(0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E \lesssim \|P_d e^{tA} P_d(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \\
& + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_d e^{tA} (P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0))\|_E + \|P_d e^{tA} (P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0))\|_E \right) \\
& \lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) \tag{3.50}
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $t > 0$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\|P_\gamma v_j(t)\|_E = \|P_\gamma v_j(0)\|_E \text{ and } \phi_\delta(v_j(t)) = \phi_\delta(v_j(0)) \tag{3.51}$$

for $j = 0, 1$. Let $\tilde{\zeta}_{q,j}(t) = P_\gamma v_j(t) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(t)$. Then, $\tilde{\zeta}_{q,j}$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j} = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j} + [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_j - \tau_q [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j} + 2\partial_x((\tau_q Q_{c^*} - Q_{c^*})\tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}). \tag{3.52}$$

Since $\tau_{q+c^*t} P_\gamma v_{1-j}$ is the solution to

$$w_t = -\partial_x \Delta w - 2\partial_x((\tau_{q+c^*t} Q_{c^*})w) + \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2} (w, \tau_{q+c^*t} \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \tau_{q+c^*t} \partial_x Q_{c^*}$$

with the initial data $\tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)$, we have there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\|\tau_{q+c^*t} P_\gamma v_{1-j}\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \lesssim \|P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E. \quad (3.53)$$

By the inequality (3.6) and (3.53) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x((\tau_q Q_{c^*} - Q_{c^*})\tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j})\|_{X_T^{1,0}} &= \|\tau_{c^*t} \partial_x((\tau_q Q_{c^*} - Q_{c^*})\tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j})\|_{X_T^{1,0}} \\ &\lesssim |q| \|\tau_{q+c^*t} P_\gamma v_{1-j}\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \lesssim |q| \|P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

for $b > 1/2$. Combining the inequality (3.54) and the similar calculation to (3.33) and (3.38), for small $t > 0$ we obtain

$$\left\| \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j}(t) \right\|_E \lesssim \|P_d(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E + \left\| \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j}(0) \right\|_E + |q| \|P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E. \quad (3.55)$$

The above inequalities and equations (3.50)–(3.55) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))^2 &= \|P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E^2 + |\log c_0(0) - \log c_1(0)|^2 \\ &\quad + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\left\| \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j}(t) \right\|_E^2 + \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(t))^2 \right) \lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (3.51) yields

$$\left\| P_d \tilde{\zeta}_{j,q}(t) \right\|_E = \|P_d \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(t)\|_E \lesssim |q| \|P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E. \quad (3.56)$$

Since $[P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}] = -P_1 \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_\gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 - \tau_q)[P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j}(t)\|_{H^1} \\ &= \|\partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}^{-2} \|(P_\gamma v_{1-j}, \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \partial_x^2 Q_{c^*})_{L^2} (1 - \tau_q) \partial_x Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} \lesssim |q| \|P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E. \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

By the inequalities (3.52), (3.54) and (3.57), the equations (3.47) and the energy estimate, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^t \partial_t \langle \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j}(s), \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j}(s) \rangle ds \right| \lesssim |q| \|P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E \left\| \tilde{\zeta}_{q,j} \right\|_{L^\infty((-|t|, |t|)E)}. \quad (3.58)$$

Therefore, applying the calculation to show (3.49), by the equation (3.51) and the inequalities (3.54), (3.56) and (3.58) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(t) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(t)\|_E^2 + \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(t))^2 \right) \\ &- \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left(\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2 \right) \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2 \end{aligned}$$

By reversing time, we obtain (3.29) and complete the proof in Case (II).

Case (III) We consider the case $\|v_{1-j_1}(0)\|_{H^1} + |c_{1-j_1}(0) - c^*| > C_1 \delta \gg C_0 \delta > \|v_{j_1}(0)\|_{H^1} + |c_{j_1}(0) - c^*|$. By Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\|v_{1-j_1}(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_{1-j_1}(t) - c^*| \gtrsim C_1 \delta \gg C_0 \delta \gtrsim \|v_{j_1}(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_{j_1}(t) - c^*|$$

for sufficiently small $t > 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)) &\simeq \|v_{1-j_1}(t)\|_{H^1} + |\log c_{1-j_1}(t) - \log c^*| \\ &\simeq \|v_{1-j_1}(0)\|_{H^1} + |\log c_{1-j_1}(0) - \log c^*| \simeq \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) \gtrsim \delta \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $t > 0$. By the same argument as in Case (I), we obtain (3.40). Let $\zeta_{q,j} = \tau_q P_\gamma v_j$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\zeta_{0,j_1} - \zeta_{q-\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^0, 1-j_1}) &= \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,j_1} - \zeta_{q-\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^0, 1-j_1}) + [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{j_1} - \tau_{q-\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^0} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j_1} \\ &\quad + 2\partial_x((\tau_{q-\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^0} Q_{c^*} - Q_{c^*})\zeta_{q-\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^0, 1-j_1}) + \chi_\delta^{j_1}(\dot{\rho}_{j_1} - c^*)\partial_x \zeta_{0,j_1} + \chi_\delta^{j_1} N^{0,j_1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\zeta_{0,1-j_1} - \zeta_{\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q, j_1}) &= \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}(\zeta_{0,1-j_1} - \zeta_{\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q, j_1}) + [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{1-j_1} - \tau_{\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q} [P_\gamma, \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}]v_{j_1} \\ &\quad + 2\partial_x((\tau_{\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q} Q_{c^*} - Q_{c^*})\zeta_{\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q, j_1}) - \chi_\delta^{j_1}(\dot{\rho}_{j_1} - c^*)\partial_x \zeta_{\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q, j_1} - \chi_\delta^{j_1} N^{\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q, j_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho}_j^q(t) = q + \int_0^t \chi_\delta(v_j(s), c_j(s))(\dot{\rho}_j(s) - c^*) ds.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $q = q(\epsilon) > 0$ and $j_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_p P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta |p|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2) \\ &\geq \|\zeta_{0,j_0}(0) - \zeta_{q,1-j_0}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(0))^2 - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the smallness of v_{j_1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(0))^2 - \epsilon &\leq \|P_\gamma v_{j_0}(0) - P_\gamma v_{1-j_0}(0)\|_E^2 - \|P_\gamma v_{j_0}(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j_0}(0)\|_E^2 \\ &\lesssim |q| \|P_\gamma v_{1-j_0}(0)\|_E \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $0 < \epsilon < \delta^3$ we obtain

$$|q| \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(0)) \lesssim \max\{\delta, \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))\} \lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)). \quad (3.61)$$

Since

$$|\tilde{\rho}_{j_0}^q|^2 - |q|^2| + ||q - \tilde{\rho}_{j_0}^0|^2 - |q|^2| \lesssim \delta(|q| + \delta),$$

by the inequality (3.61) we have the estimate of the mobile distance part

$$\begin{aligned} &|\delta |\tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(t))^2 - \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(0))^2| + |\delta |q - \tilde{\rho}_{j_1}^0|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(t))^2 - \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(0))^2| \\ &\lesssim \delta^2 (|q| + \delta) \left(\frac{\|P_\gamma v_{1-j_0}(0)\|_E}{\delta} + 1 \right) \phi_\delta(v_{1-j_0}(0)) \\ &\lesssim \delta \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the energy estimate for (3.59) and (3.60), the arguments in Case (I) and Case (II) yield (3.29). \square

4 Construction of the center stable manifolds

In this section, we construct the center stable manifolds by applying the Hadamard method in [34]. Let \mathcal{H} be the complete quasi-metric space $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ with the quasi-distance \mathbf{m}_δ . We denote $\mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+$ by

$$\{G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow P_+H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L); G = G \circ P_{\leq 0}, G(0, c^*) = 0, \\ \|G(\mathbf{v}_0) - G(\mathbf{v}_1)\|_E \leq l\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \text{ for } \mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1 \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

where $P_{\leq 0}(v, c) = ((I - P_+)v, c)$. We define the graph $[G]$ of $G \in \mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+$ as

$$\{(v, c) \in \mathcal{H}; P_+v = G(v, c)\}.$$

In the following lemma, we show the upper estimate of the growth of unstable eigenmode.

Lemma 4.1. *There exist $0 < T^* < 1$ and $C_L > 0$ such that if $l, \delta > 0$ satisfy*

$$\delta + l \ll 1 \text{ and } l^{-1}\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \ll 1, \quad (4.1)$$

then for any solutions (v_j, c_j, ρ_j) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) ($j = 0, 1$) satisfying

$$\|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \leq l\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))$$

one has

$$\|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E \leq \begin{cases} C_L l\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)), & |t| \leq T^*, \\ l\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)), & -T^* \leq t \leq -\frac{T^*}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. By the boundedness of the operator $e^{t\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}}$ on $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$, we have for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\min\{e^{\pm k^* t}, e^{\pm k_* t}\} \|P_\pm(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \\ \leq \|P_\pm e^{t\mathcal{A}}(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \leq \max\{e^{\pm k^* t}, e^{\pm k_* t}\} \|P_\pm(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E, \quad (4.3)$$

where k^* and k_* are defined by (2.1). From Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(e^{t\mathcal{A}}(v_0(0) - v_1(0)), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = (v_0(0) - v_1(0), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$(e^{t\mathcal{A}}(v_0(0) - v_1(0)), Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = (v_0(0) - v_1(0), Q_{c^*})_{L^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

By the inequality (4.3), Lemma 3.8 yields that

$$\|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E \leq \|P_+ e^{t\partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*}}(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E + \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} C \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) \\ \leq (\max\{e^{k^* t}, e^{k_* t}\} l + \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} C) \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))^2 - |\log c_0(t) - \log c_1(t)|^2 \\
& \geq \|P_d(e^{tA}(v_0(0) - v_1(0)))\|_E^2 \\
& \quad + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta|q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2) - C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2 \quad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $|t|$. Plugging (4.3)–(4.5) into the estimate (4.7), we have there exist $C, T > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))^2 \geq \begin{cases} (1 - l^2 + e^{2k^*t}l^2 - C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2, & -T \leq t \leq 0, \\ (e^{-2k^*|t|} - C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2, & |t| \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

From (4.6) and (4.8) we obtain for sufficiently small $|t|$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E & \leq (e^{k^*|t|}l + C\delta^{\frac{1}{4}})(e^{k^*|t|} + C\delta^{\frac{1}{4}})\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)) \\
& \leq 2le^{2k^*|t|}\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

The above inequality yields (4.2) in the case with sufficiently small $|t|$. By the inequalities (4.6) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E & \leq l(1 - k_*T/3 + C\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}l^{-1})(1 + C(l + \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}))\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)) \\
& \leq l\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))
\end{aligned}$$

for $-T \leq t \leq -T/2$ and sufficiently small T and δ . This is the inequality (4.2) in the case for $-T^* \leq t \leq -T^*/2$. \square

The following lemma shows that the flow map $U_\delta(t)$ of the system (3.1)–(3.2) given by Theorem 3.4 yields the mapping on the set of graphs.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the condition (4.1), the solution map $U_\delta(t)$ of the system (3.1)–(3.2) for $|t| \leq T^*$ defines a map $\mathcal{U}_\delta(t) : \mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{C_L l, \delta}^+$ uniquely by the relation $U_\delta(t)([G] \times \mathbb{R}) = [\mathcal{U}_\delta(t)G] \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if $-T^* \leq t \leq -T^*/2$, then $\mathcal{U}_\delta(t)$ maps $\mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+$ into itself.*

Lemma 4.2 follows Lemma 4.1 and the similar proof to the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [34].

Let

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{G}^+} = \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{(0, c^*)\}} \frac{\|G(\mathbf{v})\|_E}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_E},$$

where

$$\|(v, c)\|_E^2 = \|v\|_E^2 + |\log c - \log c^*|^2.$$

Then, for $G \in \mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+$, we have

$$\|G(\mathbf{v})\|_E \leq l\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}, (0, c^*)) \leq l\|\mathbf{v}\|_E \text{ for } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+$ satisfies $\|G\|_{\mathcal{G}^+} \leq l$. By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}^+}$, we obtain the ordered pair $(\mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}^+})$ is the bounded complete metric space.

In the following lemma, we show the mapping $\mathcal{U}_\delta(t)$ in Lemma 4.2 is a contraction.

Lemma 4.3. *Under the condition (4.1), the mapping $\mathcal{U}_\delta(t)$ is a contraction on $(\mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}^+})$ for $t < -T^*/2$.*

Proof. Let $G_0, G_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+$ and $T \in [-T^*, -T^*/2]$. We define solutions (v_j, c_j, ρ_j) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) by

$$(v_j(t), c_j(t), \rho_j(t)) = U_\delta(t - T)(P_{\leq 0}\psi + (\mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j)(\psi, \alpha), \alpha, q)$$

for $j \in \{0, 1\}$, $(\psi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have

$$P_{\leq 0}v_0(T) = P_{\leq 0}v_1(T) \text{ and } P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T)) = v_0(T) - v_1(T). \quad (4.9)$$

The equality

$$P_+(P_{\leq 0}\psi + \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(\psi, \alpha)) = \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(P_{\leq 0}\psi, \alpha) = \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(P_{\leq 0}\psi + \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(\psi, \alpha), \alpha)$$

implies

$$P_{\leq 0}\psi + \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(\psi, \alpha) \in [\mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j].$$

Thus, we have

$$U_\delta(-T)(P_{\leq 0}\psi + \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(\psi, \alpha)) \in U_\delta(-T)[\mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j] = [G_j].$$

This inclusion yields

$$\begin{aligned} P_+v_j(0) &= P_+(U_\delta(-T)(P_{\leq 0}\psi + \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(\psi, \alpha))) \\ &= G_j(U_\delta(-T)(P_{\leq 0}\psi + \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_j(\psi, \alpha)), \alpha) = G_j(v_j(0), \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \leq \|G_0 - G_1\|_{\mathcal{G}^+} \|(P_{\leq 0}v_0(0), c_0(0))\|_E + l\mathfrak{m}_\delta(P_{\leq 0}\mathbf{v}_0(0), P_{\leq 0}\mathbf{v}_1(0)), \quad (4.10)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_j(t) = (v_j(t), c_j(t))$ for $j = 0, 1$. Since c_0 satisfies (3.2), if $\max\{|c_0(0) - c^*|, |c_0(T) - c^*|\} > \sqrt{2}\delta$, then $c_0(0) = c_0(T)$. Thus, Theorem 3.4 yields that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(P_{\leq 0}v_0(0), c_0(0))\|_E^2 \\ &\leq \|(P_- + P_0)(v_0(0) - e^{-T\mathcal{A}}v_0(T))\|_E^2 + \|(P_- + P_0)e^{-T\mathcal{A}}v_0(T)\|_E^2 \\ &\quad + 2\|(P_- + P_0)(v_0(0) - e^{-T\mathcal{A}}v_0(T))\|_E \|(P_- + P_0)e^{-T\mathcal{A}}v_0(T)\|_E \\ &\quad + \|P_\gamma v_0(0)\|_E^2 - \|P_\gamma v_0(T)\|_E^2 + \|P_\gamma v_0(T)\|_E^2 + |\log c_0(0) - \log c_0(T)|^2 \\ &\quad + 2|\log c_0(0) - \log c_0(T)| |\log c_0(T) - \log c^*| + |\log c_0(T) - \log c^*|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + 2C\delta) \|(P_{\leq 0}v_0(T), c_0(T))\|_E^2 + 2C\delta \|P_+v_0(T)\|_E^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|P_+v_0(T)\|_E = \|\mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_0(\psi, \alpha)\|_E \leq l\|(\psi, \alpha)\|_E$, by the definition $(v_0(T), c_0(T))$ we have

$$\|(P_{\leq 0}v_0(0), c_0(0))\|_E^2 \leq (1 + 4C\delta) \|(\psi, \alpha)\|_E^2. \quad (4.11)$$

Applying Lemma 3.8 from $t = T$, by the equation (4.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_d(v_0(t) - v_1(t) - e^{(t-T)\mathcal{A}}(v_0(T) - v_1(T)))\|_E \\ & + \inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j(t) - \tau_p P_\gamma v_{1-j}(t)\|_E + \delta^{1/2} |p| \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(t))) \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \|P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

for $T \leq t \leq 0$. By the inequalities (4.3) and (4.12), we have that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E & \geq \|e^{-T\mathcal{A}} P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E - C\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \|P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E \\ & \geq (e^{-k_* T} - C\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}) \|P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_p P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} |p| \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))) + \|P_-(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \\ & + \|P_0(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \|P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Therefore, by (4.14) we have

$$\mathbf{m}_\delta(P_{\leq 0} v_0(0), P_{\leq 0} v_1(0)) \lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \|P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E. \quad (4.15)$$

From the inequalities (4.10), (4.11), (4.13) and (4.15), we obtain there exists $0 < \Lambda < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E & \leq (e^{-k_* T} - C\delta^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-1} (1 - Cl\delta^{\frac{1}{4}})^{-1} (1 + 4C\delta)^{1/2} \|G_0 - G_1\|_{\mathcal{G}^+} \|(\psi, \alpha)\|_E \\ & \leq \Lambda \|G_0 - G_1\|_{\mathcal{G}^+} \|(\psi, \alpha)\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_0(\psi, \alpha) - \mathcal{U}_\delta(T)G_1(\psi, \alpha)\|_E}{\|(\psi, \alpha)\|_E} = \frac{\|P_+(v_0(T) - v_1(T))\|_E}{\|(\psi, \alpha)\|_E} \leq \Lambda \|G_0 - G_1\|_{\mathcal{G}^+}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{U}_\delta(T)$ is a contraction. \square

Applying Lemma 4.3, we obtain the existence of the fix point of $\mathcal{U}_\delta(t)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that $l, \delta > 0$ satisfy (4.1). Then there exists a unique $G_+^\delta \in \mathcal{G}_{l,\delta}^+$ such that $\mathcal{U}_\delta(t)G_+^\delta = G_+^\delta$ for all $t < 0$. Moreover, the uniqueness holds for any fixed $t < 0$.*

The proof of Proposition follows the proof of Theorem 3.6 in [34]. In the following lemma, we show the estimate of the smallness of the modulation parameter c .

Lemma 4.5. *Let $c^*/2 < c_0 < 2c^*$. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ satisfying $u = \tau_\rho(v + Q_c)$, $(v, Q_{c^*})_{L^2} = 0$, $\|v\|_{L^2} < \delta$ and $\|u\|_{L^2} = \|Q_{c_0}\|_{L^2}$, we have*

$$|c_0 - c| \lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + |c_0 - c^*| \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\|Q_{c_0}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|Q_c\|_{L^2}^2 = \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(v, Q_c - Q_{c^*})_{L^2},$$

we have

$$|c_0 - c| \lesssim \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + (|c_0 - c| + |c_0 - c^*|)\|v\|_{L^2}.$$

The smallness of $\|v\|_{L^2}$ yields the conclusion. \square

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, r) = \{ & \tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c); w \in (P_- + P_\gamma)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| < c^*/2, \\ & \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < r, \rho \in \mathbb{R} \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, r) = \{ & \tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c); w \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), \|P_0 w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)} < r^{1/2}, \\ & |c - c^*| < c^*/2, \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < r, \rho \in \mathbb{R} \} \end{aligned}$$

for $r > 0$.

We show the stability of Q_c on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c, \varepsilon)$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $l, \delta > 0$. Assume (4.1). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \tilde{\varepsilon}(c^*, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for $u_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \tilde{\varepsilon})$ the solution u to the equation (1.1) with the initial data u_0 satisfies $u(t) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$ for all $t > 0$.*

Proof. Let $l, \delta > 0$ satisfying (4.1). We prove the stability by contradiction. We assume there exists $0 < \varepsilon_0 \ll \delta^2$ such that for $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon_0$ there exist $t_0 > 0$ and the solution u to the equation (1.1) with the initial data $\tau_{\rho_0(0)}(v_0(0) + G_+^\delta(v_0(0), c_0(0)) + Q_{c_0(0)}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \tilde{\varepsilon})$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon_0$$

and

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t_0) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} = \varepsilon_0.$$

We define the solution $(v_0(t), c_0(t), \rho_0(t))$ to the system (3.1)–(3.2) with the initial data $(v_0(0) + G_+^\delta(v_0(0), c_0(0)), c_0(0), \rho_0(0))$ and the solution $(v_1(t), c_1(t), \rho_1(t))$ to the system (3.1)–(3.2) with the initial data $(v_1(0), c_1(0), \rho_1(0)) \in (I - P_0)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\tau_{\rho_0(0)}(v_0(0) + G_+^\delta(v_0(0), c_0(0)) + Q_{c_0(0)}) = \tau_{\rho_1(0)}(v_1(0) + Q_{c_1(0)})$. Then, by the invariance of $U_\delta(t)([G_+^\delta] \times \mathbb{R}) = [G_+^\delta] \times \mathbb{R}$, we have $G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t)) = P_+ v_0(t)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_{\rho_0} Q_{c_0} - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} & \lesssim \|P_0(Q_{c_0} - \tau_{q-\rho_0} Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} \\ & \lesssim \|P_0 v_0\|_{H^1} + \|\tau_{\rho_0}(v_0 + G_+^\delta(v_0, c_0) + Q_{c_0}) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0(t) + G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t))\|_{H^1} + |c_0(t) - c^*| & \lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} + \|P_0 v_0(0)\|_{H^1} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon_0 + \tilde{\varepsilon}^{1/2} \ll \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The smallness of $v_0 + G_+^\delta(v_0, c_0)$ and $c_0 - c^*$ yields

$$u(t) = \tau_{\rho_0(t)}(v_0(t) + G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t)) + Q_{c_0(t)})$$

for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Form the similar calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_1(0)\|_{H^1} &\lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (\|v_1(0) - Q_{c_1(0)} + \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} + \|Q_{c_1(0)} - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1}) \\ &\lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|v_1(0) - Q_{c_0(0)} + \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \tilde{\varepsilon} \lesssim \delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\tau_{\rho_1(t)}(v_1(t) + Q_{c_1(t)}) = \tau_{\rho_0(t)}(v_0(t) + G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t)) + Q_{c_0(t)}),$$

$$\|v_0(t) + G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t))\|_{H^1} + |c_0(t) - c^*| + |\rho_0(t) - \rho_1(t)| \lesssim \varepsilon_0 + \tilde{\varepsilon}^{1/2}$$

and

$$\|v_1(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_1(t) - c^*| \lesssim \varepsilon_0$$

for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. By the conservation of the action S_c , we obtain

$$S_{c^*}(u(t)) - S_{c^*}(Q_{c^*}) = \sum_{j,k} (\Lambda_k^{+,j}(t) \Lambda_k^{-,j}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \gamma(t), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \gamma(t) \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}} + C_N(u(t)),$$

where

$$\Lambda_k^{\pm, j}(t) = (v_1(t), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} F_k^{\mp, j})_{L^2}, \quad \gamma(t) = v_1(t) - \sum_{j,k} (\Lambda_k^{+,j}(t) F_k^{+,j} + \Lambda_k^{-,j}(t) F_k^{-,j})$$

and

$$C_N(u(t)) = S_{c^*}(u(t)) - S_{c^*}(Q_{c^*}) - \sum_{j,k} (\Lambda_k^{+,j}(t) \Lambda_k^{-,j}(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \gamma(t), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \gamma(t) \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}}. \quad (4.16)$$

We define $c_u > 0$ as

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2} = \|Q_{c_u}\|_{L^2}.$$

Then, we have

$$|c_u - c^*| \lesssim |\|u(0)\|_{L^2} - \|Q_{c^*}\|_{L^2}| \leq \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(0) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \tilde{\varepsilon}. \quad (4.17)$$

By the inequality (4.17) and Lemma 4.5, we obtain

$$|c_1(t) - c^*| \leq |c_1(t) - c_u| + |c_u - c^*| \lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon_0^2 \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$|C_N(u(t))| \lesssim |c_1(t) - c^*|^2 + \|v_1(t)\|_{H^1}^3 \lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon}^2 + \varepsilon_0^3. \quad (4.19)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j,k} |\Lambda_k^{+,j}(t)|^2 \\
& \lesssim \|P_+(v_0(t) + G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t)))\|_E^2 + |\rho_0(t) - \rho_1(t)|^2 \|v_0(t) + G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t))\|_E^2 \\
& = \|G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t))\|_E^2 + |\rho_0(t) - \rho_1(t)|^2 \|v_0(t) + G_+^\delta(v_0(t), c_0(t))\|_E^2 \\
& \lesssim (l^2 + \varepsilon_0^2 + \tilde{\varepsilon})\varepsilon_0^2,
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.16) and (4.19) we obtain

$$\langle \gamma(t), \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \gamma(t) \rangle_{H^1, H^{-1}} \lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon}^2 + (l^2 + \varepsilon_0^2 + \tilde{\varepsilon})^{1/2} \varepsilon_0^2 \quad (4.20)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Therefore, by the inequalities (4.18) and (4.20) we have

$$\sum_{j,k} (\Lambda_k^{-,j}(t_0))^2 \simeq \|v_1(t_0)\|_{H^1}^2 \simeq \varepsilon_0^2 \quad (4.21)$$

for sufficiently small $\tilde{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon_0$. By the system (3.1)–(3.2), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j,k} (\Lambda_k^{-,j}(t))^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j,k} -\lambda_k (\Lambda_k^{-,j}(t))^2 + O(\|v_1(t)\|_{H^1}^3 + |c_1(t) - c^*|^3). \quad (4.22)$$

Combining (4.21) and (4.22), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j,k} (\Lambda_k^{-,j}(t))^2 < 0$$

for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ such that $\sum_{j,k} (\Lambda_k^{-,j}(t))^2 \gg \varepsilon_0^3$. This contradicts (4.21) or $\|v_1(0)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon_0$. Thus, we obtain the conclusion. \square

In the following lemmas, to show the property of solutions to the equation (1.1) off the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{c_s}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$, we prove the estimate of the growth of unstable modes.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\delta, l_0 > 0$. Suppose*

$$\delta(1 + l_0)^4 \ll \min\{1, l_0^4\}. \quad (4.23)$$

There exists $T^ > 0$ such that for any solutions (v_0, c_0, ρ_0) and (v_1, c_1, ρ_1) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) satisfying*

$$(\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)))^2 - \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq l_0 \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E, \quad (4.24)$$

one has

$$(\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t)))^2 - \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \begin{cases} 2l_0 \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E, & 0 \leq t < T^*/2, \\ l_0 \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E, & T^*/2 \leq t \leq T^* \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} e^{k_* t/2} \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E, & 0 \leq t < T^*/2, \\ e^{k_* t/2} \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E, & T^*/2 \leq t \leq T^*, \end{cases}$$

where k_ is defined by the equation (2.1).*

Proof. By the assumption (4.24), we have there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \leq \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0)) \leq (1 + l_0)\|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E.$$

Lemma 3.8 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))^2 - \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E^2 \\ & \leq e^{-2k_*t} \|P_-(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2 + \|P_0(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2 \\ & \quad + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} (\|P_\gamma v_j(0) - \tau_q P_\gamma v_{1-j}(0)\|_E^2 + \delta |q|^2 \phi_\delta(v_{1-j}(0))^2) \\ & \quad + |\log c_0(0) - \log c_1(0)|^2 + C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2 \\ & \leq (l_0^2 + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + l_0)^2) \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2 \\ & \leq (l_0^2 + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + l_0)^2) (e^{2k_*t} - \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + l_0)^2)^{-1} \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T^*$. By the assumption (4.23), we obtain the conclusion. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\delta, l_0 > 0$. Suppose the assumption (4.23). There exists $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(c^*, \delta, l_0) > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_*$ and solutions $u_0(t)$ and $u_1(t)$ to the equation (1.1) satisfying*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_1(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon, \quad \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_0(0) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon \quad (4.25)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(0), \mathbf{v}_1(0))^2 - \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < l_0 \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E, \quad (4.26)$$

one has

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_0(t_0) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} \geq \varepsilon \quad (4.27)$$

for some $t_0 > 0$, where $(v_0(0), c_0(0), \rho_0(0))$ and $(v_1(0), c_1(0), \rho_1(0))$ satisfy

$$u_j(0) = \tau_{\rho_j(0)}(v_j(0) + Q_{c_j(0)}), \quad |(v_1(0), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2}| + |(v_1(0), Q_{c^*})_{L^2}| < \varepsilon^{1/2} \quad (4.28)$$

for $j = 0, 1$.

Proof. Let v_j be the solution to the system (3.1)–(3.2) with the initial data $(v_j(0), c_j(0), \rho_j(0))$. we show the inequality (4.27) by the contradiction. Assume for any $0 < \varepsilon_* \ll \delta^2$ there exist $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_*$ and solutions $u_0(t)$ and $u_1(t)$ to the equation (1.1) satisfying (4.25), (4.26), (4.28) and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_0(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon. \quad (4.29)$$

Since

$$\|v_1(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_1(t) - c^*| \lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_1(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \ll \delta$$

and $u_1(t) = \tau_{\rho_1(t)}(v_1(t) + Q_{c_1(t)})$ as long as $\|v_1(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c_1(t) - c^*|^2 < \delta^2$, we have $u_1(t) = \tau_{\rho_1(t)}(v_1(t) + Q_{c_1(t)})$ for all $t \geq 0$. Applying Lemma 4.7 repeatedly, we obtain

$$0 < \frac{1}{2}e^{k_*t/2}\|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \leq \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E \quad (4.30)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))^2 - \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 2l_0\|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E, \quad (4.31)$$

for all $t > 0$. Since

$$P_+(\tau_q Q_c) = 0$$

for $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E &\lesssim \|P_+v_0(t)\|_{H^1} + \|P_+v_1(t)\|_{H^1} \\ &\lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|v_0(t) + Q_{c_0(t)} - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|v_1(t) + Q_{c_1(t)} - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $0 < \varepsilon \ll \delta$, then by the assumption (4.25) and the inequality (4.30) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{k_*t/2}\|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E \leq \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E \lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_0(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} + \varepsilon \quad (4.32)$$

for $t > 0$ as long as $\|v_0(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c_0(t) - c_*|^2 < \delta^2$. By Lemma 4.7, the inequality (4.31) and the assumption (4.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c_0(t) - c_*|^2 &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), (0, c_*))^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathbf{m}_\delta(\mathbf{v}_0(t), \mathbf{v}_1(t))^2 + \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|(I - P_0)v_1(t) + Q_{c_1(t)} - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\quad + |(v_1(t), \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2}|^2 + |(v_1(t), Q_{c^*})_{L^2}|^2 \\ &\lesssim (1 + l_0)\|P_+(v_0(t) - v_1(t))\|_E^2 + \varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

The inequalities (4.32), (4.33) and (4.32) contradict the assumption (4.29) for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_* > 0$. Thus, the proof was completed. \square

In the following corollary, we show that solutions to the equation (1.1) off the center-stable manifold exit neighborhoods of a line solitary wave.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $\delta, l > 0$. Suppose (4.1) and (4.23). There exists $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon^*(c^*, \delta, l_0) > 0$ such that for $u(0) \in N_{c^*}(\varepsilon^*) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon^*)$, the solution u of the equation (1.1) corresponding to the initial data $u(0)$ satisfies*

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t_0) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} \geq \varepsilon^*,$$

for some $t_0 \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $u(0) \in N_{c^*}(\varepsilon^*) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon^*)$ and u be the solution to the equation (1.1) corresponding to the initial data $u(0)$. By applying Lemma 2.4, we define $v(0) = \tau_{-\rho(u(0))}u(0) - Q_{c(u(0))}$ and the solution (v_1, c_1, ρ_1) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) corresponding to the initial data $((P_- + P_\gamma)v(0) + G_+((P_- + P_\gamma)v(0), c(u(0))), c(u(0)), \rho(u(0)))$. Then, the solution

(v_0, c_0, ρ_0) to the system (3.1)–(3.2) corresponding to the initial data $(v(0), c(u(0)), \rho(u(0)))$ satisfies $u(t) = \tau_{\rho_0(t)}(v_0(t) + Q_{c_0(t)})$ as long as $\|v_0(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + |c_0(t) - c^*|^2 < \delta^2$. Since

$$(P_- + P_\gamma)(v_0(0) - v_1(0)) = 0,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{m}_\delta(v_0(0), v_1(0))^2 - \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2 = 0 \leq l_0^2 \|P_+(v_0(0) - v_1(0))\|_E^2.$$

Thus, the conclusion follows Lemma 4.8 and the inequality (4.32). \square

In the following corollary, we show the correspondence between $\mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $\delta, l > 0$. Suppose (4.1). There exist $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(c^*, \delta) > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$*

$$\mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c; w \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| \leq c^*/2, \|P_0(w + Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \\ & \quad \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon\} \\ = & \{\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c); w \in (P_- + P_\gamma)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| \leq c^*/2, \rho \in \mathbb{R}, \\ & \quad \|P_0(\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c) - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon) = & \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c; w \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| \leq c^*/2, \\ & \|P_0(w + Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Proof. By the definitions of $\mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon).$$

From Theorem 4.6, solutions $u(t)$ to the equation (1.1) with an initial data $u(0) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$ satisfy

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon_*$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, where ε_* is defined in Corollary 4.9. Therefore, Corollary 4.9 yields $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon) \cap (H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)) = \emptyset$. Thus, we obtain $\mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{cs}^\delta(c^*, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c; w \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| \leq c^*/2, \|P_0(w + Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \\ & \quad \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon\} \\ \subset & \{\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c); w \in (P_- + P_\gamma)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| \leq c^*/2, \rho \in \mathbb{R}, \\ & \quad \|P_0(\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c) - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c)$ satisfy that $w \in (P_- + P_\gamma)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$,

$$\|P_0(\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c) - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}$$

and

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon.$$

We define the solution $u_0(t)$ to the equation (1.1) with the initial data $\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c)$ and the solution $u_1(t)$ to the equation (1.1) with the initial data

$$P_{\leq 0}w_0 + G_+(P_{\leq 0}w_0, c^*) + Q_{c^*},$$

where $w_0 = \tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c) - Q_{c^*}$. By Theorem 4.6, there exists l_0 such that l_0 and δ satisfies (4.23) and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_j(t) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon_*(c^*, \delta, l_0)$$

for $j = 0, 1$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, where ε_* is defined in Lemma 4.8. Since $u_1(0)$ satisfy the assumption (4.28) for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_*$, by Lemma 4.8 we have

$$l_0 \|P_+(u_0(0) - u_1(0))\|_E \lesssim \|P_{\leq 0}(u_0(0) - u_1(0))\|_{H^1} = 0$$

and $u_0(0) = u_1(0)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \{w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c; w \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| \leq c^*/2, \|P_0(w + Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \\ & \quad \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon\} \\ \supset & \{\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c); w \in (P_- + P_\gamma)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L), |c - c^*| \leq c^*/2, q \in \mathbb{R}, \\ & \|P_0(\tau_\rho(w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c) - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^{1/2}, \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|P_0(w + Q_c - Q_{c^*})\|_{H^1} \lesssim \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1}$$

for $w \in (P_- + P_\gamma)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and $|c - c^*| < c^*/2$, we have the equation (4.34) for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. □

5 Smoothness of the center stable manifolds

In this section, we show the center stable manifolds has the C^1 regularity by applying the argument in [17].

The following lemma shows the local uniqueness of \mathcal{M}_{cs}^δ .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $l, l_0, \delta_0, \delta_1 > 0$. Assume (δ_0, l) and (δ_1, l) satisfy (4.1) and assume (δ_0, l_0) and (δ_1, l_0) satisfy (4.23). Then, there exists $r_0 = r_0(\delta_0, \delta_1) > 0$ such that*

$$G_+^{\delta_0}(w, c) = G_+^{\delta_1}(w, c),$$

where $(w, c) \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1} \left\| w + G_+^{\delta_j}(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*} \right\|_{H^1} < r_0.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_0}(c^*, r_0) = \mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_1}(c^*, r_0)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that for $t \geq 0$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_j}(c^*, r_1)$,

$$v_j(t) \in \mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_j}(c^*, \varepsilon_0),$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = \min_{j=0,1} \varepsilon_*(c^*, \delta_j, l_0)/2$, v_j is the solution to the equation (1.1) with $v_j(0) = \phi_j$ and the constant ε_* is defined in Lemma 4.8. We prove the conclusion by contradiction. Assume for any $r > 0$ there exist $j_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\tau_\rho(w + G_+^{\delta_{j_0}}(w, c) + Q_c) \in \mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_{j_0}}(c^*, r) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_{1-j_0}}(c^*, r)$$

such that $w \in (P_- + P_\gamma)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| w + G_+^{\delta_{j_0}}(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*} \right\|_{H^1} < r.$$

Then, $G_+^{\delta_{j_0}}(w, c) \neq G_+^{\delta_{1-j_0}}(w, c)$ and

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| w + G_+^{\delta_{1-j_0}}(w, c) + Q_c - Q_{c^*} \right\|_{H^1} \leq r + \left\| G_+^{\delta_{j_0}}(w, c) - G_+^{\delta_{1-j_0}}(w, c) \right\|_{H^1} \lesssim r.$$

Without loss of generality, we can choose r satisfying

$$\max_{j=0,1} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| w + G_+^{\delta_j}(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*} \right\|_{H^1} < \min\{r_1, \varepsilon_0\}$$

and

$$\|w\|_{H^1}^2 + |c - c^*|^2 < \min_{j=0,1} \delta_j.$$

Let u_j be the solution to (1.1) with $u_j(0) = \tau_\rho(w + G_+^{\delta_j}(w, c) + Q_c)$. Then, we have for $t \geq 0$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$

$$u_j(t) \in \mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_j}(c^*, \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.1)$$

By the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{m}_{\delta_j}((w + G_+^{\delta_0}(w, c), c), (w + G_+^{\delta_1}(w, c), c))^2 - \|G_+^{\delta_0}(w, c) - G_+^{\delta_1}(w, c)\|_E^2)^{1/2} \\ & < l_0 \|G_+^{\delta_0}(w, c) - G_+^{\delta_1}(w, c)\|_E \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 4.8, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|u_{1-j}(t_0) - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} = \varepsilon_*(c^*, \delta_j, l_0)$$

which contradict (5.1). Thus, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_0}(c^*, r) = \mathcal{M}_{cs}^{\delta_1}(c^*, r).$$

□

The following corollary shows the tangent plain of the center stable manifolds \mathcal{M}_{cs} at $\tau_q Q_{c^*}$ is $\tau_q(P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) + Q_{c^*})$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\delta, l > 0$. Assume (4.1). Then, for any $l_* > 0$ there exists $\delta_{l_*} > 0$ such that*

$$\|G_+^\delta(w_0, c_0) - G_+^\delta(w_1, c_1)\|_E \leq l_*(\|w_0 - w_1\|_E + |\log c_0 - \log c_1|)$$

for $w_0, w_1 \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and $c_0, c_1 > 0$ satisfying

$$\max_{j=0,1}(\|w_j\|_E + |\log c_j - \log c^*|) \leq \delta_{l_*}.$$

Proof. For any $l_* > 0$, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $G_+^{\delta_0} \in \mathcal{G}_{l_*, \delta_0}^+$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists $r > 0$ such that $G_+^\delta(w, c) = G_+^{\delta_0}(w, c)$ for $(w, c) \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} < r.$$

Since there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \|w + G_+^\delta(w, c) + Q_c - \tau_q Q_{c^*}\|_{H^1} \leq C(\|w\|_{H^1} + |\log c - \log c^*|),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_+^\delta(w_0, c_0) - G_+^\delta(w_1, c_1)\|_E &= \|G_+^{\delta_0}(w_0, c_0) - G_+^{\delta_0}(w_1, c_1)\|_E \\ &\leq l_*(\|w_0 - w_1\|_E + |\log c_0 - \log c_1|) \end{aligned}$$

for $(w_0, c_0), (w_1, c_1) \in P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\max_{j=0,1}(\|w_j\|_{H^1} + |\log c_j - \log c^*|) < C^{-1}r.$$

□

In the rest of this section, we prove that G_+^δ is at least C^1 in $P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ by applying the argument in the section 2.3 in [17]. Let $\varepsilon, a_0, a_1 > 0$ and $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in (P_- + P_\gamma + P_1)H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ with $\|\psi_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)} < \varepsilon$ and $|a_0 - c^*| < \varepsilon$. We consider solution (v_0, c_0, ρ_0) to the system (2.5) and (2.8) such that

$$v_0(0) = \psi_0 + G_+^\delta(\psi_0, a_0), \quad c_0(0) = a_0.$$

Let v_h be a solution to the equation

$$v_t = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} v + (\dot{\rho}_0 - c^*) \partial_x v + 2\partial_x((Q_{c^*} - Q_{c_h})v) + (\dot{\rho}_0 - c_h) \partial_x Q_{c_h} - \dot{c}_0 \partial_c Q_{c_h} - \partial_x(v^2) \quad (5.2)$$

with the initial data $v_h(0) = \psi_0 + h\psi_1 + G_+^\delta(\psi_0 + h\psi_1, a_0 + ha_1)$, where

$$c_h(t) = c_0(t) + ha_1.$$

Then, $\tau_{\rho_0}(v_0 + Q_{c_0})$ and $\tau_{\rho_0}(v_h + Q_{c_h})$ are solutions to the equation (1.1). By the Lipschitz continuity of G_+^δ , for any sequence $\{h_n\}_n$ with $h_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ there exist a subsequence $\{h'_n\}_n \subset \{h_n\}_n$ and $\psi_+ \in P_+H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ such that

$$\frac{G_+^\delta(\psi_0 + h'_n \psi_1, a_0 + h'_n a_1) - G_+^\delta(\psi_0, a_0)}{h'_n} \rightarrow \psi_+ \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $w_h = \tau_{\rho_0} v_h$ for $h \geq 0$. Then, for $h \geq 0$, w_h is the solution to the equation

$$w_t = -\partial_x \Delta w - 2\partial_x(\tau_{\rho_0} Q_{c_h} w) + (\dot{\rho}_0 - c_h)\tau_{\rho_0} \partial_x Q_{c_h} - \dot{c}_0 \tau_{\rho_0} \partial_c Q_{c_h} - \partial_x(w^2)$$

with $w_h(0) = v_h(0)$. From the well-posedness result of the equation (1.1) in [31], we have there exists $b_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ such that for $T > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} < b < b_0$ there exists $C = C(T, b) > 0$ satisfying

$$\|w_0\|_{X_T^{1,b}} \leq C \|v_0(0)\|_{H^1}. \quad (5.3)$$

We define ξ as the solution to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t = & -\partial_x \Delta \xi - 2\partial_x(\tau_{\rho_0} Q_{c_0} \xi) - 2a_1 \partial_x(\tau_{\rho_0} \partial_c Q_{c_0} w_0) - a_1 \tau_{\rho_0} \partial_x Q_{c_0} \\ & + a_1(\dot{\rho}_0 - c_0)\tau_{\rho_0} \partial_x \partial_c Q_{c_0} - \dot{c}_0 a_1 \tau_{\rho_0} \partial_c^2 Q_{c_0} - 2\partial_x(w_0 \xi) \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

with the initial data $\xi(0) = \psi_1 + \psi_+$. By the smoothness of the flow map of the equation (1.1) given by [31], we have that for $T > 0$

$$\left\| \frac{w_{h'_n} - w_0}{h'_n} - \xi \right\|_{L^\infty((-T, T), H^1)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

Let $\eta = \tau_{-\rho_0} \xi$. Then, η satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t = & \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \eta - 2\partial_x((Q_{c_0} - Q_{c^*})\eta) + (\dot{\rho}_0 - c^*)\partial_x \eta - 2a_1 \partial_x(\partial_c Q_{c_0} v_0) \\ & - a_1 \partial_x Q_{c_0} + a_1(\dot{\rho}_0 - c_0)\partial_x \partial_c Q_{c_0} - \dot{c}_0 a_1 \partial_c^2 Q_{c_0} - 2\partial_x(v_0 \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

We define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E_\kappa}$ by

$$\|u\|_{E_\kappa} = \|(I - P_1)u + \kappa P_1 u\|_{E_\kappa}.$$

In the following lemma, we show the behavior of solutions of the equation (5.6) (see Lemma 2.4 in [17].)

Lemma 5.3. *Let $C, \kappa, K_0 > 0$. There exists $K_1(C, K_0), \kappa_0(C, K_0) > 0$ satisfying the following property. Let (v_0, c_0, ρ_0) be a solution to the system (2.5) and (2.8) satisfying $\sup_{t \geq 0} (\|v_0(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_0(t) - c^*|) \leq C\kappa$ and $\tau_{\rho_0}(v_0 + Q_{c_0})$ is a solution to the equation (1.1). Then, for $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ the Cauchy problem of the equation (5.6) is global well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$. Precisely, there exists $b > \frac{1}{2}$ such that for any $\eta_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ there exists a unique solution ξ to the equation (5.4) satisfying that $\xi(0) = \tau_{-\rho_0(0)} \eta_0$,*

$$w \in X_T^{1,b} \text{ for } T > 0$$

and $\tau_{-\rho_0} \xi$ is a solution to the equation (5.6) with initial data η_0 . Moreover, if a solution η to the equation (5.6) with initial data $\eta(0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ satisfies $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$ and

$$K_0 \kappa^{\frac{1}{3}} (\|P_{\leq 0} \eta(t_0)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|) < \|P_+ \eta(t_0)\|_E \quad (5.7)$$

at some $t_0 \geq 0$, then for $t \geq t_0 + 1/2$

$$3\|P_+ \eta(t)\|_E > e^{\frac{\kappa^*}{2}(t-t_0)} (\|P_+ \eta(t_0)\|_E + K_0 \kappa^{1/3} (\|P_{\leq 0} \eta(t)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|)). \quad (5.8)$$

On the other hand, if (5.7) fails for $t_0 \geq 0$, then for $t \geq 0$

$$\|P_+ \eta(t)\|_E \lesssim \kappa^{\frac{1}{3}} (\|P_{\leq 0} \eta(t)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|) \lesssim e^{K_1 \kappa^{1/6} t} \kappa^{\frac{1}{3}} (\|P_{\leq 0} \eta(0)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|). \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. From the same manner of the proof of Theorem 3.4, we obtain the global well-posedness of the equation (5.6) in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and for $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for any solutions η to the equation (5.6) and $s \geq 0$ there exists $\xi_s \in X^{1,b}$ such that for $t \in (s-1, s+1)$ we have $\eta(t) = \tau_{\rho_0(s)-\rho_0(t)}\xi_s(t)$ and

$$\|\eta\|_{L^\infty((s-1, s+1), H^1)} \lesssim \|\xi_s\|_{X^{1,b}} \lesssim (\|\eta(s)\|_{H^1} + |a_1|). \quad (5.10)$$

By the inequalities (3.25), (5.3) and (5.10), for $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|P_\gamma \eta(t_2)\|_E^2 - \|P_\gamma \eta(t_1)\|_E^2 \right| &\lesssim \kappa (\|\eta(t_1)\|_E + |a_1|)^2 \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{\frac{1}{3}} \|P_{\leq 0} \eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}}^2 + \kappa |a_1|^2 + \kappa \|P_+ \eta(t_1)\|_E^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Since

$$\|P_- \partial_t \eta(t) - \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_- \eta(t)\|_E + |(Q_{c^*}, \partial_t \eta(t))_{L^2}| \lesssim \kappa (\|\eta(t)\|_E + |a_1|),$$

we have

$$\left| \|P_2 \eta(t_2)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} - \|P_2 \eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} \right| \lesssim \kappa^{2/3} \|\eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + \kappa |a_1| \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$\|P_- \eta(t_2)\|_E - e^{-k_*(t_2-t_1)} \|P_- \eta(t_1)\|_E \lesssim \kappa^{2/3} \|\eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + \kappa |a_1| \quad (5.13)$$

for $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < 1$. By the inequality

$$|(\partial_x Q_{c^*}, \partial_t \eta(t))_{L^2}| \lesssim \|P_\gamma \eta(t)\|_E + \|P_2 \eta(t)\|_E + |a_1| + \kappa \|P_d \eta(t)\|_E$$

and (5.10)–(5.12) we obtain for $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \|P_1 \eta(t_2)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} - \|P_1 \eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} \right| \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{1/3} (\|(P_\gamma + P_0) \eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|) + \kappa^{1/2} \|(P_d - P_1) \eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

for small κ . From the inequality (5.11)–(5.14), there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|P_{\leq 0} \eta(t_2)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} \leq (1 + C\kappa^{1/6}) \|P_{\leq 0} \eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + C\kappa^{1/3} |a_1| + C\kappa^{1/2} \|P_+ \eta(t_1)\|_E \quad (5.15)$$

for $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < 1$. The inequality

$$\|P_+ \partial_t \eta(t) - \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} P_+ \eta(t)\|_E \lesssim \kappa (\|\eta(t)\|_E + |a_1|) \quad (5.16)$$

implies that there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < 1$

$$\partial_t \|P_+ \eta(t_2)\|_E \geq k_* \|P_+ \eta(t_2)\|_E - C\kappa^{2/3} (\|\eta(t_1)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|). \quad (5.17)$$

Suppose (5.7) for some t_0 . By the assumption (5.7) and the inequality (5.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_+ \eta(t)\|_E &\geq e^{k_*(t-t_0)} \|P_+ \eta(t_0)\|_E - (e^{k_*(t-t_0)} - 1) C\kappa^{1/3} \|P_+ \eta(t_0)\|_E \\ &\geq (1 - C\kappa^{1/3}) e^{k_*(t-t_0)} \|P_+ \eta(t_0)\|_E \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

for $t_0 \leq t < t_0 + 1$. From the assumption (5.7) and the inequalities (5.15) and (5.18), we obtain

$$\|P_+\eta(t)\|_E > (1 - 2C\kappa^{1/3})(1 + C\kappa^{1/6})^{-1} e^{k_*(t-t_0)} K_0 \kappa^{1/3} (\|P_{\leq 0}\eta(t)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|) \quad (5.19)$$

for $t_0 \leq t < t_0 + 1$ and small $\kappa > 0$. Thus, we have

$$\|P_+\eta(t)\|_E > K_0 \kappa^{1/3} (\|P_{\leq 0}\eta(t)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|)$$

for $t_0 + 1/2 \leq t < t_0 + 1$ and small $\kappa > 0$. Applying this manner repeatedly, by the inequality (5.18) and (5.19) we obtain the inequality (5.8) for $t > t_0 + 1/2$.

Suppose (5.7) fails for $t \geq 0$. Then, the inequality (5.15) yields the inequality (5.9) for all $t \geq 0$ and some $K_1 > 0$. \square

Next we prove the uniqueness of solutions to the equation (5.6) not satisfying (5.9) for some $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $K_0 > 0$. Then, there exists $\kappa_1 > 0$ such that for $0 < \kappa < \kappa_1$, $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and a solution (v_0, c_0, ρ_0) to the system (2.5) and (2.8) with $\sup_{t \geq 0} (\|v_0(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_0(t) - c^*|) \leq \kappa$ and for the solutions η_1 and η_2 to the equation (5.6) with $P_{\leq 0}\eta_1(0) = P_{\leq 0}\eta_2(0)$ not satisfying that (5.9) for some $t \geq 0$, we have $P_+\eta_1(0) = P_+\eta_2(0)$.*

Proof. Assume there exist $0 < \kappa \ll \kappa_0(1, K_0)$, $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, a solution (v_0, c_0, ρ_0) to the system (2.5) and (2.8) with $\sup_{t \geq 0} (\|v_0(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_0(t) - c^*|) \leq \kappa$ and solutions η_1, η_2 such that $P_{\leq 0}\eta_1(0) = P_{\leq 0}\eta_2(0)$, $P_+\eta_1(0) \neq P_+\eta_2(0)$ and η_1 and η_2 do not satisfy that (5.9) for some $t \geq 0$. Then, $\eta = \eta_1 - \eta_2$ is the solution to the equation (5.6) with $a_1 = 0$

$$\eta_t = \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \eta - 2\partial_x ((Q_{c_0} - Q_{c^*})\eta) + (\dot{\rho}_0 - c^*)\partial_x \eta - 2\partial_x (v_0 \eta).$$

Since

$$\kappa^{1/3} K_0 \|P_{\leq 0}\eta(0)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} < \|P_+\eta(0)\|_E,$$

by Lemma 5.3 we have for $t \geq 1/2$

$$3\|P_+\eta(t)\|_E \geq e^{\frac{k_*}{2}t} (\|P_+\eta(0)\|_E + \kappa^{1/3} \|P_{\leq 0}\eta(t)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}}). \quad (5.20)$$

On the other hand, by (5.9) we have for $t \geq 0$

$$\|P_+\eta(t)\|_E \lesssim e^{K_1 \kappa^{1/6} t} (\|P_{\leq 0}\eta_1(0)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + \|P_{\leq 0}\eta_2(0)\|_{E_{\kappa^{1/3}}} + |a_1|)$$

and $K_1 \kappa^{1/6} \ll k_*$, where $K_1 = K_1(1, K_0)$ is defined in Lemma 5.3. This contradicts the inequality (5.20). Thus, $P_+\eta_1(0) = P_+\eta_2(0)$. \square

First, we prove the Gâteaux differentiability of G_+^δ . Let $\delta, l > 0$ satisfying (4.1). By Theorem 4.6, for small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \tau_{\rho_0(t)}(v_h(t) + Q_{c_h(t)}) - \tau_q Q_{c^*} \right\|_{H^1} < \min\{\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*^{1/2}, \delta\}, \quad (5.21)$$

where $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(c^*, \delta, \delta^{-1/6})$ is defined in Lemma 4.8. Since $|(v_0, \partial_x Q_{c^*})_{L^2}| + |(v_0, Q_{c^*})_{L^2}| \lesssim \varepsilon_*^{1/2}$, by the inequality (5.21) and Lemma 4.8 we obtain

$$\mathbf{m}_\delta((P_{\leq 0}v_0(t), c_0(t)), (P_{\leq 0}v_h(t), c_h(t))) > \delta^{-1/6} \|P_+(v_0(t) - v_h(t))\|_E$$

for $t \geq 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\|P_+(v_h(t) - v_0(t))\|_E}{\|P_{\leq 0}(v_h(t) - v_0(t))\|_{E_{\delta^{1/3}}} + h|a_1|} \leq \frac{\delta^{1/6} \mathbf{m}_\delta((P_{\leq 0}v_0(t), c_0(t)), (P_{\leq 0}v_h(t), c_h(t)))}{\|P_{\leq 0}(v_h(t) - v_0(t))\|_{E_{\delta^{1/3}}} + h|a_1|} \lesssim \delta^{-1/6} \quad (5.22)$$

for $t \geq 0$. On the other hand, the convergence (5.5) yields

$$\frac{\|(h'_n)^{-1}P_+(v_{h'_n}(t) - v_0(t))\|_E}{\|(h'_n)^{-1}P_{\leq 0}(v_{h'_n}(t) - v_0(t))\|_{E_{\delta^{1/3}}} + |(h'_n)^{-1}(c_{h'_n}(t) - c_0(t))|} \rightarrow \frac{\|P_+\eta(t)\|_E}{\|P_{\leq 0}\eta(t)\|_{E_{\delta^{1/3}}} + |a_1|} \quad (5.23)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \geq 0$. Since

$$\frac{\|P_+\eta(t)\|_E}{\|P_{\leq 0}\eta(t)\|_{E_{\delta^{1/3}}} + |a_1|} \lesssim \delta^{-1/6}$$

by the inequality (5.22) and the convergence (5.23), Lemma 5.3 yields that η does not satisfy (5.9) for some $t \geq 0$. Thus, by the uniqueness in Lemma 5.4, for any sequence $\{h_n\}_n$ with $h_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ there exists subsequence $\{\tilde{h}_n\}_n \subset \{h_n\}_n$ such that

$$\frac{G_+^\delta(\psi_0 + \tilde{h}_n\psi_1, a_0 + \tilde{h}_na_1) - G_+^\delta(\psi_0, a_0)}{\tilde{h}_n} \rightarrow \psi_+ \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, we obtain the convergence

$$\frac{G_+^\delta(\psi_0 + h\psi_1, a_0 + ha_1) - G_+^\delta(\psi_0, a_0)}{h} \rightarrow \psi_+ \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.24)$$

which shows G_+^δ is Gâteaux differentiable at (ψ_0, a_0) . The linearity of the Gâteaux derivative of G_+^δ follows the linearity of the solution to the equation (5.6) with respect to (ψ, a_1) . The boundedness of the Gâteaux derivative of G_+^δ follows the Lipschitz property of G_+^δ .

Next we prove the continuity of the Gâteaux derivative of G_+^δ . Let

$$0 < \varepsilon \ll \min\{\delta, \kappa_0(1, 1), K_1(1, 1)^{-6}\}$$

and $\{(\psi_n, a_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset P_{\leq 0}H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ with $(\psi_n, a_n) \rightarrow (\psi_0, a_0)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} (\|\psi_n\|_{H^1} + |a_n - c^*|) < \tilde{\varepsilon}(c^*, \varepsilon)$, where $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is defined in Theorem 4.6 and $\kappa_0(C, K_0)$ and $K_1(C, K_0)$ are defined in Lemma 5.3. Then, by Theorem 4.6 we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} (\|v_n(t)\|_{H^1} + |c_n(t) - c^*|) < \varepsilon,$$

where (v_n, c_n, ρ_n) is the solution to the system (2.5) and (2.8) with $(v_n(0), c_n(0), \rho_n(0)) = (\psi_n, a_n, 0)$. We define $\eta_n^{\psi, a}$ as the solution to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta &= \partial_x \mathbb{L}_{c^*} \eta - 2\partial_x((Q_{c_n} - Q_{c^*})\eta) + (\dot{\rho}_n - c^*)\partial_x \eta - 2a\partial_x(\partial_c Q_{c_n} v_n) \\ &\quad - a\partial_x Q_{c_n} + a(\dot{\rho}_n - c_n)\partial_x \partial_c Q_{c_n} - \dot{c}_n a \partial_c^2 Q_{c_n} - 2\partial_x(v_n \eta) \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

with the initial data ψ . By the convergence of $\{(\tau_{-\rho_n} v_n, c_n, \rho_n)\}_n$ local in time, for $T, C > 0$ we obtain the convergence

$$\left\| \eta_n^{\psi, a} - \eta_0^{\psi, a} \right\|_{L^\infty((0, T), H^1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.26)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $\{(\psi, a) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times \mathbb{R} : \|\psi\|_{H^1} + |a| < C\}$. For $T > 0$, by the boundedness of $\{\|\tau_{-\rho_n} v_n\|_{X_T^{1, b}} + \|c_n - c^*\|_{L^\infty(0, T)}\}_n$, we have the convergence

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \left\| \eta_n^{\varphi, b} - \eta_n^{\psi, a} \right\|_{L^\infty((0, T), H^1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.27)$$

as $(\varphi, b) \rightarrow (\psi, a)$ in $H^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let the Gâteaux derivative of G_+^δ at (ψ_n, a_n) be $\partial G_+^{\delta, n}$. Applying Lemma 5.3 to $\eta_0^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a), a}$, we obtain there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \left\| P_+ \eta_0^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a), a}(t) \right\|_E &\leq 3\varepsilon^{1/3} \left(\left\| P_{\leq 0} \eta_0^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a), a}(t) \right\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} + |a| \right) \\ &\leq C e^{K_1 \varepsilon^{1/6} t} \varepsilon^{1/3} (\|\psi\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} + |a|) \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

for $t > 0$, $\psi \in P_{\leq 0} H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\|\psi\|_E + |a| \leq 1$. Applying Lemma 5.3 to $\eta_0^{\psi_+, 0}$, we have

$$3 \left\| P_+ \eta_0^{\psi_+, 0}(t) \right\|_E > e^{\frac{k_* t}{2}} \|\psi_+\|_E + e^{\frac{k_* t}{2}} \varepsilon^{1/3} \left\| P_{\leq 0} \eta_0^{\psi_+, 0}(t) \right\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} \quad (5.29)$$

for $t > 1/2$ and $\psi_+ \in P_+ H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \setminus \{0\}$. By the inequalities (5.28) and (5.29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &3 \left\| P_+ \eta_0^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a) + \psi_+, a}(t) \right\|_E \\ &\geq 3 \left\| P_+ \eta_0^{\psi_+, 0}(t) \right\|_E - 3 \left\| P_+ \eta_0^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a), a}(t) \right\|_E \\ &> e^{\frac{k_* t}{2}} \|\psi_+\|_E - 2e^{K_1 \varepsilon^{1/6} t} C \varepsilon^{1/3} (\|\psi\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} + |a|) + \varepsilon^{1/3} \left(\left\| P_{\leq 0} \eta_0^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a), a}(t) \right\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} + |a| \right) \\ &\quad + e^{\frac{k_* t}{2}} \varepsilon^{1/3} \left\| P_{\leq 0} \eta_0^{\psi_+, 0}(t) \right\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

for $t > 1/2$, $\psi_+ \in P_+ H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \setminus \{0\}$, $\psi \in P_{\leq 0} H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\|\psi\|_E + |a| \leq 1$. By the convergence $\eta_n^{\varphi, b}(t) \rightarrow \eta_0^{\varphi, b}(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^\infty((0, T), H^1)$ uniformly on $(\varphi, b) \in$

$H^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\|\varphi\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} + |b| \leq 1$ for each $T > 0$, we obtain there exists $n_T > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_T$ and $1/2 < t \leq T$

$$6 \left\| P_+ \eta_n^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a) + \psi_+, a}(t) \right\|_E \geq e^{\frac{k_* t}{2}} (\|\psi_+\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} - 2e^{-\frac{k_* t}{2} + K_1 \varepsilon^{1/6} t} C \varepsilon^{1/3} (\|\psi\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} + |a|)) \\ + \varepsilon^{1/3} \left(\left\| P_{\leq 0} \eta_n^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a) + \psi_+, a}(t) \right\|_{E_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}} + |a| \right).$$

Thus, for $\sigma > 0$ there exists $T_\sigma > 0$ such that $\eta_n^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a) + \psi_+, a}$ satisfies (5.7) at T_σ for $n \geq n_{T_\sigma}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi \in P_{\leq 0} H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ and $\psi_+ \in P_+ H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$ with $\|\psi\|_E + |a| \leq 1$ and $\|\psi_+\|_E \geq \sigma$. Since $\eta_n^{\psi + \partial G_+^{\delta, n}(\psi, a), a}$ do not satisfies (5.7) for some $t \geq 0$, we obtain for $n \geq n_{T_\sigma}$

$$\left\| \partial G_+^{\delta, n}(\psi, a) - \partial G_+^{\delta, 0}(\psi, a) \right\|_E < \sigma$$

which implies the continuity of the Gâteaux derivative of G_+^δ at (ψ_0, a_0) in the sense of the operator norm from $P_{\leq 0} H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times \mathbb{R}$ to $P_+ H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L)$. Therefore, G_+^δ is C^1 class on $P_{\leq 0} H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L) \times (0, \infty)$ in the sense of the Fréchet differential. By the equation (4.34) in Corollary 4.10, we obtain the C^1 regularity of the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*, \tilde{\varepsilon}(c^*, \varepsilon))$.

Finally, we construct a forward flow invariant manifold containing $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*, \varepsilon)$. By Theorem 4.6, Corollary 4.9, Corollary 5.2 and the C^1 regularity of the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*, \varepsilon)$, there exist $l_0, \delta, \varepsilon_m > 0$ such that the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*, \varepsilon_m)$ satisfies (i), (iii), (iv) and (v) in Theorem 1.1 and

$$U(t) \mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*, \varepsilon_m) \subset \mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*, \varepsilon^*(c^*, \delta, l_0))$$

for $t \geq 0$, where ε_* is defined in Corollary 4.9. We define

$$\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*) = \{u(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_L); t \geq 0, u \text{ is the solution to (1.1) with } u(0) \in \mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*, \varepsilon_m)\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*)$ is a forward flow invariant manifold satisfying (i), (iii), (iv) and (v) in Theorem 1.1 and $\tau_q \mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*) = \mathcal{M}_{cs}(c^*)$ for $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Corollary 1.4 follows (iv) of Theorem 1.1 and (ii) of Theorem 1.5 in [44].

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his great appreciation to Professor Yoshio Tsutsumi for a lot to helpful advices and encouragements. The author would like to thank Professor Nikolay Tzvetkov for his helpful encouragements. The author is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists 18J00947.

References

- [1] J. C. Alexander, R. L. Pego and R. L. Sachs, *On the transverse instability of solitary waves in the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Phys. Lett. A **226** (1997), no. 3-4, 187–192.

- [2] P. W. Bates and C. K. R. T. Jones, *Invariant manifolds for semilinear partial differential equations*, Dynam. Report. Ser. Dynam. Systems Appl. 2, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1989, 1-38.
- [3] M. Beceanu, *A critical center-stable manifold for Schrödinger's equation in three dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **65** (2012), no. 4, 431–507.
- [4] M. Beceanu, *A center-stable manifold for the energy-critical wave equation in \mathbb{R}^3 in the symmetric setting*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **11** (2014), no. 3, 437–476.
- [5] T. B. Benjamin, *The stability of solitary waves*, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) Ser. A **328** (1972), 153–183.
- [6] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, I*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **3** (1993), 107–156.
- [7] T.J. Bridges, *Universal geometric conditions for the transverse instability of solitary waves*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** no. 12 (2000) 2614–2617.
- [8] A. V. Faminskii, *The Cauchy problem for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Translation in Differential Equations A **31** (1995), no. 6, 1002–1012.
- [9] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo, *On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system*, J. Funct. Anal. **151** (1997), no. 2, 384–436.
- [10] A. Grünrock, *A remark on the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in three space dimensions*, Math. Res. Lett. **21** (2014), no. 1, 127–131.
- [11] A. Grünrock and S. Herr, *The Fourier restriction norm method for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **34** (2014), no. 5, 2061–2068.
- [12] J. Jin, Z. Lin and C. Zeng, *Invariant manifolds of traveling waves of the 3D Gross–Pitaevskii equation in the energy space*, Comm. Math. Phys., (DOI) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-018-3189-6>, (2018), 1–59.
- [13] K. Johnson, *The transverse instability of periodic waves in Zakharov-Kuznetsov type equations*, Stud. Appl. Math. **124** (2010), no. 4, 323–345.
- [14] B. B. Kadomtsev and V. I. Petviashvili, *On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media*, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **15** (1970), 539–541.
- [15] J. Krieger, and W. Schlag, *Stable manifolds for all monic supercritical focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one dimension*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 4, 815–920.
- [16] J. Krieger, K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, *Threshold phenomenon for the quintic wave equation in three dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **327** (2014), no. 1, 309–332.

- [17] J. Krieger, K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, *Center-stable manifold of the ground state in the energy space for the critical wave equation*, Math. Ann. **361** (2015), no. 1-2, 1–50.
- [18] D. Lannes, F. Linares and J.-C. Saut, *The Cauchy problem for the Euler-Poisson system and derivation of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Studies in phase space analysis with applications to PDEs, 181–213, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 84, Birkhauser/Springer, New York, 2013.
- [19] F. Linares and A. Pastor, *Well-posedness for the two-dimensional modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **41** (2009), no. 4, 1323–1339.
- [20] F. Linares and A. Pastor, *Local and global well-posedness for the 2D generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **206** (2011), no. 4, 1060–1085.
- [21] F. Linares, A. Pastor and J.-C. Saut, *Well-posedness for the ZK equation in a cylinder and on the background of a KdV soliton*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **35** (2010), no. 9, 1674–1689.
- [22] F. Linares and J.-C. Saut, *The Cauchy problem for the 3D Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **24** (2009), no. 2, 547–565.
- [23] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Asymptotic stability of solitons for subcritical generalized KdV equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **157** (2001), no. 3, 219–254.
- [24] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Asymptotic stability of solitons of the subcritical gKdV equations revisited*, Nonlinearity **18** (2005), no. 1, 55–80.
- [25] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Asymptotic stability of solitons of the gKdV equations with general nonlinearity*, Math. Ann. **341** (2008), no. 2, 391–427.
- [26] Y. Martel, F. Merle, K. Nakanishi and P. Raphaël, *Codimension one threshold manifold for the critical gKdV equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **342** (2016), no. 3, 1075–1106.
- [27] T. Mizumachi, *Large time asymptotics of solutions around solitary waves to the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2001), no. 5, 1050–1080.
- [28] T. Mizumachi, *Stability of line solitons for the KP-II equation in \mathbb{R}^2* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **238** (2015), no. 1125, vii+95 pp.
- [29] T. Mizumachi, *Stability of line solitons for the KP-II equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **148** (2018), no. 1, 149–198.
- [30] T. Mizumachi and N. Tzvetkov, *Stability of the line soliton of the KP-II equation under periodic transverse perturbations*, Math. Ann. **352** (2012), no. 3, 659–690.

- [31] L. Molinet and D. Pilod, *Bilinear Strichartz estimates for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation and applications*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **32** (2015), no. 2, 347–371.
- [32] L. Molinet, J.-C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov, *Global well-posedness for the KP-II equation on the background of a non-localized solution*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **28** (2011), no. 5, 653–676.
- [33] K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, *Global dynamics above the ground state energy for the cubic NLS equation in 3D*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **44** (2012), no. 1-2, 1–45.
- [34] K. Nakanishi and W. Schlag, *Invariant manifolds around soliton manifolds for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **44** (2012), no. 2, 1175–1210.
- [35] R. Pego and M. I. Weinstein, *Asymptotic stability of solitary waves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), no. 2, 305–349.
- [36] D. Pelinovsky, *Normal form for transverse instability of the line soliton with a nearly critical speed of propagation*, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **13** (2018), no. 2.
- [37] F. Ribaud and S. Vento, *Well-posedness results for the three-dimensional Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **44** (2012), no. 4, 2289–2304.
- [38] F. Rousset and N. Tzvetkov, *Transverse nonlinear instability of solitary waves for some Hamiltonian PDE's*, J. Math. Pures. Appl. **90** (2008), no. 6, 550–590.
- [39] F. Rousset and N. Tzvetkov, *Transverse nonlinear instability for two-dimensional dispersive models*, Ann. I. Poincaré-AN **26** (2009), no. 2, 477–496.
- [40] F. Rousset and N. Tzvetkov, *Stability and instability of the KdV solitary wave under the KP-I flow*, Comm. Math. Phys., **313** (2012), no. 1, 155–173.
- [41] W. Schlag, *Stable manifolds for an orbitally unstable nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **169** (2009), no. 1, 139–227.
- [42] J. Villarroel and M. J. Ablowitz, *On the initial value problem for the KP-II equation with data that do not decay along a line*, Nonlinearity, **17** (2004), no. 5, 1843–1866.
- [43] Y. Yamazaki, *Transverse instability for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a linear potential*, Adv. in Differential Equations **21** (2016), no. 5-6, 429–462.
- [44] Y. Yamazaki, *Stability for line solitary waves of Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation*, J. Differential Equations, **262** (2017), no. 8, 4336–4389.
- [45] V. E. Zakharov, *Instability and nonlinear oscillations of solitons*, JETP Lett. **22** (1975), 172–173.
- [46] V. E. Zakharov and E. A. Kuznetsov, *On three dimensional solitons*, Sov. Phys.-JETP, **39** (1974), no.2, 285–286.