

ON CONFIGURATION SPACES AND WHITEHOUSE'S LIFTS OF THE EULERIAN REPRESENTATIONS

NICHOLAS EARLY AND VICTOR REINER

ABSTRACT. S. Whitehouse's lifts of the Eulerian representations of \mathfrak{S}_n to \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} are reinterpreted, topologically and ring-theoretically, building on the first author's work on A. Ocneanu's theory of permutohedral blades.

1. INTRODUCTION

In their work on Hochschild cohomology [5], Gerstenhaber and Schack introduced certain orthogonal idempotents $\{e_n^{(j)}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ inside the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n$ of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , now called the *Eulerian idempotents*. To define them, write for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ the element $s_{i,n-i} := \sum_w \text{sgn}(w)w$ in which the sum ranges over all $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ in \mathfrak{S}_n satisfying $w_1 < w_2 < \dots < w_i$ and $w_{i+1} < w_{i+2} < \dots < w_n$. Their sum $s_n := \sum_{i=1}^n s_{i,n-i}$ turns out to act semisimply on $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n$ via left multiplication, with eigenvalues $\{2^j - 2\}_{j=1}^n$. The j^{th} Eulerian idempotent $e_n^{(j)}$ is the polynomial in s_n projecting to the $(2^j - 2)$ -eigenspace $E_n^{(j)} := e_n^{(j)}\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n$. See Whitehouse [18, §1] for a nice discussion of the relation to Hochschild cohomology, and Reutenauer's book [12], particularly its Section 9.5, for history and combinatorial context.

The dimension of $E_n^{(j)}$ counts the permutations w in \mathfrak{S}_n with j cycles, that is, it is the (signless) *Stirling number of the first kind*. The (right-) \mathfrak{S}_n -representation on $E_n^{(j)}$ is well-studied, by Hanlon [7] and others:

- For $j = n$, the representation $E_n^{(n)}$ carries the *sign* representation sgn .
- For $j = 1$, the 0-eigenspace $E_n^{(1)}$, after tensoring with sgn , becomes isomorphic to the well-known \mathfrak{S}_n -representation Lie_n on the multilinear part of the *free Lie algebra* on n generators.
- In general, $\text{sgn} \otimes E_n^{(j)}$ is the multilinear part in one of the *higher Lie characters* in the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt decomposition for the tensor algebra in terms of the free Lie algebra.

The $E_n^{(j)}$ also have a topological interpretation. For a topological space X , its n^{th} (*ordered*) *configuration space* $\text{Conf}^n X$ is the complement of the thick diagonal within the n -fold cartesian product X^n :

$$\text{Conf}^n X := \{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in X^n : p_i \neq p_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}.$$

The symmetric group action on X^n permuting coordinates preserves $\text{Conf}^n X$, and hence acts on its cohomology ring $H^* \text{Conf}^n X$ with rational coefficients¹. It is well-known (see, e.g., Sundaram and Welker [16]) that for Euclidean spaces $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 1$, this cohomology is concentrated in homological degrees divisible by $d - 1$. Comparing [16, Theorem 4.4(iii) at $k = 2$] with known descriptions of $E_j^{(n)}$ (e.g., from Hanlon [7]; see Sundaram [15, eqn. (5.15)]) shows that for $d \geq 3$ odd, one has an \mathfrak{S}_n -module isomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad E_n^{(j)} \cong \text{sgn} \otimes H^{(n-j)(d-1)} \text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Earlier, F. Cohen (see [1]) had given a presentation for the cohomology algebra, which for $d \geq 3$ odd is this:

$$(1.2) \quad H^* \text{Conf}^n \mathbb{R}^d \cong \mathcal{U}^n := \mathbb{Q}[u_{ij}]_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} / I$$

in which I is the ideal generated by

- u_{ij}^2 and $u_{ij} + u_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
- $u_{ij}u_{jk} + u_{jk}u_{ki} + u_{ki}u_{ij}$ for distinct triples (i, j, k) with $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05E10, 55R80.

First, second authors supported by NSF grants DMS-1148634, DMS-1601961. The paper was written while the first author was an RTG postdoc at the University of Minnesota.

¹All cohomology $H^*(-)$ in this paper is singular cohomology $H^*(-, \mathbb{Q})$ with rational coefficients, and $\dim(-)$ means $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(-)$.

Here u_{ij} has cohomological degree $d-1$, and is the pullback of a nonzero class in $H^{d-1} \text{Conf}^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathbb{Q}$ along the surjection $\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Conf}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that maps $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto (p_i, p_j)$. Thus w in \mathfrak{S}_n acts via $w(u_{ij}) = u_{w(i), w(j)}$. The above algebra \mathcal{U}^n in (1.2) is the special case for the reflection hyperplane arrangement of type A_{n-1} of what has been called the *Artinian Orlik-Terao algebra*; see, e.g. [10, §2.2].

We wish to give analogous interpretations to certain idempotents and \mathfrak{S}_n -representations defined by Whitehouse in [18]. Letting $c = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ be an n -cycle, she showed that the idempotent $\Lambda_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{sgn}(c)^i c^i$ of $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n$ commutes with the element s_{n-1} , after embedding s_{n-1} via the inclusion of \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} into \mathfrak{S}_n as the permutations fixing n . Hence Λ_n commutes with all Eulerian idempotents $\{e_{n-1}^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$, so that the products $\{\Lambda_n e_{n-1}^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ are also idempotent. She then proved several properties of the \mathfrak{S}_n -representations

$$F_n^{(j)} := \Lambda_n e_{n-1}^{(j)} \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n = e_{n-1}^{(j)} \Lambda_n \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

For one, they *lift* the Eulerian representations of \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} to \mathfrak{S}_n -representations in this sense [18, Prop. 1.4]:

$$(1.3) \quad F_n^{(j)} \downarrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \cong E_{n-1}^{(j)}.$$

She also proved that they have the following virtual description [18, Thm. 3.4]:

$$(1.4) \quad F_n^{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^j \left(E_{n-1}^{(i)} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} - E_n^{(i)} \right).$$

Similarly to the Eulerian representations $E_n^{(j)}$ for $j = n$ and $j = 1$, the representation $F_n^{(n-1)}$ is the sign representation of \mathfrak{S}_n , while $\text{sgn} \otimes F_n^{(1)}$ is known as the *Whitehouse representation*² of \mathfrak{S}_n . Thus (1.3) implies $F_n^{(1)} \downarrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \cong \text{sgn} \otimes \text{Lie}_{n-1} (\cong E_{n-1}^{(1)})$. In work on cyclic operads, Getzler and Kapranov [6] showed that

$$(1.5) \quad (E_n^{(1)} \cong) \text{sgn} \otimes \text{Lie}_n \cong V^{(n-1,1)} \otimes F_n^{(1)}.$$

Here V^λ denotes the irreducible \mathfrak{S}_n -representation indexed by a partition λ of the number n ; in particular, $V^{(n-1,1)}$ is the irreducible *reflection* representation of \mathfrak{S}_n . Our first goal is to strengthen (1.5) to a graded statement that characterizes Whitehouse's lifts $F_n^{(j)}$, and which is proven in Section 2 below. Consider the Grothendieck ring $R(\mathfrak{S}_n)[[t]]$ of graded $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -modules, where $V \cdot t^k$ represents the class of the representation $V[k]$ which is V considered as living in degree k .

Proposition 1. *The equations (1.4) defining $F_n^{(j)}$ in terms of $E_n^{(i)}$ are equivalent to this relation in $R(\mathfrak{S}_n)[[t]]$:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n E_n^{(j)} t^{n-j} = \left(1 + t V^{(n-1,1)} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} F_n^{(j)} t^{n-1-j}$$

In particular, comparing coefficients of t^n on the two sides of the proposition recovers (1.5); see also §5.1.

An observation of Moseley, Proudfoot and Young [10] leads to our next proposition, a configuration space interpretation for $F_n^{(j)}$ that parallels the interpretation (1.1) for $E_n^{(j)}$. To state it, recall that the *special unitary group* SU_2 consists of all 2×2 complex matrices A having $\bar{A}^T A = I$ and $\det(A) = 1$, and is homeomorphic to the 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 . One has a diagonal action of SU_2 on the Cartesian product $(SU_2)^n$ and on $\text{Conf}^n SU_2$, so that one may consider the quotient space

$$X_n := \text{Conf}^n SU_2 / SU_2$$

under this diagonal action. In fact, if one includes \mathbb{R}^3 into SU_2 as the subspace $\mathbb{R}^3 = SU_2 \setminus \{1\} \subset SU_2$, one can check that X_n is $(\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$ -equivariantly) homeomorphic to $\text{Conf}^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^3$ via these inverse homeomorphisms:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_n = \text{Conf}^n SU_2 / SU_2 & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Conf}^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \overline{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n)} & \xrightarrow{h} & (p_n^{-1} p_1, \dots, p_n^{-1} p_{n-1}) \\ \overline{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, 1)} & \xleftarrow{h^{-1}} & (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}) \end{array}$$

Our next goal is the following proposition, proven in Section 3 below by re-packaging a proof from [10].

²It is called the *tree representation* in work of Robinson and Whitehouse [17], and appears elsewhere, e.g., Sundaram [14]

Proposition 2. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the isomorphism of \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} -representations from (1.1) at $d = 3$

$$E_{n-1}^{(j)} \cong \text{sgn} \otimes H^{2(n-j)} \text{Conf}^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^3,$$

lifts to an isomorphism of \mathfrak{S}_n -representations

$$F_n^{(j)} \cong \text{sgn} \otimes H^{2(n-j)} X_n.$$

Our main result is Theorem 3 below. It identifies the cohomology ring $H^* X_n$ as a concrete subalgebra of the ring $\mathcal{U}^n := H^* \text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{R}^3)$ from (1.2), specifically, the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n generated by the elements

$$v_{ijk} := u_{ij} + u_{jk} + u_{ki}$$

for distinct (i, j, k) with $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. Using the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 = SU_2$, define a composite $h \circ \pi \circ i$:

$$(1.7) \quad \text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow{i} \text{Conf}^n SU_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Conf}^n(SU_2)/SU_2 = X_n \xrightarrow{h} \text{Conf}^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^3$$

Theorem 3. For all $n \geq 3$, the map between polynomial rings given by

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}[u_{ij}]_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{Q}[u_{ij}]_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \\ u_{ij} & \mapsto & v_{ijn}. \end{array}$$

has the following properties.

- (i) φ induces an \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} -equivariant algebra map $\overline{\varphi} : \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}^n$.
- (ii) $\overline{\varphi}$ is a ring isomorphism from \mathcal{U}^{n-1} onto the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n inside \mathcal{U}^n generated by all $\{v_{ijk}\}$.
- (iii) One can choose a scalar c in \mathbb{Q}^\times so that $\overline{\varphi}$ is identified with $c \cdot (h \circ \pi \circ i)^*$, where $(h \circ \pi \circ i)^*$ is the \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} -equivariant composite of the maps on cohomology in the top row here:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^* \text{Conf}^n \mathbb{R}^3 & \xleftarrow{(\pi \circ i)^*} & H^* X_n & \xleftarrow{h^*} & H^* \text{Conf}^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{U}^n & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{V}^n & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

The middle vertical map is a grade-doubling \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant algebra isomorphism, showing that

$$\left(F_n^{(n-j)} \cong \right) H^{2j} X_n \cong (\mathcal{V}^n)_j.$$

where $(\mathcal{V}^n)_j$ denotes the j^{th} graded component of the ring \mathcal{V}^n .

After proving Propositions 1, 2, and Theorem 3 in the next three sections, the last section concludes with some remarks on the relation of this paper to results of Mathieu [9] and of d'Antonio and Gaiffi [4], and to a conjecture of Moseley, Proudfoot, and Young [10].

2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Taking coefficients of t^{n-j} on both sides of the equation in the proposition

$$\sum_{j=1}^n E_n^{(j)} t^{n-j} = \left(1 + t V^{(n-1,1)} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} F_n^{(j)} t^{n-1-j},$$

one sees that it is equivalent to assertion for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ that

$$(2.1) \quad E_n^{(j)} = F_n^{(j-1)} \oplus V^{(n-1,1)} \otimes F_n^{(j)},$$

with conventions $F_n^{(0)} := 0, F_n^{(n)} := 0$. Use the fact [13, Exer. 7.81] that any virtual \mathfrak{S}_n -module U satisfies

$$V^{(n-1,1)} \otimes U = \left(U \downarrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} \right) \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} - U,$$

to rewrite (2.1) in the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(j)} &= F_n^{(j-1)} \oplus \left(F_n^{(j)} \downarrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \right) \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} - F_n^{(j)} \\ &= F_n^{(j-1)} \oplus E_{n-1}^{(j)} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} - F_n^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality used (1.3). This last equation can be rewritten as follows

$$F_n^{(j)} - F_n^{(j-1)} = E_{n-1}^{(j)} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} - E_n^{(j)},$$

which is equivalent to (1.4). This completes the proof of the proposition.

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

The proposition asserts $F_n^{(j)} \cong \text{sgn} \otimes H^{2(n-j)} X_n$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. However, in light of (1.1) and Proposition 1, one sees that this is equivalent to exhibiting an isomorphism of graded \mathfrak{S}_n -representations:

$$(3.1) \quad H^* \text{Conf}^n \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \left(1 \oplus V^{(n-1,1)}[2] \right) \otimes H^* X_n.$$

Recall $V^{(n-1,1)}[2]$ means the \mathfrak{S}_n -irreducible $V^{(n-1,1)}$ as a graded representation concentrated in degree 2.

In fact, (3.1) was already proven by Moseley, Proudfoot, and Young in [10, Prop. 2.5]; we simply repeat their proof here for self-containment. Forgetting the $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$ coordinate induces \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant maps

$$\begin{aligned} (SU_2)^{n+1} &\longrightarrow (SU_2)^n \\ \text{Conf}^{n+1}(SU_2) &\longrightarrow \text{Conf}^n(SU_2), \\ X_{n+1} &\longrightarrow X_n. \end{aligned}$$

This last map gives rise to a fibration sequence $F \rightarrow X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$, in which the fiber $F := SU_2 - \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the 3-sphere SU_2 punctured at n points. Note that the cohomology H^*F has this simple description:

- $H^0 F = \mathbb{Q}$ with trivial \mathfrak{S}_n -action, since F is connected, and
- $H^2 F$ is spanned by the n cocycles $\{z_i\}$ dual to cycles that go around the n punctures $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$, permuted by \mathfrak{S}_n , and satisfying the relation $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i = 0$ as in $V^{(n-1,1)}$.

Thus as a graded \mathfrak{S}_n -representation, $H^*(F) = 1 \oplus V^{(n-1,1)}[2]$, the first tensor factor on the right of (3.1).

We claim that (3.1) then follows by applying the the Leray-Serre spectral sequence to $F \rightarrow X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$. From (1.6), the base X_n is homeomorphic to $\text{Conf}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and therefore simply connected when $n \geq 2$, since $\text{Conf}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the complement of an arrangement of linear subspaces of real codimension three inside $\mathbb{R}^{3(n-1)}$. Therefore the fibration spectral sequence will have no twisted coefficients. Furthermore, since both the base X_n and fiber F have cohomology only in even degrees, the spectral sequence collapses at the first page, giving an \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant isomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad H^* X_{n+1} \cong H^* F \otimes H^* X_n.$$

This is exactly the desired \mathfrak{S}_n -isomorphism as in (3.1), noting that their left sides agree due to the homeomorphism h in (1.6) with n replaced by $n+1$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Each of the parts (i),(ii),(iii) in the theorem will be proven in a separate subsection below.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3(i). Part (i) of the theorem asserts that, letting $v_{ijk} := u_{ij} + u_{jk} + u_{ki}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}[u_{ij}]_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1} &\xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Q}[u_{ij}]_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \\ u_{ij} &\longmapsto v_{ijn} \end{aligned}$$

induces a \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} -equivariant algebra map $\overline{\varphi} : \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^n$.

The \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} -equivariance follows since \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} permutes the subscripts $1, 2, \dots, n-1$ on the u_{ij} .

To see that φ induces a well-defined map $\mathcal{U}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{U}^n$, start with the easy check (left to the reader) that these three identities involving v_{ijk} hold in \mathcal{U}^n :

- (a) $v_{ijk}^2 = 0$.
- (b) v_{ijk} is *antisymmetric* in (i, j, k) , meaning $v_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j), \sigma(k)} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) v_{ijk}$ for all σ in \mathfrak{S}_3 .
- (c) $v_{ij\ell} v_{jkl} + v_{jkl} v_{kil} + v_{kil} v_{ij\ell} = 0$.

The special cases of (a),(b) with $k = n$ and (c) with $\ell = n$ show that φ descends to a map $\mathcal{U}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^n$, since φ sends each defining relation u_{ij}^2 and $u_{ij} + u_{ji}$ and $u_{ij} u_{jk} + u_{jk} u_{ki} + u_{ki} u_{ij}$ in \mathcal{U}^{n-1} to zero in \mathcal{U}^n .

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3(ii). Recall part (ii) of the theorem asserts that the induced map $\bar{\varphi} : \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^n$ is a ring isomorphism from \mathcal{U}^{n-1} onto the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n inside \mathcal{U}^n generated by all $\{v_{ijk}\}$.

By construction, since φ sends u_{ij} to v_{ijn} , the image $\text{im}(\bar{\varphi})$ lies in \mathcal{V}^n . To see that $\text{im}(\bar{\varphi}) = \mathcal{V}^n$, one needs to know that for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1$ one also has v_{ijk} in $\text{im}(\bar{\varphi})$. However, we claim this will follow from the $\ell = n$ case of another identity in \mathcal{U}^n whose easy check is left to the reader:

$$(d) \quad v_{ijk} - v_{ij\ell} + v_{ik\ell} - v_{jkl} = 0$$

The $\ell = n$ case of (d) shows that $v_{ijk} = v_{ijn} - v_{ikn} + v_{jkn} = \varphi(u_{ij} - u_{ik} + u_{jk})$, lying in $\text{im}(\varphi)$.

Knowing now that we have a *surjection* $\bar{\varphi} : \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^n$, it remains to show that it is an *isomorphism*. We will deduce this eventually from a dimension count. To this end, note that

$$(4.1) \quad \dim \mathcal{U}^n = \dim H^* \text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{R}^d) = \sum_{j=1}^n \dim E_n^{(j)} = n!$$

since the Eulerian representations $\{E_n^{(j)}\}$ decompose the regular representation $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_n$. Hence the dimension count that completes Theorem 3(ii) would follow if we could show that

$$(4.2) \quad n \cdot \dim \mathcal{V}^n \geq \dim \mathcal{U}^n \quad (= n! = n \cdot \dim \mathcal{U}^{n-1})$$

since canceling n on both sides of $n \cdot \dim \mathcal{V}^n \geq n \cdot \dim \mathcal{U}^{n-1}$ gives $\dim \mathcal{V}^n \geq \dim \mathcal{U}^{n-1}$. We will prove (4.2) by exhibiting an $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace Z of the degree one component $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1$ of \mathcal{U}^n having this property:

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Ring multiplication } \mathcal{U}^n \otimes \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^n \text{ restricts to a } \textit{surjection} \mathcal{V}^n \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \cdot 1 \oplus Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^n$$

This would give (4.2), since it would imply $n \dim \mathcal{V}^n = \dim \mathcal{V}^n \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \cdot 1 \oplus Z) \geq \dim \mathcal{U}^n$.

Such a subspace Z will arise naturally from the \mathfrak{S}_n -irreducible decomposition of the degree one component $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1$. Note from the presentation (1.2) for \mathcal{U}^n that $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1$ is the quotient of the \mathbb{Q} -span of $\{u_{ij}\}$ by the subspace spanned by all sums $u_{ij} + u_{ji}$. Since all u_{ij} lie in the \mathfrak{S}_n -orbit of u_{12} , whose stabilizer $\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-2}$ acts on the line $\mathbb{Q}u_{12}$ inside $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1$ via $\text{sgn} \otimes \mathbf{1}$, one has the \mathfrak{S}_n -irreducible decomposition

$$(4.4) \quad (\mathcal{U}^n)_1 \cong (\text{sgn} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-2}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \cong V^{(n-2,1,1)} \oplus V^{(n-1,1)}.$$

Here the second isomorphism applied *Pieri's rule* (see, e.g., Stanley [13, Thm 7.15.7]). We next identify these two nonzero \mathfrak{S}_n -stable subspaces isomorphic to $V^{(n-2,1,1)}$ and $V^{(n-1,1)}$ inside $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1$ explicitly, namely

- the degree one component $(\mathcal{V}^n)_1$ of the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n , spanned by all $\{v_{ijk}\}$, and
- the subspace $Z := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ spanned by $z_i := \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}$ (with convention $u_{ii} := 0$).

In light of (4.4) To see that $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1 = (\mathcal{V}^n)_1 \oplus Z$, it suffices to check that $(\mathcal{V}^n)_1 \cap Z = 0$. One way to argue this shows that Z and $(\mathcal{V}^n)_1$ are supported on non-isomorphic \mathfrak{S}_n -irreducibles:

- One has Z as a quotient of $V^{(n-1,1)}$ because the relation $z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n = 0$ follows from $u_{ij} + u_{ji} = 0$.
- On the other hand, $(\mathcal{V}^n)_1$ is a quotient of the \mathbb{Q} -span of $\{v_{ijk}\}$, which all lie in the \mathfrak{S}_n -orbit of v_{123} . Property (b) of v_{ijk} above shows that the stabilizer $\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-3}$ acts on the line $\mathbb{Q}v_{123}$ inside $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1$ via $\text{sgn} \otimes \mathbf{1}$. Hence one concludes that $(\mathcal{V}^n)_1$ is isomorphic to a quotient \mathfrak{S}_n -submodule of

$$(\text{sgn} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-3}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \cong V^{(n-2,1,1)} \oplus V^{(n-3,1,1,1)},$$

where the second isomorphism again applied *Pieri's rule*.

Thus (4.4) becomes the more explicit decomposition

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{U}^n)_1 &\cong V^{(n-2,1,1)} \oplus V^{(n-1,1)} \\ &\cong (\mathcal{V}^n)_1 \oplus Z. \end{aligned}$$

We can now show that the subspace Z has the property claimed in (4.3). This is equivalent to showing that \mathcal{U}^n is spanned as a module over the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n by the subspace $\mathbb{Q} \cdot 1 \oplus Z$. Using the graded version of Nakayama's Lemma, this is equivalent to showing that the image of $\mathbb{Q} \cdot 1 \oplus Z$ linearly spans the quotient ring \mathcal{U}^n/J , where J is the ideal of \mathcal{U}^n generated by the $\{v_{ijk}\}$. Since \mathcal{U}^n is generated as an algebra by its degree one component $(\mathcal{U}^n)_1 = Z \oplus (\mathcal{V}^n)_1$, it is therefore equivalent to show that the image of $\mathbb{Q} \cdot 1 \oplus (\mathcal{V}^n)_1$ spans this quotient ring \mathcal{U}^n/J . That is, one needs to show that *all quadratic monomials* u_{ij}^2 , $u_{ij}u_{jk}$, $u_{ij}u_{k\ell}$ lie in the ideal J :

- (e) For distinct pairs $i \neq j$, one has $u_{ij}^2 = 0$, which obviously lies in J .

- (f) For distinct triples i, j, k , one has $u_{ij}u_{jk} = u_{ik}v_{ijk}$, which lies in J since v_{ijk} is in J .
- (g) For distinct quadruples i, j, k, ℓ , one has $u_{ij}u_{k\ell} = v_{ijk}u_{k\ell} - (u_{jk}u_{k\ell} + u_{ki}u_{k\ell})$. This lies in J since the first term on the right has a factor of v_{ijk} , and the remaining terms lie in J by (f).

This completes the proof of (4.3), and hence also completes the proof of Theorem 3(ii).

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3(iii). Recall part (iii) of the theorem asserts that the ring isomorphism φ from \mathcal{U}^{n-1} onto the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n inside \mathcal{U}^n generated by $\{v_{ijk}\}$ is, up to an overall scaling by c in \mathbb{Q}^\times , the same as the composite $(h \circ \pi \circ i)^*$ of these maps on cohomology derived from the maps in (1.7):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^* \operatorname{Conf}^n(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xleftarrow{(\pi \circ i)^*} & H^* X_n & \xleftarrow{h^*} & H^* \operatorname{Conf}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{U}^n & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{V}^n & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

where the middle vertical map is a grade-doubling \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant algebra isomorphism $H^* X_n \cong \mathcal{V}^n$. Our strategy will be to first check this when $n = 3$, and then deduce the general case using functoriality.

The case $n = 3$. Here we claim that it suffices to check $(\pi \circ i)^* : H^2 X_3 \rightarrow H^2 \operatorname{Conf}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is not the *zero* map. To see this claim, note that since $(\pi \circ i)^*$ is \mathfrak{S}_3 -equivariant, and $\dim H^2 X_3 = \dim(\mathcal{U}^2)_1 = 1$, nonzero-ness would imply $(\pi \circ i)^*(H^2 X_3)$ is a 1-dimensional \mathfrak{S}_3 -stable subspace of

$$H^2 \operatorname{Conf}^3(\mathbb{R}^3) = (\mathcal{U}^3)_1 = (\mathcal{B}_3)_1 \oplus Z \cong V^{(1,1,1)} \oplus V^{(2,1)},$$

where the rightmost isomorphism used the $n = 3$ case of (4.5). Since $\dim V^{(1,1,1)} = 1$ but $\dim V^{(2,1)} = 2$, this would force $(\pi \circ i)^*(H^2 X_3) = (\mathcal{B}_3)_1 = \mathbb{Q}v_{123}$, showing v_{123} lies in $\operatorname{im}(\pi \circ i)^*$. Also, since h is a homeomorphism, h^* must map $(\mathcal{U}^2)_1 = H^2 \operatorname{Conf}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = \mathbb{Q}u_{12}$ isomorphically onto $H^2 X_3$, which $(\pi \circ i)^*$ then maps further onto $\mathbb{Q}v_{123}$. Hence there would exist some scalar c_{123} in \mathbb{Q}^\times so that the map φ induced from sending $u_{12} \mapsto v_{123}$ would agree with $c_{123} \cdot (h \circ \pi \circ i)^*$ in this case.

To show that $(\pi \circ i)^* : H^2(X_3) \rightarrow H^2 \operatorname{Conf}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is not the zero map, we use the identification of \mathbb{R}^3 with the nonidentity elements³ $SU_2 - \{1\} \subset SU_2$ to exhibit a degree one self-map of the unit 2-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 inside \mathbb{R}^3 that factors through $\pi \circ i : \operatorname{Conf}^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow X_3$.

One obtains such a map by precomposing this composite

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \operatorname{Conf}^3(\mathbb{R}^3) & \rightarrow & X_3 & \rightarrow & \operatorname{Conf}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{S}^2 \\ (p_1, p_2, p_3) & \mapsto & \overline{(p_1, p_2, p_3)} & \mapsto & (p_3^{-1}p_1, p_3^{-1}p_2) & \mapsto & \frac{p_3^{-1}p_1 - p_3^{-1}p_2}{|p_3^{-1}p_1 - p_3^{-1}p_2|} \end{array}$$

with the map $\mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Conf}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ sending $v \mapsto (v, 0, p)$ where $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is any particular choice of a vector having length $|p| > 1$. The result is the map ψ_p with this formula:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S}^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_p} & \mathbb{S}^2 \\ v & \mapsto & \frac{p^{-1}v - p^{-1}0}{|p^{-1}v - p^{-1}0|}. \end{array}$$

This map ψ_p is homotopic to the identity map on \mathbb{S}^2 , by sending p to ∞ in \mathbb{R}^3 , which is 1 in SU_2 : one can calculate $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \psi_p(v) = \frac{v-0}{|v-0|} = \frac{v}{|v|} = v$. Therefore ψ_p has degree one as a self-map of \mathbb{S}^2 , as desired.

The general case $n \geq 3$. We first show that each v_{ijn} for $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$ lies in $\operatorname{im}(\pi \circ i)^*$, by reducing to the case $n = 3$ as follows. Consider the commutative diagram with vertical maps induced by $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto (p_i, p_j, p_n)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{Conf}^n(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{i} & \operatorname{Conf}^n(SU_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Conf}^3(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{i} & \operatorname{Conf}^3(SU_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_3 \end{array}$$

³This identification means that we sometimes use both the additive group structure from \mathbb{R}^3 to add/subtract vectors from \mathbb{R}^3 in the same formula where we use the multiplicative group structure from SU_2 to multiply/invert them, as in (4.6). To understand this more explicitly, one may use the identification of \mathbb{R}^3 with the imaginary quaternions.

This gives rise to horizontal composite maps $(\pi \circ i)^*$ on cohomology:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}^n & \xleftarrow{(\pi \circ i)^*} & H^* X_n \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{U}^3 & \xleftarrow{(\pi \circ i)^*} & H^* X_3 \end{array}$$

From the $n = 3$ case applied with the triple of indices (i, j, n) replacing $(1, 2, 3)$, the bottom horizontal map $(\pi \circ i)^* : H^* X_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^3$ has v_{ijn} in its image. From the fact that the classes u_{ij}, u_{in}, u_{jn} in \mathcal{U}^n are pulled back from the corresponding classes in \mathcal{U}^3 , and commutativity of the diagram, it follows that the top horizontal map $(\pi \circ i)^* : H^* X_n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^n$ also has v_{ijn} in its image.

Once we know that all v_{ijn} lie in $\text{im}(\pi \circ i)^*$, as before, applying the $\ell = n$ case of property (d) above for the v_{ijk} shows that all $\{v_{ijk}\}$ lie in $\text{im}(\pi \circ i)^*$, and hence so does the entire subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n . Since we already know from part (ii) of the theorem that

$$\dim \mathcal{V}^n = \dim \mathcal{U}^{n-1} (= \dim H^* \text{Conf}^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^3 = \dim H^* X_n),$$

this shows via dimension count that $(\pi \circ i)^*$ maps $H^* X_n$ *isomorphically* onto the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n . Since h is a homeomorphism, this also means that $(h \circ \pi \circ i)^*$ maps $H^* \text{Conf}^{n-1} \mathbb{R}^3$ isomorphically onto \mathcal{V}^n . Furthermore, the calculation for $n = 3$, replacing indices $(1, 2, 3)$ with (i, j, n) , shows that $(h \circ \pi \circ i)^*$ maps $u_{ij} \mapsto c_{ijn} \cdot v_{ijn}$ for some scalars c_{ijn} in \mathbb{Q}^\times . However, note that $h \circ \pi \circ i$ is \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} -equivariant, and hence so is $(h \circ \pi \circ i)^*$, which forces all of the scalars c_{ijn} to equal a single scalar c . This completes the proof of Theorem 3(iii).

5. REMARKS

5.1. Relation to work of Mathieu and of d'Antonio and Gaiffi on the “hidden” action of \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} . Note that replacing $n - 1$ by n in Theorem 3 gives an \mathfrak{S}_n -isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{n+1}$, which reveals why there is a “hidden” \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} -action on \mathcal{U}^n . It also gives an alternate explanation of Whitehouse’s result (1.3) that the \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} action on $F_n^{(j)}$ restricts to the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $E_{n-1}^{(j)}$. This hidden action and our results bear a close relation to previous work of Mathieu [8, 9], and of d'Antonio and Gaiffi [4], which we explain here.

In [8, §6], Mathieu denotes by A_n the ring that we have abstractly presented as \mathcal{U}^n in (1.2). He then identifies it as a certain “limit ring”, which he denotes $\text{Inv}_n(\infty)^*$ in [8, Thm. 7.6] and denotes as $(SU^n)^*$ in [9, §3]. In [9, Lem. 3.3], he extends the natural action of \mathfrak{S}_n permuting subscripts on the generators x_{ij} for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ in his $(SU^n)^*$ to a “hidden” action of \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} . One can check that his action is consistent with ours⁴. Bearing these identifications in mind, then the part of his result [9, Cor. 4.5] pertaining to $(SU^n)^*$ is equivalent to our Proposition 1, which he had already noted in his [9, Cor. 4.6] strengthens the Getzler and Kapranov result (1.5). On the other hand, in [4], d'Antonio and Gaiffi give another, more direct representation-theoretic construction of the hidden \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} -action on \mathcal{U}^n , along with several results on the \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} -irreducible decomposition of this action.

The novelty of our results, compared to these previous works, is in naturally identifying the direct sum of Whitehouse’s lifts $\oplus_j F_n^{(j)}$ as both the cohomology $H^* X_n$, and as the subalgebra \mathcal{V}^n of $\mathcal{U}^n = H^* \text{Conf}^n \mathbb{R}^3$.

5.2. Relation to the Moseley, Proudfoot, and Young conjecture. They conjecture the following.

Conjecture 4. [10, Conj. 2.10] *One has a grade-doubling isomorphism of \mathfrak{S}_n -modules (but not \mathbb{Q} -algebras)*

$$M_n := \mathbb{Q}[u_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} / K \cong H^* X_n$$

where $\deg(u_{i,j}) = 1$, and K is the ideal generated by

- $u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
- $u_{i,j}u_{j,k} + u_{j,k}u_{k,i} + u_{k,i}u_{i,j}$ for triples (i, j, k) of distinct integers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$,
- z_1, \dots, z_n where $z_i := \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}$ (with convention $u_{ii} := 0$).

Here \mathfrak{S}_n permutes subscripts in the $u_{i,j}$.

⁴Further translating notations, his generator x_{ij} in SC_n^* corresponds to what we would call the generator $v_{i,j,n+1}$ in \mathcal{V}^{n+1} .

Proposition 2 shows that this conjecture is equivalent to the assertion that the above algebra M_n carries the \mathfrak{S}_n -representation $\text{sgn} \otimes F_n^{(n-j)}$ in its j^{th} graded component. Although both our algebra \mathcal{V}^n and this algebra M_n are generated in degree one by the images of the elements $v_{ijk} := u_{ij} + u_{jk} + u_{ki}$, one can check that the ideal of relations satisfied by these generators are different in the two rings, and they are *not isomorphic as graded algebras*.

5.3. Presentation and bases for \mathcal{V}^n . Since Theorem 3 gives a ring isomorphism $g : \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^n$ sending u_{ij} to v_{ijn} , one can use this to give a minimal presentation for \mathcal{V}^n , coming from the one in (1.2) for \mathcal{U}^{n-1} . Also, there are known monomial bases in the u_{ij} for \mathcal{U}^{n-1} , such as the *nbc-basis* [11, Cor. 5.3], which can then be mapped forward to exhibit convenient monomial bases in the v_{ijn} for \mathcal{V}^n .

On the other hand, since the dimension of either j^{th} graded component $(\mathcal{U}^{n-1})_j$ or $(\mathcal{V}^n)_j$ is the number of permutations w in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} with $n-1-j$ cycles, one might expect basis elements indexed by such w . Indeed, translating a result of the first author [2, Cor. 41] shows the following: writing each cycle C of w uniquely as $C = (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_\ell)$ with convention $c_1 = \min\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\ell\}$, then $(\mathcal{U}^{n-1})_j$ and $(\mathcal{V}^n)_j$ have basis elements

$$\prod_{\text{cycles } C \text{ of } w} u_{c_1, c_2} u_{c_2, c_3} \cdots u_{c_{\ell-1}, c_\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\text{cycles } C \text{ of } w} v_{c_1, c_2, n} v_{c_2, c_3, n} \cdots v_{c_{\ell-1}, c_\ell, n}$$

where w runs through all permutations in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} with $n-1-j$ cycles. These bases for \mathcal{U}^n and \mathcal{V}^n were initially conjectured by the first author during his work on A. Ocneanu's theory of permutohedral blades. They will play an essential role in [3].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is grateful to his doctoral advisor, Adrian Ocneanu, for many interesting discussions related to his work on plates and blades. He also thanks William Norledge for interesting discussions. The second author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the American Institute of Mathematics workshop on Representation Stability in June 2016. In particular, he thanks the members of a working group there that included Kevin Casto, Weiyan Chen, Patricia Hersh, Claudiu Raicu, Rita Jimenez-Rolland, Simon Rubinstein-Salzedo, Amin Saied, and David Speyer, for their helpful comments, suggestions, and references. Both authors thank Sarah Brauner, Nick Proudfoot, and Sheila Sundaram for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.L. Cohen, T.J. Lada, and J.P. May, The Homology of Iterated Loop Spaces. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **533**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976
- [2] N. Early. “Canonical Bases for Permutohedral Plates.” arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.08520 (2017).
- [3] N. Early. “Permutohedral blades.” In preparation.
- [4] G. d’Antonio and G. Gaiffi, Symmetric group actions on the cohomology of configurations in \mathbb{R}^d . *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **21** (2010), 235–250.
- [5] M. Gerstenhaber and S. Schack, A Hodge-type decomposition for commutative algebra cohomology. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **48** (1987), no. 3, 229–247.
- [6] E. Getzler and M.M. Kapranov, Cyclic operads and cyclic homology. *Geometry, topology, & physics, 167–201, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology, IV*, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [7] P. Hanlon, The action of S_n on the components of the Hodge decomposition of Hochschild homology. *Michigan Math. J.* **37** (1990), 105–124.
- [8] O. Mathieu, The symplectic operad. *Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century, Vol. 1* (New Brunswick, NJ, 1993), 223–243, *Progr. Math.* **131**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.
- [9] ———, Hidden Σ_{n+1} -actions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **176** (1996), 467–474.
- [10] D. Moseley, N. Proudfoot, and B. Young. The Orlik-Terao algebra and the cohomology of configuration space. *Experimental Mathematics* **26** (2017), 373–380.
- [11] P. Orlik and H. Terao, Commutative algebras for arrangements. *Nagoya Math. J.* **134** (1994), 65–73.
- [12] C. Reutenauer. Free Lie algebras. *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series* **7**. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [13] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* **62**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [14] S. Sundaram, Homotopy of non-modular partitions and the Whitehouse module. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **9** (1999), 251–269.
- [15] ———, Variations on the S_n -module Lie_n , arXiv:1803.09368.
- [16] S. Sundaram and V. Welker, Group actions on arrangements of linear subspaces and applications to configuration spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 1389–1420.
- [17] A. Robinson, and S. Whitehouse. “The tree representation of S_{n+1} .” *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **111**, (1996), 245–253.

- [18] S. Whitehouse. “The Eulerian representations of S_n as restrictions of representations of S_{n+1} .” *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **115** (1997), 309–320.

E-mail address: `earlnick@gmail.com`

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE MA, 02139

E-mail address: `reiner@umn.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS MN 55455