

# The 4-Component Connectivity of Alternating Group Networks\*

Jou-Ming Chang<sup>1,†</sup> Kung-Jui Pai<sup>2</sup> Ro-Yu Wu<sup>3</sup> Jinn-Shyong Yang<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Information and Decision Sciences,  
National Taipei University of Business, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC

<sup>2</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering and Management,  
Ming Chi University of Technology, New Taipei City, Taiwan, ROC

<sup>3</sup> Department of Industrial Management,  
Lunghwa University of Science and Technology, Taoyuan, Taiwan, ROC

<sup>4</sup> Department of Information Management,  
National Taipei University of Business, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC

## Abstract

The  $\ell$ -component connectivity (or  $\ell$ -connectivity for short) of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa_\ell(G)$ , is the minimum number of vertices whose removal from  $G$  results in a disconnected graph with at least  $\ell$  components or a graph with fewer than  $\ell$  vertices. This generalization is a natural extension of the classical connectivity defined in term of minimum vertex-cut. As an application, the  $\ell$ -connectivity can be used to assess the vulnerability of a graph corresponding to the underlying topology of an interconnection network, and thus is an important issue for reliability and fault tolerance of the network. So far, only a little knowledge of results have been known on  $\ell$ -connectivity for particular classes of graphs and small  $\ell$ 's. In a previous work, we studied the  $\ell$ -connectivity on  $n$ -dimensional alternating group networks  $AN_n$  and obtained the result  $\kappa_3(AN_n) = 2n - 3$  for  $n \geq 4$ . In this sequel, we continue the work and show that  $\kappa_4(AN_n) = 3n - 6$  for  $n \geq 4$ .

**Keyword:** Interconnection networks, Graph connectivity, Generalized graph connectivity, Component connectivity, Alternating group networks

---

\*This research was partially supported by the grant MOST-107-2221-E-141-001-MY3 from the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. Email: spade@ntub.edu.tw

# 1 Introduction

As usual, the underlying topology of an interconnection network is modeled by a connected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , where  $V(= V(G))$  is the set of processors and  $E(= E(G))$  is the set of communication links between processors. A subgraph obtained from  $G$  by removing a set  $F$  of vertices is denoted by  $G - F$ . A *separating set* (or *vertex-cut*) of a connected graph  $G$  is a set  $F$  of vertices whose removal renders  $G - F$  to become disconnected. If  $G$  is not a complete graph, the *connectivity*  $\kappa(G)$  is the cardinality of a minimum separating set of  $G$ . By convention, the connectivity of a complete graph with  $n$  vertices is defined to be  $n - 1$ . A graph  $G$  is *n-connected* if  $\kappa(G) \geq n$ .

The connectivity is an important topic in graph theory. In particular, it plays a key role in applications related to the modern interconnection networks, e.g.,  $\kappa(G)$  can be used to assess the vulnerability of the corresponding network, and is an important measurement for reliability and fault tolerance of the network [28]. However, to further analyze the detailed situation of the disconnected network caused by a separating set, it is natural to generalize the classical connectivity by introducing some conditions or restrictions on the separating set  $F$  and/or the components of  $G - F$  [14]. The most basic consideration is the number of components associated with the disconnected network. To figure out what kind of separating sets and/or how many sizes of a separating set can result in a disconnected network with a certain number of components, Chartrand et al. [5] proposed a generalization of connectivity with respect to separating set for making a more thorough study. In this paper, we follow this direction to investigate such kind of generalized connectivity on a class of interconnection networks called alternating group networks (defined later in Section 2).

For an integer  $\ell \geq 2$ , the *generalized  $\ell$ -connectivity* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa_\ell(G)$ , is the minimum number of vertices whose removal from  $G$  results in a disconnected graph with at least  $\ell$  components or a graph with fewer than  $\ell$  vertices. A graph  $G$  is *(n,  $\ell$ )-connected* if  $\kappa_\ell(G) \geq n$ . A synonym for such a generalization was also called the *general connectivity* by Sampathkumar [26] or  *$\ell$ -component connectivity* ( *$\ell$ -connectivity* for short) by Hsu et al. [18], Cheng et al. [7–9] and Zhao et al. [29]. Hereafter, we follow the use of the terminology of Hsu et al. Obviously,  $\kappa_2(G) = \kappa(G)$ . Similarly, for an integer  $\ell \geq 2$ , the *generalized  $\ell$ -edge-connectivity* ( *$\ell$ -edge-connectivity* for short)  $\lambda_\ell(G)$ , which was introduced by Boesch and Chen [3], is defined to be the smallest number of edges whose removal leaves a graph with at least  $\ell$  components if  $|V(G)| \geq \ell$ , and  $\lambda_\ell(G) = |V(G)|$  if  $|V(G)| < \ell$ . In addition, many problems related to networks on faulty edges haven been considered in [15–17, 25].

The notion of  $\ell$ -connectivity is concerned with the relevance of the cardinality of a minimum vertex-cut and the number of components caused by the vertex-cut, which is a good measure of robustness of interconnection networks. Accordingly, this generalization is called the *cut-version* definition of generalized connectivity. We note that there are other diverse generalizations of connectivity in the literature, e.g., Hager [12] gave the so-called *path-version* definition of generalized connectivity, which is defined from the view point of Menger's Theorem. Recently, Sun and Li [27] gave sharp bounds of the difference between the two versions of generalized connectivities.

For research results on  $\ell$ -connectivity of graphs, the reader can refer to [5, 7–11, 18, 23, 24, 26, 29]. At the early stage, the main work focused on establishing sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(n, \ell)$ -connected, (e.g., see [5, 23, 26]). Also, several sharp bounds of  $\ell$ -connectivity related to other graph parameters can be found in [11, 26]. In addition, for a graph  $G$  and an integer  $k \in [0, \kappa_\ell(G)]$ , a function called  $\ell$ -connectivity function is defined to be the minimum  $\ell$ -edge-connectivity among all subgraphs of  $G$  obtained by removing  $k$  vertices from  $G$ , and several properties of this function was investigated in [10, 24]. By contrast, finding  $\ell$ -connectivity for certain interconnection networks is a new trend of research at present. So far, the exact values of  $\ell$ -connectivity are known only for a few classes of networks, in particular, only for small  $\ell$ 's. For example,  $\kappa_\ell(G)$  is determined on the  $n$ -dimensional hypercube for  $\ell \in [2, n + 1]$  (see [18]) and  $\ell \in [n + 2, 2n - 4]$  (see [29]), the  $n$ -dimensional hierarchical cubic network (see [7]), the  $n$ -dimensional complete cubic network (see [8]), and the generalized exchanged hypercube  $GEH(s, t)$  for  $1 \leq s \leq t$  and  $\ell \in [2, s + 1]$  (see [9]). However, determining  $\ell$ -connectivity is still unsolved for most interconnection networks. As a matter of fact, it has been pointed out in [18] that, unlike the hypercube, the results of the well-known interconnection networks such as the star graphs [1] and the alternating group graphs [20] are still unknown.

Recently, we studied two types of generalized 3-connectivities (i.e., the cut-version and the path-version of the generalized connectivities as mentioned before) in the  $n$ -dimensional alternating group network  $AN_n$ , which was introduced by Ji [19] to serve as an interconnection network topology for computing systems. In [4], we already determined the 3-component connectivity  $\kappa_3(AN_n) = 2n - 3$  for  $n \geq 4$ . In this sequel, we continue the work and show the following result.

**Theorem 1.** For  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\kappa_4(AN_n) = 3n - 6$ .

## 2 Background of alternating group networks

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_n$  denote the set of all even permutations over  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , the  $n$ -dimensional alternating group network, denoted by  $AN_n$ , is a graph with the vertex set of even permutations (i.e.,  $V(AN_n) = A_n$ ), and two vertices  $p = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n)$  and  $q = (q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n)$  are adjacent if and only if one of the following three conditions holds [19]:

- (i)  $p_1 = q_2, p_2 = q_3, p_3 = q_1$ , and  $p_j = q_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{1, 2, 3\}$ .
- (ii)  $p_1 = q_3, p_2 = q_1, p_3 = q_2$ , and  $p_j = q_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{1, 2, 3\}$ .
- (iii) There exists an  $i \in \{4, 5, \dots, n\}$  such that  $p_1 = q_2, p_2 = q_1, p_3 = q_i, p_i = q_3$ , and  $p_j = q_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{1, 2, 3, i\}$ .

The basic properties of  $AN_n$  are known as follows.  $AN_n$  contains  $n!/2$  vertices and  $n!(n-1)/4$  edges, which is a vertex-symmetric and  $(n-1)$ -regular graph with diameter  $\lceil 3n/2 \rceil - 3$  and connectivity  $n-1$ . For  $n \geq 3$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , let  $AN_n^i$  be the subnetwork of  $AN_n$  induced by vertices with the rightmost symbol  $i$  in its permutation. It is clear that  $AN_n^i$  is isomorphic to  $AN_{n-1}$ . In fact,  $AN_n$  has a recursive structure, which can be constructed from  $n$  disjoint copies  $AN_n^i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that, for any two subnetworks  $AN_n^i$  and  $AN_n^j$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $i \neq j$ , there




Fig. 1: Alternating group network  $AN_5$ .

exist  $(n-2)!/2$  edges between them. Fig. 1 depicts  $AN_5$ , where each part of shadows indicates a subnetwork isomorphic to  $AN_4$ .

A path (resp., cycle) of length  $k$  is called a  $k$ -path (resp.,  $k$ -cycle). For notational convenience, if a vertex  $x$  belongs to a subnetwork  $AN_n^i$ , we simply write  $x \in AN_n^i$  instead of  $x \in V(AN_n^i)$ . The disjoint union of two subnetworks  $AN_n^i$  and  $AN_n^j$  is denoted by  $AN_n^i \cup AN_n^j$ . The subgraph obtained from  $AN_n$  by removing a set  $F$  of vertices is denoted by  $AN_n - F$ . An edge  $(x, y) \in E(AN_n)$  with two end vertices  $x \in AN_n^i$  and  $y \in AN_n^j$  for  $i \neq j$  is called an *external edges* between  $AN_n^i$  and  $AN_n^j$ . In this case,  $x$  and  $y$  are called *out-neighbors* to each other. By contrast, edges joining vertices in the same subnetwork are called *internal edges*, and the two adjacent vertices are called *in-neighbors* to each other. By definition, it is easy to check that every vertex of  $AN_n$  has  $n-2$  in-neighbors and exactly one out-neighbor. Hereafter, for a vertex  $x \in AN_n$ , we use  $N(x)$  to denote the set of in-neighbors of  $x$ , and  $out(x)$  the unique out-

neighbor of  $x$ . Moreover, if  $H$  is a subgraph of  $AN_n^i$ , we define  $N(H) = (\bigcup_{x \in V(H)} N(x)) \setminus V(H)$  as the *in-neighborhood* of  $H$ , i.e., the set composed of all in-neighbors of those vertices in  $H$  except for those belong to  $H$ .

In what follow, we shall present some properties of  $AN_n$ , which will be used later. For more properties on alternating group networks, we refer to [6, 13, 19, 30, 31].

**Lemma 1.** (see [13, 30, 31]) *For  $AN_n$  with  $n \geq 4$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $i \neq j$ , the following holds:*

- (1)  $AN_n$  has no 4-cycle and 5-cycle.
- (2) Any two distinct vertices of  $AN_n^i$  have different out-neighbors in  $AN_n - V(AN_n^i)$ .
- (3) There are exactly  $(n-2)!/2$  edges between  $AN_n^i$  and  $AN_n^j$ .

**Lemma 2.** *For  $n \geq 6$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , let  $H$  be a connected induced subgraph of  $AN_n^i$ . Then, the following properties hold:*

- (1) If  $|V(H)| = 3$ , then  $H$  is a 3-cycle or a 2-path. Moreover, if  $H$  is a 3-cycle (resp., a 2-path), then  $|N(H)| = 3n - 12$  (resp.,  $3n - 11 \leq |N(H)| \leq 3n - 10$ ).
- (2) If  $4 \leq |V(H)| < (n-1)!/4$ , then  $|N(H)| \geq 4n - 16$ .

**Proof.** The two properties can easily be proved by induction on  $n$ . Now, we only verify the subgraph  $H$  in Fig. 1 for the basis case  $n = 6$ . Recall that every vertex has  $n - 2$  in-neighbors in  $AN_n^i$ . For (1), the result of 3-cycle is clear. If  $H$  is a 2-path, at most two adjacent vertices in  $H$  can share a common in-neighbor, it follows the  $3n - 11 \leq |N(H)| \leq 3n - 10$ . For (2), the condition  $|V(H)| < (n-1)!/4$  means that the number of vertices in  $H$  cannot exceed a half of those in  $AN_n^i$ . In particular, if  $|V(H)| = 4$ , then  $H$  is either a claw (i.e.,  $K_{1,3}$ ), a paw (i.e.,  $K_{1,3}$  plus an edge), or a 3-path. Moreover, if  $H$  is a paw, a claw or a 3-path, then no two adjacent vertices, at most one pair of adjacent vertices, or at most two pair of adjacent vertices in  $H$  can share a common in-neighbor, respectively. This shows that  $|N(H)| = 4n - 16$  when  $H$  is a paw,  $4n - 15 \leq |N(H)| \leq 4n - 14$  when  $H$  is a claw, and  $4n - 16 \leq |N(H)| \leq 4n - 14$  when  $H$  is a 3-path. Also, if  $4 < |V(H)| < (n-1)!/4$ , it is clear that  $|N(H)| > 4n - 16$ .  $\square$

For designing a reliable probabilistic network, Bauer et al. [2] first introduced the notion of super connectedness. A regular graph is (*loosely*) *super-connected* if its only minimum vertex-cuts are those induced by the neighbors of a vertex, i.e., a minimum vertex-cut is the set of neighbors of a single vertex. If, in addition, the deletion of a minimum vertex-cut results in a graph with two components and one of which is a singleton, then the graph is *tightly super-connected*. More accurately, a graph is *tightly  $k$ -super-connected* provided it is tightly super-connected and the cardinality of a minimum vertex-cut is equal to  $k$ . Zhou and Xiao [30] pointed out that  $AN_3$  and  $AN_4$  are not super-connected, and showed that  $AN_n$  for  $n \geq 5$  is tightly  $(n-1)$ -super-connected. Moreover, to evaluate the size of the connected components of  $AN_n$  with a set of faulty vertices, Zhou and Xiao gave the following properties.

**Lemma 3.** (see [30]) *For  $n \geq 5$ , if  $F$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n$  with  $|F| \leq 2n - 5$ , then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $AN_n - F$  has two components, one of which is a trivial component (i.e., a singleton).
- (2)  $AN_n - F$  has two components, one of which is an edge, say  $(u, v)$ . In particular, if  $|F| = 2n - 5$ ,  $F$  is composed of all neighbors of  $u$  and  $v$ , excluding  $u$  and  $v$ .

**Lemma 4.** (see [30]) *For  $n \geq 5$ , if  $F$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n$  with  $|F| \leq 3n - 10$ , then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $AN_n - F$  has two components, one of which is either a singleton or an edge.
- (2)  $AN_n - F$  has three components, two of which are singletons.

Through a more detailed analysis, Chang et al. [4] recently obtained a slight extension of the result of Lemma 3 as follows.

**Lemma 5.** (see [4]) *Let  $F$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n$  with  $|F| \leq 2n - 4$ . Then, the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *If  $n = 4$ , then  $AN_n - F$  has two components, one of which is a singleton, an edge, a 3-cycle, a 2-path, or a paw.*
- (2) *If  $n = 5$ , then  $AN_n - F$  has two components, one of which is a singleton, an edge, or a 3-cycle.*
- (3) *If  $n \geq 6$ , then  $AN_n - F$  has two components, one of which is either a singleton or an edge.*

### 3 The 4-component connectivity of $AN_n$

Since  $AN_3$  is a 3-cycle, by definition, it is clear that  $\kappa_4(AN_3) = 1$ . Also, in the process of the drawing of Fig. 1, we found by a brute-force checking that the removal of no more than five vertices in  $AN_4$  (resp., eight vertices in  $AN_5$ ) results in a graph that is either connected or contains at most three components. Thus, the following lemma establishes the lower bound of  $\kappa_4(AN_n)$  for  $n = 4, 5$ .

**Lemma 6.**  $\kappa_4(AN_4) \geq 6$  and  $\kappa_4(AN_5) \geq 9$ .

**Lemma 7.** For  $n \geq 6$ ,  $\kappa_4(AN_n) \geq 3n - 6$ .

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be any vertex-cut in  $AN_n$  such that  $|F| \leq 3n - 7$ . For convenience, vertices in  $F$  (resp., not in  $F$ ) are called faulty vertices (resp., fault-free vertices). By Lemma 4, if  $|F| \leq 3n - 10$ , then  $AN_n - F$  contains at most three components. To complete the proof, we need to show that the same result holds for  $3n - 9 \leq |F| \leq 3n - 7$ . Let  $F_i = F \cap V(AN_n^i)$  and  $f_i = |F_i|$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . We claim that there exists some subnetwork, say  $AN_n^i$ , such that it contains  $f_i \geq n - 2$  faulty vertices. Since  $3(n - 2) > 3n - 7 \geq |F|$ , if it is so, then there are at most two such subnetworks. Suppose not, i.e., every subnetwork  $AN_n^j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  has  $f_j \leq n - 3$  faulty vertices. Since  $AN_n^j$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected,  $AN_n^j - F_j$  remains connected for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Recall the property (3) of Lemma 1 that there are  $(n - 2)!/2$  independent edges between  $AN_n^i$  and  $AN_n^j$  for each pair  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $i \neq j$ . Since  $(n - 2)!/2 > 2(n - 3) \geq f_i + f_j$  for  $n \geq 6$ , it guarantees that the two subgraphs  $AN_n^i - F_i$  and  $AN_n^j - F_j$  are connected by an external edge in  $AN_n - F$ . Thus,  $AN_n - F$  is connected, and this contradicts to the fact that  $F$  is a vertex-cut in  $AN_n$ . Moreover, for such subnetworks, it is sure that some of  $F_i$  must be a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ . Otherwise,  $AN_n - F$  is connected, a contradiction. We now consider the following two cases:

**Case 1:** There is exactly one such subnetwork, say  $AN_n^i$ , such that it contains  $f_i \geq n - 2$  faulty vertices. In this case, we have  $f_j \leq n - 3$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{i\}$  and  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ . Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $AN_n$  induced by the fault-free vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ , i.e.,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup F)$ . Since every subnetwork  $AN_n^j$  in  $H$  has  $f_j \leq n - 3$  faulty vertices, from the previous argument it is sure that  $H$  is connected. We denote by  $C$  the component of  $AN_n - F$  that contains  $H$  as its subgraph, and let  $f = |F| - f_i$  be the number of faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ . Since  $3n - 7 \geq |F| \geq f_i \geq n - 2$ , we have  $0 \leq f \leq 2n - 5$ . Consider the following scenarios:

**Case 1.1:**  $f = 0$ . In this case, there are no faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ . That is,  $H = AN_n - V(AN_n^i)$ . Indeed, this case is impossible because if it is the case, then every vertex of  $AN_n^i - F_i$  has the fault-free out-neighbor in  $H$ . Thus,  $AN_n^i - F_i$  belongs to  $C$ , and it follows that  $AN_n - F$  is connected, a contradiction.

**Case 1.2:**  $f = 1$ . Let  $u \in F \setminus F_i$  be the unique faulty vertex outside  $AN_n^i$ . That is,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup \{u\})$ . Since  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ , we assume that  $AN_n^i - F_i$  is divided into  $k$  disjoint connected components, say  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ , if  $|C_j| \geq 2$ , then there is at least one vertex of  $C_j$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . We now consider a component that is a singleton, say  $C_j = \{v\}$ . If  $\text{out}(v) \neq u$ , then  $\text{out}(v)$  must be contained in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . Clearly, there exists at most one component  $C_j = \{v\}$  such that  $\text{out}(v) = u$ . In this case,  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components  $\{v\}$  and  $C$ .

**Case 1.3:**  $f = 2$ . Let  $u_1, u_2 \in F \setminus F_i$  be the two faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ . That is,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup \{u_1, u_2\})$ . Since  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ , we assume that  $AN_n^i - F_i$  is divided into  $k$  disjoint connected components, say  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ , if  $|C_j| \geq 3$ , then there is at least one vertex of  $C_j$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . We now consider a component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| = 2$ , i.e.,  $C_j$  is an edge, say  $(v, w)$ . By the property (2) of Lemma 1, we have  $\text{out}(v) \neq \text{out}(w)$ . If  $\{\text{out}(v), \text{out}(w)\} \neq \{u_1, u_2\}$ , then at least one of  $\text{out}(v)$  and  $\text{out}(w)$  must be contained in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . Since  $(3n - 7) - 2 \geq f_i = |F| - f \geq (3n - 9) - 2$  and  $(v, w)$  has  $2n - 6$  in-neighbors (not including  $v$  and  $w$ ) in  $AN_n^i$ , we have  $2n - 6 < f_i < 2(2n - 6)$  for  $n \geq 6$ . Thus, there exists at most one such component  $C_j = \{(v, w)\}$  such that  $\{\text{out}(v), \text{out}(w)\} = \{u_1, u_2\}$ . If it is the case of existence, then  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components  $\{(v, w)\}$  and  $C$ . Finally, we consider a component that is a singleton. Since  $3n - 9 \leq f_i \leq 3n - 11$  and every vertex has degree  $n - 2$  in  $AN_n^i$ , we have  $n - 2 < f_i < 3(n - 2)$  for  $n \geq 6$ . Thus, at most two such components exist in  $AN_n^i - F_i$ , say  $C_j = \{v\}$  and  $C_{j'} = \{w\}$  where  $j, j' \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ . If  $\text{out}(v), \text{out}(w) \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$ , then both  $\text{out}(v)$  and  $\text{out}(w)$  must be contained in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  and  $C_{j'}$  belong to  $C$ . Also, if either  $\text{out}(v) \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$  or  $\text{out}(w) \notin \{u_1, u_2\}$ , then  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components, one of which is a singleton  $\{v\}$  or  $\{w\}$ . Finally, if  $\{\text{out}(v), \text{out}(w)\} = \{u_1, u_2\}$ , then  $AN_n - F$  has exactly three components, two of which are singletons  $\{v\}$  and  $\{w\}$ .

**Case 1.4:**  $f = 3$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in F \setminus F_i$  be the three faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ . That is,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup \{u_1, u_2, u_3\})$ . Since  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ , we assume that  $AN_n^i - F_i$  is divided into  $k$  disjoint connected components, say  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ , if  $|C_j| \geq 4$ , then there is at least one vertex of  $C_j$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . We now consider a component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| = 3$ , i.e.,  $C_j$  is either a 3-cycle or a 2-path. Assume that

$V(C_j) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . If there is a vertex  $\text{out}(v_h) \notin \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  for  $1 \leq h \leq 3$ , then  $\text{out}(v_h)$  must be contained in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . Since  $(3n-7)-3 \geq f_i = |F| - f \geq (3n-9)-3$  and, by Lemma 2, we have  $3n-12 \leq |N(C_j)| \leq n-10$ , it follows that there exists at most one such component  $C_j$  such that  $\{\text{out}(v_1), \text{out}(v_2), \text{out}(v_3)\} = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ . If it is the case of existence, then  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components, one of which is either a 3-cycle or a 2-path. Next, we consider a component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| = 2$ , i.e.,  $C_j$  is an edge, say  $(v, w)$ . From an argument similar to Case 1.3 for analyzing the membership of  $\text{out}(v)$  and  $\text{out}(w)$  in the set  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ , we can show that  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components  $\{(v, w)\}$  and  $C$ . Finally, we consider a component that is a singleton. Then, an argument similar to Case 1.3 for analyzing singleton components shows that at most two such components exist in  $AN_n^i - F_i$ . Thus,  $AN_n - F$  has either two components (where one of which is a singleton) or three components (where two of which are singletons).

**Case 1.5:**  $f = 4$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in F \setminus F_i$  be the four faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ . That is,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\})$ . Since  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ , we assume that  $AN_n^i - F_i$  is divided into  $k$  disjoint connected components, say  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ , if  $|C_j| \geq 5$ , then there is at least one vertex of  $C_j$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . If  $|C_j| \geq 4$ , by Lemma 2, we have  $|N(C_j)| \geq 4n - 16$ . Since  $(3n-7) - 4 \geq |F| - f = f_i$ , it follows that  $|N(C_j)| \geq f_i$  for  $n \geq 6$ . Thus, none of component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| = 4$  exists in  $AN_n^i$ . Next, we consider a component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| = 3$  and assume  $V(C_j) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . By Lemma 2, we have  $3n-12 \leq |N(C_j)| \leq n-10$ . Since  $f_i$  is no more than  $3n-11$ , at most one such component  $C_j$  exists in  $AN_n^i - F_i$ . Furthermore, if such  $C_j$  exists, then it is either a 3-cycle or a 2-path. Thus, an argument similar to Case 1.4 for analyzing the membership of  $\text{out}(v_1)$ ,  $\text{out}(v_2)$  and  $\text{out}(v_3)$  in the set  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ , we can show that  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components, one of which is a 3-cycle or a 2-path. Finally, if we consider a component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| \leq 2$ , an argument similar to the previous cases shows that  $AN_n - F$  has either two components (where one of which is a singleton or an edge) or three components (where two of which are singletons).

**Case 1.6:**  $f = 5$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 \in F \setminus F_i$  be the five faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ . That is,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\})$ . Since  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ , we assume that  $AN_n^i - F_i$  is divided into  $k$  disjoint connected components, say  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ , if  $|C_j| \geq 6$ , then there is at least one vertex of  $C_j$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , and thus  $C_j$  belongs to  $C$ . If  $|C_j| = 4$  or  $|C_j| = 5$ , by Lemma 2, we have  $|N(C_j)| \geq 4n - 16$ . Since  $(3n-7) - 5 \geq |F| - f = f_i$ , it follows that  $|N(C_j)| \geq f_i$  for  $n \geq 6$ . Thus, none of component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| = 4$  or  $|C_j| = 5$  exists in  $AN_n^i$ . We now consider a component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| = 3$ . Since  $f_i \leq 3n-12$ , by Lemma 2, if such  $C_j$  exists, then it must be a 3-cycle, and thus an argument similar to the previous cases shows that  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components, one of which is a 3-cycle. Finally, if we consider a component  $C_j$  with  $|C_j| \leq 2$ , an argument similar to the previous cases shows that  $AN_n - F$  has either two components (where one of which is a singleton or an edge) or three components (where two of which are singletons).

**Case 1.7:**  $6 \leq f \leq 2n-5$ . In this case, we have  $(3n-7)-6 \geq f_i = |F| - f \geq (3n-9)-(2n-5)$ . Since  $AN_n^i$  is isomorphic to  $AN_{n-1}$  and  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$  with no more than  $3(n-1)-10$  vertices, by Lemma 4,  $AN_n^i - F_i$  has at most three components as follows:



**Case 1.7.1:**  $AN_n^i - F_i$  has two components, one of which is either a singleton or an edge. Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be such two components for which  $1 \leq |C_1| \leq 2 < |C_2|$ . More precisely,  $|C_2| = |V(AN_n^i)| - f_i - |C_1| \geq (n-1)!/2 - f_i - 2 > (3n-7) - f_i \geq |F| - f_i = f$  for  $n \geq 6$ . Clearly, the above inequality indicates that there exist some vertices of  $C_2$  such that their out-neighbors are contained in  $H$ , even if all out-neighbors of vertices in  $F \setminus F_i$  are contained in  $C_2$ . Thus,  $C_2$  belongs to  $C$ . Also, if there is a vertex  $v \in C_1$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , then  $C_1$  belongs to  $C$ . Otherwise,  $AN_n - F$  has exactly two components, one of which is either a singleton or an edge.

**Case 1.7.2:**  $AN_n^i - F_i$  has three components, two of which are singletons. Let  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$  be such three components for which  $|C_1| = |C_2| = 1$  and  $|C_3| > 2$ . Since  $|C_3| = (n-1)!/2 - f_i - 2 > (3n-7) - f_i \geq |F| - f_i = f$  for  $n \geq 6$ , there exist some vertices of  $C_2$  such that their out-neighbors are contained in  $H$ . This shows that  $C_2$  belongs to  $C$ . Since  $AN_n^i - F_i$  has three components, the out-neighbor of a vertex  $v \in C_1$  or  $v \in C_2$  cannot be contained in  $H$ . Thus,  $AN_n - F$  has exactly three components, two of which are singletons.

**Case 2:** There exist exactly two subnetworks, say  $AN_n^i$  and  $AN_n^j$ , such that  $f_i, f_j \geq n-2$ . Since  $F$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n$ , at least one of the subgraphs  $AN_n^i - F_i$  and  $AN_n^j - F_j$  must be disconnected. Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $AN_n$  induced by the fault-free vertices outside  $AN_n^i \cup AN_n^j$ , i.e.,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup V(AN_n^j) \cup F)$ . Since  $2n-4 \leq f_i + f_j \leq |F| \leq 3n-7$ , we have  $f_h \leq |F| - f_i - f_j \leq (3n-7) - (2n-4) = n-3$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{i, j\}$ . The bound of  $f_h$  implies that  $AN_n^h - F_h$  is connected, and it follows that  $H$  is also connected. We denote by  $C$  the component of  $AN_n - F$  that contains  $H$  as its subgraph. Since  $n-2 \leq f_i \leq (3n-7) - f_j \leq (3n-7) - (n-2) = 2n-5$ , we consider the following scenarios:

**Case 2.1:**  $f_i = 2n-5$ . Clearly,  $f_j \leq (3n-7) - f_i = n-2$ . Since we have assumed  $f_j \geq n-2$ , it follows that  $f_j = n-2$  and there exist no faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i \cup AN_n^j$ . That is,  $H = AN_n - (V(AN_n^i) \cup V(AN_n^j))$ . Indeed, this case is impossible because if it is the case, then there exist a vertex of  $(AN_n^i \cup AN_n^j) - F$  such that its out-neighbor is contained in  $H$ . Thus,  $(AN_n^i \cup AN_n^j) - F$  belongs to  $C$ , and it follows that  $AN_n - F$  is connected, a contradiction.

**Case 2.2:**  $n-1 \leq f_i \leq 2n-6$ . Since  $f_i + f_j \leq |F| \leq 3n-7$ , it implies  $f_j \leq (3n-7) - f_i \leq (3n-7) - (n-1) = 2n-6$ . Since  $AN_n^i$  is isomorphic to  $AN_{n-1}$  and  $f_i \leq 2(n-1) - 4$ , by Lemma 5, if  $AN_n^i - F_i$  is disconnected, then it has exactly two component, one of which is either a singleton or an edge. Suppose  $AN_n^i - F_i = C_1 \cup C_2$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are disjoint connected components such that  $1 \leq |C_1| \leq 2 < |C_2|$ . More precisely,  $|C_2| = |V(AN_n^i)| - f_i - |C_1| = (n-1)!/2 - f_i - 2 > (3n-7) - f_i \geq |F| - f_i$  for  $n \geq 6$ , where the last term  $|F| - f_i$  is the number of faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^i$ . Clearly, the above inequality indicates that there exist some vertices of  $C_2$  such that their out-neighbors are contained in  $H$ , even if all out-neighbors of vertices in  $F \setminus F_i$  are contained in  $C_2$ . Thus,  $C_2$  belongs to  $C$ . Also, if there is a vertex of  $C_1$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , then  $C_1$  belongs to  $C$ . By contrast, we can show that  $AN_n^i - F_i$  belongs to  $C$  by a similar way if it is connected. Thus,  $AN_n - F$  contains at most one component (which is either a singleton or an edge) such that this component is a subgraph of  $AN_n^i$ . Similarly, since  $f_j \leq 2n-6$ ,  $AN_n - F$  contains at most one component (which is either a singleton or an edge) such that this component is a subgraph of  $AN_n^j$ . Thus, there are at most three components in  $AN_n - F$ . We claim that  $AN_n - F$  cannot simultaneously contain both an edge

$(u, v)$  and a singleton  $w$  as components. Suppose not and, without loss of generality, assume  $u, v \in AN_n^i$  and  $w \in AN_n^j$ . Then, at least two out-neighbors of  $u, v$  and  $w$  are not contained in  $N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)$ . Otherwise,  $AN_n$  produces a 4-cycle or 5-cycle, which contradicts to the property (1) of Lemma 1. Thus, the number of faulty vertices of  $AN_n$  requires at least  $(2n - 6) + (n - 2) + 2 = 3n - 6 \geq |F|$ , a contradiction. Similarly, we claim that  $AN_n - F$  cannot simultaneously contain two disjoint edges  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  as components. Suppose not. By an argument similar above, we can show that either  $AN_n$  has  $2(2n - 6) + 2 > 3n - 7 \geq |F|$  faulty vertices for  $n \geq 6$  or it contains a 4-cycle or 5-cycle. However, both the cases are not impossible. Consequently, if  $AN_n - F$  contains three component, then two of which are singletons, one is a vertex of  $AN_n^i$  and the other is of  $AN_n^j$ .

**Case 2.3:**  $f_i = n - 2$ . Clearly,  $f_j \leq (3n - 7) - f_i = 2n - 5$ . Since  $AN_n^i$  is isomorphic to  $AN_{n-1}$  and  $n \geq 6$ , it is tightly  $(n - 2)$ -super-connected. Also, since  $f_i = n - 2$ , if  $F_i$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n^i$ , then it must be a minimum vertex-cut. Particularly, there are two components in  $AN_n^i - F_i$ , one of which is a singleton, say  $v$ . That is, all in-neighbors of  $v$  are faulty vertices (i.e.,  $N(v) = F_i$ ). Otherwise,  $AN_n^i - F_i$  is connected and thus belongs to  $C$ . On the other hand, we consider all situations of  $AN_n^j - F_j$  as follows. Clearly, if  $AN_n^j - F_j$  is connected, then it belongs to  $C$ , and this further implies that  $AN_n^i - F_i$  must be disconnected. In this case,  $AN_n - F$  contains exactly two components, one of which is a singleton  $v$ . We now consider the case that  $AN_n^j - F_j$  is not connected and claim that it has at most two disjoint connected components. Suppose not. Since  $AN_n^j$  is isomorphic to  $AN_{n-1}$ , by Lemma 5, the number of faulty vertices in  $AN_n^j$  is at least  $2(n - 1) - 3$ . Since  $f_j \leq 2n - 5$ , it follows that  $f_j = 2n - 5$ . Thus, this situation is a symmetry of Case 2.1 by considering the exchange of  $f_i$  and  $f_j$ , which leads to a contradiction. Suppose  $AN_n^j - F_j = C_1 \cup C_2$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are disjoint connected components such that  $|C_1| \leq |C_2|$ . Since  $|C_2| \geq (|V(AN_n^j)| - f_j)/2 > (n - 1)!/4 - f_j > (3n - 7) - f_j \geq |F| - f_j$  for  $n \geq 6$ , where the last term  $|F| - f_j$  is the number of faulty vertices outside  $AN_n^j$ . Clearly, the above inequality indicates that there exist some vertices of  $C_2$  such that their out-neighbors are contained in  $H$ , even if all out-neighbors of vertices in  $F \setminus F_j$  are contained in  $C_2$ . Thus,  $C_2$  belongs to  $C$ . Also, if there is a vertex of  $C_1$  with its out-neighbor in  $H$ , then  $C_1$  belongs to  $C$ . Otherwise,  $C_1$  is a component of  $AN_n - F$ . By Lemma 2, since  $f_j = 2n - 5 < 4n - 16$  when  $n \geq 6$ , we have  $|C_1| < 4$ . Moreover, since  $2n - 5 \leq 3n - 11$  when  $n \geq 6$ , if  $|C_1| = 3$ , then  $C_1$  must be a 3-cycle. If  $|C_1| \leq 2$ , then  $C_1$  is either a singleton or an edge. Note that if  $C_1$  is a 3-cycle or an edge, then  $AN_n - F$  cannot contain the the singleton  $v \in V(AN_n^i - F_i)$  as its component. Otherwise, an argument similar to Case 2.2 shows that  $AN_n$  either has more than  $3n - 7$  faulty vertices or produces a 4-cycle or 5-cycle, a contradiction.  $\square$

From the proof of Lemma 7, we obtain the following result, which is an extension of Lemma 4.

**Corollary 8.** *For  $n \geq 5$ , if  $F$  is a vertex-cut of  $AN_n$  with  $|F| \leq 3n - 7$ , then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $AN_n - F$  has two components, one of which is either a singleton, an edge, a 3-cycle, or a 2-path.
- (2)  $AN_n - F$  has three components, two of which are singletons.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Lemmas 6 and 7 show that  $\kappa_4(AN_n) \geq 3n - 6$  for  $n \geq 4$ . To complete

the proof, we need to show the upper bound  $\kappa_4(AN_n) \leq 3n - 6$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Consider an induced 6-cycle  $H = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)$  in  $AN_n$  (the existence of such a cycle can be verified in Fig 1). Let  $F$  be the set composed of all neighbors of vertices in  $\{v_1, v_3, v_5\}$ . Since every vertex of  $AN_n$  has  $n - 1$  neighbors and any two vertices in  $\{v_1, v_3, v_5\}$  share a common neighbor, it is clear that  $|F| = 3(n - 1) - 3 = 3n - 6$ . Then, the removal of  $F$  from  $AN_n$  leads to the surviving graph with a large connected component and three singletons  $v_1, v_3$  and  $v_5$ .  $\square$

## 4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we follow a previous work to investigate a measure of network reliability, called  $\ell$ -component connectivity, in alternating group networks  $AN_n$ . Although we have known that  $\kappa_3(AN_n) = 2n - 3$  and  $\kappa_4(AN_n) = 3n - 6$ , at this stage it remains open to determine  $\kappa_\ell(AN_n)$  for  $\ell \geq 5$ . Also, as aforementioned, by now little work has been done in determining the  $\ell$ -component connectivity for most interconnection networks, even if for smaller integer  $\ell$ . In the future work, we would like to study the  $\ell$ -component connectivity of  $AN_n$  with larger  $\ell$ , or some popular interconnection networks such as star graphs (and their super class of graphs called  $(n, k)$ -star graphs and arrangement graphs), bubble-sort graphs, and alternating group graphs.

## References

- [1] S.B. Akers, D. Harel, and B. Krishnamurthy, The star graph: An attractive alternative to the  $n$ -cube, in: *Proc. Int. Conf. Parallel Processing (ICPP'1987)*, University Park, August 1987, pp. 393–400.
- [2] D. Bauer, F. Boesch, C. Suffel, and R. Tindell, Connectivity extremal problems and the design of reliable probabilistic networks, *The Theory and Application of Graphs*, Y. Alavi and G. Chartrand (Editors), Wiley, New York, 1981, pp. 89–98.
- [3] F.T. Boesch, S. Chen, A generalization of line connectivity and optimally invulnerable graphs, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 34 (1978) 657–665.
- [4] J.-M. Chang, K.-J. Pai, J.-S. Yang, R.-Y. Ro, Two kinds of generalized 3-connectivities of alternating group networks, in: *Proc. 12th International Frontiers of Algorithmics Workshop (FAW 2018)*, Guangzhou, China, May 8-10, 2018, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 12-23.
- [5] G. Chartrand, S.F. Kapoor, L. Lesniak, D.R. Lick, Generalized connectivity in graphs, *Bull. Bombay Math. Colloq.* 2 (1984) 1–6.
- [6] B. Chen, W. Xiao, B. Parhami, Internode distance and optimal routing in a class of alternating group networks, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 55 (2006) 1645–1648.
- [7] E. Cheng, K. Qiu, Z. Shen, Connectivity results of hierarchical cubic networks as associated with linearly many faults, in: *Proc. Int. Symp. Pervasive Systems, Algorithms, and Networks (I-SPAN 2014)*, Chengdu, China, Dec. 19-21, 2014, pp. 1213–1220.
- [8] E. Cheng, K. Qiu, Z. Shen, Connectivity results of complete cubic networks as associated with linearly many faults, *J. Interconnec. Networks* 15 (2015) paper 155007.
- [9] E. Cheng, K. Qiu, Z. Shen, Structural properties of generalized exchanged hypercubes, in: *Emergent Computation: Emergence, Complexity, Computation*, A. Adamatzky, ed., Vol. 24, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 215–232.
- [10] D.P. Day, O.R. Oellermann, H.C. Swart, The  $l$ -connectivity function of trees and complete multipartite graphs, *J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comput.* 10 (1991) 183–192.

- [11] D.P. Day, O.R. Oellermann, H.C. Swart, Bounds on the size of graphs of given order and  $l$ -connectivity, *Discrete Math.* 197/198 (1999) 217–223.
- [12] M. Hager, Pendant tree-connectivity, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* 38 (1985) 179–189.
- [13] R.-X. Hao, J.-X. Zhou, Characterize a kind of fault tolerance of alternating group network, *Acta Math. Sinica (Chinese Ser.)* 55 (2012) 1055–1066.
- [14] F. Harary, Conditional connectivity, *Networks* 13 (1983) 347–357.
- [15] K. Hemmayake, H.-J. Lai, D. Li, J. Mao, Minimally  $(k, k)$ -edge-connected graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 44 (2003) 116–131.
- [16] S.-Y. Hsieh, C.-H. Chen, Pancyclicity on Möbius cubes with maximal edge faults, *Parallel Comput.* 30 (2004) 407–421.
- [17] S.-Y. Hsieh, G.-H. Chen, C.-W. Ho, Longest fault-free paths in star graphs with edge faults, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 50 (2001) 960–971.
- [18] L.-H. Hsu, E. Cheng, L. Lipták, J.J.M. Tan, C.-K. Lin, T.-Y. Ho, Component connectivity of the hypercubes, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* 89 (2012) 137–145.
- [19] Y.-H. Ji, A class of Cayley networks based on the alternating groups, *Adv. Math.* 4 (1998) 361–362. (in Chinese)
- [20] J. Jwo, S. Lakshmivarahan, S.K. Dhall, A new class of interconnection networks based on the alternating group, *Networks* 23 (1993) 315–326.
- [21] X. Li, Y. Mao, Generalized Connectivity of Graphs, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2016.
- [22] X. Li, Y. Mao, A survey on the generalized connectivity of graphs, arXiv:1207.1838v9 (2014).
- [23] O.R. Oellermann, On the  $l$ -connectivity of a graph, *Graph Comb.* 3 (1987) 285–291.
- [24] O.R. Oellermann, A note on the  $l$ -connectivity function of a graph, *Cong. Num.* 60 (1987) 181–188.
- [25] X. Pan, J. Mao, H. Liu, Minimally  $(k, k - 1)$ -edge-connected graphs, *Australas. J. Comb.* 28 (2003) 39–49.
- [26] E. Sampathkumar, Connectivity of a graph – A generalization, *J. Combin. Inform. Sys. Sci.* 9 (1984) 71–78.
- [27] Y. Sun, X. Li, On the difference of two generalized connectivities of a graph, *J. Comb. Optim.* 33 (2017) 283–291.
- [28] J.M. Xu, Topological Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Networks, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 2001.
- [29] S. Zhao, W. Yang, S. Zhang, Component connectivity of hypercubes, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 640 (2016) 115–118.
- [30] S. Zhou, W. Xiao, Conditional diagnosability of alternating group networks, *Inform. Process. Lett.* 110 (2010) 403–409.
- [31] S. Zhou, W. Xiao, B. Parhami, Construction of vertex-disjoint paths in alternating group networks, *J. Supercomput.* 54 (2010) 206–228.