## Linked and knotted synthetic magnetic fields

Callum W. Duncan,<sup>1</sup> Calum Ross,<sup>2</sup> Niclas Westerberg,<sup>1</sup> Manuel Valiente,<sup>1</sup>

Bernd J. Schroers,<sup>2</sup> and Patrik Öhberg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SUPA, Institute of Photonics and Quantum Sciences,

Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics,

Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, United Kingdom

We show that the realisation of synthetic magnetic fields via light-matter coupling in the  $\Lambda$ -scheme implements a natural geometrical construction of magnetic fields, namely as the pullback of the area element of the sphere to Euclidean space via certain maps. For suitable maps, this construction generates linked and knotted magnetic fields, and the synthetic realisation amounts to the identification of the map with the ratio of two Rabi frequencies which represent the coupling of the internal energy levels of an ultracold atom. We consider examples of maps which can be physically realised in terms of Rabi frequencies and which lead to linked and knotted synthetic magnetic fields acting on the neutral atomic gas. We also show that the ground state of the Bose-Einstein condensate may inherit topological properties of the synthetic gauge field, with linked and knotted vortex lines appearing in some cases.

Introduction. Lord Kelvin's conjecture 150 years ago that atoms are made of knotted vortex structures [1] anticipated today's intensive study, both theoretical and experimental, of topological structures in nature. Nontrivial topological structures have been studied in, for example, classical fluids [2–6], plasma physics [7–9], nuclear physics [10, 11], condensed matter physics [12], DNA [13, 14], soft matter [15] and light [16–19]. There has also been interest in the physics of topological magnetic field lines, with research focusing on their construction [20] and stability [4, 6, 21]. Understanding the behaviour of matter in non-trivial topological magnetic fields is also important in the study of plasma physics and the determination of stable confining magnetic field configurations in thermonuclear reactors [22, 23].

Ultracold atoms allow the realisation of synthetic gauge fields in such a way that neutral atoms mimic the dynamics of charged particles in a magnetic field [24– 29]. One method of creating a synthetic gauge field is to exploit atom-light couplings by driving internal transitions of the atoms to realize static Abelian gauge fields which are tunable via the applied laser [30-32]. There has been significant interest in creating knotted structures in quantum gases [33-37], with the first knots in quantum matter having been realised in spinor BECs [38, 39]. The imprinting of linked and knotted vortex structures has also been proposed using driving schemes of the internal energy levels [40, 41]. In addition there have been proposals for fault-tolerant [42] topologically protected quantum computations [43, 44] using vortices in superconductors [45, 46] and spin interactions in optical lattices [47, 48]. The investigation of new topological states of matter, where magnetic fields are often a key component, is therefore an important avenue for the development of new protocols for stable fault-tolerant quantum computation.

In this paper, we point out and exploit a remarkably

direct link between the realisation of synthetic magnetic fields in ultracold atoms and a mathematical construction of knotted and linked magnetic fields, due to Rañada [49, 50], out of a map from Euclidean 3-space to the 2-sphere. In a nutshell, we show that this map can be realised as the ratio of two complex Rabi frequencies describing the atom-light coupling in a three-level atomic  $\Lambda$ -scheme.

The mathematically most natural choice of the Rañada map for a given link or knot is challenging to implement directly in an experiment, but our results suggest that one can implement an approximation to this map which, crucially, preserves the topology of the knot or link. We propose a general method for constructing this approximation, and illustrate it with three examples of maps, called  $f_H$ ,  $f_L$  and  $f_T$ , whose associated magnetic field lines are, respectively, Hopf circles, linked rings and the trefoil knot.

Finally, we find that some of the topological structure of the synthetic gauge field lines is inherited by the ground state of the dark-state wavefunction in the  $\Lambda$ scheme. The details of this depend on the potential and magnetic field which appear in the effective Hamiltonian. For instance, if the scalar potential is peaked along a knot or link, the wavefunction reflects this through a vortex structure along that knot or link. This happens for the trefoil knot and linked rings, but not for the Hopf circles, where the scalar potential is spherically symmetric. A similar interplay between linked magnetic field lines and vortex lines in a spinorial wavefunction was recently studied in Ref. [51].

Rañada's knotted light. The method proposed by Rañada in the 80s [49, 50] provides a systematic way of constructing linked or knotted electric and magnetic fields. Here, we only require the construction of magnetic fields, which is most easily stated in differential-geometric terms. A fundamental role is played by the area element  $\Omega$  of the 2-sphere, parametrised via stereographic projection in terms of a complex coordinate  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . In terms of this coordinate, the area element is the two-form

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d\mathcal{Z}^* \wedge d\mathcal{Z}}{\left(1 + |\mathcal{Z}|^2\right)^2},\tag{1}$$

which is closed, i.e.  $d\Omega = 0$ , and normalised to unit area. Given a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^2$ , Rañada's magnetic field is the pull-back  $f^*\Omega$  of  $\Omega$  with f. This pull-back is a 2form on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and automatically closed, i.e.  $d(f^*\Omega) = 0$ . It therefore defines a possible magnetic field in Euclidean space.

Expressed in terms of vector fields rather than differential forms, the magnetic field associated to  $f^*\Omega$  is

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\nabla f^* \times \nabla f}{\left(1 + |f|^2\right)^2}.$$
(2)

This has vanishing divergence, reflecting the closure of  $f^*\Omega$ , and therefore satisfies the static Maxwell equations, generally with a non-trivial current. Moreover, one checks that field lines are determined by the (complex) condition f = const. In this way one can therefore construct topologically interesting magnetic fields by drawing on the extensive mathematical literature studying links and knots as level curves of complex functions.

In practice, the maps  $\mathbb{R}^3 \to S^2$  considered by Rañada and in this paper go via  $S^3$ , i.e. they are compositions

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^3 \to S^3 \to S^2, \tag{3}$$

where the first step is the inverse stereographic projection in three dimensions, and the last step is projection of the Hopf fibration. The details of the map are encoded in the intermediate step  $S^3 \to S^3$ . Using complex coordinates  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|u|^2 + |v|^2 = l^2$  to parametrise the 3-sphere of radius l, the inverse stereographic projection maps  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  to

$$u = \frac{2l^2 \left(x + iy\right)}{l^2 + r^2}, \ v = \frac{2l^2 z + il \left(r^2 - l^2\right)}{l^2 + r^2}, \quad (4)$$

with  $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ . The choice of *l* sets the units of length. The map (3) then takes the form

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{g(u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z))}{h(u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z))},$$
(5)

where g and h are complex functions of u, v which must not vanish simultaneously.

In this paper we focus on three examples, namely the standard Hopf map  $f_H = u/v$  (defining Hopf circles), the quadratic Hopf map  $f_L = u^2/(u^2 - v^2)$  (defining linked rings) and the map  $f_T = u^3/(u^3 + v^2)$  which defines the trefoil knot. The first two define links whose topology is independent of the chosen (complex) level: the level curves of  $f_H$  are circles or the z-axis (an "infinite circle"), and any two circles link once. The level curves of  $f_L$  are

FIG. 1. Illustration of the  $\Lambda$ -scheme, with internal atomic energy levels  $|e\rangle$ ,  $|g_1\rangle$  and  $|g_2\rangle$  coupled by the lasers  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$ .

linked rings or the z-axis; different level curves link each other four times. The third map defines a trefoil knot for level  $\infty$  or sufficiently large.

The  $\Lambda$ -scheme. Synthetic gauge potentials for ultracold atoms can be realised in many ways [28, 29]. We consider an ensemble of atoms with three internal energy levels such that two ground states  $|g_1\rangle$  and  $|g_2\rangle$  are coupled by two laser beams to a third excited state  $|e\rangle$ . This configuration of energy levels is called a  $\Lambda$ -scheme, and is illustrated in Fig. 1. The strength of the atom-light coupling is characterised through space-dependent, complex Rabi frequencies  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ . We assume the lasers are resonant with the transitions, resulting in the atom-light coupling Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm int} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \kappa_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa_2 \\ \kappa_1^* & \kappa_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6)

A general state of the light-matter coupled system can then be written as  $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=D,+,-} \psi_i(x)|i\rangle$  where  $|D\rangle, |+\rangle, |-\rangle$  depend parametrically on space and are the three eigenstates of the Hamiltonian  $H_{\rm int}$ . The eigenstate for eigenvalue zero is the dark state

$$|D\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\kappa_1|^2 + |\kappa_2|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_2^* \\ -\kappa_1^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7)

It has no contribution from the excited state and is therefore also robust against detrimental spontaneous decay.

If we include the kinetic term and a confining potential V in the full Hamiltonian  $H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + H_{\text{int}} + V$  and, using the adiabatic approximation, project the corresponding Schrödinger equation  $i\hbar\partial_t |\Psi\rangle = H|\Psi\rangle$  onto the dark state while neglecting the coupling to the other dressed states, then  $\psi_D$  is governed by the equation of motion

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_D = \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathcal{A}\right)^2}{2m} + \Phi + V\right]\psi_D.$$
 (8)

The vector potential  $\mathcal{A}$ , the corresponding magnetic field  $\mathcal{B}$  and geometric potential  $\Phi$  are fully determined by the Rabi coefficients  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ , with the magnetic field and scalar potential conveniently expressed in terms of the ratio  $\zeta =$ 



FIG. 2. Exact and approximated magnetic field lines, realised as level curves of the complex field f and its Laguerre-Gauss approximation  $\zeta$ . We show level surfaces of |f| and  $|\zeta|$ , and, on each level surface, we show magnetic field lines in light blue. a) Exact Hopf circles  $(f_H)$ . b) Realised Hopf circles  $(\zeta_H)$ . c) Exact linked rings  $(f_L)$ . d) Realised linked rings  $(\zeta_L)$ . e) Exact trefoil knot  $(f_T)$ . f) Realised trefoil knot  $(\zeta_T)$ . The unit of length for the exact magnetic fields is l and for the realised fields it is the laser wavelength  $\lambda$  with  $\alpha = 100$ .

 $\kappa_1/\kappa_2$ . Explicitly we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{i\hbar(\kappa_1 \nabla \kappa_1^* + \kappa_2 \nabla \kappa_2^* - \kappa_1^* \nabla \kappa_1 - \kappa_2^* \nabla \kappa_2)}{2(|\kappa_1|^2 + |\kappa_2|^2)}, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{B} = i\hbar \frac{\nabla \zeta \times \nabla \zeta^*}{\left(1 + |\zeta|^2\right)^2},\tag{10}$$

$$\Phi = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla \zeta^* \cdot \nabla \zeta}{\left(1 + |\zeta|^2\right)^2}.$$
(11)

Note that expressing  $\mathcal{A}$  in terms of  $\zeta$  would lead to a singular gauge, while the expression in Eq. (9) is smooth.

Equivalence of magnetic fields. If we identify  $\zeta \equiv f$ then the magnetic fields of Rañada, Eq. (2), and the  $\Lambda$ -scheme, Eq. (10), are equivalent (in fact, equal with  $\hbar = 1/2\pi$ ). Therefore, to realize the topological magnetic field of a particular f we are required to drive the atomic transitions by the Rabi frequencies  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  such that their ratio,  $\kappa_1/\kappa_2$ , forms the mapping f. The Rabi frequencies  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  can be chosen independently, giving a considerable amount of flexibility and allowing us, in principle, to realise any link or knot which is the level curve of a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to S^2$ . In practice, we need to make sure that  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  obey Maxwell's equations or its paraxial approximation, and this requires approximations of f.

General realisation of topological fields. Our approach is inspired by Refs. [52–54], where linked and knotted optical vortex lines were realised in laser beams as a superposition of Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) modes. Our method of constructing the topological synthetic magnetic fields consists of the following steps:

1. Starting from a map f of the form (5), restrict it to the z = 0 plane, where z is the direction of propagation for the lasers, and note that the result is a ratio of polynomials p and q in x and y.

- 2. Expand the polynomials p and q in terms of LG modes restricted to the z = 0 plane and without the common Gaussian factor.
- 3. Replace p and q in f by the expansions in the LG modes, including their z-dependence (and note that the common Gaussian factor cancels).
- 4. Check numerically if the level curves of the resulting function  $\zeta$  have the same topology as the level curves of f.
- 5. If they do, realise the level curves as synthetic magnetic field lines via  $\zeta = \kappa_1/\kappa_2$ , where  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are the LG modes approximating g and h.

All three examples considered in this work pass the check in step 4, but we are not aware of a mathematical proof that this should be true more generally.

Form of the topological magnetic fields. Expanding in terms of LG modes we find the following approximations for the Hopf map  $f_H$ , the quadratic Hopf map  $f_L$  and the map  $f_T$  for the trefoil knot:

$$\zeta_H = \frac{2\alpha \mathcal{L}_{0-1}}{i\left[\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - 1\right)\mathcal{L}_{00} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\mathcal{L}_{10}\right]},\tag{12}$$

$$\zeta_L = \frac{(2\alpha)^2 \mathcal{L}_{0-2}}{(2\alpha)^2 \mathcal{L}_{0-2} + c_0 \mathcal{L}_{00} + c_1 \mathcal{L}_{10} + c_2 \mathcal{L}_{20}},\tag{13}$$

$$\zeta_T = \frac{(2\alpha) \mathcal{L}_{0-3}}{(2\alpha)^3 \mathcal{L}_{0-3} + c'_0 \mathcal{L}_{00} + c'_1 \mathcal{L}_{10} + c'_2 \mathcal{L}_{20} + c'_3 \mathcal{L}_{30}},\tag{14}$$



FIG. 3. Vortex structure of the ground states of the Hamiltonian in (8) with a vector potential constructed from a)  $f_L$ and b)  $f_T$ . Shown are level sets of the probability density  $(|\psi|^2 = \text{const.})$  for a small constant, which visualises the vortex core structure. The vortices form linked rings in a) and a trefoil knot in b), thus replicating the form of the magnetic field lines in both cases.

where we have defined  $\alpha = \omega_0/l$  with  $\omega_0$  the beam waist of the laser. Definitions of the coefficients  $c_i$  and  $c'_i$ , which are polynomials in  $\alpha$ , can be found in the *Supplemental Material*.

A comparison of the exact and realised magnetic fields for all three cases considered is shown in Fig. 2. For all realised fields we have chosen a beam width of  $\alpha = 100$ for the LG modes and work in units of wavelength of the laser  $\lambda$ . The realised fields are found to be stretched out in the z-direction compared to the exact fields. For all three examples considered the topological nature of the realised magnetic field lines is clear, as the level set of each have similar forms to that of the exact fields.

Beam shaping realisation. The atomic transitions accessed in a  $\Lambda$ -scheme are typically in the optical regime and thus the diffraction limit of  $0.2 \ \mu m - 0.4 \ \mu m$  sets the ultimate length scale limit. Furthermore, the resolution of current beam shaping technology imposes limits on the spatial resolution of the resulting gauge field and on the field strength. The atomic cloud size ( $\sim 100 \ \mu m$  [55]) is typically smaller than the usual beam waists considered (e.g.  $\sim 1mm$  [56]). Nonetheless, we do not foresee that the gauge field configurations discussed here will fall outside what is currently experimentally achievable, as it is not unusual to focus optical beams down to beam waists of  $50 - 200 \ \mu m$  in other settings [57, 58].

Ground state properties of the quantum gas. We envisage a non-interacting gas of atoms forming a threedimensional Bose-Einstein condensate which is trapped by a harmonic external potential  $V = m\omega^2 r^2/2$ , where  $\omega$  is the trap frequency. We are interested in the properties of the ground state of such a condensate which is interacting with a linked or knotted magnetic field and a geometric potential via Eq. (8). We solve for the ground state  $\psi = \psi_D$  using imaginary time propagation [59–61] on a 201<sup>3</sup> numerical grid and for the three exact gauge fields defined via the maps  $f_{\rm H}$ ,  $f_{\rm L}$  and  $f_{\rm T}$ . We choose our unit of length to be  $s_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$  and take l = 1.



FIG. 4. Ground state of the Hamiltonian in (8) with a vector potential constructed from  $f_H$ . The probability density is shown in the a) xy-plane (z = 0), b) xz-plane (y = 0) and c) yz-plane (x = 0). The ground state is real valued and forms a shell structure which is close to spherical but slightly elongated in the z-direction. Note that the geometric potential  $\Phi$ is spherically symmetric in this case, but the magnetic field is not

We observe the presence of vortex structures in the ground states for the linked rings and the trefoil knot, which are shown in Fig. 3 by the level sets of  $|\psi|^2$  and in the supplemental movies [62]. However, there is no vortex structure in the ground state for the Hopf circles, which has a shell structure, shown in Fig. 4, for this choice of parameters. The vortex structures in the other ground states are determined by the maxima of the scalar potential  $\Phi$ , whose level sets for near-maximal values are very similar to the level sets for small probability density shown in Fig. 3. We are not aware of a simple mathematical reason for the relation between the level sets of  $\Phi$  and the magnetic field lines which we observe for the linked rings and the trefoil knot.

Conclusions. We have shown that certain magnetic fields which are the pullback of the normal area element of the 2-sphere to Euclidean 3-space can be realised as a synthetic magnetic field in the resonant  $\Lambda$ -scheme. Based on this observation, we propose a five-step method of realising general synthetic topological magnetic fields using a superposition of LG laser beams in this scheme. We have derived the required LG superpositions for three examples - the Hopf circles, the linked rings and the trefoil knot – and shown their topological nature. In some cases, the topological form of these magnetic fields can also be transferred to the ground states of the ultracold gas in the form of linked and knotted vortex cores. The general method presented in this work is not limited to the three examples considered, and we expect many more links and knots defined by a map f to be realisable.

The method we have proposed may provide a new tool for creating non-trivial topologically protected quantum states. The 3D-nature of such states in combination with versatile magnetic fields may for instance provide novel braiding mechanisms and physical implementations of the motion group [63, 64] for use in fault-tolerant topologically protected quantum computing.

The authors thank Calum Maitland for helpful discussions. C.W.D. and N.W. acknowledges support from EPSRC CM-CDT Grant No. EP/L015110/1. C.R. acknowledge an EPSRC-funded PhD studentship. P.O. and M.V. acknowledge support from EPSRC EP/M024636/1.

- [1] W. Thomson, Philosophical Mag. 34, 15 (1867).
- [2] H. K. Moffatt, J. Fluid Mech. 35, 117 (1969).
- [3] H. Moffatt and A. Tsinober, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 24, 281 (1992).
- [4] D. Kleckner and W. T. Irvine, Nat. Phys. 9, 253 (2013).
- [5] A. Enciso and D. Peralta-Salas, Proc. IUTAM 7, 13 (2013).
- [6] M. W. Scheeler, D. Kleckner, D. Proment, G. L. Kindlmann, and W. T. M. Irvine, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 15350 (2014).
- [7] S. Chandrasekhar and L. Woltjer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 44, 285 (1958).
- [8] M. A. Berger, Plasma Phys. Contr. F. 41, B167 (1999).
- [9] A. Thompson, J. Swearngin, A. Wickes, and D. Bouwmeester, Phys. Rev. E 89, 043104 (2014).
- [10] R. A. Battye and P. M. Sutcliffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4798 (1998).
- [11] P. Sutcliffe, in P. Roy. Soc. Lon. A: Math., Vol. 463 (The Royal Society, 2007) pp. 3001–3020.
- [12] P. Sutcliffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 247203 (2017).
- [13] W. R. Taylor, Nature **406**, 916 (2000).
- [14] A. Suma and C. Micheletti, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA , 201701321 (2017).
- [15] U. Tkalec, M. Ravnik, S. Čopar, S. Žumer, and I. Muševič, Science 333, 62 (2011).
- [16] W. T. Irvine and D. Bouwmeester, Nat. Phys. 4, 716 (2008).
- [17] W. T. Irvine, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43, 385203 (2010).
- [18] H. Kedia, I. Bialynicki-Birula, D. Peralta-Salas, and W. T. M. Irvine, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 150404 (2013).
- [19] H. Kedia, D. Peralta-Salas, and W. T. M. Irvine, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 51, 025204 (2018).
- [20] H. Kedia, D. Foster, M. R. Dennis, and W. T. Irvine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 274501 (2016).
- [21] D. Kleckner, L. H. Kauffman, and W. T. Irvine, Nat. Phys. **12**, 650 (2016).
- [22] H. K. Moffatt, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 3663 (2014).
- [23] H. K. Moffatt, J. Plasma Phys. 81 (2015), 10.1017/S0022377815001269.
- [24] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, A. R. Perry, W. D. Phillips, J. V. Porto, and I. B. Spielman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 130401 (2009).
- [25] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, K. Jimenez-Garcia, J. V. Porto, and I. B. Spielman, Nature 462, 628 (2009).
- [26] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, K. Jimenez-Garcia, W. D. Phillips, J. V. Porto, and I. B. Spielman, Nat. Phys. 7, 531 (2011).
- [27] S.-L. Zhu, H. Fu, C.-J. Wu, S.-C. Zhang, and L.-M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 240401 (2006).
- [28] J. Dalibard, F. Gerbier, G. Juzeliūnas, and P. Öhberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1523 (2011).
- [29] N. Goldman, G. Juzeliūnas, P. Öhberg, and I. B. Spielman, Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 126401 (2014).
- [30] G. Juzeliūnas and P. Öhberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 033602 (2004).
- [31] G. Juzeliūnas, P. Öhberg, J. Ruseckas, and A. Klein,

Phys. Rev. A 71, 053614 (2005).

- [32] G. Juzeliūnas, J. Ruseckas, P. Ohberg, and M. Fleischhauer, Phys. Rev. A 73, 025602 (2006).
- [33] J. Liang, X. Liu, and Y. Duan, Europhys. Lett. 86, 10008 (2009).
- [34] Y.-K. Liu and S.-J. Yang, Phys. Rev. A 87, 063632 (2013).
- [35] Y.-K. Liu, S. Feng, and S.-J. Yang, Europhys. Lett. 106, 50005 (2014).
- [36] D. Proment, M. Onorato, and C. F. Barenghi, J. Phy.: Conf. Ser. 544, 012022 (2014).
- [37] Y. M. Bidasyuk, A. V. Chumachenko, O. O. Prikhodko, S. I. Vilchinskii, M. Weyrauch, and A. I. Yakimenko, Phys. Rev. A 92, 053603 (2015).
- [38] Y. Kawaguchi, M. Nitta, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 180403 (2008).
- [39] D. S. Hall, M. W. Ray, K. Tiurev, E. Ruokokoski, A. H. Gheorghe, and M. Möttönen, Nat. Phys. **12**, 478 (2016).
- [40] J. Ruostekoski and Z. Dutton, Phys. Rev. A 72, 063626 (2005).
- [41] F. Maucher, S. A. Gardiner, and I. G. Hughes, New J. Phys. 18, 063016 (2016).
- [42] P. W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A **52**, R2493 (1995).
- [43] A. Kitaev, Annals of Physics **303**, 2 (2003).
- [44] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1083 (2008).
- [45] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000).
- [46] S. Das Sarma, C. Nayak, and S. Tewari, Phys. Rev. B 73, 220502 (2006).
- [47] D. Jaksch, H.-J. Briegel, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1975 (1999).
- [48] L.-M. Duan, E. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 090402 (2003).
- [49] A. F. Rañada, Lett. Math. Phys. 18, 97 (1989).
- [50] A. F. Ranada, J. Phy. A: Math. Gen. 23, L815 (1990).
- [51] C. Ross and B. J. Schroers, Lett. Math. Phys. 108, 949 (2018).
- [52] M. R. Dennis, R. P. King, B. Jack, K. OHolleran, and M. J. Padgett, Nat. Phys. 6, 118 (2010).
- [53] J. Romero, J. Leach, B. Jack, M. R. Dennis, S. Franke-Arnold, S. M. Barnett, and M. J. Padgett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 100407 (2011).
- [54] M. J. Padgett, K. O'Holleran, R. P. King, and M. R. Dennis, Contemp. Phys. 52, 265 (2011).
- [55] A. Jaouadi, N. Gaaloul, B. Viaris de Lesegno, M. Telmini, L. Pruvost, and E. Charron, Phys. Rev. A 82, 023613 (2010).
- [56] J. Leach, M. R. Dennis, J. Courtial, and M. J. Padgett, New J. Phys. 7, 55 (2005).
- [57] T. Roger, C. Maitland, K. Wilson, N. Westerberg, D. Vocke, E. M. Wright, and D. Faccio, Nat. Comm. 7 (2016), 10.1038/ncomms13492.
- [58] L. Caspani, R. P. M. Kaipurath, M. Clerici, M. Ferrera, T. Roger, J. Kim, N. Kinsey, M. Pietrzyk, A. Di Falco, V. M. Shalaev, A. Boltasseva, and D. Faccio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 233901 (2016).
- [59] M. L. Chiofalo, S. Succi, and M. P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. E 62, 7438 (2000).
- [60] A. K. Roy, N. Gupta, and B. M. Deb, Phys. Rev. A 65, 012109 (2001).
- [61] P. Bader, S. Blanes, and F. Casas, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 124117 (2013).
- [62] See the ancillary files for movies of the three example

ground state wavefunctions. [63] D. L. Goldsmith, Michigan Math. J. 28, 3 (1981). [64] C. Aneziris, A. Balachandran, L. Kauffman, and A. Srivastava, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 06, 2519 (1991).

## Supplemental Materials: Linked and knotted synthetic magnetic fields

## LAGUERRE-GAUSSIAN EXPANSION TECHNIQUE

We provide the details for the expansions of the three example maps

$$f_H = \frac{u}{v}, \quad f_L = \frac{u^2}{u^2 - v^2}, \quad f_T = \frac{u^3}{u^3 + v^2},$$
 (S1)

considered in the main text in terms of the complete set of Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) beams, following the five-step method also proposed in the main text. The LG modes are

$$\mathcal{L}_{pn}\left(\rho,\phi,z\right) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{1+\frac{z^{2}}{z^{2}_{R}}}} \left(\frac{\rho\sqrt{2}}{w\left(z\right)}\right)^{|n|} L_{p}^{|n|}\left(\frac{2\rho^{2}}{w^{2}\left(z\right)}\right) e^{-\frac{\rho^{2}}{w^{2}\left(z\right)}} e^{-\frac{ik\rho^{2}z}{2\left(z^{2}+z^{2}_{R}\right)}} e^{-in\phi} e^{i(2p+|n|+1)\arctan\frac{z}{z_{R}}},\tag{S2}$$

with  $(\rho, \phi, z)$  being the cylindrical coordinates, *n* the azimuthal index giving the angular momentum, *p* the radial index and *C* a normalisation constant. We use the usual optical definitions of the beam waist  $w(z) = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 + (z/z_R)^2}$  and Rayleigh range  $z_R = \pi \omega_0^2 / \lambda$ . For z = 0, the LG modes can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{pn}(\rho,\phi,0) = \frac{\tilde{C}}{w_0} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{w_0^2}} L_p^n\left(\frac{2\rho^2}{w_0^2}\right) \left(\frac{x-iy}{w_0}\right)^n.$$
 (S3)

The functions  $f_H$ ,  $f_L$  and  $f_T$  are ratios of polynomials g and h in the complex coordinates u and v, which, in turn, are functions of the Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) as given in the main text. Restricting  $f_H$ ,  $f_L$  and  $f_T$  to z = 0, we obtain ratios of polynomials p and q in the variables x and y. Expanding in LG modes without the overall Gaussian factor  $\exp(-\rho^2/w_0^2)$  we obtain an expansions with coefficients which are polynomials in the parameter  $\alpha \equiv \omega_0/l$ .

In this way, we arrive at the following *exact* identities:

$$\begin{split} f_{H}|_{z=0} &= \left. \frac{2\alpha\mathcal{L}_{0-1}}{i\left[ \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} - 1\right)\mathcal{L}_{00} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}\mathcal{L}_{10} \right]} \right|_{z=0}, \\ f_{L}|_{z=0} &= \left. \frac{(2\alpha)^{2}\mathcal{L}_{0-2}}{(2\alpha)^{2}\mathcal{L}_{0-2} - \left( -\frac{\alpha^{4}}{2} + \alpha^{2} - 1 \right)\mathcal{L}_{00} - \left(\alpha^{4} - \alpha^{2}\right)\mathcal{L}_{10} + \frac{\alpha^{4}}{2}\mathcal{L}_{20}} \right|_{z=0}, \\ f_{T}|_{z=0} &= \left. \frac{(2\alpha)^{3}\mathcal{L}_{0-3}}{(2\alpha)^{3}\mathcal{L}_{0-3} + \frac{1}{4}\left[ \left( -4 + 2\alpha^{2} + 2\alpha^{4} - 3\alpha^{6} \right)\mathcal{L}_{00} + \alpha^{2} \left( -2 - 4\alpha^{2} + 9\alpha^{4} \right)\mathcal{L}_{10} + \left( 2\alpha^{4} - 9\alpha^{6} \right)\mathcal{L}_{20} + 3\alpha^{6}\mathcal{L}_{30} \right]} \right|_{z=0}. \end{split}$$

Dropping the restriction on the expressions on the right-hand-side to z = 0 defines the approximations  $\zeta_H, \zeta_L$  and  $\zeta_T$  to the functions  $f_H, f_L$  and  $f_T$  which we used in the main text. The coefficients  $c_i$  and  $c'_i$  used there are defined by the above expansions.

As discussed in the main text, the  $\Lambda$ -configuration synthetic magnetic fields are obtained using two laser beams with Rabi frequencies  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  given by the numerator and denominator of  $\zeta_H, \zeta_L$  and  $\zeta_T$ . In all cases,  $\zeta = \kappa_1/\kappa_2$ provides a physically realisable approximation to the given function f and yields synthetic magnetic field lines whose topology agrees with that of the level curves of the complex function f.