MAXIMAL IRREDUNDANT FAMILIES OF MINIMAL SIZE IN THE ALTERNATING GROUP

MARTINO GARONZI AND ANDREA LUCCHINI

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group. A family M of maximal subgroups of G is called "irredundant" if its intersection is not equal to the intersection of any proper subfamily. M is called "maximal irredundant" if M is irredundant and it is not properly contained in any other irredundant family. We denote by $\text{Mindim}(G)$ the minimal size of a maximal irredundant family of G. In this paper we compute $\text{Mindim}(G)$ when G is the alternating group on n letters.

For G a finite group and M a family of maximal subgroups of G, M is called irredundant if its intersection is not equal to the intersection of any proper subfamily of M. The "maximal dimension" of G, denoted $\text{Maxdim}(G)$, is the maximal size of an irredundant family of G. R. Fernando in [\[4\]](#page-6-0) observed that setting $m(G)$ to be the maximal size of a generating set of G that does not properly contain any other generating set and $i(G) := \max\{m(H) : H \leq G\}$, we have

 $m(G) \leq$ Maxdim $(G) \leq i(G)$.

As Fernando observed, the work of Whiston [\[10\]](#page-6-1) easily implies that we have the following equalities: $m(S_n) = i(S_n) = n-1$, $m(A_n) = i(A_n) = n-2$ (in Fernando's terminology, S_n and A_n are flat). An immediate consequence is that $Maxdim(S_n)$ $n-1$ and Maxdim $(A_n)=n-2$.

Here we introduce the dual concept of "minimal dimension": $\text{Mindim}(G)$ is the minimal size of a maximal irredundant family of G . When G is a primitive permutation group, that is, a group acting faithfully and transitively on a set Ω and whose point stabilizers are maximal subgroups, an easy way to bound $\text{Mindim}(G)$ from above is given by the base size of G. A base of G is a subset B of Ω such that the intersection of the stabilizers in G of the elements of B is trivial. The minimal cardinality of a base of G is denoted $b(G)$. Since any redundant family contains an irredundant subfamily with the same intersection, and any irredundant family intersecting in $\{1\}$ is a maximal irredundant family, we obtain that $\text{Mindim}(G) \leq$ $b(G)$. By looking at the existent literature (considering base sizes of non-standard actions [\[1\]](#page-6-2)) it is easy to prove that $\text{Mindim}(S) \leq 7$ for every finite nonabelian simple group S. Indeed in the case of groups of Lie type we can always build up a non-standard action by taking primitive actions with stabilizer in the Aschbacher class \mathscr{C}_3 , stabilizers of field extensions of the form $GL_m(q^r)$ in $GL_n(q)$, where r is a prime dividing n and $m = n/r$ (see [\[6\]](#page-6-3) Tables 3.5.H and 4.3.A).

MG acknowledges the support of the Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAPDF) and the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES).

In this paper we compute $\text{Mindim}(A_n)$ where A_n is the alternating group on n letters. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer. Then Mindim $(A_n) \in \{2,3\}$ and we have $\text{Mindim}(A_n) = 3$ if and only if one of the following happens:

- $n = 2p$ with $p \neq 11$ an odd prime and n is not of the form $q + 1$ where q is a prime power.
- $n \in \{6, 7, 8, 11, 12\}.$

Explicitly determining for which odd primes p we have $\text{Mindim}(A_{2p}) = 3$ is a hard number-theoretical problem: one needs to solve the equation $2p = q + 1$ where p is a prime and q is a prime power.

1. Preliminary lemmas

Before proving the main result we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let p be an odd prime and let $n = 2p$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) S_n has primitive subgroups different from A_n and S_n .
- (2) A_n has primitive subgroups different from A_n .
- (3) S_n has primitive maximal subgroups different from A_n .
- (4) A_n has primitive maximal subgroups.
- (5) n is equal to 22 or of the form $q + 1$ where q is a prime power.

Proof. (2) and (3) clearly imply (1), and (2) \Leftrightarrow (4) is clear as a permutation group containing a primitive one is primitive. We prove that (5) implies $(1), (2), (3)$ and (4). Suppose (5) holds. If $n = 22$ then A_n contains a primitive Mathieu subgroup M_{22} and this gives the result, now assume $n \neq 22$. Consider $G = \text{PGL}_2(q) \leq S_n$ where $n = 2p = q + 1$, q a prime power, and G is acting in the usual way on the projective line. Since $p \geq 3$ we have $q \geq 5$ hence G is an almost-simple group with socle $PSL_2(q)$. Being 2-transitive, G is a primitive subgroup of S_n . We now prove that $G \cap A_n = \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$. The inclusion \supseteq is clear as $G \cap A_n$ is a normal subgroup of G of index at most 2. We are left to prove that G is not contained in A_n . Let $g \in \text{PGL}_2(q)$ be the element corresponding to the diagonal matrix having u and 1 on the diagonal, where u is a generator of the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}_q^* . fixes exactly two 1-dimensional subspaces and cyclically permutes the remaining $q-1=2p-2$, now since $2p-2$ is even g is an odd permutation, therefore G is a primitive subgroup of S_n not contained in A_n .

We are left to prove that (1) \Rightarrow (5). Let G be a primitive group of degree $n = 2p$. It was shown by Wielandt [\[11\]](#page-6-4) that G has rank 2 or 3, and later using the classification of finite simple groups it was shown that G is 2-transitive (that is, has rank 2) with the only exception of $p = 5$ (see [\[7\]](#page-6-5)). We can use the known classification of 2-transitive groups. Suppose $p \neq 5$. Since G is not affine (because n is not a prime power) G belongs to [\[3,](#page-6-6) Table 7.4] and it follows that n is equal to 22 or is of one of the following types.

• $(q^d-1)/(q-1), d \geq 2, (d,q) \neq (2,2), (2,3), q$ a prime power. We have $2p = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$, hence d is a prime because if $d = ab$ with a, b integers then $2p = (q^{ab}-1)/(q^b-1) \cdot (q^b-1)/(q-1)$, a contradiction. On the other hand $(q^d-1)/(q-1) = 1 + q + q^2 + ... + q^{d-1}$ is odd if d is odd, therefore $d = 2$ and $2p = q + 1$.

- $q^2 + 1$, $q = 2^{2d+1} > 2$. This cannot happen being 2p even and $q^2 + 1$ odd.
- $q^3 + 1$, $q \ge 3$ a prime power. Then $2p = q^3 + 1 = (q+1)(q^2 q + 1)$ shows that this cannot happen.

If $p = 5$ then $n = 10$ and S_n has the primitive maximal subgroup PTL₂(9), so the result holds in this case as $10 = 9 + 1$.

Observe that from the above proof it follows that

Corollary 1. Let p be an odd prime and let $G \leq S_{2p}$ be a primitive group of degree 2p not containing the alternating group A_{2p} . Then one of the following holds.

- $p = 11$ and soc $(G) \cong M_{22}$ in its primitive action of degree 22.
- $2p-1 = q \geq 5$ is a prime power and soc(G) is isomorphic to $PSL_2(q)$ acting on the projective line.

2. Proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0)

Lemma 2. Mindim $(A_n) \leq 3$ for all $n \geq 4$ even and for $n = 7$, $n = 11$. Moreover $\text{Mindim}(A_n) = 3 \text{ for } n \in \{6, 7, 8, 11, 12\}.$

Proof. Suppose $n = 2m \geq 4$ is even. Consider the dihedral group D of order n embedded (via the regular representation) in S_n . This gives two subgroups, H and K (the left and right regular representations), of S_n which commute pointwise. Since H is transitive, $C_{S_n}(H)$ is semiregular, in particular its order is at most n, implying that $C_{S_n}(H) = K$ and similarly $C_{S_n}(K) = H$. In particular H is an intersection of centralizers of two fixed-point-free involutions, which are maximal subgroups of the form $S_2 \wr S_m$. Since the nontrivial elements of H are fixed-pointfree the intersection between H and a point stabilizer is trivial. Intersecting with A_n we obtain Mindim $(A_n) \leq 3$.

In the alternating group A_7 the intersection of the setwise stabilizers of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 2, 5\}$ and $\{2, 5, 6\}$ is trivial, and such stabilizers are maximal subgroups of A_7 , therefore Mindim(A_7) \leq 3. The first, second and fourth subgroups of the sixth conjugacy class of maximal subgroups of A_{11} stored in [\[5\]](#page-6-7) are isomorphic to M_{11} and have trivial intersection, implying Mindim $(A_{11}) \leq 3$. Let now $n \in \{6, 7, 8, 11, 12\}$. In order to prove that $\text{Mindim}(A_n) \geq 3$, using [\[5\]](#page-6-7) we defined a function that builds the list of pairs (A, B) where A is a fixed representative of every conjugacy class of maximal subgroups of A_n and B is any maximal subgroup of A_n distinct from A, and it returns true if and only if for each such pair there exists a maximal subgroup C of A_n belonging to the first conjugacy class of maximal subgroups of A_n and with the property that $\{A, B, C\}$ is irredundant.

We will use [\[2,](#page-6-8) Corollary 1.5], which we state here for convenience.

Theorem 2. Let $G = A_n$ with $n \geq 5$ acting primitively on a set with point stabilizer H. Then either $b(G) = 2$ or (n, H) is one of the following:

- (1) $H = (S_k \times S_{n-k}) \cap G$ with $k < n/2$.
- (2) $H = (S_2 \wr S_l) \cap G$ and $n = 2l$.
- (3) $H = (S_k \setminus S_l) \cap G$, $n = kl$ with $k \geq 3$, and either $l < k+2$ or $l = k+2 \in \{5, 6\}$.
- (4) $(n, H) = (12, M_{12}), (11, M_{11}), (9, PFL_2(8)), (8, AGL_3(2)), (7, PSL_2(7)),$ $(6, PSL₂(5)), (5, D₁₀).$

In particular if H acts primitively on $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ then $b(G) = 2$ with finitely many exceptions.

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 1. Observe that A_4 has a base of size 2, so Mindim $(A_4) = 2$ $(A_4) = 2$. Now assume $n \geq 5$. By Lemma 2 we know that $\text{Mindim}(A_n) = 3$ for $n \in \{6, 7, 8, 11, 12\}$. Also, Mindim(A_5) = 2 because the intransitive subgroups stabilizing $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{1, 3\}$ have trivial intersection, Mindim(A_9) = 2 by Theorem [2](#page-2-1) because A_9 contains the primitive maximal subgroup $\text{ASL}(2,3)$, which is different from $P\Gamma L_2(8)$, and $Mindim(A_{10}) = 2$ $Mindim(A_{10}) = 2$ by Theorem 2 because A_{10} contains a primitive maximal subgroup by Lemma [1.](#page-1-1) This means that we may assume $n \geq 13$.

Observe that, being $n \geq 13$, by Theorem [2](#page-2-1) we may assume that for all factorizations $n = k\ell$ with $k \geq 3$ we have $\ell \leq k+1$ or $\ell = k+2 \in \{5,6\}$. Also, if $n = p$ is a prime then letting H to be any maximal subgroup of G containing a p -cycle, H acts primitively on $\{1,\ldots,p\}$ so Mindim(G) = 2. If $\ell = k + 2 \in \{5,6\}$ then $n \in \{15, 24\}$, and A_{15} , A_{24} have proper primitive subgroups (with respect to the natural action on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, so Mindim (A_{15}) = Mindim (A_{24}) = 2. Now assume that for all such factorizations we have $\ell \leq k+1$. Suppose *n* is a product abc with $2 \le a \le b \le c$. If $b \ge 3$ then $ac \le b+1 \le c+1$, a contradiction. If $a=b=2$ then $n = 4c$ so $c \leq 4 + 1 = 5$ and $n \in \{16, 20\}$. However A_{16} has a proper primitive subgroup $AGL₂(4)$, and $A₂₀$ has a proper primitive subgroup $PSL₂(19)$. We are left to discuss the case in which n is of the form pq with $p \ge q$ primes. If $q \neq 2$ then $q \leq p \leq q+1$ hence $p = q$ and $n = p^2$, A_n has a maximal subgroup containing $\text{ASL}_2(p)$, which acts primitively, so the corresponding primitive action of A_n has a base of size 2. Assume now that $n = 2p$ with p an odd prime, so that $p \ge 7$ being $n \geq 13$. If A_n has primitive maximal subgroups then Mindim $(A_n) = 2$, now assume this is not the case. By Lemma [1,](#page-1-1) n is of the form specified in the statement.

Assume *n* has the form 2p with $p \geq 7$ an odd prime such that 2p is not of the form $q + 1$ with q a prime power and $p \neq 11$. We claim that in this case Mindim $(A_n) = 3$. By Lemma [2](#page-2-0) it is enough to prove that $\text{Mindim}(A_n) \geq 3$. By Lemma [1](#page-1-1) the maximal subgroups of S_n distinct from A_n and the maximal subgroups of A_n act non-primitively on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover the maximal subgroups of A_n are precisely the intersections between A_n and the maximal subgroups of S_n . We will show that if A and B are maximal subgroups of S_n then there exists a maximal subgroup C of S_n such that $\{A \cap A_n, B \cap A_n, C \cap A_n\}$ is irredundant.

- (1) Suppose A and B are of the form $S_p \wr S_2$. Say A preserves a base block $\mathscr A$ and B preserves a base block \mathscr{B} (both of size p). By replacing, if needed, $\mathscr A$ with its complement we may assume $|\mathscr A \cap \mathscr B| > (p+1)/2 > 4$ so that $|\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B}| \leq 2p - 4$. Let $a \in \mathscr{A} - \mathscr{B}$, $i, j \in \mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B}$, $b \in \mathscr{B} - \mathscr{A}$, $\ell, t, s \in \{1, \ldots, 2p\} - (\mathscr{A} \cup \mathscr{B})$. Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{b, i, \ell\}$ and its complement.
	- $(ij)(\ell t) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C.$
	- $(a_j)(ts) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
	- $(bi)(ts) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.
- (2) Suppose A is of the form $S_p \wr S_2$ and B is of the form $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ where $1 \leq k \leq p$. Say A stabilizes a base block $\mathscr A$ of size p and B stabilizes $\mathscr B$ and its complement, with $|\mathscr{B}|$ larger than p.

Assume first that $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$. Pick $a, x, r, s \in \mathscr{A}$, $b \in \mathscr{B} - \mathscr{A}$ and $c \in \mathscr{A}$ $\{1,\ldots,2p\}-\mathscr{B}$, and let C be the intransitive maximal subgroup stabilizing ${a, b, c}$ and its complement.

- $(ax)(rs) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
- $(bc)(rs) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
- $(ab)(rs) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A.$

Now assume $\mathscr{A} \nsubseteq \mathscr{B}$, and let $a \in \mathscr{A} - \mathscr{B}$. Since $|\mathscr{B}| > p$ there exist two distinct elements b, x in $\mathscr{B} - \mathscr{A}$. Since $|\mathscr{B}| > p$, up to replacing, if needed, $\mathscr A$ with its complement we may assume that $|\mathscr A \cap \mathscr B| \ge (p+1)/2$, so that there exist distinct elements $i, j, r \in \mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B}$. Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup preserving $\{a, b, i\}$ and its complement.

- $(ir)(bx) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
- $(ai)(jr) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B.$
- $(bi)(jr) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.
- (3) Suppose A is of the form $S_p \wr S_2$ and B is of the form $S_2 \wr S_p$. Let $\mathscr{A} =$ $\{1,\ldots,p\}$ be a base block for A, and let $\mathscr{B}_1,\ldots,\mathscr{B}_p$ be the base blocks corresponding to B, each of size 2. Choose them so that $|\mathscr{B}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}| = 1$.

Suppose $|\mathscr{B}_i \cap \mathscr{A}| = 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, p$, without loss of generality $\mathscr{B}_i = \{i, p + i\}.$ Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{1, 2, p+1\}$ and its complement.

- $(1 \dots p)(p + 1 \dots 2p) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
- $(1\ p+1)(3\ p+3) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.
- $(12)(34) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.

We may now assume that $\mathscr{B}_2 = \{r, s\} \subseteq \mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}_3 = \{y, z\}$ is disjoint from \mathscr{A} . Let $x \in \mathscr{B}_1 - \mathscr{A}, t \in \mathscr{B}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}$, and let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{x, y, t\}$ and its complement.

- $(yz)(rs) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
- $(xy)(rs) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
- $(xt)(rs) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.
- (4) Suppose A and B are of the form $S_2 \wr S_p$. Since $A \neq B$ there are a base block $\mathscr A$ of A and a base block $\mathscr B$ of B distinct and with a non-empty intersection, without loss of generality $\mathscr{A} = \{1,2\}$ and $\mathscr{B} = \{1,3\}$. Let $\{3, x\}$ be the base block of A containing 3 and let $\{2, y\}$ be the base block of B containing 2. Let C be the stabilizer of the point 1.

First we prove that $A\cap C\cap A_n \nsubseteq B$ and $B\cap C\cap A_n \nsubseteq A$. If $x \neq y$ let $\{y, z\}$, $\{x, w\}$ be blocks of A and B respectively, we have $(3x)(yz) \in (A \cap C) - B$, $(2y)(xw) \in (B \cap C) - A$ and they also belong to A_n , if $x = y = 4$ let $\{\alpha, \beta\},$ $\{\gamma, \delta\}$ be new blocks of A and B respectively, then $(34)(\alpha\beta) \in (A \cap C) - B$, $(24)(\gamma\delta) \in (B \cap C) - A.$

We are left to show that $A \cap B \cap A_n$ is not contained in C. Construct $\gamma \in A_n$ in the following way. Set $a_1 = b_1 = 1, a_2 = 2, b_2 = 3$ and write the two block systems (corresponding to A and to B) as follows:

 $\{a_1, a_2\}, \{b_2, a_3\}, \{b_3, a_4\}, \{b_4, a_5\}, \ldots, \{b_k, a_{k+1}\}, \ldots$ $\{a_1, b_2\}, \{a_2, b_3\}, \{a_3, b_4\}, \{a_4, b_5\}, \ldots, \{a_k, b_{k+1}\}, \ldots$

where $a_{k+1} = b_{k+1}$.

We want to construct $\gamma \in A_n$ fixing all the elements not belonging to $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}, b_2, \ldots, b_k\}$ and with the property that $\gamma \in A \cap B$ and $\gamma(a_1) = a_3$, so that $\gamma(1) \neq 1$, implying $\gamma \notin C$. As it turns out there is only one way to do so. If $k = 2$ let $\gamma = (a_1 a_3)(a_2 b_2)$, if $k = 3$ let

$$
\gamma = (a_1 a_3 b_3)(a_2 b_2 a_4).
$$
 If $k > 3$ is odd let

 $\gamma := (a_1 a_3 a_5 \dots a_k b_k b_{k-2} b_{k-4} \dots b_3)(a_2 b_2 b_4 b_6 \dots b_{k-1} a_{k+1} a_{k-1} a_{k-3} \dots a_4),$

whereas if $k > 3$ is even let

 $\gamma := (a_1 a_3 a_5 \dots a_{k+1} b_{k-1} b_{k-3} \dots b_3)(a_2 b_2 b_4 b_6 \dots b_k a_k a_{k-2} a_{k-4} \dots a_4).$

Clearly $\gamma \in A_n$, since it is a product of two k-cycles in both cases. It is easy to show that $\gamma \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) - C$.

- (5) Suppose A is of the form $S_2 \wr S_p$ and B is of the form $S_k \times S_{n-k}$. Say B stabilizes $\mathscr B$ and its complement with $|\mathscr B| > p$. The cardinality condition implies that B contains a base block $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{x, y\}$. Suppose B intersects two base blocks $\mathscr{A}_2, \mathscr{A}_3$ in exactly one element, say $\mathscr{A}_2 = \{a, b\}, \mathscr{A}_3 = \{z, w\}$ with $a, z \in \mathcal{B}, b, w \notin \mathcal{B}$. Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{y, z, w\}$ and its complement.
	- $(az)(bw) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
	- $(ab)(wz) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
	- $(ax)(yz) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.

Suppose \mathscr{B} contains two base blocks $\mathscr{A}_1 = \{x, y\}, \mathscr{A}_2 = \{a, b\}$ and intersects another base block $\mathscr{A}_3 = \{z, w\}$ in exactly one element, say $z \in \mathscr{B}$, $w \notin \mathscr{B}$. Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{y, z, w\}$ and its complement.

- $(ax)(by) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C.$
- $(ab)(wz) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
- $(abx) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.

We are left to discuss the case in which $\mathscr B$ is a union of some $\mathscr A_i$'s (blocks of A). In this case let $\{x, y\}$, $\{a, b\}$ be two blocks contained in \mathscr{B} and let $\{t, w\}$ be a block disjoint from \mathscr{B} . Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{x, t\}$ and its complement.

- $(xy)(tw) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
- $(yw)(xt) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
- $(yab) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.
- (6) Suppose A and B are maximal intransitive. Say A stabilizes $\mathscr A$ and its complement, and B stabilizes $\mathscr B$ and its complement.

Suppose first that $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ or $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{A}$. Without loss of generality assume the former case occurs. Up to exchanging $\mathscr A$ and $\mathscr B$ we may assume that $\mathscr A$ contains at least three distinct elements a, x, y . Let $b \in \mathscr B - \mathscr A$ and $z \in \{1, \ldots, n\}-\mathscr{B}$. Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{b, y, z\}$ and its complement.

- $(axy) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
- $(ax)(bz) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
- $(ax)(by) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.

We may therefore assume that $\mathscr{A} \not\subseteq \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B} \not\subseteq \mathscr{A}$. Let $a \in \mathscr{A} - \mathscr{B}$, $b \in \mathscr{B}-\mathscr{A}$. By replacing, if needed, \mathscr{A} or \mathscr{B} or both with their complements we may assume that $|\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B}| \geq (p+1)/2 \geq 4$. Let $x, y, z \in \mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{B}$. Let C be the maximal intransitive subgroup stabilizing $\{a, b, x\}$ and its complement.

- $(xyz) \in (A \cap B \cap A_n) C$.
- $(ax)(yz) \in (A \cap C \cap A_n) B$.
- $(xb)(yz) \in (B \cap C \cap A_n) A$.

3. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referee for carefully reading a previous version of this paper and having very useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Burness, M. Liebeck, A. Shalev, Base sizes for simple groups and a conjecture of Cameron. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 98 (2009) 116–162.
- [2] T. Burness, R. M. Guralnick, J. Saxl, On base sizes for symmetric groups. Bull. London. Math. Soc. 43 (2011) 386–391.
- [3] P. J. Cameron, Permutation Groups; London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press (1999).
- [4] R. Fernando, On an inequality of dimension-like invariants for finite groups. https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.00360
- [5] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.8; 2017, (\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://www.gap-system.org}{http://www.gap-system.org}).
- [6] P. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups; Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [7] M. Liebeck, J. Saxl, Primitive permutation groups containing an element of large prime order. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 31 (1985), no. 2, 237249.
- [8] A. Lucchini, E. Detomi, Maximal Subgroups of Finite Soluble Groups in General Position; Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, volume 195 issue 4 (2016) 1177–1183.
- [9] W. Niu, On behaviors of Maximal Dimension. https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.08327
- [10] J. Whiston, Maximal Independent Generating Sets of the Symmetric Group, Journal of Algebra, volume 232 issue 1 (2000), 255–268.
- [11] H. Wielandt, Primitive Permutationsgruppen vom Grad 2p, Math. Z. 63 (1956), 478–485; reprinted and translated in Helmut Wielandt: Mathematische Werke (B. Huppert, H. Schneider, eds.), vol. 1, pp. 64–71, 183–189, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.

(Martino Garonzi) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO DARCY RIBEIRO, BRASÍLIA-DF, 70910-900, BRAZIL E-mail address: mgaronzi@gmail.com

(Andrea Lucchini) Dipartimento di Matematica "Tullio Levi-Civita", Universita di ` PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35131 PADOVA, ITALY

E-mail address: lucchini@math.unipd.it