

**A distinctive signature of extra-dimensions:
The enhanced open string pair production**

J. X. Lu

Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

Abstract

In analogy to the Schwinger pair production in QED, there exists also the so-called open string pair production for a system of two D p branes, placed parallel at a separation, with at least one brane carrying a worldvolume electric flux, in Type II string theories. There is however no such pair production if an isolated D p brane carrying an electric flux is considered. The produced open strings are directly related to the brane separation, therefore to the extra-dimensions. This pair production can be greatly enhanced if one D p brane carries also a magnetic flux. The largest pair production rate occurs for $p = 3$, i.e., the D3 brane system, with the same applied fluxes. A detection of this pair production shall signal the existence of extra-dimensions and therefore provides a means to test string theories.

Whether there exist extra-dimensions remains an important yet unanswered question. String theory, as a candidate of quantum gravity, has built-in extra-dimensions and various non-perturbative solitonic extended objects such as Dp branes. One therefore expects string theory to provide means for exploring this question. In this work, we discuss a special type of enhanced open string pair production, directly related to the extra-dimensions, for a system of two D3 branes placed parallel at a separation and carrying certain worldvolume electric and magnetic fluxes. A detection of this pair production by an observer on one of the D3 will imply the existence of extra-dimensions.

A static D3 brane in Type IIB superstring theory, being 1/2 Bogomol'ny-Prasad-Sommereld (BPS) vacuum-like object, is stable. Its dynamics can also be described by a perturbative open string with its two ends stick to the D3 brane along the transverse directions [1] when the string coupling is small. This open string is charge-neutral, having zero-net charge with its two ends carrying charge +1 and -1, respectively. Just like the virtual electron/positron pair in quantum electrodynamics (QED) vacuum, we have here the pair of virtual open string/anti open string, created from the present vacuum at some instant, existing for a short period of time, then annihilating to the vacuum. An observer on the brane can only sense the open string ends as virtual charge particles. So the pair of virtual open string/anti open string appears to the observer with one pair of their two nearby ends as the first pair of virtual charge particle/anti-charge particle and the other pair of their two other nearby ends as the second pair of virtual anti-charge particle/charge particle. So the quantum fluctuations here are quite different from those of QED vacuum.

Just like the Schwinger pair production[2], one would also expect to produce the charge/anti-charge or the open string pairs if a constant worldvolume electric field is applied to an isolated D3 brane. However, in a sharp contrast, the stringy computations give a null result due to the open strings being charge-neutral and their ends experiencing the same electric field [3, 4]. This is consistent with that a D3 carrying a constant electric field is a 1/2 BPS non-threshold bound state [5], therefore being stable rather than unstable. In other words, there is no Schwinger-type pair production here.

In order to have the pair production, a possibility is to let the two ends of the charge-neutral open string experience different electric fluxes. A simple setup for this is to consider two Dp branes placed parallel at a separation with each carrying a different electric flux (we consider a general p with $p = 3$ as a special case). The open string pair production

should then come from those virtual open strings with each connecting the two Dp branes along their transverse directions, therefore directly related to the extra-dimensions. Stringy computations do give a non-zero but usually vanishingly small rate for realistic electric fluxes applied [6], due to the large string scale $M_s = 1/\sqrt{\alpha'}$ whose current constraint is from a few TeV upto the order of $10^{16} \sim 10^{17}$ GeV [7]. This rate can however be greatly enhanced if at least one such Dp carries also a magnetic flux [4, 8].

We now compute this rate with the respective worldvolume dimensionless flux \hat{F} and \hat{F}' , both being antisymmetric $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ matrices with the same structure. For the wanted enhancement, the non-vanishing components for \hat{F} can be chosen, without loss of generality, to be

$$\hat{F}_{01} = -\hat{F}_{10} = -f, \quad \hat{F}_{23} = -\hat{F}_{32} = -g, \quad (1)$$

with the electric flux $|f| < 1$ and the magnetic flux $|g| < \infty$. We have the same for \hat{F}' but denoting the corresponding fluxes each with a prime. This choice of fluxes implies $p \geq 3$. We first compute the open string annulus interaction amplitude between the two Dp in its integral representation as [9],

$$\Gamma = \frac{2^2 V_{p+1} |f - f'| |g - g'|}{(8\pi^2 \alpha')^{\frac{1+p}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{y^2 t}{2\pi\alpha'}} \frac{(\cosh \pi\nu'_0 t - \cos \pi\nu_0 t)^2}{\sin \pi\nu_0 t \sinh \pi\nu'_0 t} Z(t), \quad (2)$$

where

$$Z(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^2 \left[1 - 2|z|^{2n} e^{(-)^i \pi\nu'_0 t} \cos \pi\nu_0 t + |z|^{4n} e^{(-)^i 2\pi\nu'_0 t} \right]^2}{(1 - |z|^{2n})^4 (1 - 2|z|^{2n} \cos 2\pi\nu_0 t + |z|^{4n}) (1 - 2|z|^{2n} \cosh 2\pi\nu'_0 t + |z|^{4n})}. \quad (3)$$

In the above, $|z| = e^{-\pi t} < 1$, y is the brane separation, α' the Regge slope parameter, and the electric parameter $\nu_0 \in [0, \infty)$ and the magnetic one $\nu'_0 \in [0, 1]$ are determined by the electric fluxes and magnetic ones, respectively, as

$$\tanh \pi\nu_0 = \frac{|f - f'|}{1 - ff'}, \quad \tan \pi\nu'_0 = \frac{|g - g'|}{1 + gg'}. \quad (4)$$

The integrand in (2) has an infinite number of simple poles along the positive t -axis at $t_k = k/\nu_0$ with $k = 1, 2, \dots$, for which $\sin \pi\nu_0 t_k = 0$. These poles actually give rise to the decay of the underlying system via the so-called open string pair production. The non-perturbative decay rate or usually also called pair production rate can be computed as the sum of the residues of the integrand at these poles times π per unit worldvolume following [3, 4] as

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{8 |f - f'| |g - g'|}{(8\pi^2 \alpha')^{\frac{1+p}{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-)^{k-1} \left(\frac{\nu_0}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \frac{\left[\cosh \frac{\pi k \nu'_0}{\nu_0} - (-)^k \right]^2}{k \sinh \frac{\pi k \nu'_0}{\nu_0}} e^{-\frac{k y^2}{2\pi\alpha'\nu_0}} Z(t_k), \quad (5)$$

where $Z(t_k)$, given by $Z(t)$ in (3) with $t = t_k = k/\nu_0$, takes its explicit expression as

$$Z(t_k) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[1 - (-)^k e^{-\frac{2nk\pi}{\nu_0}(1-\frac{\nu'_0}{2n})}\right]^4 \left[1 - (-)^k e^{-\frac{2nk\pi}{\nu_0}(1+\frac{\nu'_0}{2n})}\right]^4}{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{2nk\pi}{\nu_0}}\right)^6 \left[1 - e^{-\frac{2nk\pi}{\nu_0}(1-\nu'_0/n)}\right] \left[1 - e^{-\frac{2nk\pi}{\nu_0}(1+\nu'_0/n)}\right]}. \quad (6)$$

Note that the odd and even k in (5) give their respective positive and negative contributions to the rate. For given electric and magnetic fluxes, this rate is highly suppressed by the brane separation y and the integer k . We can qualitatively understand this by noting that the mass for each produced open string is $k T_f y$ with $T_f = 1/(2\pi\alpha')$ the fundamental string tension. So the larger k or y or both are, the larger the mass is and therefore the more difficult the open string can be produced. For $f \neq f'$, one can check that the larger f or f' is, the larger ν_0 and $|f - f'|$ are and the larger the rate \mathcal{W} is.

In general, the presence of magnetic fluxes enhances this rate. We here consider two special cases to show explicitly this enhancement. The first is the case of $g = g' \neq 0$ and we have the enhancement from (5) and (4) as

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}_{g=g' \neq 0}}{\mathcal{W}_0} = 1 + g^2 > 1, \quad (7)$$

where the zero-magnetic flux rate is [6]

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \frac{32 \nu_0 |f - f'|}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{\frac{1+p}{2}}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2l-1)^2} \left(\frac{\nu_0}{2l-1}\right)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} e^{-\frac{(2l-1)y^2}{2\pi\alpha'\nu_0}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + e^{-\frac{2n(2l-1)\pi}{\nu_0}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{2n(2l-1)\pi}{\nu_0}}}\right)^8. \quad (8)$$

A remark follows. From (8), one can check easily that $\mathcal{W}_0 = 0$ if we set identical f and f' (now $\nu_0 = 0$ from the first equation in (4)). This agrees with no Schwinger-type pair production of an isolated D3 brane carrying a constant electric flux mentioned earlier. So to have the expected pair production, we must have a nearby D3 brane which may be invisible (hidden or dark) to our own D3 brane.

The second is the case of $\nu'_0/\nu_0 \gg 1$. This says $\nu_0 \ll 1$ since $\nu'_0 \in (0, 1]$, implying $|f - f'| \ll 1$ from (4). For a fixed $\nu'_0 \in (0, 1]$ and a very small ν_0 , the rate (5) can be well approximated by its leading $k = 1$ term as

$$\mathcal{W} \approx \frac{4 |f - f'| |g - g'|}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{\frac{1+p}{2}}} \nu_0^{\frac{p-3}{2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\pi\alpha'\nu_0}} e^{\frac{\pi\nu'_0}{\nu_0}}. \quad (9)$$

The zero-magnetic flux rate (8) for the same small ν_0 is now

$$\mathcal{W}_0 \approx \frac{32 \nu_0 |f - f'|}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{\frac{1+p}{2}}} \nu_0^{\frac{p-3}{2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\pi\alpha'\nu_0}}. \quad (10)$$

The enhancement is then

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}}{\mathcal{W}_0} = \frac{|g - g'|}{8\nu_0} e^{\frac{\pi\nu'_0}{\nu_0}}, \quad (11)$$

which can be huge given that $\nu'_0/\nu_0 \gg 1$ and $\nu_0 \ll 1$. Let us make a sample estimation of this enhancement to demonstrate its significance for the relevant $p = 3$ case. It has a value of 1.6×10^{35} , a very significant enhancement, for $\nu_0 = 0.02$ and $\nu'_0 = 0.5$ for a moderate choice of $g = -g' = 1$, noting $g, g' \in (-\infty, \infty)$. However, to be physically significant, we need the rate itself in string units to be large enough and it is, for the above sample case plus a choice of $f = 0.2$ with $f' = f - \epsilon$,

$$(2\pi\alpha')^2 \mathcal{W}(\nu'_0 = 0.5) \approx \frac{|f - f'|}{2\pi^2} e^{-\frac{y^2 - 2\pi^2\alpha'\nu'_0}{2\pi\alpha'\nu_0}} = 3.0 \times 10^{-3} e^{-\frac{y^2 - \pi^2\alpha'}{0.04\pi\alpha'}}, \quad (12)$$

where we have used $|f - f'| = |\epsilon| \approx \pi\nu_0(1 - f^2) = 0.06 \ll 1$ from (4). So this rate $(2\pi\alpha')^2 \mathcal{W}(\nu'_0 = 0.5) = 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$, quite significant, at $y = \pi\sqrt{\alpha'} + 0^+ \approx \pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$, the order of string scale, but decreases exponentially with y^2 for $y > \pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$. For example, the rate becomes half of its maximal value at $y - \pi\sqrt{\alpha'} \approx 0.01\sqrt{\alpha'}$, just 1% of the string scale. Note that the rate for $p > 3$ from (9) is smaller than that for $p = 3$ by at least a factor of $(\nu_0/4\pi)^{1/2} \approx 0.04$ for the above sample case. One may wonder if further enhancement can be achieved when we add an extra magnetic flux with similar structure. For example, for $p = 5$, we add a flux $\hat{F}_{45} = -\hat{F}_{54} = -\tilde{g}$ in addition to those given in (1). It turns out that this diminishes rather than enhances the pair production rate. The flux structure given in (1) actually gives the largest rate for each given $p \geq 3$ and moreover for the same applied fluxes the $p = 3$ rate is the largest among these $p \geq 3$. So this singles out the system of two D3 branes, therefore the 4-dimensional world. Curiously one of the D3 can be just our own 4-dimensional world.

Note that also for the $p = 3$ case, the string scale α' drops out, except for the exponential factor $\exp[-ky^2/(2\pi\alpha'\nu_0)]$, for the rate (5) in practice for which the fluxes f, f', g, g' are all very small (giving also very small ν_0 and ν'_0). If we define a scale $m = T_f y = y/(2\pi\alpha')$, the aforementioned exponential factor depends only on this scale and the α' also drops out. One can check this easily if we set the dimensionless fluxes $f = 2\pi\alpha'\bar{f}, f' = 2\pi\alpha'\bar{f}', g = 2\pi\alpha'\bar{g}, g' = 2\pi\alpha'\bar{g}'$ with $\bar{f}, \bar{f}', \bar{g}, \bar{g}'$ the corresponding laboratory ones. Now the rate (5) for $p = 3$ becomes

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{|\bar{f} - \bar{f}'||\bar{g} - \bar{g}'|}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-)^{k-1} \frac{[\cosh \frac{\pi k \nu'_0}{\nu_0} - (-)^k]^2}{k \sinh \frac{\pi k \nu'_0}{\nu_0}} e^{-\frac{k\pi m^2}{|\bar{f} - \bar{f}'|}}, \quad (13)$$

where $\nu'_0/\nu_0 = |\bar{g} - \bar{g}'|/|\bar{f} - \bar{f}'|$ and $Z(t_k) \approx 1$ for very small ν_0 from (6) has also been used. In practice, we can apply electric and magnetic fluxes only to our own D3 brane and have no control over the other D3. This amounts to setting, for example, $\bar{f}' = \bar{g}' = 0$, in (13). We have then,

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{|\bar{f}'||\bar{g}'|}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-)^{k-1} \frac{[\cosh \frac{\pi k \nu'_0}{\nu_0} - (-)^k]^2}{k \sinh \frac{\pi k \nu'_0}{\nu_0}} e^{-\frac{k\pi m^2}{|\bar{f}'|}}, \quad (14)$$

with now $\nu'_0/\nu_0 = |\bar{g}'|/|\bar{f}'|$. Given the alternative sign appearing in the sum, the present rate looks more like the scalar QED one [2, 10–13] than the spinor QED one [10, 14, 15]. According to [16], the above rate should be more properly interpreted as the decay rate of the underlying system while the pair production rate is just the leading $k = 1$ term in (14) since the higher k correspond to more massive open strings, not the fundamental one. With this, we now make a comparison of the present pair production rate with its correspondence in the spinor QED or scalar QED for the electric and magnetic fluxes specified. The present rate is

$$\mathcal{W}^{(1)} = \frac{2|\bar{f}'||\bar{g}'|}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{[\cosh \frac{\pi|\bar{g}'|}{|\bar{f}'|} + 1]^2}{\sinh \frac{\pi|\bar{g}'|}{|\bar{f}'|}} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2}{|\bar{f}'|}}, \quad (15)$$

the spinor QED rate [16] is

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{spinor}}^{(1)} = \frac{(qE)(qB)}{(2\pi)^2} \coth\left(\frac{\pi B}{E}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi m^2}{qE}}, \quad (16)$$

and the scalar QED one [16] is

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{scalar}}^{(1)} = \frac{(qE)(qB)}{2(2\pi)^2} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\pi B}{E}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi m^2}{qE}}. \quad (17)$$

In order to make comparisons, we need to identify $\bar{f} = qE$, $\bar{g} = qB$ and the present mass scale m with the corresponding one in QED. The present rate (15) has similarities with but also important differences from the other two rates. Let us focus first on the pure electric case. We have now

$$\mathcal{W}^{(1)} = 8 \mathcal{W}_{\text{spinor}}^{(1)} = 16 \mathcal{W}_{\text{scalar}}^{(1)} = \frac{8(qE)^2}{4\pi^3} e^{-\frac{\pi m^2}{qE}}, \quad (18)$$

The scalar QED rate is just half of the spinor QED one and this is due to the spinor factor $2s + 1$. However, the present rate is 8 times of the spinor QED one and this can hardly be explained by the above spinor factor. Recall that the present rate is a stringy one and the fluxes are taken care of non-linearly while the spinor or scalar rate is based on the

corresponding linear field theory of QED. This can be the source of the numerical difference. For example, the factor $[\cosh \pi|\tilde{g}|/|\tilde{f}| + 1]^2$ in (15) contributes a factor of 4 for the pure electric case.

When the magnetic flux is turned on, the present rate (15) is also always larger than the other two QED rates. This is evident since

$$\frac{\mathcal{W}^{(1)}}{\mathcal{W}_{\text{spinor}}^{(1)}} = \frac{2 \left[1 + \cosh \frac{\pi B}{E}\right]^2}{\cosh \frac{\pi B}{E}} > 1, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\mathcal{W}^{(1)}}{\mathcal{W}_{\text{scalar}}^{(1)}} = 4 \left[1 + \cosh \frac{qB}{E}\right]^2 > 1. \quad (19)$$

Especially when $B/E \gg 1$, the rate (15) is exponentially enhanced by the factor $\exp[\pi B/E]$ while the spinor rate (16) has no such enhancement and the scalar rate (17) on the contrast is exponentially suppressed by this factor. Curiously all three rates have now the same numerical factor $1/(2\pi)^2$. The above sharply different behavior between the rate (15) and the spinor rate (16) on magnetic flux lays a ground to distinguish the two when a detection of the underlying pair production becomes possible.

If the two D3 brane separation is due to, for example, the standard model symmetry breaking, the mass scale m should be naturally related to the symmetry breaking scale of a few hundred GeV. This will then make its detection difficult and the only hope may be from LHC. On the other hand, if we interpret the other nearby D3 as invisible (hidden or dark) to our own D3, we usually don't have a priori knowledge of the mass scale m for the rate (15). If it happens to be on the order of electron mass, we then can test this rate with a tunable magnetic flux against the Schwinger pair production when the latter detection becomes feasible. It is well-known that the lack of detection of Schwinger pair production up to now is due to the requirement of large constant electric field $E \sim 10^{18}$ V/m which cannot be produced in laboratory. The large enhancement with the presence of magnetic flux for our rate (15) can loosen this large field requirement to certain extent and may set such a detection sooner rather than later. This can be even more true if the scale is smaller than that of electron mass.

Now there exist also various experiments involved large electromagnetic fields such as in relativistic heavy-ion collisions (RHIC), for example, $eE \sim eB \sim m_\pi^2$ at RHIC and $eE \sim eB \sim 10 m_\pi^2$ at LHC. However, these large fields are the ones right after each collision but averaged to zero in a large event ensemble. As such, during the quark-gulon-plasma lifetime, it is so far still difficult to have a significant detection of the underlying pair production. We hope that this situation can be improved soon and the aforementioned test can be carried

out. If the mass scale m is on the order of $m_\pi = 140$ MeV, we may still use the RHIC to test the rate (15).

If a detection of the rate (15) is indeed possible and confirmed, the direct implication of this is the existence of extra dimensions and moreover it also indicates the correctness of string theories.

The author acknowledges support by grants from the NSF of China with Grant No: 11235010 and 11775212.

-
- [1] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4724 (1995)
 - [2] J. S. Schwinger, “On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization,” Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).
 - [3] C. Bachas and M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. B **296**, 77 (1992)
 - [4] J. X. Lu, “Magnetically-enhanced open string pair production,” JHEP **1712**, 076 (2017)
 - [5] J. X. Lu and S. Roy, “Non-threshold (F, Dp) bound states,” Nucl. Phys. B **560**, 181 (1999)
 - [6] J. X. Lu, B. Ning, R. Wei and S. S. Xu, “Interaction between two non-threshold bound states,” Phys. Rev. D **79**, 126002 (2009)
 - [7] D. Berenstein, “TeV-Scale strings,” Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **64**, 197 (2014)
 - [8] J. X. Lu and S. S. Xu, “The Open string pair-production rate enhancement by a magnetic flux,” JHEP. **0909** (2009)093
 - [9] J. X. Lu, “Some aspects of interaction amplitudes of D branes carrying worldvolume fluxes,” Nucl. Phys. B **934**, 39 (2018) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.07.001 [arXiv:1801.03411 [hep-th]].
 - [10] F. Sauter, Z. Phys. 69, 742 (1931); W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Physik 98, 714 (1936); V. Weisskopf, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Medd. 14, No. 6 (1936).
 - [11] V. S. Popov, Sov. Phys. JETP 34, 709 (1972); Sov. Phys. JETP 35, 659 (1972)
 - [12] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
 - [13] Y. M. Cho and D. G. Pak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1947 (2001).
 - [14] F. V. Bunkin and I. I. Tugov, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 14, 678 (1970).
 - [15] J. K. Daugherty and I. Lerche, Phys. Rev. D 14, 340 (1976).
 - [16] A. I. Nikishov, Sov. Phys. JETP 30, 660 (1970); Nucl. Phys. B21, 346 (1970).