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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the Brezis-Nirenberg type problem for Choquard equations in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ $$
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$ where $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), \lambda>0, q \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right], p=\frac{N+\alpha}{N}$ or $\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ are the critical exponents in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and $I_{\alpha}$ is the Riesz potential. Based on the results of the subcritical problems, and by using the subcritical approximation and the Pohožaev constraint method, we obtain a positive and radially nonincreasing groundstate solution in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for the problem. To the end, the regularity and the Pohožaev identity of solutions to a general Choquard equation are obtained. Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J20; Secondary 35B65, 35B33.


## 1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we study the following Choquard equation with critical nonlinearities

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p=\frac{N+\alpha}{N}$ or $\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}, q \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right), \lambda>0$ and $I_{\alpha}$ is the Riesz potential defined for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}(x)=\frac{A_{\alpha}(N)}{|x|^{N-\alpha}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{\alpha}(N)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{N / 2} 2^{\alpha}}$ and $\Gamma$ denoting the Gamma function (see [21], P.19).
Problem (1.1) is referred as the Brezis-Nirenberg type problem for Choquard equations in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. In the pioneering work of Brezis and Nirenberg [8], authors studied the critical problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\lambda u \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent for the embedding of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ into $L^{p}(\Omega)$. They proved the existence of solutions for $\lambda>0, N>4$ by analyzing the local Palais-Smale sequences below the first critical level. Since then, there has been a considerable number of papers on problem (1.3) for the existence of positive solutions and sign-changing solutions (see [25] and [26]). In [8], they also considered the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $2<q<2^{*}$. When $N \geq 4$, they obtained that problem (1.4) has a positive solution for every $\lambda>0$. When $N=3$, problem (1.4) is much more delicate: if $4<q<6$, problem (1.4) has a positive solution for every $\lambda>0$; if $2<q \leq 4$, it is only for large values of $\lambda$ that (1.4) possesses a positive solution.

For the Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
-\Delta u+u=|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

If $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$, there exists an unique positive groundstate solution, which is radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing. If $p \geq 2^{*}$, there are no nontrivial solutions. See [25] and [26]. For the Brezis-Nirenberg type problem for the Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3,2<q<2^{*}$ and $\lambda>0$ is a constant. The authors in [2] [15] [28] [29] obtained that (1.5) admits a positive ground state solution which is radially symmetric if $N \geq 4, q \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ or $N=3, q \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$ and $\lambda$ is large enough.

As for the Choquard equation, the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality implies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p}$ is well defined for $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $p \in\left[\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right]$. In 2013, Moroz and Schaftingen [16] established the existence, qualitative properties and decay estimates of groundstates of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \in\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)$. However, they showed that the following critical problems

$$
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}\right)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

and

$$
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

have no nontrivial solutions in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Usually, $\frac{N+\alpha}{N}$ is called the lower critical exponent and $\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ is the upper critical exponent for the Choquard equation. We should point out that for $N=3, p=2$ and $\alpha=2$, (1.6) was investigated by Pekar in [19] to study the quantum theory of a polaron at rest. In [13], it was applied by Choquard as an approximation to Hartree-Fock theory of one component plasma. It also arises in multiple particles systems [11] and quantum mechanics [20].

Recently, Ao [3] considered the upper critical problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the space $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By using the Nehari manifold method, he obtained the following result.
Theorem A1. Let $\alpha \in(0, N), q \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ for $N \geq 5$ and $q \in(3,4)$ for $N=4$, then (1.7) admits a nontrivial solution in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

We ramark that the existence of nontrivial solutions of (1.7) in the cases $N=4, q \in$ $(2,3]$ and $N=3, q \in(2,6)$ is still an open problem.

Van Schaftingen and Xia [22] considered the more general lower critical problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}\right)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}-2} u+f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the mountain-pass lemma, the Brezis-Lieb lemma and the concentration compactness principle, they obtained the following result.
Theorem A2. For every $N \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in(0, N)$, there exists $\Lambda_{0}>0$ such that if the function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies
$\left(f_{1}\right) f(t)=o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$,
( $\left.f_{2}\right)|f(t)| \leq a\left(|t|+|t|^{q-1}\right)$ for some $a>0$ and $q>2$ with $\frac{1}{q}>\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{N}$,
( $f_{3}$ ) there exists $\mu>2$ such that $0<\mu F(t) \leq t f(t)$ for all $t \neq 0$, where $F(t)=$ $\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$,
$\left(f_{4}\right) \liminf _{|t| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t)}{t^{4 / N+2}} \geq \Lambda_{0}$,
then (1.8) has a groundstate solution.
Note that $f(t)=|t|^{q-2} t$ satisfies $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$ whenever $q \in\left(2,2+\frac{4}{N}\right]$, but does not satisfies $\left(f_{4}\right)$ for $q \in\left(2+\frac{4}{N}, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$. So they left the case $q \in\left(2+\frac{4}{N}, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ as open problem.

In this paper, we will solve the above open problems and obtain positive groundstate solutions for (1.1). For completeness, we also consider the following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\mu\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\mu\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}\right)|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}-2} u+\lambda|u|^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p \in\left[\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right], \mu$ and $\lambda$ are positive constants.
The main results of this paper are as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p=\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ and $\lambda>0$. Then there is a constant $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that (1.1) admits a positive groundstate $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which is radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $N \geq 4$ and $q \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$;
(2) $N=3$ and $q \in\left(4, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$;
(3) $N=3, q \in(2,4]$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

Remark 1. It is obvious that Theorem 1.1 is a sharp improvement of the results in [3].
Theorem 1.2. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p=\frac{N+\alpha}{N}$ and $\lambda>0$. Then there is a constant $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that (1.1) admits a positive groundstate $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which is radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $q \in\left(2,2+\frac{4}{N}\right)$;
(2) $q \in\left[2+\frac{4}{N}, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{1}$.

Remark 2. The result in Theorem 1.2 is new for $q \in\left(2+\frac{4}{N}, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$. When $q \in$ $\left(2,2+\frac{4}{N}\right]$, it is a special case of the results in [22].
Theorem 1.3. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N)$ and $\mu>0$. Then there are two constants $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}>0$ such that(1.9) admits a positive groundstate $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which is radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $N \geq 4$ and $p \in\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{N-2}, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)$;
(2) $N \geq 4, p \in\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{N-2}\right]$ and $\mu>\mu_{0}$;
(3) $N=3$ and $p \in\left(2+\alpha, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)$;
(4) $N=3, p \in\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, 2+\alpha\right]$ and $\mu>\mu_{1}$.

Remark 3. Recently, by using perturbation arguments, Seok [23] obtained that for fixed $p \in(1, N /(N-2))$ if $N \geq 4$ and $p \in(2,3)$ if $N=3$, there exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ depending on $p$ such that (1.9) admits a radially symmetric nontrivial solution $u_{\alpha} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for every $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$. Hence, Theorem 1.3 is an improvement of the results in [23].

Remark 4. As $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, (1.9) formally reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=|u|^{2 p-2} u+|u|^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}-2} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is proved in [1] that (1.11) admits a positive least energy solution in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $p \in(1, N /(N-2))$ for $N \geq 4$ or $p \in(2,3)$ for $N=3$. Thus, Theorem 1.3 may be viewed as an generalization of the results in [1] to Choquard equations. Moreover, we obtain a groundstate in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $N \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in(0, N)$. Then there exist $\lambda_{2}, \mu_{2}>0$ such that (1.10) admits a positive groundstate $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which is radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing if $\lambda>\lambda_{2}$ and $\mu>\mu_{2}$.

In the end of this section, we outline the methods used in this paper. For convenience, we set $\underline{p}=\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, \bar{p}=\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ and $\bar{q}=2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ and consider equations (1.1), (1.9) and (1.10) in a uniform form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\mu\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p \in[\underline{p}, \bar{p}], q \in(2, \bar{q}], \mu$ and $\lambda$ being positive constants. By the Hardy-LittlewoodSobolev inequality (Lemma 3.1) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, the functional $J_{p, q}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p, q}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}-\frac{\mu}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $C^{1}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{p, q}^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla w+u w-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u w-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q-2} u w \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u, w \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence, every critical point of $J_{p, q}$ is a weak solution of (1.12). A nontrivial solution $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is called a groundstate if $J_{p, q}(u)=c_{p, q}^{g}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p, q}^{g}:=\inf \left\{J_{p, q}(v): v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\} \text { and } J_{p, q}^{\prime}(v)=0\right\} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorems 1.1-1.4, we use the subcritical approximation and the Pohožaev constraint method, which has already been used to Schrödinger equation [15]. More precisely, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p, q}=\inf \left\{J_{p, q}(v): v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\} \text { and } P_{p, q}(v)=0\right\} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{p, q}(u): H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Pohožaev functional defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{p, q}(u)= & \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p}-\frac{\lambda N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By carefully studying the properties of $c_{p, q}$ (Section 3) and by using a sequence of groundstates of the subcritical problems, we can show that $c_{p, q}$ is attained for various critical problems. By further showing that every weak solution of $(1.12)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfies the Pohožaev identity (Section 2), we can show that $c_{p, q}=c_{p, q}^{g}$ and a groundstate is obtained. In this paper, to use this method, we have to overcome two difficulties: (a) Obtaining the Pohožaev identity of problem (1.12), which is not an easy issue in our case; (b) Finer calculations are needed for the interaction of the nonlocal nonlinear term and the local nonlinear term.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider the regularity and the Pohožaev identity of solutions for a general Choquard equation. In Section 3 we give some preliminaries and study the properties of $c_{p, q}$. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.1-1.4.

Basic notations: Throughout this paper, we assume $N \geq 3 . C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the space of the functions infinitely differentiable with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. $L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $1 \leq r<\infty$ denotes the Lebesgue space with the norms $\|u\|_{r}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$. $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the usual Sobolev space with norm $\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\} . \quad H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):\right.$ $u$ is radially symmetric $\}$.

## 2. Regularity of solutions and Pohožaev identity

In this section, we consider the general Choquard equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} * F(u)\right) f(u)+g(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t, G(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(t) d t, f$ and $g$ satisfy the following assumptions:
(A1) There exists a positive constant $C_{1}$ such that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
|s f(s)| \leq C_{1}\left(|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}+|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)
$$

(A2) There exists a positive constant $C_{2}$ such that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
|s g(s)| \leq C_{2}\left(|s|^{2}+|s|^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}\right)
$$

Next, we prove that any weak solution of $(2.1)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ has additional regularity properties, which allows us to establish the Pohožaev identity for all finite energy solutions.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N)$, (A1) and (A2) hold. If $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a solution of (2.1), then $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for every $p>1$. Moreover, $u$ satisfies the Pohožaev identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}=\frac{N+\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F(u)\right) F(u)+N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(u) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 2.1, we follow the proof in [17] for the equation $-\Delta u+u=$ $\left(I_{\alpha} *(H u)\right) K$ and in [7] for the equation $-\Delta u+u=V u$. To the end, we need some lemmas. The first lemma is cited from [17].

Lemma 2.2. Let $N \geq 2, \alpha \in(0, N)$ and $\theta \in(0,2)$. If $H, K \in L^{\frac{2 N}{2+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{2 N}{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\frac{\alpha}{N}<\theta<2-\frac{\alpha}{N}$, then for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C(\epsilon, \theta)$ such that for every $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left(H|u|^{\theta}\right)\right) K|u|^{2-\theta} \leq \epsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+C(\epsilon, \theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2} .
$$

The following lemma can be found in [7].
Lemma 2.3. Let $N \geq 3$. If $V \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C(\epsilon)$ such that for every $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V|u|^{2} \leq \epsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+C(\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}
$$

By using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we can obtain the following result.
Lemma 2.4. Let $N \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in(0, N)$. If $H, K \in L^{\frac{2 N}{2+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{2 N}{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $V \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *(H u)\right) K+V u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $r \in\left[2, \frac{N}{\alpha} \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant $C(p)$ independent of $u$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq C(p)\|u\|_{2}
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [7] and [17]. Set $H=H_{1}+H_{2}, K=K_{1}+K_{2}$ and $V=V_{1}+V_{2}$, where $H_{1}, K_{1} \in L^{\frac{2 N}{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), H_{2}, K_{2} \in L^{\frac{2 N}{2+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), V_{1} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $V_{2} \in L^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2 with $\theta=1$ and Lemma 2.3 , there exists a constant $\lambda>0$ such that for every $w \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left(\left(\left|H_{1}\right|+\left|H_{2}\right|\right)|w|\right)\right)\left(\left(\left|K_{1}\right|+\left|K_{2}\right|\right)|w|\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|\right)|w|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any function $M(x)$ and each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ define $M_{j}(x)$ by

$$
M_{j}(x)= \begin{cases}j, & \text { if } M(x)>j \\ M(x), & \text { if }|M(x)| \leq j \\ -j, & \text { if } M(x)<-j\end{cases}
$$

Then the sequences $\left\{H_{j}:=H_{1}+H_{2 j}\right\},\left\{K_{j}:=K_{1}+K_{2 j}\right\} \in L^{\frac{2 N}{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left\{V_{j}:=V_{1}+\right.$ $\left.V_{2 j}\right\} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfy $\left|H_{j}\right| \leq\left|H_{1}\right|+\left|H_{2}\right|,\left|K_{j}\right| \leq\left|K_{1}\right|+\left|K_{2}\right|,\left|V_{j}\right| \leq\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|$, $H_{j} \rightarrow H, K_{j} \rightarrow K$ and $V_{j} \rightarrow V$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. For each $j$, define a bilinear form $a_{j}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
a_{j}(\varphi, \psi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \varphi \nabla \psi+\lambda \varphi \psi-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left(H_{j} \varphi\right)\right) K_{j} \psi-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{j} \varphi \psi, \varphi, \psi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}(\varphi, \varphi) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\varphi|^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $a_{j}$ is coercive. The Lax-Milgram theorem [6] implies that there exists a unique solution $u_{j} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{j}+\lambda u_{j}=\left(I_{\alpha} *\left(H_{j} u_{j}\right)\right) K_{j}+V_{j} u_{j}+(\lambda-1) u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the given solution of (2.3).
We claim that the sequence $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, multiplying both sides of (2.7) by $u_{j}$ and integrating it over $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, by using (2.6) and the Cauchy inequality, we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2} \leq(\lambda-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u u_{j} \leq \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2}+C(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2} \leq C(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and then there exists $v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{j} \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u_{j} \rightarrow v$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Since $\left|V_{j}\right| \leq\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|$, we have $\left\{V_{j}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and then $\left\{V_{j} u_{j}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{2 N}{N+2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{j} u_{j} \varphi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V v \varphi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Since $\left|K_{j}\right| \leq\left|K_{1}\right|+\left|K_{2}\right|$ and $\left|H_{j}\right| \leq\left|H_{1}\right|+\left|H_{2}\right|$, we obtain that $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{H_{j}\right\}$ are bounded in $L^{\frac{2 N}{2+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{2 N}{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and then $\left\{H_{j} u_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{K_{j} \varphi\right\}$ are bounded in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $H_{j} u_{j} \rightharpoonup H v$ weakly in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that $K_{j} \varphi \rightarrow K \varphi$ strongly in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left(H_{j} u_{j}\right)\right) K_{j} \varphi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *(H v)\right) K \varphi \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. In view of (2.7),(2.9) and (2.10), v $\in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a weak solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+\lambda v=\left(I_{\alpha} *(H v)\right) K+V v+(\lambda-1) u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2.11) has a unique solution $u$, we obtain that $v=u$ and the claim holds.
For $\mu>0$, we define the truncation $u_{j, \mu}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
u_{j, \mu}(x)= \begin{cases}\mu, & \text { if } u_{j}(x) \geq \mu \\ u_{j}(x), & \text { if }-\mu<u_{j}(x)<\mu \\ -\mu, & \text { if } u_{j}(x) \leq-\mu\end{cases}
$$

For any $p \geq 2,\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p-2} u_{j, \mu} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Taking it as a test function in (2.7), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{4(p-1)}{p^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{j, \mu}^{p / 2}\right)\right|^{2}+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j, \mu}^{p / 2}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left(H_{j} u_{j}\right)\right) K_{j}\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p-2} u_{j, \mu}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{j} u_{j}\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p-2} u_{j, \mu}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \quad \quad+(\lambda-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p-2} u_{j, \mu}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $p \in\left[2, \frac{2 N}{\alpha}\right)$, if $u_{j} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left(H_{j} u_{j}\right)\right) K_{j}\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p-2} u_{j, \mu} \\
& \leq \frac{(p-1)}{p^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{j, \mu}^{p / 2}\right)\right|^{2}+C(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j, \mu}^{p / 2}\right|^{2}+o_{\mu}(1) \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lim _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} o_{\mu}(1)=0$. See [17]. By Lemma 2.3 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{j} u_{j}\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p-2} u_{j, \mu} & \leq \int_{\left\{x:\left|u_{j}(x)\right| \leq \mu\right\}}\left|V_{j}\right|\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p}+\int_{\left\{x:\left|u_{j}(x)\right|>\mu\right\}}\left|V_{j}\right|\left|u_{j}\right|^{p} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|\right)\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p}+j \int_{\left\{x:\left|u_{j}(x)\right|>\mu\right\}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{p}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leq \frac{(p-1)}{p^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{j, \mu}^{p / 2}\right)\right|^{2}+C(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j, \mu}^{p / 2}\right|^{2}+o_{\mu}(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p-2} u_{j, \mu} \leq\|u\|_{p}\left\|u_{j, \mu}\right\|_{p}^{p-1} \leq C(p)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (2.13)-(2.15) into (2.12), if $u_{k} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $p \in\left[2, \frac{2 N}{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{j, \mu}^{p / 2}\right)\right|^{2} & \leq C(p)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j, \mu}\right|^{p}\right)+o_{\mu}(1) \\
& \leq C(p)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{p}\right)+o_{\mu}(1) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C(p)$ is a positive constant independent of $\mu$ and $j$. Letting $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.16) and by using the Sobolev imbedding theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\frac{p}{2} \frac{2 N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \leq C(p)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{p}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.8), we have $\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2} \leq C(\lambda)\|u\|_{2}$, where $C(\lambda)$ independent of $j$. Iterating this process from $p=2$ a finite time of steps, we obtain finally for every $p \in\left[2, \frac{2 N}{\alpha}\right)$,

$$
\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{\frac{p}{2} \frac{2 N}{N-2}} \leq C(p)\|u\|_{2}
$$

By Fatou's lemma, $\|u\|_{\frac{p}{2} \frac{2 N}{N-2}} \leq C(p)\|u\|_{2}$. That is, $u \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $r \in\left[2, \frac{N}{\alpha} \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ and $\|u\|_{r} \leq C(r)\|u\|_{2}$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Set $H(s)=F(s) / s, K(s)=f(s)$ and $V(s)=g(s) / s$, then (2.1) can be written in the form

$$
-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} *(H(u) u)\right) K(u)+V(u) u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

By (A1) and (A2), we have

$$
|K(u)|,|H(u)| \leq C\left(|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}}+|u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}}\right), \quad|V(u)| \leq C\left(1+|u|^{\frac{4}{N-2}}\right)
$$

Thus, $H(u), K(u) \in L^{\frac{2 N}{2+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{2 N}{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), V(u) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)+L^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Lemma $2.4, u \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $r \in\left[2, \frac{N}{\alpha} \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$. In view of (A1), $F(u) \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $q \in$ $\left[\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}, \frac{N}{\alpha} \frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}\right)$. Since $\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}<\frac{N}{\alpha}<\frac{N}{\alpha} \frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}$, we have $I_{\alpha} * F(u) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and thus

$$
|-\Delta u+u| \leq C\left(|u|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}}+|u|^{\frac{2+\alpha}{N-2}}+|u|+|u|^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}\right) .
$$

By the regularity theory for local problems in bounded domains, we deduce that $u \in$ $W_{\text {loc }}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for every $p>1$. See Appendix B in [25].

The identity (2.2) can be proved by using the truncation argument, see ([17], Theorem 3) for the equation $-\Delta u+u=\left(I_{\alpha} * F(u)\right) f(u)$ and ([26], Appendix B) for $-\Delta u=g(u)$. The details will be omitted.

Applying Theorem 2.1 to equation (1.12), we obtain the following result.
Corollary 2.5. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p \in\left[\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right]$ and $q \in\left[2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right]$. If $u \in$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a solution of (1.12), then $u$ satisfies the Pohoz̆aev identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}=\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p}+\frac{\lambda N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. The regularity and the Pohožaev identity of solutions to (1.12) have been studied in [12] by using a direct bootstrap argument under some restrictions on $p$ and $q$. Our result here is a complement of [12].

## 3. Properties of $c_{p, q}$

In this section, we first give some preliminaries and then study the properties of $c_{p, q}$ defined in (1.16). The following well known Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality can be found in [14].
Lemma 3.1. Let $p, r>1$ and $0<\alpha<N$ with $1 / p+(N-\alpha) / N+1 / r=2$. Let $u \in$ $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $v \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then there exists a sharp constant $C(N, \alpha, p)$, independent of $u$ and $v$, such that

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u(x) v(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\right| \leq C(N, \alpha, p)\|u\|_{p}\|v\|_{r}
$$

If $p=r=\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}$, then

$$
C(N, \alpha, p)=C_{\alpha}(N)=\pi^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(N)}\right\}^{-\frac{\alpha}{N}} .
$$

Remark 3.2. By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality above, for any $v \in L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $s \in\left(1, \frac{N}{\alpha}\right), I_{\alpha} * v \in L^{\frac{N s}{N-\alpha s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\left\|I_{\alpha} * v\right\|_{\frac{N s}{N-\alpha s}} \leq A_{\alpha}(N) C(N, \alpha, s)\|v\|_{s}
$$

The following lemma is useful in concerning the uniform bound of radial nonincreasing functions, see [4] for its proof.

Lemma 3.3. If $u \in L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 1 \leq t<+\infty$, is a radial nonincreasing function (i.e. $0 \leq u(x) \leq u(y)$ if $|x| \geq|y|)$, then one has

$$
|u(x)| \leq|x|^{-N / t}\left(\frac{N}{\left|S^{N-1}\right|}\right)^{1 / t}\|u\|_{t}, x \neq 0
$$

The following lemma can be found in [5] and [27].
Lemma 3.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a domain, $q \in(1, \infty)$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{q}(\Omega)$. If $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\Omega$, then $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^{q}(\Omega)$.

The following fact will be frequently used in this paper.
Lemma 3.5. Let $N \geq 3, q \in[2,2 N /(N-2)]$ and $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ independent of $q$ and $u$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
$$

Next, we will show that there exists $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ such that $P_{p, q}(u)=0$. Thus, $c_{p, q}$ is well defined. To this end, we first give an elementary lemma, see [12] for its proof.
Lemma 3.6. Let $a>0, c>0, b \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 3$ and $\alpha>0$ be constants. Define $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
f(t)=a t^{n-2}+b t^{n}-c t^{n+\alpha}
$$

Then $f$ has a unique critical point which corresponds to its maximum.
For any function $u(x)$ and $\tau \geq 0$, define $u_{\tau}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
u_{\tau}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
u(x / \tau), & \tau>0  \tag{3.1}\\
0, & \tau=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 3.7. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p \in[\underline{p}, \bar{p}]$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q}]$. Then for every $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, there exists a unique $\tau_{0}>\overline{0}$ such that $P_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right)=0$. Moreover, $J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right)=\max _{\tau \geq 0} J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau}\right)$.

Proof. By direct calculation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(\tau):=J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \tau^{N-2} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& -\frac{\mu}{2 p} \tau^{N+\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p}-\frac{\lambda}{q} \tau^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3.6, $\varphi(\tau)$ has a unique critical point $\tau_{0}$ which corresponding to its maximum. Hence, $J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right)=\max _{\tau \geq 0} J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\varphi^{\prime}\left(\tau_{0}\right)= & \frac{N-2}{2} \tau_{0}^{N-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{N}{2} \tau_{0}^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2 p} \tau_{0}^{N+\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p}-\frac{\lambda N}{q} \tau_{0}^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $P_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right)=0$. The proof is complete.
The following result gives a minimax description of the least energy level in the subcritical case, which is a direct consequence of the main results in [12] and Corollary 2.5.

Corollary 3.8. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p \in\left(\frac{N+\alpha}{N}, \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}\right)$ and $q \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$. Then for every $\mu, \lambda>0$, problem (1.12) admits a positive groundstate $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which is radially symmetric and radially nonincreasing. Moreover, $c_{p, q}^{g}=c_{p, q}^{m p}$, where $c_{p, q}^{g}$ is defined in (1.15) and

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{p, q}^{m p}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} J_{p, q}(\gamma(t))  \tag{3.3}\\
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right): \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } J_{p, q}(\gamma(1))<0\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we are ready to give the relationship of $c_{p, q}$ and $c_{p, q}^{g}$.
Lemma 3.9. Let $N \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in(0, N)$. Then $c_{p, q} \leq c_{p, q}^{g}$ for $p \in[\underline{p}, \bar{p}], q \in(2, \bar{q}]$ and $c_{p, q}=c_{p, q}^{g}$ for $p \in(\underline{p}, \bar{p}), q \in(2, \bar{q})$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Corollary 2.5. To prove the second assertion, for any $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ with $P_{p, q}(u)=0$, let $u_{\tau}$ be defined in (3.1). By (3.2), there exists $\tau_{0}>0$ large enough such that $J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right)<0$. Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 3.7 imply that

$$
c_{p, q}^{m p} \leq \max _{\tau \geq 0} J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau}\right)=J_{p, q}(u)
$$

Since $u$ is arbitrary, we obtain that $c_{p, q}^{g}=c_{p, q}^{m p} \leq c_{p, q}$ and hence $c_{p, q}=c_{p, q}^{g}$ for $p \in(\underline{p}, \bar{p})$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q})$.

The following several lemmas are concerned with the properties of $c_{p, q}$.
Lemma 3.10. Assume that $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p \in[\underline{p}, \bar{p}]$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q}]$. Then for every $\mu, \lambda>0, c_{p, q} \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ be a sequence satisfying $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{p, q}\left(u_{n}\right)=c_{p, q}$ and $P_{p, q}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{p, q}\left(u_{n}\right) & =J_{p, q}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} P_{p, q}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $c_{p, q} \geq 0$.
Lemma 3.11. Assume that $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p \in(\underline{p}, \bar{p})$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q})$. Then for every $\mu, \lambda>0$, $\limsup _{p \rightarrow \bar{p}} c_{p, q} \leq c_{\bar{p}, q}, \limsup _{p \rightarrow \underline{p}} c_{p, q} \leq c_{\underline{p}, q}, \lim \sup _{q \rightarrow \bar{q}} c_{p, q} \leq c_{p, \bar{q}}$ and $\lim \sup _{p \rightarrow \underline{p}, q \rightarrow \bar{q}} c_{p, q} \leq c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}$.

Proof. We only prove that $\lim \sup _{p \rightarrow \underline{p}, q \rightarrow \bar{q}} c_{p, q} \leq c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}$ here. The others can be done similarly. For any fixed $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, there exists $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ with $P_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}(u)=0$ such that $J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}(u)<c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}+\epsilon$. It follows from (3.2) that there exists $\tau_{0}>0$ large enough such that $\overline{J_{\underline{p}}, \bar{q}}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right) \leq-2$. It follows from the Young inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|^{p} \leq \frac{\bar{p}-p}{\bar{p}-\underline{p}}|u|^{\underline{p}}+\frac{p-\underline{p}}{\bar{p}-\underline{p}}|u|^{\bar{p}} \text { and }|u|^{q} \leq \frac{\bar{q}-q}{\bar{q}-2}|u|^{2}+\frac{q-2}{\bar{q}-2}|u|^{\bar{q}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ independent of $u$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\underline{p}}\right)|u|^{\underline{p}} \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{2}^{2 \underline{p}} \leq C_{2}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 \underline{p}}, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\bar{p}}\right)|u|^{\bar{p}} \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{2 N /(N-2)}^{2 \bar{p}} \leq C_{2}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 \bar{p}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\underline{p}}\right)|u|^{\bar{p}} \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{2}^{\frac{p}{2}}\|u\|_{2 N /(N-2)}^{\bar{p}} \leq C_{2}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\underline{p}+\bar{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$
\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p}+\frac{\lambda}{q} \tau^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}
$$

is continuous on $p \in[\underline{p}, \bar{p}]$ and $q \in[2, \bar{q}]$ uniformly with $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right]$. Hence, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left|J_{p, q}\left(\overline{u_{\tau}}\right)-J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right|<\epsilon$ for $\underline{p}<p<\underline{p}+\delta, \bar{q}-\delta<q<\bar{q}$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_{0}$, which implies that $J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right) \leq-1$ for all $\underline{p}<p<\underline{p}+\delta$ and $\bar{q}-\delta<q<\bar{q}$. Since $J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau}\right)>0$ for $\tau$ small enough and $J_{p, q}\left(\bar{u}_{0}\right)=0$ for every $p \in[\underline{p}, \bar{p}]$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q}]$, there exists $\tau_{p, q} \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right)$ such that $\left.\frac{d}{d \tau}\left(J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{p, q}}=0$ and then $P_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{p, q}}\right)=0$. By Lemma 3.7, $J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}\left(u_{\tau_{p, q}}\right) \leq J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}(u)$. Hence,

$$
c_{p, q} \leq J_{p, q}\left(u_{\tau_{p, q}}\right) \leq J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}\left(u_{\tau_{p, q}}\right)+\epsilon \leq J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}(u)+\epsilon<c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}+2 \epsilon
$$

for any $\underline{p}<p<\underline{p}+\delta$ and $\bar{q}-\delta<q<\bar{q}$. Thus, $\lim _{\sup }^{p \rightarrow \underline{p}, q \rightarrow \bar{q}} c_{p, q} \leq c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}$.
Let $p \in(\underline{p}, \bar{p})$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q})$. Corollaries 2.5 and 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 imply that there exists a positive and radially nonincreasing function sequence $\left\{u_{p, q}\right\} \subset H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p, q}^{\prime}\left(u_{p, q}\right)=0, J_{p, q}\left(u_{p, q}\right)=c_{p, q} \text { and } P_{p, q}\left(u_{p, q}\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such a function sequence, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.12. Assume that $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p \in(\underline{p}, \bar{p}), q \in(2, \bar{q})$ and $\left\{u_{p, q}\right\} \subset$ $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ satisfies (3.7). Then for every $\mu, \lambda>0,\left\{u_{p, q}\right\}_{p \rightarrow \underline{p}},\left\{u_{p, q}\right\}_{p \rightarrow \bar{p}},\left\{u_{p, q}\right\}_{q \rightarrow \bar{q}}$, $\left\{u_{p, q}\right\}_{p \rightarrow \underline{p}, q \rightarrow \bar{q}}$ are bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\liminf _{p \rightarrow \underline{p}} c_{p, q}>0, \liminf _{p \rightarrow \bar{p}} c_{p, q}>0, \liminf _{q \rightarrow \bar{q}} c_{p, q}>0, \liminf _{p \rightarrow \underline{p}, q \rightarrow \bar{q}} c_{p, q}>0 .
$$

Proof. We only prove this lemma for $p \rightarrow \bar{p}$. The others can be done similarly. By Lemma 3.11, for $p \rightarrow \bar{p}$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\bar{p}, q}+1 \geq c_{p, q} & =J_{p, q}\left(u_{p, q}\right)-\frac{1}{2 p}\left\langle J_{p, q}^{\prime}\left(u_{p, q}\right), u_{p, q}\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2 p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{p, q}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{q} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for $q \geq 2 p$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{\bar{p}, q}+1 \geq c_{p, q}= J_{p, q}\left(u_{p, q}\right)-\frac{1}{q}\left\langle J_{p, q}^{\prime}\left(u_{p, q}\right), u_{p, q}\right\rangle \\
&=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\right.  \tag{3.9}\\
&\left.-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{p, q}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{2} \\
&+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2 p}\right) \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

for $q \leq 2 p$. Thus, the sequence $\left\{u_{p, q}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $p \rightarrow \bar{p}$.
By (3.5), (3.6), the Cauchy inequality and the Sobolev imbedding theorem, for $p \rightarrow \bar{p}$, there exists $C_{3}, C_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=P_{p, q}\left(u_{p, q}\right)= & \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{p, q}\right|^{2}+\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{p}-\frac{\lambda N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{p, q}\right|^{q} \\
\geq & C_{3}\left\|u_{p, q}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}-C_{4}\left(\left\|u_{p, q}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 p}+\left\|u_{p, q}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2 \bar{p}}+\left\|u_{p, q}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that there exists $C_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{p, q}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \geq C_{5} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain that $\lim \inf _{p \rightarrow \bar{p}} c_{p, q}>0$.
Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12 imply that $c_{\bar{p}, q}, c_{\underline{p}, q}, c_{p, \bar{q}}, c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}>0$. In the following, we will give the upper estimates of $c_{\bar{p}, q}, c_{\underline{p}, q}, c_{p, \bar{q}}$ and $c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}$ in four lemmas. To the end, for any $\epsilon, \delta>0$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon}(x)=\varphi(x) U_{\epsilon}(x), v_{\delta}(x)=\delta^{\frac{N}{2}} V(\delta x) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(x) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a cut off function satisfying: (a) $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} ;(\mathrm{b}) \varphi(x) \equiv 1$ in $B_{1} ;(\mathrm{c}) \varphi(x) \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \overline{B_{2}}$. Here, $B_{s}$ denotes the ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of center at origin and radius $s$.

$$
U_{\epsilon}(x)=\frac{\left(N(N-2) \epsilon^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{\left(\epsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}
$$

where $U_{1}(x)$ is the extremal function of

$$
S_{\alpha}:=\inf _{u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\bar{p}}\right)|u|^{\bar{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

In [9], they proved that $S_{\alpha}=\frac{S}{\left(A_{\alpha}(N) C_{\alpha}(N)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$, where $A_{\alpha}(N)$ is defined in (1.2), $C_{\alpha}(N)$ is in Lemma 3.1 and

$$
S:=\inf _{u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}}}
$$

$V(x)=\frac{A}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{N / 2}}$ is the extremal functions of $S_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\inf _{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\underline{p}}\right)|u|^{\underline{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}}} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [24]. In the following, we choose $A$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|V|^{\underline{p}}\right)|V|^{\underline{p}}=1$.
By [8] (see also [26]), we have the following estimates.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}=S^{\frac{N}{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right), N \geq 3,  \tag{3.13}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2 N /(N-2)}=S^{\frac{N}{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right), N \geq 3, \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}= \begin{cases}K_{2} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right), & N \geq 5  \tag{3.15}\\ K_{2} \epsilon^{2}|\ln \epsilon|+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=4 \\ K_{2} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=3\end{cases}
$$

where $K_{2}>0$. By direct calculation, for $p \in(\underline{p}, \bar{p})$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q})$, there exists $K_{1}, K_{3}>$ 0 such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{q} & \geq(N(N-2))^{\frac{N-2}{4} q} \epsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2} q} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(0)} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2} q}} d x \\
& \geq \begin{cases}K_{1} \epsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2} q}, & (N-2) q>N \\
K_{1} \epsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2} q}|\ln \epsilon|, & (N-2) q=N \\
K_{1} \epsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2} q}, & (N-2) q<N\end{cases} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{p} \geq K_{3} \epsilon^{-(N-2) p+N+\alpha} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, similar as in [9] and [10], by direct computation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\bar{p}}\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\bar{p}} \geq\left(A_{\alpha}(N) C_{\alpha}(N)\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} S_{\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}}+O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.13. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N)$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q})$.
If $q \in(2, \bar{q})$ for $N \geq 4$ or $q \in(4, \bar{q})$ for $N=3$, then for every $\mu, \lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\bar{p}, q}<\frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N=3, q \in(2,4]$, then for every $\mu>0$, there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that (3.19) holds for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

Proof. We use $u_{\epsilon}$ to estimate $c_{\bar{p}, q}$, where $u_{\epsilon}$ is defined in (3.11). By Lemma 3.7, there exists a unique $\tau_{\epsilon}$ such that $P_{\bar{p}, q}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau_{\epsilon}}\right)=0$ and $J_{\bar{p}, q}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau_{\epsilon}}\right)=\sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{\bar{p}, q}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right)$. Thus, $c_{\bar{p}, q} \leq \sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{\bar{p}, q}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right)$. By direct calculation, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\bar{p}, q}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right)= & \frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}  \tag{3.20}\\
& -\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \bar{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\bar{p}}\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\bar{p}}-\frac{\lambda \tau^{N}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{q} \\
\leq & \frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2}\left(S^{\frac{N}{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right)\right)-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \bar{p}}\left(\left(A_{\alpha}(N) C_{\alpha}(N)\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} S_{\alpha^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}}^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \begin{cases}K_{2} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right), & N \geq 5 \\
K_{2} \epsilon^{2}|\ln \epsilon|+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=4, \\
K_{2} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=3,\end{cases} \\
& -\frac{\lambda \tau^{N}}{q} \begin{cases}K_{1} \epsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2} q}, & (N-2) q>N \\
K_{1} \epsilon^{N-\frac{N-2}{2} q}|\ln \epsilon|, & (N-2) q=N \\
K_{1} \epsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2} q}, & (N-2) q<N\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that for every fixed $\mu>0$ there exist $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}>0$ independent of $\epsilon$ and $\lambda>0$ such that $\tau_{\epsilon} \in\left[\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}\right]$ for $\epsilon>0$ small. Suppose by contradiction that $\tau_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ or $\tau_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. (3.20) implies that $\sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{\bar{p}, q}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right) \leq 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $c_{\bar{p}, q} \leq 0$, which contradicts $c_{\bar{p}, q}>0$. Thus, the claim holds.

By direct calculation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2} S^{\frac{N}{2}}-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \bar{p}}\left(A_{\alpha}(N) C_{\alpha}(N)\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N \geq 4$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q})$, we have $(N-2) q>N$ and $0<N-\frac{N-2}{2} q<2$. Thus, for every $\mu, \lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{\bar{p}, q}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right)<\frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\epsilon>0$ small enough. Similarly, if $N=3$ and $q \in(4, \bar{q})$, then for every $\mu, \lambda>0$, (3.22) holds for $\epsilon>0$ small enough. If $N=3$ and $q \in(2,4]$, for every $\mu>0$, there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ and $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that (3.22) holds for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$ and $\epsilon=\epsilon_{0}$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.14. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N)$ and $q \in(2, \bar{q})$.
If $2<q<2+\frac{4}{N}$, then for every $\lambda, \mu>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\underline{p}, q}<\frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q \in\left[2+\frac{4}{N}, \bar{q}\right)$, then for every $\mu>0$, there exists $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that (3.23) holds for $\lambda>\lambda_{1}$.

Proof. We use $v_{\delta}$ to estimate $c_{\underline{p}, q}$, where $v_{\delta}$ is defined in (3.11). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.13, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{\underline{p}, q}\left(\left(v_{\delta}\right)_{\tau}\right)=\frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{\delta}\right|^{2}+\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{\delta}\right|^{2} \\
&-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \underline{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|v_{\delta}\right| \underline{p}\right)\left|v_{\delta}\right| \underline{p}-\frac{\lambda \tau^{N}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{\delta}\right|^{q} \\
&=\frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2} \delta^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla V|^{2}+\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|V|^{2}-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \underline{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|V| \underline{p}\right)|V|^{\underline{p}}  \tag{3.24}\\
&-\frac{\lambda \tau^{N}}{q} \delta^{\frac{N q}{2}-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|V|^{q}
\end{align*}
$$

and then for every $\mu>0$, there exist $\tau_{2}, \tau_{3}>0$ independent of $\delta$ and $\lambda>0$ such that $\tau_{\delta} \in\left[\tau_{2}, \tau_{3}\right]$ for $\delta>0$ small. Direct calculation gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|V|^{2}-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \underline{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|V| \underline{\underline{p}}\right)|V|^{\underline{p}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2<q<2+\frac{4}{N}$, we have $\frac{N q}{2}-N<2$. Thus, for every $\mu, \lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{\underline{p}, q}\left(\left(v_{\delta}\right)_{\tau}\right)<\frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta>0$ small enough. If $q \in\left[2+\frac{4}{N}, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$, then for every $\mu>0$, there exists $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{0}>0$ such that (3.26) holds for $\lambda>\lambda_{1}$ and $\delta=\delta_{0}$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.15. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N)$ and $p \in(\underline{p}, \bar{p})$.
If $N \geq 4, p \in\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{N-2}, \bar{p}\right)$ or $N=3, p \in(2+\alpha, \bar{p})$, then for every $\lambda, \mu>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p, \bar{q}}<\frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N \geq 4, p \in\left(\underline{p}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{N-2}\right]$ or $N=3, p \in(\underline{p}, 2+\alpha]$, then for every $\lambda>0$, there exists $\mu_{0}>0$ such that (3.27) holds for $\mu>\mu_{0}$.

Proof. We use $u_{\epsilon}$ to estimate $c_{p, \bar{q}}$, where $u_{\epsilon}$ is defined in (3.11). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.13, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{p, \bar{q}}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right)= & \frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}  \tag{3.28}\\
& -\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}-\frac{\lambda \tau^{N}}{\bar{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\bar{q}} \\
\leq & \frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2}\left(S^{\frac{N}{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right)\right)-\frac{\lambda \tau^{N}}{\bar{q}}\left(S^{\frac{N}{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right)\right)-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 p} K_{3} \epsilon^{-(N-2) p+N+\alpha} \\
& +\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \begin{cases}K_{2} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right), & N \geq 5 \\
K_{2} \epsilon^{2}|\ln \epsilon|+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=4 \\
K_{2} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=3\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

and then for every fixed $\lambda>0$ there exist $\tau_{4}, \tau_{5}>0$ independent of $\epsilon$ and $\mu>0$ such that $\tau_{\epsilon} \in\left[\tau_{4}, \tau_{5}\right]$ for $\epsilon>0$ small. A direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2} S^{\frac{N}{2}}-\frac{\lambda}{\bar{q}} \tau^{N} S^{\frac{N}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N \geq 4$ and $p \in\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{N-2}, \bar{p}\right)$, we have $-(N-2) p+N+\alpha>0$ and $-(N-2) p+$ $N+\alpha<2$. Thus, for every $\mu, \lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{p, \bar{q}}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right)<\frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\epsilon>0$ small enough. For $N \geq 4$ and $p \in\left(\underline{p}, 1+\frac{\alpha}{N-2}\right]$, for every $\lambda>0$, there exists $\mu_{0}>0$ and $\epsilon_{1}>0$ such that (3.30) holds for $\bar{\mu}>\mu_{0}$ and $\epsilon=\epsilon_{1}$.

Similarly, for $N=3$, if $p \in(2+\alpha, \bar{p}),(3.30)$ holds for every $\mu, \lambda>0$. If $p \in(\underline{p}, 2+\alpha]$, for every $\lambda>0$, there exists $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\epsilon_{2}>0$ such that (3.30) holds for $\mu>\mu_{1}$ and $\epsilon=\epsilon_{2}$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.16. Let $N \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in(0, N)$. Then for sufficiently large $\mu$ and $\lambda>0$,

$$
c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}<\min \left\{\frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}, \frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}\right\}
$$

Proof. We first use $v_{\delta}$ to estimate $c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}$, where $v_{\delta}$ is defined in (3.11). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.14,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}\left(\left(v_{\delta}\right)_{\tau}\right)=\frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2} \delta^{2} \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla V|^{2}+\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|V|^{2}-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \underline{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|V|^{\underline{p}}\right)|V|^{\underline{p}} \\
&-\frac{\lambda \tau^{N}}{\bar{q}} \delta^{\frac{N \bar{q}}{2}-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|V|^{\bar{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there exist $\tau_{6}, \tau_{7}>0$ independent of $\mu, \lambda>1$ and $\delta>0$ such that $\tau_{\delta} \in\left[\tau_{6}, \tau_{7}\right]$ for $\delta>0$ small. Hence, for sufficiently small $\delta>0$, there exists $\lambda_{0}>1$ such that

$$
\sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}\left(\left(v_{\delta}\right)_{\tau}\right)<\frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}
$$

for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$ and then $c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}<\frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}$.
Next, we use $u_{\epsilon}$ to estimate $c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}$, where $u_{\epsilon}$ is defined in (3.11). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.15,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right) \leq \frac{\tau^{N-2}}{2}\left(S^{\frac{N}{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right)\right)-\frac{\lambda}{\bar{q}} \tau^{N}\left(S^{\frac{N}{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right)\right)-\frac{\mu \tau^{N+\alpha}}{2 \underline{p}} K_{3} \epsilon^{-(N-2) \underline{p}+N+\alpha} \\
&+\frac{\tau^{N}}{2} \begin{cases}K_{2} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-2}\right), & N \geq 5 \\
K_{2} \epsilon^{2}|\ln \epsilon|+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=4 \\
K_{2} \epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), & N=3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there exist $\tau_{8}, \tau_{9}>0$ independent of $\mu, \lambda>1$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that $\tau_{\epsilon} \in\left[\tau_{8}, \tau_{9}\right]$ for $\epsilon>0$ small. Hence, for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\mu_{0}>1$ such that

$$
\sup _{\tau \geq 0} J_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}\left(\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\tau}\right)<\frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}
$$

for $\mu>\mu_{0}$ and then $c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}<\frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$. The proof is complete.

## 4. Proofs of the main Results

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.4.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mu=1, p_{n} \rightarrow \bar{p}^{-}$as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\{u_{n}:=u_{p_{n}, q}\right\} \subset$ $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive and radially nonincreasing sequence which satisfies (3.7). By Lemma 3.12, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus, there exists a nonnegative and radially
nonincreasing function $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $t \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Next, we will show that $u$ is a solution of (1.1). By Lemma 3.5, $p_{n} \rightarrow \bar{p}^{-}$and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right\} \text { is bounded in } L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n}\right\} \text { is bounded in } L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2 N \bar{p}}{(\bar{p}-1)(N+\alpha)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \psi\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left\{|u|^{\bar{p}-2} u \psi\right\} \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for any $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By (4.1) and Lemma 3.4, we have $\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}} \rightharpoonup|u|^{\bar{p}}$ weakly in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n} \rightharpoonup|u|^{q-2} u$ weakly in $L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Remark 3.2, $I_{\alpha} *\left(|u|^{\bar{p}-2} u \psi\right) \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\left(|u|^{\bar{p}-2} u \psi\right) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\bar{p}}\right)\left(|u|^{\bar{p}-2} u \psi\right)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n} \psi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q-2} u \psi
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from $N \geq 3$ that $\frac{N}{\frac{N-2}{2}(\underline{p}-1)}$ and $\frac{N}{\frac{N-2}{2}(\bar{p}-1)} \in\left(\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}, \infty\right)$. Since $p_{n} \rightarrow \bar{p}^{-}$and $\psi \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $r \in(1, \infty)$, by the Young inequality, the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.3 with $t=2 N /(N-2)$, there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \psi\right| & \leq C\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}-1}|\psi|+\left|u_{n}\right|^{\bar{p}-1}|\psi|\right) \\
& \leq C\left(|x|^{\frac{2-N}{2}(\underline{p}-1)}|\psi|+|x|^{\frac{2-N}{2}(\bar{p}-1)}|\psi|\right) \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \psi-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)|u|^{\bar{p}-2} u \psi \mid=o_{n}(1)
$$

Thus, for any $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle J_{p_{n}, q}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \psi+u_{n} \psi-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \psi-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n} \psi \\
& \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla \psi+u \psi-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\bar{p}}\right)|u|^{\bar{p}-2} u \psi-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q-2} u \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $u$ is a solution of (1.1).
We claim that $u \not \equiv 0$. Suppose by contradiction that $u \equiv 0$. By using $P_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}=o_{n}(1)$ and the Young inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|^{p_{n}} \leq \frac{\bar{p}-p_{n}}{\bar{p}-\underline{p}}|u|^{\underline{p}}+\frac{p_{n}-\underline{p}}{\bar{p}-\underline{p}}|u|^{\bar{p}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{N}{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} & =\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{(N-2) p_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}+o_{n}(1) \\
& \leq \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{\bar{p}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{\bar{p}}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{4.4}\\
& \leq \mu\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{S_{\alpha}}\right)^{\bar{p}}+o_{n}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that either $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ or $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \mu^{-\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$. If $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$, then (3.8) and (3.9) imply that $c_{p_{n}, q} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts Lemma 3.12. If $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \mu^{-\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$, by using the first equality in (4.4), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\bar{p}, q} & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{p_{n}, q} \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(J_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} P_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N p_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right) \\
& \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{(N-2) \alpha}{2 N(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N-2}{2+\alpha}} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.13. Thus $u \not \equiv 0$. By Corollary 2.5, $P_{\bar{p}, q}(u)=0$.
By Fatou's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\bar{p}, q} & \leq J_{\bar{p}, q}(u) \\
& =J_{\bar{p}, q}(u)-\frac{1}{N} P_{\bar{p}, q}(u) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N \bar{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{\bar{p}}\right)|u|^{\bar{p}} \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N p_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(J_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} P_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{p_{n}, q} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{p_{n}, q} \leq c_{\bar{p}, q} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $J_{\bar{p}, q}(u)=c_{\bar{p}, q}$. By the definition of $c_{\bar{p}, q}^{g}$, we have $c_{\bar{p}, q}^{g} \leq J_{\bar{p}, q}(u)=c_{\bar{p}, q}$, which combining with Lemma 3.9 show that $c_{\bar{p}, q}^{g}=c_{\bar{p}, q}=J_{\bar{p}, q}(u)$. That is, $u$ is a nonnegative and radially nonincreasing groundstate solution of (1.1). The strongly maximum principle implies that $u$ is positive. The proof is complete.

Remark. Let $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(0, N), p=\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ and $q \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$. Denote the energy functional corresponding to (1.1) with $\lambda>0$ by $J_{p, q, \lambda}(u)$ and define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{*}:=\inf \left\{\lambda_{1}>0 \mid \text { (1.1) with } \lambda>\lambda_{1} \text { admits a solution } u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right. \\
\text { satisfying } \left.J_{p, q, \lambda}(u)<\frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the proof of Theorem 1.1, $\lambda^{*}$ is well defined and $0 \leq \lambda^{*}<+\infty$. Clearly, $\lambda^{*}=0$ if $q \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ for $N \geq 4$ or $q \in\left(4, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ for $N=3$. Moreover, we claim that if $\lambda^{*}>0$, then (1.1) with $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ does not admit a nontrivial solution $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying $J_{p, q, \lambda}(u)<\frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$. We assume by contradiction that (1.1) with $\lambda=\lambda_{1} \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ admits a nontrivial solution $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying $J_{p, q, \lambda_{1}}(u)<\frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$. Then for any $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}$, Lemma 3.7 implies that there exists a unique $\tau_{0}>0$ such that $P_{p, q, \lambda_{2}}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right)=0$ and $J_{p, q, \lambda_{2}}\left(u_{\tau_{0}}\right)=\max _{\tau \geq 0} J_{p, q, \lambda_{2}}\left(u_{\tau}\right)$. Hence,

$$
c_{p, q, \lambda_{2}} \leq \max _{\tau \geq 0} J_{p, q, \lambda_{2}}\left(u_{\tau}\right) \leq \max _{\tau \geq 0} J_{p, q, \lambda_{1}}\left(u_{\tau}\right)=J_{p, q, \lambda_{1}}(u)<\frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}
$$

and then by the proof of Theorem 1.1, equation (1.1) with $\lambda=\lambda_{2}$ admits a nontrivial solution $v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $J_{p, q, \lambda_{2}}(v)<\frac{2+\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} S_{\alpha}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$, which contradicts the definition of $\lambda^{*}$. Hence, the claim holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mu=1, p_{n} \rightarrow \underline{p}^{+}$as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\{u_{n}:=u_{p_{n}, q}\right\} \subset$ $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive and radially nonincreasing sequence which satisfies (3.7). Lemma 3.12 shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus, there exists a nonnegative and radially nonincreasing function $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $t \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. By Lemma 3.5, $p_{n} \rightarrow \underline{p}^{+}$and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right\} \text { is bounded in } L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n}\right\} \text { is bounded in } L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2 N p}{(\underline{p}-1)(N+\alpha)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}-2} u_{n} \psi\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left\{|u|^{\underline{p}-2} u \psi\right\} \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for any $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1, $u$ is a solution of (1.1).

We claim that $u \not \equiv 0$. Suppose by contradiction that $u \equiv 0$. By using $P_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}=o_{n}(1)$ and the Young inequality (4.3), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{N}{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} & =\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{(N-2) p_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}+o_{n}(1) \\
& \leq \frac{\mu N}{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{4.7}\\
& \leq \frac{\mu N}{N-2}\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}}{S_{1}}\right)^{\underline{p}}+o_{n}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that either $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ or $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}$. If $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$, then (3.8) and (3.9) imply that $c_{p_{n}, q} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts Lemma 3.12. If $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}$, by using the first equality in (4.7), we obtain
that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\underline{p}, q} & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{p_{n}, q} \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(J_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} P_{p_{n}, q}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N p_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p_{n}}\right) \\
& \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.14. Thus $u \not \equiv 0$. By Corollary 2.5, $P_{\underline{p}, q}(u)=0$.
Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1, $u$ is a positive and radially nonincreasing groundstate solution of (1.1). The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\lambda=1, q_{n} \rightarrow \bar{q}^{-}$as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\{u_{n}:=u_{p, q_{n}}\right\} \subset$ $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive and radially nonincreasing sequence which satisfies (3.7). Lemma 3.12 shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus, there exists a nonnegative and radially nonincreasing function $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $t \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Thus, $\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \rightarrow|u|^{p}$ strongly in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \rightarrow|u|^{p-2} u$ strongly in $L^{\frac{2 N p}{(p-1)(N+\alpha)}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \psi \rightarrow|u|^{p-2} u \psi$ strongly in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for any $\psi \in$ $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Remark 3.2, $I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \rightarrow I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}$ strongly in $L^{\frac{2 N}{N-\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

It follows from $N \geq 3$ that $\frac{N}{\frac{N-2}{2}(2-1)}$ and $\frac{N}{\frac{N-2}{2}(\bar{q}-1)} \in(1, \infty)$. Since $q_{n} \rightarrow \bar{q}^{-}$and $\psi \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $r \in(1, \infty)$, by the Young inequality, the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.3 with $t=2 N /(N-2)$, there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left|u_{n}\right|^{q_{n}-2} u_{n} \psi\right| & \leq C\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{2-1}|\psi|+\left|u_{n}\right|^{\bar{q}-1}|\psi|\right) \\
& \leq C\left(|x|^{\frac{2-N}{2}(2-1)}|\psi|+|x|^{\frac{2-N}{2}(\bar{q}-1)}|\psi|\right) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle J_{p, q_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \psi+u_{n} \psi-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \psi-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q_{n}-2} u_{n} \psi \\
& \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla u \nabla \psi+u \psi-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u \psi-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\bar{q}-2} u \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $u$ is a solution of (1.9).
We claim that $u \not \equiv 0$. Suppose by contradiction that $u \equiv 0$. By using $P_{p, q_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}=o_{n}(1)$ and the Young inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|^{q_{n}} \leq \frac{\bar{q}-q_{n}}{\bar{q}-2}|u|^{2}+\frac{q_{n}-2}{\bar{q}-2}|u|^{\bar{q}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} & =\frac{\lambda N}{q_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q_{n}}+o_{n}(1) \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda N}{\bar{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\bar{q}}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{4.10}\\
& \leq \frac{\lambda N}{\bar{q}}\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{S}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2}}+o_{n}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that either $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ or $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$. If $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$, then (3.8) and (3.9) imply that $c_{p, q_{n}} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts Lemma 3.12. If $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{p, \bar{q}} & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{p, q_{n}} \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(J_{p, q_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} P_{p, q_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.15. Thus $u \not \equiv 0$. By Corollary 2.5, $P_{p, \bar{q}}(u)=0$.
Similarly to the proof of Theorem $1.1, u$ is a positive and radially nonincreasing groundstate solution of (1.9). The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $a_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\{u_{n}:=u_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}}\right\} \subset$ $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive and radially nonincreasing sequence which satisfies (3.7). Lemma 3.12 shows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus, there exists a nonnegative and radially nonincreasing function $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $t \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and $1.3, u$ is a solution of (1.10).

We claim that $u \not \equiv 0$. Suppose by contradiction that $u \equiv 0$. By using $P_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and the Young inequality (4.3) and (4.9), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \\
&=\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}}+\frac{\lambda N}{\bar{q}-a_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\bar{q}-a_{n}} \\
& \leq \frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2 \underline{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right| \underline{p}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}}+\frac{\lambda N}{\bar{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\bar{q}}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{4.12}\\
& \leq \frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2 \underline{p}}\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}}{S_{1}}\right)^{\underline{p}}+\frac{\lambda N}{\bar{q}}\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{S}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2}}+o_{n}(1),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that either $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ or $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$ or $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}$. If $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0$, then (3.8) and (3.9) imply that
$c_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts Lemma 3.12. If $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$, then similarly to (4.11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}} & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}} \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(J_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} P_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} S^{\frac{N}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.16. If $\lim _{\sup }^{n \rightarrow \infty}, ~\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}}$, by using $P_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and $\left\langle J_{\underline{p}+a_{n}, \bar{q}-a_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}} \\
& \quad=\frac{\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{N}{2}-\frac{N}{\bar{q}-a_{n}}\right)}{\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)}-\frac{\mu N}{\bar{q}-a_{n}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{N-2}{2}-\frac{N}{\bar{q}-a_{n}}\right)}{\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)}-\frac{\mu N}{\bar{q}-a_{n}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}} & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} *\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{\underline{p}+a_{n}}\right) \\
& \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\frac{\mu \alpha}{2 N\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{N}{2}-\frac{N}{\bar{q}-a_{n}}\right)}{\frac{\mu(N+\alpha)}{2\left(\underline{p}+a_{n}\right)}-\frac{\mu N}{\bar{q}-a_{n}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha}{2(N+\alpha)} \mu^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} S_{1}^{\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.16. Thus $u \not \equiv 0$. By Corollary 2.5, $P_{\underline{p}, \bar{q}}(u)=0$.
Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1, $u$ is a positive and radially nonincreasing groundstate solution of (1.10). The proof is complete.
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