

STRONG SOLUTIONS TO COMPRESSIBLE-INCOMPRESSIBLE TWO-PHASE FLOWS WITH PHASE TRANSITIONS

KEIICHI WATANABE

ABSTRACT. We consider a free boundary problem of compressible-incompressible two-phase flows with phase transitions in general domains of N -dimensional Euclidean space (e.g. whole space; half-spaces; bounded domains; exterior domains). The compressible fluid and the incompressible fluid are separated by either compact or non-compact sharp moving interface, and the surface tension is taken into account. In our model, the compressible fluid and incompressible fluid are occupied by the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations and the Navier-Stokes equations, respectively. This paper shows that for given $T > 0$ the problem admits a unique strong solution on $(0, T)$ in the maximal $L_p - L_q$ regularity class provided the initial data are small in their natural norms.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper deals with a free boundary problem for compressible-incompressible two-phase flows with phase transitions in the isentropic case. Two immiscible viscous fluids are separated by a sharp interface with taking a surface tension into account. Our problem is formulated as follows: Let Ω be a domain in N -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$) surrounded by boundaries Γ_+ and Γ_- . In this paper, $\Gamma_+ = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma_- = \emptyset$ are admissible. For $t \geq 0$, the hypersurface Γ_t stands a sharp moving interface, which separates Ω into Ω_{t+} and Ω_{t-} such that $\Omega \setminus \Gamma_t = \Omega_{t+} \cup \Omega_{t-}$, $\Omega_{t+} \cap \Omega_{t-} = \emptyset$, $\partial\Omega_{t+} = \Gamma_t \cup \Gamma_+$, and $\partial\Omega_{t-} = \Gamma_t \cup \Gamma_-$. Let $\dot{\Omega}_t = \Omega_{t+} \cup \Omega_{t-}$, and for any function f defined on $\dot{\Omega}_t$, we write $f_{\pm} = f|_{\Omega_{t\pm}}$. We consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho_+ + \operatorname{div}(\varrho_+ \mathbf{v}_+) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{t+}, \quad t > 0, \\ \varrho_+(\partial_t \mathbf{v}_+ + (\mathbf{v}_+ \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_+) - \operatorname{Div} \mathbb{T}_+ = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{t+}, \quad t > 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_- = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{t-}, \quad t > 0, \\ \varrho_-(\partial_t \mathbf{v}_- + (\mathbf{v}_- \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_-) - \operatorname{Div} \mathbb{T}_- = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{t-}, \quad t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with the interfacial boundary conditions on Γ_t ($t > 0$):

$$\begin{cases} V_{\Gamma_t} = \mathbf{v}_{\Gamma_t} \cdot \mathbf{n}_t = \frac{[[\varrho \mathbf{v}]] \cdot \mathbf{n}_t}{[[\varrho]]}, \\ [[\mathbf{v}]] = \mathbb{J} \left[\frac{1}{\varrho} \right] \mathbf{n}_t, \quad \mathbb{J}[[\mathbf{v}]] - [[\mathbb{T}]] \mathbf{n}_t = -\sigma H_{\Gamma_t} \mathbf{n}_t, \\ [[\psi]] + \frac{\mathbb{J}^2 \left[\frac{1}{\varrho^2} \right]}{2} - \left[\frac{1}{\varrho} (\mathbb{T} \mathbf{n}_t \cdot \mathbf{n}_t) \right] = 0, \\ (\nabla \varrho_+) \cdot \mathbf{n}_t|_+ = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on Γ_+ and Γ_- :

$$\mathbf{v}_+ = 0, \quad \nabla \varrho_+ \cdot \mathbf{n}_+ = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_+, \quad \mathbf{v}_- = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_-, \quad (1.3)$$

and the initial conditions:

$$(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+)|_{t=0} = (\rho_{*+} + \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{v}_{0+}) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{0+}, \quad \mathbf{v}_-|_{t=0} = \mathbf{v}_{0-} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{0-}, \quad (1.4)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 35Q30; Secondary: 76T10.

Key words and phrases. Free boundary problem, Phase transition, Two-phase problem, Maximal regularity.

This research was partly supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows #19J10168 and Top Global University Project of Waseda University.

where ϱ_+ and $\varrho_- := \rho_{*-}$ are the densities, \mathbf{v}_\pm the velocity fields, ψ_\pm the Helmholtz free energy functions, and $\rho_{*\pm}$ are positive constants, H_{Γ_t} the $(N-1)$ -times mean curvature of Γ_t , σ a positive constant describing the coefficient of the surface tension, V_t the velocity of evolution of Γ_t with respect to \mathbf{n}_t , \mathbf{v}_{Γ_t} the interfacial velocity, \mathbf{n}_t the outer unit normal to Γ_t pointed from Ω_{t+} to Ω_{t-} , and \mathbf{n}_+ the outer unit normal to Γ_+ . Here, $\mathbf{j} = \varrho_+(\mathbf{v}_+ - \mathbf{v}_\Gamma) \cdot \mathbf{n}_t = \varrho_-(\mathbf{v}_- - \mathbf{v}_\Gamma) \cdot \mathbf{n}_t$ is the phase flux and \mathbb{T}_\pm are the Stress tensors defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{T}_+ &= \mu_+ \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}_+) + (\nu_+ - \mu_+) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_+ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{p}_+ \mathbf{I} + \left(\frac{\kappa_+}{2} |\nabla \varrho_+|^2 + \kappa_+ \varrho_+ \Delta \varrho_+ \right) \mathbf{I} - \kappa_+ \nabla \varrho_+ \otimes \nabla \varrho_+, \\ \mathbb{T}_- &= \mu_- \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{v}_-) - \mathbf{p}_- \mathbf{I},\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{p}_\pm are the pressure fields. Notice that if phase transitions occur on the moving interface Γ_t , the phase flux \mathbf{j} should be taken arbitrary. Furthermore, the jump of a quantity $\mathbf{g}(x, t)$ defined on Ω_t across the interface Γ_t is defined by

$$\llbracket \mathbf{g} \rrbracket (x_0) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} (\mathbf{g}(x_0 + \delta \mathbf{n}_t(x_0)) - \mathbf{g}(x_0 - \delta \mathbf{n}_t(x_0)))$$

for all $x_0 \in \Gamma_t$, where $\mathbf{n}_t(x_0)$ is the outer unit normal to Γ_t at x_0 . In addition, we adopt the notations $\mathbf{g}|_\pm(x_0) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbf{g}(x_0 \mp \delta \mathbf{n}_t(x_0))$ for all $x_0 \in \Gamma_t$. The free boundary problem is said to be finding a family of hypersurfaces $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and appropriately smooth functions ϱ_+ , \mathbf{u}_+ , \mathbf{u}_- , and \mathbf{p}_- . We mention that the problem of finding a family of hypersurface $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is equivalent to the problem of finding a family of $\{\Omega_{t+}\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Omega_{t-}\}_{t \geq 0}$. Notice that our system is thermodynamically consistent model in the sense of second law of thermodynamics, which was derived in the previous paper [28]. In particular, the condition $(\nabla \varrho_+) \cdot \mathbf{n}_t|_+ = 0$ not only guarantees the generalized Gibbs-Thomson law and the Stefan law on the interface Γ_t but also implies the *interstitial working*: $(\kappa_+ \varrho_+ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_+) \nabla \varrho_+$ vanishes in the normal direction of the interface Γ_t , see Watanabe [28]. For further remarks on the interstitial working, the readers may consult the paper by Dunn [4] or Dunn and Serrin [5].

In view of the *Hanzawa transformation*, see Appendix, this paper mainly deals with the following fixed boundary system associated with (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+ = f_M(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h) & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = f_d(\mathbf{u}_-, h) = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d(\mathbf{u}_-, h) & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*+} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+) = \mathbf{f}_+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h) & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*-} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{u}_-, h) & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = d(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \mathbf{G}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+ \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \times (0, T), \\ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)|_{t=0} = (\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0) & \text{on } \Omega_+ \times \Omega_+ \times \Omega_- \times \Gamma. \end{array} \right. \quad (1.5)$$

By abuse of notation, we let \mathbf{T}_+ and \mathbf{T}_- be ‘‘linearized’’ stress tensors defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) &:= \gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_+) + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{I} + (-\gamma_{*+} + \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \Delta) \rho_+ \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) &:= \gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_-) - \pi_- \mathbf{I}.\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \mathbf{G}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)$ stands for the following interface conditions on $\Gamma \times (0, T)$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_- \mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_+ \mathbf{n})|_+) = g(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ - \sigma(\langle \Delta_{\Gamma} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \Delta_{\Gamma} h) = f_B^+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), \\ \frac{1}{\rho_{*-}} \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \frac{1}{\rho_{*+}} \langle \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ - \frac{\gamma_{**}^+}{\rho_{*+}} \rho_+|_+ = f_B^-(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_-|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_+|_+ = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), \\ \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ = k_-(\rho_+, h), \end{array} \right. \quad (1.6)$$

that is, \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{G} denote the left-hand and right-hand side of (1.6), respectively. We denote the pull back of $(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \mathbf{p}_-)$ by $(\rho_{*+} + \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_{*-} + \pi_-)$, where π_{*-} is a positive constant defined below, and h is a height function — the unknown moving interface Γ_t is parametrized over the fixed hypersurface Γ by means of a height function $h(x, t)$. We will give explicit formulas of right-hand members, the nonlinear terms, $f_M, \mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_-, \dots, k_-$ in Appendix. We emphasize that the operator \mathbf{B} is depending on π_- but the function \mathbf{G} is *independent* of π_- . Namely, the all nonlinear terms do not include the pressure term π_- , which plays an important role in formulating the system in a semigroup setting — the pressure term and the divergence equation can be eliminated due to this fact. To simplify the notation, we have set $\Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V} - \langle \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \mathbf{n}$ for any $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\gamma_1 = \mu_+$, $\gamma_2 = \nu_+$, $\gamma_3 = \kappa_+$, $\gamma_4 = \mu_-$, $\gamma_{*+} = \mathbf{p}'_+(\rho_{*+})$, and $\gamma_{**}^+ = \partial_{\varrho_+} \psi_+(\rho_{*+}, 0)$, where $\partial_{\varrho_+} = \partial / \partial \varrho_+$. Here, ρ_{*-} is a positive constant denoting the reference mass density of Ω_- , \mathbf{n} the outer unit normal to Γ pointed from Ω_+ into Ω_- , and Δ_{Γ} the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Γ . Since we consider the system (1.1) in isentropic case, we assume that the fluid occupied in Ω_{t+} is the barotropic viscous fluid, that is, the pressure \mathbf{p}_+ is a function depending only on the density ϱ_+ . In this paper, \mathbf{p}_+ and ψ_+ are given smooth (at least C^2) functions. Note that the Helmholtz free energy ψ_+ depends not only on the density ϱ_+ but also on the square of gradient of density $|\nabla \varrho_+|^2$ in the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg flow (cf. Dunn [4] or Dunn and Serrin [5]). We will mainly devote to prove a unique solvability of the problem (1.5) in the *maximal $L_p - L_q$ regularity class*.

The thermodynamically consistent model, which takes the Gibbs-Thomson correction (cf. Prüss and Simonett [15]) into account, including phase transitions has been studied in [12, 13, 14, 15, 26] in the case of incompressible-incompressible two-phase flows. On the other hand, as far as the author knows, a free boundary problem of compressible-incompressible flows including phase transitions are few. In this direction, Shibata [21] considered the linearized problem of the compressible-incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system and proved the existence of solutions to the linearized system. However, it seems to be difficult to seek a unique solution to the original free boundary problem of Navier-Stokes-Fourier system with phase transitions based on his result. In fact, the regularity of density of a compressible fluid is not enough to solve the kinetic equation: $\mathbf{v}_{\Gamma_t} \cdot \mathbf{n}_t = \llbracket \varrho \mathbf{v} \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n}_t / \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket$, which causes the *regularity loss* on the free boundary Γ_t . To overcome this difficulty, the author proposed the extended system of the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system by taking the Korteweg tensor into account [28]. Especially, in the previous paper [28], the author showed the thermodynamically consistency of the extended model adopting the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations for compressible fluids and the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible fluids, and formulate (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3). In addition, the existence of solution operator families for the corresponding generalized resolvent problem was shown in the case of whole space with flat interface. For further historical review or physical backgrounds of our model, the readers may consult the introduction in [4, 5, 12, 14, 15, 28] and references therein.

To describe the compressible-incompressible two-phase flows, the Navier-Stokes-Allen-Cahn equations and Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hilliard equations have been mainly considered, see Anderson, McFadden, and Wheeler [2] and Dreyer and Krauss [3] for some examples. These models are said to be the *diffuse-interface model*, in which we consider the interface as a non-zero thickness. As for these models, recently, Freistühler and Kotschote [7] proved that the Navier-Stokes-Allen-Cahn equations and Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hilliard equations can be deduced to the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations. On the other hand, the *sharp-interface model* regards the interface as a zero thickness. From a mathematical point of view, the sharp interface models are suitable models for a *free boundary problem* because the position of the interface is a priori unknown. Hence, it seems to be reasonable to adopt the coupling system

of the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations and the Navier-Stokes equations instead of the compressible-incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system — employ the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations instead of the “usual” compressible Navier-Stokes equations to describe the motion of viscous compressible fluid — to investigate the compressible-incompressible two-phase flows. We emphasize that the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations were originally introduced to describe the structure of phase transition (cf. Dunn and Serrin [5]).

The aim of this paper is to prove a unique existence of strong solution to (1.5) with appropriate initial data in *general* domains, which admits bounded or unbounded domains with either compact or non-compact free interface, see Section 2.3 below for the definition. The problem (1.5) reduced locally to so-called *model problems* in a neighborhood of either an interior point or a boundary point by using the partition of unity associated with the domain Ω and standard localized methods. In the neighborhood of Γ , Γ_+ , and Γ_- , the system (1.5) is transformed to the problem in the whole space $x_N \in \mathbb{R}$, the Stokes-Korteweg equations in the half space $x_N > 0$, and the Stokes equations in the half space $x_N < 0$, respectively. Corresponding problems have been studied by the author [28], Saito [17], and Shibata [19], respectively. The essential part of the present paper is eliminating the pressure and divergence equation from the linearized system with the help of a unique solvability of the *weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem*, which is slightly different from the two-phase Stokes equations case [10].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we introduce some symbols and definitions needed in this paper. Sect. 4 is concerned with the equivalence of (1.5) and its *reduced* equations. Sect. 5 is devoted to prove the existence of \mathcal{R} -bounded solution operators for the generalized resolvent problem. In Sect. 6, we prove a solvability of (1.5) in the maximal $L_p - L_q$ regularity class with the help of the Laplace transform and the operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem. Finally, in Sect. 7, we prove the existence of a unique strong solution to (1.5) by the Banach fixed point theorem. In the appendix, we give a definition of the Hanzawa transformation and the explicit formulas of nonlinear terms $f_M, \mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_-, \dots, k_-$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. We set $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$. For any vector fields $\mathbf{a} = {}^\top(a_1, \dots, a_N)$, the deformation tensor $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{a})$ is defined by $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{a}) = \nabla \mathbf{a} + {}^\top(\nabla \mathbf{a})$ whose (j, k) th components are $\partial_j a_k + \partial_k a_j$. In addition, for any vector fields $\mathbf{a} = {}^\top(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$, the notation $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j b_j$ denotes the inner product of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . For any $N \times N$ matrix $\mathbf{M} = (M_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$, the quantity $\text{Div } \mathbf{M}$ denotes the N -vectors with j -th component of $\sum_k \partial_k M_{jk}$. For a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ and Banach spaces X and Y , the symbol $\text{Hol}(U, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ denotes the set of all $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ -valued holomorphic functions defined on U , where \mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers and $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . For $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$, let $\Sigma_\varepsilon = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid |\arg \lambda| \leq \pi - \varepsilon\}$ and $\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0} = \{\lambda \in \Sigma_\varepsilon \mid |\lambda| \geq \lambda_0\}$. The letter C denotes a constant and $C_{a, b, c, \dots}$ denotes the constant depending on a, b, c , and so forth. In addition, the value of C and $C_{a, b, c, \dots}$ may change from line to line.

2.2. Function spaces. For any domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, let $B_{q,p}^s(D)$, $L_q(D)$, and $W_q^m(D)$ be inhomogeneous Besov spaces, Lebesgue spaces, and Sobolev spaces on D , respectively, and their norms are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{B_{q,p}^s(D)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L_q(D)}$, and $\|\cdot\|_{W_q^m(D)}$, respectively. For simplicity, we may write $B_{q,q}^s(D)$ as $W_q^s(D)$ and $L_q(D)$ as $W_q^0(D)$. We denote Lebesgue spaces and Sobolev spaces of X -valued functions defined on \mathbb{R} as $L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, respectively, and their norm are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W_p^m(\mathbb{R}, X)}$, respectively. For a Banach space X the X -valued Bessel potential spaces of order $1/2$ are defined by

$$H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, X) = \{f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid \|f\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, X)} < \infty\}, \quad \|f\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, X)} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-1/4} \mathcal{F}[f]]\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)},$$

where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} stand the Fourier transform and its inverse, respectively. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we define function spaces with exponential weights as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,\gamma}(I, X) &= \{f: I \rightarrow X \mid e^{-\gamma t} f(t) \in L_p(I, X)\}, \\ W_{p,\gamma}^1(I, X) &= \{f \in L_{p,\gamma}(I, X) \mid e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t^k f(t) \in L_p(I, X) \text{ for } k = 0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notation, in this paper we use the following symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p,\gamma}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, X) &= \{f \in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}, X) \mid \|e^{-\gamma t} f\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, X)} < \infty\}, \\ H_{q,p}^{1,1/2}(D \times I) &= L_p(I, W_q^1(D)) \cap H_p^{1/2}(I, L_q(D)), \\ H_{q,p,\gamma}^{1,1/2}(D \times I) &= L_{p,\gamma}(I, W_q^1(D)) \cap H_{p,\gamma}^{1/2}(I, L_q(D)), \\ W_{q,p}^{m+2,1}(D \times I) &= L_p(I, W_q^{m+2}(D)) \cap W_p^1(I, W_q^m(D)), \\ W_{q,p,\gamma}^{m+2,1}(D \times I) &= L_{p,\gamma}(I, W_q^{m+2}(D)) \cap W_{p,\gamma}^1(I, W_q^m(D)) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 < p, q < \infty$, $m = 0, 1$, $\gamma > 0$, a domain $D \subset \Omega$, and a nontrivial time interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

2.3. Uniform domains. The present paper consider the system in the uniform $W_r^{4,3}$ and $W_r^{4,2}$ domains, which are analogous to usual uniform domains, defined by as follows: Let Ω_+ be a connected domain surrounded by boundaries Γ and Γ_+ , while Ω_- be a connected domain surrounded by boundaries Γ and Γ_- , where $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ but $\Gamma_+ = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma_- = \emptyset$ is acceptable. For $1 < r < \infty$, the domains Ω_+ and Ω_- are said to be uniform $W_r^{4,3}$ and uniform $W_r^{4,2}$ domain, respectively, if there exist positive constants α , β , and K such that the following three assertions hold true: (1) For any $x_0 = (x_{01}, \dots, x_{0N}) \in \Gamma$ there exist a number j and a $W_r^{4-1/r}$ function $h_\Gamma(x')$ defined on $B'_\alpha(x'_0)$ such that $\|h_\Gamma\|_{W_r^{4-1/r}(B'_\alpha(x'_0))} \leq K$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\pm \cap B_\beta(x_0) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \pm x_j > h_\Gamma(x'_j) \ (x'_j \in B'_\alpha(x'_{0j}))\} \cap B_\beta(x_0), \\ \Gamma \cap B_\beta(x_0) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_j = h_\Gamma(x'_j) \ (x'_j \in B'_\alpha(x'_{0j}))\} \cap B_\beta(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $\Gamma_\pm \neq \emptyset$, for any $x_0 = (x_{01}, \dots, x_{0N}) \in \Gamma_\pm$, there exist a number j and a $W_r^{(5\pm 1)/2-1/r}$ function $h_{\Gamma_\pm}(x')$ defined on $B'_\alpha(x'_0)$ such that $\|h_{\Gamma_\pm}\|_{W_r^{(5\pm 1)/2-1/r}(B'_\alpha(x'_0))} \leq K$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\pm \cap B_\beta(x_0) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_j > h_{\Gamma_\pm}(x'_j) \ (x'_j \in B'_\alpha(x'_{0j}))\} \cap B_\beta(x_0), \\ \Gamma_\pm \cap B_\beta(x_0) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_j = h_{\Gamma_\pm}(x'_j) \ (x'_j \in B'_\alpha(x'_{0j}))\} \cap B_\beta(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have set

$$\begin{aligned} x'_j &= (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N), \quad x'_{0j} = (x_{01}, \dots, x_{0(j-1)}, x_{0(j+1)}, \dots, x_{0N}), \\ B'_\alpha(x'_{0j}) &= \{x'_j \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \mid |x'_j - x'_{0j}| < \alpha\}, \quad B_\beta(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x - x_0| < \beta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if the boundary is compact, the uniformness is satisfied without any assumption. Let us give a typical situation of the domains:

- (i) Ω_+ and Ω_- are bounded domains with $\Gamma_+ \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_- \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) Ω_+ and Ω_- are a bounded and exterior domain, respectively, with $\Gamma_- = \emptyset$;
- (iii) Ω_- is a bounded domain and Ω_+ is its complement in \mathbb{R}^N assuming $\Gamma_+ = \emptyset$;
- (iv) Ω_+ and Ω_- are infinite layers with fixed boundaries Γ_+ and Γ_- assuming that Γ is non-compact.

Here, the case (ii) and (iii) admit the case $\Gamma_+ = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_- = \emptyset$, respectively. Our results mentioned below cover the *all* cases of these domains.

2.4. \mathcal{R} -boundedness. To establish a maximal regularity property, we have to introduce the concept of \mathcal{R} -boundedness, which is the stronger concept than the uniformly boundedness, due to the requirement of boundedness properties in vector-valued function spaces.

Definition 2.1. For Banach spaces X and Y , a family of operators $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is said to be \mathcal{R} -bounded if there exist constants $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \mathcal{T}$, and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m r_n(t) T_n x_n \right\|_Y^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m r_n(t) x_n \right\|_X^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$

holds, where $r_n(t) = \text{sign} \sin(2^n \pi t)$ are the Rademacher functions on $[0, 1]$. The infimum of C such that the bound holds is said to be the \mathcal{R} -bound of \mathcal{T} on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}(\mathcal{T})$.

Remark 2.2. The constant C in Definition 2.1 depends on p in general. From the Kahane–Khintchine inequality (cf. Hytönen *et al.* [9, Theorem 6.2.4]), however, the definition of \mathcal{R} -boundedness is independent of p . Namely, a family of operators \mathcal{T} is \mathcal{R} -bounded for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ supposing that \mathcal{T} is \mathcal{R} -bounded for some $p \in [1, \infty)$.

The following properties show that \mathcal{R} -bounds behave like norms (cf. Prüss and Simonett [15, Proposition 4.1.6]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and let X, Y , and Z be Banach spaces. The following properties are valid:*

- (1) *Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be \mathcal{R} -bounded families in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Then $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{T}$ is also an \mathcal{R} -bounded family in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and hold the estimate:*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}(\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{T}) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}(\mathcal{S}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}(\mathcal{T}).$$

- (2) *Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be \mathcal{R} -bounded families in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$, respectively. Then $\mathcal{TS} = \{TS \mid S \in \mathcal{S}, T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is an \mathcal{R} -bounded family in $\mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ satisfying the estimate*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X, Z)}(\mathcal{TS}) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}(\mathcal{S})\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)}(\mathcal{T}).$$

3. MAIN RESULT

3.1. Technical setup. Before stating our main result, we first define the solenoidal space and the function space for the pressure. To this end, we introduce the *weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem*.

Definition 3.1. For $1 < q < \infty$ and $q' = q/(q-1)$ define the spaces $\widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ and $W_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ such that

$$\widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-) = \{\theta \in L_{q, \text{loc}}(\Omega_-) \mid \nabla \theta \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N, \theta|_{\Gamma} = 0\}, \quad W_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-) = \{\theta \in W_q^1(\Omega_-) \mid \theta|_{\Gamma} = 0\}.$$

The weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem is said to be uniquely solvable on $\widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ if the following assertion holds: For arbitrary $\mathbf{f} \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N$, there exists a unique solution $\theta \in \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ to the variational equation:

$$(\nabla \theta, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (\mathbf{f}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-) \quad (3.1)$$

satisfying $\|\nabla \theta\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} \leq C_q \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)}$ for some positive constant C_q independent of f , θ , and φ . If the weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem is uniquely solvable, we define a bounded linear operator $K_1 \in \mathcal{L}(L_q(\Omega_-)^N, \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-))$ by $K_1(\mathbf{f}) = \theta$ with $\mathbf{f} \in L_q(\Omega_-)$ and $\theta \in \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ given in (3.1).

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathbf{f} \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N$ and $\mathbf{p} \in W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)$ for $1 < q < \infty$. Then there exists $p \in W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ which is a unique solution to the variational equation:

$$(\nabla p, \nabla \theta)_{\Omega_-} = (\mathbf{f}, \nabla \theta)_{\Omega_-} \quad \text{for any } \theta \in \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-) \quad (3.2)$$

subject to $p = \mathbf{p}$ on Γ with $W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-) = \{p_1 + p_2 \mid p_1 \in W_q^1(\Omega_-), p_2 \in \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)\}$. In fact, let $\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}: W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma) \rightarrow W_q^1(\Omega_-)$ be a map such that for any $\mathbf{p} \in W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)$, the function $\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{p}) \in W_q^1(\Omega_-)$ satisfies the conditions: $\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}$ on Γ and $\|\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{p})\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_-)} \leq C \|\mathbf{p}\|_{W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)}$ with some positive constant C independent of \mathbf{p} . If we define p such that $p = \mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{p}) + K_1(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{p}))$, where p belongs to $W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ with $\mathbf{p} \in W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)$, then p satisfies (3.2) with the estimate

$$\|\nabla p\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} \leq C_q \left(\|\mathbf{p}\|_{W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} \right).$$

Especially, $W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ is the space for the pressure field.

Remark 3.3. When Ω_- is a bounded domain, an exterior domain, a half space, and a bent half space, the weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem is uniquely solvable in $\widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ for *arbitrary* $q \in (1, \infty)$. As for further examples for the domains such that the the weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem is uniquely solvable, see Shibata [18, Example 1.6] and [19, Example 1.8]. Here, let us remark about the case when Ω_- is an exterior domain: Although the weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem

$$(\nabla \theta, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (\mathbf{f}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \mathcal{W}_q^1(\Omega_-)$$

admits a unique solution $\theta \in \mathcal{W}_q^1(\Omega_-)$ for any $\mathbf{f}_- \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N$, where $\mathcal{W}_q^1(\Omega_-)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega_-)$ with respect to the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)}$, if and only if $N/(N-1) < q < N$ if $N \geq 3$, and $q = 2$, if $N = 2$ (cf. Galdi [8, Theorem 8.4]), we emphasize that the weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem is uniquely solvable in $\widehat{W}_{q,\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ for any $q \in (1, \infty)$, see Prüss and Simonett [15, Theorem 7.4.3] and Shibata [22, Lemma 3.4]. These differences arises from the fact that $C_0^\infty(\Omega_-)$ is *not* dense in $\widehat{W}_{q,\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ with the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)}$ for all $1 < q < \infty$, see Shibata [22, Appendix A].

Under the assumption that the weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem is uniquely solvable on $\widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$, we define solenoidal spaces $J_q(\Omega_-)$ by

$$J_q(\Omega_-) = \{\mathbf{f}_- \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N \mid (\mathbf{f}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)\}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $1 < q < \infty$ and Ω_- is a uniform $W_r^{4,2}$ domain. We define $\mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-)$ as data spaces for the divergence equation: $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = f_d$ in Ω_- with $\mathbf{u}_- \cdot \mathbf{n}_- = 0$ on Γ_- such that

$$\mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-) = \left\{ f_d \in W_q^1(\Omega_-) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a } \mathbf{g}_d \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N \text{ such that} \\ (f_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = -\rho_{*-}(\mathbf{g}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \text{ for all } \varphi \in W_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}(f_d) = \{\mathbf{h}_d \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N \mid \operatorname{div} \mathbf{g}_d = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{h}_d\}$ and $\mathbf{F}_d \in \mathcal{G}(f_d)$. We then see that $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d = f_d$ in Ω_- and $\mathbf{F}_d \cdot \mathbf{n}_- = 0$ on Γ_- . Indeed, for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_-)$ we obtain

$$\rho_{*-}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = -\rho_{*-}(\mathbf{F}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (f_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-},$$

which yields that $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d = f_d$ in Ω_- . Furthermore, for arbitrary $\tilde{\varphi} \in C_0^1(\Gamma_-)$ if we choose $\varphi \in W_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ such that $\varphi|_{\Gamma_-} = \tilde{\varphi}$, we observe that

$$\rho_{*-}(\mathbf{F}_d \cdot \mathbf{n}_-, \tilde{\varphi})_{\Gamma_-} = \rho_{*-}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + \rho_{*-}(\mathbf{F}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (f_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} - (f_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = 0,$$

which furnishes that $\mathbf{F}_d \cdot \mathbf{n}_-$ vanishes on Γ_- . Setting

$$\|f_d\|_{\mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-)} = \|f_d\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_-)} + \inf_{\mathbf{h}_d \in \mathcal{G}(f_d)} \|\mathbf{h}_d\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)}$$

for $f_d \in \mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-)$, we see that $\mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-)$ is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-)}$. In the present paper, we define that $\mathbf{u}_- \in W_q^1(\Omega_-)^N$ satisfies

$$\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = f_d \quad \text{in } \Omega_-, \quad \mathbf{u}_- \cdot \mathbf{n}_- = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_- \quad (3.4)$$

if the identity

$$(\mathbf{u}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (\mathbf{F}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \quad (3.5)$$

holds for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$. We remark that (3.4) and (3.5) are *not* equivalent, that is, the condition (3.4) does not imply the identity (3.5) because $W_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ is not dense in $\widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$. From this fact, we shall introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.4. Let $1 < q < \infty$. For $\mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{F}_d \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N$, we define that the identity $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d$ holds in Ω_- if $\mathbf{u}_- - \mathbf{F}_d$ belongs to solenoidal spaces $J_q(\Omega_-)$.

We finally introduce some technical assumptions for the coefficients of the problem (1.5).

Assumption 3.5. The coefficients $\gamma_1 = \mu_+$, $\gamma_2 = \nu_+$, $\gamma_3 = \kappa_+$, $\gamma_4 = \mu_-$, are real valued uniformly continuous functions defined in \mathbb{R}^N . We assume the following properties:

- (1) The coefficients ρ_{*+} and ρ_{*-} satisfy $\rho_{*+} \neq \rho_{*-}$.
- (2) There exists positive constants γ_{k*}^- and γ_{k*}^+ ($k = 1, \dots, 4$) such that $\gamma_{k*}^- \leq \gamma_k(x) \leq \gamma_{k*}^+$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- (3) The coefficients γ_k ($k = 1, \dots, 4$) belong to $W_{r,\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\|\nabla \gamma_k\|_{L_r(B_R)} \leq C_{r,R}$ with some positive constant $C_{r,R}$ for any ball $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ where $R > 0$ denotes the radius of ball B_R .
- (4) The coefficients ρ_{*+} and γ_k ($k = 1, \dots, 3$) satisfy the condition

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_1(x) + \gamma_2(x)}{2\rho_{*+}^2\gamma_3(x)} \right)^2 \neq \frac{1}{\rho_{*+}\gamma_3(x)}, \quad \rho_{*+}^3\gamma_3(x) \neq \gamma_1(x)\gamma_2(x).$$

Remark 3.6. The conditions (2) and (3) are required to employ a localization argument in order to construct a solution to the generalized resolvent problem in general domains, see Maryani and Saito [10] for an example on the two-phase Stokes equations case. On the other hand, Assumption 3.5 (4) guarantees that we have the three roots with positive real parts different from each other, see [16, 17] and [28, Lemma 5.1]. Notice that the assumption on ρ_{*+} , μ_{*+} , ν_{*+} , and κ_{*+} in [28, Theorem 1.2] should be corrected as the one given by (4) in Assumption 3.5 with $\gamma_1 = \mu_{*+}$, $\gamma_2 = \nu_{*+}$, and $\gamma_3 = \kappa_{*+}$. We remark that this assumption expect to be removed by using the similar argument due to Saito [17].

3.2. Main results. Setting $\dot{\Omega} = \Omega_+ \cup \Omega_-$, we shall state our main results.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $N < q < \infty$ with $2/p + N/q < 1$. In addition, let $T > 0$ and $N < r < \infty$ and $\max(q, q') \leq r$. Suppose the following assertions:*

- (a) *The domains Ω_+ and Ω_- are uniform $W_r^{4,3}$ and $W_r^{4,2}$ domain, respectively.*
- (b) *The weak Dirichlet-Neumann problem is uniquely solvable on $\widehat{W}_{q,\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ and $\widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$.*
- (c) *Assumption 3.5 holds true..*
- (d) *The pressure field $\mathbf{p}_+(\rho_+)$ is C^2 -function defined on $\rho_{*+}/3 \leq \rho_+ \leq 3\rho_{*+}$ such that $0 \leq \mathbf{p}'_+(\rho_+) \leq \pi^*$ with some positive constant π^* for any $\rho_{*+}/3 \leq \rho_+ \leq 3\rho_{*+}$.*
- (e) *The Helmholtz free energy $\psi_+(\rho_+, |\nabla \rho_+|^2)$ is C^2 -function defined on $(\rho_{*+}/3, 3\rho_{*+}) \times [0, \infty)$ such that $0 \leq \partial_{\rho_+} \psi_+(\rho_+, |\nabla \rho_+|^2) \leq \psi^*$ with some positive constant ψ^* for any $\rho_{*+}/3 \leq \rho_+ \leq 3\rho_{*+}$.*
- (f) *There exist positive constants $\pi_{*\pm}$ such that*

$$\psi_-(\rho_{*-}) - \psi_+(\rho_{*+}, 0) = \frac{\pi_{*+}}{\rho_{*+}} - \frac{\pi_{*-}}{\rho_{*-}}, \quad \pi_{*-} - \pi_{*+} = \sigma H_\Gamma, \quad (3.6)$$

which represents the Gibbs-Thomson condition and the Young-Laplace law, respectively.

- (g) *The initial data*

$$(\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0) \in B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+) \times B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_+) \times B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_-) \times B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)$$

satisfies the compatibility conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{0-} = f_{d0} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_{d0} & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0-}) \mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0+}) \mathbf{n})|_+ = g_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_{0-}|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_{0+}|_+ = \mathbf{h}_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ = k_{0-} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}_{0+} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \mathbf{u}_{0-} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \end{array} \right.$$

with $\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{F}_{d0} \in J_q(\Omega_-)$, where we have set $f_{d0} = f_d(\mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0)$, $\mathbf{F}_{d0} = \mathbf{F}_d(\mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0)$, $g_0 = g(\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0)$, $\mathbf{h}_0 = \mathbf{h}(\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0)$, and $k_{0-} = k_-(\rho_{0+}, h_0)$.

Then there exists a positive constant ε_T depending on T such that if the initial data satisfy the smallness condition:

$$\|\rho_{0+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_{0+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_{0-}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_-)} + \|h_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)} \leq \varepsilon_T,$$

there exists a unique solution $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-, h)$ to the system (1.5) with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ &\in L_p((0, T), W_q^3(\Omega_+)) \cap W_p^1((0, T), W_q^1(\Omega_+)), \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm \in L_p((0, T), W_q^2(\Omega_\pm)^N) \cap W_p^1((0, T), L_q(\Omega_\pm)^N), \\ \pi_- &\in L_p((0, T), W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q,\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)), \quad h \in L_p((0, T), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)) \cap W_p^1((0, T), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the estimate $\mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-, h, 0; (0, T)) \leq \varepsilon_T$. Here and in the following, for $\delta \in [0, \infty)$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-, h, \delta; (a, b)) \\ & := \|e^{-\delta t} \partial_t \rho_+\|_{L_p((a,b), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\delta t} \rho_+\|_{L_p((a,b), W_q^3(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\delta t} \rho_+\|_{L_\infty((a,b), B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+))} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \left(\|e^{-\delta t} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\ell\|_{L_p((a,b), L_q(\Omega_\ell))} + \|e^{-\delta t} \mathbf{u}_\ell\|_{L_p((a,b), W_q^2(\Omega_\ell))} + \|e^{-\delta t} \mathbf{u}_\ell\|_{L_\infty((a,b), B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_\ell))} \right) \\ & \quad + \|e^{-\delta t} \nabla \pi_-\|_{L_p((a,b), L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\delta t} \partial_t h\|_{L_p((a,b), W_q^{2-1/p}(\Gamma))} + \|e^{-\delta t} h\|_{L_p((a,b), W_q^{3-1/p}(\Gamma))} \\ & \quad + \|e^{-\delta t} h\|_{L_\infty((a,b), B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma))}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.8. (1) From the trace method of real interpolation, for $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$W_p^1((0, T), X_1) \cap L_p((0, T), X_2) \hookrightarrow \text{BUC}([0, T], (X_1, X_2)_{1-1/p, p}), \quad (3.7)$$

where X_1 and X_2 are Banach spaces such that X_2 is dense subset of X_1 and $\text{BUC}([0, T], (X_1, X_2)_{1-1/p, p})$ denotes the set of all $(X_1, X_2)_{1-1/p, p}$ -valued uniformly continuous and bounded functions on $[0, T]$ (cf. Amann [1, Chapter III, Theorem 4.10.2]). Hence, a quadruple $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)$ is continuous with respect to initial data $(\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0)$, so that the system is a locally well-posed.

(2) For given $T > 0$, we can find a family of hypersurfaces $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and see that $(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \mathbf{p}_-)$ is a unique solution to the free boundary problem (1.1)-(1.4) for any $t \in (0, T)$ because the Hanzawa transformation is injective, see Appendix below.

4. REDUCED PROBLEM

4.1. Eliminating the pressure term and the divergence equation. We first consider the left-hand side of (1.5) without the lower order terms $\gamma_{*+} \rho_+$, $\langle \Delta_\Gamma \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle h$, and $\gamma_{**}^+ \rho_+$. To this end, we define

$$\mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) = \gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_+) + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)(\text{div } \mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{I} + \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \Delta \rho_+ \mathbf{I}.$$

We now decompose the interface condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-)|_- - \{\mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+)\}|_+ - \sigma \Delta_\Gamma h = f_B^+, \\ & \frac{1}{\rho_{*-}} \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_- - \frac{1}{\rho_{*+}} \langle \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_+ = f_B^- \end{aligned}$$

into

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_- - \frac{\rho_{*-} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_\Gamma h = \frac{\rho_{*-} (f_B^+ - \rho_{*+} f_B^-)}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} =: g_-, \\ & \langle \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_+ - \frac{\rho_{*+} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_\Gamma h = \frac{\rho_{*+} (f_B^+ - \rho_{*-} f_B^-)}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} =: g_+. \end{aligned}$$

We then consider the following linear problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \text{div } \mathbf{u}_+ = f_M & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, \infty), \\ \rho_{*-} \text{div } \mathbf{u}_- = f_d = \rho_{*-} \text{div } \mathbf{F}_d & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, \infty), \\ \rho_{*+} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_+ - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) = \mathbf{f}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, \infty), \\ \rho_{*-} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_- - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \mathbf{f}_- & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, \infty), \\ \partial_t h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = d & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) = \mathbf{G}_0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_- - \frac{\rho_{*-} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_\Gamma h = g_- & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{u}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = k_+ & \text{on } \Gamma_+ \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{u}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \times (0, \infty) \end{array} \right. \quad (4.1)$$

with $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)|_{t=0} = (\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0)$, where $\mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) = \mathbf{G}_0$ stands the conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_- \mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_+ \mathbf{n})|_+) = g & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_+ - \frac{\rho_{*+} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_{\Gamma} h = g_+ & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_-|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_+|_+ = \mathbf{h} & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ = k_- & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \end{array} \right.$$

Here, the right-hand members are given functions at this stage, where k_+ is an additional function — we will take k_+ as zero if we solve the nonlinear problem. Notice that \mathbf{B}_0 and \mathbf{G}_0 are independent of π_- . To prove a solvability of (4.1), we consider the following resolvent problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \hat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \hat{f}_M & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \hat{f}_d = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{F}}_d & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \hat{\rho}_+) = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \hat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \hat{\pi}_-) = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_- & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \lambda \hat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \hat{d} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \hat{\rho}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \hat{\pi}_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_- - \frac{\rho_{*-} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_{\Gamma} \hat{h} = \hat{g}_- & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = \hat{k}_+ & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_-, \end{array} \right. \quad (4.2)$$

where $\mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \hat{\rho}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}_0$ denotes the following conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_- \mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ \mathbf{n})|_+) = \hat{g} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \hat{\rho}_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_+ - \frac{\rho_{*+} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_{\Gamma} \hat{h} = \hat{g}_+ & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+|_+ = \hat{\mathbf{h}} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \langle \nabla \hat{\rho}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ = \hat{k}_- & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{array} \right.$$

To formulate the problem (4.1) in the semigroup setting, we have to eliminate the pressure term π_- and the divergence equation: $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \hat{f}_d$ in (4.2), that is, we deduce the reduced equations equivalent to (4.2). To this end, we follow the idea due to Shibata [18, 20].

Let $\mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)$ be a unique solution to the variational problem

$$(\nabla \mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (\operatorname{Div}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-), \quad (4.3)$$

subject to $\mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \gamma_4 \langle \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-$ on Γ , while $\mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h})$ is a unique solution to the following variational problem:

$$(\nabla \mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h}), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-), \quad (4.4)$$

subject to $\mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h}) = -(\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \Delta_{\Gamma} \hat{h}$ on Γ . As we mentioned in Remark 3.2, the functions $\mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)$ and $\mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h})$ can be defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = K_{\Gamma}^1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + K_1(\operatorname{Div}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \nabla K_{\Gamma}^1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)), \quad \mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h}) = -K_{\Gamma}^2(\hat{h}) + K_1(\nabla K_{\Gamma}^2(\hat{h}))$$

with $K_{\Gamma}^1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}(\gamma_4 \langle \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)$ and $K_{\Gamma}^2(\hat{h}) = \mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}(-\mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h}))$, respectively. We easily see that $\mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)$ and $\mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h})$ belong to $W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ satisfying the estimates

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-)\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} \leq C \|\nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_-)}, \quad \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h})\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} \leq C \|\hat{h}\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)},$$

respectively. We then have the “reduced” system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \widehat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \widehat{f}_M & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\rho}_+) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h})) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \lambda \widehat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \widehat{d} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \rho_{*-} (\operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-)|_- = \widehat{g}_- & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = \widehat{k}_+ & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.5)$$

In the following, we shall prove the equivalence between (4.2) and (4.5). Given $\widehat{f}_M \in W_q^1(\Omega_+)$, $\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{\pm} \in L_q(\Omega_{\pm})^N$, $\widehat{d} \in W_q^2(\dot{\Omega})$, $\widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_{\pm} \in W_q^1(\dot{\Omega})$, $\widehat{\mathbf{h}} \in W_q^2(\dot{\Omega})^N$, and $\widehat{k}_{\pm} \in W_q^2(\Omega_+)$. Let $\widehat{f}_d \in W_q^1(\Omega_-)$ be a unique solution to the auxiliary problem

$$\lambda(\widehat{f}_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + (\nabla \widehat{f}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = -(\rho_{*-}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-}$$

for any $\varphi \in W_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ subject to $\widehat{f}_d = \widehat{g}_-$ on Γ . Recall that a unique existence of \widehat{f}_d is guaranteed for suitably large $\lambda > 0$ (cf. Shibata [20, Sect. 9.6.2]). In this case, we see that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d = \lambda^{-1}(\nabla \widehat{f}_d + \rho_{*-}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-). \quad (4.6)$$

Let $\widehat{\rho}_+ \in W_q^3(\Omega_+)$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\pm} \in W_q^2(\Omega_{\pm})$, $\widehat{\pi}_- \in W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$, and $\widehat{h} \in W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)$ be unique solutions of (4.2) with (4.6). From (3.4), (3.5), and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- &= \widehat{f}_d \in W_q^1(\Omega_-), \\ (\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} &= \lambda^{-1}(\nabla \widehat{f}_d + \rho_{*-}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

On the other hand, by (4.3) and (4.4), for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\rho_{*-}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= (\lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \rho_{*-}^{-1} \operatorname{Div}(\mu_- \mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) - \widehat{\pi}_- \mathbf{I}), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= \lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} - (\nabla \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} - (\rho_{*-}^{-1} \operatorname{Div}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + (\rho_{*-}^{-1} \nabla \widehat{\pi}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= \lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} - (\nabla \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + (\rho_{*-}^{-1} \nabla(\widehat{\pi}_- - (\mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h}))), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-}, \end{aligned}$$

which, combined with (4.7), furnishes that $(\nabla(\widehat{\pi}_- - (\mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h}))), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = 0$ for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$. Furthermore, since $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \widehat{f}_d$ in Ω_- with $\widehat{f}_d = \widehat{g}_-$ on Γ , by (4.3) and (4.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_- - (\mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h})) &= \mu_- \langle \mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle - \frac{\rho_{*-} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_{\Gamma} \widehat{h} - \widehat{g}_- - \mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) - \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h}) \\ &= -\widehat{g}_- + \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-|_- = -\widehat{f}_d + \widehat{f}_d = 0 \end{aligned}$$

holds on Γ . Hence, a uniqueness of solutions to (4.2) implies that $\widehat{\pi}_- = \mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h})$, which yields that $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-$ and \widehat{h} satisfy (4.5).

Conversely, we assume a unique solvability of (4.5). Given $\widehat{f}_d \in \mathcal{DL}_q(\Omega_-)$, we assume that

$$\widehat{g}_- = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (\rho_{*-}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-). \quad (4.8)$$

Let $\mathcal{K}(\lambda, \widehat{f}_d) \in W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ be a solution to the variational problem:

$$(\nabla \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \widehat{f}_d), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (\rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d - \nabla \widehat{f}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \quad (4.9)$$

for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ with $\mathcal{K}(\lambda, \widehat{f}_d) = -\widehat{f}_d$ on Γ . Let $\widehat{\rho}_+ \in W_q^3(\Omega_+)$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\pm} \in W_q^2(\Omega_{\pm})$, and $\widehat{h} \in W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)$ be solutions to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \widehat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \widehat{f}_M & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \widehat{f}_d = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\rho}_+) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h})) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- - \nabla \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \widehat{f}_d) & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \lambda \widehat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \widehat{d} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \widehat{g}_- + \widehat{f}_d & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = \widehat{k}_+ & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.10)$$

From the last condition of (4.10), we have

$$\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-|_- = \widehat{f}_d \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \quad (4.11)$$

By (4.8), (4.9), and (4.10), for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d - \nabla \widehat{f}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} &= (\nabla \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \widehat{f}_d), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= (\rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div}(\mu_- \mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) - (\mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h}))), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= (\rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} - (\rho_{*-} \nabla \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Since $W_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-) \subset \widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$, by the divergence theorem of Gauss, the definition of $\mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-)$, and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda(\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + (\rho_{*-} \nabla \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= -\lambda(\rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + \lambda(\rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} - \lambda(\rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + (\nabla \widehat{f}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= \lambda(\widehat{f}_d, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + (\nabla \widehat{f}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in W_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$. Namely, $\lambda(\widehat{f}_d - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \varphi)_{\Omega_-} + (\nabla(\widehat{f}_d - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = 0$ for any $\varphi \in W_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$. From (4.11), a uniqueness of solutions implies that $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \widehat{f}_d$ in Ω_- , which substitute into (4.12) implies that $(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-}$ for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ because we may assume $\lambda \neq 0$. Hence, in light of (3.4) and (3.5), $\widehat{\rho}_+$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\pm}$, \widehat{h} , and $\widehat{\pi}_- = \mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h}) - \mathcal{K}(\lambda, \widehat{f}_d)$ satisfy (4.2) assuming (4.8).

4.2. On the \mathcal{R} bounded solution operators for the reduced problem. In the following, we consider (4.5) instead of (4.2). We first define function spaces Y_q and \mathcal{Y}_q as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) &= \{(\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+) \mid \widehat{f}_M \in W_q^1(\Omega_+), \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ \in L_q(\Omega_+)^N, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N, \\ &\quad \widehat{d} \in W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma), \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_- \in W_q^1(\dot{\Omega}), \widehat{\mathbf{h}} \in W_q^2(\dot{\Omega})^N, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+ \in W_q^2(\Omega_+)\}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) &= \{(F_1, \dots, F_{21}) \mid F_1, F_2 \in L_q(\Omega_+), F_3 \in L_q(\Omega_+)^N, F_4 \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N, F_5 \in W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma), \\ &\quad F_6, F_8, F_{10} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega}), F_7, F_9, F_{11}, F_{13} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega})^N, F_{12} \in W_q^1(\dot{\Omega}), F_{14} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega})^{N^2}, \\ &\quad F_{15} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega})^{N^3}, F_{16}, F_{19} \in L_q(\Omega_+), F_{17}, F_{20} \in L_q(\Omega_+)^N, F_{18}, F_{21} \in L_q(\Omega_+)^{N^2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+)\|_{Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} \\ &= \|\widehat{f}_M\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_+)} + \sum_{\ell=\pm} (\|\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_\ell\|_{L_q(\Omega_\ell)^N} + \|\widehat{g}_\ell\|_{W_q^1(\dot{\Omega})^N} + \|\widehat{k}_\ell\|_{W_q^2(\Omega_+)}) + \|\widehat{d}\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)} + \|\widehat{g}\|_{W_q^1(\dot{\Omega})^N} + \|\widehat{\mathbf{h}}\|_{W_q^2(\dot{\Omega})}, \\ & \| (F_1, \dots, F_{21}) \|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^3 \|F_m\|_{L_q(\Omega_+)} + \|F_4\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} + \|F_5\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)} + \sum_{n=6}^{15} \|F_n\|_{L_q(\dot{\Omega})} + \|\nabla F_{12}\|_{L_q(\dot{\Omega})} + \sum_{l=16}^{21} \|F_l\|_{L_q(\Omega_+)} \end{aligned}$$

for any $(\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+) \in Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$ and $(F_1, \dots, F_{21}) \in \mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$. The following theorem plays an important role in the present paper. We will give the proof in the next section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $N < r < \infty$, and $\max(q, q') \leq r$. Suppose that the assumptions (a)–(c) in Theorem 3.7 holds. Then there exists constant $\varepsilon_* \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_*, \pi/2)$ there exists a constant $\lambda_* \geq 1$ with the following assertions hold true:*

- (1) *For any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}$, there exist operators $\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}^\pm(\lambda)$, and $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^+(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^3(\Omega_+))), \\ \mathcal{B}^\pm(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^2(\Omega_\pm)^N)), \\ \mathcal{H}(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma))), \end{aligned}$$

such that for any $\mathbf{F}_Y = (\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+) \in Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$, the quadruple

$$(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) = (\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y), \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y), \mathcal{B}^-(\lambda)F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y), \mathcal{H}(\lambda)F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y))$$

is a unique solution to (4.5). Here, we have set

$$\begin{aligned} F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y) &= (\lambda^{1/2}\widehat{f}_M, \nabla\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}, \nabla\widehat{g}, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}_+, \nabla\widehat{g}_+, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}_-, \nabla\widehat{g}_-, \\ &\quad \widehat{g}_-, \lambda\widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla\widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \nabla^2\widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \lambda\widehat{k}_-, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla\widehat{k}_-, \nabla^2\widehat{k}_-, \lambda\widehat{k}_+, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla\widehat{k}_+, \nabla^2\widehat{k}_+). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) *There exists a positive constant c_* , independent of λ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-i}(\Omega_+))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{i/2}\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}\}) &\leq c_*, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{2-j}(\Omega_\pm)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{j/2}\mathcal{B}^\pm(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}\}) &\leq c_*, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-1/q-k}(\Gamma))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^k\mathcal{H}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}\}) &\leq c_*, \end{aligned}$$

for $s = 0, 1$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $k = 0, 1$.

5. GENERALIZED RESOLVENT PROBLEM

5.1. Reduced problem with a flat interface. To prove Theorem 4.1, we first consider a flat interface case, that is, we consider the problem (4.5) with $\Omega_\pm = \mathbb{R}_\pm^N$, $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}_0^N$, and $\Gamma_+ = \Gamma_- = \emptyset$, where we have set $\mathbb{R}_\pm^N := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \pm x_N > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_0^N := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N = 0\}$. Furthermore, we set

$$\widehat{W}_{q,0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) = \{\theta \in L_{p,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_-^N) \mid \nabla\theta \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N, \theta|_{x_N=0} = 0\}, \quad W_{q,0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) = \{\theta \in W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) \mid \theta|_{x_N=0} = 0\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{DI}_{F,q}(\mathbb{R}_-^N) = \left\{ \widehat{f}_d \in W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_d \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N \text{ such that} \\ (\widehat{f}_d, \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}_-^N} = -\rho_{*-}(\widehat{\mathbf{g}}_d, \nabla\varphi)_{\mathbb{R}_-^N} \text{ for all } \varphi \in W_{q',0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) \end{array} \right\}.$$

In addition, let $\mathcal{G}_F(f_d) = \{\mathbf{h}_d \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N \mid \text{div } \mathbf{g}_d = \text{div } \mathbf{h}_d\}$ and $\mathbf{F}_d \in \mathcal{G}_F(f_d)$. We observe that $\rho_{*-} \text{div } \mathbf{F}_d = f_d$ in \mathbb{R}_-^N . Set $\|f_d\|_{\mathcal{DI}_{F,q}(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} = \|f_d\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} + \inf_{\mathbf{h}_d \in \mathcal{G}_F(f_d)} \|\mathbf{h}_d\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)}$ for $f_d \in \mathcal{DI}_{F,q}(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$. We see that $\mathcal{DI}_{F,q}(\Omega_-)$ is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{DI}_{F,q}(\Omega_-)}$.

For any $\mathbf{u}_- \in W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$, let $\mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{u}_-) \in W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) + \widehat{W}_{q,0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$ be a unique solution to the following variational problem:

$$(\nabla\mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{u}_-), \nabla\varphi)_{\mathbb{R}_-^N} = (\text{Div}(\gamma_{40}\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_-)) - \rho_{*-}\nabla\text{div } \mathbf{u}_-, \nabla\varphi)_{\Omega_-} \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) \quad (5.1)$$

subject to $\mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{u}_-) = \langle \gamma_{40} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_-$ on \mathbb{R}_0^N , while for $h \in W_q^{3-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$, $\mathcal{K}_{F2}(h) \in W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) + \widehat{W}_{q,0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$ be a unique solution to the following variational problem:

$$(\nabla \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h), \nabla \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}_-^N} = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) \quad (5.2)$$

subject to $\mathcal{K}_{F2}(h) = -(\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \Delta_{\mathbb{R}_0^N} h$ on \mathbb{R}_0^N with $\rho_{*+} \neq \rho_{*-}$. Here, we have the following estimates:

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{u}_-)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_-\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)}, \quad \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} \leq C \|h\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\mathbb{R}_0^N)}. \quad (5.3)$$

According to the previous section, we obtain the reduced equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \widehat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \widehat{f}_M & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\rho}_+) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{40}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathcal{K}_{F1}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_{F2}(\widehat{h})) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_-^N, \\ \lambda \widehat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \widehat{d} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \gamma_{40}, \widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-|_- = \widehat{g}_- & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N \end{array} \right. \quad (5.4)$$

where γ_{10} , γ_{20} , γ_{30} , and γ_{40} are positive constants. We now define function spaces Z_q and \mathcal{Z}_q as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q(D_+, D_-, D_0) &= \{(\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-) \mid \widehat{f}_M \in W_q^1(D_+), \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ \in L_q(D_+)^N, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- \in L_q(D_-)^N, \\ &\quad \widehat{d} \in W_q^{2-1/q}(D_0), \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_- \in W_q^1(\dot{D}), \widehat{\mathbf{h}} \in W_q^2(\dot{D})^N, \widehat{k}_- \in W_q^2(D_+)\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_q(D_+, D_-, D_0) &= \{(G_1, \dots, G_{18}) \mid G_1, G_2 \in L_q(D_+), G_3 \in L_q(D_+)^N, G_4 \in L_q(D_-)^N, G_5 \in W_q^{2-1/q}(D_0), \\ &\quad G_6, G_8, G_{10} \in L_q(\dot{D}), G_7, G_9, G_{11}, G_{13} \in L_q(\dot{D})^N, G_{12} \in W_q^1(\dot{D}), G_{14} \in L_q(\dot{D})^{N^2}, \\ &\quad G_{15} \in L_q(\dot{D})^{N^3}, G_{16} \in L_q(D_+), G_{17} \in L_q(D_+)^N, G_{18} \in L_q(D_+)^{N^2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

with $\dot{D} = D_+ \cup D_-$, $D_\pm \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $D_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. In addition, we set

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-)\|_{Z_q(D_+, D_-, D_0)} \\ &= \|\widehat{f}_M\|_{W_q^1(D_+)} + \sum_{\ell=\pm} (\|\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_\ell\|_{L_q(D_\ell)^N} + \|\widehat{g}_\ell\|_{W_q^1(\dot{D})^N}) + \|\widehat{d}\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(D_0)} + \|\widehat{g}\|_{W_q^1(\dot{D})^N} + \|\widehat{\mathbf{h}}\|_{W_q^2(\dot{D})} + \|\widehat{k}_-\|_{W_q^2(D_+)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|(G_1, \dots, G_{18})\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(D_+, D_-, D_0)}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^3 \|G_m\|_{L_q(D_+)} + \|G_4\|_{L_q(D_-)} + \|G_5\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(D_0)} + \sum_{n=6}^{15} \|G_n\|_{L_q(\dot{D})} + \|\nabla G_{12}\|_{L_q(\dot{D})} + \sum_{l=16}^{18} \|G_l\|_{L_q(D_+)}$$

for any $(\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-) \in Z_q(D_+, D_-, D_0)$ and $(G_1, \dots, G_{18}) \in \mathcal{Z}_q(D_+, D_-, D_0)$. The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $\rho_{*+} \neq \rho_{*-}$. Assume that ρ_{*+} , γ_{10} , γ_{20} , and γ_{30} satisfy Assumption 3.5 (c) with $\gamma_k = \gamma_{k0}$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$). Then there exists constant $\varepsilon_* \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_*, \pi/2)$ there exists a constant $\lambda_1 > 0$ with the following assertions valid:*

(1) *For any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_1}$, there exists operators*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{F0}^\pm(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_1}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^3(\mathbb{R}_+^N))), \\ \mathcal{B}_{F0}^\pm(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_1}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N)^N)), \\ \mathcal{H}_{F0}(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_1}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-1/q}(\mathbb{R}_0^N))), \end{aligned}$$

such that for any $\mathbf{F}_Z := (\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-) \in Z_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N)$, the problem (5.4) admit a unique solution $(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \widehat{h})$ defined by $\widehat{\rho}_+ = \mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm = \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)$, and $\widehat{h} = \mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)$ with

$$G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) = (\lambda^{1/2}\widehat{f}_M, \nabla\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}, \nabla\widehat{g}, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}_+, \nabla\widehat{g}_+, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}_-, \nabla\widehat{g}_-, \lambda\widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla\widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \nabla^2\widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \lambda\widehat{k}_-, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla\widehat{k}_-, \nabla^2\widehat{k}_-).$$

(2) For $s = 0, 1$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $k = 0, 1$, there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-i}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{i/2}\mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_1}\}) &\leq c_1, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{j/2}\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_1}\}) &\leq c_1, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-1/q-k}(\mathbb{R}_0^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^k\mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_1}\}) &\leq c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the constant c_1 is independent of λ .

To prove Theorem 5.1, for given $\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N$ and $\widehat{g}_- \in W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$, we consider a function \widehat{f}_d satisfying

$$(\lambda\widehat{f}_d, \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}_-^N} + (\nabla\widehat{f}_d, \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}_-^N} = -(\rho_{*+}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \nabla\varphi) \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) \quad (5.5)$$

subject to $\widehat{f}_d = \widehat{g}_-$ on \mathbb{R}_0^N . According to the discussion in Sect. 4.1, functions $\widehat{\rho}_+$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm$, and \widehat{h} satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda\widehat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+}\operatorname{div}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \widehat{f}_M & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*-}\operatorname{div}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \widehat{f}_d = \rho_{*-}\operatorname{div}\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_-^N, \\ \rho_{*+}\lambda\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div}\mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\rho}_+) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*-}\lambda\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div}\mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{40}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \widehat{\pi}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_-^N, \\ \lambda\widehat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \widehat{d} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \gamma_{40}, \widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{40}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \widehat{\pi}_-)\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \frac{\rho_{*-}\sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}}\Delta_{\mathbb{R}_0^N}\widehat{h} = \widehat{g}_- & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N \end{array} \right. \quad (5.6)$$

are solutions to (5.4). In case of $\widehat{f}_d \equiv 0$ in (5.5), the following lemma is applied, see [28, Theorem 1.2].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $\rho_{*+} \neq \rho_{*-}$. Suppose that ρ_{*+} , γ_{10} , γ_{20} , γ_{30} satisfy Assumption 3.5 with $\gamma_k = \gamma_{k0}$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$). Then there exists constant $\varepsilon_* \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_*, \pi/2)$ there exists a constant $\lambda_2 > 0$ with the following statements satisfy:*

(1) For any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}$, operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{F_1}^+(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^3(\mathbb{R}_+^N))), \\ \mathcal{B}_{F_1}^\pm(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N)^N)), \\ \mathcal{P}_{F_1}^-(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), \widehat{W}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N))), \\ \mathcal{H}_{F_1}(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-1/q}(\mathbb{R}_0^N))) \end{aligned}$$

exists such that for any $\mathbf{F}_Z \in Z_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N)$, the quintuple

$$\begin{aligned} &(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \widehat{\pi}_-, \widehat{h}) \\ &= (\mathcal{A}_{F_1}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{B}_{F_1}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{B}_{F_1}^-(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{P}_{F_1}^-(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{H}_{F_1}(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)) \end{aligned}$$

is a unique solution to (5.6) with $\widehat{f}_d \equiv 0$.

(2) For $s = 0, 1$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $k = 0, 1$, the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-i}(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{i/2}\mathcal{A}_{F1}^+(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}\}) &\leq c_2, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{j/2}\mathcal{B}_{F1}^\pm(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}\}) &\leq c_2, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), L_q(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\nabla\mathcal{P}_{F1}^-(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}\}) &\leq c_2, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-1/q-k}(\mathbb{R}_0^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^k\mathcal{H}_{F1}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_2}\}) &\leq c_2 \end{aligned}$$

hold true with some positive constant c_2 independent of λ .

To treat the case of $\widehat{f}_d \neq 0$, we consider the divergence equation:

$$\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\operatorname{div}} = \widehat{f}_d \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_-^N, \quad (5.7)$$

where \widehat{f}_d is a solution to (5.5). The solution \widehat{f}_d is given by the following lemma shown by Shibata [20, Theorem 9.3.10].

Lemma 5.3. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) &= \{(\mathbf{f}_-, g_-) \mid \mathbf{f}_- \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N, g_- \in W_q^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) &= \{(G_4, G_{12}, G_{13}) \mid G_4 \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N, G_{12} \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^N), G_{13} \in W_q^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following assertions:

- (1) *There exists an operator $\mathcal{D}_{F1} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N), \mathcal{D}\mathcal{I}_{F,q}(\mathbb{R}_-^N)))$ such that for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$ and $(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{g}_-) \in \mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$, the problem (5.5) admits a unique solution $\widehat{f}_d = \mathcal{D}_{F1}(\lambda)(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{g}_-, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}_-)$. Furthermore, for $s = 0, 1$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and any $\lambda_0 > 0$, the estimate*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N), W_q^{1-j}(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{j/2}\mathcal{D}_{F1}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0}\}) \leq c_{\lambda_0}$$

is valid with some positive constant c_{λ_0} independent of λ .

- (2) *For the function \widehat{f}_d given in (1), there exist an operator $\mathcal{D}_{F2} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N), W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N))$ such that for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$ and $(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{g}_-) \in \mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$, the problem admits a unique solution $\mathbf{u}_{\operatorname{div}} = \mathcal{D}_{F2}(\lambda)(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{g}_-, \lambda^{1/2}\widehat{g}_-)$. In addition, for $s = 0, 1$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ and any $\lambda_0 > 0$. the estimate:*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N), W_q^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N)}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s(\lambda^{j/2}\mathcal{D}_{F2}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0}\}) \leq c_{\lambda_0}$$

holds true, where c_{λ_0} is some positive constant independent of λ .

Let \mathbf{u}_\pm be a solution to (5.6) and let $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_- = \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\operatorname{div}}$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\operatorname{div}}$ is a solution of (5.7). Then $\widehat{\rho}_+$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+$, $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_-$, $\widehat{\pi}_-$, and \widehat{h} satisfy the equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda\widehat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \widehat{f}_M & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\rho}_+) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_- = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{40}, \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_-, \widehat{\pi}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- + \widehat{\mathbf{f}}'_- & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_-^N, \\ \lambda \widehat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_-, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \widehat{d} + \widehat{d}' & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\gamma_{40} \mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_-) \mathbf{n}_0)|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\gamma_{10} \mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+) \mathbf{n}_0)|_+ = \widehat{g} + \widehat{g}' & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{40}, \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_-, \widehat{\pi}_-) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_- - \frac{\rho_{*-} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}_0^N} \widehat{h} = \widehat{g}_- + \widehat{g}'_- & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\rho}_+) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_+ - \frac{\rho_{*+} \sigma}{\rho_{*+} - \rho_{*-}} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}_0^N} \widehat{h} = \widehat{g}_+ & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_-|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+|_+ = \widehat{\mathbf{h}} + \widehat{\mathbf{h}}' & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \langle \nabla \widehat{\rho}_+, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_+ = \widehat{k}_- & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{f}}'_- &= -\rho_{*-}\lambda\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}} + \text{Div}(\gamma_{40}\mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}})), \quad \widehat{d}' = -\frac{\langle \rho_{*-}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}}, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_-}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}}, \\ \widehat{g}' &= -\Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\gamma_{40}\mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}})\mathbf{n}_0)|_-, \quad \widehat{g}'_- = -\langle \gamma_{40}\mathbf{D}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}})\mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_-, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{h}}' = -\Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}}|_-.\end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we obtain $\widehat{\rho}_+ = \mathcal{A}_{F_1}^+(\lambda)\mathbf{F}'$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \mathcal{B}_{F_1}^+(\lambda)\mathbf{F}'$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}} + \mathcal{B}_{F_1}^-(\lambda)\mathbf{F}'$, $\widehat{\pi}_- = \mathcal{P}_{F_1}^-(\lambda)\mathbf{F}'$, $\widehat{h} = \mathcal{H}_{F_1}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}'$ with $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{div}} = \mathcal{D}_{F_2}(\lambda)(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \lambda\widehat{g}_-, \widehat{g}_-)$ and

$$\mathbf{F}' = (\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- + \widehat{\mathbf{f}}'_-, \widehat{d} + \widehat{d}', \widehat{g} + \widehat{g}', \widehat{g}_+, \widehat{g}_- + \widehat{g}'_-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}} + \widehat{\mathbf{h}}', \widehat{k}_-).$$

From the argument in Sect. 4.1 we see that $\widehat{\pi}_- = \mathcal{K}_{F_1}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_{F_2}(\widehat{h})$, and then $(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \widehat{h})$ is a solution to (5.4). Hence, we can define operators $\mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)$, and $\mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)(G) &= \mathcal{A}_{F_1}^+(\lambda)(G) + \mathcal{A}_{F_1}^+(\lambda)(\mathcal{F}'), \\ \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)(G) &= \mathcal{B}_{F_1}^\pm(\lambda)(G) + \mathcal{B}_{F_1}^\pm(\lambda)(\mathcal{F}'), \\ \mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)(G) &= \mathcal{H}_{F_1}(\lambda)(G) + \mathcal{H}_{F_1}(\lambda)(\mathcal{F}'),\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}G &= (G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7, G_8, G_9, G_{10}, G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}, G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), \\ \mathcal{F}' &= (0, 0, 0, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathcal{F}^2, \lambda^{1/2}\mathcal{F}^3, \nabla\mathcal{F}^3, 0, 0, \lambda^{1/2}\mathcal{F}^4, \nabla\mathcal{F}^4, \mathcal{F}^4, \lambda\mathcal{F}^5, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla\mathcal{F}^5, \nabla^2\mathcal{F}^5, 0, 0, 0), \\ \mathcal{F}^1 &= -\rho_{*-}\lambda\mathcal{D}_{F_2}(\lambda)(G_4, G_{10}, G_{12}) + \text{Div}(\gamma_{40}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{D}_{F_2}(\lambda)(G_4, G_{10}, G_{12}))), \\ \mathcal{F}^2 &= -\frac{\langle \rho_{*-}\mathcal{D}_{F_2}(\lambda)(G_4, G_{10}, G_{12}), \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_-}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}}, \quad \mathcal{F}^3 = -\Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}\{\gamma_{40}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{D}_{F_2}(\lambda)(G_4, G_{10}, G_{12}))\mathbf{n}_0\}|_-, \\ \mathcal{F}^4 &= -\langle \gamma_{40}\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{D}_{F_2}(\lambda)(G_4, G_{10}, G_{12}))\mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_-, \quad \mathcal{F}^5 = -\Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}\mathcal{D}_{F_2}(\lambda)(G_4, G_{10}, G_{12})|_-.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.3, 5.2, and 5.3, operators $\mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)$ satisfy the required properties in Theorem 5.1. Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Using a localization argument, we may reduce the problem (1.5) to the following model problems:

- (i) Whole space problem; (ii) Half space problem; (iii) Two-phase problem in a whole space.

For a detailed explanation of localization argument, the reader may refer Maryani and Saito [10] for the two-phase flows case. The first two types of model problems have been studied by Saito [17] and Shibata [19], respectively, and the case (iii) is treated in Theorem 5.1. We will show that these results make us to obtain Theorem 4.1 in the rest of this section.

5.2. Reduced problem with a bent interface.

5.2.1. Unit outer normal and Laplace-Beltrami operator on a bent interface. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \ni x \mapsto y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bijective map of C^1 class. Furthermore, let Φ^{-1} be an inverse map of Φ . We assume that $\nabla_x\Phi$ and $\nabla_y\Phi^{-1}$ can be written in the form $\nabla_x\Phi(x) = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}(x)$ and $\nabla_y\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x)) = \mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}(\Phi(x))$, respectively, where \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}_{-1} are orthogonal matrices with constant coefficients satisfying $\det \mathbf{A} = \det \mathbf{A}_{-1} = 1$ and $\mathbf{B}(x)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{-1}(\Phi(x))$ are matrices of functions in $W_r^3(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N < r < \infty$, such that

$$\|(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}_{-1})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}_{-1})\|_{W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_2. \quad (5.8)$$

In the following, we write $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(x)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{-1} = \mathbf{B}_{-1}(\Phi(x))$ for short. Let a_{ij} and b_{ij} be the (i, j) elements of \mathbf{A}_{-1} and \mathbf{B}_{-1} , respectively. Since we will chose M_1 small enough, we may assume that $0 < M_1 \leq 1 \leq M_2$ beforehand. Set $\Omega_\pm^\Phi = \Phi(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N)$ and $\Gamma^\Phi = \Phi(\mathbb{R}_0^N)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ be the unit outer normal to Γ^Φ . We see that Γ^Φ is represented by $\Phi_{-1, N}(y) = 0$ with $\Phi^{-1} = (\Phi_{-1, 1}, \dots, \Phi_{-1, N})$, which furnishes that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{n}}(y) &= \tilde{\mathbf{n}}(\Phi(x)) = -\frac{(\nabla_y\Phi_{-1, N}) \circ \Phi(x)}{|(\nabla_y\Phi_{-1, N}) \circ \Phi(x)|} \\ &= -\frac{(a_{N1} + b_{N1}, \dots, a_{NN} + b_{NN})}{(\sum_{i=1}^N (a_{Ni} + b_{Ni})^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{\top(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1})\mathbf{n}_0}{|\top(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1})\mathbf{n}_0|}\end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

with $\mathbf{n}_0 = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^N (a_{Ni} + b_{Ni})^2 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N (a_{Ni}b_{Ni} + b_{Ni}^2)$, by (5.8) we can write

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N (a_{Ni} + b_{Ni}) \right\}^{-1/2} = 1 + b_0 \quad (5.10)$$

with $b_0 \in W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and small positive constant M_1 possessing the following estimates:

$$\|b_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_N M_1, \quad \|\nabla b_0\|_{W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,r} M_2. \quad (5.11)$$

Let $G = (g_{ij}(x))$ be the first fundamental form of Γ^Φ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij}(x) &= g_{ij}(x', 0) = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Phi(x', 0), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Phi(x', 0) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N (a_{ki} + b_{ki}(x))(a_{kj} + b_{kj}(x)) \\ &= \delta_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_{ki}b_{kj}(x) + a_{kj}b_{ki}(x) + b_{ki}(x)b_{kj}(x)) =: \delta_{ij} + \tilde{g}_{ij}(x', 0), \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta symbol. Choosing $M_1 > 0$ suitably small, from (5.8) we see that G is symmetric and positive definite, that is, the determinant $\mathbb{G} := \det G$ is positive. By (5.8), we obtain

$$\|\tilde{g}_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_N M_1, \quad \|\nabla \tilde{g}_{ij}\|_{W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,r} M_2. \quad (5.12)$$

Since $\mathbb{G} > 0$, there exists the inverse matrix $G^{-1} = (g^{ij})$ of G . From (5.12), we have $\sqrt{\mathbb{G}} = 1 + \tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ and $g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \tilde{g}^{ij}$ with

$$\|(\tilde{\mathbb{G}}, \tilde{g}^{ij})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_N M_1, \quad \|\nabla(\tilde{\mathbb{G}}, \tilde{g}^{ij})\|_{W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,r} M_2. \quad (5.13)$$

Then the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{Γ^Φ} on Γ^Φ is defied for scalar fields by $\Delta_{\Gamma^\Phi} f = \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma^\Phi}(\nabla_{\Gamma^\Phi} f)$, which can be read as

$$\Delta_{\Gamma^\Phi} f(x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{G}(x', 0)}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sqrt{\mathbb{G}(x', 0)} g^{ij}(x', 0) \frac{\partial f(x')}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

in local coordinates. Let $\Delta'_x f(x') = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \partial^2 f(x') / \partial x_j^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_x f(x') &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \tilde{g}^{ij}(x', 0) \frac{\partial^2 f(x')}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{g}^{ij}(x', 0)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{g^{ij}(x', 0)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{G}(x', 0)}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbb{G}}(x', 0)}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial f(x')}{\partial x_j} \\ &=: \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \tilde{g}^{ij}(x', 0) \frac{\partial^2 f(x')}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \tilde{g}^j(x', 0) \frac{\partial f(x')}{\partial x_j}, \end{aligned}$$

and then we write $\Delta_{\Gamma^\Phi} f(x') = \Delta'_x f(x') + \mathcal{D}'_x f(x')$. By (5.13) we have

$$\|\tilde{g}^j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_N M_1, \quad \|\tilde{g}^j\|_{W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,r} M_2. \quad (5.14)$$

5.2.2. Construction of solution operators. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_k(y)$ be coefficients of equations that is defined on \mathbb{R}^N satisfying the conditions:

$$\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{k*}^- \leq \tilde{\gamma}_k(y) \leq \frac{3}{2} \gamma_{k*}^+, \quad |\tilde{\gamma}_k(y) - \gamma_k^*| \leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla \tilde{\gamma}_k\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_r \quad (5.15)$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $k = 1, \dots, 4$, and the same constants γ_{k*}^\pm as in Assumption 3.5, where γ_k^* are some constants with $\gamma_{k*}^- \leq \gamma_k^* \leq \gamma_{k*}^+$. Set $\gamma_k(x) = \tilde{\gamma}_k(\Phi(x))$ for $k = 1, \dots, 4$. Then the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k^*| &\leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla \gamma_k\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C_r \quad (k = 1, \dots, 4, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N), \\ |\gamma_4(x) - \gamma_5^*| &\leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla \gamma_4\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} \leq C_r \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_-^N). \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

For $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_- \in W_q^2(\Omega_-^\Phi)^N$ let $\mathcal{K}_1^0(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-)$ be a unique solution to the variational problem:

$$(\nabla_y \mathcal{K}_1^0(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-), \nabla_y \varphi)_{\Omega_-^\Phi} = (\operatorname{Div}_y(\tilde{\gamma}_4 \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla_y \operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-, \nabla_y \varphi)_{\Omega_-^\Phi} \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}^1(\Omega_-^\Phi)$$

such that $\mathcal{K}_1^0(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \tilde{\gamma}_4 \langle \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \rangle - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-$ on Γ^Φ , while for $\tilde{h} \in W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma^\Phi)$ let $\mathcal{K}_2^0(\tilde{h})$ be a unique solution to the variational problem:

$$(\nabla_y \mathcal{K}_2^0(\tilde{h}), \nabla_y \varphi)_{\Omega^\Phi} = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}(\Omega_-^\Phi)$$

such that $\mathcal{K}_2^0(\tilde{h}) = -(\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \Delta_{\Gamma^\Phi} \tilde{h}$ on Γ^Φ . Under these situations, let us consider

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \tilde{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \tilde{f}_M & \text{in } \Omega_+^\Phi, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2, \tilde{\gamma}_3, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+, \tilde{\rho}_+) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+^\Phi, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\tilde{\gamma}_4, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathcal{K}_1^0(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2^0(\tilde{h})) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_- & \text{in } \Omega_-^\Phi, \\ \lambda \tilde{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \tilde{d} & \text{on } \Gamma^\Phi, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2, \tilde{\gamma}_3, \tilde{\gamma}_4, \tilde{\rho}_+, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_0 & \text{on } \Gamma^\Phi, \\ \rho_{*-} (\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-)|_- = \tilde{g}_- & \text{on } \Gamma^\Phi, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.17)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_0 = \top(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}_+, \tilde{\mathbf{h}}, \tilde{k}_-)$. The main purpose of this subsection is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $\rho_{*+} \neq \rho_{*-}$. Assume that ρ_{*+} , $\tilde{\gamma}_1$, $\tilde{\gamma}_2$, and $\tilde{\gamma}_3$ satisfy Assumption 3.5 (c) with $\gamma_k = \tilde{\gamma}_k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$). Then there exists constant $\varepsilon_* \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_*, \pi/2)$ there exists a constant $\lambda_4 > 0$ with the following assertions valid:*

(1) *For any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}$, there exists operators*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_F^+(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\Omega_+^\Phi, \Omega_-^\Phi, \Gamma^\Phi), W_q^3(\Omega_+^\Phi))), \\ \mathcal{B}_F^\pm(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\Omega_+^\Phi, \Omega_-^\Phi, \Gamma^\Phi), W_q^2(\Omega_\pm^\Phi)^N)), \\ \mathcal{H}_F(\lambda) &\in \operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\Omega_+^\Phi, \Omega_-^\Phi, \Gamma^\Phi), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma^\Phi))) \end{aligned}$$

such that for any $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_Z := (\tilde{f}_M, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_+, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_-, \tilde{d}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{g}_+, \tilde{g}_-, \tilde{\mathbf{h}}, \tilde{k}_-) \in Z_q(\Omega_+^\Phi, \Omega_-^\Phi, \Gamma^\Phi)$, the problem (5.4) admits a unique solution $(\tilde{\rho}_+, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-, \tilde{h})$ defined by $\tilde{\rho}_+ = \mathcal{A}_F^+(\lambda) G_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_Z)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm = \mathcal{B}_F^\pm(\lambda) G_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_Z)$, and $\tilde{h} = \mathcal{H}_F(\lambda) G_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_Z)$, where G_λ is the operator defined in Theorem 5.1.

(2) *For $s = 0, 1$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $k = 0, 1$, there exists a positive constant c_4 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\Omega_+^\Phi, \Omega_-^\Phi, \Gamma^\Phi), W_q^{3-i}(\Omega_+^\Phi))}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{i/2} \mathcal{A}_F^+(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) &\leq c_4, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\Omega_+^\Phi, \Omega_-^\Phi, \Gamma^\Phi), W_q^{2-j}(\Omega_\pm^\Phi)^N)}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{j/2} \mathcal{B}_F^\pm(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) &\leq c_4, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\Omega_+^\Phi, \Omega_-^\Phi, \Gamma^\Phi), W_q^{3-1/q-k}(\Gamma^\Phi))}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^k \mathcal{H}_F(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) &\leq c_4. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the constant c_4 is independent of λ .

In the following, we prove Theorem 5.4. By change of variable: $y = \Phi(x)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = \sum_{k=1}^N (a_{kj} + b_{kj}(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Accordingly, the variational equation:

$$(\nabla_y \tilde{u}, \nabla_y \tilde{\varphi})_{\Omega^\Phi} = (\tilde{\mathbf{f}}, \nabla_y \tilde{\varphi})_{\Omega^\Phi} \quad \text{for any } \tilde{\varphi} \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}^1(\Omega_-^\Phi)$$

subject to $\tilde{u} = \tilde{f}$ on Γ^Φ is transformed to the variational equation:

$$(\nabla_x u, \nabla_x \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N} + (\mathbf{G}^0 \nabla_x u, \nabla_x \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = (\mathbf{g}, \nabla_x \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in W_{q',0}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (5.18)$$

subject to $u = f$ on \mathbb{R}_0^N , where we have set $\mathbf{g} = \mathbb{G}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1})\mathbf{f}$, $\mathbf{f} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \circ \Phi$, $f = \tilde{f} \circ \Phi$, $u = \tilde{u} \circ \Phi$, $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \Phi$, and \mathbf{G}^0 is an $N \times N$ matrix whose (k, l) component G_{kl}^0 is given by

$$G_{kl}^0 = \delta_{kl}(\mathbb{G} - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^N (a_{kj} b_{lj} + a_{lj} b_{kj} + b_{kj} b_{lj}) \mathbb{G}. \quad (5.19)$$

Since $N < r < \infty$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have $\|\nabla b_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\nabla b_{ij}\|_{W_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. Hence, by (5.8) we obtain

$$\|(\mathbb{G} - 1, G_{kl}^0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_N M_1, \quad \|(\nabla \mathbb{G}, \nabla G_{kl}^0)\|_{W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,r} M_2. \quad (5.20)$$

Choosing $M_1 > 0$ small enough and using the Banach fixed point theorem, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then there exists an operator K_2 with*

$$K_2 \in \mathcal{L}(L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N \times W_q^{1-1/q}(\mathbb{R}_-^N), W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N) + \widehat{W}_{q,0}^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N))$$

such that for any $\mathbf{g} \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)^N$ and $f \in W_q^{1-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$, $u = K_2(\mathbf{g}, f)$ is a unique solution to the variational problem (5.18) possessing the estimate:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,q} \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} + \|f\|_{W_q^{1-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})} \right).$$

Next, we transform (5.17) to a problem with the flat interface \mathbb{R}_0^N by change of variable: $y = \Phi(x)$. To this end, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k(x) &:= \tilde{\gamma}_k(\Phi(x)) & (k=1, \dots, 4), & & \rho_+(x) &:= \tilde{\rho}_+(\Phi(x)), & \mathbf{u}_\pm(x) &:= \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm(\Phi(x)), \\ h(x) &:= \tilde{h}(\Phi(x)), & f_M(x) &:= \tilde{f}_M(\Phi(x)), & \mathbf{f}_\pm(x) &:= \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\pm(\Phi(x)), & d(x) &:= \tilde{d}(\Phi(x)), \\ g(x) &:= \tilde{g}(\Phi(x)), & f_B^\pm(x) &:= \tilde{f}_B^\pm(\Phi(x)), & \mathbf{h}(x) &:= \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\Phi(x)) & k_-(x) &:= \tilde{k}_-(\Phi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

From the change of variable: $y = \Phi(x)$, we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = \sum_{k=1}^N (a_{kj} + b_{kj}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad \nabla_y = {}^\top(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j \partial y_k} = \sum_{l,m=1}^N a_{lj} a_{mk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_l \partial x_m} + \mathcal{D}_{jk},$$

where \mathcal{D}_{jk} are second order differential operators defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{jk} = \sum_{l,m=1}^N (a_{lj} b_{mk} + a_{mk} b_{lj} + b_{lj} b_{mk}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_l \partial x_m} + \sum_{l,m=1}^N (a_{lj} + b_{lj}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} b_{mk} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}.$$

In addition, the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_y &= \Delta_x + \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{D}_{jj}, \quad \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm = \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) + \mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm, \\ \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm) &= (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm) (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) + {}^\top(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) {}^\top(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm), \\ \nabla_y \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm &= {}^\top(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) + {}^\top(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \sum_{j,k=1}^N \nabla_x \left(b_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} u_{j\pm} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first line of (5.17). We easily see that

$$\lambda \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) + \mathcal{R}^1(\mathbf{u}_+) = f_M \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N,$$

where $\mathcal{R}^1(\mathbf{u}_+)$ is a linear operator such that $\mathcal{R}^1(\mathbf{u}_+) = \rho_{*+} \mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+$.

The second line of (5.17). In the following, we write ${}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} = {}^\top(\mathbf{A}_{-1})$ and ${}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} = {}^\top(\mathbf{B}_{-1})$ for short. Since the equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{*+} \lambda \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \tilde{\gamma}_1 \Delta_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \nabla_y \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \rho_{*+} \tilde{\gamma}_3 \nabla_y \Delta_y \tilde{\rho}_+ - \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+) \nabla_y \tilde{\gamma}_1 \\ - (\operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+) \nabla_y (\tilde{\gamma}_2 - \tilde{\gamma}_1) - (\Delta_y \tilde{\rho}_+) \nabla_y (\rho_{*+} \tilde{\gamma}_3) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_+ \quad \text{in } \Omega_+, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{*+} \lambda (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) - \gamma_1 \Delta_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) - \gamma_2 \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) \\ - \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \nabla_x \Delta_x \rho_+ + \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathcal{R}^2(\mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{f}_+ \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^2(\mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) &= -\gamma_1 \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{D}_{jj} \mathbf{u}_+ - \gamma_2 {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \nabla_x (\mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) \\
&\quad - \gamma_2 {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} \nabla_x \{ \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) + \mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+ \} - \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \Delta_x ({}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} \nabla_x \rho_+) \\
&\quad - \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{D}_{jj} \{ {}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x \rho_+ \} \\
&\quad - \{ (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) + {}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) {}^\top (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) \} {}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x \gamma_1 \\
&\quad - \{ \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) + \mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+ \} {}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) \\
&\quad - \left(\Delta_x \rho_+ + \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{D}_{jj} \rho_+ \right) (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x (\rho_{*+} \gamma_3).
\end{aligned}$$

The third line of (5.17). For a $N \times N$ matrix \mathbf{L} , let ${}^{-\top} \mathbf{L} = ({}^\top \mathbf{L})^{-1} = {}^\top (\mathbf{L}^{-1})$. Since $(\mathbf{A}_{-1})^{-1} = {}^{-\top} \mathbf{A}_{-1}$, we see that ${}^{-\top} (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) = \mathbf{A}_{-1} {}^{-\top} (\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{B}_{-1})$. Combining this relation and (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm) \tilde{\mathbf{n}} &= (1 + b_0) {}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{-1} ({}^{-\top} (\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) - \mathbf{I}) (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm) \mathbf{n}_0 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbf{A}_{-1} {}^{-\top} (\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm) (\mathbf{A}_{-1} {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1} {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1} {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{n}_0 + \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) \mathbf{n}_0 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.9) and (5.10) we see that

$$\mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm) \tilde{\mathbf{n}} = (1 + b_0) ({}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} + {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \{ \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) \mathbf{n}_0 + \mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_\pm) \}. \quad (5.21)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_\pm) &= \mathbf{A}_{-1} ({}^{-\top} (\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) - \mathbf{I}) (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm) \mathbf{n}_0 + {}^\top (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm) {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} \mathbf{n}_0 \\
&\quad + \mathbf{A}_{-1} {}^{-\top} (\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm) (\mathbf{A}_{-1} {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1} {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1} {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{n}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can write $\langle \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \rangle$ as

$$\langle \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\pm) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + \mathcal{R}^4(\mathbf{u}_\pm), \quad (5.22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^4(\mathbf{u}_\pm) &= (2b_0 + b_0^2) \langle \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + (1 + b_0)^2 \langle {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) \mathbf{n}_0, {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \\
&\quad + (1 + b_0)^2 \langle {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} (\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_\pm) + \mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_\pm)), ({}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} + {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + (1 + b_0)^2 \langle \mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_\pm), \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

because \mathbf{A}_{-1} is the orthogonal matrix. Define $\mathcal{R}^5(\mathbf{u}_-) = \mathcal{R}^4(\mathbf{u}_-) - \mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-$, then we have

$$\langle \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \rangle - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_- = \langle \gamma_4 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle - \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{R}^4(\mathbf{u}_-).$$

In addition, we see that

$$\operatorname{Div}_y (\tilde{\gamma}_2 \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla_y \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_- = \gamma_4 \Delta_x \mathbf{u}_- - \rho_{*-} {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{R}^6(\mathbf{u}_-), \quad (5.23)$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^6(\mathbf{u}_-) &= \gamma_4 \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{D}_{jj} \mathbf{u}_- + \{ (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-) (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) + {}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) {}^\top (\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-) \} {}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x \gamma_4 \\
&\quad - \rho_{*-} {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \nabla_x (\mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-) - \rho_{*-} {}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} \nabla_x \{ \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + \mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_- \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (5.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{G}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \{ \operatorname{Div}_y (\tilde{\gamma}_2 \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla_y \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_- \} \\
= \gamma_4 \Delta_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) - \rho_{*-} \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{R}^7(\mathbf{u}_-)
\end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

with the linear operator $\mathcal{R}^7(\mathbf{u}_-)$:

$$\mathcal{R}^7(\mathbf{u}_-) = \{ (\mathbb{G} - 1) \mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbb{G} \mathbf{B}_{-1} \} (\gamma_4 \Delta_x \mathbf{u}_- - \rho_{*-} {}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-)) + \mathbb{G}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathcal{R}^6(\mathbf{u}_-)$$

Let $p_1 = \mathcal{K}_1^0(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-) \circ \Phi$ and $p_2 = \mathcal{K}_2^0(\tilde{h}) \circ \Phi$. From (5.18), (5.23), and (5.24), p_1 and p_2 satisfy the following variational equations:

$$(\nabla p_1, \nabla \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N} + (\mathbf{G}^0 \nabla p_1, \nabla \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = (\gamma_4 \Delta_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) - \rho_{*-} \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{R}^7(\mathbf{u}_-), \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N}$$

for any $\varphi \in W_{q',0}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ subject to $p_1 = \langle \gamma_4 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle - \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{R}^4(\mathbf{u}_-)$ on \mathbb{R}_0^N , and

$$(\nabla p_2, \nabla \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N} + (\mathbf{G}^0 \nabla p_2, \nabla \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}^N} = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in W_{q',0}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

subject to $p_2 = -(\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \Delta'_x h - (\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \mathcal{D}'_x h$ on \mathbb{R}_0^N , respectively. Hence, the third line of (5.17) is rewritten as follows:

$$\rho_{*-} \lambda \mathbf{u}_- - \gamma_4 \Delta_x \mathbf{u}_- - (\mathbb{T} \mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbb{T} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x (p_1 + p_2) + \mathcal{R}^8(\mathbf{u}_-) = \mathbf{f}_-$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}^8(\mathbf{u}_-) = -\gamma_4 \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{D}_{jj} \mathbf{u}_- - \{(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) + \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbb{T}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)\} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \nabla_x \gamma_4.$$

From (5.1), (5.2), and Lemma 5.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + K_2(\mathcal{R}^7(\mathbf{u}_-) - \mathbf{G}^0 \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{u}_-), \mathcal{R}^5(\mathbf{u}_-)), \\ p_2 &= \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h) - K_2(\mathbf{G}^0 \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h), (\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \mathcal{D}'_x h). \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Accordingly, we arrive at

$$\rho_{*-} \lambda(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) - \gamma_4 \Delta_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) - \nabla_x(\mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h)) + \mathcal{R}^9(\mathbf{u}_-, h) = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{f}_-,$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^9(\mathbf{u}_-, h) &= -\nabla_x \left\{ K_2(\mathcal{R}^7(\mathbf{u}_-) - \mathbf{G}^0 \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{u}_-), \mathcal{R}^5(\mathbf{u}_-)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - K_2(\mathbf{G}^0 \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h), (\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \mathcal{D}'_x h) \right\} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbb{T} \mathbf{B}_{-1} \nabla_x \left\{ \mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) + K_2(\mathcal{R}^7(\mathbf{u}_-) - \mathbf{G}^0 \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_{F1}(\mathbf{u}_-), \mathcal{R}^5(\mathbf{u}_-)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h) - K_2(\mathbf{G}^0 \nabla_x \mathcal{K}_{F2}(h), (\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \mathcal{D}'_x h) \right\} + \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathcal{R}^8(\mathbf{u}_-). \end{aligned}$$

The fourth line of (5.17). By (5.9) and (5.10), we have

$$\lambda h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} + \mathcal{R}^{10}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) = d$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{10}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) &= \frac{\rho_{*-}}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \left\{ \langle \mathbf{B}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + b_0 \langle (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \right\} \Big|_- \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho_{*+}}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \left\{ \langle \mathbf{B}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + b_0 \langle (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \right\} \Big|_+. \end{aligned}$$

The fifth line of (5.17). By (5.22) and (5.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \tilde{\gamma}_4 \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_-) \tilde{\mathbf{n}} &= \gamma_4 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}_0 + \mathcal{R}^{11}(\mathbf{u}_-), \\ \mathbb{T} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \rho_{*+} \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+) \tilde{\mathbf{n}} &= \gamma_1 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{n}_0 + \mathcal{R}^{12}(\mathbf{u}_+), \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{11}(\mathbf{u}_-) &= \gamma_4 \left((b_0 \mathbf{I} + (1 + b_0) \mathbb{T} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbb{T} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}_0 + (1 + b_0) (\mathbf{I} + \mathbb{T} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbb{T} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_-) \right) \Big|_-, \\ \mathcal{R}^{12}(\mathbf{u}_+) &= \gamma_1 \left((b_0 \mathbf{I} + (1 + b_0) \mathbb{T} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbb{T} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{n}_0 + (1 + b_0) (\mathbf{I} + \mathbb{T} \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbb{T} \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_+) \right) \Big|_+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (5.9), (5.10), and (5.22) we obtain

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}_0)|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{n}_0)|_+ + \mathcal{R}^{13}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) = \mathbf{A}_{-1} g,$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{13}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) &= \mathcal{R}^{11}(\mathbf{u}_-)|_- - \langle \gamma_4 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle (b_0 \mathbf{I} + (1 + b_0) \mathbf{A}_{-1}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1})|_- \\ &\quad - \mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_-)(1 + b_0)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_{-1}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1})|_- - \mathcal{R}^{12}(\mathbf{u}_+)|_+ \\ &\quad - \langle \gamma_1 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle (b_0 \mathbf{I} + (1 + b_0) \mathbf{A}_{-1}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1})|_+ \\ &\quad - \mathcal{R}^3(\mathbf{u}_+)(1 + b_0)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_{-1}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1})|_+.\end{aligned}$$

The sixth line of (5.17). We easily see that

$$\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-)|_- + \mathcal{R}^{14}(\mathbf{u}_-) = g_- \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N,$$

where $\mathcal{R}^{14}(\mathbf{u}_-)$ is a linear function such that $\mathcal{R}^{14}(\mathbf{u}_-) = \rho_{*-}(\mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)|_-$.

The seventh line of (5.17). From (5.22) we rewrite the sixth line of (5.17) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle (\tilde{\gamma}_1 \mathbf{D}_y(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+) + (\tilde{\gamma}_2 - \tilde{\gamma}_1) \operatorname{div}_y \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_+ \mathbf{I} + \rho_{*+} \tilde{\gamma}_2 \Delta_y \tilde{\rho}_+ \mathbf{I}) \tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{n}} \rangle|_+ \\ &= \{ \langle \gamma_1 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+) + \rho_{*+} \gamma_2 \Delta_x \rho_+ \}|_+ + \mathcal{R}^{15}(\mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{15}(\mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) &= \left\{ (1 + b_0)^2 \left(\mathbf{B}_{-1} : \nabla \mathbf{u}_+ + \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{D}_{jj} \rho_+ \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2b_0 + b_0^2) (\operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+) + \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \Delta_x \rho_+) \right\}|_+.\end{aligned}$$

Then the seventh line of (5.17) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\{ \langle \gamma_1 \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+) + \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \Delta_x \rho_+ \}|_+ \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho_{*+} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta'_x h + \mathcal{R}^{16}(\mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+, h) = g_+\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}^{16}(\mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+, h) = \mathcal{R}^{15}(\mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) - \frac{\rho_{*+} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \mathcal{D}'_x h.$$

The eighth line of (5.17). From (5.9) and (5.10) we see that

$$\mathbf{A}_{-1} \Pi_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\pm} = \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_{\pm}) + \mathcal{R}^{17}(\mathbf{u}_{\pm})$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}^{17}(\mathbf{u}_{\pm}) = (2b_0 + b_0^2) \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_{\pm}) + (1 + b_0)^2 \{ \langle (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1})\mathbf{u}_{\pm}, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \mathbf{A}_{-1}^\top \mathbf{B}_{-1} \mathbf{n}_0 + \langle \mathbf{B}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_{\pm}, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \mathbf{n}_0 \}.$$

Here, we use the fact that $\langle \mathbf{u}_{\pm}, \mathbf{B}_{-1} \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1})\mathbf{u}_{\pm}, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle$. If we define an operator $\mathcal{R}^{18}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-)$ as $\mathcal{R}^{18}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) = \mathcal{R}^{17}(\mathbf{u}_-)|_- - \mathcal{R}^{17}(\mathbf{u}_+)|_+$, we have

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-)|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+)|_+ + \mathcal{R}^{18}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-) = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{h}.$$

The ninth line of (5.17). By (5.9) there exists a linear operator $\mathcal{R}^{19}(\rho_+)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \nabla_x \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + \mathcal{R}^{19}(\rho_+) = k_-, \\ \mathcal{R}^{19}(\rho_+) &= (1 + b_0) \langle \mathbf{B}_{-1}^\top \nabla_x \rho_+, (\mathbf{A}_{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{-1}) \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{B}_{-1}^\top \nabla_x \rho_+, \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle + b_0 \langle \nabla_x \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

We now set

$$\mathbf{u}_{\pm}^\Lambda = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{u}_{\pm}, \quad \mathbf{f}_+^\Lambda = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{f}_+, \quad \mathbf{f}_-^\Lambda = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{f}_-, \quad \mathbf{h}^\Lambda = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \mathbf{h}, \quad g^\Lambda = \mathbf{A}_{-1} g.$$

Summing up, noting $\mathbf{u}_\pm = (\mathbf{A}_{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{u}_\pm^\mathbf{A} = {}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_\pm^\mathbf{A}$, from the argumentation mentioned above, we observe

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda\rho_+ + \rho_{*+}\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A} + R_1(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}) = f_M & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*+}\lambda\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \rho_+) + R_2(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{f}_+^\mathbf{A} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \rho_{*-}\lambda\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{40}, \mathbf{u}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) + \mathcal{K}_2(h)) + R_3(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, h) = \mathbf{f}_-^\mathbf{A} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_-^N, \\ \lambda h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-}\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+}\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} + R_4(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) = d & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \gamma_{40}, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) + R(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, h) = \mathbf{G}_0^\mathbf{A} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\ \rho_{*-}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A})|_- + R_7(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) = g_- & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_0^\mathbf{A} &= {}^\top(g^\mathbf{A}, g_+, \mathbf{h}, k_-), \\ R(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, h) &= {}^\top(R_5(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}), R_6(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \rho_+, h), R_8(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}), R_9(\rho_+)), \\ R_1(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}) &= \mathcal{R}^1({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}), \\ R_2(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \rho_+) &= \mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathcal{R}^2({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \rho_+) - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_{10})\Delta\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A} - (\gamma_2 - \gamma_{20})\nabla\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A} - \rho_{*+}(\gamma_3 - \gamma_{30})\Delta\nabla\rho_+, \\ R_3(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, h) &= \mathcal{R}^9({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, h) - (\gamma_4 - \gamma_{40})\Delta\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, \\ R_4(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) &= \mathcal{R}^{10}({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, {}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}), \\ R_5(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) &= \mathcal{R}^{13}({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, {}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) + \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}\{(\gamma_4 - \gamma_{40})\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A})|_- - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_{10})\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A})|_+\}, \\ R_6(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \rho_+, h) &= \mathcal{R}^{16}({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \rho_+, h) + \{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_{10})\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A})\mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_0\} \\ &\quad + ((\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) - (\gamma_{20} - \gamma_{10}))\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}) + \rho_{*+}(\gamma_3 - \gamma_{30})\Delta\rho_+|_+, \\ R_7(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) &= \mathcal{R}^{14}({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}), \quad R_8(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{R}^{18}({}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, {}^\top\mathbf{A}_{-1}\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}), \quad R_9(\rho_+) = \mathcal{R}^{20}(\rho_+). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate R_i , $i = 1, \dots, 9$, we use the following lemma proved by Shibata [18, Lemma 2.4]).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $1 < q < r < \infty$, $N < r < \infty$, and $D \in \{\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}^N\}$. In addition, let $f \in L_r(D)$, and $g \in W_q^1(D)$. Then there exist a positive constant $C_{N,q,r}$ such that*

$$\|fg\|_{L_q(D)} \leq C_{N,q,r}\|f\|_{L_r(D)}\|g\|_{L_q(D)}^{1-N/r}\|\nabla g\|_{L_q(D)}^{N/r}.$$

Furthermore, for any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\|fg\|_{L_q(D)} \leq \delta\|\nabla g\|_{L_q(D)} + C_{N,q,r}\delta^{-N/(r-N)}\|f\|_{L_r(D)}^{r/(r-N)}\|g\|_{L_q(D)}.$$

From the representation formulas of \mathcal{R}^i ($i = 1, \dots, 19$), we see that the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_1(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A})\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta)\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + C_{M_2,\delta}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|R_1(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A})\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C_\gamma M_1\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|R_2(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \rho_+)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C_\gamma M_1\left(|\lambda|\|\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\nabla^3\rho_+\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right) \\ &\quad + C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta)\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + C_{M_2,\delta}\left(\|\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\rho_+\|_{W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}\right), \\ \|R_3(\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}, h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} &\leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta)\left(\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} + \|h\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\right) \\ &\quad + C_{M_2,\delta}\left(\|\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} + \|h\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}\right), \\ \|R_4(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A})\|_{W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C_\gamma M_1\left(\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)}\right) \\ &\quad + C_{M_2,\delta}\left(\|\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)}\right), \\ \|R_5(\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A})\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta)\left(\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)}\right) \\ &\quad + C_{M_2,\delta}\left(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_+^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_-^\mathbf{A}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_6(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \rho_+, h)\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta) \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\nabla^3 \rho_+\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|h\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})} \right) \\
&\quad + C_{M_2, \delta} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\rho_+\|_{W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|h\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})} \right), \\
\|R_7(\mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}})\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta) \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C_{M_2, \delta} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \\
\|R_8(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}})\|_{W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta) \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} \right) \\
&\quad + C_{M_2, \delta} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}_-^N)} \right), \\
\|R_9(\rho_+)\|_{W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta) \|\nabla^3 \rho_+\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + C_{M_2, \delta} \|\rho_+\|_{W_q^2(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, C_γ are positive constant depending only on N, q, r , and $\gamma_{k^*}^\pm$ ($k = 1, \dots, 4$) while $C_{M_2, \delta}$ are positive constant depending only on M_2, δ, N, q, r , and $\gamma_{k^*}^\pm$ ($k = 1, \dots, 4$). In fact, from (5.8), (5.11), (5.15), and (5.16) we see that $R_2(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \rho_+)$ has the following form:

$$R_2(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \rho_+) = \lambda \mathcal{M}_1 \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}} + \mathcal{M}_2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}} + \mathcal{M}_3 \nabla^3 \rho_+ + \mathcal{N}_1 \nabla \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}} + \mathcal{N}_2 \nabla \rho_+$$

with some matrices of functions $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3, \mathcal{N}_1$, and \mathcal{N}_2 possessing the estimates:

$$\|(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C_\gamma M_1, \quad \|(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C_{M_2, \delta}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 5.6, we have the estimate for $R_2(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \rho_+)$. Analogously, we have the estimate for $R_1(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}), R_4(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}), R_5(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}), R_7(\mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}), R_8(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}})$, and $R_9(\rho_+)$. Furthermore, using Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 and the estimates (5.3), (5.8), (5.13), (5.14), we obtain the estimate for $R_3(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, h)$ and $R_6(\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}, \rho_+, h)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda), \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)$, and $\mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)$ be the solution operators of problem (5.26) given in Theorem 5.1, and set $\rho_+ = \mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)$, $\mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}} = \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)$, $h = \mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)$. Then the problem (5.26) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\lambda \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}} = f_M - V_1(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\
\rho_{*+} \lambda \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \rho_+) = \mathbf{f}_+^{\mathbf{A}} - V_2(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\
\rho_{*-} \lambda \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{40}, \mathbf{u}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}) + \mathcal{K}_2(h)) = \mathbf{f}_-^{\mathbf{A}} - V_3(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_-^N, \\
\lambda h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = d - V_4(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{20}, \gamma_{30}, \gamma_{40}, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+^{\mathbf{A}}, \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}}) = \mathbf{G}_0^{\mathbf{A}} - V(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\rho_{*-} (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_-^{\mathbf{A}})|_- = g_- - V_7(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N,
\end{array} \right.$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= {}^\top(V_5(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), V_6(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), V_8(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), V_9(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_1(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_1(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_2(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_2(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_3(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_3(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_4(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_4(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^-(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_5(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_5(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^-(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_6(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_6(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_7(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_7(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^-(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_8(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_8(\mathcal{B}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^-(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)), \\
V_9(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z) &= R_9(\mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$ be the operator such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)\mathbf{F} &= (V_1(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \dots, V_9(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)) \\
\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)G &= (\lambda^{1/2}V_1(\lambda)G, \nabla V_1(\lambda)G, V_2(\lambda)G, V_3(\lambda)G, V_4(\lambda)G, \lambda^{1/2}V_5(\lambda)G, \nabla V_5(\lambda)G, \lambda^{1/2}V_6(\lambda)G,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla V_6(\lambda)G, \lambda^{1/2}V_7(\lambda)G, \nabla V_7(\lambda)G, V_7(\lambda)G, \lambda V_8(\lambda)G, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla V_8(\lambda)G, \nabla^2 V_8(\lambda)G, \\ & \lambda V_9(\lambda)G, \lambda^{1/2}\nabla V_9(\lambda)G, \nabla^2 V_9(\lambda)G, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We easily see that

$$G_\lambda(\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)(\mathbf{F}_Z)) = \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z). \quad (5.27)$$

From the definitions of \mathcal{R} -boundedness, Lemma 2.3, Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s \mathcal{Q}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) \leq C_\gamma(M_1 + \delta) + C_{M_2, \delta} \lambda_4^{-1/2} \quad (s = 0, 1)$$

for any $\lambda_4 \geq \max(1, \lambda_1)$ with some positive constant C independent of λ_4 . We now choose $M_1 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ so small that $C_\gamma M_1 \leq 1/4$ and $C_{M_2, \delta} \leq 1/4$, and then we choose $\lambda_4 > \max(1, \lambda_1)$ so large that $C_{M_2, \delta} \lambda_4^{-1/2} \leq 1/4$. Then we arrive at

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s \mathcal{Q}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) \leq \frac{3}{4} \quad (s = 0, 1), \quad (5.28)$$

which, combined with (5.27), furnishes that

$$\|G_\lambda(\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)(\mathbf{F}_Z))\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N)} \leq \frac{3}{4} \|G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N)}. \quad (5.29)$$

Since $\|G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N)} = \|\mathbf{F}_Z\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N)}$ gives us equivalent norms for $\lambda \neq 0$, by (5.29) the operator $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$ is a contraction map from $\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N)$ into itself, so that there exists an inverse operator $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}(\lambda))^{-1}$ of $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N))$ for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}$. Hence,

$$\rho_+ = \mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}(\lambda))^{-1}\mathbf{F}_Z), \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm^\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)G_\lambda((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}(\lambda))^{-1}\mathbf{F}_Z), \quad h = \mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)G_\lambda((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}(\lambda))^{-1}\mathbf{F}_Z)$$

are unique solutions of problem (5.26). On the other hand, from (5.28) we see that $(\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^j$ exists in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N))$ enjoying the estimate

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s (\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^{-1} \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) \leq c \quad (s = 0, 1)$$

with some positive constant c . Since

$$G_\lambda(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}(\lambda))^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} G_\lambda(\mathbf{Q}(\lambda))^j = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^j \right) G_\lambda = (\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^{-1} G_\lambda \quad (5.30)$$

as follows from (5.27), if we define operator families $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda)$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) = \mathcal{A}_{F_0}^+(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_{F_0}^\pm(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}_{F_0}(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{Q}(\lambda))^{-1},$$

then by (5.30) we have

$$\rho_+ = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm^\mathbf{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z), \quad h = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda)G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z).$$

In addition, by Lemma 2.3, Theorem 5.1, and (5.29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-i}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{i/2} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) \leq c_4, \\ & \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{j/2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) \leq c_4, \\ & \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}_q(\mathbb{R}_+^N, \mathbb{R}_-^N, \mathbb{R}_0^N), W_q^{3-1/q-k}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^k \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_4}\}) \leq c_4 \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

for $s = 0, 1$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $k = 0, 1$ with some positive constant c_4 .

Recalling that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ &= \tilde{\rho}_+ \circ \Phi, \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm^\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \circ \Phi, \quad h = \tilde{h} \circ \Phi, \quad f_M = \tilde{f}_M \circ \Phi, \quad \mathbf{f}_\pm = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\pm \circ \Phi, \\ d &= \tilde{d} \circ \Phi, \quad g^\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \tilde{g} \circ \Phi, \quad g_\pm = \tilde{g}_\pm \circ \Phi, \quad \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{A}_{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \circ \Phi, \quad k_- = \tilde{k}_- \circ \Phi, \end{aligned}$$

we define operators $\mathcal{A}_F^+(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}_F^\pm(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{H}_F(\lambda)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_F^+(G) &= \left[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda)G^\Phi \right] \circ \Phi^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{B}_F^\pm(G) = \left[{}^\top \mathbf{A}_{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda)G^\Phi \right] \circ \Phi^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{H}_F(G) = \left[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda)G^\Phi \right] \circ \Phi^{-1}, \\ G &:= (G_1, \dots, G_{18}), \\ G^\Phi &:= (G_1 \circ \Phi, G_2 \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_3 \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_4 \circ \Phi, G_5 \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_6 \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_7 \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_8 \circ \Phi, G_9 \circ \Phi, \\ &\quad G_{10} \circ \Phi, G_{11} \circ \Phi, G_{12} \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_{13} \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_{14} \circ \Phi, \mathbf{A}_{-1}G_{15} \circ \Phi, G_{16} \circ \Phi, G_{17} \circ \Phi, G_{18} \circ \Phi).\end{aligned}$$

Then by (5.31) we see that $\mathcal{A}_F^+(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}_F^\pm(\lambda)$, and $\mathcal{H}_F(\lambda)$ satisfy the required properties in Theorem 5.4. Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.4.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1.

5.3.1. *Some preparation for the proof of Theorem 4.1.* Since $\gamma_k(x)$ ($k = 1, \dots, 4$) are uniformly continuous functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N satisfying the Assumption 3.5 (2), choosing $d^i > 0$ small if necessary, we may assume that $|\gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(x_j^i)| \leq M_1$ for any $x \in B_{d^i}(x_j^i)$ with $i = 0, \dots, 4$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, we choose M_2 so large that $\|\nabla \gamma_k\|_{L_r(B_{d^i}(x_j^i))} \leq M_2$ ($k = 1, \dots, 4$). Thanks to the choices of M_1 , M_2 , and d^i , we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{k*}^- &\leq \gamma_k(x_j^i) \leq \frac{3}{2}\gamma_{k*}^+, \quad (x \in B_{d^i}(x_j^i)) \\ |\gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(x_j^i)| &\leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla \gamma_k\|_{L_r(B_{d^i}(x_j^i))} \leq M_2\end{aligned}\tag{5.32}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

In the following, to simplify notation, we write B_j^i instead of $B_{d^i}(x_j^i)$. From the finite intersection property stated in Proposition A.1 (4), we see that for any $1 \leq s < \infty$ there exists a positive constant $C_{s,L}$ such that for any $f \in L_s(D)$ with an open set D of \mathbb{R}^N and for $i = 0, \dots, 4$,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_s(D \cap B_j^i)}^s \right)^{1/s} \leq C_{s,L} \|f\|_{L_s(D)}.\tag{5.33}$$

In fact, when $1 \leq s < \infty$, we see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_s(D \cap B_j^i)}^s = \int_D \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{B_j^i}(x) \right) |f(x)|^s dx \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{B_j^i}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|f\|_{L_s(D)}^s \leq L \|f\|_{L_s(D)}^s.$$

For simplicity of notation, we write $D_{j\pm}^0 = \Phi_j^0(\mathbb{R}_\pm^N)$, $D_j^0 = D_{j+}^0 \cup D_{j-}^0$, $D_j^1 = \Phi_j^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$, $D_j^2 = \Phi_j^2(\mathbb{R}_-^N)$, $D_j^3 = D_j^4 = \mathbb{R}^N$, and $\Gamma_j^i = \Phi_j^i(\mathbb{R}_0^N)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) for short. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $f \in W_q^n(\Omega)$, and let η_j^i be functions in $C_0^\infty(B_j^i)$ with $\|\eta_j^i\|_{W_\infty^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_1$ for some positive constant c_1 independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\Omega \cap B_j^i = D_j^i \cap B_j^i$, from (5.33) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\eta_j^i f\|_{W_q^n(D_j^i)}^q \leq C_q \|f\|_{W_q^n(\Omega)}^q\tag{5.34}$$

According to Shibata [20, Proposition 9.5.4, 9.5.5], we know the following two propositions, which we will use for defining the infinite sum of \mathcal{R} -bounded operator families defined on D_j^i .

Proposition 5.7. Let $1 < q < \infty$, and $n = 2, 3$. Then the following assertions hold:

- (1) There exist extension maps $\mathbf{T}_j^n : W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0) \rightarrow W_q^n(D_{j-}^0)$ such that for $h \in W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)$, $\mathbf{T}_j^n h = h$ on Γ_j^n and $\|\mathbf{T}_j^n h\|_{W_q^n(D_{j-}^0)} \leq C \|h\|_{W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)}$ with some positive constant C independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) There exist extension maps $\mathbf{T}_\Gamma^n : W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma) \rightarrow W_q^n(\Omega_-)$ such that for $h \in W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma)$, $\mathbf{T}_\Gamma^n h = h$ on Γ and $\|\mathbf{T}_\Gamma^n h\|_{W_q^n(\Omega_-)} \leq C \|h\|_{W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma)}$ with some positive constant C independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 5.8. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $n = 2, 3$, and let $\eta_j \in C_0^\infty(B_j^0)$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) with $\|\eta_j\|_{W_\infty^n(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c_7$ for some positive constant c_7 independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have the following assertions:

(1) Let f_j ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) be functions in $W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)}^q < \infty$, and then the infinite sum $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j f_j$ convergence to some $f \in W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma)$ strongly in $W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma)$ and

$$\|f\|_{W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma)} \leq C_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

(2) For any $h \in W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma)$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\eta_j h\|_{W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)}^q \leq C \|h\|_{W_q^{n-1/q}(\Gamma)}^q$.

5.3.2. *Local solutions.* In view of (5.32), we define the functions γ_{jk}^i by

$$\gamma_{jk}^i(x) = (\gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(x_j^i)) \tilde{\zeta}_j^i(x) + \gamma_k(x_j^i) \quad (k = 1, \dots, 4).$$

Note that $0 \leq \tilde{\zeta}_j^i \leq 1$ and $\|\nabla \tilde{\zeta}_j^i\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c_0$. From (5.32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{k*}^- &\leq \gamma_{jk}^0(x) \leq \frac{3}{2} \gamma_{k*}^+ & (x \in D_{j+}^0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3), \\ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{4*}^- &\leq \gamma_{j4}^0(x) \leq \frac{3}{2} \gamma_{4*}^+ & (x \in D_{j-}^0), \\ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{k*}^- &\leq \gamma_{jk}^i(x) \leq \frac{3}{2} \gamma_{k*}^+ & (x \in D_j^i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4), \\ \|\gamma_{jk}^0 - \gamma_{jk}^0(x_j^0)\|_{L_\infty(D_{j+}^0)} &\leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla \gamma_{jk}^0\|_{L_r(D_{j+}^0)} \leq C_{M_2} & (k = 1, 2, 3), \\ \|\gamma_{j5}^0 - \gamma_{j4}^0(x_j^0)\|_{L_\infty(D_{j-}^0)} &\leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla \gamma_{j4}^0\|_{L_r(D_{j-}^0)} \leq C_{M_2}, \\ \|\gamma_{jk}^i - \gamma_{jk}^i(x_j^i)\|_{L_\infty(D_j^i)} &\leq M_1, \quad \|\nabla \gamma_{jk}^i\|_{L_r(D_j^i)} \leq C_{M_2} & (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4), \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since $\tilde{\zeta}_j^i(x) = 1$ on $\text{supp } \zeta_j^i$, we have $\gamma_{jk}^i(x) = \gamma_k(x)$ for $x \in \text{supp } \zeta_j^i$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For $\mathbf{F}_Y \in Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$, we consider the following equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \rho_{j+}^0 + \rho_{*+} \text{div } \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0 = \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 f_M & \text{in } D_{j+}^0, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0 - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{j1}^0, \gamma_{j2}^0, \gamma_{j3}^0, \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0, \rho_{j+}^0) = \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 \mathbf{f}_+ & \text{in } D_{j+}^0, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0 - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{j4}^0, \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0, \mathcal{K}_{j1}^0(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) + \mathcal{K}_{j2}^0(h_j)) = \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 \mathbf{f}_- & \text{in } D_{j-}^0, \\ \lambda h_j - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 d & \text{on } \Gamma_j^0, \\ \mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_{j1}^0, \gamma_{j2}^0, \gamma_{j3}^0, \rho_{j+}^0, \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0, \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) = \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 \mathbf{G}_0 & \text{on } \Gamma_j^0, \\ \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{j4}^0, \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0, h_j) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle_- - \frac{\rho_{*-} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_{\Gamma_j^0} h_j = \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 g_- & \text{on } \Gamma_j^0, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.35)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \rho_j^1 + \rho_{*+} \text{div } \mathbf{u}_j^1 = \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 f_M & \text{in } D_j^1, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \mathbf{u}_j^1 - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{j1}^1, \gamma_{j2}^1, \gamma_{j3}^1, \mathbf{u}_j^1, \rho_j^1) = \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 \mathbf{f}_+ & \text{in } D_j^1, \\ \mathbf{u}_j^1|_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_j^1, \mathbf{n}_j^1 \rangle_+ = \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 k_+ & \text{in } \Gamma_j^1, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.36)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \rho_{*-} \lambda \mathbf{u}_j^2 - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{j1}^2, \mathbf{u}_j^2, \mathcal{K}_{j1}^2(\mathbf{u}_j^2)) = \tilde{\zeta}_j^2 \mathbf{f}_- & \text{in } D_j^2, \\ \mathbf{u}_j^2|_- = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_j^2, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.37)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \rho_j^3 + \rho_{*+} \text{div } \mathbf{u}_j^3 = \tilde{\zeta}_j^3 f_M & \text{in } D_j^3, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \mathbf{u}_j^3 - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_{j1}^3, \gamma_{j2}^3, \mathbf{u}_j^3, \rho_j^3) = \tilde{\zeta}_j^3 \mathbf{f}_+ & \text{in } D_j^3, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.38)$$

$$\rho_{*-} \lambda \mathbf{u}_j^4 - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_{j1}^4, \mathbf{u}_j^4, \mathcal{K}_{j1}^4(\mathbf{u}_j^4)) = \tilde{\zeta}_j^4 \mathbf{f}_- \quad \text{in } D_j^4, \quad (5.39)$$

Here, \mathbf{n}_j^i ($i = 0, 1$) denote the unit outer normal to Γ_j^i and $\Delta_{\Gamma_j^0}$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Γ_j^0 . We may assume that \mathbf{n}_j^i are defined in \mathbb{R}^N and satisfy the estimates:

$$\|\mathbf{n}_j^i\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_N, \quad \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_j^i\|_{W_r^{2-i}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,r} M_2 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

while we can write $\Delta_{\Gamma_j^0}$ as $\Delta_{\Gamma_j^0} f = \Delta' f + \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_j^0} f$ with $\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_j^0} f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} g_{ij}^0 \partial_i \partial_j f + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} g_i^0 \partial_i f$ in local coordinates, where g_{ij}^0 and g_i^0 can be bounded by $\|g_{ij}^0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_N M_1$ and $\|(\nabla g_{ij}^0, g_i^0)\|_{W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{N,r} M_2$, respectively. In addition, the functions $\mathcal{K}_{j1}^i, \mathcal{K}_{j2}^i$ ($i = 0, 2, 4$ $j \in \mathbb{N}$) are given as follows: For $\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0 \in W_q^2(D_{j-}^0)$, let $\mathcal{K}_{j1}^0(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \in W_q^1(D_{j-}^0) + \widehat{W}_q^1(D_{j-}^0)$ be a unique solution of the variational equation:

$$(\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j1}^0(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0), \nabla \varphi)_{D_{j-}^0} = (\text{Div}(\rho_{*+} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla \text{div} \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0, \nabla \varphi)_{D_{j-}^0} \quad (5.40)$$

for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}^1(D_{j-}^0)$ subject to $\mathcal{K}_{j1}^0(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) = \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle - \rho_{*-} \text{div} \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0$ on Γ_j^0 , while for $h_j \in W_q^{3-1/q}(D_j^0)$, let $\mathcal{K}_{j2}^0 \in W_q^1(D_{j-}^0) + \widehat{W}_{q,0}^1(D_{j-}^0)$ be a unique solution of the variational equation:

$$(\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j2}^0(h_j), \nabla \varphi)_{D_j^0} = 0 \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}^1(D_{j-}^0) \quad (5.41)$$

subject to $\mathcal{K}_{j2}^0(h_j) = -(\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})^{-1} \rho_{*-} \sigma \Delta_{\Gamma_j^0} h_j$ on Γ_j^0 . For $\mathbf{u}_j^i \in W_q^2(D_j^i)$ ($i = 2, 4$), let $\mathcal{K}_{j1}^i(\mathbf{u}_j^i) \in W_q^1(D_j^i) + \widehat{W}_q^1(D_j^i)$ be a unique solution of the variational equation:

$$(\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j1}^i(\mathbf{u}_j^i), \nabla \varphi)_{D_j^i} = (\text{Div}(\rho_{*+} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_j^i)) - \rho_{*-} \nabla \text{div} \mathbf{u}_j^i, \nabla \varphi)_{D_j^i} \quad (5.42)$$

for any $\varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}^1(D_j^i)$.

Choosing $0 < M_1 < 1$ suitably small, we have a unique existence of solutions to (5.40), (5.41), and (5.42) possessing the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j1}^0(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0)\|_{L_q(D_{j-}^0)} &\leq c_8 \|\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0\|_{W_q^1(D_{j-}^0)}, \\ \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j2}^0(h_j)\|_{L_q(D_{j-}^0)} &\leq c_8 \|h_j\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma_{j-}^0)}, \\ \|\nabla \mathcal{K}_{j1}^i(\mathbf{u}_j^i)\|_{L_q(D_j^i)} &\leq c_8 \|\mathbf{u}_j^i\|_{W_q^1(D_j^i)} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 2, 4$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with some constant c_8 independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ define function spaces $\widetilde{Y}_q(i, j)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_q(i, j)$ by

$$\widetilde{Y}_q(i, j) = \begin{cases} Z_q(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0), \\ W_q^1(D_j^1) \times L_q(D_j^1)^N \times W_q^2(D_j^1), \\ L_q(D_j^2)^N, \\ W_q^1(D_j^3) \times L_q(D_j^3)^N, \\ L_q(D_j^4)^N, \end{cases} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_q(i, j) = \begin{cases} Z_q(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0), \\ W_q^1(D_j^1) \times L_q(D_j^1)^N \times W_q^2(D_j^1), \\ L_q(D_j^2)^N, \\ W_q^1(D_j^3) \times L_q(D_j^3)^N, \\ L_q(D_j^4)^N, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Then, according to the results of analysis in the whole space, the whole/half spaces with a bent interface, see Saito [17], Shibata [19], and Theorem 5.4, there exists a constant $\lambda_6 \geq \max(\lambda_4, \lambda_5)$ and operator families

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{j+}^i(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_0, \lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_q(i, j), W_q^3(D_{j\pm}^i))) & (i = 0, 1, 3), \\ \mathcal{B}_{j\pm}^i(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_0, \lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_q(i, j), W_q^2(D_j^i))) & (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4), \\ \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_0, \lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(Z_q(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0))) \end{aligned}$$

such that problem (5.35), (5.36), (5.37), (5.38), (5.39) admit unique solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{j+}^0 &= \mathcal{A}_{j+}^0(\lambda) G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_j^0), \quad \mathbf{u}_{j\pm}^0 = \mathcal{B}_{j\pm}^0(\lambda) G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_j^0), \quad h_j = \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda) G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_j^0), \\ \rho_j^1 &= \mathcal{A}_{j+}^1(\lambda) (\lambda^{1/2} \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 f_M, \nabla \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 f_M, \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 \mathbf{f}_+, \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 k_+), \quad \mathbf{u}_j^1 = \mathcal{B}_{j+}^1(\lambda) (\lambda^{1/2} \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 f_M, \nabla \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 f_M, \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 \mathbf{f}_+, \widetilde{\zeta}_j^1 k_+), \\ \rho_j^3 &= \mathcal{A}_{j+}^3(\lambda) (\lambda^{1/2} \widetilde{\zeta}_j^3 f_M, \nabla \widetilde{\zeta}_j^3 f_M, \widetilde{\zeta}_j^3 \mathbf{f}_+), \quad \mathbf{u}_j^2 = \mathcal{B}_{j-}^2(\lambda) \widetilde{\zeta}_j^2 \mathbf{f}_-, \quad \mathbf{u}_j^3 = \mathcal{B}_{j+}^3(\lambda) (\lambda^{1/2} \widetilde{\zeta}_j^3 f_M, \nabla \widetilde{\zeta}_j^3 f_M, \widetilde{\zeta}_j^3 \mathbf{f}_+), \\ \mathbf{u}_j^4 &= \mathcal{B}_{j-}^4(\lambda) \widetilde{\zeta}_j^4 \mathbf{f}_- \end{aligned}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, we have set $\mathbf{F}_j^0 = \widetilde{\zeta}_j^0 \mathbf{F}_Z$. Furthermore, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_q(i,j), W_q^{3-l}(D_{j\pm}^i))}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{l/2} \mathcal{A}_{j+}^i(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_6}\}) &\leq \omega_0 \quad (i = 0, 1, 3), \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_q(i,j), W_q^{2-m}(D_{j\pm}^i)^N)}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{m/2} \mathcal{B}_{j\pm}^i(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_6}\}) &\leq \omega_0 \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4), \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(Z_q(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0), W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma_j^0))}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^n \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_6}\}) &\leq \omega_0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

for $s = 0, 1$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $l = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $m = 0, 1, 2$, and $n = 0, 1$ with some positive constant ω_0 independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the \mathcal{R} -boundedness implies the uniform boundedness, by (5.43) for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon_0, \lambda_6}$ there exists a constant C independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\lambda \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0, \lambda^{3/2} \rho_{j+}^0, \lambda \nabla \rho_{j+}^0, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla^2 \rho_{j+}^0, \nabla^3 \rho_{j+}^0)\|_{L_q(D_{j+}^0)} \\
& + \|(\lambda \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0)\|_{L_q(D_{j-}^0)} + \|(\lambda h_j, \nabla h_j)\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)} \leq C \|G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_j^0)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0)}, \\
& \|(\lambda \mathbf{u}_j^1, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_j^1, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_j^1, \lambda^{3/2} \rho_j^1, \lambda \nabla \rho_j^1, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla^2 \rho_j^1, \nabla^3 \rho_j^1)\|_{L_q(D_j^1)} \\
& \leq C \|(\lambda^{1/2} \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 f_M, \nabla \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 f_M, \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 \mathbf{f}_+, \lambda \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 k_+, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 k_+, \nabla^2 \tilde{\zeta}_j^1 k_+)\|_{L_q(D_j^1)}, \\
& \|(\lambda \mathbf{u}_j^i, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_j^i, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_j^i)\|_{L_q(D_j^i)} \leq C \|\tilde{\zeta}_j^i \mathbf{f}_-\|_{L_q(D_j^i)} \quad (i = 2, 4), \\
& \|(\lambda \mathbf{u}_j^3, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_j^3, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_j^3, \lambda^{3/2} \rho_j^3, \lambda \nabla \rho_j^3, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla^2 \rho_j^3, \nabla^3 \rho_j^3)\|_{L_q(D_j^3)} \leq C \|\lambda^{1/2} \tilde{\zeta}_j^3 f_M, \nabla \tilde{\zeta}_j^3 f_M, \tilde{\zeta}_j^3 \mathbf{f}_+\|_{L_q(D_j^3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

5.3.3. *Construction of a parametrix.* For $\mathbf{F}_Y \in Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$ we consider the reduced equations (4.5). We use the notations defined in Proposition A.1. Let us define $\hat{\rho}_+$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm$, and \hat{h} by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rho}_+ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \rho_{j+}^0 + \sum_{i=1,3} \zeta_j^i \rho_j^i \right), & \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0 + \sum_{i=1,3} \zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i \right), \\
\hat{\mathbf{u}}_- &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0 + \sum_{i=2,4} \zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i \right), & \hat{h} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j^0 h_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

The right-hand sides of (5.45) convergence strongly to $\hat{\rho}_+ \in W_q^3(\Omega_+)$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm \in W_q^2(\Omega_\pm)$, and $\hat{h} \in W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)$, respectively. In fact, from (5.34) and (5.44) we see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|h_j\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma_j^0)} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_j^0)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0)} \leq C \|G_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Z)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} < \infty.$$

Then, by Proposition 5.8, the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j^0 h_j$ convergences to \hat{h} in $W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)$ strongly and

$$\|\hat{h}\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)} \leq C_q \|F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)}.$$

Analogously, the infinite sum

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \rho_{j+}^0 + \sum_{i=1,3} \zeta_j^i \rho_j^i \right), \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0 + \sum_{i=1,3} \zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i \right), \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0 + \sum_{i=2,4} \zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i \right)$$

strongly convergence to $\hat{\rho}_+ \in W_q^3(\Omega_+)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm \in W_q^2(\Omega_\pm)$, respectively, and the following estimates hold:

$$\|\hat{\rho}_+\|_{W_q^3(\Omega_+)} + \|\hat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm\|_{W_q^2(\Omega_\pm)} \leq C_q \|F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)}.$$

Since $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_j^0$ on $\text{supp } \zeta_j^0 \cap \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{n}_+ = \mathbf{n}_j^1$ on $\text{supp } \zeta_j^1 \cap \Gamma_+$, we see that $(\hat{\rho}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \hat{h})$ satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\lambda \hat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \text{div } \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \hat{f}_M - \mathbf{V}^1(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\
\rho_{*+} \lambda \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_{0+}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \hat{\rho}_+) = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_+ - \mathbf{V}^2(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\
\rho_{*-} \lambda \hat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h})) = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_- - \mathbf{V}^3(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\
\lambda \hat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \hat{d} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\
\mathbf{B}_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \hat{\rho}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \hat{\mathbf{G}}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{V}}(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y & \text{on } \Gamma, \\
\langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h})) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \frac{\rho_{*-} - \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \Delta_\Gamma \hat{h} = \hat{g}_- - \mathbf{V}^5(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y & \text{on } \Gamma, \\
\hat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = \hat{k}_+ - \mathbf{V}^8(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\
\hat{\mathbf{u}}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_-.
\end{array} \right. \tag{5.46}$$

where we have set

$$\bar{\mathbf{V}}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y = \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{V}^4(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y, \mathbf{V}^6(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y, 0, \mathbf{V}^7(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y), \quad (5.47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}^1(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\rho_{*+}(\operatorname{div}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_{j+}^0)) + \sum_{i=1,3} \rho_{*+}(\operatorname{div}(\zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i) - \zeta_j^i \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_j^i)) \right), \\ \mathbf{V}^2(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^0 \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0)) - \zeta_j^0 \operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j+}^0)) + \operatorname{Div}((\gamma_{j2}^0 - \gamma_{j1}^0) \operatorname{div}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) \mathbf{I}) \right. \\ &\quad - \zeta_j^0 \operatorname{Div}((\gamma_{j2}^0 - \gamma_{j1}^0) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0 \mathbf{I}) + \operatorname{Div}(\rho_{*+} \gamma_{j3}^0 \Delta(\zeta_j^0 \rho_{j+}^0) \mathbf{I}) - \zeta_j^0 \operatorname{Div}(\rho_{*+} \gamma_{j3}^0 \Delta \rho_{j+}^0 \mathbf{I}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1,3} \left(\operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^i \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i)) - \zeta_j^i \operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^i \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_j^i)) + \operatorname{Div}((\gamma_{j2}^i - \gamma_{j1}^i) \operatorname{div}(\zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_{j+}^i) \mathbf{I}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \zeta_j^i \operatorname{Div}((\gamma_{j2}^i - \gamma_{j1}^i) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{j+}^i \mathbf{I}) + \operatorname{Div}(\rho_{*+} \gamma_{j3}^i \Delta(\zeta_j^i \rho_{j+}^i) \mathbf{I}) - \zeta_j^i \operatorname{Div}(\rho_{*+} \gamma_{j3}^i \Delta \rho_{j+}^i \mathbf{I}) \right) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{V}^3(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^0 \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0)) - \zeta_j^0 \operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j+}^0)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2,4} \left(\operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^i \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i)) - \zeta_j^i \operatorname{Div}(\gamma_{j1}^i \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_j^i)) \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \nabla \mathcal{K}_1(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \nabla \mathcal{K}_{j1}^0(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) + \sum_{i=2,4} \left(\nabla \mathcal{K}_1(\zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_{j-}^i) - \zeta_j^i \nabla \mathcal{K}_{j1}^i(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^i) \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\nabla \mathcal{K}_2(\zeta_j^0 h_j) - \zeta_j^0 \nabla \mathcal{K}_{j2}^0(h_j) \right), \\ \mathbf{V}^4(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= \rho_{*+}(\mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0)) - \rho_{*+}(\langle \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle - \langle \zeta_j^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle) \mathbf{n}_j^0 \\ &\quad - \gamma_{j1}^0(\mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j+}^0)) - \gamma_{j1}^0(\langle \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle - \langle \zeta_j^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \\ \mathbf{V}^5(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \rho_{*+}(\langle \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle - \langle \zeta_j^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \rho_{*+}(\langle \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle - \langle \zeta_j^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle) - \rho_{*-}(\nabla \operatorname{div}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{V}^6(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \gamma_{j1}^0(\langle \mathbf{D}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle - \langle \zeta_j^0 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) \mathbf{n}_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle) \right. \\ &\quad - (\gamma_{j2}^0 - \gamma_{j1}^0)(\operatorname{div}(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j+}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_{j+}^0)) - \rho_{*+} \gamma_{j3}^0(\Delta(\zeta_j^0 \rho_{j+}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \Delta \rho_{j+}^0) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\rho_{*+}}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}}(\Delta_{\Gamma_j^0}(\zeta_j^0 h_j) - \zeta_j^0 \Delta_{\Gamma_j^0} h_j) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{V}^7(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \nabla(\zeta_j^0 \rho_{j+}^0) - \zeta_j^0 \nabla \rho_{j+}^0, \mathbf{n}_j^0 \rangle, \\ \mathbf{V}^8(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \nabla(\zeta_j^1 \rho_j^0) - \zeta_j^1 \nabla \rho_j^0, \mathbf{n}_j^1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the representation formulas above we have used the fact that

$$\nabla \mathcal{K}_1(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_-) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\nabla \mathcal{K}_1(\zeta_j^0 \mathbf{u}_{j-}^0) + \sum_{i=2,4} \nabla \mathcal{K}_1(\zeta_j^i \mathbf{u}_j^i) \right), \quad \nabla \mathcal{K}_2(\hat{h}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nabla \mathcal{K}_2(\zeta_j^0 h_j), \quad (5.48)$$

which follow from the strong convergence in (5.45) and the continuity of K_1 in Definition 3.1. In view of (5.45), we define the operators $\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}^\pm(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ acting on $F := (F_1, \dots, F_{21}) \in \mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)F = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \mathcal{A}_{j+}^0(\lambda)(F) + \zeta_j^1 \mathcal{A}_j^1(\lambda) \tilde{\zeta}_j^1(F_1, F_2, F_3, F_{19}, F_{20}, F_{21}) + \zeta_j^3 \mathcal{A}_j^3(\lambda) \tilde{\zeta}_j^3(F_1, F_2, F_3) \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)F &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \mathcal{B}_{j+}^0(\lambda)(F) + \zeta_j^1 \mathcal{B}_j^1(\lambda) \tilde{\zeta}_j^1(F_1, F_2, F_3, F_{19}, F_{20}, F_{21}) + \zeta_j^3 \mathcal{B}_j^3(\lambda) \tilde{\zeta}_j^3(F_1, F_2, F_3) \right), \\
\mathcal{B}^-(\lambda)F &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta_j^0 \mathcal{B}_{j-}^0(\lambda)(F) + \sum_{i=2,4} \zeta_j^i \mathcal{B}_j^i(\lambda) \tilde{\zeta}_j^i F_4 \right), \\
\mathcal{H}(\lambda)F &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j^0 \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda) \tilde{\zeta}_j^0(F).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_0, \lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^3(\Omega_{\pm}))), \\
\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_0, \lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^2(\Omega_{\pm}))), \\
\mathcal{H}(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon_0, \lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma))), \\
\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-l}(\Omega_{\pm}))}(\{(\tau \partial_{\tau})^s (\lambda^{l/2} \mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0}\}) &\leq C_q \omega_0, \\
\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{2-m}(\Omega_{\pm}^N))}(\{(\tau \partial_{\tau})^s (\lambda^{m/2} \mathcal{B}^{\pm}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0}\}) &\leq C_q \omega_0, \\
\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma))}(\{(\tau \partial_{\tau})^s (\lambda^n \mathcal{H}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0}\}) &\leq C_q \omega_0
\end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

for $s = 0, 1$, $l = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $m = 0, 1, 2$, and $n = 0, 1$. In fact, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_{k=1}^m \subset \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0}$, $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$ and $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$, from (5.43) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \lambda_k^n \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda_k) \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 E_k \right\|_{W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma_j^0)} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| \|\lambda_k^n \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda_k) \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 E_k\|_{W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma_j^0)} \\
&\leq \omega_0 \sum_{k=1}^m |a_k| \|\tilde{\zeta}_j^0 E_k\|_{\mathcal{Y}(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0)}
\end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1$. Hence, applying the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [19], by (5.34) and Proposition 5.8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_0}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma))), \\
\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \lambda_k^n \mathcal{H}(\lambda_k) E_k \right\|_{W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma)}^q &\leq C_q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \lambda_k^n \zeta_j^0 \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda_k) \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 E_k \right\|_{W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma_j^0)}^q
\end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1$. By (5.43), the monotone convergence theorem for Lebesgue integral, (5.34), and Proposition 5.8 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(t) \lambda_k^n \mathcal{H}(\lambda_k) E_k \right\|_{W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma)}^q dt &\leq C_q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(t) \lambda_k^n \mathcal{H}_j(\lambda_k) \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 E_k \right\|_{W_q^{3-1/q-n}(\Gamma_j^0)}^q dt \\
&\leq C_q \omega_0 \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\| \tilde{\zeta}_j^0 \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(t) E_k \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(D_{j+}^0, D_{j-}^0, \Gamma_j^0)}^q dt \\
&\leq C_q \omega_0 \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(t) E_k \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)}^q dt \quad (n = 0, 1),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the assertion for $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$. Analogously, we obtain the assertions for $\mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(\lambda)$. Finally, combining Propositions 5.7 and 5.8, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.9. *Let λ_6 and ω_0 be the same constants as in (5.43). In addition, let $\mathbf{V}^i(\lambda)$ ($i = 1, \dots, 8$) be the operators defined in (5.47), and set*

$$\mathbf{V}(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y = (\mathbf{V}^1(\lambda), \mathbf{V}^2(\lambda), \mathbf{V}^3(\lambda), 0, \mathbf{V}^4(\lambda), \mathbf{V}^5(\lambda), \mathbf{V}^6(\lambda), 0, \mathbf{V}^7(\lambda), \mathbf{V}^8(\lambda), 0).$$

Then there exists an operator family $\mathcal{V}(\lambda) \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\lambda}(\mathbf{V}(\lambda) \mathbf{F}_Y) &= \mathcal{V}(\lambda) F_{\lambda}(\mathbf{F}_Y) \quad (\mathbf{F}_Y \in Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)), \\
\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma))}(\{(\tau \partial_{\tau})^s \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}\}) &\leq (\sigma_* + C_{\sigma_*} \lambda_*^{-1/2}) \omega_0 \quad (s = 0, 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

for any $\sigma_* > 0$ and $\lambda_* \geq \max(\lambda_6, 1)$, where C_{σ_*} is some positive constant independent of λ_* .

5.3.4. *Completion of the proof of Theorem 4.1.* From Lemma 5.9 we have

$$\|F_\lambda(\mathbf{V}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} = \|\mathcal{V}(\lambda)F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} \leq (\sigma_* + C_{\sigma_*}\lambda_*^{-1/2})\omega_0\|F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)}.$$

We choose σ_* very small and λ_* sufficiently large such that $0 < \sigma_*\omega_0 \leq 1/4$ and $C_{\sigma_*}\lambda_*^{-1/2}\omega_0 \leq 1/4$. Then, we have $\|F_\lambda(\mathbf{V}(\lambda)\mathbf{F}_Y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} \leq 2^{-1}\|F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)}$ for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}$, so that $(I - \mathbf{V}(\lambda))^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{V}(\lambda))^j$ exists in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma))$. In addition, from (5.50), $(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (F_\lambda\mathcal{V}(\lambda))^j$ exists and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma))}(\{(\tau\partial_\tau\tau)^s(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1} \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_*}\}) \leq 2 \quad (5.51)$$

for $s = 0, 1$. By (5.46) and (5.49),

$$\widehat{\rho}_+ = \mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)F_\lambda(I - \mathbf{V}(\lambda))^{-1}\mathbf{F}_Y, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm = \mathcal{B}^\pm(\lambda)F_\lambda(I - \mathbf{V}(\lambda))^{-1}\mathbf{F}_Y, \quad \widehat{h} = \mathcal{H}(\lambda)F_\lambda(I - \mathbf{V}(\lambda))^{-1}\mathbf{F}_Y$$

are solutions of problem (4.5). Since $F_\lambda\mathbf{V}(\lambda) = \mathcal{V}(\lambda)F_\lambda$, we have

$$F_\lambda(I - \mathbf{V}(\lambda))^{-1} = F_\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{V}(\lambda))^j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{V}(\lambda))^j F_\lambda = (I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1} F_\lambda.$$

Then, we rewrite the representation of $\widehat{\rho}_+$, $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm$, \widehat{h} as follows:

$$\widehat{\rho}_+ = \mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1}F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_\pm = \mathcal{B}^\pm(\lambda)(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1}F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y), \quad \widehat{h} = \mathcal{H}(\lambda)(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1}F_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_Y).$$

Hence, setting $P^+(\lambda) = \mathcal{A}^+(\lambda)(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1}$, $U^\pm(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}^\pm(\lambda)(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1}$, and $H(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}(\lambda)(I - \mathcal{V}(\lambda))^{-1}$, by (5.49), (5.51), and Lemma 2.3, we see that $P^+(\lambda)$, $U^\pm(\lambda)$, and $H(\lambda)$ satisfy the properties stated in Theorem 4.1.

6. MAXIMAL $L_p - L_q$ REGULARITY THEOREM

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $2/p + N/q \neq 1$ and $2/p + N/q \neq 2$. In addition, let $N < r < \infty$ and $\max(q, q') \leq r$. Suppose that the assumptions (a)–(c) in Theorem 3.7 holds. Then there exists a constant $\gamma_0 \geq 1$ such that the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *Let $\rho_{0+} \in B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}$, $\mathbf{u}_{0\pm} \in B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_\pm)$, and $h_0 \in B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, let f_M , \mathbf{f}_\pm , \mathbf{F}_d , f_d , d , g , f_B^+ , f_B^- , \mathbf{h} , k_- , and k_+ be functions in the right-hand members of (1.5) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f_M &\in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\Omega_+)), \quad \mathbf{f}_\pm \in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_\pm)^N), \quad \mathbf{F}_d \in W_{p,\gamma}^1(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-)^N), \\ f_d &\in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{DI}_q(\Omega_-)^N) \cap H_{p,\gamma}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-)), \quad d \in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}, W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)), \\ g, f_B^\pm &\in H_{q,p,\gamma}^{1,1/2}(\dot{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathbf{h} \in W_{q,p,\gamma}^{2,1}(\dot{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}), \quad k_\pm \in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}, W_q^2(\Omega_+)) \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

for any $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$. Assume that the compatibility conditions:

$$\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{F}_{d0} \in J_q(\Omega_-), \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{0-} = \operatorname{div} f_d|_{t=0} \quad \text{in } \Omega_-. \quad (6.2)$$

In addition, we assume the compatibility conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0-})\mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0+})\mathbf{n})|_+ = g & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{u}_{0-}|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{u}_{0+}|_+ = \mathbf{h} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ = k_- & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = k_+, \quad \mathbf{u}_{0+} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \mathbf{u}_{0-} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \end{array} \right. \quad (6.3)$$

hold when $2/p + N/q < 1$, while we assume the compatibility conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = k_+, \quad \mathbf{u}_{0+} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \mathbf{u}_{0-} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \end{array} \right. \quad (6.4)$$

hold when $1 < 2/p + N/q < 2$. Then the equations (1.5) admits unique solutions $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-, h)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ &\in W_{q,p,\gamma}^{3,1}(\Omega_+ \times (0, \infty)) \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm \in W_{q,p,\gamma}^{2,1}(\Omega_\pm \times (0, \infty)), \\ \pi_- &\in L_{p,\gamma}((0, \infty), W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q,\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)), \\ h &\in L_{p,\gamma}((0, \infty), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)) \cap W_{p,\gamma}^1((0, \infty), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The solution $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-, h)$ satisfies the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-, h, \gamma; (0, \infty)) \\ &\leq C \left\{ \|\rho_{0+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)} + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \|\mathbf{u}_{0-}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(2-1/p)}(\Omega_\ell)} + \|h_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)} + \|e^{-\gamma t} f_M\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \|e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{f}_\ell\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_\ell))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} f_d\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} f_d\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-))} \\ &\quad + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \mathbf{F}_d\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} d\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma))} + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \|e^{-\gamma t} f_B^\ell\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} \\ &\quad + \|e^{-\gamma t} (g, \nabla \mathbf{h})\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} (\nabla g, \partial_t \mathbf{h}, \nabla^2 \mathbf{h})\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \left(\|e^{-\gamma t} (f_B^\ell, \nabla k_\ell)\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} (\nabla f_B^\ell, \partial_t k_\ell, \nabla^2 k_\ell)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with some positive constant C independent of t and γ .

6.1. Generation of C^0 -analytic semigroup. To prove Theorem 6.1, we first show a generation of C^0 -analytic semigroup. For this purpose, we first introduce function spaces X_q and \mathcal{X}_q defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) &= \{(\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{f}_B^+, \widehat{f}_B^-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+) \mid \widehat{f}_M \in W_q^1(\Omega_+), \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ \in L_q(\Omega_+)^N, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N, \\ &\quad \widehat{d} \in W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma), \widehat{g}, \widehat{f}_B^+, \widehat{f}_B^- \in W_q^1(\dot{\Omega}), \widehat{\mathbf{h}} \in W_q^2(\dot{\Omega})^N, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+ \in W_q^2(\Omega_+)\}, \\ \mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) &= \{(E_1, \dots, E_{21}) \mid E_1, E_2 \in L_q(\Omega_+), E_3 \in L_q(\Omega_+)^N, E_4 \in L_q(\Omega_-)^N, E_5 \in W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma), \\ &\quad E_6, E_8, E_{10} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega}), E_7, E_9, E_{11}, E_{13} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega})^N, E_{12} \in W_q^1(\dot{\Omega}), E_{14} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega})^{N^2}, \\ &\quad E_{15} \in L_q(\dot{\Omega})^{N^3}, E_{16}, E_{19} \in L_q(\Omega_+), E_{17}, E_{20} \in L_q(\Omega_+)^N, E_{18}, E_{21} \in L_q(\Omega_+)^{N^2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

and we set

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(E_1, \dots, E_{21})\|_{\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^3 \|E_m\|_{L_q(\Omega_+)} + \|E_4\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} + \|E_5\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)} + \sum_{n=6}^{15} \|E_n\|_{L_q(\dot{\Omega})} + \|\nabla E_{12}\|_{L_q(\dot{\Omega})} + \sum_{l=16}^{21} \|E_l\|_{L_q(\Omega_+)} \end{aligned}$$

for any $(E_1, \dots, E_{21}) \in \mathcal{X}_q$. We then consider the generalized resolvent problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \widehat{\rho}_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = \widehat{f}_M & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = \widehat{f}_d = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \widehat{\pi}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \lambda \widehat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \widehat{d} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-, \widehat{\pi}_-) = \widehat{\mathbf{G}}, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \widehat{\rho}_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = \widehat{k}_+ & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{array} \right. \quad (6.5)$$

Using the similar argument as in Sect. 4, we can replace $\widehat{\pi}_-$ by $\mathcal{K}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(\widehat{h}) + \mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h})$. Here, $\mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h})$ is a unique solution to the following variational problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h}), \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} &= 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-), \\ \mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h}) &= -\frac{\gamma_{**}^+ \rho_{*+} + \rho_{*-}}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \widehat{\rho}_+ - \frac{\rho_{*-} \sigma}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \langle \Delta_\Gamma \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \widehat{h} \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \Delta_\Gamma \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle$ is a given function depending on Γ . Recalling Remark 3.2, $\mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h})$ can be defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h}) = -K_\Gamma^3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h}) + K_1(\nabla K_\Gamma^3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h}))$$

with $K_\Gamma^3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h}) = \mathbf{T}_\Gamma(-\mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h}))$ and belongs to $W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q', \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)$ satisfying

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{K}_3(\widehat{\rho}_+, \widehat{h})\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} \leq C \left(\|\widehat{\rho}_+\|_{W_q^3(\Omega_+)} + \|\widehat{h}\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)} \right).$$

Since the system (6.5) can be seen as a perturbation from (4.2), we obtain the following theorem, where the proof is analog to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $N < r < \infty$ and $\max(q, q') \leq r$. Suppose that the assumptions (a)–(c) in Theorem 3.7 holds. Then there exists constant $\varepsilon_* \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_*, \pi/2)$ there is a constant $\lambda_{**} \geq 1$ with the following properties hold true:*

- (1) *For any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}$, there exist operators $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda)$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\pm(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^3(\Omega_+))), \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^+(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^2(\Omega_\pm)^N)), \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) &\in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma))), \end{aligned}$$

such that for any $\mathbf{F}_X = (\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{f}_B^+, \widehat{f}_B^-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+) \in Y_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$, the quadruple

$$(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_X), \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^+(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_X), \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^-(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_X), \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_X))$$

is a unique solution to (4.5). Here, we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\mathbf{F}_X) &= (\lambda^{1/2} \widehat{f}_M, \nabla \widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \lambda^{1/2} \widehat{g}, \nabla \widehat{g}, \lambda^{1/2} \widehat{f}_B^+, \nabla \widehat{f}_B^+, \widehat{f}_B^+, \lambda^{1/2} \widehat{f}_B^-, \nabla \widehat{f}_B^-, \\ &\quad \widehat{f}_B^-, \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \nabla^2 \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \lambda \widehat{k}_-, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \widehat{k}_-, \nabla^2 \widehat{k}_-, \lambda \widehat{k}_+, \lambda^{1/2} \nabla \widehat{k}_+, \nabla^2 \widehat{k}_+). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) *There exists a positive constant c_{**} , independent of λ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-i}(\Omega_+))}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{i/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}\}) &\leq c_{**}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{2-j}(\Omega_\pm)^N)}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^{j/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}\}) &\leq c_{**}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^{3-1/q-k}(\Gamma))}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\lambda^k \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}\}) &\leq c_{**}, \end{aligned}$$

for $s = 0, 1$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $k = 0, 1$.

We now consider the following homogeneous problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \text{div } \mathbf{u}_+ = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, \infty), \\ \rho_{*+} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_+ - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, \infty), \\ \rho_{*-} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_- - \text{Div } \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(h) + \mathcal{K}_3(\rho_+, h)) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, \infty), \\ \partial_t h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(h) + \mathcal{K}_3(\rho_+, h)) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{u}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+ \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{u}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \times (0, \infty), \\ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)|_{t=0} = (\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0) & \text{on } \Omega_+ \times \Omega_+ \times \Omega_- \times \Gamma. \end{array} \right. \quad (6.6)$$

We introduce an operator A_q and its domain D_q to formulate (6.6) in the semigroup setting. Let

$$D_q := D_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) = \left\{ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \mid \begin{array}{l} \rho_+ \in W_q^3(\Omega_+), \mathbf{u}_+ \in W_q^2(\Omega_+)^N, \mathbf{u}_- \in J_q(\Omega_-) \cap W_q^2(\Omega_+)^N, h \in W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma), \\ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \text{ satisfies the fifth, sixth, and seventh condition of (6.6)} \end{array} \right\},$$

$$A_q := A_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) = \left(\begin{array}{c} -\rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+ \\ \rho_{*+}^{-1} \operatorname{Div} \{ \gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_+) + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- \mathbf{I} + (-\gamma_{*+} \nabla + \rho_{*+} \gamma_3 \Delta) \rho_+ \mathbf{I} \} \\ \rho_{*-}^{-1} \operatorname{Div} \{ \gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_-) - (\mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(h) + \mathcal{K}_3(\rho_+, h)) \mathbf{I} \} \\ \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} \end{array} \right),$$

$$B_q := B_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) = \left\{ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \mid \begin{array}{l} \rho_+ \in W_q^1(\Omega_+), \mathbf{u}_+ \in L_q(\Omega_+)^N, \\ \mathbf{u}_- \in J_q(\Omega_-), h \in W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Recall that the space $J_q(\Omega_-)$ is the solenoidal space defined (3.3) and $\mathbf{u}_- \in J_q(\Omega_-) \cap W_q^2(\Omega_-)^N$ implies that $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = 0$ in Ω_- and $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = 0$ on Γ . Using the symbols given above, the problem (6.6) can be rewritten as

$$\partial_t \mathcal{U}(t) - A_q \mathcal{U}(t) = 0 \quad (t > 0), \quad \mathcal{U}(t)|_{t=0} = \mathcal{U}_0, \quad (6.7)$$

where $\mathcal{U}(t) = (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \in D_q$ for $t > 0$ and $\mathcal{U}_0 = (\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0) \in B_q$. The corresponding resolvent problem to (6.7) is that for any $F \in B_q$ we find $\mathcal{U} \in D_q$ solving the equation:

$$\lambda \mathcal{U} - A_q \mathcal{U} = F \quad \text{in } \Omega_+ \times \Omega_- \times \Omega_+ \times \Gamma \quad (6.8)$$

and possessing the estimate:

$$|\lambda| \|\mathcal{U}\|_{B_q} + \|\mathcal{U}\|_{D_q} \leq C \|F\|_{B_q} \quad (6.9)$$

for any $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}$. Here, we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{U}\|_{B_q} &= \|\rho_+\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_+\|_{L_q(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_-\|_{L_q(\Omega_-)} + \|h\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)}, \\ \|\mathcal{U}\|_{D_q} &= \|\rho_+\|_{W_q^3(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_+\|_{W_q^2(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_-\|_{W_q^2(\Omega_-)} + \|h\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathcal{U} = (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)$. Recalling that (1.5) and (6.5) are equivalent, by Theorem 6.2 the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) U_0, \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda) U_0, \quad h = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) U_0 \\ U_0 &= (\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

are solutions to (6.8). Since the \mathcal{R} -boundedness implies the uniform boundedness, \mathcal{U} satisfies the resolvent estimate (6.9). Hence, by the standard semigroup theory, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Let the assumptions (a)–(c) in Theorem 3.7 hold true. Then the operator A_q defined in (6.7) generates a C^0 -analytic semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on B_q satisfying the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)\mathcal{U}_0\|_{B_q} + t(\|\partial_t T(t)\mathcal{U}_0\|_{B_q} + \|T(t)\mathcal{U}_0\|_{D_q}) &\leq C e^{\gamma t} \|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{B_q}, \\ \|\partial_t T(t)\mathcal{U}_0\|_{B_q} + \|T(t)\mathcal{U}_0\|_{D_q} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} \|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{D_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the similar argument as in Shibata and Shimizu [24, Theorem 3.9], by Theorem 6.3 we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $2/p + N/q \neq 1$ and $2/p + N/q \neq 2$. In addition, let $N < r < \infty$ and $\max(q, q') \leq r$. Let the assumptions (a)–(c) in Theorem 3.7 be valid. Define $\mathcal{D}_{q,p}(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$ as a subspace of $B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+) \times B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_+)^N \times (J_q(\Omega_-) \times B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_-)^N) \times B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)$ defined by $\mathcal{D}_{q,p}(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma) = (B_q, D_q)_{1-1/p,p}$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{1-1/p,p}$ denotes a real interpolation functor. Then there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *For any initial data $\mathcal{U}_0 = (\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0) \in \mathcal{D}_{q,p}(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$, the problem (6.6) admits a unique solution $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ &\in W_{q,p,\gamma}^{3,1}(\Omega_+ \times (0, \infty)) \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm \in W_{q,p,\gamma}^{2,1}(\Omega_\pm \times (0, \infty)), \\ h &\in L_{p,\gamma}((0, \infty), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)) \cap W_{p,\gamma}^1((0, \infty), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The solution $\mathcal{U} = (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)$ satisfies the following estimate:

$$\|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \mathcal{U}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), B_q)} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \mathcal{U}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), D_q)} \leq C \|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{D}_{q,p}(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)}.$$

Here, the norm of $\mathcal{D}_{q,p}(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)$ has been defined by

$$\|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{D}_{q,p}(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma)} = \|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_-)} + \|h_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)}.$$

6.2. Maximal $L_p - L_q$ regularity theorem. We now prove the maximal $L_p - L_q$ regularity theorem with the help of the operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem [29]. Let X be a Banach space. We define spaces $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$ by

$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X)$: the set of all X -valued C^∞ functions having compact supports,

$\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$: the set of all linear bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ to X ,

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, X)$: the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing smooth functions from \mathbb{R} into X ,

$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, X)$: X -valued tempered distribution,

respectively. For given $M \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$, we define an Fourier multiplier $T_M: \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ by $T_M \phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[M\mathcal{F}[\phi]]$, where $\mathcal{F}[\phi] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and X, Y are Banach spaces.

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose that X and Y are UMD spaces and let $1 < q < \infty$. Let M be a function in $C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ such that*

$$\mathcal{R}(\{(\rho \partial_\rho)^k M(\rho) \mid \rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}) = \kappa_k < \infty$$

for $k = 0, 1$. Then the operator T_M defined above is extended to a bounded operator from $L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ into $L_p(\mathbb{R}, Y)$ with norm

$$\|T_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}, X), L_p(\mathbb{R}, Y))} \leq C(\kappa_0 + \kappa_1),$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on p, X , and Y . Here, a Banach space is said to be a UMD space if the Hilbert transform extends to bounded operator on $L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for some $1 < p < \infty$.

We now consider the following problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_{1+} + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{1+} = f_M & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{1-} = f_d = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d & \text{in } \Omega_- \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \rho_{*+} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{1+} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \rho_{1+}, \mathbf{u}_{1+}) = \mathbf{f}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \rho_{*-} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{1-} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_{1-}, \pi_{1-}) = \mathbf{f}_- & \text{in } \Omega_- \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_t h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_{1-}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_{1+}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = d & \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_{1+}, \mathbf{u}_{1+}, \mathbf{u}_{1-}, \pi_{1-}) = \mathbf{G}, & \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbf{u}_{1+} = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_{1+}, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = k_+ & \text{on } \Gamma_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbf{u}_{1-} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \times \mathbb{R}. \end{array} \right. \quad (6.10)$$

The right-hand members of (6.10): $f_M, \mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_-, d, g, g_+, g_-, \mathbf{h}, k_-, k_+$ are defined on $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let \mathcal{L}_L be the Laplace transform with respect to time variable t defined by $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_L[f](\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt$ for

$\lambda = \gamma + i\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying the Laplace transform to (6.10) gives

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lambda \widehat{\rho}_{1+} + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1+} = \widehat{f}_M & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1-} = \widehat{f}_d = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \widehat{\mathbf{F}}_d & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \rho_{*+} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1+} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \widehat{\rho}_{1+}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1+}) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ \rho_{*-} \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1-} - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1-}, \widehat{\pi}_{1-}) = \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_- & \text{in } \Omega_-, \\ \lambda \widehat{h} - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1-}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1+}, \mathbf{n} \rangle_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \widehat{d} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \widehat{\rho}_{1+}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1+}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1-}, \widehat{\pi}_{1-}) = \widehat{\mathbf{G}}, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1+} = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \widehat{\rho}_{1+}, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = \widehat{k}_+ & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1-} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_-. \end{array} \right. \quad (6.11)$$

From Theorem 6.2 we have the representation of $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1\pm}$, $\widehat{\rho}_{1+}$, and $\widehat{\pi}_{1-}$ such that

$$\widehat{\rho}_{1+} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{1\pm} = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X), \quad \widehat{\pi}_{1-} = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^-(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X), \quad \widehat{h}_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)$$

for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X = (\widehat{f}_M, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-, \widehat{d}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{f}_B^+, \widehat{f}_B^-, \widehat{\mathbf{h}}, \widehat{k}_-, \widehat{k}_+)$. Here, $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^-$ is the operator belongs to $\operatorname{Hol}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widetilde{W}_{q, \Gamma}^1(\Omega_-)))$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_q(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma), L_q(\Omega_\pm))}(\{(\tau \partial_\tau)^s (\nabla \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^-(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}\}) \leq c_{**}.$$

Let \mathcal{L}_L^{-1} be the inverse Laplace transform defined by $\mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[f](t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda t} f(\tau) d\tau$ for $\lambda = \gamma + i\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Setting

$$\Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} f(t) = \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\lambda^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_L[f]](t) = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\lambda^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} f]](t),$$

and using the fact that $\lambda \widehat{f}_1(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_L[\partial_t f](\lambda)$ and $\lambda^{1/2} \widehat{f}_2(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} f](\tau)$, we define ρ_{1+} , $\mathbf{u}_{1\pm}$, π_{1-} , and h_1 by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{1+}(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)], \\ \mathbf{u}_{1\pm}(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)], \\ \pi_{1-}(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^-(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^-(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)], \\ h_1(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= (f_M, \mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_-, f_d, \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} f_d, \partial_t \mathbf{F}_d, d, \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} g, \nabla g, \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} f_B^+, \nabla f_B^+, f_B^+, \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} f_B^-, \nabla f_B^-, \\ & f_B^-, \partial_t \mathbf{h}, \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} \nabla \mathbf{h}, \nabla^2 \mathbf{h}, \partial_t k_-, \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} \nabla k_-, \nabla^2 k_-, \partial_t k_+, \Lambda_\gamma^{1/2} \nabla k_+, \nabla^2 k_+), \end{aligned}$$

where γ is chosen such that $\gamma > \lambda_{**}$ holds, which implies $\lambda = \gamma + i\tau \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon, \lambda_{**}}$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. From the Cauchy theorem, ρ_{1+} , $\mathbf{u}_{1\pm}$, π_{1-} , and h_1 are independent of choice of γ whenever $\gamma > \lambda_{**}$ and (6.1) satisfied for $\gamma > \lambda_{**}$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_{1+}(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^+(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)], \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{1\pm}(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^\pm(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)], \\ \partial_t \pi_{1-}(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^-(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^-(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)], \\ \partial_t h_1(\cdot, t) &= \mathcal{L}_L^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) \widetilde{F}_\lambda(\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_X)] = e^{\gamma t} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) \mathcal{F}[e^{-\gamma t} F(t)](\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

and applying Theorem 6.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \rho_{1+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \rho_{1+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^3(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{1+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), L_q(\Omega_+))} \\
& + \|e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{u}_{1+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^2(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{1-}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{u}_{1-}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^2(\Omega_-))} \\
& + \|e^{-\gamma t} \nabla \pi_{1-}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t h_1\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} h_1\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma))} \\
& \leq C \|e^{\gamma t} F\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, B_q)} \\
& \leq C \left\{ \|\rho_{0+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)} + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \|\mathbf{u}_{0-}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(2-1/p)}(\Omega_\ell)} + \|h_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)} + \|e^{-\gamma t} f_M\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \right. \\
& + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \|e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{f}_\ell\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_\ell))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} f_d\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} f_d\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-))} \\
& + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \mathbf{F}_d\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} d\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma))} + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \|e^{-\gamma t} f_B^\ell\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} \\
& + \|e^{-\gamma t} (g, \nabla \mathbf{h})\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} (\nabla g, \partial_t \mathbf{h}, \nabla^2 \mathbf{h})\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} \\
& \left. + \sum_{\ell=\pm} \left(\|e^{-\gamma t} (f_B^\ell, \nabla k_\ell)\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} (\nabla f_B^\ell, \partial_t k_\ell, \nabla^2 k_\ell)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

with some positive constant C independent of γ and t .

We write a solution $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-, h)$ to (4.1) in the form of $\rho_+ = \rho_{1+} + \rho_{2+}$, $\mathbf{u}_\pm = \mathbf{u}_{1\pm} + \mathbf{u}_{2\pm}$, $\pi_- = \pi_{1-} + \pi_{2-}$, and $h = h_1 + h_2$. Then ρ_{2+} , $\mathbf{u}_{2\pm}$, π_{2-} , and h_2 enjoy the homogeneous equations (6.6) with $\rho_{2+} = \rho_+$, $\mathbf{u}_{2\pm} = \mathbf{u}_\pm$, $\pi_{2-} = \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_-) + \mathcal{K}_2(h) + \mathcal{K}_3(\rho_+, h)$. Here, $\rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{2-} = 0$ in $\Omega_- \times (0, \infty)$ means that \mathbf{u}_{2-} belongs to $J_q(\Omega_-)$ for any $t > 0$. We know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} e^{-\gamma t} \|\rho_{1+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)} & \leq C \left(\|e^{-\gamma t} \rho_{1+}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^3(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \rho_{1+}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^1(\Omega_\pm))} \right), \\
\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} e^{-\gamma t} \|\mathbf{u}_{1\pm}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_\pm)} & \leq C \left(\|e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{u}_{1\pm}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^2(\Omega_\pm))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{1\pm}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), L_q(\Omega_\pm))} \right), \\
\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} e^{-\gamma t} \|h_1\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)} & \leq C \left(\|e^{-\gamma t} h_1\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t h_1\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma))} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\rho_{0+} - \rho_{1+}|_{t=0}, \mathbf{u}_{0+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}|_{t=0}, \mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0}, h_0 - h_1|_{t=0}) \\
& \in B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+) \times B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_+) \times B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_-) \times B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma),
\end{aligned}$$

which follows from the embedding (3.7). From the compatibility condition (6.2) we have

$$(\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = (\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{F}_d|_{t=0}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_-} = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \widehat{W}_{q',0}(\Omega_-).$$

In addition, if $1 < 2/p + 1/q < 2$, by compatibility condition (6.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla(\rho_{0+} - \rho_{1+}|_{t=0}), \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle|_+ & = \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle|_+ - k_+|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\
\mathbf{u}_{0+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}|_{t=0} & = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\
\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0} & = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_-.
\end{aligned}$$

while if $2/p + 1/q < 1$, by compatibility condition (6.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0}) \mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}|_{t=0}) \mathbf{n})|_+ \\
& = \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_4 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0-}) \mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma_1 \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}_{0+}) \mathbf{n})|_+ - g|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\
& \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{u}_{0+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}|_{t=0})|_+ = \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_{0-}|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_{0+}|_+ - \mathbf{h}|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\
& \langle \nabla(\rho_{0+} - \rho_{1+}|_{t=0}), \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ = \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ - k_+|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\
& \langle \nabla(\rho_{0+} - \rho_{1+}|_{t=0}), \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle|_+ = \langle \nabla \rho_{0+}, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle|_+ - k_+|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\
& \mathbf{u}_{0+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_+, \\
& \mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_-.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $2/p + 1/q \neq 1$ and $2/p + 1/q \neq 2$, we see that

$$(\rho_{0+} - \rho_{1+}|_{t=0}, \mathbf{u}_{0+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}|_{t=0}, \mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0}, h_0 - h_1|_{t=0}) \in \mathcal{D}_{q,p}(\Omega_+, \Omega_-, \Gamma).$$

Then, by Theorem 6.4, there exists a positive constant γ' such that the system (6.11) admits unique solutions ρ_{2+} , $\mathbf{u}_{2\pm}$, and h_2 with $\pi_{2-} = \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_{2-}) + \mathcal{K}_2(h_2) + \mathcal{K}_3(\rho_{2+}, h_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{2+} &\in W_{q,p,\gamma'}^{3,1}(\Omega_+ \times (0, \infty)) \quad \mathbf{u}_{2\pm} \in W_{q,p,\gamma'}^{2,1}(\Omega_{\pm} \times (0, \infty)), \\ h_2 &\in W_{q,\gamma'}^1((0, \infty), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)) \cap L_{p,\gamma'}((0, \infty), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)) \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

possessing the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{-\gamma't} \partial_t \rho_{2+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\gamma't} \rho_{2+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^3(\Omega_+))} \\ &+ \|e^{-\gamma't} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{2+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), L_q(\Omega_+))} + \|e^{-\gamma't} \mathbf{u}_{2+}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^2(\Omega_+))} \\ &+ \|e^{-\gamma't} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{2-}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|e^{-\gamma't} \mathbf{u}_{2-}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^2(\Omega_-))} \\ &+ \|e^{-\gamma't} \partial_t h_2\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma))} + \|e^{-\gamma't} h_2\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma))} \\ &\leq C \left(\|\rho_{0+} - \rho_{1+}|_{t=0}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{u}_{0+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}|_{t=0}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_+)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathbf{u}_{0-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}|_{t=0}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_-)} + \|h_0 - h_1|_{t=0}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p-1/q}(\Gamma)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

Setting $\rho_+ = \rho_{1+} + \rho_{2+}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\pm} = \mathbf{u}_{1\pm} + \mathbf{u}_{2\pm}$, $\pi_- = \pi_{1-} + \mathcal{K}_1(\mathbf{u}_{2-}) + \mathcal{K}_2(h_2) + \mathcal{K}_3(\rho_{2+}, h_2)$, and $h = h_1 + h_2$ and choosing γ_0 such that $\gamma_0 > \max(\lambda_{**}, \gamma')$, from (6.10), (6.12), (6.13), (6.14), and (6.15), we see that ρ_+ , \mathbf{u}_{\pm} , π_- , and h are solutions to the problem (4.1) and satisfy the required estimate. Furthermore, a uniqueness of ρ_+ , \mathbf{u}_{\pm} , π_- , and h follow from the uniqueness of a solution to the generalized resolvent problem (6.5). We, therefore, complete the proof of Theorem 6.1.

7. THE NONLINEAR PROBLEM

7.1. Tools for estimating nonlinear terms. In this subsection, we collect some useful tools and definitions needed later on in the proof of Theorem 3.7. We first introduce the Sobolev embedding theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega_{\pm})} &\leq C \|f\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_{\pm})}, \\ \|fg\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_{\pm})} &\leq C \|f\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_{\pm})} \|g\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_{\pm})}, \\ \|fg\|_{W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)} &\leq C \|f\|_{W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)} \|g\|_{W_q^{1-1/q}(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where $N < q < \infty$. On the other hand, if $2/p + N/q < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{W_{\infty}^1(\Omega_{\pm})} &\leq C_{p,q} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_{\pm})}, \\ \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{W_{\infty}^2(\Omega_+)} &\leq C_{p,q} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)}, \\ \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{W_{\infty}^2(\Omega_{\pm})} &\leq C_{p,q} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p}(\Omega_{\pm})} \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

for every $t \in (0, T)$. In fact, by Muramatu [11, Theorem 9], the embedding $B_{q,p}^{1+N/p+\varepsilon}(\Omega_{\pm}) \hookrightarrow W_{\infty}^1(\Omega_{\pm})$ holds for $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - (2/p + N/q)$ provided that $2/p + N/q < 1$. Assuming $2/p + N/q < 1$, we also have $B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_{\pm}) \hookrightarrow B_{q,p}^{1+N/p+\varepsilon}(\Omega_{\pm})$, which implies $B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_{\pm}) \hookrightarrow W_{\infty}^1(\Omega_{\pm})$. In addition, we see that $B_{q,p}^{3-1/p}(\Omega_{\pm}) \hookrightarrow B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+) \hookrightarrow W_{\infty}^2(\Omega_+)$ under the assumption: $2/p + N/q < 1$.

Given a function ϕ defined on Γ , let H_h be a solution to the strong Dirichlet problem:

$$(1 - \Delta)H_h = 0 \quad \text{in } \dot{\Omega}, \quad H_h = h \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

with an initial data $H_h|_{t=0} = H_{h_0}$ such that

$$(1 - \Delta)H_{h_0} = 0 \quad \text{in } \dot{\Omega}, \quad H_{h_0} = h_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

In the following, we assume

$$\sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|H_h(\cdot, t)\|_{W_{\infty}^1(\dot{\Omega})} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon},$$

whose constant $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is same as in (A.1). Here, we have the estimate

$$\|H_h(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^3(\dot{\Omega})} \leq C \|h(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)}, \quad \|\partial_t H_h(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^2(\dot{\Omega})} \leq C \|\partial_t h(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)} \quad (7.3)$$

for $t \in (0, T)$.

Define the following space:

$$\mathbb{U}_{\varepsilon_T} = \left\{ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \left| \begin{array}{l} \rho_+ \in W_{q,p}^{3,1}(\Omega_+ \times (0, T)), \quad \mathbf{u}_{\pm} \in W_{q,p}^{2,1}(\Omega_{\pm} \times (0, T)), \\ h \in L_p((0, T), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)) \cap W_p^1((0, T), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma)), \\ \rho_+|_{t=0} = \rho_{0+} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+, \quad \mathbf{u}_{\pm}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_{0\pm} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}, \\ h|_{t=0} = h_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|H_h(\cdot, t)\|_{W_{\infty}^1(\dot{\Omega})} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}, \\ \mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h, 0; (0, T)) \leq \varepsilon_T \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Let $(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_{\phi}) \in \mathbb{U}_{\varepsilon_T}$, and then we consider the following system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+ = f_M(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_{\phi}) & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = f_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_{\phi}) = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_{\phi}) & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*+} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+) = \mathbf{f}_+(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_{\phi}) & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*-} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{v}_-, H_{\phi}) & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = d(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_{\phi}) & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \mathbf{G}(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_{\phi}), & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+ \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \times (0, T), \\ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)|_{t=0} = (\rho_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0+}, \mathbf{u}_{0-}, h_0) & \text{on } \Omega_+ \times \Omega_+ \times \Omega_- \times \Gamma. \end{array} \right. \quad (7.4)$$

We extend initial data ρ_{0+} , \mathbf{u}_{0+} , \mathbf{u}_{0-} , and H_{h_0} to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_{0+}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0\pm}$, and \tilde{H}_{h_0} be extensions of ρ_{0+} , $\mathbf{u}_{0\pm}$, and h_0 to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{0+} &= \tilde{\rho}_{0+} \quad \text{in } \Omega_+, & \|\tilde{\rho}_{0+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C \|\rho_{0+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)}, \\ \mathbf{u}_{0\pm} &= \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0\pm} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\pm}, & \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0\pm}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{0\pm}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_{\pm})}, \\ H_{h_0} &= \tilde{H}_{h_0} \quad \text{in } \dot{\Omega}, & \|\tilde{H}_{h_0}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq C \|H_{h_0}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p}(\dot{\Omega})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we define functions $T_{\varrho_+}(t)$, $T_{v_{\pm}}(t)$, and $T_{\phi}(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\varrho_+}(t) \rho_{0+} &= e^{-(1-\Delta)^{3/2}t} (\tilde{\rho}_{0+}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)^{3/2}t} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\rho}_{0+}](\xi)], \\ T_{v_{\pm}}(t) \mathbf{u}_{0\pm} &= e^{-(1-\Delta)t} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0\pm} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0\pm}](\xi)], \\ T_{\phi}(t) H_{h_0} &= e^{-(1-\Delta)^{3/2}t} \tilde{H}_{h_0} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)^{3/2}t} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{H}_{h_0}](\xi)]. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $T_{\varrho_+}(0) \rho_{0+} = \rho_{0+}$ in Ω_+ , $T_{v_{\pm}}(0) \mathbf{u}_{0\pm} = \mathbf{u}_{0\pm}$ in Ω_{\pm} , and $T_{\phi}(0) H_{h_0} = H_{h_0}$ in $\dot{\Omega}$ satisfying the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\varrho_+}(\cdot) \rho_{0+}\|_{W_p^1((0, \infty), W_q^1(\mathbb{R}^N))} + \|T_{\varrho_+}(\cdot) \rho_{0+}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^3(\mathbb{R}^N))} &\leq C \|\rho_{0+}\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-2/p}(\Omega_+)} \leq C \varepsilon_T, \\ \|T_{v_{\pm}}(\cdot) \mathbf{u}_{0\pm}\|_{W_p^1((0, \infty), L_q(\mathbb{R}^N))} + \|T_{v_{\pm}}(\cdot) \mathbf{u}_{0\pm}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N))} &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{0\pm}\|_{B_{q,p}^{2(1-1/p)}(\Omega_{\pm})} \leq C \varepsilon_T, \\ \|T_{\phi}(\cdot) H_{h_0}\|_{W_p^1((0, \infty), W_q^2(\mathbb{R}^N))} + \|T_{\phi}(\cdot) H_{h_0}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), W_q^3(\mathbb{R}^N))} &\leq C \|h_0\|_{B_{q,p}^{3-1/p}(\Gamma)} \leq C \varepsilon_T \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

for some positive constant C .

Let $\chi(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be cut-off functions such that $\chi(t) = 1$ on $t > -1$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ on $t < -2$. In addition, let $f(t)$ be a function defined on $t \in (0, T)$, and then we define an extension of f to $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$e_T[f](t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t \leq 0), \\ f(t) & (0 < t < T), \\ f(2T - t) & (T \leq t < 2T), \\ 0 & (t \geq 2T). \end{cases}$$

If $f|_{t=0} = 0$, we see that

$$\partial_t e_T[f](t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t \leq 0), \\ (\partial_t f)(t) & (0 < t < T), \\ -(\partial_t f)(2T - t) & (T \leq t < 2T), \\ 0 & (t \geq 2T). \end{cases} \quad (7.6)$$

We then define the extension of ϱ_+ , \mathbf{v}_\pm , and H_ϕ to $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\varrho_+}[\varrho_+] &= e_T[\varrho_+ - T_{\varrho_+}(t)\rho_{0+}] + \chi(t)T_{\varrho_+}(|t|)\rho_{0+}, \\ E_{v_\pm}[\mathbf{v}_\pm] &= e_T[\mathbf{v}_\pm - T_{v_\pm}(t)\mathbf{u}_{0\pm}] + \chi(t)T_{v_\pm}(|t|)\mathbf{u}_{0\pm}, \\ E_\phi[H_\phi] &= e_T[H_\phi - T_\phi(t)H_{h_0}] + \chi(t)T_\phi(|t|)H_{h_0}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Here, of course, $E_{\varrho_+}[\varrho_+] = \varrho_+$, $E_{v_\pm}[\mathbf{v}_\pm] = \mathbf{v}_\pm$, and $E_\phi[\phi] = \phi$ holds for every $t \in (0, T)$. To estimate $H_p^{1/2}$ norm of $\mathbf{F}_d(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)$ and $f_d(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)$ we use the following lemmas. The former lemma is immediately follows from the complex interpolation methods and the latter has been proven by Shibata [22, Proposition 1], so that we may omit those proofs.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a uniformly C^2 domain. In addition, let $f \in W_\infty^1(\mathbb{R}, L_\infty(D))$ and $g \in H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(D))$. Then, we have the estimate*

$$\|fg\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(D))} \leq C\|f\|_{W_\infty^1(\mathbb{R}, L_\infty(D))}\|g\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(D))}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and let D be uniformly C^2 domain. We then have the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_p^1(\mathbb{R}, L_q(D)) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^2(D)) &\subset H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(D)), \\ \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(D))} &\leq C\{\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^2(D))} + \|\partial_t f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(D))}\}. \end{aligned}$$

7.2. Estimating the nonlinear terms. We finally prove Theorem 3.7 with the help of the Banach fixed point argument. To use Theorem 6.1, we now extend the right-hand side of (7.4) to $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We first consider $\mathbf{f}_+(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi)$ and $\mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_+(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_-(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)$ be the zero extension of $\mathbf{f}_+(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi)$ and $\mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)$ to all of \mathbb{R} , respectively. Recalling the representation formulas \mathbf{f}_+ and \mathbf{f}_- , which are given in Appendix, using (7.1) and (7.3) and choosing $\varepsilon_T > 0$ so small such that $\varepsilon_T \leq \rho_{*+}/3$, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbf{f}_+(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi)\|_{L_q(\Omega_+)} \\ &\leq C\left\{\|\varrho_+(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_+)}\|\partial_t \mathbf{v}_+(\cdot, t)\|_{L_q(\Omega_+)} + \|\mathbf{v}_+(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_+)}^2 + \|\partial_t H_\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_+)}\|\mathbf{v}_+(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_+)}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|H_\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^2(\Omega_+)}\left(\|\partial_t \mathbf{v}_+(\cdot, t)\|_{L_q(\cdot, t)} + \|\mathbf{v}_+(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^2(\Omega_+)}\right) + \|\varrho_+(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^1(\Omega_+)}\|\varrho_+(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^3(\Omega_+)}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\varrho_+(\cdot, t)\|_{W_q^2(\Omega_+)}^2\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\bar{\mathbf{f}}_+(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_+))} \\
& \leq C \left\{ \|\varrho_+\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \|\partial_t \mathbf{v}_+\|_{L_p((0,T), L_q(\Omega_+))} + \|\mathbf{v}_+\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \|\mathbf{v}_+\|_{L_p((0,T), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \right. \\
& \quad + \|\partial_t H_\phi\|_{L_p((0,T), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \|\mathbf{v}_+\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \\
& \quad + \|H_\phi\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^2(\Omega_+))} \left(\|\partial_t \mathbf{v}_+\|_{L_p((0,T), L_q(\Omega_+))} + \|\mathbf{v}_+\|_{L_p((0,T), W_q^2(\Omega_+))} \right) \\
& \quad \left. + \|\varrho_+\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^1(\Omega_+))} \|\varrho_+\|_{L_p((0,T), W_q^3(\Omega_+))} + \|\varrho_+\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^2(\Omega_+))} \|\varrho_+\|_{L_p((0,T), W_q^2(\Omega_+))} \right\} \\
& \leq C\varepsilon_T^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

where C is a positive constant. Analogously we have

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{f}}_-(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-))} \leq C\varepsilon_T^2. \tag{7.8}$$

To handle other nonlinear terms we extend the transformation: $w = x + H_\phi \mathbf{n}_*$ to $w = x + E_\phi[H_\phi] \mathbf{n}_*$, where \mathbf{n}_* is the extension of \mathbf{n} from Γ to $\hat{\Omega}$ satisfying the estimate $\|\mathbf{n}_*\|_{W_\infty^2(\hat{\Omega})} \leq C$ with some positive constant C . Setting $\tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \nabla(E_\phi[H_\phi] \mathbf{n}_*)$, by (A.5) we see that

$$J_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_- + J(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) \mathbf{M}_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) : \nabla \mathbf{v}_- = \operatorname{div} (J(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) (\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})) \mathbf{v}_-).$$

Then we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}_d &:= \bar{f}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) = - \left(J_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_- + (1 + J_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})) \mathbf{M}_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) : \nabla \mathbf{v}_- \right) = \mathbf{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) \nabla E_{v-}[\mathbf{v}_-], \\
\bar{\mathbf{F}}_d &:= \bar{\mathbf{F}}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) = -(1 + J_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})) {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) \mathbf{v}_- = \mathbf{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) E_{v-}[\mathbf{v}_-],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) &= f_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi), \quad \bar{\mathbf{F}}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) = \mathbf{F}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\
\operatorname{div} \bar{\mathbf{F}}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) &= \bar{f}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) \quad \text{in } \Omega_-,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})$ is a C^∞ function of matrix defined on $|\tilde{\mathbf{m}}| \leq \varepsilon_T$ such that $\mathbf{M}_1(0) = 0$. Here, $\mathbf{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})$ can be estimated as

$$\|\mathbf{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, L_\infty(\hat{\Omega}))} \leq C \left(\|H_\phi\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^2(\hat{\Omega}))} + \|T_\phi(\cdot) H_{h_0}\|_{L_\infty((0,\infty), W_q^2(\hat{\Omega}))} \right) \leq C\varepsilon_T. \tag{7.9}$$

Furthermore, since

$$\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{F}}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, h) = \mathbf{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) \partial_t E_{v-}(\mathbf{v}_-) + \mathbf{M}'_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}) (\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{m}}) E_{v-}[\mathbf{v}_-],$$

where $\mathbf{M}'_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})$ is the derivative of $\mathbf{M}_1(\tilde{\mathbf{m}})$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}$, by (7.1), (7.2), (7.3), (7.6), and (7.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{F}}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-))} &\leq C \left(\|H_\phi\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^2(\hat{\Omega}))} + \|T_\phi(\cdot) H_{h_0}\|_{L_\infty((0,\infty), W_q^2(\hat{\Omega}))} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\|\partial_t \mathbf{v}_-\|_{L_p((0,T), L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|\partial_t T_{v-}(\cdot) \mathbf{u}_{0-}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), L_q(\Omega_-))} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\|\partial_t H_\phi\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^1(\hat{\Omega}))} + \|\partial_t T_\phi(\cdot) H_{h_0}\|_{L_p((0,\infty), W_q^1(\hat{\Omega}))} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\|\mathbf{v}_-\|_{L_\infty((0,T), W_q^1(\Omega_-))} + \|T_{v-}(\cdot) \mathbf{u}_{0-}\|_{L_\infty((0,\infty), W_q^1(\Omega_-))} \right) \\
&\leq C\varepsilon_T^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

Analogously, we obtain the estimate

$$\|\bar{f}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\Omega_-))} \leq C\varepsilon_T^2. \tag{7.11}$$

From Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 and the estimates (7.2), (7.3), and (7.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{f}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\Omega_-))} &\leq C \left(\|H_\phi\|_{L_\infty((0, T), W_q^2(\dot{\Omega}))} + \|T_\phi(\cdot)H_{h_0}\|_{L_\infty((0, \infty), W_q^2(\dot{\Omega}))} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\|\partial_t \mathbf{v}_-\|_{L_p((0, T), L_q(\Omega_-))} + \|\partial_t T_{v_-}(\cdot)\mathbf{u}_{0-}\|_{L_p((0, \infty), L_q(\Omega_-))} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\|\mathbf{v}_-\|_{L_\infty((0, T), W_q^1(\Omega_-))} + \|T_{v_-}(\cdot)\mathbf{u}_{0-}\|_{L_\infty((0, \infty), W_q^1(\Omega_-))} \right) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

Let us define $\bar{f}_M(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi)$ by $\bar{f}_M(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi) = f_M(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi)$ for $t \in (0, T)$ and $f_M(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, T)$. Then employing the argument above, we have the following estimates:

$$\|\bar{f}_M\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\Omega_-))} \leq C\varepsilon_T^2. \quad (7.13)$$

We next extend the right-hand members of the boundary conditions, d , g , f_B^+ , f_B^- , \mathbf{h} , and k_- , to $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We define $\bar{d}(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) = d(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi)$ for $t \in (0, T)$ and $\bar{d}(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) = 0$ for $t \notin (0, T)$. On the other hand, to define g , f_B^+ , f_B^- , \mathbf{h} , and k_- we use the extensions E_{v_\pm} , E_{ϱ_+} , and E_ϕ . Using the argument above and choosing $\varepsilon_T > 0$ suitably small such that $\varepsilon_T \leq \min(\rho_{*+}/3, 1)$, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{d}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{g}\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{g}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, \\ \|\bar{f}_B^+\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{f}_B^+\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{f}_B^+\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, \\ \|\bar{f}_B^-\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{f}_B^-\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{f}_B^-\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^1(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, \\ \|\bar{\mathbf{h}}\|_{W_p^1(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{\mathbf{h}}\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \dot{\Omega})} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{\mathbf{h}}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^2(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, \\ \|\bar{k}_-\|_{W_p^1(\mathbb{R}, L_q(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{k}_-\|_{H_p^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \dot{\Omega})} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2, & \|\bar{k}_-\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}, W_q^2(\dot{\Omega}))} &\leq C\varepsilon_T^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

Summing up, from Theorem 6.1, (7.7), (7.8), (7.10), (7.12), (7.13), and (7.14), we see that the problem (7.4) admits a unique solution

$$\rho_+ \in W_{q,p}^{3,1}(\Omega_+ \times (0, T)), \quad \mathbf{u}_\pm \in W_{q,p}^{2,1}(\Omega_\pm \times (0, T)), \quad h \in L_p((0, T), W_q^{3-1/q}(\Gamma)) \cap W_p^1((0, T), W_q^{2-1/q}(\Gamma))$$

with the estimate

$$\mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h, 0; (0, T)) \leq C\varepsilon_T^2. \quad (7.15)$$

Choosing $\varepsilon_T > 0$ so small that $C\varepsilon_T \leq 1$, we see that $\mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h, 0; (0, T)) \leq \varepsilon_T$. We define a map $\Phi: \mathbb{U}_{\varepsilon_T} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{\varepsilon_T}$ such that $\Phi(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \phi) = (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)$. Then the mapping Φ is a contraction mapping. In fact, given $(\varrho_{i+}, \mathbf{v}_{i+}, \mathbf{v}_{i-}, \phi_i) \in \mathbb{U}_{\varepsilon_T}$ with $i = 1, 2$, we set $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) = \Phi(\varrho_{i+}, \mathbf{v}_{i+}, \mathbf{v}_{i-}, \phi_i)$, and then $\rho_+ = \rho_{2+} - \rho_{1+}$, $\mathbf{u}_\pm = \mathbf{u}_{2\pm} - \mathbf{u}_{1\pm}$, and $h = h_2 - h_1$ satisfy the following system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_+ = \tilde{f}_M(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi) & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_- = \tilde{f}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) = \rho_{*-} \operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_d(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*+} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_+(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, H_\phi) & \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, T), \\ \rho_{*-} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_-(\mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) & \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-} \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+} \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = \tilde{d}(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi) & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{B}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, H_\phi), & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_+ = 0, \quad \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n}_+ \rangle = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_+ \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_- = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_- \times (0, T), \\ (\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)|_{t=0} = (0, 0, 0, 0) & \text{on } \Omega_+ \times \Omega_+ \times \Omega_- \times \Gamma. \end{array} \right. \quad (7.16)$$

with some $\pi_- \in L_p((0, T), W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q,\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-))$, where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}_1(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \phi) &= F_1(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, H_{\phi_2}) - F_1(\varrho_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1+}, H_{\phi_1}) & (F_1 \in \{f_M, \mathbf{f}_+\}), \\ \tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{v}_-, \phi) &= F_2(\mathbf{v}_{2-}, H_{\phi_2}) - F_2(\mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) & (F_2 \in \{f_d, \mathbf{F}_d, \mathbf{f}_-\}), \\ \tilde{d}(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \phi) &= d(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2-}, \phi_2) - h(\varrho_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1-}, \phi_1), \\ \tilde{F}_3(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \phi) &= F(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2-}, H_{\phi_2}) - F(\varrho_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) & (F_3 \in \{g, f_B^+, f_B^-, \mathbf{h}\}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, \phi) &= \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u}_{2+}, \mathbf{u}_{2-}, H_{\phi_2}) - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u}_{1+}, \mathbf{u}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}), \\ \tilde{k}_-(\varrho_+, \phi) &= k_-(\varrho_{2+}, H_{\phi_2}) - k_-(\varrho_{1+}, H_{\phi_1}).\end{aligned}$$

By the Taylor formula, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}_1(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \phi) &= (H_{\phi_2} - H_{\phi_1}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_1(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, \theta H_{\phi_2} + (1-\theta)H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2+} - \mathbf{v}_{1+}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_1(\varrho_{2+}, \theta \mathbf{v}_{2+} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1+}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\varrho_{2+} - \varrho_{1+}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_1(\theta \varrho_{2+} + (1-\theta)\varrho_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1+}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta, \\ \tilde{F}_2(\mathbf{v}_-, \phi) &= (H_{\phi_2} - H_{\phi_1}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_2(\mathbf{v}_{2-}, \theta H_{\phi_2} + (1-\theta)H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2-} - \mathbf{v}_{1-}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_2(\theta \mathbf{v}_{2-} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta, \\ \tilde{d}(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \phi) &= (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} d(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2-}, \theta \phi_2 + (1-\theta)\phi_1) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2-} - \mathbf{v}_{1-}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} d(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, \theta \mathbf{v}_{2-} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1-}, \phi_1) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2+} - \mathbf{v}_{1+}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} d(\varrho_{2+}, \theta \mathbf{v}_{2+} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1-}, \phi_1) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\varrho_{2+} - \varrho_{1+}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} d(\theta \varrho_{2+} + (1-\theta)\varrho_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1-}, \phi_1) d\theta, \\ \tilde{F}_3(\varrho_+, \mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \phi) &= (H_{\phi_2} - H_{\phi_1}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_3(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2-}, \theta H_{\phi_2} + (1-\theta)H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2-} - \mathbf{v}_{1-}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_3(\varrho_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+}, \theta \mathbf{v}_{2-} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2+} - \mathbf{v}_{1+}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_3(\varrho_{2+}, \theta \mathbf{v}_{2+} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\varrho_{2+} - \varrho_{1+}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} F_3(\theta \varrho_{2+} + (1-\theta)\varrho_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{v}_+, \mathbf{v}_-, \phi) &= (H_{\phi_2} - H_{\phi_1}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v}_{2+}, \mathbf{v}_{2-}, \theta H_{\phi_2} + (1-\theta)H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2-} - \mathbf{v}_{1-}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v}_{2+}, \theta \mathbf{v}_{2-} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2+} - \mathbf{v}_{1+}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbf{h}(\theta \mathbf{v}_{2+} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta, \\ \tilde{k}_-(\varrho_+, \phi) &= (H_{\phi_2} - H_{\phi_1}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} k_-(\mathbf{v}_{2-}, \theta H_{\phi_2} + (1-\theta)H_{\phi_1}) d\theta \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{v}_{2-} - \mathbf{v}_{1-}) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} k_-(\theta \mathbf{v}_{2-} + (1-\theta)\mathbf{v}_{1-}, H_{\phi_1}) d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varrho_{2+} - \varrho_{1+} = \mathbf{v}_{2\pm} - \mathbf{v}_{1\pm} = \phi_2 - \phi_1 = 0$ at $t = 0$, we extend $\bar{f}_M, \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{\pm}, \bar{f}_d, \bar{\mathbf{F}}_d, \bar{d}, \bar{g}, \bar{f}_B^{\pm}, \bar{\mathbf{h}}$, and \bar{k}_- to $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by using the extensions $e_T[\varrho_{2+} - \varrho_{1+}]$, $e_T[\mathbf{v}_{2\pm} - \mathbf{v}_{1\pm}]$, and $e_T[\phi_2 - \phi_1]$. Then, employing the same argument as in proving the estimate (7.15), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\rho_{2+} - \rho_{1+}, \mathbf{u}_{2+} - \mathbf{u}_{1+}, \mathbf{u}_{2-} - \mathbf{u}_{1-}, h_2 - h_1, 0; (0, T)) \\ & \leq C\varepsilon_T \mathbb{I}_{p,q}(\varrho_{2+} - \varrho_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{2+} - \mathbf{v}_{1+}, \mathbf{v}_{2-} - \mathbf{v}_{1-}, \phi_2 - \phi_1, 0; (0, T)). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon_T \in (0, 1)$ suitably small such that $C\varepsilon_T \leq 1$, we see that Φ is a contraction mapping on $\mathbb{U}_{\varepsilon_T}$. Hence, by the Banach fixed point theorem there exists a *unique* fixed point $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \in \mathbb{U}_{\varepsilon_T}$ of the mapping Φ . Furthermore, these $(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h)$ enjoy the system (1.5) with a suitable pressure term $\pi_- \in L_p((0, T), W_q^1(\Omega_-) + \widehat{W}_{q,\Gamma}^1(\Omega_-))$. Summing up, we have completed the proof of Theorem 3.7.

APPENDIX A. EXPLICIT FORMULAS OF NONLINEAR TERMS

A.1. Transformation of the mass equations and momentum equations. We first transform problem (1.1)-(1.3) to a domain with a fixed interface Γ , where Γ_t is parameterized over Γ by means of an unknown height function $h(x, t)$, whose idea is said to be the *Hanzawa transformation*. We emphasize that the Lagrangian transformation is *not* available in the phase transition problem case, because the interface is moved not only by advection but also by the phase flux, see Prüss and Simonett [15] for further explanations. Furthermore, in general, if the surface tension is present on the moving boundary, the Lagrangian transformation is not well-adapted due to a lack of precise information on the regularity of the free boundary.

We first assume that the interface Γ_t is given by

$$\Gamma_t = \{w = x + h(x, t)\mathbf{n}(x) \mid x \in \Gamma\} \quad (t \in (0, T)),$$

where $h(x, t)$ is a small unknown function and \mathbf{n} is the outer unit normal to Γ . Although the hypersurface Γ_t is unknown, it is possible to assume that the moving interface Γ_t is approximated by a real analytic hypersurface Γ because the C^2 -hypersurface Γ_t admits a *tubular neighbourhood*. Namely, there exists a positive constant r_0 such that the mapping

$$\Theta: \Gamma \times (-r_0, r_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \Theta(x, r) := x + r\mathbf{n}(x)$$

is a diffeomorphism, see Prüss and Simonett [15, Chapter 2] for further introductions. Let d_{Γ} be the signed distance from $x \in \Omega$ to Γ , whose magnitude is given by $|d_{\Gamma}| = \text{dist}(x, \Gamma)$. We define that d_{Γ} is strictly negative if and only if $x \in \Omega_+$. To introduce the transformation $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma_t$, let $H_h(x, t)$ and $\mathbf{n}_*(x)$ be extensions of $h(x, t)$ and $\mathbf{n}(x)$ from Γ to Ω , respectively. The extension $\mathbf{n}_*(x)$ is defined to be a sufficiently regular vector field and $H_h(x, t)$ is a small function in the sense of

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|H_h(\cdot, t)\|_{W_{\infty}^1(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$ is a suitably small given constant such that $\tilde{\varepsilon} < r_0/6$. Then the *Hanzawa transformation* is defined by

$$w = x + \chi\left(\frac{3d_{\Gamma}}{r_0}\right) H_h(x, t)\mathbf{n}_*(x): \quad \dot{\Omega} \rightarrow \dot{\Omega}_t, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $0 \leq \chi(\xi) \leq 1$ is a cut-off function such that $\chi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\chi(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 2$. Here, the assumption (A.1) guarantees the injectivity of the transformation (A.2) for each $t \in (0, T)$. If the condition (A.1) holds, we set

$$\dot{\Omega}_t = \{w = x + \Psi(x, t) \mid x \in \dot{\Omega}\} \quad (t \in (0, T)).$$

For simplicity of notation, in the following, we may use the symbol $\Psi(x, t) = \chi(3d_{\Gamma}/r_0)H_h(x, t)\mathbf{n}_*(x)$. Let $\partial w/\partial x$ be the Jacobi matrix of the transformation (A.2), that is,

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = \mathbf{I} + \nabla \Psi(x, t), \quad \nabla \Psi = (\partial_i \Psi_j), \quad \left(\partial_i \Psi_j := \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial x_i}\right),$$

where we have set $\Psi(x, t) = (\Psi_1(x, t), \dots, \Psi_N(x, t))$. If $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$ is suitably small, we see that

$$\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\nabla \Psi(x, t))^k$$

exists. Hence, there exists an $N \times N$ matrix $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})$ of C^∞ functions defined on $|\mathbf{m}| < \tilde{\varepsilon}$ such that $\mathbf{M}_0(0) = 0$ and $(\partial w / \partial x)^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\nabla \Psi(x, t))$. Here and in the following, we write $\mathbf{m} = (m_{ij})$ and m_{ij} denote the variables corresponding to $\partial_i \Psi_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq N$). From the assumption (A.1), we have the estimates

$$\|\mathbf{M}_0(\nabla \Psi)\|_{L_\infty(\hat{\Omega})} \leq C\tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad \|\nabla^n \mathbf{M}_0(\nabla \Psi)\|_{L_q(\hat{\Omega})} \leq C\|\nabla^{1+n} \Psi\|_{L_q(\hat{\Omega})} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, 3),$$

Let $\rho_+(x, t) = \varrho_+(x + \Psi(x, t), t) - \rho_{*+}$, $\mathbf{u}_\pm(x, t) = \mathbf{v}_\pm(x + \Psi(x, t), t)$, and $\pi_-(x, t) = \mathbf{p}_-(x + \Psi(x, t), t) - \pi_{*-}$.

Let $M_{0ij}(\mathbf{m})$ be the (i, j) th component of $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})$ and ∇_x and ∇_w be the gradient with respect to x and w , respectively. In addition, let $\Delta_x = \nabla_x \cdot \nabla_x$ and $\Delta_w = \nabla_w \cdot \nabla_w$ be the Laplace operator with respect to x and w , respectively. We see that

$$\nabla_w = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}))\nabla_x, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} = \sum_{j=1}^N (\delta_{ij} + M_{0ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

We then observe that

$$\mathbf{D}_w(\mathbf{v}_\pm) = \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_\pm) + \mathcal{D}_D \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm, \quad (\mathcal{D}_D \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(M_{0ij}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i\pm}}{\partial x_k} \right), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\operatorname{div}_w \mathbf{v}_\pm = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial v_{j\pm}}{\partial w_j} = \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\delta_{ij} + M_{0ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{j\pm}}{\partial x_k} = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\pm + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\pm. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Let $J = J(\mathbf{m})$ be the Jacobi matrix of the transformation of (A.2). Choosing $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$ small enough, we may assume that $J = 1 + J_0(\mathbf{m})$, where $J_0(\mathbf{m})$ is a C^∞ function defined for $|\mathbf{m}| < \tilde{\varepsilon}$ such that $J_0(0) = 0$. To obtain the representation formula of $\operatorname{div}_w \mathbf{v}_-$, we use the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\Omega_{t-}}$. Set $\zeta_x(x) = \zeta_w(w)$ for any $\zeta_w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{t-})$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{div}_w \mathbf{v}_-, \zeta_w)_{\Omega_{t-}} &= -(\mathbf{v}_-, \nabla_w \zeta_w)_{\Omega_{t-}} \\ &= -(J(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u}_-, (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0)\nabla_x \zeta_x)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= (\operatorname{div}_x (J(\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0))\mathbf{u}_-, \zeta_x)_{\Omega_-} \\ &= (J^{-1}(\mathbf{m})\operatorname{div}_x (J(\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0)\mathbf{u}_-), \zeta_w)_{\Omega_{t-}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we have

$$\operatorname{div}_w \mathbf{v}_- = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_- + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_- = J^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (J(\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0)\mathbf{u}_-),$$

which yields

$$J_0(\mathbf{m})\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_- + J(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_- = \operatorname{div}_x (J(\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0)\mathbf{u}_-). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} f_d &= f_d(\mathbf{u}_-, h) = -(J_0(\mathbf{m})\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_- + (1 + J_0(\mathbf{m}))\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-), \\ \mathbf{F}_d &= \mathbf{F}_d(\mathbf{u}_-, h) = -(1 + J_0(\mathbf{m})){}^\top \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{u}_-, \end{aligned}$$

the divergence-free condition $\operatorname{div}_w \mathbf{u}_- = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_- = f_d = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}_d \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v_{i\pm}(x + \Psi(x, t), t)) = \frac{\partial v_{i\pm}}{\partial t}(w, t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v_{i\pm}}{\partial w_j}(w, t),$$

we see that

$$\frac{\partial v_{i\pm}}{\partial t}(w, t) = \frac{\partial u_{i\pm}}{\partial t}(x, t) - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial u_{i\pm}}{\partial x_k}(x, t). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Analogously, we have

$$\frac{\partial \varrho_+}{\partial t}(w, t) = \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial t}(x, t) - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_k}(x, t). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Hence, from (A.4) and (A.8) the first equation in (1.1) is transformed into

$$\partial_t \rho_+ + \rho_{*+} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_+ = f_M(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where we have set

$$f_M(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h) = \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_k} + \rho_{*+} \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+ + \langle \mathbf{u}_+, (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+ \rangle.$$

Next, by (A.3) and (A.4), we observe that the i th component of $\operatorname{Div}_w \mathbb{T}_+$ can be written in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \left\{ \mu_+ \mathbf{D}_w(\mathbf{v}_+)_{ij} + \left((\nu_+ - \mu_+) \operatorname{div}_w \mathbf{v}_+ - \mathbf{p}_+ + \frac{\kappa_+}{2} |\nabla_w \varrho_+|^2 + \kappa_+ \varrho \Delta_w \varrho_+ \right) \delta_{ij} - \kappa_+ \partial_i \varrho_+ \partial_j \varrho_+ \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \mu_+ \left(\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} \right) \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{j,k=1}^N \delta_{ij} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ (\nu_+ - \mu_+) \left(\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_+ + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+ \right) \right\} \\ &- \sum_{j,k=1}^N \delta_{ij} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \left\{ \mathbf{p}'_+(\rho_{*+}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho_+^2 \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \mathbf{p}''_+(\rho_{*+} + \theta \rho_+) d\theta \right) \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{ij} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\kappa_+}{2} |(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa_+ (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+) \left(\Delta_x \rho_+ + \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \rho_+) + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+) \right) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \kappa_+ \left(\sum_{l=1}^N (\delta_{il} + M_{0il}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_l} \right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^N (\delta_{im} + M_{0im}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_m} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Here, we have used the following identity:

$$\mathbf{p}_+(\varrho_+) = \mathbf{p}_+(\rho_{*+} + \rho_+) = \mathbf{p}_+(\rho_{*+}) + \mathbf{p}'_+(\rho_{*+}) \rho_+ + \rho_+^2 \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \mathbf{p}''_+(\rho_{*+} + \theta \rho_+) d\theta,$$

where \mathbf{p}'_+ and \mathbf{p}''_+ denote the first and second derivative of \mathbf{p} with respect to ϱ_+ , respectively. In the sequel, we set $\pi_{*+} = \mathbf{p}_+(\rho_{*+})$. Combining with (A.7) and (A.10), from the second equation of (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+) \left\{ \frac{\partial u_{i+}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left(u_{j+} - \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} \right) (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial u_{i+}}{\partial x_k} \right\} \\ &- \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \mu_+ \left(\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} \right) \right\} \\ &- \sum_{j,k=1}^N \delta_{ij} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ (\nu_+ - \mu_+) \left(\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_+ + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+ \right) \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{j,k=1}^N \delta_{ij} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \left\{ \mathbf{p}'_+(\rho_{*+}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho_+^2 \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \mathbf{p}''_+(\rho_{*+} + \theta \rho_+) d\theta \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{ij} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\kappa_+}{2} |(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \kappa_+ (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+) \left(\Delta_x \rho_+ + \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \rho_+) + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+) \right) \right) \\
& - \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \kappa_+ \left(\sum_{l=1}^N (\delta_{il} + M_{0il}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_l} \right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^N (\delta_{im} + M_{0im}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_m} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Hence, we define an N -vector of functions $\mathbf{f}_+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h)$ by

$\mathbf{f}_+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h)|_i$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = -\rho_+ \frac{\partial u_{i+}}{\partial t} - (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+) \left\{ \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left(u_{j+} - \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} \right) (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial u_{i+}}{\partial x_k} \right\} \\
& + \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\delta_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\mu_+ (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} \right) + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \mu_+ \left(\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} \right) \right\} \right] \\
& + \sum_{j,k=1}^N \delta_{ij} \left[\delta_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left((\nu_+ - \mu_+) (\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) \right) + M_{0jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ (\nu_+ - \mu_+) \left(\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_+ + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+ \right) \right\} \right] \\
& - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \delta_{ij} \left[M_{0jk} \mathbf{p}'_+(\rho_{*+}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_j} + (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho_+^2 \int_0^1 (1 - \theta) \mathbf{p}''_+(\rho_{*+} + \theta \rho_+) d\theta \right) \right] \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{ij} (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\kappa_+}{2} |(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \kappa_+ \rho_+ \left(\Delta_x \rho_+ + \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \rho_+) + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+) \right) \right) \\
& + \rho_{*+} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{ij} M_{0jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\kappa_+ \Delta_x \rho_+) \\
& + \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \kappa_+ \left(\sum_{l=1}^N (\delta_{il} + M_{0il}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_l} \right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^N (\delta_{im} + M_{0im}) \frac{\partial \rho_+}{\partial x_m} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{f}_+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h)|_i$ denotes the i th element of $\mathbf{f}_+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h)$. We, therefore, see that the second equation in (1.1) is transformed to

$$\rho_{*+} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_+ - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) = \mathbf{f}_+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, h) \quad \text{in } \Omega_+ \times (0, T) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

with $\gamma_{*+} = \mathbf{p}'_+(\rho_{*+})$. Using the similar argument above, we easily see that the fourth equation in (1.1) is transformed into

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = \rho_{*+} \left\{ \frac{\partial u_{i-}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left(u_{j-} - \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} \right) (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial u_{i-}}{\partial x_k} \right\} \\
& - \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \mu_+ \left(\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} \right) \right\} + \sum_{j=1}^N (\delta_{ij} + M_{0ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \pi_-.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\mathbf{I} + \nabla \Psi)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) = (\partial w / \partial x)(\partial x / \partial w) = \mathbf{I}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (\delta_{ni} + \partial_n \Psi_i) (\delta_{ij} + M_{0ij}(\mathbf{m})) = \delta_{nj}$$

for each $n = 1, \dots, N$, which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \rho_{*-} \sum_{i=1}^N (\delta_{ni} + \partial_n \Psi_i) \left\{ \frac{\partial u_{i-}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left(u_{j-} - \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} \right) (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial u_{i-}}{\partial x_k} \right\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N (\delta_{ni} + \partial_n \Psi_i) (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \mu_+ \left(\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+)_{ij} \right) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \pi_-. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, changing i to l and n to i in the above identity, we arrive at

$$\rho_{*-} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_- - \operatorname{Div} \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) = \mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{u}_-, h) \quad \text{in } \Omega_- \times (0, T), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{u}_-, h)|_i \\ &= -\rho_{*-} \left\{ \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left(u_{j-} - \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} \right) (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial u_{n-}}{\partial x_k} \right\} \\ &\quad - \rho_{*-} \sum_{l=1}^N \partial_l \Psi_l \left\{ \frac{\partial u_{l-}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left(u_{j-} - \frac{\partial \Psi_j}{\partial t} \right) (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial u_{l-}}{\partial x_k} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\mu_- (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)_{ij} \right) + \sum_{j,k=1}^N M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \mu_- \left(\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_-)_{ij} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)_{ij} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k,l=1}^N \partial_l \Psi_l (\delta_{jk} + M_{0jk}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ \mu_- \left(\mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_-)_{lj} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)_{lj} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{u}_-, h)|_i$ stands the i th component of $\mathbf{f}_-(\mathbf{u}_-, h)$. We emphasize that \mathbf{f}_- is independent of π_- .

A.2. Laplace-Beltrami operator. We start with the following proposition, which was essentially proved by Enomoto and Shibata [6, Appendix], see also Shibata [23, Proposition 2.2].

Proposition A.1. Let Ω_+ and Ω_- are uniform $W_r^{4,3}$ and $W_r^{4,2}$ domain in \mathbb{R}^N for $N < r < \infty$, respectively. Let $\Gamma := \Gamma^0$, $\Gamma_+ := \Gamma^1$, and $\Gamma_- := \Gamma^2$. Furthermore, let $M_1 \in (0, 1)$ be any given positive number. Then there exist positive constants $M_2 \geq 1$ and $d^i \in (0, 1)$, $i = 0, \dots, 4$, and at most countably many N -vector of functions $\Phi_j^0 \in W_r^4(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, $\Phi_j^1 \in W_r^3(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, $\Phi_j^2 \in W_r^2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, points $x_j^i \in \Gamma^i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$), $x_j^3 \in \Omega_+$, and $x_j^4 \in \Omega_-$ such that the following assertions hold:

- (1) The mappings: $\mathbb{R}^N \ni x \mapsto \Phi_j^i(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, j \in \mathbb{N}$) are bijections of C^1 -class such that $\nabla \Phi_j^i = \mathbf{A}_j^i + \mathbf{B}_j^i$ and $\nabla(\Phi_j^i)^{-1} = \mathbf{A}_{j-}^i + \mathbf{B}_{j-}^i$, respectively, where \mathbf{A}_j^i and \mathbf{A}_{j-}^i are $N \times N$ orthogonal matrices with constant coefficients and \mathbf{B}_j^i and \mathbf{B}_{j-}^i are $N \times N$ matrices of $W_r^{3-i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ functions satisfying $\|(\mathbf{B}_j^i, \mathbf{B}_{j-}^i)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_1$ and $\|(\nabla \mathbf{B}_j^i, \nabla \mathbf{B}_{j-}^i)\|_{W_r^{3-i}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_2$.
- (2) Set $D^0 = \mathbb{R}^N$, $D^1 = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, and $D^2 = \mathbb{R}_-^N$. Then it holds that

$$\Omega = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=0,1,2} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Phi_j^i(D^i) \cap B_{d^i}(x_j^i)) \right\} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{i=3,4} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{d^i}(x_j^i) \right\},$$

where $\Phi_j^i(D^i) \cap B_{d^i}(x_j^i) = \Omega_+ \cap B_{d^i}(x_j^i)$ ($i = 0, 1$), $\Phi_j^i(D^i) \cap B_{d^i}(x_j^i) = \Omega_- \cap B_{d^i}(x_j^i)$ ($i = 0, 2$), $B_{d^3}(x_j^3) \subset \Omega_+$, $B_{d^4}(x_j^4) \subset \Omega_-$, and $\Phi_j^i(\mathbb{R}_0^N) \cap B_{d^i}(x_j^i) = \Gamma^i \cap B_{d^i}(x_j^i)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$).

- (3) There exists C^∞ functions ζ_j^i and $\tilde{\zeta}_j^i$, ($i = 0, \dots, 4, j \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \zeta_j^i, \tilde{\zeta}_j^i \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{supp} \zeta_j^i, \operatorname{supp} \tilde{\zeta}_j^i \subset B_{d^i}(x_j^i), \quad \|(\zeta_j^i, \tilde{\zeta}_j^i)\|_{W_\infty^3(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c, \\ \tilde{\zeta}_j^i &= 1 \quad \text{on } \operatorname{supp} \zeta_j^i, \quad \sum_{i=0}^4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j^i = 1 \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j^i = 1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma^i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Here, c is a positive constant independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (4) There exists an integer $L \geq 2$ such that any $L+1$ distinct sets of $\{B_{d^i}(x_j^i) \mid i = 0, \dots, 4, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ have an empty intersection.

We next introduce the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined on Γ_t and Γ . In the following, we write $B_m = B_{d^0}(x_m)$ and $\Gamma_m = \Phi_m^0(\mathbb{R}_0^N)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) for short. To this end, let $p = \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$ be a local coordinate system in a neighbourhood of $x_l \in \Gamma$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$\Omega_{\pm} \cap B_m = \{x = \Phi_m^0(p) \mid p \in \mathbb{R}_{\pm}^N\} \cap B_m, \quad \Gamma \cap B_m = \{y = \Phi_m^0(p', 0) \mid (p', 0) \in \mathbb{R}_0^N\} \cap B_m \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where $p' = (p_1, \dots, p_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. By abuse of notation, we let $x_m(p)$ stand for $\Phi_m^0(p)$. Let G be the first form on Γ_m such that

$$G = (g_{ij}), \quad g_{ij} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_j}, \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N-1).$$

In addition, let $G^{-1} = (g^{ij})$ be the inverse matrix of G , hence $g_{ij}g^{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. We then define the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{Γ} on Γ by

$$\Delta_{\Gamma} f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\partial p_i} \left(\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} \right), \quad (\text{A.14})$$

which is defined on \mathbb{R}^N . Notice that by Proposition A.1 (3), we see that

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial p_i}, g_{ij}, g^{ij}, \sqrt{\det G} \right) \right\|_{W_{\infty}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{M_2}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Let H_{Γ} be the $(N-1)$ -times mean curvature of Γ , which is given by $H_{\Gamma} = \langle \Delta_{\Gamma} x, \mathbf{n} \rangle$ on $\Gamma \cap B_0$. Using the symbols defined above, H_{Γ} can be written as

$$H_{\Gamma} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} g^{ij} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \Big|_{p_N=0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma \cap B_m$$

because $\langle \mathbf{n}, (\partial \mathbf{n} / \partial p_i) \rangle = 0$ as follows from $|\mathbf{n}| = 1$.

We finally consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{Γ_t} on Γ_t . Recall that Γ_t is defined by $\Gamma_t = \{w = x + h(x, t)\mathbf{n}(x) \mid x \in \Gamma\}$ for $t \in (0, T)$. Let G_t be the first fundamental form on Γ_t such that

$$G_t = (g_{t,ij}), \quad g_{t,ij} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial p_i} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial p_j}, \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N-1).$$

for $w \in \Gamma_t \cap B_m$. Furthermore, let $G_t^{-1} = (g_t^{ij})$ be the inverse matrix of G_t . Using these symbols, the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{Γ_t} on Γ_t is represented by

$$\Delta_{\Gamma_t} f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\partial p_i} \left(\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma \cap B_m.$$

By the definition of transformation, we have

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_i} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (h(x, t)\mathbf{n}(x)) \right) \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial p_i}.$$

Hence, choosing $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ sufficiently small in (A.1) and using (A.15), there exist scalar functions $\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m})$ and $\mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})$ of C^2 -class defined on $\mathbb{R}^N \times B_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(0)$ such that

$$\mathbf{G}_1(0) = \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(0) = 0, \quad \|(\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_{2ij})\|_{W_{\infty}^2(\mathbb{R}^N \times B_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(0))} \leq C_{M_2}, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$(\Delta_{\Gamma_t} - \Delta_{\Gamma})f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left((\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left(\mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} \right) \right\}. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

on $\Gamma \cap B_m$ with $\mathbf{m} = (\nabla(h(x, t)\mathbf{n}(x))) \circ x(p)$.

A.3. Transformation of the kinetic equation. In this subsection, we consider the interface condition (1.2). For this purpose, we first treat the outer unit normal \mathbf{n}_t . Since

$$0 = \langle \mathbf{n}, dx \rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{n}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial w} dw \right\rangle = \langle \mathbf{n}, (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) dx \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \mathbf{n}, dx \rangle$$

on Γ , the outer unit normals \mathbf{n}_t and \mathbf{n} have the following relationship:

$$\mathbf{n}_t = \frac{(\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \mathbf{n}}{|(\mathbf{I} + {}^\top \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \mathbf{n}|}. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Choosing $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ in (A.1) small enough, we observe that there exist an N -vector function $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^N \times B_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^{N^2}(0)$ such that $\mathbf{N}(0) = 0$, $\|\mathbf{N}\|_{W_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times B_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^{N^2}(0)} \leq C_{M_2}$, and

$$\mathbf{n}_t = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}). \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Here we have set $B_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^{N^2}(0) = \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{N^2} \mid |\mathbf{m}| < \tilde{\varepsilon}\}$.

Since $w = x + h(x, t)\mathbf{n}(x)$ on $x \in \Gamma$, we have $V_{\Gamma_t} = \langle \partial w / \partial t, \mathbf{n}_t \rangle = \langle (\partial_t h)\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}_t \rangle$. Then the kinetic equation can be written in the form of

$$\langle (\partial_t h)\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}_t \rangle = \frac{\langle \{\rho_{*-}\mathbf{u}_-|_- - (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+)\mathbf{u}_+|_+\}, \mathbf{n}_t \rangle}{\rho_{*-} - (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+)|_+},$$

which, combined with (A.19), yields

$$\partial_t h - \frac{\langle \rho_{*-}\mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \rho_{*+}\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+}} = d(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \quad \text{on } \Gamma \quad (\text{A.20})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} d(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) &= -\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle (\partial_t h) + \frac{\rho_+|_+ \left(\rho_{*-}\langle \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \rho_{*+}\langle \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ \right)}{(\rho_{*-} - \rho_{*+})\{\rho_{*-} - (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+)|_+\}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho_{*-}\langle \mathbf{u}_-, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle|_- - \rho_{*+}\langle \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle|_+ - \rho_+\langle \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle|_+}{\rho_{*-} - (\rho_{*+} + \rho_+)|_+}. \end{aligned}$$

A.4. Transformation of the interface condition. To transform the third jump condition in (1.2), we use the following lemma proven by Shibata and Shimizu [25, Lemma 2.1] (cf. Solonnikov [27, p.155]).

Lemma A.2. *If $\langle \mathbf{n}_t, \mathbf{n} \rangle \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{d} = 0$ is equivalent to*

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t} \mathbf{d} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0$$

for any N -vector field \mathbf{d} .

By Lemma A.2, the third condition in (1.2) is equivalent to the following two condition:

$$\left[\Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t} (-\mathbf{j}\mathbf{v} + \mu \mathbf{D}_w(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{n}_t) \right] + \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t} \left(\kappa_+ (\nabla_w \varrho_+ \otimes \nabla_w \varrho_+) \mathbf{n}_t \right) \Big|_+ = 0, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$\left[-\langle \mathbf{j}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \langle \mathbb{T}\mathbf{n}_t, \mathbf{n} \rangle \right] - \sigma \langle \Delta_{\Gamma_t}(x + h\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{A.22})$$

on Γ_t for $t \in (0, T)$. From (A.19), using $\Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0} = \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_0}$, we rewrite (A.21) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pi_{\mathbf{n}} (\mu_- \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_-)\mathbf{n})|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} (\mu_+ \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+)\mathbf{n})|_+ \\ &= \left[\Pi_{\mathbf{n}} (\Pi_{\mathbf{n}} - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t}) (\mu_- \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_-)(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}))) + \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} (\mu_- \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_-)\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t} (-\mathbf{j}\mathbf{u}_- + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{m})\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}))) \right] \\ &\quad + \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t} \left(\kappa_+ ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}))\nabla_x \rho_+) \otimes ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}))\nabla_x \rho_+) \right) \Big|_+ \\ &=: g(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

where we have used the second condition in (1.2). Notice that \mathbf{j} is given by

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_-|_- - \mathbf{u}_+|_+, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N} \rangle}{1/\rho_{*-} - 1/(\rho_{*+} + \rho_+)|_+}. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

By abuse of notation, in the following, let \mathbf{j} be the symbol defined by (A.24). Obviously, the quantity \mathbf{j} is determined by \mathbf{n} , \mathbf{N} , ρ_+ , \mathbf{u}_+ , and \mathbf{u}_- . We next consider the term $\langle \Delta_{\Gamma_t}(x + h\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n} \rangle$. By (A.17), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_{\Gamma_t}(x + h\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n} \rangle &= \left\langle \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m}) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left((\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} (x + h\mathbf{n}) \right), \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left(\mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} (x + h\mathbf{n}) \right), \mathbf{n} \right\rangle + \langle \Delta_{\Gamma}(x + h\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From $\langle \partial x / \partial p_j, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, N-1$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m}) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left((\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_j} \right), \mathbf{n} \right\rangle &= \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m}) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} (\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left(\mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_j} \right), \mathbf{n} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

while by $\langle \partial \mathbf{n} / \partial p_j, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, N-1$), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m}) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left((\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} (h\mathbf{n}) \right), \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \\ &= \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m}) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left((\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_j} \right) + (\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{n}}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \right\}, \\ &\left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left(\mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} (h\mathbf{n}) \right), \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left(\mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_j} \right) + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) h \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{n}}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, using (A.14) we see that

$$\langle \Delta_{\Gamma}(h\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\partial p_i} \left\{ \sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial p_j} \mathbf{n} + h \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial p_j} \right) \right\}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle = (\langle \Delta_{\Gamma} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \Delta_{\Gamma})h,$$

where we have used the fact that $\langle \partial \mathbf{n} / \partial p_i, \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$. Recalling that $\Delta_{\Gamma} x = H_{\Gamma} \mathbf{n}$, we obtain

$$\langle \Delta_{\Gamma}(h\mathbf{n}), \mathbf{n} \rangle = H_{\Gamma} + (\langle \Delta_{\Gamma} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \Delta_{\Gamma})h.$$

Hence, from (A.18), we can rewrite (A.22) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_- - \langle \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle|_+ \\ - \sigma(\langle \Delta_{\Gamma} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \Delta_{\Gamma})h = f_B^+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

on $\Gamma \times (0, T)$, where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} &f_B^+(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \\ &= \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle}{1 + \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle} - 1 \right) \left[\langle -\mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \mu \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{D}} \nabla_x \mathbf{u} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle}{1 + \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle} \left[\left[2\mu \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}) \right] - \left\{ (\nu_+ - \mu_+) (\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) - \gamma_{*+} \rho_+ \right\} \Big|_+ \right] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle}{1 + \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle} - 1 \right) \left\{ -\rho_+^2 \int_0^1 (1 - \theta) \mathbf{p}_+''(\rho_{*+} + \theta \rho_+) d\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\kappa_+}{2} |(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+|^2 + \kappa_+ \rho_+ \Delta \rho_+ \right\} \Big|_+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle}{1 + \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle} - 1 \right) \left(\kappa_+ ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+) \otimes ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+) \right) \Big|_+ \\
& - \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle}{1 + \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle} - 1 \right) \left(\mathbf{G}_{N,1}(\mathbf{m}) + \mathbf{G}_{N,2}(\mathbf{m}) \right) + \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle}{1 + \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle} \left(H_\Gamma + (\langle \Delta_\Gamma \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \Delta_\Gamma h) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}_{N,1}(\mathbf{m}) &= \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{m}) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left((\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_j} \right) + (\sqrt{\det G} g^{ij} + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m})) \left\langle \frac{\partial^2(x + \mathbf{n})}{\partial p_i \partial p_i}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \right\}, \\
\mathbf{G}_{N,2}(\mathbf{m}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det G}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left(\mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_j} \right) + \mathbf{G}_{2ij}(\mathbf{m}) \left(h \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{n}}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the representation formula above, we have used the assumption $\pi_{*-} - \pi_{*+} = \sigma H_\Gamma$. Notice that f_B^+ is independent of π_- .

The second jump condition in (1.2) is equivalent to the conditions

$$\llbracket \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t} \mathbf{u} \rrbracket = 0, \quad \Pi_{\mathbf{n}_t}(\mathbf{u}_\pm - \mathbf{u}_\Gamma) = 0, \quad \llbracket \rho(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_\Gamma) \cdot \mathbf{n}_t \rrbracket = 0. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Since the second and third conditions in (A.26) have already used for deriving the equations (cf. Watanabe [28]), we need the first condition in (A.26) to derive the linearized problem. Applying the transform (A.2), the rest jump condition in (1.2) take the following form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\rho_{*-}} \langle \mathbf{T}_-(\gamma_4, \mathbf{u}_-, \pi_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_- - \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_{*+}} \langle \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle + \gamma_{**}^+ \rho_+ \right\} \Big|_+ = f_B^-(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), \\ \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_- \Big|_- - \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{u}_+ \Big|_+ = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h), \\ \langle \nabla \rho_+, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_+ = k_-(\rho_+, h) \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

on $\Gamma \times (0, T)$, where we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_B^-(\rho_+, \mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) \\
&= \frac{j^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{*-}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{*+} + \rho_+} \Big|_- \right) + z \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_+}{\partial \rho \partial z}(\rho_{*+}, \theta z) + \frac{\partial \psi_+}{\partial z}(\rho_{*+}, \theta z) \right) d\theta \\
& - \frac{1}{\rho_{*-}} \left\{ \left\langle \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}), (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})) \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_-) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \right\rangle \right\} \Big|_- \\
& - \frac{1}{\rho_{*-}} \left\langle (\mu \mathcal{D}_D \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_-)(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})), (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})) \right\rangle \Big|_- - \frac{\rho_+}{\rho_{*+}(\rho_{*+} + \rho_+)} \langle \mathbf{T}_+(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \mathbf{u}_+, \rho_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \Big|_+ \\
& + \frac{\mu_+}{\rho_{*+} + \rho_+} \left(\left\langle \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}), (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})) \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{D}_x(\mathbf{u}_+) \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \right\rangle \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\rho_{*+} + \rho_+} \left\{ \left\langle (\mu \mathcal{D}_D \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+)(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})), (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})) \right\rangle + (\nu_+ - \mu_+) (\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\kappa_+}{2} |(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+|^2 + \rho_{*+} \kappa_+ \left(\operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \kappa_+ \rho_+ \left(\operatorname{div}_x ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) : \nabla_x ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_+) \right) \right\} \Big|_+,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u}_+, \mathbf{u}_-, h) = \llbracket \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \rangle (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m})) \rrbracket,$$

$$k_-(\rho_+, h) = - \left\{ \left\langle \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m}) \nabla_x \rho_+, \mathbf{n} \right\rangle + \left\langle (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+, \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{m}) \right\rangle \right\} \Big|_+.$$

with $z = |(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{m})) \nabla_x \rho_+|^2$. Here, we have used the Gibbs-Thomson condition (3.6) and the Taylor formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_+(\rho_{*+} + \rho_+, z) &= \psi_+(\rho_{*+}, 0) + \rho_+ \left(\frac{\partial \psi_+}{\partial \rho_+}(\rho_{*+}, 0) + z \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 \psi_+}{\partial \rho \partial z}(\rho_{*+}, \theta z) d\theta \right) + z \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \psi_+}{\partial z}(\rho_{*+}, \theta z) d\theta \\
&=: \psi_+(\rho_{*+}, 0) + \gamma_{**}^+ \rho_+ + z \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi_+}{\partial \rho \partial z}(\rho_{*+}, \theta z) + \frac{\partial \psi_+}{\partial z}(\rho_{*+}, \theta z) \right) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the Helmholtz free energy ψ_+ depends on not only the density ϱ_+ but also the square of the gradient of density $|\nabla\varrho_+|^2$ if the compressible fluid is dominated by the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg equations. Summing up, from (A.6), (A.9), (A.11), (A.12), (A.20), (A.23), (A.25), and (A.27), we have derived (1.5).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions and comments which lead to the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann, *Linear and quasilinear parabolic problems, Vol. I, Abstract linear theory*, Monographs in Mathematics **89**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1995.
- [2] D.M. Anderson, G.B. McFadden, and A.A. Wheeler, *Diffuse-interface methods in fluid mechanics*, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **30** (1998), 139–165.
- [3] W. Dreyer and C. Kraus, *On the van der Waals–Cahn–Hilliard phase-field model and its equilibria conditions in the sharp interface limit*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **140** (2010), no. 6, 1161–1186.
- [4] J. E. Dunn, *Interstitial working and a nonclassical continuum thermodynamics*, New perspectives in thermodynamics, 187–222, Springer, Berlin, (1986).
- [5] J. E. Dunn and J. Serrin, *On the thermomechanics of interstitial working*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **88** (1985), no. 2, 95–133.
- [6] Y. Enomoto and Y. Shibata, *On the \mathcal{R} -sectoriality and the initial boundary value problem for the viscous compressible fluid flow*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **56** (2013), 441–505.
- [7] H. Freistühler and M. Kotschote, *Phase-field and Korteweg-type models for the time-dependent flow of compressible two-phase fluids*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **224** (2017), no. 1, 1–20.
- [8] G. P. Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations. Steady-state problems*, Second edition, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, (2011).
- [9] T. Hytönen, J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, L. Weis, *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. II, Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics* **67**, Springer, Cham, (2017).
- [10] S. Maryani and H. Saito, *On the \mathcal{R} -boundedness of solution operator families for two-phase Stokes resolvent equations*, Differential Integral Equations **30** (2017), no. 1-2, 1–52.
- [11] T. Muramatu, *On Besov spaces and Sobolev spaces of generalized functions defined on a general region*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **9** (1973/74), 325–396.
- [12] J. Prüss and S. Shimizu, *On well-posedness of incompressible two-phase flows with phase transitions: the case of non-equal densities*, J. Evol. Equ. **12** (2012), no. 4, 917–941.
- [13] J. Prüss, S. Shimizu, and M. Wilke, *Qualitative behaviour of incompressible two-phase flows with phase transitions: the case of non-equal densities*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), no. 7, 1236–1283.
- [14] J. Prüss, S. Shimizu, Y. Shibata, and G. Simonett, *On well-posedness of incompressible two-phase flows with phase transitions: the case of equal densities*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory **1** (2012), no. 1, 171–194.
- [15] J. Prüss and G. Simonett, *Moving interfaces and quasilinear parabolic evolution equation*, Monographs in Mathematics, **105**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel·Boston·Berlin, 2016.
- [16] H. Saito, *Compressible fluid model of Korteweg type with free boundary condition: model problem*, to appear in Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **62** (2019), no. 3, 337–386, arXiv:1705.00603.
- [17] H. Saito, *Existence of \mathcal{R} -bounded solution operator families for a compressible fluid model of Korteweg type on the half-space*, preprint, arXiv:1901.06461.
- [18] Y. Shibata, *Generalized resolvent estimates of the Stokes equations with first order boundary condition in a general domain*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **15** (2013), no.1, 1–40.
- [19] Y. Shibata, *On the \mathcal{R} -boundedness of solution operators for the Stokes equations with free boundary condition*, Differential Integral Equations **27** (2014), 313–368.
- [20] Y. Shibata, *On the \mathcal{R} -bounded solution operator and the maximal L_p - L_q regularity of the Stokes equations with free boundary condition*, Mathematical fluid dynamics, present and future, Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **183** (2016), 203–285.
- [21] Y. Shibata, *On the \mathcal{R} -boundedness for the two phase problem with phase transition: compressible-incompressible model problem*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **59** (2016), 243–287.
- [22] Y. Shibata, *On the local wellposedness of free boundary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **17** (2018), no. 4, 1681–1721.
- [23] Y. Shibata, *\mathcal{R} boundedness, maximal regularity and free boundary problems for the Navier Stokes equations*, arXiv:1905.12900.
- [24] Y. Shibata and S. Shimizu, *On the L_p - L_q maximal regularity of the Neumann problem for the Stokes equations in a bounded domain*, J. reine angew. Math. **615** (2008), 157–209.
- [25] Y. Shibata and S. Shimizu, *Report on a local in time solvability of free surface problems for the Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension*, Appl. Anal. **90** (2011), no. 1, 201–214.
- [26] S. Shimizu and S. Yagi, *On local L_p - L_q well-posedness of incompressible two-phase flows with phase transitions: non-equal densities with large initial data*, Adv. Differential Equations **22** (2017), no. 9–10, 737–764.

- [27] V.A. Solonnikov, *Lectures on evolution free boundary problems: classical solutions*, L. Ambrosio et al.: LNM **1812**, P. Colli and J. F. Rodrigues (Eds.), 123–175, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [28] K. Watanabe, *Compressible-incompressible two-phase flows with phase transition: model problem*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **20** (2018), no. 3, 969–1011.
- [29] L. Weis, *Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems and maximal L_p -regularity*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), no. 4, 735–758.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING,
WASEDA UNIVERSITY, 3-4-1 OOKUBO, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO, 169-8555, JAPAN
E-mail address: keiichi-watanabe@akane.waseda.jp