

# COLLAPSED MANIFOLDS WITH RICCI BOUNDED COVERING GEOMETRY

HONGZHI HUANG, LINGLING KONG<sup>1</sup>, XIAOCHUN RONG<sup>2</sup> & SHICHENG XU<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. We study collapsed manifolds with Ricci bounded covering geometry i.e., Ricci curvature is bounded below and the Riemannian universal cover is non-collapsed or consists of uniform Reifenberg points. Via Ricci flows' techniques, we partially extend the nilpotent structural results of Cheeger-Fukaya-Gromov, on collapsed manifolds with (sectional curvature) local bounded covering geometry, to manifolds with (global) Ricci bounded covering geometry.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

A complete manifold  $M$  is called  $\epsilon$ -collapsed, if the volume of any unit ball on  $M$  is less than  $\epsilon$ ; one may normalize  $M$  with a bound on curvature. Collapsed manifolds with bounded sectional curvature,  $|\text{sec}| \leq 1$ , has been extensively studied by Cheeger-Fukaya-Gromov ([CFG], [CG1, 2], [Fu1-3], [Gr]), and the basic discovery is a structure on  $M$ , called a nilpotent structure, consisting of compatible local nilpotent almost symmetric structures whose orbits point to all collapsed directions of the underlying metric i.e., any short geodesic loop at a point is locally homotopy non-trivial and locally homotopic to a short loop in the orbit at the point. The existence of such a structure has found important applications in Riemannian geometry (cf. [Ro1]).

As showed by examples, structures on collapsed manifolds with bounded Ricci curvature are much more complicated; e.g., sectional curvature may blow up at some points ([An], [GW], [HSVZ]) or even everywhere ([Li]). Hence, a realistic goal is to first restrict to certain interesting class. Under various additional conditions, via smoothing techniques one may show the existence of a nearby metric of bounded sectional curvature, so as to conclude, by applying the above results, nilpotent structures on collapsed manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below or in absolute value ([DWY], [PWY], [NZ], etc).

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported partially by NSFC Grant 11671070,11201058 and FRFCU Grant 2412017FZ002. The second author would like to thank Capital Normal University for a warm hospitality during his visit

<sup>2</sup>Supported partially by a research fund from Capital Normal University.

<sup>3</sup>Supported partially by NSFC Grant 11401398 and by Youth Innovative Research Team of Capital Normal University.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C21, 53C23, 53C24.

In [Ro2], nilpotent structures were (directly) constructed on collapsed manifolds with Ricci bounded local covering geometry. A compact  $n$ -manifold  $M$  is said to have Ricci bounded (resp. Ricci bounded below) local  $(\rho, v)$ -covering geometry, if  $|\text{Ric}_M| \leq n - 1$  (resp.  $\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n - 1)$ ), and local rewinding volume of  $B(x, \rho)$  satisfies

$$(I) \quad \text{vol}(B(\tilde{x}, \rho)) \geq v > 0, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

or local  $(\delta, \rho)$ -covering geometry, if for any  $x \in M$ ,

$$(II) \quad \tilde{x} \text{ is a } (\delta, \rho)\text{-Reifenberg point,}$$

where  $\pi : (\widetilde{B(x, \rho)}, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (B(x, \rho), x)$  denotes the (incomplete) Riemannian universal cover,  $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$ ,  $B(x, \rho)$  denotes the metric  $\rho$ -ball centered at  $x$ , and  $\tilde{x}$  is  $(\delta, \rho)$ -Reifenberg point, if for all  $0 < r \leq \rho$ , the Gromov-Hausdorff distance,

$$d_{GH}(B(\tilde{x}, r), \mathbb{B}^0(r)) < \delta \cdot r,$$

where  $\mathbb{B}^0(r)$  denotes an  $r$ -ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (cf. [CC2]). Clearly, (II) implies (I) and the converse does not hold. We point it out that for maximally collapsed manifolds with Ricci bounded below i.e. whose diameters are small, local covering geometry is equivalent to (global) covering geometry.

Note that local bounded covering geometry (I) is a necessary condition for collapsed manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below to have nilpotent structures ([Ro2]). Indeed, if  $|\text{sec}_M| \leq 1$ , then there exist constants  $\rho(n), \delta(n) > 0$  such that

$$\text{injr} \left( B \left( \tilde{x}, \frac{\rho(n)}{2} \right) \right) \geq \epsilon(n) > 0, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

where  $\text{injr}(U)$  denotes the infimum of the injectivity radii on  $U$ . This crucial property was pointed in [CFG] based on the Gromov's theorem on almost flat manifold [Gr], and a simple proof independent [Gr] is given in [Ro2].

The local construction of nilpotent structures in [Ro2] is independent of [CFG], and thus gives an alternative and relatively simple construction when restricting to collapsed manifolds with bounded sectional curvature. This seems to be the only alternative local construction since [Gr].

Our goal is to show the existence of nilpotent structures on collapsed manifolds with Ricci bounded below and local covering geometry (I) or (II). We point it out that without an upper bound on Ricci curvature, it seems to be difficult in carrying out the local construction from [Ro2].

In the present paper, we will restrict ourself in the case that the Riemannian universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  is not collapsed or all points on  $\tilde{M}$  are  $(\delta, \rho)$ -Reifenberg points. Our main tools are Ricci flows ([Ha]), the Perelman's pseudo-locality ([Pe]), the structures on Ricci limit spaces ([CC1,2]) and equivariant GH convergence (cf. [FY]); we will obtain a nearby metric with bounded sectional curvature, to which we are able to apply [Gr] and [CFG] to get nilpotent structures.

We now begin to state main results of this paper.

**Theorem A.** (Maximally collapsed manifolds with Ricci bounded blow local covering geometry) *Given  $n, v > 0$ , there exist constants,  $\epsilon(n, v), C(n) > 0$ , such that if a complete  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfies*

$$\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1), \quad \text{vol}(B(\tilde{p}, 1)) \geq v > 0, \quad \text{diam}(M) < \epsilon(n, v),$$

*then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold  $N/\Gamma$ , where  $\tilde{p}$  is a point in the Riemannian universal cover of  $M$ ,  $N$  is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$  such that  $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap N] \leq C(n)$ .*

Theorem A implies Gromov's theorem on almost flat manifolds i.e.,  $|\text{sec}_M| \leq 1$  and  $\text{diam}(M) < \epsilon(n)$ ; because which satisfies local bounded covering geometry.

Theorem A does not hold when removing the non-collapsing condition on  $\tilde{M}$ ; any compact simply connected manifold of non-negative Ricci curvature serves as a counterexample.

*Remark 0.1.* Theorem A substantially improves previous results on maximally collapsed manifolds with bounded Ricci or Ricci bounded below, and various additional conditions to apply smoothing techniques ([DWY], [PWY], [BW]); e.g., the conclusion of Theorem A was asserted in [BW] under the condition that  $\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$  and  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{p})$  is close to  $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

A map between two metric spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , is called an  $\epsilon$ -Gromov-Hausdorff approximation, briefly an  $\epsilon$ -GHA, if  $f$  is an  $\epsilon$ -isometry and  $f(X)$  is  $\epsilon$ -dense in  $Y$ . A sequence of Riemannian  $n$ -manifolds converges to a metric space  $X$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology,  $M_i \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} X$ , if and only if there is an  $\epsilon_i$ -GHA from  $M_i$  to  $X$ ,  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem B.** (Nilpotent fibrations) *Let  $M_i \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} N$  be a sequence of complete  $n$ -manifolds such that*

$$\text{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1), \quad \text{vol}(B(\tilde{p}_i, 1)) \geq v > 0, \quad \tilde{p}_i \in \tilde{M}_i,$$

*where  $N$  is a compact Riemannian manifold. Then for  $i$  large, there is a smooth fibration,  $f_i : M_i \rightarrow N$ , such that*

*(B1) An  $f_i$ -fiber is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold.*

*(B2)  $f_i$  is an  $\epsilon_i$ -GHA, and a  $(1 - \Psi(\epsilon_i|n))$ -Hölder map,  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\Psi(\epsilon_i|n)$  denotes a function in  $\epsilon_i$  such that  $\Psi(\epsilon_i|n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ , while  $n$  is fixed.*

Theorem B can be viewed as a parametrized version of Theorem A; as Theorem 1.2 a parametrized version of Theorem 1.1 (see Section 1). Note that Theorem B will be false if one removes the non-collapsed condition on  $\tilde{M}_i$  (cf. [An]).

By (B2), the extrinsic diameter of any  $f_i$ -fiber is less than  $\epsilon_i$ , which may not imply that the intrinsic diameter of an  $f_i$ -fiber is small (that holds in case sectional curvature is bounded in absolute value, see (1.2.4)). This is because in our approach to Theorem B, we use Ricci flows to obtain a nearby collapsed metric with bounded sectional curvature, by which we are able to apply the nilpotent structure result in [CFG]. Unfortunately, the Ricci flow metric is only weakly close to the original metric i.e., their distance functions are bi-Hölder close. Nevertheless, the following property holds (which is not used in the present paper): the normal subgroup,  $\Lambda_i = \text{Im}[\pi_1(f_i\text{-fiber}) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p_i)]$ , preserves each component  $\hat{N}_i$  of  $\pi_i^{-1}(f_i\text{-fiber})$ , in which the  $\Lambda_i$ -orbit in  $\hat{N}_i$  is  $\epsilon_i$ -dense.

The bundle projection map in Theorem B defines a pure nilpotent structure on  $M$  ([CFG]). A pure nilpotent structure  $\mathcal{N}$  on an open connected subset  $U$  of Riemannian manifold is defined by an  $O(n)$ -invariant smooth affine fiber bundle on the orthogonal frame bundle over  $U$ ,  $\tilde{f} : (F(U), O(n)) \rightarrow (Y, O(n))$ , with fiber a nilpotent manifold (thus  $Y$  is smooth) i.e., the  $O(n)$ -action preserves both fibers and the structure group. The  $O(n)$ -invariance implies that  $\tilde{f}$  descends to a map,  $f : U \rightarrow X = Y/O(n)$ , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F(U), O(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & (Y, O(n)) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & X = Y/O(n). \end{array}$$

Note that a pure nilpotent structure,  $f : U \rightarrow X$ , is in general a singular fibration i.e. a singular fiber occurs when an  $f$ -fiber and  $O(n)$ -orbit meets on a subset of positive dimension.

**Theorem C.** (Singular nilpotent fibrations) *Given  $n$ , there exists a small constant  $\delta(n) > 0$ , such that if  $M_i \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} X$  is a sequence of complete  $n$ -manifolds converging to a compact space  $X$  satisfying*

$$\text{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1), \quad \tilde{x}_i \text{ is } (\delta, \rho)\text{-Reifenberg point}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \delta(n), \quad \forall \tilde{x}_i \in \tilde{M}_i,$$

then, for  $i$  large, there is a singular fibration map,  $f_i : M_i \rightarrow X$ , such that

- (C1) *An  $f_i$ -fiber is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold.*  
(C2)  *$f_i$  is an  $\Psi(\delta|n)$ -GHA, and  $(1 - \Psi(\delta|n))$ -Hölder map.*

A (mixed) nilpotent structure on a manifold  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \{(U_i, \mathcal{N}_i)\}$ , consists of a locally finite open cover for  $M$ ,  $\{U_i\}$ , each  $U_i$  admits a pure nilpotent structure  $\mathcal{N}_i$  such that the following compatible condition holds: if  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U_i \cap U_j$  are both  $\mathcal{N}_i$ -invariant and  $\mathcal{N}_j$ -invariant such that  $\mathcal{N}_i$ -orbits sit in an  $\mathcal{N}_j$ -orbit or vice versa. By the compatibility, we define the  $\mathcal{N}$ -orbit at a point by the  $\mathcal{N}_i$ -orbit at the point of largest dimension.

**Theorem D.** (Mixed nilpotent structures) *Given  $n, \rho > 0$ , there exist constants,  $\epsilon(n, \rho), \delta(n), C(n) > 0$ , such that if an  $\epsilon$ -collapsed compact  $n$ -manifold,  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon(n, \rho)$ , satisfies*

$$\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1), \quad \tilde{x} \text{ is a } (\delta(n), \rho)\text{-Reifenberg point}, \quad \forall \tilde{x} \in \tilde{M},$$

then  $M$  admits a mixed nilpotent structure whose orbits at a point has extrinsic diameter  $< C(n)\epsilon$ .

*Remark 0.2.* The existence of nilpotent structures on collapsed manifolds  $M$  with  $|\text{sec}_M| \leq 1$  has found several important applications in Riemannian geometry, cf. [Ro1]. By Theorems A-D, most of the applications, if not all, should hold on manifolds of Ricci bounded below and bounded covering geometry.

We now briefly describe our approach to Theorems A-C: we will use Ricci flows ([Ha]) and Perelman's pseudo-locality ([Pe], [CTY]). Let  $g_i(t)$  denote the solution of

$$\frac{\partial g_i(t)}{\partial t} = -2 \text{Ric}(g_i(t)), \quad g_i(0) = g_i.$$

If  $g_i(t)$  exists for a definite time such that  $|\text{Rm}(t^{-1}g_i(t))| \leq 1$  and if  $|d_{g_i}(x_{ik}, x_{il}) - d_{g_i(t)}(x_{ik}, x_{il})|$  is much smaller than  $\sqrt{t}$  when either distance is less than  $\sqrt{t}$  (see Lemma 1.11), then we can apply nilpotent structural results in [CFG] to obtain the desired fibration structures on  $M_i$  for  $i$  large.

We first claim that the assumptions in Theorems A and B guarantee that points on the Riemannian universal cover  $\tilde{M}_i$  are uniform Reifenberg points (see Lemma 2.1), which in turn, implies that  $\tilde{M}_i$  satisfies the isoperimetric inequality condition in Perelman's pseudo-locality theorem on Ricci flows ([Pe], [CM]). The verification of the claim is via equivariant convergence ([FY]) and structures on Ricci limit spaces ([CC1,2]). Consequently, the Ricci flow solution  $\tilde{g}_i(t)$  on  $\tilde{M}_i$  exists for a definite time and its curvature tensor,  $|\text{Rm}(\tilde{g}_i(t))| \leq \frac{1}{t}$ . Because the deck transformations on  $\tilde{M}_i$  are also isometries with respect to  $\tilde{g}_i(t)$ ,  $\tilde{g}_i(t)$  descends to  $g_i(t)$  on  $M_i$  which is indeed the solution of Ricci flows on  $M_i$ .

In Theorem A, based on the local estimate on  $|d_g - d_{g(t)}|$  (Lemma 1.11, cf. [CRX]) we see that  $\text{diam}(M, d_{t^{-1}g(t)})$  is small so that we may apply [Gr] to conclude Theorem A.

In the proof of Theorem B, if  $d_{GH}((M_i, t^{-1}g_i(t)), t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N)$  is small, then we may directly apply the fibration theorem in [CFG] (cf. [Fu1]) to conclude the desired result. Unfortunately, the estimate on local distance functions is inadequate for the desired GH-closeness. Instead, we will show that in our circumstances, the construction of fibration in [Fu1] (cf. [Ro1]) can be modified according to the local closeness of distance functions (which does not require that  $d_{GH}((M_i, t^{-1}g_i(t)), t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N)$  is small), see Theorem 2.2.

In the proof of Theorem C, we show that the limit space of the Ricci flow metrics by a uniform definite time is bi-Hölder homeomorphic to  $X$ , which is based on the bi-Hölder closeness of the initial metric and its Ricci flow metric in [BW] (cf. [CRX]). Note that this also implies a weak version of Theorem B.

To extend the approach of the present work to collapsed manifolds with Ricci bounded blow and local  $(\delta, \rho)$ -bounded covering geometry, the key is to have a type of Perelman's curvature estimate. We point it out that such an estimate, if holds, would be essentially different from Perelman's pseudo-locality which relies on local geometry. Precisely, around a point whose universal cover satisfies the isoperimetric inequality, there may not be a curvature bound if there is a far away point whose local cover does not satisfy the isoperimetric inequality (see below).

**Example 0.3.** (Topping, cf. [Cho]) Let  $M_\epsilon$  be a topological sphere obtained by capping an  $\epsilon$ -thin flat cylinder,  $S^1(\epsilon) \times [-1, 1]$ , with two round  $\epsilon$ -hemispheres. With a slightly smoothing, we may assume that  $M_\epsilon$  is rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifold of nonnegative sectional curvature. For fixing  $0 < 2\epsilon < \rho \ll 1$ , points that are  $2\rho$ -away from the centers of two hemispheres will satisfy local rewinding Reifenberg points condition. However, the Ricci flow time is proportional to area of  $M_\epsilon$  which is less than  $2\epsilon$ . This may suggest that if one wants to have a type of Perelman's pseudo-locality estimate on curvature of flowed metric, one has to use information on local rewinding volume at points far away.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows:

In Section 1, we will supply notions and basic properties that will be used in the proofs of Theorems A-D.

In Section 2, we will prove Theorems A-D.

In Appendix, for convenience of readers, we will outline a proof of Theorem 1.2 via embedding method in [Ro1] with minor modifications, and we will present a proof for the bi-hölder estimate of distance functions of the original metric and the Ricci flows with pseudo-locality ([BW]).

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will supply notions and basic results that will be used through the rest of the paper.

### a. N-structures and collapsing with bounded sectional curvature.

We will briefly recall main structural results on collapsed manifolds with bounded sectional curvature ([CFG], [CG1,2], [Fu1-3], [Gr]).

Let  $U$  be an open subset of an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  and let  $P : F(U) \rightarrow U$  denote the orthogonal frame bundle on  $U$ . A pure N-structure,  $\mathcal{N}$ , on  $U$  refers to an  $O(n)$ -invariant fiber bundle,  $\tilde{f} : F(U) \rightarrow Y$ , such that an  $\tilde{f}$ -fiber is a nilmanifold,  $N/\Gamma$ , with  $N$  a simply connected nilpotent Lie group,  $\Gamma$  a co-compact lattice of  $N$ , and the structural group is a subgroup of  $N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$ . The  $O(n)$ -invariance implies that the  $O(n)$ -action on  $F(U)$  descends to an  $O(n)$ -action on  $Y$ , and  $\tilde{f}$  descends to a map,  $f : U \rightarrow Y/O(n)$ , such that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F(U), O(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & (Y, O(n)) \\ P \downarrow & & P \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y/O(n). \end{array}$$

Note that each  $f$ -fiber is an infra-nilmanifold which may not have constant dimension; when  $O(n)$ -orbits and  $\tilde{f}$ -fiber intersect more than isolated points. The minimal dimension of all  $f$ -fibers is called the rank of the pure N-structure  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $U = M$ , we say that  $M$  admits a pure N-structure. A subset of  $U$  is called invariant if  $U$  is the disjoint union of  $f$ -fibers (or  $f$ -orbits), and a metric on  $U$  is called invariant, if the induced metric on every  $f$ -fiber is left invariant.

A mixed N-structure on  $M$  is defined by a locally finite open cover,  $\{U_i\}$ , for  $M$ , together with a pure N-structure  $\mathcal{N}_i$  on  $U_i$ , which satisfies the following compatibility condition: whenever  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U_i \cap U_j$  are both invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{N}_i$  and  $\mathcal{N}_j$ , and on  $F(U_i \cap U_j)$ , every  $\tilde{f}_i$ -fiber is a union of  $\tilde{f}_j$ -fibers or vice versa.

An important case of a mixed N-structure is that all  $\tilde{f}_i$ -fibers are tori, which is called an F-structure on  $M$ . In [CG1], Cheeger-Gromov showed that if a complete manifold admits a (mixed) F-structure of positive rank, then  $M$  admits a one-parameter family of invariant metrics,  $g_\epsilon$ , which are  $\epsilon$ -collapsed metrics such that  $|\text{sec}_{g_\epsilon}| \leq 1$  and every orbit collapse to a point. Cheeger-Gromov proposed a similar construction with respect to a given mixed N-structure of positive rank, this was carried out in [CR].

We now begin to recall main structural results on collapsed manifolds with bounded sectional curvature.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([Gr], [Ru]) *There exists a constant  $\epsilon(n) > 0$  such that if a compact  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfies*

$$|\sec_M| \leq 1, \quad \text{diam}(M) < \epsilon(n),$$

*then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold.*

The converse of Theorem 1.1 holds ([Gr]). The following can be viewed as a bundle version of maximal collapsed manifolds.

**Theorem 1.2.** ([Fu1], [CFG]) *Given  $n$ , there exist constants,  $\epsilon(n), c(n) > 0$ , such that if a compact  $m$ -manifold  $N$  and an  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfy*

$$|\sec_M| \leq 1, \quad |\sec_N| \leq 1, \quad \text{injrads}(N) \geq 1, \quad d_{GH}(M, N) < \epsilon \leq \epsilon(n),$$

*then there is a fibration map,  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , such that*

(1.2.1)  *$f$  is a  $C \cdot \epsilon$ -GHA, where  $C$  is a constant.*

(1.2.2) *An  $f$ -fiber is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold.*

(1.2.3)  *$f$  is  $\epsilon$ -Riemannian submersion,  $e^{-\Psi(\epsilon|n)} \leq \frac{|df_x(\xi)|}{|\xi|} \leq e^{\Psi(\epsilon|n)}$ , where  $\xi$  is orthogonal to the  $f$ -fiber at  $x$ .*

(1.2.4) *The second fundamental form of  $f$ -fibers,  $|II_f| \leq c(n)$ .*

*Remark 1.3.* In [CFG],  $\Psi(\epsilon|n) = c(n)\sqrt{\epsilon}$ , and (1.2.1) may not be seen; due to the fact that averaging operation is performed on a  $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ -ball. In [Fu], [Ya] (cf. [Ro1]),  $f$  is constructed via embedding  $N$  and  $M$  into an Euclidean space via (averaging) distance functions of two  $d_{GH}$ -close  $\epsilon$ -nets on  $N$  and  $M$ . The embedding method works with condition,  $\sec_M \geq -1$ , where  $f$  is  $C^1$ -smooth (so (1.2.4) may not be seen). We point it out that with a minor modification of the construction of  $f$  in [Ro1], plus the (additional) condition  $\sec_M \leq 1$ , one gets that  $f$  is smooth and (1.2.4). For convenience of readers, we give a brief proof in Appendix.

For a collapsing sequence,  $M_i \xrightarrow{GH} X$ , with  $|\sec_{M_i}| \leq 1$  and  $X$  a compact metric space, the associate sequence of frame bundles equipped with canonical metrics,  $F(M_i) \xrightarrow{GH} Y$ , and  $Y$  is a manifold ([Fu2]). By extending Theorem 1.2 to an  $O(n)$ -equivariant version on  $F(M_i)$ , one gets a singular fibration on  $M_i$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** ([Fu2], [CFG]) *Let  $M_i \xrightarrow{GH} X$  be a sequence of compact  $n$ -manifolds with  $X$  a compact length space and  $|\sec_{M_i}| \leq 1$ . Then for  $i$  large, there is a singular fibration map,  $f_i : M_i \rightarrow X$ , such that*

(1.4.1) *An  $f_i$ -fiber is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold.*

(1.4.2)  *$f_i$  is an  $\epsilon_i$ -GHA,  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ .*

(1.4.3)  *$f_i$  is a  $C(n)\epsilon_i$ -submetry i.e., for all  $x_i \in M_i$  and  $r > 0$ ,*

$$B(f(x_i), e^{-C(n)\epsilon_i r}) \subseteq f_i(B(x_i, r)) \subseteq B(f_i(x_i), e^{C(n)\epsilon_i r}).$$

Note that the above construction of  $f_i$  can be made local, and thus a bound on diameter can be removed for the singular fibration structure.

**Theorem 1.5.** ([CG1,2], [CFG]) *There exists a constant  $\epsilon(n)$  such that if a complete  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfies*

$$|\sec_M| \leq 1, \quad \text{injrads}(M, x) < \epsilon(n), \quad \forall x \in M,$$

*then  $M$  admits a (mixed)  $N$ -structure of positive rank and at any  $x \in M$ , the orbit points to all collapsed directions with respect to a fixed scale.*

## b. Ricci flows and the Perelman's pseudo-locality.

Given a complete manifold  $(M, g)$ , the Ricci flow is the solution of

$$\frac{\partial g(t)}{\partial t} = -2 \operatorname{Ric}(g(t)), \quad g(0) = g.$$

If  $\sup |\sec_g| \leq 1$  (e.g.,  $M$  is compact), then there is a short time complete smooth solution ([Ha], [Sh1,2]) which, for  $t \in (0, T(n)]$ , satisfies

$$\sup_M |\nabla^m \operatorname{Rm}(g(t))|^2 \leq \frac{C_m}{t^m}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

By [CZ], smooth solutions with bounded curvature tensor is unique. Let  $T_{\max}$  denote the supremum of  $t$  for  $g(t)$  exists. If  $T_{\max} < +\infty$ , then  $\sup |\operatorname{Rm}(g(t))| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$ . If a group  $G$  acts isometrically with respect to  $g(0)$ , then  $G$  acts by isometries with respect to  $g(t)$ .

In Riemannian geometry, Ricci flow has been a powerful tool to raise the regularity of original metrics, which requires a definite flow time. A fundamental result for estimating a lower bound on  $T_{\max}$  is the following Perelman's pseudo-locality theorem on Ricci flows ([Pe]).

**Theorem 1.6.** (Perelman's pseudo-locality) *Given  $n \geq 2, \alpha > 0$ , there exist  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\delta$  satisfying the following. Let  $(M^n, g(t))$  be a complete solution of the Ricci flow with bounded curvature, where  $t \in [0, (\epsilon_0 r_0)^2]$ ,  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ ,  $r_0 \in (0, \infty)$ , and let  $x_0 \in M$  be a point such that scalar curvature*

$$\mathbf{R}_{g(0)}(x) \geq -r_0^{-2}, \quad \text{for all } x \in B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0),$$

and  $B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0)$  is  $\delta$ -almost isoperimetrically Euclidean:

$$(\operatorname{vol}_{g(0)}(\partial\Omega))^n \geq (1 - \delta)c_n (\operatorname{vol}_{g(0)}(\Omega))^{n-1}$$

for every regular domain  $\Omega \subset B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0)$ , where  $c_n$  is the Euclidean isoperimetric constant. Then

$$|\operatorname{Rm}|(x, t) \leq \frac{\alpha}{t} + \frac{1}{(\epsilon_0 r_0)^2}, \quad \operatorname{vol}_{g(t)}(B_{g(t)}(x, \sqrt{t})) \geq C(n)\sqrt{t}^n$$

for all  $x \in M$  such that  $d_{g(t)}(x, x_0) < \epsilon_0 r_0$  and  $t \in (0, (\epsilon_0 r_0)^2]$ , where  $C(n)$  is a constant depending on  $n$ .

*Remark 1.7.* Theorem 1.6 implies that the complete solution of the Ricci flow exists at least for  $t \in [0, (\epsilon_0 r_0)^2]$ , provided that the curvature conditions and the isoperimetric inequality hold everywhere.

The original statement of Theorem 1.6 in [Pe] restricts to compact  $M$ . For a complete noncompact  $M$ , see [CTY] (cf. Chapter 21 in [Cho]).

In case that  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(n-1)$ , the almost isoperimetrically Euclidean condition is equivalent to the uniformly Reifenberg condition. For our purpose, we will formulate a special case of Corollary 1.3 in [CM] as follows:



**Theorem 1.8.** ([CM]) *Given  $n \geq 2$ , there exist  $\epsilon(n), \delta(n), C(n) > 0$  such that, if  $M$  is an  $n$ -manifold with  $\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)\delta$  and  $d_{GH}(B(p, 1), \mathbb{B}^0(1)) \leq \delta \leq \delta(n)$  where  $B(p, 1) \subset M$  is relative compact, then given any  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon(n)$ , for every regular domain  $\Omega \subset B(p, \epsilon)$ , the following almost Euclidean isoperimetric inequality holds,*

$$\text{vol}(\partial\Omega)^n \geq (1 - C(n)(\epsilon + \delta))c_n \text{vol}(\Omega)^{n-1},$$

where  $c_n$  is given in Theorem 1.6.

We will apply Theorem 1.6 and 1.8 in the following situation: a compact  $n$ -manifold  $M$  satisfies that

$$\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1), \quad d_{GH}(B(x, 1), \mathbb{B}^0(1)) < \delta.$$

Scaling  $M$  by  $\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 1$ ,  $\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta(n)$  in Theorem 1.8, we obtain

$$(1.9) \quad \text{Ric}_{\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}M} \geq -(n-1)\delta, \quad d_{GH}(B_{\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}M}(x, \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \mathbb{B}^0(\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})) < \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Theorem 1.8, we conclude that any  $\Omega$  in a unit ball on  $\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}M$  satisfies

$$\text{vol}(\partial\Omega)^n \geq (1 - C(n)(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta))c_n \text{vol}(\Omega)^{n-1}.$$

Because the above inequality is scaling invariant,  $M$  also satisfies the above isoperimetric inequality condition. In short, we are able to apply Theorem 1.6 under condition (1.9).

An important consequence of the pseudo-locality is a local distance estimate (see below). Let the assumption be as in Theorem 1.6 which holds everywhere (see Remark 1.7), and for the sake of simple notation, let  $d_t := d_{g(t)}$ . Then by Hamilton's integral version of Myer's theorem (cf. Lemma 8.3 in [Pe]), for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $0 \leq t \leq (\epsilon r_0)^2$ ,

$$(1.10) \quad d_0(x, y) \leq d_t(x, y) + c(n)\sqrt{\alpha t}.$$

Conversely, as observed in [BW] (cf. see Lemma 2.10 [CRX]),  $d_t(x, y)$  is also controlled by  $d_0$  in the scale of  $\sqrt{t}$ . For our purpose, we need the following modification.

**Lemma 1.11.** *Let the assumptions be as in Theorem 1.6. For any  $x, y \in M$  with  $d_t(x, y) \leq \sqrt{t}$  or  $d_0(x, y) \leq \sqrt{t}$ , we have*

$$(1.12) \quad |d_t(x, y) - d_0(x, y)| \leq \Psi(\alpha|n)\sqrt{t},$$

where  $\Psi(\alpha|n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* By (1.10), it suffices to show  $d_0(x, y) \geq d_t(x, y) - \Psi(\alpha|n)\sqrt{t}$ . This holds if  $d_t(x, y) \leq \sqrt{t}$ , see Lemma 2.10 in [CRX] where  $d_t(x, y) < \sqrt{t}$  after normalizing  $\rho(n) = 1$  ( $\rho(n) < 1$ ), but it actually holds without a normalization (see below).

Assume that  $d_0(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}c(n)\sqrt{t}$ , and we will show that  $d_0(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}c(n)\sqrt{t}$  implies that  $d_t(x, y) \leq c(n)\sqrt{t}$ .

Arguing by contradiction, let  $\gamma$  be a segment from  $x$  to  $y$  at time 0 such that  $d_t(x, y) > c(n)\sqrt{t}$ . Then in time  $t$  we can find a point  $z \neq y$  on  $\gamma$  such that  $d_t(x, z) = c(n)\sqrt{t}$ . By (1.12) for the case  $d_t(x, y) \leq c(n)\sqrt{t}$ ,

$$d_0(x, z) \geq d_t(x, z) - \Psi(\alpha|n)\sqrt{t}.$$

So for sufficient small  $\alpha$  such that  $\Psi(\alpha|n) < \frac{1}{2}c(n)$ ,

$$d_0(x, y) > d_0(x, z) \geq \frac{1}{2}c(n)\sqrt{t},$$

a contradiction.

Finally, we point that  $|d_t(x, y) - d_0(x, y)| < \Psi(\alpha|n)\sqrt{t}$  with  $d_t(x, y) < c(n)\sqrt{t}$  or  $d_0(x, y) < c(n)\sqrt{t}$  implies that it holds with  $d_t(x, y) < \sqrt{t}$  or  $d_0(x, y) < \sqrt{t}$ ; dividing  $[0, 1]$  by  $c(n) < 1$  and on each subinterval applying that  $|d_t(x, y) - d_0(x, y)| < \Psi(\alpha|n)\sqrt{t}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.13.* Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact  $n$ -manifold, and let  $g(t)$  denote the unique short time Ricci flow solution. Then the pullback  $\tilde{g}(t)$  on  $\tilde{M}$  is also a Ricci flow solution of bounded curvature. If  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$  satisfies that  $\text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}} \geq -(n-1)$  and all points are  $(\delta(\alpha|n), \rho)$ -Reifenberg, then Theorem 1.6 applies to  $\tilde{g}(t)$ , and thus  $g(t)$  satisfies the same curvature estimate. Therefore, Ricci flow solution on  $(M, g)$  exists in  $[0, T(n, \rho, \alpha)]$ , and  $|\text{Rm}(g(t))| \leq \frac{\alpha}{t}$ ,  $t \in (0, T(n, \rho, \alpha)]$ .

Moreover, the local distance estimate (1.12) also holds on  $(M, g)$ .

### c. Ricci limit spaces.

A pointed metric space  $(X, p)$  is called a Ricci limit space, if there is a sequence of complete  $n$ -manifolds of  $\text{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$  such that  $(M_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X, p)$ . A Ricci limit space  $(X, p)$  is called  $v$ -non-collapsed, if  $\text{vol}(B(p_i, 1)) \geq v > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(n, -1)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}(n, -1, v)$ ) denote the collection of Ricci limit space (resp.  $v$ -non-collapsed Ricci limit spaces). We will briefly recall some basic results in the Cheeger-Colding theory on Ricci limit spaces that will be used in our proof of Theorem A-D.

For any  $q \in X \in \mathcal{M}(n, -1)$ , and any  $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ , by Gromov's compactness it is easy to see, passing to a subsequence,  $(r_i X, q) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (C_q X, o)$ , which is called a tangent cone of  $X$  at  $q$ . A tangent cone may depend on the choice of a subsequence. A point  $q$  is called regular, if its tangent cone is unique and is isometric to an Euclidean space. A point is called singular, if it is not regular.

**Theorem 1.14.** ([Co1], [CC1, 2]) Let  $(X, p) \in \mathcal{M}(n, -1)$ .

(1.14.1) If a tangent cone,  $C_q X$ , contains a line, then  $C_q X$  splits off an  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor.

(1.14.2) The set of regular points in  $X$  is dense.

(1.14.3) If  $X \in \mathcal{M}(n, -1, v)$ , then  $C_q X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional metric cone i.e.,  $C_q X \cong \mathbb{R}^k \times C(Z)$ , where  $C(Z)$  is a metric cone over a length space  $Z$  of  $\text{diam}(Z) < \pi$ . In particular, any regular point has a tangent cone  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.15.** ([Co2]) For each  $\epsilon, n, v$ , there exists  $\delta(\epsilon, n)$  and  $r_0(\epsilon, n)$  satisfying the follows. Given  $(X, p) \in \mathcal{M}(n, -1, v)$  and  $q \in X$ , if  $d_{GH}(B(q, r_0), \mathbb{B}^0(r_0)) \leq r_0\delta$ , then  $q$  is an  $(\epsilon, r_0)$ -Reifenberg point.

By Colding's volume convergence ([Co2]) and Theorem 1.15, for any  $x \in B(q, r_0)$  with distance  $d(q, x) < (1-\epsilon)r_0$ ,  $x$  is  $(\epsilon, s)$ -Reifenberg, where  $s = (1-\epsilon)r_0 - d(q, x)$  (cf. [CC2]).

### d. Equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.

The reference of this part is [FY] (cf. [Ro1]).

Let  $X_i \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} X$  be a convergent sequence of compact length metric spaces, i.e., there are a sequence  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  and a sequence of  $\epsilon_i$ -GHA maps,  $h_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ . Assume that  $X_i$  admits a closed group  $\Gamma_i$ -action by isometries. Then  $(X_i, \Gamma_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X, \Gamma)$  means that there are a sequence  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  and a sequence of  $(h_i, \phi_i, \psi_i)$ ,  $h_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ ,  $\phi_i : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\psi_i : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ , which are  $\epsilon_i$ -GHAs such that for all  $x_i \in X_i$ ,  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$(1.16) \quad d_X(h_i(x_i), \phi_i(\gamma_i)h_i(\gamma_i^{-1}(x_i))) < \epsilon_i, \quad d_X(h_i(x_i), \gamma^{-1}(h_i(\psi_i(\gamma)(x_i)))) < \epsilon_i,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a closed group of isometries on  $X$ ,  $\Gamma_i$  and  $\Gamma$  are equipped with the induced metrics from  $X_i$  and  $X$ . We call  $(h_i, \phi_i, \psi_i)$  an  $\epsilon_i$ -equivariant GHA.

When  $X$  is not compact, then the above notion of equivariant convergence naturally extends to a pointed version  $(h_i, \phi_i, \psi_i)$ :  $h_i : B(p_i, \epsilon_i^{-1}) \rightarrow B(p, \epsilon_i^{-1} + \epsilon_i)$ ,  $h_i(p_i) = p$ ,  $\phi_i : \Gamma_i(\epsilon_i^{-1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\epsilon_i^{-1} + \epsilon_i)$ ,  $\phi_i(e_i) = e$ ,  $\psi_i : \Gamma(\epsilon_i^{-1}) \rightarrow \Gamma_i(\epsilon_i^{-1} + \epsilon_i)$ ,  $\psi_i(e) = e_i$ , and (1.16) holds whenever the multiplications stay in the domain of  $h_i$ , where  $\Gamma_i(R) = \{\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i, d_{X_i}(p_i, \gamma_i(p_i)) \leq R\}$ .

**Lemma 1.17.** *Let  $(X_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X, p)$ , where  $X_i$  is a complete and locally compact length space. Assume that  $\Gamma_i$  is a closed group of isometries on  $X_i$ . Then there is a closed group  $G$  of isometries on  $X$  such that passing to a subsequence,  $(X_i, p_i, \Gamma_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X, p, G)$ .*

**Lemma 1.18.** *Let  $(X_i, p_i, \Gamma_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X, p, G)$ , where  $X_i$  is a complete, locally compact length space and  $\Gamma_i$  is a closed subgroup of isometries. Then  $(X_i/\Gamma_i, \bar{p}_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (X/G, \bar{p})$ .*

For  $p_i \in X_i$ , let  $\Gamma_i = \pi_1(X_i, p_i)$  be the fundamental group. Assume that the universal covering space,  $\pi_i : (\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{p}_i) \rightarrow (X_i, p_i)$ , exists. By Lemmas 1.17 and 1.18, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \Gamma_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{p}, G) \\ \downarrow \pi_i & & \downarrow \pi \\ (X_i, p_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & (X, p) = (\tilde{X}/G, p). \end{array}$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREMS A-D

### a. Proof of Theorem A and B.

*Proof of Theorem A.*

By the Gromov's compactness, it suffices to show that given a sequence of compact  $n$ -manifolds  $M_i$  satisfying

$$M_i \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} \{p\}, \quad \text{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1), \quad \text{vol}(B(\tilde{p}_i, 1)) \geq v > 0,$$

then for  $i$  large,  $M_i$  is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold. We will show that  $M_i$  admits a metric satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1.

Consider the equivariant convergence (see (1.19)),

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \Gamma_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{p}, G) \\ \pi_i \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ (M_i, p_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & \{p\} = \tilde{X}/G. \end{array}$$

Since  $\text{vol}(B(\tilde{p}_i, 1)) \geq v > 0$ ,  $\dim(\tilde{X}) = n$ . Because the limit group  $G$  acts transitively on  $\tilde{X}$  which contains a regular point (see (1.14.2)), all points in  $\tilde{X}$  are regular. By Theorem 1.15, it is easy to see that given  $\epsilon > 0$ , up to a rescaling by constant, all points on  $\tilde{M}_i$  are  $(\epsilon, 1)$ -Reifenberg points, for  $i$  large. To apply Theorem 1.6, we specify  $\alpha < 1$  such that  $\Psi(\alpha|n) < \frac{\epsilon(n)}{2}$ , where  $\epsilon(n)$  is given by Theorem 1.1 and  $\Psi(\alpha|n)$  is given in Lemma 1.11. By Theorem 1.6, a complete solution  $\tilde{g}_i(t)$  of Ricci flow on  $\tilde{M}_i$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{g}_i(t)}{\partial t} = -2 \text{Ric}(\tilde{g}_i(t)), \quad \tilde{g}_i(0) = \tilde{g}_i,$$

exists on  $[0, T(n, \alpha)]$ , where the initial metric  $\tilde{g}_i$  is the pullback metric on  $\tilde{M}_i$  from  $M_i$ . Because  $\Gamma_i$  acts on  $\tilde{M}_i$  by isometries with respect to  $\tilde{g}_i(t)$ , we use  $g_i(t)$  to denote the quotient metric of  $\tilde{g}_i(t)$  on  $M_i$ , which is indeed the Ricci flow solution on  $(M_i, g_i)$ . By Lemma 1.11, we derive that for any fixed  $t_0 \in (0, T(n, \alpha)]$  and  $i$  large,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{GH}((M_i, t_0^{-1}g_i(t_0)), \{p\}) \\ & \leq d_{GH}((M_i, t_0^{-1}g_i(t_0)), (M_i, t_0^{-1}g_i)) + d_{GH}((M_i, t_0^{-1}g_i), \{p\}) \\ & \leq \Psi(\alpha|n) + \epsilon_i t_0^{-1/2} < \epsilon(n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon_i = d_{GH}((M_i, g_i), \{p\})$  i.e.,  $t_0^{-1}g_i(t_0)$  is a metric on  $M_i$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

Our approach to Theorem B is also by smoothing technique via Ricci flows. As seen in the proof of Theorem A, the first step is to check that all points on the equivariant limit space are regular.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M_i \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} N$  be a sequence of compact  $n$ -manifolds satisfying Theorem B. Consider the following commutative diagram,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \Gamma_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & (Y, \tilde{p}, \Gamma) \\ \pi_i \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ (M_i, p_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & (N, p) = Y/\Gamma, \end{array}$$

*Then any point in  $Y$  is regular.*

*Proof.* Let us assume a sequence of  $s_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $(s_i N, p) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$  and  $(s_i Y, \tilde{p}, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (C_{\tilde{p}}(Y), \tilde{p}_*, G)$ , by Theorem 1.14, we get the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (s_i Y, \tilde{p}, \Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & (\mathbb{R}^k \times C(Z), (0, y_\infty), G) \\ \pi_i \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ (s_i N, p) & \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} & (\mathbb{R}^m, 0), \end{array}$$

where  $(C(Z), y_\infty)$  is a metric cone and contains no line. It suffices to show that  $C(Z)$  is a point, which is equivalent to say that for any point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times C(Z)$ ,  $y$  must coincide with  $y_\infty$ .

Argue by contradiction, let us assume that there is another point  $y (\neq y_\infty) \in C(Z)$ . Then  $C(Z)$  contains at least one ray but contains no line. So  $G$  preserves the subset  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \{y_\infty\}$ , and thus  $\pi(x, y) \neq \pi(x', y_\infty)$  for any  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . In particular,  $\pi(x, y) \neq \pi(0, y_\infty)$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a line in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  connecting  $\pi(0, y_\infty)$  and  $\pi(x, y)$ , and let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be the lifted line in  $\mathbb{R}^k \times C(Z)$  passing through  $(0, y_\infty)$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$ . Because  $C(Z)$  contains no line,  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$  must have the form  $(\omega(t), y_\infty)$ , which implies that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a line in  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \{y_\infty\}$ . Then for any  $t$ ,  $\gamma(t) = \pi(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) = \pi(\omega(t), y_\infty) \neq \pi(x, y)$ . On the other hand, by the construction of  $\gamma$ , there is some  $t_0$  such that  $\gamma(t_0) = \pi(x, y)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $(M_i, g_i) \xrightarrow{GH} (N, g_N)$  be as in Theorem B. By Lemma 2.1 and the discussion following Theorem 1.8, for  $i$  large we may apply Theorem 1.6 to conclude that for any  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , the Ricci flow on  $(M_i, g_i)$ ,  $g_i(t)$ , exists for  $t \in (0, T(n, \alpha)]$  such that  $|\text{Rm}(t^{-1}g_i(t))| \leq \alpha$  and for  $x_i, y_i \in M_i$  with  $d_{t^{-1}g_i(t)}(x_i, y_i) \leq 1$ ,

$$|d_{t^{-1}g_i(t)}(x_i, y_i) - d_{t^{-1}g_i}(x_i, y_i)| \leq \Psi(\alpha|n).$$

Observe that if  $d_{GH}((M_i, T^{-1}g_i(T)), (N, T^{-1}g_N)) < \epsilon(N)$  with  $T = T(n, \alpha)$ , then Theorem B follows from Theorem 1.2.

Because the above global GH-closeness may not be true, we will need the following generalization of Theorem 1.2, which says that Theorem 1.2 holds, if there is an  $(1, \epsilon)$ -GHA (here ‘1’ is a normalization) i.e., a map,  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ , which is  $\epsilon_i$ -onto and restricting to every unit ball,  $\phi$  is an  $\epsilon$ -isometry, and  $\text{diam}(\phi^{-1}(x)) < \epsilon$ . Note that an  $\epsilon$ -GHA is an  $(1, \epsilon)$ -GHA, but the converse may not hold.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Given  $n$ , there exist constants  $\epsilon(n), c(n) > 0$ , such that if  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is an  $(1, \epsilon)$ -GHA from a compact  $n$ -manifold  $M$  to a compact  $m$ -manifold  $N$  satisfying*

$$|\text{sec}_M| \leq 1, \quad |\text{sec}_N| \leq 1, \quad \text{injrads}(N) \geq 1, \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon(n),$$

*then there is a fibration map,  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , such that*

*(2.2.1)  $f$  is an  $(\frac{1}{2}, C\epsilon)$ -GHA, where  $C$  is a constant.*

*(2.2.2) An  $f$ -fiber is diffeomorphic to an infra-nilmanifold.*

*(2.2.3)  $f$  is an  $\epsilon$ -Riemannian submersion,  $e^{-\Psi(\epsilon|n)} \leq \frac{|df_x(\xi)|}{|\xi|} \leq e^{\Psi(\epsilon|n)}$ , where  $\xi$  is orthogonal to the  $f$ -fiber at  $x$ .*

*(2.2.4) The second fundamental form of  $f$ -fibers,  $|II_f| \leq c(n)$ .*

Note that the construction of nilpotent fibration in [CFG] is local and is completely determined by local geometry of a  $C^1$ -close metric of higher regularity. Clearly, the argument in [CFG] goes through with  $(1, \epsilon(n))$ -GHA; if one only assumes that  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is  $\epsilon$ -GHA on any unit ball and  $\epsilon$ -onto, then the argument in [CFG] works through without any modification, except that a fiber may not be connected; so the connectedness of fibers is guaranteed by that  $\text{diam}(\phi^{-1}(x)) < \epsilon$ .

Because Theorem 2.2 generalizes Theorem 1.2 (see Remark 1.3), we will also briefly explain how Theorem 2.2 follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [Ro1] (see Appendix), with an obvious modification in choosing a finite  $\epsilon$ -dense subset

$\{x_i\} \subset M$  (originally based on  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \epsilon$ ): Given an  $\epsilon$ -net,  $\{y_i\}$  of  $N$ , a consequence of an  $(1, \epsilon)$ -GHA  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  implies that  $\phi^{-1}(y_i)$  consists of ‘ $\epsilon$ -connected’ components, each component has diameter  $< \epsilon$  and the distance of any two components  $\gg \epsilon$ . We now choose, given each  $y_i$ ,  $x_{ij} \in M$  such that  $x_{ij}$  is a representative for each component. Because the embedding construction depends only on local information, the construction in [Ro1] (cf. Appendix) goes through, with obvious modifications corresponding to the additional condition,  $\sec_M \leq 1$ .

*Proof of Theorem B.*

Let  $(M_i, g_i) \xrightarrow{GH} (N, g_N)$  be as in Theorem B. By Lemma 2.1 and the discussion following Theorem 1.8, for  $i$  large we may apply Theorem 1.6 to conclude that for any  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , the Ricci flows on  $(M_i, g_i)$ ,  $g_i(t)$ , exists for  $t \in (0, T]$  ( $T = T(n, \alpha)$ ) such that  $|\text{Rm}(t^{-1}g_i(t))| \leq \alpha$ . By Lemma 1.11, for  $x_i, y_i \in M_i$  with  $d_{t^{-1}g_i(t)}(x_i, y_i) \leq 1$ ,

$$|d_{t^{-1}g_i(t)}(x_i, y_i) - d_{t^{-1}g_i}(x_i, y_i)| \leq \Psi(\alpha|n).$$

Because  $(M_i, T^{-1}g_i) \xrightarrow{GH} (N, T^{-1}g_N)$ , let  $\phi_i : (M_i, T^{-1}g_i) \rightarrow (N, T^{-1}g_N)$  be an  $\epsilon'_i$ -GHA,  $\epsilon'_i \rightarrow 0$ . From the above, it is clear that  $\phi_i : (M_i, T^{-1}g_i(T)) \rightarrow (N, T^{-1}g_N)$  is an  $(1, \epsilon'_i + \Psi(\alpha|n))$ -GHA. By now we can apply Theorem 2.2 to get a nilpotent fibration, and the property that  $f_i$  is an  $(1 - \Psi(\epsilon_i|n))$ -Hölder map can be seen from (1.2.3) and Lemma 2.4 (see below).

Finally, by choosing  $\alpha_i \rightarrow 0$  and a standard diagonal argument, we obtained the desired (B1) and (B2) with respect to  $g_i$ .  $\square$

## b. Proof of Theorem C.

Let  $M_i$  be as in Theorem C, and we will specify  $\delta(n)$  later. By Theorem 1.6, given any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there is  $\delta(\alpha) > 0$ , such that if every (small)  $\rho$ -ball in all  $\tilde{M}_i$  satisfies the isoperimetric condition, then Ricci flow solution  $g_i(t)$  on  $M_i$  exists for  $t \in (0, T(n, \rho, \alpha)]$  such that  $g_i(0) = g_i$ , and  $|\text{Rm}(g_i(t))| \leq \frac{\alpha}{t}$ . By Theorem 1.8, when all points in  $\tilde{M}_i$  are  $(\delta(\alpha), \rho)$ -Reifenberg points with  $\delta(\alpha)$  suitably small, then the isoperimetric condition in Theorem 1.6 is satisfied.

Fixing  $t \in (0, T(n, \rho, \alpha)]$ , passing to a subsequence we have

$$M_i(t) := (M_i, g_i(t)) \xrightarrow{GH} X_t.$$

Because  $|\text{Rm}(g_i(t))| \leq \frac{\alpha}{t} < \frac{1}{t}$ , we can apply Theorem 1.4 to get a singular fiber bundle,  $f_i : M_i(t) \rightarrow X_t$ , for large  $i$ . We claim that when  $\alpha$  is chosen small depending  $n$ ,  $X_t$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  by a  $(1 - \Psi(\delta|n))$ -bi-Hölder map  $\phi_t : X_t \rightarrow X$  (see Lemma 2.3 below). Then  $\phi_t \circ f_i : M_i \rightarrow X$  is the desired singular fibration in Theorem C.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M_i(t) \xrightarrow{GH} X_t$  be as in the above. Then we can choose  $\alpha$  small depending on  $n$  such that there is a bi-Hölder homeomorphism,  $\phi_t : X_t \rightarrow X$ , satisfying that for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) \leq 1$ ,*

$$c(n)^{-1}d_t(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y))^{1+\Psi} \leq d(x, y) \leq c(n)d_t(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y))^{1-\Psi},$$

where  $d_t$  is the distance on  $X_t$ , and  $\Psi = \Psi(\alpha|n)$ .

Lemma 2.3 is a consequence of the following property on  $M$ ; which is a minor modification of one in ([BW]):

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(M, g)$  and  $(M, g(t))$  be as in Theorem 1.6. Then we can choose  $\alpha$  small depending on  $n$  such that for any  $x, y \in M$  with  $d_0(x, y) \leq 1$ , we have*

$$(2.5) \quad c(n)^{-1}d_t(x, y)^{1+\Psi} \leq d_0(x, y) \leq c(n)d_t(x, y)^{1-\Psi},$$

where  $\Psi = \Psi(\alpha|n)$ .

For the convenience of readers, we will give a proof in the Appendix following [BW].

*Proof of Lemma 2.3.*

Fixing  $t \in (0, T(n, \rho, \alpha)]$ , by Lemma 2.4, the identity maps  $\text{id}_i : (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{d}_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{d}_{i,t})$  are bi-Hölder continuous with uniform coefficients for all  $i$ . Since  $(M_i, d_i) = (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{d}_i)/\Gamma_i$  and  $(M_i, d_{i,t}) = (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{d}_{i,t})/\Gamma_i$ , it is easy to see that the identity maps  $\text{id}_i : (M_i, d_i) \rightarrow (M_i, d_{i,t})$  are also bi-Hölder with uniform coefficients for all  $i$ . In particular,  $\text{id}_i : (M_i, d_i) \rightarrow (M_i, d_{i,t})$  are equi-continuous and uniformly bounded. Now by a standard diagonal procedure and by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{id}_i = \psi_t : X \rightarrow X_t$ , which is Hölder continuous. Similarly, we have  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{id}_i^{-1} = \phi_t : X_t \rightarrow X$ . Clearly,  $\phi_t \circ \psi_t = \text{id}_X$  and  $\psi_t \circ \phi_t = \text{id}_{X_t}$ . Therefore,  $\phi_t$  is a bi-Hölder bijection, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## c. Proof of Theorem D.

Let  $M$  be a compact  $n$ -manifold in Theorem D. As seen in the proof of Theorem C, there is a complete Ricci flow solution  $g_i(t)$  on  $M$ ,  $t \in (0, T]$  and  $T = T(n, \rho)$ , satisfying

$$|\text{Rm}(g(t))| \leq \frac{1}{t}.$$

In particular,  $T^{-1}g(T)$  has bounded sectional curvature by one. By Lemma 1.11,  $B_{g(T)}(x, \sqrt{T}) \subseteq B_{g(0)}(x, 1)$ . Recall a basic property of Ricci flow:

$$\text{vol}_{g(t)}(B_{g(0)}(x, 1)) \leq e^{\Psi(t|n)} \text{vol}_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(x, 1)),$$

because scalar curvature,  $R(g(t)) \geq -n(n-1)$ , cf. [Ha]. Thus we may assume  $\epsilon(n, \rho)$  is small so that  $\text{vol}_{T^{-1}g(T)}(B_{T^{-1}g(T)}(x, 1))$  small, and therefore  $\text{injr}_{T^{-1}g(T)}(x) < \epsilon(n)$ , a constant in Theorem 1.5; by Cheeger's injectivity radius estimate ([Ch]). By now we can apply Theorem 1.5 to conclude Theorem D.  $\square$

## APPENDIX

*Proof of Theorem 1.2 via embedding method* (cf. [Ro1]).

Let's first recall the embedding method: take any  $\frac{1}{4}$ -net in  $N$ ,  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^s$ , and a smooth monotone cut off function,  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $h(-\infty, \frac{1}{5}) \equiv 1$  and  $h(\frac{9}{10}, \infty) \equiv 0$ . Then  $\Phi(y) = (h(d_N(y_1, y)), \dots, h(d_N(y_s, y))) : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$  is an embedding. Because  $\Phi(N)$  is a compact submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , there is a  $\eta(n) > 0$  such that  $\exp : D_\eta^\perp(\Phi(N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$  is an embedding. If  $d_{GH}(M, N) < \epsilon(n)$ , then for each  $y_i$ , take  $x_i \in M$  such that  $d_H(x_i, y_i) < \epsilon$ , where  $d_H$  is an admissible metric on the disjoint union of  $N \amalg M$ ,  $d_H(M, N) < \epsilon$ . Then  $\Psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $\Psi(x) = (h(d(x_i, x))) \in \exp(D_\eta^\perp(\Phi(N)))$ , and thus  $f = \Phi^{-1} \circ P \circ \Psi : M \rightarrow N$  will be desired map. In

practice, we will replace  $d(x_i, \cdot)$  by a  $C^1$ -function  $\phi_i$ , the average of  $d(x_i, \cdot)$  over an  $\epsilon$ -metric ball, and thus  $f$  is  $C^1$ -smooth.

We point it out that by assuming  $|\sec_M| \leq 1$ , one can replace  $\phi_i$  by a smooth approximation  $\psi_i$ . For fixing  $x \in B(x_i, \frac{1}{4})$ , we define a function,  $f : [d(x_i, x) - 1, d(x, x_i) + 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , by

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(B(0, \epsilon))} \int_{B(0, \epsilon)} |d(x_i, \exp_x u) - t|^2 \text{dvol}_u,$$

where  $B(0, \epsilon) \subset B(0, \frac{1}{2}) (\subset T_x M)$ , equipped with the pullback metric. Because the injectivity radius at 0 is at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $f$  is smooth, which is clearly strictly convex. Assume that  $f$  achieves a unique minimum at  $c_x$ . We define  $\psi_i(x) = c_x$ , which is the desired smooth approximation for  $d(x_i, \cdot)$ .

Finally, observe that if the metric on  $M$  has bounded second derivative, then it is easy to see that  $f$  satisfies (1.2.4). Observe that if  $g$  has bounded second derivative, then (1.2.4) holds; while the existence of a  $C^1$ -close metric of high regularity can be obtained using Ricci flows (cf. [Sh1, 2]).  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.4.* (cf. [BW])

Given a path  $c$ , let  $f(t) = \text{length}_{g(t)}(c)$ , where  $g(t)$  is the Ricci flow solution,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2 \text{Ric}(g(t))$ . Using that for  $0 < s \leq w \leq t$ ,  $|\text{Rm}(g(w))| \leq \frac{\alpha}{w}$ , by variation of  $f(t)$  one derives

$$(2.4.1) \quad \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{-(n-1)\alpha} \leq \frac{d_t(x, y)}{d_s(x, y)} \leq \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{(n-1)\alpha}.$$

Combining that  $d_0(x, y) \leq d_s(x, y) + \Psi\sqrt{s}$  (see (1.10)) with the left hand inequality in (2.4.1), we obtain

$$(2.4.2) \quad d_0(x, y) \leq \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{(n-1)\alpha} d_t(x, y) + \Psi\sqrt{s}.$$

Choosing  $s$  by the equation,  $\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{(n-1)\alpha} d_t(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{s}{t}}$ , from (2.4.2) we derive

$$d_0(x, y) \leq \left(1 + \Psi\sqrt{t}\right) d_t(x, y)^{\frac{1}{1+2(n-1)\alpha}},$$

i.e., the right hand side of (2.5).

To see the left hand side of (2.5), we first claim that for  $d_0(x, y) \leq \sqrt{T(n, \rho, \alpha)} < 1$  and  $t_0 = d_0(x, y)^2$ ,  $d_{t_0}(x, y) \leq c_0(n)d_0(x, y)$  and  $c_0(n)$  is a constant depending on  $n$ .

Assuming the claim, given any two points  $x, y \in M$  with  $d_0 := d_0(x, y) \leq 1$ , and let  $l = [d_0/\sqrt{t}] + 1$ . We divide a  $g(0)$ -minimal geodesic from  $x$  to  $y$  into  $l$  pieces by  $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_l = y$  such that  $d_0(x_i, x_{i+1}) = l^{-1}d_0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &= \sum_i d_0(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &\geq c_0^{-1} \sum_i d_{l^{-2}d_0^2}(x_i, x_{i+1}) \quad (\text{applying the claim to } x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &\geq c_0^{-1} d_{l^{-2}d_0^2}(x, y) \quad (\text{a triangle inequality}) \\ &\geq c_0^{-1} d_t(x, y) \left(\frac{l^{-2}d_0^2}{t}\right)^{(n-1)\alpha} \quad (\text{by (2.4.1) and } t \geq l^{-2}d_0^2) \\ &\geq c_1 d_0^{2(n-1)\alpha} d_t(x, y). \quad (\text{by } l^2 t \leq 4) \end{aligned}$$



For  $2(n-1)\alpha < 1$ , we conclude that  $d_0 \geq c_2(n)d_t(x, y)^{\frac{1}{1-2(n-1)\alpha}}$ .

We now verify the claim. Putting  $d_{t_0}(x, y) = Ld_0$ , without loss of generality we may assume that  $L \gg 1$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a  $g(0)$ -minimal geodesic from  $x$  to  $y$ , and let us choose a partition points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  on  $\gamma$ , where  $k = \lfloor \frac{L}{2} \rfloor$ , such that  $d_{t_0}(x_i, x_j) > 2d_0, i \neq j$ . We shall bound on  $k$  and thus on  $L$  with a desired constant.

For any  $p \in B_{g(t_0)}(x_i, d_0)$ ,

$$d_0(x_i, p) \leq d_{t_0}(x_i, p) + \Psi(\alpha|n)\sqrt{t_0} \leq d_0 + \Psi(\alpha|n)\sqrt{t_0} = d_0(1 + \Psi(\alpha|n)),$$

we derive

$$B_{g(t_0)}(x_i, d_0) \subset B_{g(0)}(x_i, 2d_0) \subset B_{g(0)}(x, 3d_0),$$

as  $\alpha$  is sufficient small. Together with  $B_{g(t_0)}(x_i, d_0) \cap B_{g(t_0)}(x_j, d_0) = \emptyset, i \neq j$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} c(n)d_0^n &\geq \text{vol}_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(x, 3d_0)) && \text{(by volume comparison)} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}_{g(0)}(B_{g(t_0)}(x_i, d_0)) \\ &\geq e^{-n(n-1)t_0} \sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}_{g(t_0)}(B_{g(t_0)}(x_i, d_0)) && \text{(by variation of volume)} \\ &\geq e^{-n(n-1)t_0} k \cdot C(n)d_0^n, && \text{(by Theorem 1.6)} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses  $\text{vol}_{g(t)}(B_{g(t)}(x, \sqrt{t})) \geq C(n)\sqrt{t}^n$  in Theorem 1.6. By now we see that  $k = \lfloor L/2 \rfloor \leq c_3(n)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [An] M. T. Anderson, *Hausdorff perturbations of Ricci-Flat manifolds and the splitting theorem*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), 67-82.
- [BW] R. Bamler; B. Wilking, *The Ricci flow on manifolds with almost non-negative curvature operators*, Unpublished notes (2016).
- [CR] Q. Cai; X. Rong, *Collapsing Construction with Nilpotent Structures*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **18** (2009), no. 5, 1503-1524.
- [CM] F. Cavalletti; A. Mondino, *Almost Euclidean isoperimetric inequalities in spaces satisfying local Ricci curvature lower bounds*, arxiv1703.02119v1. (2017).
- [CTY] A. Chau, L-F. Tam; C. Yu, *Pseudolocality for the Ricci flow and applications*, Canad. J. Math. **63** (2011), no. 1, 55-85.
- [Ch] J. Cheeger, *Finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math **92** (1970), 61-75.
- [CC1] J. Cheeger; T. Colding, *Lower Bounds on Ricci Curvature and the Almost Rigidity of Warped Products*, Ann. of Math. **144** (Jul., 1996), no. 1, 189-237.
- [CC2] J. Cheeger; T. Colding, *On the structure of space with Ricci curvature bounded below I*, J. Diff. Geom **46** (1997), 406-480.
- [CFG] J. Cheeger, K. Fukaya; M. Gromov, *Nilpotent structures and invariant metrics on collapsed manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), 327-372.

- [CG1] J. Cheeger; D. Gromov, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded I*, J. Differential Geom. **23** (1986), 309-346.
- [CG2] J. Cheeger; D. Gromov, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded II*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), 269-298.
- [CZ] B. Chen; X. Zhu, *Uniqueness of the Ricci flow on complete noncompact manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **74** (2006), 119-154.
- [CRX] L. Chen, X. Rong; S. Xu, *Quantitative volume space form rigidity under lower Ricci curvature bound*, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.
- [Cho] B. Chow, S-C. Chu, D. Glickenstein, C. Guenther, J. Isenberg, T. Ivey, D. Knopf, P. Lu, F. Luo; L. Ni, *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications: Part III: Geometric-Analytic aspects*, American Math. Society, Providence, RI (2010).
- [Co1] T.H. Colding, *Large manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 193-214.
- [Co2] T.H. Colding, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. of Math **145** (1997), no. 3, 477-501.
- [DWY] X. Dai, G. Wei; R. Ye, *Smoothing Riemannian metrics with Ricci curvature bounds*, Manuscripta Math **90** (1996), 49-61.
- [Fu1] K. Fukaya, *Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds to ones of lower dimensions*, J. Diff. Geom. **25** (1987), 139-156.
- [Fu2] K. Fukaya, *A boundary of the set of Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvature and diameter*, J. Diff. Geom. **28** (1988), 1-21.
- [Fu3] K. Fukaya, *Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds to ones of lower dimensions II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **41** (1989), 333-356.
- [FY] K. Fukaya; T. Yamaguchi, *The fundamental groups of almost non-negatively curved manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **136** (1992), 253-333.
- [Gr] M. Gromov, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **13** (1978), 231-241.
- [GW] M. Gross; P.M.H. Wilson, *Large complex structure limits of K3 surfaces*, J. Diff. Geom **55** (2000), 475-546.
- [Ha] R. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom **17** (1982), 233-306.
- [HSVZ] H. Hein, S. Song, J. Viaclovsky; R. Zhang, *Nilpotent structures and collapsing Ricci-flat manifolds on K3 surfaces*, arXiv 2341633 [math.DG].
- [Li] Y. Li, *On collapsed Calabi-Yau fibrations*, arXiv: 1706.10250v1 [math.DG] (June, 2017).
- [NZ] A. Naber; R. Zhang, *Topology and  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorems on collapsed manifolds with Ricci curvature bounds*, Geom. & Top. **20** (2016), 2575-2664.
- [Pe] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv: math/0211159v1 (2008).
- [PWY] P. Petersen, G. Wei; R. Ye, *Controlled geometry via smoothing*, Comment. Math. Helv **74** (1999), 345-363.
- [Ro1] X. Rong, *Convergence and collapsing theorems in Riemannian geometry*, Handbook of Geometric Analysis, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing-Boston (2010), no. II ALM 13, 193-298.
- [Ro2] X. Rong, *Construction of Nilpotent Structures on Collapsed Manifolds with local re-winding  $C^{0,\alpha}$ -Harmonic Radius Bounded Below*, To appear.
- [Ru] E. Ruh, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 1-14.
- [Sh1] W. Shi, *Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **30** (1989), 223-301.
- [Sh2] W. Shi, *Ricci deformation of the metric on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **30** (1989), 303-394.
- [Ya] T. Yamaguchi, *Collapsing and pinching under a lower curvature bound*, Ann. of Math. **133** (1991), 317-357.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCE, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100048, P.R.C.  
*E-mail address:* [hyyqsaax@163.com](mailto:hyyqsaax@163.com)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHU,  
 JL 130024, P.R.CHINA

*E-mail address:* KongLL111@nenu.edu.cn

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08903 USA

*E-mail address:* rong@math.rutgers.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, P.R.C.

*E-mail address:* shichxu@foxmail.com