

# NONLINEAR STABILITY AND EXISTENCE OF VORTEX SHEETS FOR INVISCID LIQUID-GAS TWO-PHASE FLOW

FEIMIN HUANG, DEHUA WANG, AND DIFAN YUAN

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with the vortex sheet solutions for the inviscid two-phase flow in two dimensions. In particular, the nonlinear stability and existence of compressible vortex sheet solutions under small perturbations are established by using a modification of the Nash-Moser iteration technique, where *a priori* estimates for the linearized equations have a loss of derivatives. Due to the jump of the normal derivatives of densities of liquid and gas, we obtain the normal estimates in the anisotropic Sobolev space, instead of the usual Sobolev space. New ideas and techniques are developed to close the energy estimates and derive the tame estimates for the two-phase flows.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Two-phase flows or multi-phase flows are important in many industrial applications, for instance, in aerospace, chemical engineering, micro-technology. They have attracted studies from many scientists, mechanical engineers, geophysicists and astrophysicists. In multi-phase flows, two or more states of matters are considered simultaneously. We are focusing on the mixed flow of liquid and gas, which is an interesting phenomena in nature that occurs in boilers, condensers, pipelines for oil and natural gas, etc. For more physical background, we refer the readers to [29]. In this paper, we consider the following compressible inviscid liquid-gas two phase isentropic flow of drift-flux type:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m + \nabla \cdot (m \mathbf{u}_g) = 0, \\ \partial_t n + \nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{u}_l) = 0, \\ \partial_t (m \mathbf{u}_g + n \mathbf{u}_l) + \nabla \cdot (m \mathbf{u}_g^2 + n \mathbf{u}_l^2) + \nabla p(m, n) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where,  $m = \alpha_g \rho_g$  and  $n = \alpha_l \rho_l$  represent the mass of gas and liquid respectively,  $\alpha_g, \alpha_l \in [0, 1]$  are the gas and liquid volume fractions satisfying  $\alpha_g + \alpha_l = 1$ ,  $\rho_g$  and  $\rho_l$  denote the densities of gas and liquid respectively;  $p$  is the pressure of two phases,  $\mathbf{u}_g, \mathbf{u}_l$  denote the velocities of gas and liquid respectively. Here, we study the simplified two-phase flows. We assume that the velocities of gas and liquid are equal in the fluid region,  $\mathbf{u}_g = \mathbf{u}_l = \mathbf{u}$ . It is also quite interesting to study the slip case, i.e., the two phase velocities are different. Due to the fact that the liquid phase is much heavier than the gas phase, the momentum of gas phase in the mixture momentum equations is small in comparison with that of liquid

---

*Date:* November 13, 2018.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B05, 35L60, 35L65, 76T10.

*Key words and phrases.* Inviscid liquid-gas two-phase flow, vortex sheet, Nash-Moser scheme, nonlinear stability.

phase and thus neglected. Hence, we write the simplified model as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m + \nabla \cdot (m \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t n + \nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t (n \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p(m, n) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

We will follow the simplification of pressure term  $p(m, n)$  as in [13]. It guarantees that the model is consistent in the sense of thermal-mechanics, which helps to get basic energy estimate. The pressure term  $p$  is a smooth function of  $(m, n)$  defined on  $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$ . We take the pressure of the following form:

$$p(m, n) = (c_1 m + c_2 n)^2 P'(c_1 m + c_2 n),$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are two positive constants and  $P = P(\rho)$  is a smooth function. For example, the pressure satisfies  $\gamma$ -law  $P(\rho) = \rho^{\gamma-1}, \gamma > 1$ . Without loss of generality, we take  $c_1 = c_2 = 1$  and take pressure term as

$$p(m, n) = (\gamma - 1)(m + n)^\gamma, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$p_m(m, n) = p_n(m, n) = \gamma(\gamma - 1)(m + n)^{\gamma-1}. \quad (1.4)$$

The system (1.2) is a non-strictly hyperbolic system of conservation law in the region  $(m, n) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ .

For the viscous two-phase flow, the well-posedness in various one-dimensional and multi-dimensional cases has been studied in [11, 13, 14, 14, 17, 18, 20]. For the inviscid two-phase flow, limited theoretic works have been done before. We are concerned with vortex sheet problem for two phase-flow (1.2) in two-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Vortex sheet is a surface across which there is a discontinuity in fluid tangential velocity, such as in slippage of one layer of fluid over another. The tangential components of the flow velocity are discontinuous across the vortex sheet, however, the normal component of the flow velocity is continuous. For a brief introduction of the compressible vortex sheets in the application of multi-dimensional hyperbolic conservation laws, please refer to [19, 31], and for the related studies of incompressible flows, please refer to [22, 23, 36, 40].

Our paper is inspired by the stability of planar and rectilinear vortex sheets for the compressible isentropic Euler equation [9, 10], the non-isentropic Euler equation [26] and the ideal compressible MHD equations [2, 34]. The linear stability of compressible vortex sheets for isentropic Euler equations in two dimensions was studied by Coulombel-Secchi [9]. Using the linear analysis and Nash-Moser technique, the nonlinear stability for compressible Euler equations was proved in [10]. These two paper are the pioneering work of compressible vortex sheets. Later on, the result on the linear stability for the isentropic Euler equations was extended to the non-isentropic Euler equation in [26]. Recently, Morando-Secchi-Trebeschi in [27] provided an alternative way to prove the the linear stability of compressible vortex sheets in two space dimensions using the evolution equation for the discontinuity front of the vortex sheet. For the MHD equations, the linear and nonlinear stability of compressible and incompressible current vortex sheet were proved separately in [34]. Recently, Chen-Hu-Wang [4–6] studied the linear and nonlinear stability of compressible vortex sheets in two dimensional elastodynamics, using the method of upper triangulization, delicate spectrum analysis, and Nash-Moser technique.

Some other models of compressible vortex sheets can be found and have been studied in [3, 21, 28, 35, 37–39].

In this paper, we establish the nonlinear stability and local existence of compressible vortex sheet solutions for the two-phase flow. Strongly motivated by the paper of Coulombel and Secchi [10], we extend the linear stability result in Ruan-Wang-Weng-Zhu [31] to the nonlinear stability using the estimates in anisotropic Sobolev spaces. The difficulty for the two-phase flow, compared with the Euler flow, is that the difference of the densities of the liquid and gas makes the analysis more complicated and much harder. We have one more equation and one more variable density than the Euler equations. Moreover, since the vortex sheet is a contact discontinuity, the free boundary is characteristic. The linearized problems could be solved with the price of a loss of derivatives. As usual, we are thus led to employing the Nash-Moser procedure to compensate the loss of derivatives with respect to the source terms in each iteration step. It requires to derive the tame estimates on the higher order derivatives of the solutions of the linear systems. If we adopt the usual method in [10], then we also can estimate the normal derivatives, using certain vorticity equation, which is a transport equation with some source containing lower order derivatives of the unknowns than the terms in certain form of transport equation. However, comparing this form of equations with the Euler equations, some new extra terms appear, which come from the jump of the normal derivatives of the density. These terms cannot be controlled in energy estimates. In order to overcome this new obstacle occurring in the normal derivatives, we restrict our solution into the anisotropic Sobolev space, instead of the usual Sobolev space. The key point is that the one order gain of normal differentiation could be compensated by two order loss of tangential differentiation. Using the weighted function on the normal derivatives and the properties of the anisotropic space, we can close all the derivative estimates and derive the tame estimates. We can prove the weighted energy estimates for equations with transport structure, even though the source terms contain some higher order derivatives. Application of anisotropic space may be found in [7, 32]. We remark that in [31], a good symmetric form of the linearized version of liquid-gas two-phase flow was introduced, which plays a crucial role in the linear stability analysis. Based on these key observations, a different symmetrizer is constructed, which is different from [10] and [26]. Focusing on the linearized problem with variable coefficients, we can prove the well-posedness for the effective linearized equations in  $L^2$  where the source terms are in  $L^2(H^1) \times H^1$ . As a first step, we obtain the *a priori* estimate of the tangential derivatives. Next, we prove the unweighted and weighted normal derivatives in anisotropic spaces instead of the usual Sobolev spaces as in [10]. Finally, we obtain the whole *a priori* tame estimates in weighted anisotropic Sobolev spaces, which is linearly dependent on higher order norms and is multiplied by the lower order norms. This is the key step to obtain the solvability of linearized equation in preparation for the Nash-Moser iteration. There is a fixed loss of derivatives with respect to the source terms and the coefficients. The idea in our paper can be also extended to study the nonlinear stability of non-isentropic two-phase flow.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the set-up of the vortex sheet problem, and provide some definitions and notations. In Section 3, we first formulate the weighted Sobolev space and norms and then derive the effective linearized equations. After that, we prove the well-posedness of the linearized problems. In Section 4, we construct the approximate solutions, which will be useful in Section 5, where the

Nash-Moser iteration scheme is introduced to get the local existence of the linearized problems. In Section 6, we summarize all the tame estimates that will be needed in the proof of Theorem 2.1. In Section 7, we complete the proof of our main Theorem 2.1 by proving the convergence of scheme.

## 2. VORTEX SHEET PROBLEM

In this section, we shall introduce the vortex sheet problem and its formulation as an initial boundary-value problem for hyperbolic conservation law. We will consider the liquid-gas two-phase flow (1.2) in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $(x_1, x_2)$  be the space variable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $v$  and  $u$  represent the components of two velocities,  $\mathbf{u} = (v, u) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, for

$$U = (m, n, \mathbf{u})^T \in (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$

we can define the following matrixes:

$$A_1(U) = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & v & n & 0 \\ \frac{pm}{n} & \frac{pn}{n} & v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2(U) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 & m \\ 0 & u & 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 & u & 0 \\ \frac{pm}{n} & \frac{pn}{n} & 0 & u \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

For simplicity, we write the spatial partial derivatives by

$$\partial_1 = \partial_{x_1}, \quad \partial_2 = \partial_{x_2}.$$

When  $(m, n, \mathbf{u})$  is smooth, (1.2) is equivalent to the following quasilinear form:

$$\partial_t U + A_1(U)\partial_1 U + A_2(U)\partial_2 U = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

It is noted that the system (2.2) or (1.2) is linearly degenerate with respect to the second characteristic field. Hence, we focus on the vortex sheet (contact discontinuity) solutions for the two-phase flow.

**Definition 2.1.**  $(m, n, \mathbf{u})$  is a smooth function of  $(t, x_1, x_2)$  on either side of a smooth surface  $\Gamma := \{x_2 = \varphi(t, x_1), t > 0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then,  $(m, n, \mathbf{u})$  is a weak solution of (1.1) if and only if  $(m, n, \mathbf{u})$  is a classical solution of (1.1) on both side of  $\Gamma$  and the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions hold at each point of  $\Gamma$ :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varphi[m] - [m\mathbf{u} \cdot \nu^T] = 0, \\ \partial_t \varphi[n] - [n\mathbf{u} \cdot \nu^T] = 0, \\ \partial_t \varphi[n\mathbf{u}] - [(n\mathbf{u} \cdot \nu^T)\mathbf{u}] - [p]\nu = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\nu := (-\partial_1 \varphi, 1)$  is a space normal vector to  $\Gamma$ .  $[q] = q^+ - q^-$  denotes the jump of a quantity across the interface  $\Gamma$ .

Here, following definition of contact discontinuity solutions in the sense of Lax [24], we present the definition of a vortex sheet solution of the liquid-gas two-phase flow.

**Definition 2.2.** A piecewise smooth function  $(m, n, \mathbf{u})$  is a rectilinear vortex sheet solution of (1.1) if  $(m, n, \mathbf{u})$  is a classical solution of (1.1) on either side of the surface  $\Gamma$  and the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions (2.3) hold at each point of  $\Gamma$  as follows:

$$\partial_t \varphi = \mathbf{u}^+ \cdot \nu^T = \mathbf{u}^- \cdot \nu^T, \quad p^+ = p^-. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $p$  is monotone, the previous relations read

$$\partial_t \varphi = \mathbf{u}^+ \cdot \nu^T = \mathbf{u}^- \cdot \nu^T, \quad m^+ + n^+ = m^- + n^-. \quad (2.5)$$

Then we can formulate the vortex sheet problem into the following free boundary value problem: determine

$$U^\pm(t, x_1, x_2) = (m^\pm, n^\pm, v^\pm, u^\pm)^T(t, x_1, x_2) \in (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$$

and a free boundary  $\Gamma := \{x_2 = \varphi(t, x_1), t > 0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U^+ + A_1(U^+) \partial_1 U^+ + A_2(U^+) \partial_1 U^+ + A_2(U^+) \partial_2 U^+ = 0, x_2 > \varphi(t, x_1), \\ \partial_t U^- + A_1(U^-) \partial_1 U^- + A_2(U^-) \partial_1 U^- + A_2(U^-) \partial_2 U^- = 0, x_2 < \varphi(t, x_1), \\ U^\pm(0, x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} U_0^+(x_1, x_2), x_2 > \varphi_0(x_1), \\ U_0^-(x_1, x_2), x_2 < \varphi_0(x_1), \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

satisfying the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions on  $\Gamma$ :

$$\partial_t \varphi = -v^+ \partial_1 \varphi + u^+ = -v^- \partial_1 \varphi + u^-, \quad m^+ + n^+ = m^- + n^-, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\varphi_0(x_1) = \varphi(0, x_1)$ . To prove the existence of solutions of vortex sheets for the free boundary problem (2.6) and (2.7), we need to find a solution  $(U, \varphi)(t, x_1, x_2)$  of the problem (2.6) and (2.7) at least locally in time. In this paper, we establish the nonlinear stability of the linearized problem resulting from the linearization of (2.6) and (2.7) around a background vortex sheet (piecewise constant) solution. It is convenient to reformulate the problem in the fixed domain  $\{t \in [0, T], x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 \geq 0\}$  by introducing a change of variables which can be found in [9]. After fixing the unknown front, we construct smooth solutions  $U^\pm = (m^\pm, n^\pm, v^\pm, u^\pm)^T, \Phi^\pm$  from the following system:

$$\partial_t U^\pm + A_1(U^\pm) \partial_1 U^\pm + \frac{1}{\partial_2 \Phi^\pm} [A_2(U^\pm) - \partial_t \Phi^\pm I_{4 \times 4} - \partial_1 \Phi^\pm A_1(U^\pm)] \partial_2 U^\pm = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

in the interior domain  $\{t \in [0, T], x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 > 0\}$  with the boundary conditions:

$$\Phi^+|_{x_2=0} = \Phi^-|_{x_2=0} = \varphi, \quad (2.9)$$

$$(v^+ - v^-)|_{x_2=0} \partial_1 \varphi - (u^+ - u^-)|_{x_2=0} = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\partial_t \varphi + v^+|_{x_2=0} \partial_1 \varphi - u^+|_{x_2=0} = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(m^+ + n^+)|_{x_2=0} - (m^- + n^-)|_{x_2=0} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Here,  $I_{4 \times 4}$  denotes the  $4 \times 4$  identity matrix in (2.8). For the initial data, we write:

$$(m^\pm, n^\pm, v^\pm, u^\pm)|_{t=0} = (m_0^\pm, n_0^\pm, v_0^\pm, u_0^\pm)(x_1, x_2), \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0(x_1). \quad (2.13)$$

The functions  $\Phi^\pm$  should satisfy that:

$$\forall(t, x_1, x_2) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \pm \partial_2 \Phi^\pm(t, x) \geq \kappa > 0, \quad (2.14)$$

for some  $\kappa > 0$ . For contact discontinuities, one can choose the change of variables  $\Phi^\pm$  satisfying the eikonal equations:

$$\partial_t \Phi^\pm + v^\pm \partial_1 \Phi^\pm - u^\pm = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

in the whole closed half-space  $\{x_2 \geq 0\}$ . We can write the equation (2.8) into compact form by defining a differential operator  $\mathbb{L}$ . Then, the system (2.8) becomes

$$\mathbb{L}(U^+, \nabla \Phi^+) U^+ = \mathbb{L}(U^-, \nabla \Phi^-) U^- = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $\nabla\Phi^\pm = (\partial_t\Phi^\pm, \partial_1\Phi^\pm, \partial_2\Phi^\pm)$ . We can also write the system as

$$\mathbb{L}(U, \nabla\Phi)U = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $U$  denotes the vector  $(U^+, U^-)$  and  $\Phi$  for  $(\Phi^+, \Phi^-)$ . The boundary conditions (2.9)-(2.12) can be written into the following compact form:

$$\mathbb{B}(U^+|_{x_2=0}, U^-|_{x_2=0}, \varphi) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

There are many simple solutions of (2.16),(2.18),(2.15),(2.14), that correspond to the stationary rectilinear vortex sheets:

$$(m, n, \mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} (\bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{v}, 0), & \text{if } x_2 > 0, \\ (\bar{m}, \bar{n}, -\bar{v}, 0), & \text{if } x_2 < 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

for the two-phase flow model (1.2) in original variables, where  $\bar{m}, \bar{n}, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}, \bar{m}, \bar{n} > 0$ . Every rectilinear vortex sheet has this form by using Galilean transformations. In the straightened variables, these stationary vortex sheets correspond to the following smooth stationary solution to (2.16),(2.18),(2.15),(2.14):

$$\bar{U}^\pm \equiv (\bar{m}, \bar{n}, \pm\bar{v}, 0)^T, \bar{\Phi}^\pm(t, x) \equiv \pm x_2, \bar{\varphi} \equiv 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Here we assume  $\bar{v} > 0$  without loss of generality. We write (2.20) into the following form:

$$\bar{U}_{r,l} \equiv (\bar{m}_{r,l}, \bar{n}_{r,l}, \pm\bar{v}_r, 0)^T, \bar{\Phi}_{r,l}(t, x) \equiv \pm x_2, \bar{\varphi} \equiv 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Now, we begin to introduce our main result. The notation of the Sobolev norms will be introduced later.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \geq 15$ . Assume that the stationary solution defined by (2.21) satisfies the ‘‘supersonic’’ condition:*

$$\bar{v}_r - \bar{v}_l > \left( \bar{c}_r^{\frac{2}{3}} + \bar{c}_l^{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \bar{v}_r - \bar{v}_l \neq \sqrt{2}(\bar{c}_r + \bar{c}_l), \quad (2.22)$$

where  $\bar{c}_{r,l} = \sqrt{(1 + \frac{\bar{m}_{r,l}}{\bar{n}_{r,l}})p_n(\bar{m}_{r,l}, \bar{n}_{r,l})}$ . Assume that the initial data  $(U_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  have the form  $U_0^\pm = \bar{U}^\pm + \dot{U}_0^\pm$ , with  $\dot{U}_0^\pm \in H_*^{2\alpha+15}(\mathbb{R}_+^2), \varphi_0 \in H^{2\alpha+16}(\mathbb{R})$  and they are compatible up to order  $\alpha + 7$  in the sense of Definition 4.1. Assume that  $(\dot{U}_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  have compact support. Then, there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that, if  $[\dot{U}_0^\pm]_{2\alpha+15,*,T} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{2\alpha+16}} \leq \delta$ , then there exists a solution  $U^\pm = \bar{U}^\pm + \dot{U}^\pm, \Phi^\pm = \pm x_2 + \dot{\Phi}^\pm, \varphi$  of (2.8)-(2.15) on  $[0, T]$ . This solution satisfies  $(\dot{U}^\pm, \dot{\Phi}^\pm) \in H_*^{\alpha-1}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , and  $\varphi \in H^\alpha((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$ .

*Remark 2.1.* It is noted that when the support of  $\varphi_0$  is included in  $[-1, 1]$  and the support of  $\dot{U}_0^\pm$  is included in  $\{x_2 > 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1\}$ . The corresponding solution  $\dot{U}^\pm, \dot{\Phi}^\pm, \varphi$  will have a compact support:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\dot{U}^\pm, \dot{\Phi}^\pm) &\subseteq \{t \in [0, T], x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1 + \lambda_{max}T\}, \\ \text{supp}\varphi &\subseteq \{t \in [0, T], |x_1| \leq 1 + \lambda_{max}T\}, \end{aligned}$$

because of the finite speed of propagation of the two-phase flow equations and the eikonal equation, where  $\lambda_{max}$  is the maximum characteristic speed of the two-phase flow equations around state  $\bar{U}^\pm$ .

*Remark 2.2.* For the critical case  $\bar{v}_r - \bar{v}_l = \sqrt{2}(\bar{c}_r + \bar{c}_l)$ , it is still open for the variable coefficients in linear stability of compressible vortex sheets in two phase flows [26] and non-isentropic Euler equations [31], due to the case of double roots of the Lopatinskiĭ determinant.

### 3. TAME ESTIMATES FOR THE LINEARIZED EQUATIONS

**3.1. Weighted spaces and norms.** First, we introduce weighted Sobolev spaces. Define the half-space

$$\Omega := \{(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3, x_2 > 0\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

The boundary  $\omega := \partial\Omega$  is identified to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We write the norm of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $L^2(\Omega)$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  respectively. For all real number  $s$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ , we define the weighted space:

$$H_\lambda^s(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2) | e^{-\lambda t} u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)\}.$$

It is equipped with the norm  $\|u\|_{H_\lambda^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} := \|e^{-\lambda t} u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ . The space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_\lambda^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$  is equipped with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^s)}^2 := \int_0^{+\infty} \|u(\cdot, x_2)\|_{H_\lambda^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 dx_2.$$

For all fixed time  $T > 0$ , we define  $\omega_T := (-\infty, T) \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Omega_T := \omega_T \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . For all integer  $\bar{s}$ , and  $\lambda \geq 1$ , we define the weighted Sobolev space  $H_\lambda^{\bar{s}}(\Omega_T)$  as:

$$H_\lambda^{\bar{s}}(\Omega_T) := \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2) | e^{-\lambda t} u \in H^{\bar{s}}(\Omega_T)\}.$$

Similarly, we can define  $H_\lambda^{\bar{s}}(\omega_T)$ . The norm on  $H_\lambda^{\bar{s}}(\Omega_T)$  may be defined by

$$\|u\|_{H_\lambda^{\bar{s}}(\Omega_T)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \bar{s}} \lambda^{\bar{s}-|\alpha|} \|e^{-\lambda t} \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}, \quad (3.1)$$

which is equivalent to the norm  $\|e^{-\lambda t} u\|_{H^{\bar{s}}(\Omega_T)}$ . The constant in the equivalence is independent of  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $T$ . The norm on  $H_\lambda^{\bar{s}}(\omega_T)$  is defined in the same way.

Now, we introduce the anisotropic Sobolev space as in [1]. For all integer  $s > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 1$  and multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ , we define

$$H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T) := \{u(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T) : e^{-\lambda t} \partial_*^\alpha \partial_2^k u(t, x_1, x_2) \in L^2(\Omega_T), \text{ for } |\alpha| + 2k \leq s\},$$

which is equipped with the norms

$$[u]_{s,\lambda,T} := \sum_{|\alpha|+2k \leq r, r \leq s} \lambda^{r-|\alpha|-2k} \|e^{-\lambda t} \partial_*^\alpha \partial_2^k u\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}.$$

Here,  $\partial_*^\alpha := \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} (\sigma(x_2) \partial_2)^{\alpha_2}$  and  $\sigma$  is a fixed smooth weighted function such that  $\sigma(0) = 0, \sigma(x_2) = 1$ , if  $x_2 > 1$ . For simplicity, we present the following trace theorem and extension theorem in anisotropic Sobolev space.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $s > 1$  and  $u \in H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T)$ , then  $u|_{x_2=0} \in H_\lambda^{s-1}(\omega_T)$  and*

$$\|u|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^{s-1}(\omega_T)} \leq C[u]_{s,\lambda,T},$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant. Moreover, if  $v \in H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$ , for  $s > 0$ , then there is a  $u \in H_*^{s+1,\lambda}(\Omega_T)$ , such that  $u|_{x_2=0} = v$  and

$$[u]_{s+1,\lambda,T} \leq C\|v\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)},$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant. The same results hold with  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  instead of  $\Omega_T$  and  $\omega_T$ .

It is noted that if  $\lambda$  is fixed, the above space  $H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T)$  is equivalent to the classical anisotropic space  $H_*^s(\Omega_T)$  as follows:

$$H_*^s(\Omega_T) := \{u(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T) : \partial_*^\alpha \partial_2^k u(t, x_1, x_2) \in L^2(\Omega_T) \text{ for } |\alpha| + 2k \leq s\}.$$

It is equipped with the norm

$$[u]_{s,*,T} := \sum_{|\alpha|+2k \leq s} \|\partial_*^\alpha \partial_2^k u\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$[u]_{s,\lambda,T} = [e^{-\lambda t} u]_{s,*,T}.$$

Similarly, we can define  $H_*^s(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  and its norm  $[\cdot]_{s,*,*}$ . Then, we define

$$W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T) := \{u(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T) : \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\partial_*^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} < \infty\},$$

$$W^{2,tan}(\Omega_T) := \{u(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T) : \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\partial_*^\alpha u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} < \infty\},$$

with the norms

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} := \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\partial_*^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)},$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,tan}(\Omega_T)} := \|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \|\partial_*^\alpha u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)},$$

separately. We also introduce the following differential operators:

$$\nabla := (\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2), \nabla^{tan} := (\partial_t, \partial_1), \nabla_*^{tan} := (\partial_t, \partial_1, \sigma \partial_2),$$

for the multi-index  $\beta = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, k)$ , we denote

$$D^\beta = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} (\sigma \partial_2)^{\alpha_2} \partial_2^k.$$

Here we write  $\langle \beta \rangle = |\alpha| + 2k = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2k$ . More details on weighted Sobolev space and anisotropic Sobolev space, refer to Appendix.



**3.2. The effective linearized equations.** We introduce the linearized equations around a state that is given as a perturbation of the stationary solution (2.21). We consider the following functions:

$$U_r = \bar{U}_r + \dot{U}_r(t, x), U_l = \bar{U}_l + \dot{U}_l(t, x), \Phi_r = x_2 + \dot{\Phi}_r(t, x), \Phi_l = -x_2 + \dot{\Phi}_l(t, x), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $U_{r,l}(t, x) \equiv (m_{r,l}, n_{r,l}, v_{r,l}, u_{r,l})^T(t, x)$ ,  $\dot{U}_{r,l}(t, x) \equiv (\dot{m}_{r,l}, \dot{n}_{r,l}, \dot{v}_{r,l}, \dot{u}_{r,l})^T(t, x)$ . The index  $r, l$  represent the states on the right and left of the interface. We assume that the perturbation  $\dot{U}_{r,l}, \dot{\Phi}_{r,l}$  have compact supports:

$$\text{supp}(\dot{U}_{r,l}, \dot{\Phi}_{r,l}) \subseteq \{t \in [-T, 2T], x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1 + 2\lambda_{max}T\}. \quad (3.3)$$

The smoothness of these perturbations will be chosen later on. We also assume that these quantities satisfy the boundary condition (2.9)-(2.12) that is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_r|_{x_2=0} &= \Phi_l|_{x_2=0} = \varphi, \\ (v_r - v_l)|_{x_2=0} \partial_1 \varphi - (u_r - u_l)|_{x_2=0} &= 0, \\ \partial_t \varphi + v_r|_{x_2=0} \partial_1 \varphi - u_r|_{x_2=0} &= 0, \\ (m_r + n_r)|_{x_2=0} - (m_l + n_l)|_{x_2=0} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We assume that the functions  $\Phi_{r,l}$  satisfies the eikonal equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Phi_r + v_r \partial_1 \Phi_r - u_r &= 0, \\ \partial_t \Phi_l + v_l \partial_1 \Phi_l - u_l &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

together with

$$\partial_2 \Phi_r \geq \kappa_0, \partial_2 \Phi_l \leq -\kappa_0, \quad (3.6)$$

for a constant  $\kappa_0 \in (0, 1)$  in the whole closed half-space  $\{x_2 \geq 0\}$ . Let us consider some families  $U_\kappa^\pm = U_{r,l} + \kappa V_\pm$ ,  $\Phi_\kappa^\pm = \Phi_{r,l} + \kappa \Psi_\pm$ , where  $\kappa$  is a small parameter. We compute the linearized equation around the state  $U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l}$ :

$$\mathbb{L}'(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})(V_\pm, \Psi_\pm) := \frac{d}{d\kappa} \mathbb{L}(U_\kappa^\pm, \Phi_\kappa^\pm)|_{\kappa=0} = f_\pm. \quad (3.7)$$

Introducing the ‘‘good unknown’’ as in [1]:

$$\dot{V}_+ = V_+ - \frac{\Psi_+}{\partial_2 \Phi_r} \partial_2 U_r, \dot{V}_- = V_- - \frac{\Psi_-}{\partial_2 \Phi_l} \partial_2 U_l, \quad (3.8)$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}'(U_r, \Phi_r)(V_+, \Psi_+) &= \mathbb{L}(U_r, \nabla \Phi_r) \dot{V}_+ + C(U_r, \nabla U_r, \nabla \Phi_r) \dot{V}_+ + \frac{\Psi_+}{\partial_2 \Phi_r} \partial_2 \{\mathbb{L}(U_r, \nabla \Phi_r) U_r\} = f_+, \\ \mathbb{L}'(U_l, \Phi_l)(V_-, \Psi_-) &= \mathbb{L}(U_l, \nabla \Phi_l) \dot{V}_- + C(U_l, \nabla U_l, \nabla \Phi_l) \dot{V}_- + \frac{\Psi_-}{\partial_2 \Phi_l} \partial_2 \{\mathbb{L}(U_l, \nabla \Phi_l) U_l\} = f_-, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where, for smooth functions  $(U, \Phi)$ , the matrix  $C(U, \nabla U, \nabla \Phi)$  is defined as follows:

$$C(U, \nabla U, \nabla \Phi)X := [dA_1(U)X] \partial_1 U + \frac{1}{\partial_2 \Phi} \{[dA_2(U)X] - \partial_1 \Phi [dA_1(U)X]\} \partial_2 U. \quad (3.10)$$

In particular, the matrices  $C(U_{r,l}, \nabla U_{r,l}, \nabla \Phi_{r,l})$  are  $C^\infty$  function of  $(\dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}_{r,l})$  that vanishes when  $(\dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}_{r,l}) = 0$ . In view of the previous result [31], we neglect the

zero order term in  $\Psi_{\pm}$  in the linearized equation (3.9) and thus we consider the effective linear operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}'_e(U_r, \Phi_r)\dot{V}_+ &:= \mathbb{L}(U_r, \nabla\Phi_r)\dot{V}_+ + C(U_r, \nabla U_r, \nabla\Phi_r)\dot{V}_+ = f_+, \\ \mathbb{L}'_e(U_l, \Phi_l)\dot{V}_- &:= \mathbb{L}(U_l, \nabla\Phi_l)\dot{V}_- + C(U_l, \nabla U_l, \nabla\Phi_l)\dot{V}_- = f_-.\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

We shall solve the nonlinear equation (2.16),(2.18),(2.15),(2.14) by constructing of a sequence of linear equations of the form (3.11). The remaining terms in (3.9) are regarded as error terms in each iteration step. It is important to obtain energy estimate and to define the dual problem. From previous analysis [31], we know that the effective linearized equation (3.9) form a symmetrizable hyperbolic system. The Friedrichs symmetrizer for the operator  $\mathbb{L}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})$  is

$$S_{r,l}(t, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_n}{m_{r,l}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{p_n}{n_{r,l}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n_{r,l} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m_{r,l} \end{pmatrix} (t, x).\tag{3.12}$$

Combining the eikonal equation (3.5), we obtain that

$$\frac{S_r}{\partial_2\Phi_r}[A_2(U_r) - \partial_t\Phi_r I_{4\times 4} - \partial_1\Phi_r A_1(U_r)] = \frac{1}{\partial_2\Phi_r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -p_n\partial_1\Phi_r & p_n \\ 0 & 0 & -p_n\partial_1\Phi_r & p_n \\ -p_n\partial_1\Phi_r & -p_n\partial_1\Phi_r & 0 & 0 \\ p_n & p_n & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\tag{3.13}$$

We thus expect to control the traces of the components  $\dot{V}_{+,1} + \dot{V}_{+,2}$  and  $\dot{V}_{+,4} - \partial_1\Phi_r\dot{V}_{+,3}$  on the boundary  $\{x_2 = 0\}$ . Similarly, we expect to control the traces of the components  $\dot{V}_{-,1} + \dot{V}_{-,2}$  and  $\dot{V}_{-,4} - \partial_1\Phi_l\dot{V}_{-,3}$  on the boundary. Therefore, we introduce the following ‘‘trace operator’’:

$$\mathbb{P}(\varphi)\dot{V}_{\pm}|_{x_2=0} := \begin{pmatrix} \dot{V}_{\pm,1} + \dot{V}_{\pm,2} \\ \dot{V}_{\pm,4} - \partial_1\Phi_{r,l}\dot{V}_{\pm,3} \end{pmatrix} |_{x_2=0}.\tag{3.14}$$

This trace operator is used in the energy estimates for the linearized equations, whose image can be regarded as the ‘‘noncharacteristic’’ part of the vector  $\dot{V}$ . We now turn to the linearized boundary conditions. Let us introduce the matrices:

$$b(t, x_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (v_r - v_l)|_{x_2=0} \\ 1 & v_r|_{x_2=0} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M(t, x_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_1\varphi & -1 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_1\varphi & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_1\varphi & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\tag{3.15}$$

Denote  $V = (V_+, V_-)^T = (m^+, n^+, v^+, u^+, m^-, n^-, v^-, u^-)^T$ ,  $\nabla\psi = (\partial_t\psi, \partial_1\psi)^T$ ,  $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3)^T$ . Then, the linearized boundary conditions become equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^+|_{x_2=0} &= \Psi^-|_{x_2=0} = \psi, \\ \mathbb{B}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})(\dot{V}|_{x_2=0}, \psi) &:= b\nabla\psi + \underbrace{M \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial_2 U_r}{\partial_2 \Phi_r} \\ \frac{\partial_2 U_l}{\partial_2 \Phi_l} \end{pmatrix}}_{b_{\sharp}}|_{x_2=0}\psi + M\dot{V}|_{x_2=0} = g.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

We observe that the operator  $\mathbb{B}'_e$  only involves  $\mathbb{P}(\varphi)\dot{V}_{\pm}|_{x_2=0}$ . Here,  $\mathbb{P}(\varphi)$  is defined by (3.14).

**3.3. The basic  $L^2$  a priori estimate.** In [9], we have already derived  $L^2$  a priori estimate for (3.11) and (3.16). We assume that the perturbations satisfy:

$$\dot{U}_r, \dot{U}_l \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega), \dot{\Phi}_r, \dot{\Phi}_l \in W^{3,\infty}(\Omega), \|(\dot{U}_r, \dot{U}_l)\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} + \|(\dot{\Phi}_r, \dot{\Phi}_l)\|_{W^{3,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq K, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant. Then, we obtain following theorem as in [31]:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that the particular solution defined by (3.2) satisfies supersonic condition (2.22), and the perturbations  $\dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}_{r,l}$  satisfies (3.3),(3.4),(3.5),(3.6),(3.17). Then, there exist some constants  $K_0 > 0, C_0 > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 > 1$ , such that if  $K \leq K_0$  and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , then for the solution  $(\dot{V}, \psi) \in H_\lambda^2(\Omega) \times H_\lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to the linearized problem (3.11) and (3.16) satisfying the following estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \|\dot{V}\|_{L_\lambda^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}(\varphi)\dot{V}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L_\lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ & \leq C_0 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \|\mathbb{L}'_e(U_r, \Phi_r)\dot{V}_+, \mathbb{L}'_e(U_l, \Phi_l)\dot{V}_-\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1)}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|\mathbb{B}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})(\dot{V}|_{x_2=0}, \psi)\|_{H_\lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right) \\ & := C_0 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \|f_\pm\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1)}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|g\|_{H_\lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where the operators  $\mathbb{P}(\varphi), \mathbb{L}'_e, \mathbb{B}'_e$  are defined in (3.14),(3.11),(3.16).

**3.4. Well-posedness of the linearized equations.** In this section, we will show the well-posedness result of [8] to the linearized equations (3.11),(3.16). What we need to do is to check the existence of the dual problem that satisfies an a priori estimate with a loss of one tangential derivative. Now, the definitions of the dual problem of (3.11), (3.16) are given first. On the boundary  $\{x_2 = 0\}$ , the matrix in front of the normal derivative  $\partial_2$  in the operator  $\mathbb{L}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})$  equals:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\partial_2 \Phi_{r,l}} [A_2(U_{r,l}) - \partial_t \Phi_{r,l} I_{4 \times 4} - \partial_1 \Phi_{r,l} A_1(U_{r,l})] |_{x_2=0} = \\ & \frac{1}{\partial_2 \Phi_{r,l} |_{x_2=0}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -m\partial_1 \varphi & m \\ 0 & 0 & -n\partial_1 \varphi & n \\ -\frac{p_m \partial_1 \varphi}{n} & -\frac{p_m \partial_1 \varphi}{n} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{p_m}{n} & \frac{p_m}{n} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where  $m, n$  denote the common trace of  $m_r, m_l, n_r, n_l$ ,  $\varphi$  is the common trace of  $\Phi_r$  and  $\Phi_l$ . Recall that the matrix  $M$  is defined by (3.15), which belongs in Sobolev space  $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Now, we define matrices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} N & := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_1 \varphi & -1 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_1 \varphi & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_1 \varphi & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_1 & := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{n}{\partial_2 \Phi_r} & 0 & 0 & \frac{m}{\partial_2 \Phi_l} & \frac{n}{\partial_2 \Phi_l} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{m}{\partial_2 \Phi_r} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{p_n \partial_1 \varphi}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_r} & \frac{p_n}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_r} & 0 & 0 & \frac{p_m \partial_1 \varphi}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_l} & -\frac{p_m}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_l} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20) \\ N_1 & := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{p_n \partial_1 \varphi}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_r} & \frac{p_n}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_r} & 0 & 0 & \frac{p_m \partial_1 \varphi}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_l} & -\frac{p_m}{2n \partial_2 \Phi_l} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the derivatives of the functions  $\partial_2 \Phi_r, \partial_2 \Phi_l$  are all evaluated on the boundary  $\{x_2 = 0\}$ . These matrices satisfy the relation:

$$\frac{1}{\partial_2 \Phi_{r,l}} [A_2(U_{r,l}) - \partial_t \Phi_{r,l} I_{4 \times 4} - \partial_1 \Phi_{r,l} A_1(U_{r,l})] |_{x_2=0} = M_1^T M + N_1^T N. \quad (3.21)$$

Moreover, using (3.17), we have  $M_1, N_1, N \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We can define a dual problem for (3.11), (3.16) in the following way:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})^* U^\pm = \tilde{f}_\pm, x_2 > 0, \\ N_1 U |_{x_2=0} = 0, \\ \operatorname{div}(b^T M_1 U |_{x_2=0}) - b_{\sharp}^T M_1 U |_{x_2=0} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

where  $N_1, M_1$  are defined in (3.20),  $b$  is defined in (3.15),  $b_{\sharp}$  is defined in (3.16),  $\operatorname{div}$  denotes the divergence operator in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with respect to the variables  $(t, x_1)$  and the dual operator  $\mathbb{L}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})^*$  are formal adjoints of  $\mathbb{L}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})$ . As in [10, 31], we can prove following well-posedness result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $T > 0$  be any fixed constant. Assume that the background solution (2.21) satisfies (2.22) and the perturbation  $\dot{U}_{r,l}, \dot{\Phi}_{r,l}$  satisfy (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.17). Then, there exist positive constants  $K_0 > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 > 1$ , independent of  $T$ , such that, if  $K \leq K_0$ , then, for the source term  $f_{\pm} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1_\lambda(\omega_T))$  and  $g \in H^1_\lambda(\omega_T)$  that vanish for  $t < 0$ , the problem:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l}) \dot{V}_\pm = f_\pm, t < T, x_2 > 0, \\ \mathbb{B}'_e(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})(\dot{V} |_{x_2=0}, \psi) = g, t < T, x_2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

has a unique solution  $(\dot{V}_\pm, \psi) \in L^2_\lambda(\Omega_T) \times H^1_\lambda(\omega_T)$  vanishes for  $t < 0$  and satisfies  $\mathbb{P}(\varphi) \dot{V}_\pm |_{x_2=0} \in L^2_\lambda(\omega_T)$ . Moreover, the following estimate holds for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have:

$$\lambda \|\dot{V}\|_{L^2_\lambda(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|\mathbb{P}(\varphi) \dot{V}_\pm |_{x_2=0}\|_{L^2_\lambda(\omega_t)}^2 + \|\psi\|_{H^1_\lambda(\omega_t)}^2 \lesssim \lambda^{-3} \|f_\pm\|_{L^2(H^1_\lambda(\omega_t))}^2 + \lambda^{-2} \|g\|_{H^1_\lambda(\omega_t)}^2. \quad (3.24)$$

**3.5. An equivalent formulation of the linearized equations.** For simplicity, we transform the interior equation (3.11) in order to deal with a hyperbolic operator that has a constant diagonal boundary matrix. We refer [31] for details. There exists a non-orthogonal matrix  $T(U, \nabla \Phi)$ , which is defined as follows:

$$T(U, \nabla \Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{m}{n} \langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle & \frac{m}{n} \langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle \\ -1 & 0 & \langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle & \langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{c(m,n)}{n} \partial_1 \Phi & \frac{c(m,n)}{n} \partial_1 \Phi \\ 0 & \partial_1 \Phi & \frac{c(m,n)}{n} & -\frac{c(m,n)}{n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.25)$$

Here,  $\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + (\partial_1 \Phi_i)^2}$ ,  $c(m, n) = \sqrt{(1 + \frac{m}{n})p_n}$ . Through direct calculations, the inverse  $T^{-1}(U, \nabla \Phi)$  is

$$T^{-1}(U, \nabla \Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n}{m+n} & -\frac{n}{m+n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle^2} & \frac{\partial_1 \Phi}{\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle^2} \\ \frac{n}{2(m+n)\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle} & \frac{n}{2(m+n)\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle} & -\frac{n}{2c(m,n)} \frac{\partial_1 \Phi}{\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle^2} & \frac{n}{2c(m,n)} \frac{1}{\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle^2} \\ \frac{n}{2(m+n)\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle} & \frac{n}{2(m+n)\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle} & \frac{n}{2c(m,n)} \frac{\partial_1 \Phi}{\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle^2} & -\frac{n}{2c(m,n)} \frac{1}{\langle \partial_1 \Phi \rangle^2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.26)$$

We can define new variables as follows:

$$W_+ := T^{-1}(U_r, \nabla \Phi_r) \dot{V}_+, \quad W_- := T^{-1}(U_l, \nabla \Phi_l) \dot{V}_-, \quad (3.27)$$

then, those vectors solve the systems:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^r \partial_t W_+ + A_1^r \partial_1 W_+ + I_2 \partial_2 W_+ + A_0^r C^r W_+ &= F_+, \\ A_0^l \partial_t W_- + A_1^l \partial_1 W_- + I_2 \partial_2 W_- + A_0^l C^l W_- &= F_-, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where the matrices  $A_0^{r,l}, A_1^{r,l}$  belongs to  $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$  and the matrix  $C^{r,l}$  belongs to  $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ . Moreover,  $A_0^{r,l}, A_1^{r,l}$  are  $C^\infty$  functions of  $(\dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}_{r,l})$ , while  $C^{r,l}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $(\dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{U}_{r,l}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}_{r,l}, \nabla^2 \dot{\Phi}_{r,l})$  that vanishes at the origin.  $I_2$  is defined as:

$$I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.29)$$

The source terms  $F_\pm$  are defined by:

$$F_\pm(t, x) = A_0^{r,l} T^{-1}(U_{r,l}, \nabla \Phi_{r,l}) f_\pm(t, x). \quad (3.30)$$

The system (3.28) is equivalent to (3.11) because  $A_0^{r,l}$  are invertible. It is noted that the source term in (3.28) differ from the source term (3.11) by an invertible matrix. Using the vector  $W = (W_+, W_-)^T$ , the linearized boundary conditions (3.16) become:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_+|_{x_2=0} &= \Psi_-|_{x_2=0} = \psi, \\ b \nabla \psi + b_\sharp \psi + \mathbf{M} W|_{x_2=0} &= g, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where we denote

$$\mathbf{M} := M \begin{pmatrix} T(U_r, \nabla \Phi_r) & 0 \\ 0 & T(U_l, \nabla \Phi_l) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.32)$$

The matrices  $b$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  belong to  $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and the vector  $b_\sharp$  belongs to  $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Moreover,  $b$  is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $\dot{U}_{r,l}|_{x_2=0}$ ,  $\mathbf{M}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $(\dot{U}_{r,l}|_{x_2=0}, \partial_1 \varphi)$ ,  $b_\sharp$  is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $(\partial_2 \dot{U}_{r,l}|_{x_2=0}, \partial_1 \varphi, \partial_2 \dot{\Phi}_{r,l}|_{x_2=0})$  that vanishes at the origin. The new boundary conditions (3.31) only involve the ‘‘noncharacteristic’’ part of the vector  $W = (W_+, W_-)^T$ , the sub-vector  $W^{nc} := (W_{+,3}, W_{+,4}, W_{-,3}, W_{-,4})^T$ . These are the components whose trace can be controlled on the boundary  $\{x_2 = 0\}$ . We always assume that  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and  $K \leq K_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  and  $K_0$  are given in Theorem 3.2. Then, we can write (3.24) as follows:

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \|W\|_{L_\lambda^2(\Omega_T)} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L_\lambda^2(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^1(\omega_T)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|F_\pm\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))} + \lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{H_\lambda^1(\omega_T)}. \quad (3.33)$$

From the linear analysis [31], we know that there is at least one order of loss of tangential derivative, therefore, we need to resort Nash-Moser technique in the nonlinear analysis. First, we derive a *priori* estimates. To guarantee the argument, we strengthen the smallness assumption on the perturbed background states as

$$[(\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi})]_{10, \lambda, T} \leq K. \quad (3.34)$$

It is noted that  $[\cdot]$  is the  $s$ th order norm of anisotropic Sobolev space  $H_*^{s, \lambda}(\Omega_T)$ . (3.17) implies (3.34) up to some trivial extension in time. For simplicity, we denote  $\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi}$ , instead of  $\dot{U}_{r, l}, \dot{\Phi}_{r, l}$ .

**3.6. A *priori* estimate of tangential derivatives.** Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \in [1, \mu]$  be a fixed integer. Applying the tangential derivative  $D^\beta = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1}$  with  $l = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1$  to system (3.28) yields the equation for  $D^\beta W_\pm$  that involve the linear term of the derivatives,  $D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_t W_\pm$  and  $D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_1 W_\pm$ , with  $|\beta| = 1$ . These terms cannot be treated simply as source terms, owing to the loss of derivatives in the energy estimate (3.33). To overcome such difficulty, we adopt the idea of [10] and deal with a boundary value problem for all the tangential derivatives of order equal to  $l$ , *i.e.*, for  $W^{(l)} := \{\partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1}, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = l\}$ . Such a problem satisfies the same regularity and stability properties as the original problem (3.28) and (3.31). We can find that  $W^{(l)}$  obeys an energy estimate similar to (3.33) with new source terms  $\mathcal{F}^{(l)}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(l)}$ . Then, we can use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Moser-type inequalities in [10] to derive the following estimate for the tangential derivatives.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $T > 0, s \in \mathbb{N}, s \geq 1$ . Then, there exist two constants  $C_s > 0$  and  $\lambda_s \geq 1$  that do not depend on  $T$ , such that for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_s$  and if for all  $(W, \psi) \in H_\lambda^{s+2}(\Omega_T) \times H_\lambda^{s+2}(\omega_T)$  is solution to (3.28), (3.31), the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\lambda} \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^s(\omega_T))} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \\ & \leq C_s \{ \lambda^{-1} \|g\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} + \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|F\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T))} \\ & \quad + \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|W\|_{W^{1, \tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{H_\lambda^{s+2}(\Omega_T)} \\ & \quad + \lambda^{-1} (\|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\omega_T)}) \|(\dot{U}, \partial_2 \dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Proof: We differentiate (3.28) with the  $l$ th order tangential derivatives  $D^\beta = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1}$ , with the multi-index  $\beta = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, 0, 0)$ ,  $l = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1$  and  $0 \leq l \leq s$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & A_0 \partial_t D^\beta W + A_1 \partial_1 D^\beta W + I_2 \partial_2 D^\beta W + C D^\beta W \\ & + \sum_{\langle \beta' \rangle = 1, \beta' \leq \beta} c_{\beta'} \left[ D^{\beta'} A_0 D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_t W + D^{\beta'} A_1 D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_1 W \right] = D^\beta F \\ & + \sum_{\langle \beta' \rangle \geq 2, \beta' \leq \beta} c_{\beta'} \left[ D^{\beta'} A_0 D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_t W + D^{\beta'} A_1 D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_1 W \right] + \sum_{\langle \beta' \rangle \geq 1, \beta' \leq \beta} c_{\beta'} \left[ D^{\beta'} C D^{\beta-\beta'} W \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where  $c_{\beta'}$  is a fixed constant which only depends on  $\beta'$ . All the terms on the left hand side of (3.36) are the  $l$  and  $l+1$ th order derivatives, and all the terms on the right hand side of the equation are no more than  $l$ th derivatives. Then, we define the vector

$$W^{(l)} = \{\partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} W, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = l\},$$

Hence, we can combine all the  $l$ th order derivatives of the interior equations (3.28) into system

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \partial_t W^{(l)} + \mathcal{A}_1 \partial_1 W^{(l)} + \mathcal{I} \partial_2 W^{(l)} + \mathcal{C} W^{(l)} = \mathcal{F}^{(l)}, \quad (3.37)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  are block diagonal matrices with blocks  $A_0, A_1$  and  $I_2$  respectively,  $\mathcal{F}^{(l)}$  denotes all the terms on the right hand side of the above equation. In terms of the boundary conditions, we can also apply the  $l$ th order tangential derivatives to the boundary conditions to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{b} \nabla D^\beta \psi + b_\# D^\beta \psi + \mathbf{M} D^\beta W^{nc}|_{x_2=0} &= D^\beta g + \\ + \sum_{\langle \beta' \rangle \geq 1, \beta' \leq \beta} c_{\beta'} &\left[ D^{\beta'} \mathbf{M} D^{\beta-\beta'} W^{nc}|_{x_2=0} + D^{\beta'} \underline{b} \nabla D^{\beta-\beta'} \psi + D^{\beta'} b_\# D^{\beta-\beta'} \psi \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Then, combining all the derivatives of the boundary conditions, we can write (3.38) into

$$\mathcal{B} \nabla \psi^{(l)} + \mathcal{B}_\# \psi^{(l)} + \mathcal{M} W^{(l),nc}|_{x_2=0} = \mathcal{G}^{(l)}. \quad (3.39)$$

Adopting the same idea in [10], we can easily verify that the new system has the same leading order derivatives as (3.28) and (3.31). Hence, it is straightforward to obtain that the similar estimate as (3.33).

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\lambda} \|W^{(l)}\|_{L_\lambda^2(\Omega_T)} + \|W^{(l),nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L_\lambda^2(\omega_T)} + \|\psi^{(l)}\|_{H_\lambda^1(\omega_T)} \\ \leq C_l (\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathcal{F}^{(l)}\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))} + \lambda^{-1} \|\mathcal{G}^{(l)}\|_{H_\lambda^1(\omega_T)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Now, we are ready to estimate  $\mathcal{F}^{(l)}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(l)}$  which are the right hand side of (3.36), (3.39). First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\beta F\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))} &\leq \lambda \|D^\beta F\|_{L_\lambda^2(\Omega_T)} + \|\nabla^{tan} D^\beta F\|_{L_\lambda^2(\Omega_T)} \leq \|F\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T))}, \\ \|D^\beta g\|_{H_\lambda^1(\omega_T)} &\leq \|g\|_{H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we omit the subscripts  $r, l, \pm$ . We focus on the term  $D^{\beta'} A_0 \partial_t D^{\beta-\beta'} W$  in  $L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))$ , where  $\beta' \leq \beta, \langle \beta' \rangle \geq 2$ . For fixed  $x_2 > 0$ , we apply the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities (Theorem A.1 and Theorem A.3). Then, we integrate with respect to  $x_2$ . Decomposing  $\beta' = \beta'' + \beta_1, \langle \beta_1 \rangle = 1$ . Noticing that  $D^{\beta_1} A_0$  is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}, \nabla^{tan} \dot{U}, \nabla^{tan} \nabla \dot{\Phi})$  that vanishes at the origin and  $\|(\dot{U}_r, \dot{U}_l)\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega_T)} + \|(\dot{\Phi}_r, \dot{\Phi}_l)\|_{W^{3,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \leq [(\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi})]_{10,\lambda,T} \leq K$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{\beta'} A_0(x_2)\|_{L_\lambda^p(\omega_T)} &\leq C(K) \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}, \nabla^{tan} \dot{U}, \nabla^{tan} \nabla \dot{\Phi})(x_2)\|_{H_\lambda^{l-1}(\omega_T)}^{\frac{2}{p}}, \frac{2}{p} = \frac{\langle \beta' \rangle - 1}{\langle \beta \rangle - 1}, \\ \|D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_t W(x_2)\|_{L_\lambda^q(\omega_T)} &\leq C \|\partial_t W(x_2)\|_{L_\infty^p(\omega_T)}^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\partial_t W(x_2)\|_{H_\lambda^{l-1}(\omega_T)}^{\frac{2}{q}}, \frac{2}{q} = \frac{\langle \beta \rangle - \langle \beta' \rangle}{\langle \beta \rangle - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and integrating with the respect to  $x_2$ , we obtain:

$$\|D^{\beta'} A_0 \partial_t D^{\beta-\beta'} W\|_{L_\lambda^2(\Omega_T)} \leq C(K) \{ \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} \}. \quad (3.41)$$

Decomposing  $\beta' = \beta'' + \beta_2, \langle \beta_2 \rangle = 2$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t (D^{\beta'} A_0 \partial_t D^{\beta-\beta'} W)\|_{L_\lambda^2(\Omega_T)} \\ \leq C(K) \{ \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T))} \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_1(D^{\beta'} A_0 \partial_t D^{\beta-\beta'} W)\|_{L^2_\lambda(\Omega_T)} \\ & \leq C(K) \{ \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T))} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^{\beta'} A_0 D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_t W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))} \\ & \leq C(K) \{ \lambda \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T))} \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^{\beta'} A_1 D^{\beta-\beta'} \partial_1 W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))} \\ & \leq C(K) \{ \lambda \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T))} \}, \\ & \|D^{\beta'} C D^{\beta-\beta'} W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))}, \\ & \leq C(K) \{ \lambda \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}, \nabla^{tan} \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T))} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

From all the above estimates, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}^{(l)}\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^1(\omega_T))} \\ & \leq C(K) \{ \|F\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T))} + \lambda \|W\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^l(\omega_T))} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})\|_{L^2(H_\lambda^{l+2}(\omega_T))} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Similarly, we estimate the right hand side of (3.38) and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{G}^{(l)}\|_{H_\lambda^1(\omega_T)} \leq C(K) \{ \|g\|_{H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T)} \\ & + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^l(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T)} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}|_{x_2=0}, \nabla \psi)\|_{H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T)} \\ & + \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)} \|(\dot{U}, \partial_2 \dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^{l+1}(\omega_T)} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Inserting (3.46) and (3.47) into (3.40), multiplying the obtained inequality by  $\lambda^{\mu-l}$ , summing over  $l = 0, \dots, \mu$ , choosing  $\lambda$  large enough, we can complete the proof of the Lemma 3.2.

**3.7. Weighted normal derivatives.** In order to obtain the estimate on the normal derivatives in anisotropic Sobolev space, we first need to estimate  $[\partial_2 W^{nc}]_{s-1,\lambda,T}$ . So, we rewrite (3.28):

$$I_2 \partial_2 W_\pm = F_\pm - A_0^{r,l} \partial_t W_\pm - A_1^{r,l} \partial_1 W_\pm - A_0^{r,l} C^{r,l} W_\pm.$$

We drop the superscripts  $r, l$  and subscripts  $\pm$ . Noting that  $I_2 = \text{diag}\{0, 0, 1, 1\}$ , we have

$$[\partial_2 W^{nc}]_{s-1,\lambda,T} \leq C \{ [F]_{s-1,\lambda,T} + [A_0 \partial_t W]_{s-1,\lambda,T} + [A_1 \partial_1 W]_{s-1,\lambda,T} + [A_0 C W]_{s-1,\lambda,T} \}.$$

Then, using the Theorem A.6 and Theorem A.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A_0 \partial_t W]_{s-1,\lambda,T} & \leq [A_0 W]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \{ \|A_0\|_{W^{1,tan}} [W]_{s,\lambda,T} + [A_0]_{s,\lambda,T} \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}} \}, \\ [A_1 \partial_1 W]_{s-1,\lambda,T} & \leq [A_1 W]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \{ \|A_1\|_{W^{1,tan}} [W]_{s,\lambda,T} + [A_1]_{s,\lambda,T} \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}} \}, \\ [A_0 C W]_{s-1,\lambda,T} & \leq C \{ \|A_0 C\|_{W^{1,tan}} [W]_{s-1,\lambda,T} + [A_0 C]_{s-1,\lambda,T} \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}} \}, \end{aligned}$$



Since we know  $A_0^{r,l}$  and  $A_0^{r,l}$  are  $C^\infty$  functions of  $(\dot{U}^{r,l}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}^{r,l})$ ,  $C^{r,l}$  are  $C^\infty$  functions of  $(\dot{U}^{r,l}, \nabla \dot{U}^{r,l}, \nabla \dot{\Phi}^{r,l}, \nabla^{tan} \nabla \dot{\Phi}^{r,l})$  which vanish at the origin. By the assumption (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A_0 \partial_t W]_{s-1, \lambda, T} &\leq C\{[W]_{s, \lambda, T} + [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{s, \lambda, T} \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}\}, \\ [A_1 \partial_1 W]_{s-1, \lambda, T} &\leq C\{[W]_{s, \lambda, T} + [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{s, \lambda, T} \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}\}, \\ [A_0 C W]_{s-1, \lambda, T} &\leq C\{[W]_{s-1, \lambda, T} + [(\dot{U})]_{s+1, \lambda, T} + [\nabla \dot{\Phi}]_{s, \lambda, T} \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$[\partial_2 W^{nc}]_{s-1, \lambda, T} \leq C\{[F]_{s-1, \lambda, T} + [W]_{s, \lambda, T} + [(\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi})]_{s+2, \lambda, T} \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}\}. \quad (3.48)$$

Then, we want to estimate the  $s$ th order derivatives in anisotropic Sobolev space with weight on  $x_2$  derivative. Multiplying  $A_0^{-1}$  to (3.28) and rewrite this equation in terms of the new variable  $\tilde{W} := e^{-\lambda t} W$ . Hence, we have

$$\lambda \tilde{W} + \partial_t \tilde{W} + A_0^{-1} A_1 \partial_1 \tilde{W} + A_0^{-1} I_2 \partial_2 \tilde{W} + C \tilde{W} = A_0^{-1} \tilde{F}. \quad (3.49)$$

Here  $\tilde{F} = e^{-\lambda t} F$ . Now, we consider  $D^\beta$  with  $\beta = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, k)$ , where we require  $\alpha_2 \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq \langle \beta \rangle \leq s$ . We differentiate (3.49) by  $D^\beta$ . Then, multiplying  $D^\beta \tilde{W}$  and integrating the equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_t D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, A_0^{-1} A_1 \partial_1 D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, A_0^{-1} I_2 \partial_2 D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle \\ &+ \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta (C \tilde{W}) \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, [D^\beta, A_0^{-1} A_1] \partial_1 \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, [D^\beta, A_0^{-1} I_2] \partial_2 \tilde{W} \rangle \\ &- \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \alpha_2 \sigma' A_0^{-1} I_2 \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} (\sigma \partial_2)^{\alpha_2-1} \partial_2^{k+1} \tilde{W} \rangle = \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta \tilde{F} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

Here, we write the commutator  $[a, b]c := a(bc) - b(ac)$ . For the terms above, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle &= \lambda \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2, \\ \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_t D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \partial_t ((D^\beta \tilde{W})^T D^\beta \tilde{W}) dx dt = \frac{1}{2} \|D^\beta \tilde{W}(T)\|_{\mathbb{R}_+^2}^2, \\ \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, A_0^{-1} A_1 \partial_1 D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\infty^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \partial_1 \left( (D^\beta \tilde{W})^T A_0^{-1} A_1 D^\beta \tilde{W} \right) dx dt \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_1 (A_0^{-1} A_1) D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle \leq C(K) \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2, \\ \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta (C \tilde{W}) \rangle &\leq \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + [C W]_{s, \lambda, T}^2 \\ &\leq C(K) \{[W]_{s, \lambda, T}^2 + \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}^2 (1 + [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{s+2, \lambda, T}^2)\}, \\ \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta \tilde{F} \rangle &\leq \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|D^\beta \tilde{F}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ , we have  $D^\beta \tilde{W}|_{x_2=0} = 0$ . So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, A_0^{-1} I_2 \partial_2 D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \partial_2 \left( (D^\beta \tilde{W})^T A_0^{-1} I_2 D^\beta \tilde{W} \right) dx dt \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_2 (A_0^{-1} I_2) D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle \leq C(K) \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term on the left hand side of (3.50), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \alpha_2 \sigma' A_0^{-1} I_2 \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} (\sigma \partial_2)^{\alpha_2-1} \partial_2^{k+1} \tilde{W} \rangle \\ & \leq \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + C(K) \|\partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} (\sigma \partial_2)^{\alpha_2-1} \partial_2^{k+1} \tilde{W}^{nc}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \\ & \leq \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + C(K) [\partial_2 W^{nc}]_{s-1, \lambda, T}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\partial_2 W^{nc}]_{s-1, \lambda, T}$  can be estimated by (3.48). Next, we turn to estimate the terms involving commutators.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, [D^\beta, A_0^{-1} A_1] \partial_1 \tilde{W} \rangle \\ & \lesssim \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha'|=1} \|\partial_*^{\alpha'} (A_0^{-1} A_1) D^{\beta-\alpha'} \partial_1 \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \sum_{\langle \beta' \rangle=2} [D^{\beta'} (A_0^{-1} A_1) \partial_1 \tilde{W}]_{s-2, T}^2 \\ & \leq C(K) \{ [W]_{s, \lambda, T}^2 + \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}^2 (1 + [(\dot{U}, \nabla^{tan} \dot{\Phi})]_{s+1, \lambda, T}^2) \}. \\ & \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, [D^\beta, A_0^{-1} I_2] \partial_2 \tilde{W} \rangle \\ & \lesssim \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha'|=1} \|\partial_*^{\alpha'} (A_0^{-1} I_2) D^{\beta-\alpha'} \partial_2 \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \sum_{\langle \beta' \rangle=2} [D^{\beta'} (A_0^{-1} I_2) \partial_2 \tilde{W}]_{s-2, T}^2 \\ & \leq C(K) \{ [W]_{s, \lambda, T}^2 + [\partial_2 W^{nc}]_{s-1, \lambda, T}^2 + \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}^2 (1 + [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{s+1, \lambda, T}^2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|D^\beta \tilde{W}(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 \\ & \leq C(K) \{ [W]_{s, \lambda, T}^2 + \|W\|_{W^{1, tan}}^2 (1 + [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{s+2, \lambda, T}^2) + [F]_{s, \lambda, T} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

**3.8. Unweighted normal derivatives.** In this section, we estimate the  $s$ th order unweighted derivatives in anisotropic Sobolev space on  $x_2$  derivative. We consider the case when  $\alpha_2 = 0, k > 1$  in  $\beta$ . Then, we apply  $D^\beta = \partial_{tan}^\alpha \partial_2^k = \partial_t^{\alpha_0} \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \partial_2^k$  to the (3.49) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_t D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, A_0^{-1} A_1 \partial_1 D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, A_0^{-1} I_2 \partial_2 D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle \\ & + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta (C \tilde{W}) \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, [D^\beta, A_0^{-1} A_1] \partial_1 \tilde{W} \rangle + \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, [D^\beta, A_0^{-1} I_2] \partial_2 \tilde{W} \rangle \\ & = \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, D^\beta \tilde{F} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

Here, we adopt similar procedure in estimating the derivatives with weight on  $x_2$  derivative. Now, we only focus on the fourth term.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, A_0^{-1} I_2 \partial_2 D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_\infty^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \partial_2 \left( (D^\beta \tilde{W})^T A_0^{-1} I_2 D^\beta \tilde{W} \right) dx dt - \frac{1}{2} \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_2 (A_0^{-1} I_2) D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_T} (D^\beta \tilde{W})^T A_0^{-1} I_2 D^\beta \tilde{W} |_{x_2=0} dx_1 dt - \frac{1}{2} \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_2 (A_0^{-1} I_2) D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

We have already obtained that

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle D^\beta \tilde{W}, \partial_2 (A_0^{-1} I_2) D^\beta \tilde{W} \rangle \leq C(K) \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2.$$

Then, we estimate the integral on  $\omega_T$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_T} (D^\beta \tilde{W})^T A_0^{-1} I_2 D^\beta \tilde{W}|_{x_2=0} dx_1 dt \leq C(K) \|D^\beta \tilde{W}^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2 \\
& \leq C(K) \|\partial_{tan}^\alpha \partial_2^{k-1} (\tilde{F} - \lambda A_0 \tilde{W} - A_0 \partial_t \tilde{W} - A_1 \partial_1 \tilde{W} - A_0 C \tilde{W})|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}^2 \\
& \leq C(K) \int_0^\infty \int_{\omega_T} \partial_2 |\partial_{tan}^\alpha \partial_2^{k-1} (\tilde{F} - \lambda A_0 \tilde{W} - A_0 \partial_1 \tilde{W} - A_1 \partial_1 \tilde{W} - A_0 C \tilde{W})|^2 dx_1 dt dx_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

It is noted that there are at most  $s+1$ th order derivatives of anisotropic Sobolev space in the above integral. So using the previous method, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \|D^\beta \tilde{W}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|D^\beta \tilde{W}(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 \\
& \leq C(K) \{ [W]_{s,\lambda,T}^2 + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}}^2 (1 + [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{s+2,\lambda,T}^2) + [F]_{s,\lambda,T} \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

**3.9. The a priori tame estimate.** From the above analysis (3.35),(3.51),(3.55) , we can prove the following tame estimate:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $T > 0, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that the background solution (2.19) satisfies (2.22). Assume that the perturbation  $\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi}$  in (3.3),(3.17) Then there exists a constant  $K_0 > 0$ , that does not depend on  $s$  and  $T$ , and there exist two constants  $C_s > 0$  and  $\lambda_s \geq 1$  that depend on  $s$  but not on  $T$ , such that if  $K \leq K_0, \lambda \geq \lambda_s$ , and if  $(\dot{V}_\pm, \psi) \in H_\lambda^s(\Omega_T) \times H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)$  is a solution to (3.11),(3.16), then the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\lambda} [\dot{V}]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\dot{V}^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \\
& \leq C_s \{ [f]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} + ([f]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)}) [(\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi})]_{s+4,\lambda,T} \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Proof: Combining (3.35),(3.51),(3.55),we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\lambda} [W]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \\
& \leq C(K) \{ [F]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}} [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{s+2,\lambda,T} \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)}) \|(\dot{U}, \partial_2 \dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

To absorb  $\|W\|_{W^{1,tan}}, \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}$  and  $\|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)}$  on the right, we take  $s = 5$  and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\lambda} [W]_{5,\lambda,T} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^5(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} \\
& \leq C(K) \{ [F]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}} [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{7,\lambda,T} \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)}) \|(\dot{U}, \partial_2 \dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|W\|_{W^{1,tan}} \leq [W]_{5,\lambda,T}$  and we assume  $\|(\dot{U}, \partial_2 \dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} + [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{7,\lambda,T} \leq [(\dot{U}, \nabla \dot{\Phi})]_{10,\lambda,T} \leq K_0$ , we have

$$\sqrt{\lambda} [W]_{5,\lambda,T} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^5(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} \leq C(K) \{ [F]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} \}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\lambda}[W]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + \|\psi\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \leq C(K)\{[F]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \\ & + ([F]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)})\|(\dot{U}, \nabla\dot{\Phi})\|_{s+2,\lambda,T} + \|(\dot{U}, \partial_2\dot{U}, \nabla\dot{\Phi})|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)}\} \\ & \leq C(K)\{[F]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} + ([F]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)})\|(\dot{U}, \nabla\dot{\Phi})\|_{s+4,\lambda,T}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $s \geq 5$ . From (3.28), we know  $W_\pm := T^{-1}\dot{V}_\pm$  and  $F_\pm = A_0^{r,l}T^{-1}f_\pm$ . Then, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\dot{V}\|_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C(K)\{\|T\|_{W^{1,tan}}[W]_{s,\lambda,T} + [T]_{s,\lambda,T}\|W\|_{W^{1,tan}}\} \\ & \leq C(K)\{[W]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|W\|_{W^{1,tan}}\|(\dot{U}, \nabla^{tan}\dot{\Phi})\|_{s,\lambda,T}\}, \\ & \|\dot{V}^n|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C(K)\{\|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + \|W^{nc}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}\|(\dot{U}, \nabla^{tan}\dot{\Phi})|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)}\}. \\ & [F]_{s+1,\lambda,T} \leq C(K)\{[f]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + \|f\|_{W^{1,tan}}\|(\dot{U}, \nabla\dot{\Phi})\|_{s+1,\lambda,T}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the above estimates, we complete the proof of (3.56).

#### 4. COMPATIBILITY CONDITIONS FOR THE INITIAL DATA

**4.1. The compatibility conditions.** Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given initial data

$$U_0^\pm = (m_0^\pm, n_0^\pm, v_0^\pm, u_0^\pm)^T,$$

such that  $U_0^\pm = \bar{U}^\pm + \dot{U}_0^\pm$ , with  $\dot{U}_0^\pm \in H_*^{2\mu+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , and  $\varphi_0 \in H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})$ , we need to prescribe the necessary compatibility conditions for the existence of a smooth solution  $(U^\pm, \Phi^\pm)$  to (2.8)-(2.15). We also assume without loss of generality that the initial data  $\dot{U}_0^\pm$  and  $\varphi_0$  have compact support:

$$\text{supp}\dot{U}_0^\pm \subseteq \{x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1\}, \text{supp}\varphi_0 \subseteq [-1, 1]. \quad (4.1)$$

Let us extend  $\varphi_0$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  by constructing  $\dot{\Phi}_0^+ = \dot{\Phi}_0^- \in H_*^{2\mu+3}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , that satisfy  $\dot{\Phi}_0^\pm|_{x_2=0} = \varphi_0$  and the estimate:

$$[\dot{\Phi}_0^\pm]_{2\mu+3,*} \leq C\|\varphi_0\|_{H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (4.2)$$

Up to multiplying  $\dot{\Phi}_0^\pm$  by a  $C^\infty$  function with compact support (whose choice only depends on support of  $\varphi_0$ ), we may assume that  $\dot{\Phi}_0^\pm$  satisfy:

$$\text{supp}\dot{\Phi}_0^\pm \subseteq \{x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1 + \frac{\lambda_{max}}{2}T\}. \quad (4.3)$$

We define  $\Phi_0^\pm := \pm x_2 + \dot{\Phi}_0^\pm$ . Taking  $\mu \geq 2$ , for  $\varphi_0$  small enough in  $H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})$ , the Sobolev imbedding Theorem yields that:

$$\pm \partial_2 \Phi_0^\pm \geq \frac{7}{8}, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+^2. \quad (4.4)$$

For eikonal equation (2.15), we prescribe the initial data:

$$\Phi^\pm|_{t=0} = \Phi_0^\pm \quad (4.5)$$

in the space domain  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ . We define the traces of the  $l$ th order time derivatives on  $t = 0$  by

$$\dot{U}_l^\pm := \partial_t^l \dot{U}^\pm|_{t=0}, \dot{\Phi}_l^\pm := \partial_t^l \dot{\Phi}^\pm|_{t=0}, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To introduce the compatibility conditions, we need to determine the traces  $\dot{U}_l^\pm$  and  $\dot{\Phi}_l^\pm$  in terms of the initial data  $\dot{U}_0^\pm$  and  $\dot{\Phi}_0^\pm$  through (2.8) and (2.15). For this purpose, we set  $\mathcal{W}^\pm := (\dot{U}, \nabla_x \dot{U}, \nabla_x \dot{\Phi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{14}$  and rewrite (2.8) and (2.15) as

$$\partial_t \dot{U}^\pm = F_1(\mathcal{W}^\pm), \partial_t \dot{\Phi}^\pm = F_2(\mathcal{W}^\pm), \quad (4.6)$$

where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are suitable  $C^\infty$  functions that vanish at the origin. After applying the operator to (4.6), we take the traces at  $t = 0$ . Hence, we can apply the generalized Faà di Bruno's formula [25] to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{U}_{l+1}^\pm &= \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^{14}, |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_l| = l} D^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_l} F_1(\mathcal{W}_0^\pm) \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{l!}{\alpha_i!} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_i^\pm}{i!}\right)^{\alpha_i}, \\ \dot{\Phi}_{l+1}^\pm &= \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^{14}, |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_l| = l} D^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_l} F_2(\mathcal{W}_0^\pm) \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{l!}{\alpha_i!} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_i^\pm}{i!}\right)^{\alpha_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_i^\pm$  denotes the traces  $(\dot{U}_i, \nabla_x \dot{U}_i, \nabla_x \dot{\Phi}_i)$  at  $t = 0$ . From, (4.7), we can determine  $(\dot{U}_l^\pm, \dot{\Phi}_l^\pm)_{l \geq 0}$  inductively as functions of the initial data  $(\dot{U}_0^\pm, \dot{\Phi}_0^\pm)$ . Furthermore, we have the following Lemma, refer [30] for the proof.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The equation (4.6) and (4.7) determine  $\dot{U}_l^\pm \in H_*^{2(\mu-l)+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , for  $l = 1, \dots, \mu$  and  $\dot{\Phi}_l^\pm \in H_*^{2(\mu-l)+3}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , for  $l = 1, \dots, \mu + 1$ . Moreover, these functions satisfy:*

$$\text{supp} \dot{\Phi}_l^\pm \subseteq \{x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1 + \lambda_{max} T\}, \text{supp} \dot{U}_l^\pm \subseteq \{x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1\},$$

and there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , that only depends on  $\mu$  such that:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\mu} [\dot{U}_l^\pm]_{2(\mu-l)+1,*} + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu+1} [\dot{\Phi}_l^\pm]_{2(\mu-l)+3,*} \leq C([\dot{U}_0^\pm]_{2\mu+1,*} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})}). \quad (4.8)$$

To construct a smooth approximate solution, we impose certain assumptions on traces.  $\dot{U}_l^\pm$  and  $\dot{\Phi}_l^\pm$ . Now, we shall show the compatibility conditions of the functions.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu \geq 2$ . Let  $U_0^\pm = (m_0^\pm, n_0^\pm, v_0^\pm, u_0^\pm)^T$  such that  $U_0^\pm = \bar{U}^\pm + \dot{U}_0^\pm$  with  $\dot{U}_0^\pm \in H_*^{2\mu+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})$  that satisfy (4.1). Consider the functions  $\Phi_0^\pm = \pm x_2 + \dot{\Phi}_0^\pm$  that we have (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), where  $\varphi_0$  is sufficiently small. The initial data  $(\dot{U}_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  are said to be compatible up to order  $\mu$  if the traces of the functions  $\dot{U}_1^\pm, \dots, \dot{U}_\mu^\pm, \dot{\Phi}_1^\pm, \dots, \dot{\Phi}_{\mu+1}^\pm$  satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2^j (\dot{\Phi}_l^+ - \dot{\Phi}_l^-)|_{x_2=0} &= 0, \text{ for } l = 0, \dots, \mu \text{ and } j = 0, \dots, \mu - l, \\ \partial_2^j (\dot{m}_l^+ - \dot{m}_l^-)|_{x_2=0} &= 0, \text{ for } l = 0, \dots, \mu - 1 \text{ and } j = 0, \dots, \mu - 1 - l, \\ \partial_2^j (\dot{n}_l^+ - \dot{n}_l^-)|_{x_2=0} &= 0, \text{ for } l = 0, \dots, \mu - 1 \text{ and } j = 0, \dots, \mu - 1 - l, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\partial_2^{\mu+1-j}(\dot{\Phi}_j^+ - \dot{\Phi}_j^-)|^2 dx_1 \frac{dx_2}{x_2} < +\infty, \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, \mu + 1, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\partial_2^{\mu-j}(\dot{m}_j^+ - \dot{m}_j^-)|^2 dx_1 \frac{dx_2}{x_2} < +\infty, \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, \mu, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\partial_2^{\mu-j}(\dot{n}_j^+ - \dot{n}_j^-)|^2 dx_1 \frac{dx_2}{x_2} < +\infty, \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, \mu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

**4.2. Construction of an approximate solutions.** We take  $\mu = \alpha + 7$  in the previous paragraph and consider the compatibility of initial data  $(\dot{U}_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  in the sense of Definition 4.1 in Theorem 2.1. In particular, the initial data are compatible up to order  $\alpha + 7$ . We have the following result. Refer [10] for the proof.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $\dot{U}_0^\pm$  and  $\varphi_0$  are sufficiently small, then there exist some functions  $U^{a\pm}$ ,  $\Phi^{a\pm}$ ,  $\varphi^a$ , such that  $U^{a\pm} - \bar{U}^{a\pm} = \dot{U}^{a\pm} \in H_*^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)$ ,  $\Phi^{a\pm} \mp x_2 = \dot{\Phi}^{a\pm} \in H_*^{\mu+2}(\Omega_T)$ ,  $\varphi^a \in H^{\mu+1}(\omega_T)$  and such that:*

$$\partial_t \Phi^{a\pm} + v^{a\pm} \partial_1 \Phi^{a\pm} - u^{a\pm} = 0, \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\partial_t^j \mathbb{L}(U^{a\pm}, \nabla \Phi^{a\pm})|_{t=0} = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, \mu - 1, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\Phi^{a+}|_{x_2=0} = \Phi^{a-}|_{x_2=0} = \varphi^a, \text{ on } \omega_T, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\mathbb{B}(U^{a\pm}|_{x_2=0}, \varphi^a) = 0, \text{ on } \omega_T. \quad (4.14)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\pm \partial_2 \Phi^{a\pm} \geq \frac{3}{4}, \forall (t, x) \in \Omega, \quad (4.15)$$

$$[\dot{U}^{a\pm}]_{\mu+1,*,T} + [\dot{\Phi}^{a\pm}]_{\mu+2,*,T} + \|\varphi^a\|_{H^{\mu+1}(\omega_T)} \leq \varepsilon_0([\dot{U}_0^\pm]_{2\mu+1,*} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})}), \quad (4.16)$$

and the following compact supports:

$$\text{supp}(\dot{U}^{a\pm}, \dot{\Phi}^{a\pm}) \subseteq \{t \in [-T, T], x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1 + \lambda_{max} T\}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\text{supp}\varphi^a \subseteq \{t \in [-T, T], |x_1| \leq 1 + \lambda_{max} T\}. \quad (4.18)$$

Here we write  $\varepsilon_0$  as a function that tends to 0 when its argument tend to 0.

We write  $U^a := (U^{a+}, U^{a-})^T$ ,  $\Phi^a := (\Phi^{a+}, \Phi^{a-})^T$  and turn to reformulate the original problem into that with zero initial data by using the approximate solution  $(U^a, \Phi^a)$ . Let us introduce

$$f^a := \begin{cases} -\mathbb{L}(U^a, \nabla \Phi^a), & t > 0, \\ 0, & t < 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

Since  $\dot{U}^a, \nabla \dot{\Phi}^a \in H_*^{\mu+1}(\Omega)$ , (4.12), we have  $f^a \in H_*^{\mu-1}(\Omega)$ . Using (4.17) and (4.18), we get

$$\text{supp}f^a \subseteq \{t \in [0, T], x_2 \geq 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \leq 1 + \lambda_{max} T\}. \quad (4.20)$$

From (4.16), we can obtain that

$$[f^a]_{\mu-1,*} \leq \varepsilon_0([\dot{U}_0^\pm]_{2\mu+1,*} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})}). \quad (4.21)$$

Given the approximate solution  $(U^a, \Phi^a)$  of Lemma 4.2, and  $f^a$  is defined as (4.19), we see that  $(U, \Phi) = (U^a, \Phi^a) + (V, \Psi)$  is a solution of the original problem on  $\Omega_T$  of (2.8)-(2.15), if  $V = (V^+, V^-)^T$ ,  $\Psi = (\Psi^+, \Psi^-)^T$  satisfy the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(V, \Psi) := \mathbb{L}(U^a + V, \nabla(\Phi^a + \Psi)) - \mathbb{L}(U^a, \nabla\Phi^a) = f^a, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathcal{E}(V, \Psi) := \partial_t \Psi + (v^a + v)\partial_1 \Psi - u + v\partial_1 \Phi^a = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathcal{B}(V|_{x_2=0}, \psi) := \mathbb{B}(U^a|_{x_2=0} + V|_{x_2=0}, \varphi^a + \psi) = 0, & \text{on } \omega_T, \\ \Psi^+|_{x_2=0} = \Psi^-|_{x_2=0} = \psi, & \text{on } \omega_T, \\ (V, \Psi) = 0, & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

From (4.22), we observe that  $(V, \Psi) = 0$  for  $t < 0$ . The original nonlinear problem on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+^2$  can be reformulated as a problem on  $\Omega_T$  whose solutions vanish in the past.

## 5. NASH-MOSER THEOREM

In this section, we prove the local existence of solutions to (4.22) by a suitable iteration scheme of Nash-Moser type. First, we introduce the smoothing operators  $S_\theta$  and describe the iterative scheme for problem (4.22). For the detail, please refer to [2, 10, 35].

**Lemma 5.1.** *We can define a family of smoothing operators  $\{S_\theta\}_{\theta \geq 1}$  on anisotropic Sobolev space  $H_*^s(\Omega_T)$ , which vanish in the past, such that*

$$[S_\theta u]_{\beta, \lambda, T} \leq C\theta^{(\beta-\alpha)_+} [u]_{\alpha, \lambda, T}, \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$[S_\theta u - u]_{\beta, \lambda, T} \leq C\theta^{\beta-\alpha} [u]_{\alpha, \lambda, T}, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} S_\theta u\right]_{\beta, \lambda, T} \leq C\theta^{\beta-\alpha-1} [u]_{\alpha, \lambda, T}, \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\|(S_\theta u - S_\theta v)|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^\beta(\omega_T)} \leq C\theta^{(\beta+1-\alpha)_+} \| (u - v)|_{x_2=0} \|_{H_\lambda^\alpha(\omega_T)}, \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in [1, \mu], \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\beta - \alpha)_+ := \max\{0, \beta - \alpha\}$  and  $C > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $\mu$ . In particular, if  $u = v$  on  $\omega_T$ , then  $S_\theta u = S_\theta v$  on  $\omega_T$ .

The proof of (5.4) is based on the following lifting operator, refer to [10].

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $\lambda > 1$ , and let  $\mu \geq 1$  be an integer. Then, there exists an operator  $\mathcal{R}_T$ , which is continuous from  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$  to  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega_T)$  for all  $s \in [1, \mu]$ , such that, if  $s \geq 1$  and  $u \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$ , then  $(\mathcal{R}_T u)|_{x_2=0} = u$ .*

Now, we start to formulate the Nash-Moser iteration scheme.

**5.1. Iteration Scheme.** The scheme starts from  $(V_0, \Psi_0, \psi_0) = (0, 0, 0)$ ,  $(V_i, \Psi_i, \psi_i)$  are given which satisfy

$$(V_i, \Psi_i, \psi_i)|_{t < 0} = 0, \Psi_i^+|_{x_2=0} = \Psi_i^-|_{x_2=0} = \psi_i. \quad (5.5)$$

Let us consider

$$V_{i+1} = V_i + \delta V_i, \Psi_{i+1} = \Psi_i + \delta \Psi_i, \psi_{i+1} = \psi_i + \delta \psi_i, \quad (5.6)$$

where these differences shall be determined below. First, we can obtain  $(\delta\dot{V}_i, \delta\psi_i)$  by solving the effective linear problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}'_e(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})\delta\dot{V}_i = f_i, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathbb{B}'_e(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})(\delta\dot{V}_i, \delta\psi_i) = g_i, & \text{on } \omega_T, \\ (\delta\dot{V}_i, \delta\psi_i) = 0 & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

where operators  $\mathbb{L}'_e, \mathbb{B}'_e$  are defined in (3.11) and (3.16),

$$\delta\dot{V}_i := \delta V_i - \frac{\partial_2(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})}\delta\Psi_i. \quad (5.8)$$

is the “good unknown”, and  $(V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$  is a smooth modified state such that  $(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$  satisfies (3.17), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6). The source terms  $(f_i, g_i)$  will be defined through the accumulated errors at step  $i$ . Let the error  $\varepsilon_{1,2,3}^i$  be defined by

$$\varepsilon_1^i := (S_{\theta_i} m_i^+)|_{x_2=0} - (S_{\theta_i} m_i^-)|_{x_2=0}, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\varepsilon_2^i := (S_{\theta_i} n_i^+)|_{x_2=0} - (S_{\theta_i} n_i^-)|_{x_2=0}, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\varepsilon_3^i := \mathcal{E}(V_i, \Phi_i). \quad (5.11)$$

We define the modified state as

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm := S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i^\pm, \\ m_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm := S_{\theta_i} m_i^\pm \mp \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_T \varepsilon_1^i, \\ n_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm := S_{\theta_i} n_i^\pm \mp \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_T \varepsilon_2^i, \\ v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm := S_{\theta_i} v_i^\pm, \\ u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm := \partial_t \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm + (v^{a\pm} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm) \partial_1 \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm \partial_1 \Phi^{a\pm}, \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

where  $S_{\theta_i}$  are the smoothing operator with sequence  $\theta_i$  defined by

$$\theta_0 \geq 1, \theta_i = \sqrt{\theta_0^2 + i}. \quad (5.13)$$

$\mathcal{R}_T$  is the lifting operator introduced in Lemma 5.2. Thanks to (5.5), we have

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+|_{x_2=0} = \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-|_{x_2=0} = \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ m_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+|_{x_2=0} = m_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-|_{x_2=0}, \\ n_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+|_{x_2=0} = n_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-|_{x_2=0}, \\ \mathcal{E}(V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \\ (V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})|_{t<0} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

It then follows from (4.11)-(4.14) that  $(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$  satisfies Rankine-Hugoniot conditions and eikonal equations. (4.15) will be derived if the initial data are chosen small



enough. The errors at step  $i$  can be defined from the following decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}(V_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}) - \mathcal{L}(V_i, \Psi_i) \\
&= \mathbb{L}'(U^a + V_i, \Phi^a + \Psi_i)(\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i) + e'_i \\
&= \mathbb{L}'(U^a + S_{\theta_i} V_i, \Phi^a + S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i)(\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i) + e'_i + e''_i \\
&= \mathbb{L}'(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})(\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i) + e'_i + e''_i + e'''_i \\
&= \mathbb{L}'_e(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \delta \dot{V}_i + e'_i + e''_i + e'''_i + D_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \delta \Psi_i
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}(V_{i+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}) - \mathcal{B}(V_i|_{x_2=0}, \psi_i) \\
&= \mathbb{B}'(U^a + V_i, \Phi^a + \Psi_i)(\delta V_i|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_i) + \tilde{e}'_i \\
&= \mathbb{B}'(U^a + S_{\theta_i} V_i, \Phi^a + S_{\theta_i} \psi_i)(\delta V_i|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_i) + \tilde{e}'_i + \tilde{e}''_i \\
&= \mathbb{B}'_e(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})(\delta \dot{V}_i|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_i) + \tilde{e}'_i + \tilde{e}''_i + \tilde{e}'''_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where we write

$$D_{i+\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{1}{\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})} \partial_2 \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{5.17}$$

and have used (3.9) to get the last identity in (5.15). Denote

$$e_i := e'_i + e''_i + e'''_i + D_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \delta \Psi_i, \tilde{e}_i := \tilde{e}'_i + \tilde{e}''_i + \tilde{e}'''_i. \tag{5.18}$$

We assume  $f_0 := S_{\theta_0} f^a$ ,  $(e_0, \tilde{e}_0, g_0) := 0$  and  $(f_k, g_k, e_k, \tilde{e}_k)$  are already given and vanish in the past for  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$ . We can calculate the accumulated errors at Step  $i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , by

$$E_i := \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} e_k, \tilde{E}_i := \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \tilde{e}_k. \tag{5.19}$$

Then, we obtain  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  for  $i \geq 1$  from the equations:

$$\sum_{k=0}^i f_k + S_{\theta_i} E_i = S_{\theta_i} f^a, \sum_{k=0}^i g_k + S_{\theta_i} \tilde{E}_i = 0. \tag{5.20}$$

Therefore,  $(V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $(f_i, g_i)$  have been determined from (5.14) and (5.20) separately. Then, we can obtain  $(\delta \dot{V}_i, \delta \psi_i)$  as the solutions of the linear problem (5.7). Now, we need to construct  $\delta \Psi_i = (\delta \Psi_i^+, \delta \Psi_i^-)^T$  satisfying  $\delta \Psi_i^\pm|_{x_2=0} = \delta \psi_i$ . We use the boundary conditions in (5.14), (3.16) to derive that  $\delta \psi_i$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \delta \psi_i + (v^{a+} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)|_{x_2=0} \partial_1 \delta \psi_i \\
&+ \left\{ \partial_1(\varphi^a + \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{\partial_2(v^{a+} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)|_{x_2=0}}{\partial_2(\Phi^{a+} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)|_{x_2=0}} - \frac{\partial_2(u^{a+} + u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)|_{x_2=0}}{\partial_2(\Phi^{a+} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)|_{x_2=0}} \right\} \delta \psi_i \\
&+ \partial_1(\varphi^a + \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})(\delta \dot{v}_i^+)|_{x_2=0} - (\delta \dot{u}_i^+)|_{x_2=0} = g_{i,2},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

and the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \delta \psi_i + (v^{a^-} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)|_{x_2=0} \partial_1 \delta \psi_i \\
& + \left\{ \partial_1 (\varphi^a + \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{\partial_2 (v^{a^-} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)|_{x_2=0}}{\partial_2 (\Phi^{a^-} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)|_{x_2=0}} - \frac{\partial_2 (u^{a^-} + u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)|_{x_2=0}}{\partial_2 (\Phi^{a^-} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)|_{x_2=0}} \right\} \delta \psi_i \\
& + \partial_1 (\varphi^a + \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) (\delta \dot{v}_i^-)|_{x_2=0} - (\delta \dot{u}_i^-)|_{x_2=0} = g_{i,2} - g_{i,1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Here  $g_{i,1}, g_{i,2}$  represent the first and second components of  $g_i$  separately. We shall define  $\delta \Psi_i^+, \delta \Psi_i^-$  as solution to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \delta \Psi_i^+ + (v^{a^+} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+) \partial_1 \delta \Psi_i^+ \\
& + \left\{ \partial_1 (\Phi^{a^+} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+) \frac{\partial_2 (v^{a^+} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)}{\partial_2 (\Phi^{a^+} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)} - \frac{\partial_2 (u^{a^+} + u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)}{\partial_2 (\Phi^{a^+} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+)} \right\} \delta \Psi_i^+ \\
& + \partial_1 (\Phi^{a^+} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+) \delta \dot{v}_i^+ - \delta \dot{u}_i^+ = \mathcal{R}_T g_{i,2} + h_i^+,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \delta \Psi_i^- + (v^{a^-} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-) \partial_1 \delta \Psi_i^- \\
& + \left\{ \partial_1 (\Phi^{a^-} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-) \frac{\partial_2 (v^{a^-} + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)}{\partial_2 (\Phi^{a^-} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)} - \frac{\partial_2 (u^{a^-} + u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)}{\partial_2 (\Phi^{a^-} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-)} \right\} \delta \Psi_i^- \\
& + \partial_1 (\Phi^{a^-} + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^-) \delta \dot{v}_i^- - \delta \dot{u}_i^- = \mathcal{R}_T (g_{i,2} - g_{i,1}) + h_i^-,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

where  $h_i^\pm$  will be chosen by correcting the system  $\mathcal{E}$ . We define the error terms  $\hat{e}'_i, \hat{e}''_i$  and  $\hat{e}'''_i$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}) - \mathcal{E}(V_i, \Psi_i) &= \mathcal{E}'(V_i, \Psi_i) (\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i) + \hat{e}'_i \\
&= \mathcal{E}'(S_{\theta_i} V_i, S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i) (\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i) + \hat{e}'_i + \hat{e}''_i \\
&= \mathcal{E}'(V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) (\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i) + \hat{e}'_i + \hat{e}''_i + \hat{e}'''_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

Here  $\hat{e}'_i$  is the quadratic error,  $\hat{e}''_i$  is the first ‘‘substitution’’ error and  $\hat{e}'''_i$  is the second ‘‘substitution’’ error. We denote that

$$\hat{e}_i := \hat{e}'_i + \hat{e}''_i + \hat{e}'''_i, \hat{E}_i := \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \hat{e}_k. \tag{5.26}$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{E}(V, \Psi) = \partial_t (U^a + V) + v(U^a + V) \partial_1 (\Phi^a + \Psi) - u(U^a + V).$$

Then, using the good unknown (5.8), and omitting the  $\pm$  superscripts, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}'(V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) (\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i) = \partial_t \delta \Psi_i + (v^a + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \partial_1 \delta \Psi_i \\
& + \left\{ \partial_1 (\Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{\partial_2 (v^a + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial_2 (\Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})} - \frac{\partial_2 (u^a + u_{i+\frac{1}{2}})}{\partial_2 (\Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})} \right\} \delta \Psi_i \\
& + \partial_1 (\Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \delta \dot{v}_i - \delta \dot{u}_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

Hence, using (5.23)-(5.25), we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}) - \mathcal{E}(V_i, \Psi_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}_T g_{i,2} + h_i^+ + \hat{e}_i^+ \\ \mathcal{R}_T(g_{i,2} - g_{i,1}) + h_i^- + \hat{e}_i^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.28)$$

Summing these equations and using  $\mathcal{E}(V_0, \Psi_0) = 0$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^+, \Phi_{i+1}^+) &= \mathcal{R}_T \left( \sum_{k=0}^i g_{k,2} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^i h_k^+ + \hat{E}_{i+1}^+, \\ \mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^-, \Phi_{i+1}^-) &= \mathcal{R}_T \left( \sum_{k=0}^i (g_{k,2} - g_{k,1}) \right) + \sum_{k=0}^i h_k^- + \hat{E}_{i+1}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^+, \Phi_{i+1}^+) &= \mathcal{R}_T(\mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^+|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}) - \tilde{E}_{i+1,2}) + \sum_{k=0}^i h_k^+ + \hat{E}_{i+1}^+, \\ \mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^-, \Phi_{i+1}^-) &= \mathcal{R}_T(\mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^-|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}) - \tilde{E}_{i+1,2} + \tilde{E}_{i+1,1}) + \sum_{k=0}^i h_k^- + \hat{E}_{i+1}^-, \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Here, we have used (5.7),(5.16) that

$$g_i = \mathcal{B}(V_{i+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}) - \mathcal{B}(V_i|_{x_2=0}, \psi_i) - \tilde{e}_i.$$

Note that from (2.18) and (4.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{B}(V_{i+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}))_2 &= \mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^+|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(V_{i+1}^-|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}) + (\mathcal{B}(V_{i+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i+1}))_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Hence, (5.29) is obtained by  $\mathcal{B}(V_0|_{x_2=0}, \psi_0) = 0$ . We further assume  $(h_0^+, h_0^-, \hat{e}_0) = 0$  and  $(h_k^+, h_k^-, \hat{e}_k)$  are already given and vanish in the past for  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$ . Using all the assumption before and taking into account (5.29) and the property of  $\mathcal{R}_T$ , we can compute the source term  $h_i^\pm$ :

$$S_{\theta_i}(\hat{E}_i^+ - \mathcal{R}_T \tilde{E}_{i,2}) + \sum_{k=0}^i h_k^+ = 0, \quad (5.31)$$

$$S_{\theta_i}(\hat{E}_i^- - \mathcal{R}_T \tilde{E}_{i,2} + \mathcal{R}_T \tilde{E}_{i,1}) + \sum_{k=0}^i h_k^- = 0. \quad (5.32)$$

It is easy to check that  $h_i^\pm$  and the trace of  $h_i^\pm$  on  $\omega_T$  vanishes in the past. Hence, we can get  $\delta\Psi_i^\pm$  vanishing in the past and satisfying  $\delta\Psi_i^\pm|_{x_2=0} = \delta\psi_i$  as the unique smooth solutions to the transport equation (5.23),(5.24). Once  $\delta\Psi_i$  is determined, we can obtain  $\delta V_i$  from (5.8) and  $(V_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}, \psi_{i+1})$  from (5.6). These error terms:  $e'_i, e''_i, e'''_i, \tilde{e}'_i, \tilde{e}''_i, \tilde{e}'''_i, \hat{e}'_i, \hat{e}''_i, \hat{e}'''_i$  are calculated from (5.15),(5.16),(5.25). Then,  $e_i, \tilde{e}_i, \hat{e}_i$  are obtained from (5.18) and (5.26). Using (5.7) and (5.20), we sum from  $i = 0$  to  $N$ , respectively, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^N f_i + E_{N+1} = S_{\theta_N} f^a + (I - S_{\theta_N}) E_N + e_N, \quad (5.33)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{N+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^N g_i + \tilde{E}_{N+1} = (I - S_{\theta_N})\tilde{E}_N + \tilde{e}_N. \quad (5.34)$$

Inserting (5.31),(5.32) into (5.29),using (5.30), we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^+, \Psi_{N+1}^+) = \mathcal{R}_T((\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{N+1}))_2) \\ \quad + (I - S_{\theta_N})(\hat{E}_N^- - \mathcal{R}_T\tilde{E}_{N,2}) + \hat{e}_N^+ - \mathcal{R}_T\tilde{e}_{N,2}, \\ \mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}^-, \Psi_{N+1}^-) = \mathcal{R}_T((\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{N+1}))_2) - (\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{N+1}))_1 \\ \quad + (I - S_{\theta_N})(\hat{E}_N^- - \mathcal{R}_T(\tilde{E}_{N,2} - \tilde{E}_{N,1})) + \hat{e}_N^- - \mathcal{R}_T(\tilde{e}_{N,2} - \tilde{e}_{N,1}). \end{cases} \quad (5.35)$$

Since  $S_{\theta_N} \rightarrow I$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we can formally obtain the solution to problem (4.22) from  $\mathcal{L}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) \rightarrow f^a$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(V_{N+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{N+1}) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\mathcal{E}(V_{N+1}, \Psi_{N+1}) \rightarrow 0$ , as error terms  $(e_N, \tilde{e}_N, \hat{e}_N) \rightarrow 0$ .

## 6. MORE TAME ESTIMATES

Now, we present the following estimate for the second derivatives of the system and the tame estimates for the linearized problem.

**6.1. Tame estimate for the second derivatives.** Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be a sufficiently large integer that will be chosen later on. We have the following result.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $T > 0, s \in \mathbb{N}$  and Assume that the perturbation  $\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi}$  satisfy*

$$[(\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi})]_{7,\lambda,T} \leq K, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $K$  is a fixed constant that do not depend on  $T$  and  $\lambda$ , such that if  $\lambda \geq 1$ , and if  $(V', \Psi'), (V'', \Psi'') \in H_{\lambda}^{s+2}(\Omega_T)$ , then we have

$$[\mathbb{L}'(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})(U', \Phi')]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C(K)\{[(V', \Psi')]_{s+2,\lambda,T} + [\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi}]_{s+2,\lambda,T}[V', \Phi']_{7,\lambda,T}\}. \quad (6.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbb{L}''(U_{r,l}, \Phi_{r,l})((V', \Psi'), (V'', \Psi''))]_{s,\lambda,T} \\ & \leq C\{[(\dot{U}, \dot{\Phi})]_{s+2,\lambda,T}[(V', \Psi')]_{7,\lambda,T}[(V'', \Psi'')]_{7,\lambda,T} \\ & \quad + [(V', \Psi')]_{s+2,\lambda,T}[(V'', \Psi'')]_{7,\lambda,T} \\ & \quad + [(V'', \Psi'')]_{s+2,\lambda,T}[(V', \Psi')]_{7,\lambda,T}\} \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{E}''((V', \Psi'), (V'', \Psi''))]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C(K)\{[V']_{s,\lambda,T}[\Psi'']_{7,\lambda,T} + [V']_{5,\lambda,T}[\Psi'']_{s+1,\lambda,T} \\ & \quad + [V'']_{5,\lambda,T}[\Psi']_{s+1,\lambda,T} + [V'']_{s,\lambda,T}[\Psi']_{7,\lambda,T}\} \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

If  $(W', \psi'), (W'', \psi'') \in H_{\lambda}^s(\omega_T) \times H_{\lambda}^{s+1}(\omega_T)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{B}''((W', \psi'), (W'', \psi''))\|_{H_{\lambda}^s(\omega_T)} \leq C(K)\{\|W'\|_{H_{\lambda}^s(\omega_T)}\|\psi''\|_{H_{\lambda}^3(\omega_T)} \\ & \quad + \|W'\|_{H_{\lambda}^2(\omega_T)}\|\psi''\|_{H_{\lambda}^{s+1}(\omega_T)} + \|W''\|_{H_{\lambda}^s(\omega_T)}\|\psi'\|_{H_{\lambda}^3(\omega_T)} \\ & \quad + \|W''\|_{H_{\lambda}^2(\omega_T)}\|\psi'\|_{H_{\lambda}^{s+1}(\omega_T)}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

The proof of this lemma is a direct application of Theorem A.2, A.3 and A.8 and Sobolev imbedding Theorem, refer to [34]. Now, we turn to derive a *priori* estimate  $\delta\Psi_i$ , which has been constructed in (5.23) and (5.24). We take weighted energy estimates on (5.23) and denote it in terms of  $\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i := e^{-\lambda t}\delta\Psi_i$  as follows:

$$\lambda\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i + \partial_t\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i + a_1\partial_1\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i + a_2\partial_2\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i + a_3e^{-\lambda t}\delta\dot{V}_i = e^{-\lambda t}\mathcal{R}_Tg_{i,2} + e^{-\lambda t}h_i^+, \quad (6.6)$$

where  $a_1 := v^a + v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  are smooth functions of  $\nabla(\Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $\nabla(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}})$ . For multi-index  $\beta$ , we differentiate (6.6), multiply (6.6) by  $\lambda D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i$  and integrate over  $\Omega_T$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^2\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i \rangle + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, \partial_t D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i \rangle + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, a_1\partial_1 D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i \rangle \\ & + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, a_2 D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i \rangle + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, a_3 D^\beta(e^{-\lambda t}\delta\dot{V}_i) \rangle + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, [D^\beta, a_1]\partial_1\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i \rangle \\ & + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, [D^\beta, a_2]\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i \rangle + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, [D^\beta, a_3]e^{-\lambda t}\delta\dot{V}_i \rangle \\ & = \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, D^\beta(e^{-\lambda t}\mathcal{R}_Tg_{i,2}) \rangle + \lambda\langle D^\beta\delta\tilde{\Psi}_i, D^\beta(e^{-\lambda t}h_i^+) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Using the similar argument of the a *priori* estimate in the linear system, we can obtain for  $s \geq 5$  and  $\lambda > 1$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2[\delta\Psi_i]_{s,\lambda,T}^2 & \leq C(K)\{[\delta\dot{V}_i]_{s,\lambda,T}^2 + [\delta\Psi_i]_{5,\lambda,T}^2[\dot{U}^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+2,\lambda,T}^2 \\ & + [\delta\dot{V}_i]_{5,\lambda,T}^2[\dot{U}^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+1,\lambda,T}^2 + \|g_i\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)}^2 + [h_i^\pm]_{s,\lambda,T}^2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Taking  $s = 5$ , using Sobolev embedding Theorem and the estimates of  $\delta\dot{V}_i$ , we have

$$\lambda[\delta\Psi_i]_{5,\lambda,T} \leq C(K)\{[f_i]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g_i\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} + [h_i^\pm]_{5,\lambda,T}\}. \quad (6.9)$$

Combining the a *priori* tame estimate we have obtained, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\lambda}[\delta\dot{V}_i]_{s,\lambda,T} + \lambda[\delta\Psi_i]_{5,\lambda,T} + \|\delta\psi_i\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} & \leq C(K)\{[f_i]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + \|g_i\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \\ & + [h_i^\pm]_{s,\lambda,T} + ([f_i]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g_i\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} + [h_i^\pm]_{5,\lambda,T})([\dot{U}^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Phi}^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+4,\lambda,T})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

## 7. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We denote  $\Delta_i := \theta_{i+1} - \theta_i$ . Then, the sequence  $(\Delta_i)$  is decreasing and tends to 0. Moreover, we have

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{3\theta_i} \leq \Delta_i = \sqrt{\theta_i^2 + 1} - \theta_i \leq \frac{1}{2\theta_i}.$$

**7.1. Inductive analysis.** Given a small number  $\delta > 0$  and an integer  $\tilde{\alpha}$  that will be chosen later on, we assume the following estimate holds:

$$[\dot{U}^a]_{\mu+1,*,T} + [\dot{\Phi}^a]_{\mu+2,*,T} + \|\varphi^a\|_{H_\lambda^{\mu+1}(\Omega_T)} + [f^a]_{\mu-1,*,T} \leq \delta, \quad (7.1)$$

Given the integer  $\mu := \tilde{\alpha} + 3$ , our inductive assumptions read:

$$(H_{i-1}) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) [(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k)]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\delta \psi_k\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \leq \delta \theta_k^{s-\alpha-1} \Delta_k, \\ \text{for all } k = 0, \dots, i-1, \forall s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha}] \cap \mathbb{N}. \\ (b) [\mathcal{L}(V_k, \Psi_k) - f^a]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq 2\delta \theta_k^{s-\alpha-1}, \\ \forall k = 0, \dots, i-1, \forall s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2] \cap \mathbb{N}. \\ (c) \|\mathcal{B}(V_k|_{x_2=0}, \psi_k)\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq \delta \theta_k^{s-\alpha-1}, \\ \forall k = 0, \dots, i-1, \forall s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2] \cap \mathbb{N}. \\ (d) \|\mathcal{E}(V_k, \Psi_k)\|_{H_\lambda^7(\Omega_T)} \leq \delta \theta_k^{6-\alpha}, \\ \forall k = 0, \dots, i-1. \end{array} \right. \quad (7.2)$$

Our goal is to show that for a suitable choice of parameter  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  and  $\delta > 0$  and for  $f^a$  small enough,  $(H_{i-1})$  implies that  $(H_i)$  and  $(H_0)$  holds. Then, we conclude that  $(H_i)$  holds for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . From now on, we assume that  $(H_{i-1})$  holds. The hypothesis  $(H_{i-1})$  yields the following consequences.

**Lemma 7.1.** *If  $\theta_0$  is large enough, then, for each  $k = 0, \dots, i$  and each integer  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha}]$ ,*

$$[(V_k, \Psi_k)]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\psi_k\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \leq \begin{cases} \delta \theta_k^{(s-\alpha)_+}, & \text{if } s \neq \alpha, \\ \delta \log \theta_k, & \text{if } s = \alpha, \end{cases} \quad (7.3)$$

$$[(I - S_{\theta_k})V_k, (I - S_{\theta_k})\Psi_k]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta \theta_k^{s-\alpha}. \quad (7.4)$$

Furthermore, for each  $k = 0, \dots, i$  and each integer  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} + 5]$ ,

$$[(S_{\theta_k}V_k, S_{\theta_k}\Psi_k)]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq \begin{cases} \delta \theta_k^{(s-\alpha)_+}, & \text{if } s \neq \alpha, \\ \delta \log \theta_k, & \text{if } s = \alpha, \end{cases} \quad (7.5)$$

The proof is based on the classical comparison between series and integrals and Lemma 5.1, ref [9].

**7.2. Estimate of the quadratic errors.** We denote the errors:

$$\hat{e}'_k := \mathcal{L}(V_{k+1}, \Psi_{k+1}) - \mathcal{L}(V_k, \Psi_k) - \mathcal{L}'(V_k, \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k), \quad (7.6)$$

$$\tilde{e}'_k := \mathcal{E}(V_{k+1}, \Psi_{k+1}) - \mathcal{E}(V_k, \Psi_k) - \mathcal{E}'(V_k, \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \psi_k), \quad (7.7)$$

$$\tilde{e}'_k := \mathcal{B}(V_{k+1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{k+1}) - \mathcal{B}(V_k|_{x_2=0}, \psi_k) - \mathcal{B}'(V_k|_{x_2=0}, \psi_k)(\delta V_k|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_k). \quad (7.8)$$

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 8$ . Then, there exist  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large such that, for all  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$ , and all integers  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2]$ , we have*

$$[\hat{e}'_k]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{L_1(s)-1} \Delta_k, \quad (7.9)$$

$$[\tilde{e}'_k]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{s+5-2\alpha} \Delta_k, \quad (7.10)$$

$$\|\tilde{e}'_k\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{L_1(s)-1} \Delta_k, \quad (7.11)$$

where  $L_1(s) := \max\{(s+2-\alpha)_+ + 12 - 2\alpha; s+7-2\alpha\}$ .

Proof: First, we note that

$$e'_k = \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) \mathbb{L}''(U^a + V_k + \tau \delta V_k, \Phi^a + \Psi_k + \tau \delta \Psi_k) ((\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k)) d\tau.$$

From (7.1), Lemma 5.1 and  $(H_{i-1})$ , we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} [\dot{U}^a + V_k + \tau \delta V_k, \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_k + \tau \delta \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta.$$

By taking  $\delta$  small enough and Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [e'_k]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C\{[\dot{U}^a + V_k + \tau \delta V_k, \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_k + \tau \delta \Psi_k]_{s+2,\lambda,T} [\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T}^2 \\ &\quad + 2[\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k]_{s+2,\lambda,T} [\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $s + 2 \neq \alpha$  and  $s + 2 \leq \tilde{\alpha}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [e'_k]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C\{(\delta + \delta \theta_k^{(s+2-\alpha)_+} + \delta \theta_k^{s+2-\alpha-1} \Delta_k) \delta^2 \theta_k^{12-2\alpha} \Delta_k^2 + 2\delta^2 \Delta_k^2 \theta_k^{s+7-2\alpha}\} \\ &\leq C\{\delta^2 \theta^{(s+2-2\alpha)_+ + 11 - 2\alpha} \Delta_k + \delta^2 \theta^{s+6-2\alpha} \Delta_k\} \leq C\delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{L_1(s)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_1(s) = \max\{(s + 2 - \alpha)_+ + 12 - 2\alpha, s + 7 - 2\alpha\}$ . For  $s + 2 = \alpha$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [e'_k]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C\{(\delta + \delta \log \theta_k + \delta \theta_k^{-1} \Delta_k) \delta^2 \theta_k^{12-2\alpha} \Delta_k^2 + 2\delta^2 \Delta_k^2 \theta_k^{5-\alpha}\} \\ &\leq C\{\delta^2 \theta^{12-2\alpha} \Delta_k + \delta^2 \theta^{4-2\alpha} \Delta_k\} \leq C\delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{L_1(\alpha-2)-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} [e'_k]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C\{[\delta V_k]_{s,\lambda,T} [\delta \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} + [\delta V_k]_{7,\lambda,T} [\delta \Psi_k]_{s+1,\lambda,T} \\ &\quad + [\delta \Psi_k]_{s+1,\lambda,T} [\delta V_k]_{7,\lambda,T} + [\delta \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} [\delta V_k]_{s,\lambda,T}\} \\ &\leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{s+5-2\alpha} \Delta_k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\tilde{e}'_k = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}''(((\delta V_k)|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_k), ((\delta V_k)|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_k))$$

Hence,

$$\|\tilde{e}'_k\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C\{[\delta V_k]_{s+1,\lambda,T} \|\delta \psi_k\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + [\delta V_k]_{7,\lambda,T} \|\delta \psi_k\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)}\} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{L_1(s)-1} \Delta_k,$$

**7.3. Estimate of the first substitution errors.** We can estimate the first substitution errors  $e''_k, \hat{e}''_k, \tilde{e}''_k$  of the iterative scheme. We define

$$e''_k := \mathcal{L}'(V_k, \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k) - \mathcal{L}'(S_{\theta_k} V_k, S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k), \quad (7.12)$$

$$\hat{e}''_k := \mathcal{E}'(V_k, \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k) - \mathcal{E}'(S_{\theta_k} V_k, S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k), \quad (7.13)$$

$$\tilde{e}''_k := \mathcal{B}'(V_k|_{x_2=0}, \psi_k)(\delta V_k|_{x_2=0}, \delta \Psi_k) - \mathcal{B}'(S_{\theta_k} V_k|_{x_2=0}, S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k|_{x_2=0})(\delta V_k|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_k), \quad (7.14)$$

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 8$ . There exist  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large, such that for all  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$ , and for all integer  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2]$ , we have*

$$[e''_k]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{L_2(s)-1} \Delta_k, \quad (7.15)$$

$$[\hat{e}''_k]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{s+7-2\alpha} \Delta_k, \quad (7.16)$$

$$\|\tilde{e}''_k\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_k^{L_2(s)-1} \Delta_k, \quad (7.17)$$

where  $L_2(s) := \max\{(s + 2 - \alpha)_+ + 14 - 2\alpha; s + 9 - 2\alpha\}$ .

Proof: We can write

$$e_k'' = \int_0^1 \mathbb{L}''(U^a + S_{\theta_k} V_k + \tau(I - S_{\theta_k}) V_k, \Phi^a + S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k + \tau(I - S_{\theta_k}) \Psi_k) \\ ((\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k)((I - S_{\theta_k}) V_k, (I - S_{\theta_k}) \Psi_k)) d\tau.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} [\dot{U}^a + S_{\theta_k} V_k + \tau(I - S_{\theta_k}) V_k, \dot{\Phi}^a + S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k + \tau(I - S_{\theta_k}) \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta.$$

Then, we obtain

$$[e_k'']_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\{[\dot{U}^a + S_{\theta_k} V_k + \tau(I - S_{\theta_k}) V_k, \dot{\Phi}^a + S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k + \tau(I - S_{\theta_k}) \Psi_k]_{s+2,\lambda,T} \times \\ [\delta V_k, \delta \Phi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} [(I - S_{\theta_k}) V_k, (I - S_{\theta_k}) \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} + [\delta V_k, \delta \Phi_k]_{s+2,\lambda,T} \times \\ [(I - S_{\theta_k}) V_k, (I - S_{\theta_k}) \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} + [(I - S_{\theta_k}) V_k, (I - S_{\theta_k}) \Psi_k]_{s+2,\lambda,T} [\delta V_k, \delta \Phi_k]_{7,\lambda,T}\}.$$

From (7.1), Lemma 7.2, for  $s+2 \neq \alpha$  and  $s+2 \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ , we have

$$[e_k'']_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\{(\delta + \delta \theta_k^{(s+2-\alpha)_+} + \delta \theta_k^{s+2-\alpha}) \delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{13-2\alpha} + \delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{s+8-2\alpha} + \delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{s+8-2\alpha}\} \\ \leq C\delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{L_2(s)-1},$$

where  $L_2(s) = \max\{(s+2-\alpha)_+ + 14 - 2\alpha; s+9-2\alpha\}$ . For  $s+2 = \alpha$ , we have

$$[e_k'']_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\{(\delta + \delta \log \theta_k + \delta) \delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{13-2\alpha} + \delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{6-\alpha} + \delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{6-\alpha}\} \\ \leq C\delta^2 \Delta_k \theta_k^{L_2(\alpha-2)-1},$$

Similarly, we can obtain the estimates of  $\hat{e}_k''$  and  $\tilde{e}_k''$ .

#### 7.4. Estimate of the modified state.

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 8$ . There exist some functions  $V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  that vanish in the past, and such that  $U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \varphi^a + \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  satisfy the constraints (3.4) and (3.5). Moreover, these functions satisfy:*

$$\Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm = S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i^\pm, \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} := (S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i^\pm)|_{x_2=0}, \quad (7.18)$$

$$v_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm = S_{\theta_i} v_i^\pm, \quad (7.19)$$

$$[V_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_i} V_i]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta \theta_i^{s+1-\alpha}, \text{ for } s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} + 5]. \quad (7.20)$$

Proof: From (5.9)-(5.14), we first estimate  $\varepsilon_1^i, \varepsilon_2^i$  as follows:

$$\|(m_i^+ - m_i^-)|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq \|(m_{i-1}^+ - m_{i-1}^-)|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + \|(\delta m_i^+ - \delta m_i^-)|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \\ \leq \|\mathcal{B}(V_{i-1}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{i-1})\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + C[\delta V_{i-1}]_{s+1,\lambda,T} \\ \leq C\delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha-1},$$

for  $s \in [8, \alpha]$ . Hence, we have

$$\|\varepsilon_1^i\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C\theta_i^{s+1-\alpha} \|(m_i^+ - m_i^-)|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^\alpha(\omega_T)} \leq C\delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha},$$

for  $s \in [\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} + 5]$ . As for  $s \in [7, \alpha - 1]$ , we have

$$\|\varepsilon_1^i\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C\|(m_i^+ - m_i^-)|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \leq C\delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha},$$



Same estimates also hold for  $\varepsilon_2^i$ . Therefore, for all  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} + 5]$ , we have

$$[m_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm - S_{\theta_i} m_i^\pm]_{s,\lambda,T} = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{R}_T \varepsilon_1^i]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \|\varepsilon_1^i\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C \delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha}.$$

Similarly,

$$[n_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^\pm - S_{\theta_i} n_i^\pm]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha}.$$

Then, we turn to estimate  $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_i} u_i$  :

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_i} u_i &= S_{\theta_i} \varepsilon_3^i + [\partial_t, S_{\theta_i}] \Psi_i + \bar{v} [\partial_1, S_{\theta_i}] \Psi_i \\ &\quad + [(\dot{v}^a + S_{\theta_i} v_i) \partial_1 S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i - S_{\theta_i} ((\dot{v}^a + v_i) \partial_1 \Psi_i)] + (S_{\theta_i} v_i \partial_1 \Phi^a) - S_{\theta_i} (v_i \partial_1 \Phi^a). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we need to estimate the right hand side of the above equality. It is noted that

$$\varepsilon_3^i = \mathcal{E}(V_{i-1}, \Psi_{i-1}) + \partial_t(\delta \Psi_{i-1}) + (v^a + v_{i-1}) \partial_1 \delta \Psi_{i-1} + \delta V_{i-1} \partial_1 (\Phi^a + \Psi_i) - \delta u_{i-1}.$$

Using  $H_{i-1}$ , we obtain  $[\varepsilon_3^i]_{7,\lambda,T} \leq C \delta \theta_i^{6-\alpha} \leq C \delta \theta_i^{8-\alpha}$ , hence

$$[S_{\theta_i} \varepsilon_3^i]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \theta_i^{s-7} [\varepsilon_3^i]_{7,\lambda,T} \leq C \delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha+1},$$

for  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} + 5]$ . Then, we estimate the commutators. We take the third commutator as an example. If  $s \in [\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} + 5]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\dot{v}^a + S_{\theta_i} v_i) \partial_1 S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq [\dot{v}^a + S_{\theta_i} v_i]_{7,\lambda,T} [S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + [\dot{v}^a + S_{\theta_i} v_i]_{s,\lambda,T} [S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i]_{7,\lambda,T} \\ &\leq C \delta^2 \theta_i^{s+1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [S_{\theta_i} (\dot{v}^a + v_i) \partial_1 \Psi_i]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C \theta_i^{s-\alpha} [(\dot{v}^a + v_i) \partial_1 \Psi_i]_{\alpha,\lambda,T} \\ &\leq C \theta_i^{s-\alpha} \{ [\dot{v}^a + v_i]_{7,\lambda,T} [\Psi_i]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T} + [\dot{v}^a + v_i]_{\alpha,\lambda,T} [\Psi_i]_{7,\lambda,T} \} \\ &\leq C \delta^2 \theta_i^{s-\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $s \in [7, \alpha - 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\dot{v}^a + S_{\theta_i} v_i) \partial_1 S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i - S_{\theta_i} ((\dot{v}^a + v_i) \partial_1 \Psi_i)]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq [(v_i - S_{\theta_i} v_i) \partial_1 S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i]_{s,\lambda,T} \\ &\quad + [(\dot{v}^a + v_i) \partial_1 (\Psi_i - S_{\theta_i} \Psi_i)]_{s,\lambda,T} + [(I - S_{\theta_i}) ((\dot{v}^a + v_i) \partial_1 \Psi_i)]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \delta^2 \theta_i^{s+1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

All the remaining terms can be treated similarly. Therefore, we obtain

$$[u_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - S_{\theta_i} u_i]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \delta \theta_i^{s+1-\alpha}.$$

**7.5. Estimate of the second substitution errors.** We can estimate the second substitution errors  $e_k''', \hat{e}_k''', \tilde{e}_k'''$  of the iterative scheme. We define

$$e_k''' := \mathcal{L}'(S_{\theta_k} V_k, S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k) - \mathcal{L}'(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}})(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k), \quad (7.21)$$

$$\hat{e}_k''' := \mathcal{E}'(S_{\theta_k} V_k, S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k)(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k) - \mathcal{E}'(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}})(\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k), \quad (7.22)$$

$$\tilde{e}_k''' := \mathcal{B}'(S_{\theta_k} V_k|_{x_2=0}, S_{\theta_k} \Psi_k|_{x_2=0})(\delta V_k|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_k) - \mathcal{B}'(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}|_{x_2=0}, \psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}})((\delta V_k)|_{x_2=0}, \delta \psi_k). \quad (7.23)$$

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 8$ . Then, there exist  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large such that, for all  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$ , and for all integer  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha}-2]$ , we have  $\hat{e}_k''', \tilde{e}_k''' = 0$  and*

$$[e_k''']_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_3(s)-1}\Delta_k, \quad (7.24)$$

where  $L_3(s) := \max\{(s+2-\alpha)_+ + 16 - 2\alpha; s+10 - 2\alpha\}$ .

Proof: We can write

$$\begin{aligned} [e_k'''] &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{L}''(U^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \tau(S_{\theta_k}V_k - V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}), \Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\quad ((\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k)(S_{\theta_k}V_k - V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, 0))d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From (7.1), Lemma 7.2, Lemma 7.4, we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} [\dot{U}^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \tau(S_{\theta_k}V_k - V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}), \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+2,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta\theta_k^{(s+2-\alpha)_++1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [e_k''']_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq \{[\dot{U}^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \tau(S_{\theta_k}V_k - V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}), \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+2,\lambda,T}[\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} \times \\ &\quad [S_{\theta_k}V_k - V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]_{7,\lambda,T} + [\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k]_{s+2,\lambda,T}[S_{\theta_k}V_k - V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]_{7,\lambda,T} \\ &\quad + [S_{\theta_k}V_k - V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+2,\lambda,T}[\delta V_k, \delta \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T}\} \leq C\delta^2\Delta_k\theta_k^{L_3(s)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_3(s) = \max\{(s+2-\alpha)_+ + 16 - 2\alpha; s+10 - 2\alpha\}$ . It is easy to check that  $\hat{e}_k'''$  and  $\tilde{e}_k'''$  vanish.

**7.6. Estimate of the last error term.** We now estimate the last error term (5.17):

$$D_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\delta\Psi_k = \frac{\delta\Psi_k}{\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}})}R_k, \quad (7.25)$$

where  $R_k := \partial_2[\mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}})]$ . Note that from (5.14), (7.1),(7.5) that

$$|\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}})| = |\pm 1 + \partial_2(\dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}})| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

provided that  $\delta$  is small enough. Since  $U^a$  and  $\Phi^a$  do not vanish in the past but  $V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  vanish in the past, we cannot expect  $R_k$  vanishes in the past. However, since  $\delta\Psi_i$  vanishes in the past, we could expect  $D_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\delta\Psi_k$  vanishes in the past. In order to take advantage of existence result in the linear system, it is required that the source term vanishing in the past. Hence, we need to work on the part of the domain  $\Omega_T$  with positive time variable. For the negative time variable, it can be treated similarly. Since we apply the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality on the anisotropic Sobolev space with such domain, we will not distinguish the norms of anisotropic Sobolev space between  $\Omega_T$  and  $\Omega_T^+ = \{(t, x) \in \Omega_T, t > 0\}$ . Simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} [D_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\delta\Psi_k]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C\{[\delta\Psi_k]_{s,\lambda,T}\|R_k\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T^+)}\|(\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}))^{-1}\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T^+)} \\ &\quad + \|\delta\Psi_k\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T^+)} \times ([R_k]_{s,\lambda,T}\|(\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}))^{-1}\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T^+)}) \\ &\quad + \|R_k\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T^+)}\|(\partial_2(\Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}))^{-1}\|_{s,\lambda,T}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the following estimate:

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 8$  and  $\tilde{\alpha} \geq \alpha + 3$ . Then for  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small,  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large, for all  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$ , and for all integers  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2]$ , we have*

$$[R_k]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta(\theta_k^{s+5-\alpha} + \theta_k^{(s+4-\alpha)_+ + 9-\alpha}). \quad (7.26)$$

Proof: Using the definition of  $R_k$ , we obtain that

$$[R_k]_{s,\lambda,T} = [\mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})]_{s+2,\lambda,T}.$$

Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) - \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_k, \Phi^a + \Psi_k) + \mathcal{L}(V_k, \Psi_k) - f^a. \end{aligned}$$

If  $s + 2 \leq \tilde{\alpha} - 2$ , from  $H_{i-1}$ , we have

$$[\mathcal{L}(V_k, \Psi_k) - f^a]_{s+2,\lambda,T} \leq 2\delta\theta_k^{s+1-\alpha}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) - \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_k, \Phi^a + \Psi_k) \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{L}'(U^a + V_k + \tau(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - V_k), \Phi^a + \Psi_k + \tau(\Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \Psi_k))(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - V_k, \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \Psi_k) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} [\dot{U}^a + V_k + \tau(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - V_k), \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_k + \tau(\Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \Psi_k)]_{7,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta\theta_k^{8-\alpha}.$$

Using (6.2), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) - \mathbb{L}(U^a + V_k, \Phi^a + \Psi_k)]_{s+2,\lambda,T} \\ & \leq C\{[V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - V_k, \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \Psi_k]_{s+4,\lambda,T} + [V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - V_k, \Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \Psi_k]_{7,\lambda,T} \times \\ & [\dot{U}^a + V_k + \tau(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - V_k), \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_k + \tau(\Psi_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \Psi_k)]_{s+4,\lambda,T}\}, \\ & \leq C\delta\{\theta_k^{s+5-\alpha} + \theta_k^{(s+4-\alpha)_+ + 9-\alpha}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we consider  $s = \tilde{\alpha} - 2$  and  $s = \tilde{\alpha} - 3$  separately, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [R_k]_{s,\lambda,T} &= [\mathbb{L}(U^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \Phi^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}})]_{s+2,\lambda,T} \leq [\dot{U}^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+4,\lambda,T} \\ &\leq C\delta\theta_k^{s+5-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the above Lemma. And the following Lemma can be proved by direct calculations.

**Lemma 7.7.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 13$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} \geq \alpha + 3$ . There exist  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large such that for all  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$  and for all integer  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2]$ , we have*

$$[D_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\delta\Psi_k]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_4(s)-1}\Delta_k, \quad (7.27)$$

where  $L_4(s) := \max\{s + 13 - 2\alpha; (s + 4 - \alpha)_+ + 16 - 2\alpha; (s + 2 - \alpha)_+ + 19 - 2\alpha\}$ .

**7.7. Convergence of the iteration scheme.** We first estimate the errors  $e_k, \hat{e}_k, \tilde{e}_k$ .

**Lemma 7.8.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 13$ . There exist  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large, such that for all  $k = 0, \dots, i-1$  and for all integer  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2]$ , we have*

$$[e_k]_{s,\lambda,T} + [\hat{e}_k]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\tilde{e}_k\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_k^{L_4(s)-1}\Delta_k, \quad (7.28)$$

where  $L_4(s)$  is defined in Lemma 7.7.

From Lemma 7.8, we yield the estimate of the accumulated errors  $E_i, \tilde{E}_i, \hat{E}_i$ .

**Lemma 7.9.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 15, \tilde{\alpha} \geq \alpha + 4$ . There exist  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large, such that*

$$[(E_i, \hat{E}_i)]_{\tilde{\alpha}-2,\lambda,T} + \|\tilde{E}_i\|_{H_\lambda^{\tilde{\alpha}-2}(\omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\theta_i. \quad (7.29)$$

We estimate the source terms  $f_i, g_i, h_i^\pm$ .

**Lemma 7.10.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 15, \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + 4$ . There exist  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  sufficiently large, such that for all integers  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} + 1]$ ,*

$$[f_i]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\Delta_i\{\theta_i^{s-\alpha-2}([f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T} + \delta^2) + \delta^2\theta_i^{L_4(s)-1}\}, \quad (7.30)$$

$$\|g_i\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C\delta^2\Delta_i\{\theta_i^{s-\alpha-2} + \theta_i^{L_4(s)-1}\}, \quad (7.31)$$

and for all integers  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha}]$ ,

$$[h_i^\pm]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\delta^2\Delta_i(\theta_i^{s-\alpha-2} + \theta_i^{L_4(s)-1}). \quad (7.32)$$

Proof: Using the definition of  $f_i, g_i, h_i^\pm$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &= (S_{\theta_i} - S_{\theta_{i-1}})f^a - (S_{\theta_i} - S_{\theta_{i-1}})E_{i-1} - S_{\theta_i}e_{i-1}, \\ g_i &= -(S_{\theta_i} - S_{\theta_{i-1}})\tilde{E}_{i-1} - S_{\theta_i}\tilde{e}_{i-1}, \\ h_i^+ &= (S_{\theta_i} - S_{\theta_{i-1}})(\mathcal{R}_T\tilde{E}_{i-1,2} - \hat{E}_{i-1}^+) + S_{\theta_i}(\mathcal{R}_T\tilde{e}_{i-1,2} - \hat{e}_{i-1}^-), \end{aligned}$$

$$h_i^- = (S_{\theta_i} - S_{\theta_{i-1}})(\mathcal{R}_T\tilde{E}_{i-1,2} - \mathcal{R}_T\tilde{E}_{i-1,1} - \hat{E}_{i-1}^-) + S_{\theta_i}(\mathcal{R}_T\tilde{e}_{i-1,2} - \mathcal{R}_T\tilde{e}_{i-1,1} - \hat{e}_{i-1}^-).$$

By using the Lemma 7.1, 7.8, 7.9, we obtain the above results. Now, we consider the estimate of the solutions to problem (3.11) by using the tame estimate.

**Lemma 7.11.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 15$ . If  $\delta > 0$  and  $[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}/\delta$  are sufficiently small, and if  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  is sufficiently large, then for all integers  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha}]$ ,*

$$[(\delta V_i, \delta \Psi_i)]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\delta \psi_i\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \leq \delta\theta_i^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_i. \quad (7.33)$$

Proof: Using (5.8), we can obtain that

$$[\delta V_i]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C[\delta \dot{V}_i, \delta \Psi_i]_{s,\lambda,T} + [\delta \Psi_i]_{5,\lambda,T}[\dot{U}^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+2,\lambda,T}. \quad (7.34)$$

Using (7.34), (6.9) and (6.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[\delta V_i]_{s,\lambda,T} + [\delta \Psi_i]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\delta \psi_i\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \leq C(K)\{[f_i]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + \|g_i\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \\ &+ [h_i]_{s,\lambda,T} + ([f_i]_{6,\lambda,T} + \|g_i\|_{H_\lambda^6(\omega_T)} + [h_i^\pm]_{5,\lambda,T})[\dot{U}^a + V_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \dot{\Phi}^a + \Psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]_{s+4,\lambda,T}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.34), Lemma 7.2, Lemma 7.4, Lemma 7.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\delta V_i]_{s,\lambda,T} + [\delta \Psi_i]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\delta \psi_i\|_{H_\lambda^{s+1}(\omega_T)} \\ & \leq C\{\Delta_i(\theta_i^{5-\alpha}([f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T} + \delta^2 \theta_i^{20-2\alpha}))(\delta + \delta \theta_i^{(s+4-\alpha)_+} + \delta \theta_i^{s+5-\alpha}) \\ & \quad + \Delta_i(\theta_i^{s-\alpha-1}([f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T} + \delta^2) + \delta^2 \theta_i^{L_4(s+1)-1})\} \end{aligned}$$

We want to let the right hand side of the above inequality is less than  $\delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha-1} \Delta_i$  for all  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha}]$ . Since  $\alpha \geq 15$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} L_4(s+1) \leq s - \alpha, \\ (s+4-\alpha)_+ + 5 - \alpha \leq s - \alpha - 1, \\ s + 10 - 2\alpha \leq s - \alpha - 1, \\ (s+4-\alpha)_+ + 20 - 2\alpha \leq s - \alpha - 1, \\ s + 25 - 3\alpha \leq s - \alpha - 1. \end{cases} \quad (7.35)$$

The Lemma is proved after taking  $\delta$  and  $[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}/\delta$  small enough. We now prove the remaining inequality in  $(H_i)$ .

**Lemma 7.12.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 15$ . If  $\delta > 0$  and  $[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}/\delta$  are sufficiently small, and if  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  is sufficiently large, then for all integers  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha}]$ ,*

$$[\mathcal{L}(V_i, \Psi_i) - f^a]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq 2\delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha-1}. \quad (7.36)$$

Moreover, for all integers  $s \in [7, \tilde{\alpha} - 2]$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{B}(V_i|_{x_2=0}, \Psi_i)\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq \delta \theta_i^{s-\alpha-1}. \quad (7.37)$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{E}(V_i, \Psi_i)\|_{H_\lambda^s(\Omega_T)} \leq \delta \theta_i^{6-\alpha}. \quad (7.38)$$

Proof: From the iteration step, we can decompose

$$\mathcal{L}(V_i, \Phi_i) - f^a = (S_{\theta_{i-1}} - I)f^a + (I - S_{\theta_{i-1}})E_{i-1} + e_{i-1}.$$

First, we estimate  $[(S_{\theta_{i-1}} - I)f^a]_{s,\lambda,T}$ . If  $\alpha + 1 \leq \tilde{\alpha} - 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [(S_{\theta_{i-1}} - I)f^a]_{s,\lambda,T} & \leq [S_{\theta_{i-1}} f^a]_{s,\lambda,T} + [f^a]_{s,\lambda,T} \\ & \leq C\theta_{i-1}^{s-\alpha-1}[f^a]_{\alpha+1} + [f^a]_{\tilde{\alpha}-2} \leq C\theta_i^{s-\alpha-1}([f^a]_{\alpha+1} + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

If  $7 \leq s \leq \alpha + 1$ , we have

$$[(S_{\theta_{i-1}} - I)f^a]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C\theta_{i-1}^{s-\alpha-1}[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}.$$

For the remaining term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(I - S_{\theta_{i-1}})E_{i-1}]_{s,\lambda,T} & \leq C\theta_{i-1}^{s-\tilde{\alpha}+2}[E_{i-1}]_{\tilde{\alpha}-2,\lambda,T} \leq C\theta_{i-1}^{s-\tilde{\alpha}+2}\delta^2 \theta_{i-1} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_i^{s-\alpha-1}. \\ [e_{i-1}]_{s,\lambda,T} & \leq C\delta^2 \theta_i^{L(s)-1} \Delta_i \leq C\delta^2 \theta_i^{L(s)-2} \leq C\delta^2 \theta_i^{s-\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the terms and taking  $\delta$  and  $[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}/\delta$  small enough, we obtain the Lemma 7.12.

In view of Lemma 7.11 and 7.12, we have obtained  $(H_i)$  from  $(H_{i-1})$ , provided that  $\alpha \geq 15$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + 4$ , (7.1) holds,  $\delta > 0$  and  $[f^a]_{H_\lambda^{\alpha+1}(\Omega_T)}/\delta$  are sufficiently small, and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$  is large enough. Fixing constants  $\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha, \delta > 0$  and  $\theta_0 \geq 1$ , we now prove  $(H_0)$ .

**Lemma 7.13.** *If  $[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}/\delta$  is sufficiently small, then  $(H_0)$  holds.*

Proof: We recall that  $V_0 = \Phi_0 = \psi_0 = 0$ . Using the definition of the approximate solutions, Lemma 4 and the construction of the intermediate states, we have  $V_{\frac{1}{2}} = \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}} = \psi_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ . So the problem becomes

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}'_e(U^a, \Phi^a)\delta\dot{V}_0 = S_{\theta_0}f^a, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \mathbb{B}'_e(U^a|_{x_2=0}, \varphi^a)((\delta\dot{V}_0|_{x_2=0}), \delta\psi_0) = 0, & \text{on } \omega_T, \\ \delta\dot{V}_0 = 0, \delta\psi_0 = 0, & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases} \quad (7.39)$$

It is easy to see that all the conditions in well-posedness and the tame estimates are satisfied. Moreover, the equations determine  $\delta\Psi_0$ , we have

$$\partial_t \delta\Psi_0^\pm + v^{a\pm} \partial_1 \delta\Psi_0^\pm + \left\{ \partial_1 \Phi^{a\pm} \frac{\partial_2 v^{a\pm}}{\partial_2 \Phi^{a\pm}} - \frac{\partial_2 u^{a\pm}}{\partial_2 \Phi^{a\pm}} \right\} \delta\Psi_0^\pm + \partial_1 \Phi^{a\pm} \delta \dot{v}_0^\pm - \delta \dot{u}_0^\pm = 0.$$

So by (6.9),(6.10),(3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta V_0, \delta\Psi_0\|_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\delta\psi_0\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq [S_{\theta_0}f^a]_{s+1,\lambda,T} + [S_{\theta_0}f^a]_{6,\lambda,T}[\dot{U}^a, \dot{\Phi}^a]_{s+4,\lambda,T}, \\ & \leq C[S_{\theta_0}f^a]_{s+1,\lambda,T} \leq C\theta_0^{(s-\alpha)+}[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}/\delta$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\|\delta V_0, \delta\Psi_0\|_{s,\lambda,T} + \|\delta\psi_0\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq \delta\theta_0^{s-\alpha-1}\Delta_0,$$

for all  $7 \leq s \leq \tilde{\alpha}$ . The remaining two inequalities can be proved by taking  $[f^a]_{\alpha+1,\lambda,T}$  small enough.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1:* Given the initial data  $(U_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  satisfying all the assumption of Theorem 2.1. Given  $\alpha \geq 15$  and let  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + 4$  and  $\mu = \tilde{\alpha} + 3$ . Then the initial data  $U_0^\pm$  and  $\varphi_0$  are compatible up to order  $\mu = \tilde{\alpha} + 3$ . From (4.16) and (4.21), we can obtain (7.1) and all the requirements of Lemma 7.11, Lemma 7.13, Lemma 7.12, provided that  $(\dot{U}_0^\pm, \varphi_0)$  is sufficiently small in  $H_*^{2\mu+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times H^{2\mu+2}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\dot{U}_0^\pm := U_0^\pm - \bar{U}^\pm$ . Hence, for small initial data, property  $(H_i)$  holds for all integers  $i$ . In particular, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\|(\delta V_k, \delta\Psi_k)\|_{\alpha-1,\lambda,T} + \|\delta\psi_k\|_{H_\lambda^\alpha(\omega_T)}) \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k^{-2} \Delta_k < \infty.$$

Thus, sequence  $(V_k, \Psi_k)$  converges to some limit  $(V, \Psi)$  in  $H_\lambda^{\alpha-1}(\Omega_T)$ , and sequence  $\psi_k$  converges to some limit  $\psi$  in  $H_\lambda^\alpha(\Omega_T)$ . Passing to the limit in (7.36) and (7.37) for  $s = \alpha - 1$  and in (7.38), we obtain (4.22). Therefore,  $(U, \Phi) = (U^a + V, \Phi^a + \Psi)$  is a solution on  $\Omega_T^+$  of (2.8)-(3.5).

## APPENDIX A. SOME BASIC ESTIMATES

We present some nonlinear tame estimates in weighted Sobolev space  $H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$  and anisotropic Sobolev space  $H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T)$ . For more details, see [33]. First, we consider weighted Sobolev space  $H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$ .

**Theorem A.1.** (Gagliardo-Nirenberg) *Let  $s > 1$  be an integer,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . There is a constant  $C$  which is independent of  $\lambda$  and  $T$  such that for all  $u \in H_\lambda^s(\omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\omega_T)$  and all multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$  with  $|\alpha| \leq s$ , we have*

$$\|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L_\lambda^{2p}(\omega_T)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{|\alpha|}{s}.$$

This result can be used to prove the following tame estimates for products of functions in  $H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$ .

**Theorem A.2.** *Let  $s \geq 1$  be an integer,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . There exists a constant  $C$  which is independent of  $\lambda$  and  $T$  such that for all functions  $u, v \in H_\lambda^s(\omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\omega_T)$ , the product  $uv$  belongs to  $H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$  and satisfies the estimate*

$$\|uv\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C (\|u\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|v\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} \|u\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)}).$$

Furthermore, there is a tame estimate for the composed functions.

**Theorem A.3.** *Let  $s \geq 1$  be an integer,  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $F$  is a  $C^\infty$  function such that  $F(0) = 0$ . Then, there is an increasing function  $C(\cdot)$  which is independent of  $\lambda$  and  $T$  such that for all  $u \in H_\lambda^s(\omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\omega_T)$ , the composed function  $F(u)$  belongs to  $H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$  and satisfies*

$$\|F(u)\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)} \leq C (\|u\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)}) \|u\|_{H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)}.$$

Then, we introduce the following Sobolev embedding estimates in  $H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$ .

**Theorem A.4.** *The following inequalities hold with constants  $C$  which are independent of  $\lambda > 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\lambda T} u\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|u\|_{H_\lambda^2(\omega_T)}, \quad \forall u \in H_\lambda^2(\omega_T), \\ \|e^{-\lambda T} u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\omega_T)} &\leq C \|u\|_{H_\lambda^3(\omega_T)}, \quad \forall u \in H_\lambda^3(\omega_T). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we focus on discussing the anisotropic Sobolev space  $H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T)$ . We note that the estimate in  $H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T)$  are different for  $s$  to be odd and even. First, we present the estimates when  $s$  is even.

**Theorem A.5.** (Gagliardo-Nirenberg) *Let  $s > 1$  be an even integer,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . There is a constant  $C$  which is independent of  $\lambda$  and  $T$  such that for all  $u \in H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_T)$  and all multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $|\alpha| + 2k \leq s$ , we have*

$$\|\partial_*^\alpha \partial_2^k u\|_{L_\lambda^{2p}(\Omega_T)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} [u]_{s,\lambda,T}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{|\alpha| + 2k}{s}.$$

Similar with  $H_\lambda^s(\omega_T)$ , we have the following estimates for the products and composed function.

**Theorem A.6.** *Let  $s \geq 1$  be an even integer,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for all functions  $u, v \in H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_T)$  and  $C^\infty$  function  $F$  of  $u$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [uv]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C_1 (\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} [v]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} [u]_{s,\lambda,T}), \\ [F(u)]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C_2 (\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}) (1 + [u]_{s,\lambda,T}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  is a constant and  $C_2$  is an increasing function. They are both independent of  $\lambda$  and  $T$ . Moreover, if  $F(0) = 0$ , we have

$$[F(u)]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C_2(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)})[u]_{s,\lambda,T}.$$

For the Sobolev imbedding theorem, we have

**Theorem A.7.** *The following inequalities hold with constants  $C$  which are independent of  $\lambda > 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\lambda T}u\|_{L^\infty(\omega_T)} &\leq C[u]_{4,\lambda,T}, \forall u \in H_*^{4,\lambda}(\Omega_T), \\ \|e^{-\lambda T}u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_T)} &\leq C[u]_{6,\lambda,T}, \forall u \in H_*^{6,\lambda}(\Omega_T). \end{aligned}$$

For the case when  $s$  is odd, we note that

$$[u]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C \left( [u]_{s-1,\lambda,T} + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} [\partial_*^\alpha u]_{s-1,\lambda,T} \right).$$

Thus, we have

**Theorem A.8.** *Let  $s \geq 1$  be an odd integer,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for all functions  $u, v \in H_*^{s,\lambda}(\Omega_T) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_T)$  and  $C^\infty$  function  $F$  of  $u$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [uv]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C_1(\|u\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)}[v]_{s,\lambda,T} + \|v\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)}[u]_{s,\lambda,T}), \\ [F(u)]_{s,\lambda,T} &\leq C_2(\|u\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)})(1 + [u]_{s,\lambda,T}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  is a constant and  $C_2$  is an increasing function. They are both independent of  $\lambda$  and  $T$ . Moreover, if  $F(0) = 0$ , we have

$$[F(u)]_{s,\lambda,T} \leq C_2(\|u\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)})(1 + [u]_{s,\lambda,T}).$$

For the Sobolev imbedding theorem, we have

**Theorem A.9.** *The following inequalities hold with constants  $C$  which are independent of  $\lambda > 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\lambda T}u\|_{W^{1,tan}(\Omega_T)} &\leq C[u]_{5,\lambda,T}, \forall u \in H_*^{5,\lambda}(\Omega_T), \\ \|e^{-\lambda T}u\|_{W^{2,tan}(\Omega_T)} &\leq C[u]_{7,\lambda,T}, \forall u \in H_*^{7,\lambda}(\Omega_T). \end{aligned}$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F. Huang was supported in part by National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, AMSS, CAS and NSFC Grant No. 11371349 and 11688101. D. Wang was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1312800 and DMS-1613213. D. Yuan was supported by China Scholarship Council No.201704910503. The authors would like to thank Professor Robin Ming Chen and Jilong Hu of the University of Pittsburgh for the valuable discussions.



## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alinhac, Existence d'ondes de rarefaction pour des systemes quasi-lineaires hyperboliques multidimensionnels. *Comm. Partial Differential Equation* **14** (1989), 173-230.
- [2] G.-Q. Chen and Y.-G. Wang, Existence and stability of compressible current-vortex sheets in three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics. **187** (2008), 369-408.
- [3] G.-Q. Chen, P. Secchi and T. Wang, Nonlinear stability of relativistic vortex sheets in three dimensional Minkowski spacetime. arXiv:1707.02672[math.AP], 2017.
- [4] R. M. Chen, J. Hu and D. Wang, Linear stability of compressible vortex sheets in two-dimensional elastodynamics. *Adv. Math.* **311** (2017),18-60.
- [5] R. M. Chen, J. Hu and D. Wang, Linear Stability of Compressible Vortex Sheets in 2D Elastodynamics: Variable Coefficients. arXiv:1804.07850[math.AP], 2018.
- [6] R. M. Chen, J. Hu and D. Wang, Nonlinear stability and existence of compressible vortex sheets in two-dimensional elastodynamics. Preprint, 2018.
- [7] S. X. Chen, initial boundary value problems for quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic systems with characteristic boundary. *Front.Math.China.* **2(1)**(2006),51-74.
- [8] J. F. Coulombel, Well-posedness of hyperbolic initial boundary value problems. *J. Math. Pure Appl.* **84** (2005), 786-818.
- [9] J. F. Coulombel and P. Secchi, The stability of compressible vortex sheets in two space dimensions. *Indiana Univ.Math.J.* **53** (2004), 941-1012.
- [10] J. F. Coulombel and P. Secchi, Nonlinear compressible vortex sheets in two space dimensions. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm.Super.* **41** (2008), 85-139.
- [11] S. Evje, Global weak solutions for a compressible gas-liquid model with well-deformation interaction. *J. Diff. Eqs.* **251** (2011), 2352-2386.
- [12] S. Evje, Weak solutions for a gas-liquid model relevant for describing gas-kick in oil wells. *SIAM. J. Math. Anal.* **43** (2011),1887-1922.
- [13] S. Evje and K. H. Karlsen, Global existence of weak solutions for a viscous two-phase model. *J. Diff. Eqs.* **245** (2008), 2660-2703.
- [14] S. Evje and K. H. Karlsen, Global weak solutions for a viscous liquid-gas model with singular pressure law. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **8** (2009),1867-1894.
- [15] J. A. Fejer and W. Miles, On the stability of a plane vortex sheet with respect to three dimensional disturbances. *J. Fluid Mech.* **15** (1963), 335-336.
- [16] J. Francheteau and G. Metivier, Existence of weak shocks for multidimensional hyperbolic quasilinear systems. *Asterisque* **268** (2000), 1-198.
- [17] H. A. Friis and S. Evje, Global weak solutions for a gas-liquid model with external forces general pressure law. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **71** (2011), 409-442.
- [18] H. A. Friis and S. Evje, Well-posedness of a compressible gas-liquid model with a friction term important for well control operations. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **71** (2011), 2014-2047.
- [19] S. B. Gavage and D. Serre, First order systems of hyperbolic partial differential equations with applications. The Clarendon Press,Oxford University Press,Oxford,2007.
- [20] C.-C.Hao and H.-L. Li, Well-posedness for a multidimensional viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow model. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **44** (2012), 1304-1332.
- [21] F. Huang, J.Kuang, D. Wang, W. Xiang, Stability of supersonic contact discontinuity for two-dimensional steady compressible Euler flows in a finite nozzle. arXiv:1804.04769,[math.AP], 2018.
- [22] Q.-S. Jiu and Z.-P. Xin, On strong convergence to 3-D axisymmetric vortex sheets. *J. Diff. Eqs.* **223** (2006), 33-50.
- [23] Q.-S.Jiu and Z.-P.Xin, On strong convergence to 3-D steady vortex sheets. *J. Diff. Eqs.* **239** (2007), 448-470.
- [24] P. D. Lax, Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws.II. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** (1957), 537-566.
- [25] R. L. Mishkov,Generalization of the formula of Faa di Bruno for a composite function with a vector argument. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.***24(7)** (2006), 481-491.
- [26] A. Morando and P. Trebeschi, Two-dimensional vortex sheets for the nonisentropic Euler equations: linear stability. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **5** 2008,487-518.

- [27] A. Morando, P. Secchi and P. Trebeschi, On the evolution equation of compressible vortex sheets. arXiv:1806.06740 [math.AP],2018
- [28] A. Morando, Y. Trakhinin and P. Trebeschi, Local existence of MHD contact discontinuities. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.,**9(1)**(2017),1-52.
- [29] S. Pai, Two-Phase Flows. Vieweg Tracts in Pure Appl. Phys., vol. 3, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1977, edited by K. Oswatitsch.
- [30] J. B. Rauch and F. Massey, Differentiability of solutions to hyperbolic initial boundary value problems. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **189** (1974), 303-318.
- [31] L. Ruan, D. Wang, S. Weng and C. Zhu, Rectilinear vortex sheets of inviscid liquid-gas two-phase flow: linear stability. Commun. Math. Sci. **14** (2016),735-776.
- [32] P. Secchi, Well-posedness for a mixed problem for the equations of ideal magneto-hydrodynamics. Arch. Math., **64 (3)**(1995),237-245.
- [33] P. Secchi, Some properties of anisotropic Sobolev spaces. Arch. Math. (Basel) **75** (2000), 207-216.
- [34] Y. Trakhinin, Existence of compressible current-vortex sheets: variable coefficients linear analysis. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **177** (2005), 331-366.
- [35] Y. Trakhinin, The existence of Current-Vortex Sheets in Ideal Compressible Magnetohydrodynamics. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **191**(2009), 245-310.
- [36] C. Wang and Z. Zhang, A new proof of Wu's Theorem on vortex sheets. Sci. China. Math. **55** (2012),1449-1462.
- [37] Y.-G.Wang and F. Yu, Stability of contact discontinuities in three-dimensional compressible steady flows. J. Diff. Eqs. **255** (2013),1278-1356.
- [38] Y.-G.Wang and F.Yu, Stabilization effect of magnetic fields on two-dimensional compressible current-vortex sheets, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **208** (2013), 341-389.
- [39] Y.-G.Wang and H.Yuan, Weak stability of transonic contact discontinuities in three-dimensional steady non-isentropic compressible Euler flows. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **66** (2015), 341-388.
- [40] S. Wu, Mathematical analysis of vortex sheets, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), 1065-1206.

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA.

*E-mail address:* fhuang@amt.ac.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260, USA

*E-mail address:* dwang@math.pitt.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA; INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, AMSS, CAS, BEIJING 100190, CHINA.

*E-mail address:* yuandf@amss.ac.cn