

Open KdV hierarchy of 2d minimal gravity of Lee-Yang series

Hisayoshi Muraki¹ and Chaiho Rim²

Department of Physics, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Korea

Abstract

We present the open KdV hierarchy of 2d minimal gravity of Lee-Yang series which uses the boundary cosmological constant as a flow parameter. The boundary cosmological constant is a conjugate variable to the boundary flow parameter used in the open KdV hierarchy of the intersection numbers on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with boundaries. The two generating functions are related through the Laplace transform.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Lee-Yang series on a closed Riemann surface	2
2.1	Lee-Yang series on a sphere	3
2.2	Hierarchy on a closed Riemann surface	4
2.3	Lee-Yang series on a torus	4
3	Open KdV hierarchy of minimal gravity with boundaries	6
3.1	Open KdV in the intersection theory	6
3.2	Free energy on a disk and hierarchy	6
3.3	Open hierarchy with μ_B	7
3.4	Virasoro constraints	8
3.5	Free energy on a cylinder	9
4	Summary and discussions	10

1 Introduction

Minimal gravity is a 2-dimensional quantum gravity coupled with minimal conformal matter, so that the resulting theory still remains conformal and topological ($c = 0$) [1, 2, 3], and its correlation numbers obey the Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy in general [4, 5, 6]. The minimal gravity of the Lee-Yang series is described by one-matrix model, whose correlation numbers show the KdV hierarchy, and thus is closely related with the intersection theory on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. In fact, by Witten, the generating function

¹hmuraki@sogang.ac.kr

²rimpine@sogang.ac.kr

of the intersection theory had also been conjectured to satisfy the KdV hierarchy together with the string equation [7]. Witten's conjecture for intersection theory was proved by Kontsevich using one-matrix model [8]. The generating functions for minimal gravity of Lee-Yang series on $g = 0, 1, 2$ have also been constructed using the KdV hierarchy [9, 10], and the resulting correlations (but off-shell, i.e., with arbitrary t_k parameters but $t_1 \rightarrow t_1 + 1$) have been shown to obey the recursion relations of topological gravity, as suggested by Witten.

Recently, the intersection theory is extended to the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with boundaries [11, 12]. This extension is based on an extension of the KdV structure, where the KdV hierarchy for closed surfaces has an equivalent description by the Virasoro constraints as shown in [13, 14]. With boundaries, the generating function is described by the so-called open KdV hierarchy which contains the KdV flow parameters as well as a new boundary flow parameter s indicating the boundary contribution.

It is naturally expected that the open KdV hierarchy also describes minimal gravity of Lee-Yang series on a disk. In our previous paper [15], we check this expectation using the free energy on a disk, where the boundary cosmological constant μ_B is introduced as an additional boundary parameter that has a similar role of the boundary flow parameter s in the intersection theory [16, 17, 18, 19].

The parameter s looks natural in the the intersection theory. However, from the viewpoint of the 2d minimal gravity, the boundary cosmological constant μ_B appears rather naturally and it is desired to have the open KdV hierarchy in terms of μ_B . In this paper, we present the open KdV hierarchy using the boundary cosmological constant μ_B .

In section 2, we summarize the KdV hierarchy of the minimal gravity of the Lee-Yang series on the closed Riemann surfaces. It is confirmed that the free energy known in the minimal gravity satisfies the KdV hierarchy along with the string equation.

In section 3, we investigate the KdV hierarchy on Riemann surfaces with boundaries. We use the known free energy of the minimal gravity on a disk to check the open KdV hierarchy and the open string equation. The free energy is a function of the boundary cosmological constant μ_B rather than the s -parameter. Therefore, one needs to find the relation of the hierarchy of the intersection theory with that of the minimal gravity. It turns out that s and μ_B are conjugate to each other. We present the Virasoro constraints of the μ_B -representation as their Laplace transforms of the s -representation and check those equivalence. The open hierarchy allows one to find the free energy with genus $g \geq 1$ using the free energy with genus 0 (disk). We demonstrate it for $g = 1$.

Section 4 is devoted to the summary and discussion. In appendices, useful identities used in the text can be found.

2 Lee-Yang series on a closed Riemann surface

Minimal gravity of Lee-Yang series $\mathcal{M}(2, 2p + 1)$ is described by one-matrix model. At the continuum limit, the matrix variable is described by a differential operator $\hat{Q}_2 = \partial_x^2 + u(x)$ whose dispersionless limit (neglecting derivatives) reduces to a second order polynomial $Q_2 = y^2 + u(x)$. The polynomial Q_2 defines a one-dimensional Frobenius manifold A_1 , u being its coordinate. This section describes how u behaves according to the flow equation on a Riemann surface without boundaries.

2.1 Lee-Yang series on a sphere

The Frobenius manifold allows flat coordinates and the one-dimensional coordinate u is trivial and obviously regarded as the flat one, which will be renamed as v . The coordinate is a function of x . The variable x can be identified with one of the variable of the generating function of the minimal gravity through the Douglas equation, which obtains from the least action principle. The string action describing the minimal gravity of Lee-Yang series $\mathcal{M}(2, 2p + 1)$ on a sphere is given by

$$S_{2/(2p+1)} = \theta_{1,p+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} t_n \theta_{1,n}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\theta_{1,n}$ is defined by

$$\theta_{1,n} = -\frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2 + n + 1)} \text{Res}_{y=\infty} \left(Q_2^{1/2+n} \right) = \frac{v^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}. \quad (2.2)$$

The parameters t_n are called the KdV parameters with the gravitational scaling dimensions (gcd) (defined as the power of the cosmological constant μ):

$$[t_n] = \frac{p+1-n}{2}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq p-1, \quad (2.3)$$

where t_0 has the highest gcd. It is noted that t_{p-1} has a special role in the minimal gravity since $[t_{p-1}] = 1$ and is identified as the cosmological constant μ . It is also useful to remember $[Q_2] = 1/2$ so that $[v] = 1/2$ and $[y] = 1/4$ in the Lee-Yang series.

The action principle results in the string equation: Its derivative with respect to v vanishes:

$$0 = \frac{\partial S_{2/(2p+1)}}{\partial v} = t_0 - x, \quad (2.4)$$

$$x = -\sum_{n=1}^{p+1} t_n \frac{v^n}{n!}, \quad (2.5)$$

where we use the convention $t_{p+1} = 1$ and $t_p = 0$. The defining relation of x in (2.5) shows that v is the function of x and t_n : $v = v(x, \{t_{n \neq 0}\})$. The variable x has the same gcd as that of t_0 and is identified with t_0 if one applies the string equation (2.4).

To distinguish the A_1 coordinate v from $v(\{t_n\})$, the KdV parameter dependent variable, we will use separate notations: v for the original A_1 coordinate and w for $v(x, \{t_{n \neq 0}\})$. Then, w satisfies the flow equations, consistent with the KdV hierarchy on a sphere:

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \theta_{1,n+1}}{\partial w} \right) = \frac{w^n}{n!} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

The free energy on a sphere F_{sphere} is known to have the form [20]

$$F_{\text{sphere}}(\{t_m\}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{w_*} dv [\mathcal{P}(v)]^2; \quad \mathcal{P}(v) = \sum_{n=0}^{p+1} t_n \frac{v^n}{n!}. \quad (2.7)$$

w_* is one of the solutions of the string equation

$$\mathcal{P}(w_*) = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

so that $w_* = w(x = t_0, \{t_{n \neq 0}\})$ which reduces to $\sqrt{-t_{p-1}}$ when $t_{n \neq (p-1, p+1)} \rightarrow 0$. Since the only difference between w_* and w is the parameter t_0 or x , we will omit the star if there is no confusion.

The free energy (2.7) shows that the two-point correlation $\partial^2 F_{\text{sphere}} / \partial t_0^2 = w$. Multi-correlation is given in terms the derivatives of F_{sphere} with respect to $\{t_m\}$:

$$\frac{\partial^n F_{\text{sphere}}}{\partial t_{a_1} \cdots \partial t_{a_n}} = \left\langle \prod_i^n O_{a_i} \right\rangle_{\text{sphere}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Especially, two-point correlation³ is given as

$$\langle O_0 O_{n-1} \rangle_{\text{sphere}} = \frac{w^n}{n!}. \quad (2.10)$$

One may evaluate the correlation on-shell if t_n is set to be 0 for all n , except t_{p-1} and $t_{p+1} = 1$.

2.2 Hierarchy on a closed Riemann surface

Beyond the sphere one may generalize the KdV hierarchy appearing in the intersection numbers [7]

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 F^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_n} = \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^3 F^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 F^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^5 F^c}{\partial t_0^4 \partial t_{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2.11)$$

with the string equation

$$\frac{\partial F^c}{\partial t_0} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F^c}{\partial t_n} + \frac{t_0^2}{2\lambda^2}. \quad (2.12)$$

Note that the free energy F^c has the genus expansion

$$F^c = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g-2} F_{(g)}^c, \quad (2.13)$$

where λ is a formal expansion parameter and $F_{(0)}^c = F_{\text{sphere}}$. If one has the genus 0 part of (2.11) and (2.12), one compares with the KdV hierarchy (2.6) and the string equation (2.8) in the Lee-Yang series, one finds t_1 is to be shifted by 1 ($t_1 \rightarrow t_1 + 1$) [9, 10]. Therefore, the hierarchy on a closed Riemann surface has the form (2.11) but the string equation for the Lee-Yang series has to be modified

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F^c}{\partial t_n} + \frac{t_0^2}{2\lambda^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

2.3 Lee-Yang series on a torus

The hierarchy and string equation have the form at genus 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_n} &= \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^5 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^4 \partial t_{n-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_n}. \quad (2.16)$$

³This result is equivalent to the Liouville minimal gravity if the resonance transformation is considered [20].

One may simplify (2.15) if one multiplies (2.15) with t_n and sums over $n \geq 1$:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_n} = -\frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2}, \quad (2.17)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_0^2} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_n} \right) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Here we use the string equation at genus 0 (2.14) and (2.16).

The free energy on a torus is known in [9, 10]

$$F_{(1)}^c = a \log P'(w). \quad (2.19)$$

Here $P'(w)$ stands for $\partial P(w)/\partial w$ with t_n 's fixed. The coefficient a is a constant and is found $a = -1/24$ (see appendix B for detailed derivations⁴). One can check that the free energy (2.19) satisfies (2.16) and (2.18). The proof goes as follows. Note that $P'(w)$ is the function of w_n 's and t_n 's:

$$P' = \sum_{n \geq 1} t_n \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad (2.20)$$

where w is again the function of t_n 's through the KdV equation. For example,

$$0 = \frac{\partial P'(w, t)}{\partial t_n} = \left(\frac{\partial P'(w, t)}{\partial t_n} \right)_w + \left(\frac{\partial P'(w, t)}{\partial w} \right)_t \frac{\partial w}{\partial t_n}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $(\)_a$ means differentiation with a fixed.

One can check the string equation (2.16), using the relation

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial P'}{\partial t_n} = \sum_{n \geq 1} t_{n+1} \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + P'' \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t_n} = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

where we used the second derivative of (2.14) and an identity $P'' = \sum_{n \geq 1} t_{n+1} \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$.

The simplified hierarchy (2.18) is evaluated as follows: Note that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \frac{\partial P'}{\partial t_n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \left(\frac{\partial P'}{\partial t_n} \right)_w + w P' P'' \frac{\partial w}{\partial t_0}, \quad (2.23)$$

using (2.8) and (2.20). The first term of the right hand side is written as

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \left(\frac{\partial P'}{\partial t_n} \right)_w = (w P')' + \frac{P'}{2}, \quad (2.24)$$

if one uses (2.20) and $(w P')' = \sum_{n \geq 0} n t_n \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$. In addition, we have the null equality

$$0 = \frac{\partial P(w, t)}{\partial t_0} = \left(\frac{\partial P(w, t)}{\partial w} \right)_t \frac{\partial w}{\partial t_0} + \left(\frac{\partial P(w, t)}{\partial t_0} \right)_w = P' \frac{\partial w}{\partial t_0} + 1. \quad (2.25)$$

This shows that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{t_n}{a} \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_n} = \frac{(w P')'}{P'} + \frac{1}{2} - w \frac{P''}{P'} = \frac{3}{2}, \quad (2.26)$$

which satisfies (2.18).

⁴In [9, 10], the notations for free energies $F_{(g)}^c$ in matrix model description is normalized so that the free energy is to be rescaled by a factor of 2^g .

3 Open KdV hierarchy of minimal gravity with boundaries

3.1 Open KdV in the intersection theory

A similar KdV hierarchy (“open KdV hierarchy”) has been proposed for intersection theory on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with boundaries, using an additional flow parameter s . The flow along t_n is given as [11]

$$\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_n} = \lambda \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial s} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_{n-1}} + \lambda \frac{\partial^2 F^o}{\partial s \partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \frac{\partial^3 F^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

The open string equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_n} + \frac{s}{\lambda}. \quad (3.2)$$

In addition, the open KdV together with the string equation is shown to be equivalent to the Virasoro constraints with additional flow equation of s [12]

$$\frac{\partial F^o}{\partial s} = \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^o}{\partial t_0^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0^2} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

The free energy is expanded in the genus expansion

$$F^o = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{g-1} F_{(g)}^o, \quad (3.4)$$

whose lowest order ($g=0$) gives

$$\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_n} = \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial s} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_n} + s, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

3.2 Free energy on a disk and hierarchy

One may conjecture that the free energy F_{disk} on a disk [21, 22] can be a solution of the hierarchy (3.5) [15]. It is noted that the free energy F_{disk} corresponds to the continuum limit of the trace of $\log(M + \mu_B)$ where the one-matrix element is replaced by Q and μ_B is the boundary cosmological constant. The integral representation is given as follows:

$$F_{\text{disk}} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dl}{l} e^{-l\mu_B} \int_{t_0}^\infty dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy e^{-l(y^2+w)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Here we assume a proper regularization (subtracting the infinity) in the integration limit at $l \rightarrow 0$. In fact, F_{disk} satisfies a similar equation as in (3.5)

$$\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{\text{disk}}}{\partial t_n} = -\mu_B \frac{\partial F_{\text{disk}}}{\partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{\text{disk}}}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}}. \quad (3.9)$$

The identification goes along with the s -flow relation (3.7) [15]:

$$-\mu_B = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F_{\text{disk}}}{\partial t_0} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

3.3 Open hierarchy with μ_B

The open KdV formula in (3.9) obtained using the free energy on a disk is to be compared with the one in (3.5) from the intersection theory. The result is

$$\frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial s} = -\mu_B. \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand, if the string equation (3.6) is used, the parameter s turns out

$$s = \frac{\partial F_{\text{disk}}(\mu_B)}{\partial \mu_B}, \quad (3.12)$$

which is identified as the loop operator which is the continuum limit of the resolvent, trace of $1/(M + \mu_B)$. This identification raises a problem: s identified in (3.12) depends on the KdV variables $\{t_n\}$ and spoils the property of s that s should be independent of $\{t_n\}$ as conjectured in the intersection theory.

To cure this problem, one notes that s and μ_B are conjugate according to (3.11) and (3.12). Therefore, one may use either s or μ_B , not both. According to the free energy of minimal gravity, it is desirable to put the hierarchy in terms of μ_B as given in (3.9). One may follow the same steps in [12] using the half Burger-KdV hierarchy except the two changes: One is $\partial F_{(0)}^o/\partial s \rightarrow -\mu_B$ using the fact (3.11) and the other is $s \rightarrow \partial F_{\text{disk}}(\mu_B)/\partial \mu_B$ as noted in (3.12). Then one has μ_B -representation.

The open KdV hierarchy on a disk is given as (3.5). The relation of the boundary parameter is given as (3.10). Finally, the open string equation (after $t_1 \rightarrow t_1 + 1$) is modified as

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F_{\text{disk}}}{\partial t_n} + \frac{\partial F_{\text{disk}}}{\partial \mu_B}. \quad (3.13)$$

According to this μ_B -representation, one may have the open KdV hierarchy

$$\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_n} = -\mu_B \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \frac{\partial^3 F^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.14)$$

the open string equation

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_n} + \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial \mu_B}, \quad (3.15)$$

and the boundary parameter constraint

$$-\mu_B = \lambda^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^o}{\partial t_0^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0^2} \right). \quad (3.16)$$

3.4 Virasoro constraints

The μ_B -representation is obtained by replacing $s \rightarrow \partial/\partial\mu_B$ and $\partial/\partial s \rightarrow -\mu_B$. The hierarchy is equivalent to put (the half set of) the Virasoro generators of form

$$\mathcal{L}_n = L_n + (-\mu_B)^n \left(-\mu_B \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_B} - \frac{n+1}{4} \right), \quad n \geq -1, \quad (3.17)$$

which satisfies the commutation relation

$$[\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_m] = (n-m)\mathcal{L}_{n+m}. \quad (3.18)$$

L_n is the Virasoro generator of the closed surface⁵:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n := \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(2i+2n+1)!!}{2^{n+1}(2i-1)!!} (t_i - \delta_{i,1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+n}} + \frac{u^2}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2i+1)!!(2n-2i-1)!!}{2^{n+1}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_{n-1-i}} \\ + \delta_{n,-1} \frac{t_0^2}{2u^2} + \delta_{n,0} \frac{1}{16}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

which imposes the Virasoro constraints on the tau function of intersection theory of closed surfaces

$$L_n \exp(F^c) = 0, \quad n \geq -1. \quad (3.20)$$

One may show that the partition function $\exp(F^o + F^c)$ is constrained by the Virasoro generator (3.17):

$$\mathcal{L}_n \exp(F^o + F^c) = 0, \quad n \geq -1. \quad (3.21)$$

The μ_B -representation of \mathcal{L}_n is obtained if one uses the Laplace transformation from the original s -representation of the partition function

$$\exp(F^o + F^c)(s) = \int d\mu_B e^{-s\mu_B} \exp(F^o + F^c)(\mu_B), \quad (3.22)$$

with an appropriate integration contour so that the integration converges. The Laplace transform ensures the replacements: $s \rightarrow \partial/\partial\mu_B$ and $\partial/\partial s \rightarrow -\mu_B$. Therefore, one may expect that the Virasoro constraint in μ_B -representation will result in the open analog of the Virasoro constraints (3.20). The proof can be carried out in the μ_B -representation directly in a parallel manner with that of the original open KdV hierarchy (3.1) presented in [12]. For $n = -1$, the equation is equivalent to the open string equation (3.15), so that it is true by assumption. Then it is sufficient to prove the open Virasoro constraints (3.21) only for $n = 0, 1, 2$, because it follows from the commutation relation (3.18) that $\mathcal{L}_n = \frac{(-1)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} ad_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{n-2} \mathcal{L}_2$, for $n \geq 3$. The proof relies on an inductive relation (direct computations are given in appendix C)

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_n \tau}{\tau} + \mu_B \frac{\mathcal{L}_{n-1} \tau}{\tau} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \quad (3.23)$$

where $\tau = \exp(F^o + F^c)$. From (3.15) and (3.23) it follows that $\mathcal{L}_0 \tau = -\tau \mu_B \frac{\mathcal{L}_{-1} \tau}{\tau} = 0$ (from the open string equation), and, by successive use of inductive relation (3.23), one has $\mathcal{L}_1 \tau = 0$, and then $\mathcal{L}_2 \tau = 0$.

⁵We use the original t_1 before shifted by 1

3.5 Free energy on a cylinder

In this section, we present a solution to the open hierarchy with genus 1. The genus expansions of (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), respectively, have the forms at each order of g :

$$\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(g)}^o}{\partial t_n} = -\mu_B \frac{\partial F_{(g)}^o}{\partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g_1+2g_2=g} \left(\frac{\partial F_{(g_1)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(g_2)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 F_{((g-1)/2)}^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.24)$$

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F_{(g)}^o}{\partial t_n} + \frac{\partial F_{(g)}^o}{\partial \mu_B}, \quad g \geq 0, \quad (3.25)$$

$$-\mu_B = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} + \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$0 = \sum_{g_1+g_2=g} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(g_1)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F_{(g_2)}^o}{\partial t_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(g-1)}^o}{\partial t_0^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F_{(g/2)}^c}{\partial t_0^2}, \quad g \geq 1, \quad (3.27)$$

where, needless to say, the term involving $F_{(g'/2)}^c$ is absent when $g'/2$ is not an integer.

The free energy on a disk satisfies the lowest order ($g = 0$) hierarchy. Therefore, we have

$$F_{(0)}^o = F_{\text{disk}}. \quad (3.28)$$

The next order ($g = 1$) has the following equations: the open KdV hierarchy

$$\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^o}{\partial t_n} = -\mu_B \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^o}{\partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_{n-1}}, \quad (3.29)$$

the string equation

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^o}{\partial t_n} + \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^o}{\partial \mu_B}, \quad (3.30)$$

and the constraint equation for the boundary parameter

$$0 = \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F_{(1)}^o}{\partial t_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0^2} = \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(F_{(1)}^o + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \right) \right). \quad (3.31)$$

The constraint equation (3.31) hints at the solution of the form

$$F_{(1)}^o = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \right) + f, \quad (3.32)$$

where f is a t_0 -independent function. One can easily check that $F_{(1)}^o$ and $F_{(1)}^o + cF_{(0)}^o$, for any constant c , obey the same hierarchy (3.29) since $F_{(0)}^o$ satisfies the ($g = 0$)-hierarchy (3.9). Thus f can be a function of $F_{(0)}^o$, but which contradicts the fact that f should be independent of t_0 . A natural choice is $f = 0$. Therefore, we conclude that the free energy at $g = 1$ has the form

$$F_{(1)}^o = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \right). \quad (3.33)$$

One can check that $F_{(1)}^o$ in (3.33) satisfies (3.29), noting that (3.29) reduces to the t_0 derivative of (3.9):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_n} + \mu_B \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{(0)}^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} \right) = 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Finally, it is obvious that $F_{(1)}^o$ satisfies the string equation (3.30) since $F_{(0)}^o$ satisfies the ($g = 0$)-string equation (3.13).

4 Summary and discussions

We consider the open KdV hierarchy for 2d minimal gravity of Lee-Yang series. The hierarchy is given to have the boundary cosmological constant μ_B rather than the boundary flow parameter s appearing in the intersection theory of open surfaces with boundaries. It is noted that μ_B and s are conjugate variables and give rise to the Laplace transform of the free energy of 2d minimal gravity, resulting in rephrasing the Virasoro constraint equations in terms of μ_B . It is noted, however, that the Laplace transform of the partition function does not need to produce the result of the intersection theory since the initial conditions of the two approaches are different.

The explicit form of the free energy at each order of genus g can be obtained according to the closed and open KdV hierarchies starting with the free energy of $g = 0$. As an example, we present the free energy at $g = 1$ in the text.

The Lee-Yang series $\mathcal{M}(2, 2p + 1)$ is obtained from the one-matrix model. The dual picture of the Lee-Yang series (weak strong duality $b \leftrightarrow 1/b$ in the Liouville gravity) is described by the A_{2p} Frobenius manifold [23, 24]. We expect the dual picture will show very different behavior and shall be worth studying. This is because the KdV hierarchy of the one-matrix model in this dual picture does not work anymore and is to be replaced by the Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy. In addition, the flow parameter of the dual theory coincides with the conformal parameter in the Liouville minimal gravity [24], which is in contrast with the original A_1 description since the resonance transformation among those parameters plays a central role in general [20, 25, 26]. As a result, the open hierarchy in the dual picture will show very different behavior unlike the open KdV hierarchy. We will provide this new feature in a separate paper.

Acknowledgements

The work was partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea grant number 2017R1A2A2A05001164.

Appendix A

We provide a proof for the identity (3.9) without explicit evaluation of the integral as in [15]. The proof goes as following. Taking derivatives of $F_{(0)}^o$ with respect to t_n and using the KdV flow equation (2.6), one

has the (off-shell) one-point correlation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle O_n \rangle_{\text{disk}} &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dl e^{-l\mu_B} \int_{t_0}^\infty dx \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{w^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy e^{-l(y^2+w)} \\ &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dl e^{-l\mu_B} \int_{w_*}^\infty dw \frac{w^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy e^{-l(y^2+w)},\end{aligned}\quad (4.1)$$

where w_* is the value of w at $x = t_0$. On the other hand, the correlation multiplied by the boundary cosmological constant has the form if one uses the identity $-\mu_B e^{-l\mu_B} = \partial e^{-l\mu_B} / \partial l$ and integrates by part with respect to l

$$\mu_B \langle O_{n-1} \rangle_{\text{disk}} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dl e^{-l\mu_B} \int_{w_*}^\infty dw \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy (y^2 + w) e^{-l(y^2+w)}.\quad (4.2)$$

Here the boundary term at $l \rightarrow 0$ vanishes due to the proper regularization.

What we trying to prove is the difference between $\langle O_n \rangle_{\text{disk}}$ and $\mu_B \langle O_{n-1} \rangle_{\text{disk}}$. This can be done using the following two identities. One is the total derivative with respect to y whose integrated value is zero:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_{w_*}^\infty dw \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \frac{d}{dy} \left[\frac{y}{l} e^{-l(y^2+w)} \right] \\ &= \int_{w_*}^\infty dw \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \left(\frac{1}{l} - 2y^2 \right) \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} e^{-l(y^2+w)}.\end{aligned}$$

The other one is total derivative with respect to w :

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \left[\frac{f(w)}{l} e^{-l(y^2+w)} \right]_{w=w_*} = \int_{w_*}^\infty dw \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \left[\frac{1}{l} \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w} - f(w) \right] e^{-l(y^2+w)},$$

where $f(w)$ is an arbitrary polynomial. Then, one may find the linear relation with coefficients c and α such that

$$-c \frac{w^n}{n!} + \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (y^2 + w) = \alpha \left(\frac{1}{l} - 2y^2 \right) \frac{w^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{1}{l} \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w} - f(w).\quad (4.3)$$

First, one eliminates the $(1/l)$ -term in (4.3) so that $f(w)$ satisfies the condition $\partial f(w) / \partial w = -\alpha w^{n-1} / (n-1)!$ which fixes

$$f(w) = -\alpha \frac{w^n}{n!}.\quad (4.4)$$

In addition, one may eliminate the y -dependent term in (4.3) by fixing c and α :

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad c = \frac{2n+1}{2}.\quad (4.5)$$

This results in the desired the recursive relation (3.9):

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \frac{2n+1}{2} \langle O_n \rangle_{\text{disk}} + \mu_B \langle O_{n-1} \rangle_{\text{disk}} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{w_*^n}{n!} \right] \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dl e^{-l\mu_B} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \left[-\frac{e^{-l(y^2+w)}}{l} \right] \\ &= \frac{2n+1}{2} \langle O_n \rangle_{\text{disk}} + \mu_B \langle O_{n-1} \rangle_{\text{disk}} - \frac{1}{2} \langle O_0 O_{n-1} \rangle_{\text{sphere}} \langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{disk}},\end{aligned}\quad (4.6)$$

where we use the result for two-point correlation on a sphere (2.10).

Appendix B

We may check that the coefficient a in (2.19) turns out to be $-1/24$. As a in (2.19) is independent of n , here we demonstrate it using the case for $n = 2$ of (2.11) (though the case for $n = 1$ is much simpler). Note that the KdV hierarchy (2.11) can be rephrased in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_n} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^4 F^c}{\partial t_0^3 \partial t_{n-1}} - \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 F^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}},$$

whose (λ^{-2}) -order terms of λ -expansion give

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_n} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^4 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3 \partial t_{n-1}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} + \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_{n-1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

in particular for $n = 2$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^4 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3 \partial t_1} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} + \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right), \quad (4.7)$$

with noting

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_2} &= \frac{P_3}{P_1^3} \frac{w^2}{2} - 2 \frac{P_2^2}{P_1^4} \frac{w^2}{2} + 2 \frac{P_2}{P_1^3} w - \frac{1}{P_1^2}, \\ \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} &= \frac{P_3}{P_1^3} w - 2 \frac{P_2^2}{P_1^4} w + 2 \frac{P_2}{P_1^3}, \\ \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} &= \frac{P_3}{P_1^3} - 2 \frac{P_2^2}{P_1^4}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} &= \frac{w^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where P_n stands for n -th derivative of P with respect to w . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{P_3}{P_1^3} w^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{P_2^2}{P_1^4} w^2 + 3 \frac{P_2}{P_1^3} w - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{P_1^2},$$

giving the left hand side of (4.7):

$$\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) = \frac{w^2}{4} \frac{P_4}{P_1^4} - \frac{5}{2} \frac{P_3}{P_1^4} w + 8 \frac{P_2^2}{P_1^5} w - 8 \frac{P_2}{P_1^4} - \frac{7}{4} \frac{P_2 P_3}{P_1^5} w^2 + 2w^2 \frac{P_2^3}{P_1^6},$$

while the right hand side:

$$-\frac{1}{2a} \left(\frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} + \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) = \frac{w^2}{4} \frac{P_4}{P_1^4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{P_3}{P_1^4} w - \frac{P_2^2}{P_1^5} w + \frac{P_2}{P_1^4} - \frac{7w^2}{4} \frac{P_2 P_3}{P_1^5} + 2w^2 \frac{P_2^3}{P_1^6},$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} + \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) \\ &= -3a \left(3 \frac{P_2}{P_1^4} - 3 \frac{wP_2^2}{P_1^5} + \frac{wP_3}{P_1^4} \right), \end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$\frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2 \partial t_1} = 3 \frac{P_2}{P_1^4} - 3 \frac{wP_2^2}{P_1^5} + \frac{wP_3}{P_1^4}.$$

Therefore we finally obtain

$$\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(\frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} - \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) + \frac{1}{2a} \left(\frac{\partial^3 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} + \frac{\partial^3 F_{(1)}^c}{\partial t_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} \right) = -3 \frac{\partial^5 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^4 \partial t_1},$$

by which the relation (4.7) is reduced to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \left(-3a \frac{\partial^4 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3 \partial t_1} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^4 F_{(0)}^c}{\partial t_0^3 \partial t_1} \right) = 0,$$

imposing $a = -\frac{1}{24}$.

Appendix C

We describe computational details of (3.23): $\frac{\mathcal{L}_{n\tau}}{\tau} + \mu_B \frac{\mathcal{L}_{n-1\tau}}{\tau} = 0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2$). Before going to showing it, we mention that from the usual Virasoro constraints (3.20) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{-1\tau}}{\tau} &= -\frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} + \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_n} + \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial \mu_B} \quad (= 0 \text{ by open string equation}), \\ \frac{\mathcal{L}_0\tau}{\tau} &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_1} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_n} - \mu_B \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial \mu_B} - \frac{1}{4}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{L}_1\tau}{\tau} &= -\frac{5!!}{4} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_2} + \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(2i+3)!!}{2^2(2i-1)!!} t_i \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_{i+1}} + \frac{u^2}{8} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F^o}{\partial t_0 \partial t_0} + \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} + 2 \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F^c}{\partial t_0} \right) + \mu_B^2 \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial \mu_B} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_B, \\ \frac{\mathcal{L}_2\tau}{\tau} &= -\frac{7!!}{8} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_3} + \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(2i+5)!!}{2^3(2i-1)!!} t_i \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_{i+2}} \\ &\quad + u^2 \frac{3!!}{8} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F^o}{\partial t_0 \partial t_1} + \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_1} + \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F^c}{\partial t_1} + \frac{\partial F^c}{\partial t_0} \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial t_1} \right) - \mu_B^3 \frac{\partial F^o}{\partial \mu_B} - \frac{3}{4} \mu_B^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the open KdV equations (3.14)

$$\frac{2n+1}{2} F_n^o = -\mu_B F_{n-1}^o + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} F_0^o F_{0,n-1}^c - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} F_{0,0,n-1}^c,$$

and the string equation (2.12) with its derivatives

$$F_0^c = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} F_n^c + \frac{t_0^2}{2\lambda^2}, \quad F_{0,0}^c = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} F_{0,n}^c + \frac{t_0}{\lambda^2}, \quad F_{0,0,0}^c = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} F_{0,0,n}^c + \frac{1}{\lambda^2},$$

with introducing a shorthand notation $F_n^c = \frac{\partial F^c}{\partial t_n}$, $F_{l,m,n}^c = \frac{\partial^3 F^c}{\partial t_l \partial t_m \partial t_n}$ and so on, for $n = 0$ of (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}_0 \tau}{\tau} + \mu_B \frac{\mathcal{L}_{-1} \tau}{\tau} &= \left(-\frac{3}{2} F_1^o - \mu_B F_0^o \right) + \frac{1}{2} t_0 F_0^o + \sum_{n \geq 1} t_n \left(\frac{2n+1}{2} F_n^o + \mu_B F_{n-1}^o \right) - \frac{1}{4} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} F_0^o F_{0,0}^c + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} F_{0,0,0}^c + \frac{1}{2} t_0 F_0^o + \sum_{n \geq 1} t_n \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2} F_0^o F_{0,n-1}^c - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} F_{0,0,n-1}^c \right) - \frac{1}{4} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} F_0^o \left(F_{0,0}^c - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} F_{0,n}^c - \frac{t_0}{\lambda^2} \right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \left(F_{0,0,0}^c - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1} F_{0,0,n}^c \right) - \frac{1}{4} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $n = 1$ of (3.23), with noting (3.16): $\mu_B = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left((F_0^o)^2 + F_{0,0}^o + 2F_{0,0}^c \right)$, and the Virasoro constraint (3.20) for $n = 0$:

$$0 = \frac{L_0 \tau^c}{\tau^c} = -\frac{3}{2} F_1^c + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n F_n^c + \frac{1}{16},$$

where $\tau^c = \exp(F^c)$, yielding

$$-\frac{3}{2} F_{0,1}^c + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n F_{0,n}^c = -\frac{1}{2} F_0^c, \quad -\frac{3}{2} F_{0,0,1}^c + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n F_{0,0,n}^c = -F_{0,0}^c,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}_1 \tau}{\tau} + \mu_B \frac{\mathcal{L}_0 \tau}{\tau} &= -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{5}{2} F_2^o + \mu_B F_1^o \right) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \left(\frac{2n+3}{2} F_{n+1}^o + \mu_B F_n^o \right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \left(F_{0,0}^o + (F_0^o)^2 + 2F_0^o F_0^c \right) + \frac{1}{4} \mu_B \\ &= -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2} F_0^o F_{0,1}^c - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} F_{0,0,1}^c \right) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2} F_0^o F_{0,n}^c - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} F_{0,0,n}^c \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \left(F_{0,0}^o + (F_0^o)^2 + 2F_0^o F_0^c \right) - \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \left((F_0^o)^2 + F_{0,0}^o + 2F_{0,0}^c \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{2} F_0^o \left(-\frac{3}{2} F_{0,1}^c + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n F_{0,n}^c \right) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \left(-\frac{3}{2} F_{0,0,1}^c + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2n+1}{2} t_n F_{0,0,n}^c \right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} F_0^o F_0^c - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} F_{0,0}^c \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $n = 2$ of (3.23), noting the Virasoro constraint (3.20) for $n = 1$:

$$0 = \frac{L_1 \tau^c}{\tau^c} = -\frac{5!!}{4} F_2^c + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(2n+3)!!}{2^2 (2n-1)!!} t_n F_{n+1}^c + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} F_{0,0}^c + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} (F_0^c)^2,$$

and its derivatives, after some manipulations, we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_2 \tau}{\tau} + \mu_B \frac{\mathcal{L}_1 \tau}{\tau} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{8} F_{0,1}^c + \frac{\lambda^4}{16} F_{0,0}^c F_{0,0}^c + \frac{3\lambda^2}{8} F_1^o F_0^o + \frac{3\lambda^2}{16} F_{0,1}^o + \frac{\lambda^4}{16} F_{0,0}^o F_{0,0}^c - \frac{\mu_B^2}{4} + \lambda^2 \frac{\mu_B}{8} F_0^o F_0^o.$$

Furthermore, substituting $\omega = F_{0,0}^c$, $\xi = F^o$, $F_{0,1}^c = \lambda^2 \left(\frac{\omega^2}{2} + \frac{\omega_{2x}}{12} \right)$, $\mu_B = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left((\xi_x)^2 + \xi_{2x} + 2\omega \right)$ where $\omega_x := \omega_0$, $\omega_{2x} := \omega_{0,0}$ and so forth, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\lambda^2}{16} F_1^o &= \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \left(-\mu_B \xi_x + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \xi_x \omega - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \omega_x \right) = \frac{\lambda^4}{16} \left((\xi_x)^3 + \xi_x \xi_{2x} + 3\xi_x \omega - \frac{\omega_x}{2} \right), \\ \frac{3\lambda^2}{16} F_{0,1}^o &= \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \left(-\mu_B \xi_{2x} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \xi_{2x} \omega + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \xi_x \omega_x - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \omega_{2x} \right) = \frac{\lambda^4}{16} \left((\xi_x)^2 \xi_{2x} + (\xi_{2x})^2 + 3\xi_{2x} \omega + \xi_x \omega_x - \frac{\omega_{2x}}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^4} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{2\tau}}{\tau} + \mu_B \frac{\mathcal{L}_{1\tau}}{\tau} \right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^4} \frac{\mu_B^2}{4} + \frac{\omega^2}{4} + \frac{(\xi_x)^4}{16} + \frac{(\xi_x)^2 \xi_{2x}}{8} + \frac{(\xi_x)^2 \omega}{4} + \frac{(\xi_{2x})^2}{16} + \frac{\xi_{2x} \omega}{4} = 0.$$

References

- [1] V. A. Kazakov, I. K. Kostov and A. A. Migdal, *Critical Properties of Randomly Triangulated Planar Random Surfaces*, Phys. Lett. B157 (1985) 295-300.
- [2] V. A. Kazakov, *Ising model on a dynamical planar random lattice: Exact solution*, Phys. Lett. A119 (1986) 140-144.
- [3] V. G. Knizhnik, A. M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Fractal Structure of 2D Quantum Gravity*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 819.
- [4] T. Banks, M. R. Douglas, N. Seiberg and S. H. Shenker, *Microscopic and Macroscopic Loops in Non-perturbative Two-dimensional Gravity* Phys. Lett. B238 (1990) 279.
- [5] M. R. Douglas, *Strings less than one dimension and generalized KdV hierarchies*, Phys. Lett. B238 (1990) 176.
- [6] P. H. Ginsparg, M. Goulian, M. R. Plesser and J. Zinn-Justin, *(p, q) STRING ACTIONS*, Nucl. Phys. B342 (1990) 539-563.
- [7] E. Witten, *Two dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in Diff. Geom. 1 (1991) 243-310.
- [8] M. Konstantin, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, Comm. Math. Phys. 147 (1992) 1-23.
- [9] A. Belavin and G. Tarnopolsky, *Two dimensional gravity in genus one in Matrix Models, Topological and Liouville approaches*, JETP Lett. 92 (2010) 257-267, [arXiv:1006.2056 [hep-th]].
- [10] A. Belavin, M. Bershtein and G. Tarnopolsky, *A remark on the three approaches to 2D quantum gravity*, JETP Lett. 93 (2011) 47-51, [arXiv:1010.2222 [hep-th]].
- [11] R. Pandharipande, J. P. Solomon and R. J. Tessler, *Intersection Theory on Moduli of Disk, Open KdV and Virasoro*, [arXiv:1409.2191 [math.SG]].

- [12] A. Buryak, *Equivalence of the Open KdV and the Open Virasoro Equations for the Moduli Space of Riemann Surfaces with Boundary*, Letters in Mathematical Physics 105 (2015) no. 10 1427-1448, [arXiv:1409.3888 [math.AG]].
- [13] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, *Loop equations and Virasoro constraints in nonperturbative 2-D quantum gravity*, Nucl. Phys. B348 (1991) 435-456.
- [14] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *On equivalence of topological and quantum 2-d gravity*, Phys. Lett. B274 (1992) 280-288, [arXiv:hep-th/9201011].
- [15] A. Bawane, H. Muraki and C. Rim, *Open KdV hierarchy and minimal gravity on disk*, Phys. Lett. B783 (2018) 183-185, [arXiv:1804.09570 [hep-th]].
- [16] R. J. Tessler, *The combinatorial formula for open gravitational descendents*, [arXiv:1507.04951 [math-ph]].
- [17] A. Alexandrov, *Open intersection numbers, matrix models and MKP hierarchy*, JHEP 1503 (2015) 042, [arXiv:1410.1820 [math-ph]].
- [18] A. Alexandrov, *Open intersection numbers, Kontsevich-Penner model and cut-and-join operators*, JHEP 1508 (2015) 028, [arXiv:1412.3772 [hep-th]].
- [19] A. Buryak, *Open intersection numbers and the wave function of the KdV hierarchy*, Moscow Math. J. 16 (2016) no.1, 27-44, [arXiv:1409.7957 [math-ph]].
- [20] A. A. Belavin and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *On Correlation Numbers in 2D Minimal Gravity and Matrix Models*, J.Phys. A42 (2009) 304004, [arXiv:0811.0450 [hep-th]].
- [21] G. W. Moore, N. Seiberg and M. Staudacher, *From loops to states in 2-D quantum gravity* Nucl.Phys. B362 (1991) 665-709.
- [22] G. Ishiki and C. Rim, *Boundary correlation numbers in one matrix model*, Phys. Lett. B694 (2011) 272-277, [arXiv:1006.3906 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. Belavin, B. Dubrovin and B. Mukhametzhanov, *Minimal Liouville Gravity correlation numbers from Douglas string equation*, JHEP 01 (2014) 156, [arXiv:1310.5659 [hep-th]].
- [24] V. Belavin and Yu. Rud, *Matrix model approach to minimal Liouville gravity revisited* J. Phys. A48 (2015) 18FT01, [arXiv:1502.05575 [hep-th]].
- [25] A. Bawane, H. Muraki and C. Rim, *Dual Frobenius manifolds of minimal gravity on disk*, JHEP 1803 (2018) 134, [arXiv:1801.10328 [hep-th]].
- [26] K. Aleshkin, V. Belavin and C. Rim, *Minimal gravity and Frobenius manifolds: bulk correlation on sphere and disk*, JHEP 1711 (2017) 169, [arXiv:1708.06380 [hep-th]].