

General Relativistic Aberration Equation and Measurable Angle of Light Ray in Kerr Spacetime

Hideyoshi ARAKIDA*

*College of Engineering, Nihon University,
Koriyama, Fukushima 963-8642 JAPAN*

(Dated: June 25, 2019)

Abstract

In this paper, we will mainly focus on the measurable angle (local angle) of the light ray ψ at the position of the observer instead of the total deflection angle (global angle) α in Kerr spacetime. We will investigate not only the effect of the gravito-magnetic field or frame dragging characterized by the spin parameter a but also the contribution of the motion of the observer with a coordinate radial velocity v^r and a coordinate transverse velocity bv^ϕ (b is the impact parameter) or a coordinate angular velocity v^ϕ which are converted from the components of the 4-velocity of the observer u^r and u^ϕ , respectively. In order to take into account the influence of the motion of the observer on the measurable angle ψ , we will employ the general relativistic aberration equation because the motion of the observer changes the direction of the light ray approaching the observer. The general relativistic aberration equation makes it possible to calculate the measurable angle ψ more easily and straightforwardly even if the effect of the velocity is included. The local measurable angle ψ obtained in this paper can be applied not only to the case of the observer located in an asymptotically flat region but also to the case of the observer placed within the curved and finite-distance region in spacetime. Moreover, when the observer is in radial motion, the total deflection angle α_{radial} can be expressed by $\alpha_{\text{radial}} = (1 + v^r)\alpha_{\text{static}}$ which is consistent with the overall scaling factor $1 - v$ instead of $1 - 2v$ where v is the velocity of the lens object. On the other hand, when the observer is in transverse motion, the total deflection angle is given by the form $\alpha_{\text{transverse}} = (1 + bv^\phi/2)\alpha_{\text{static}}$ if we define the transverse velocity as having the form bv^ϕ .

PACS numbers: 95.30.Sf, 98.62.Sb, 98.80.Es, 04.20.-q, 04.20.Cv

* E-mail:arakida.hideyoshi@nihon-u.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the establishment of the general theory of relativity by Einstein in 1915-1916, this theory of gravitation has been subjected to various experimental verifications and the results of these experiments have proved the validity of the general theory of relativity as the fundamental law of gravitation. Among the many experiments, the measurement of the bending of a light ray is a historical classical test of general relativity that was carried out immediately after the development of general relativity [1]. In fact, Einstein himself considered the influence of the gravitational field on the path of a light ray and recognized the importance of its measurement from an early stage of constructing the general theory of relativity [2, 3].

Even today, the observation of the light deflection remains important from the standpoint of not only the verification of gravitational theories [4] but also of application to the gravitational lensing which is based on the light deflection and is currently used as a powerful tool in the field of astrophysics and cosmology, see, e.g., [5, 6] and the references therein.

So far, the actual measurement of the bending of a light ray has been modeled and carried out under the assumption of a spherically symmetric spacetime, namely Schwarzschild spacetime. However, in general, the celestial objects in the Universe, such as stars, neutron stars, black holes and so on, are rotating, and this property can be described by the stationary, axially symmetric solution of the Einstein equation called the Kerr metric [7] and the rotation of a massive central object induces a gravito-magnetic field or frame dragging which is sometimes called the Lense–Thirring effect [8, 9]. Moreover measuring the spin parameter a of a celestial object as well as its mass m leads to testing the no hair (uniqueness) theorem, e.g., [10–12].

The light deflection, or more correctly the total deflection angle α , in Kerr spacetime has been investigated by many authors in various ways, and it is found that the correction to the total deflection angle α_{Sch} in the Schwarzschild case due to the rotation of a celestial object becomes $\alpha_{\text{Kerr}} = -4am/b^2$ for the limit of the observer and the source of the light ray placed in an asymptotically flat region (here b is the impact parameter); see, e.g., [13–17]. Furthermore, several authors have discussed the light deflection under more general conditions and extended the Kerr-type model; see, e.g., [18–27] and the references therein.

Besides from the effect of the spin parameter a , several authors previously considered

the contribution of the motion of the lens (central) object to the total deflection angle in Schwarzschild spacetime. In particular when the lens object is moving radially with velocity v , the total deflection angle is characterized by the overall scaling factor $1 - v$ with respect to the total deflection angle in the case of a static lens object; see e.g., [28–30]. However, some authors claimed that the overall scaling factor is $1 - 2v$; see e.g., [31, 32]. It is worthwhile re-examining and settling this discrepancy from another viewpoint.

Looking at the solar system, some space missions have been planned to test the gravitational theories such as LATOR [33] and ASTROD/ASTROD I [34]. In particular, the measurement accuracy in an angle of the LATOR mission was expected to reach the order of $0.01 \text{ picorad} = 10^{-14} \text{ rad}$; see Fig. 3 in [33]. This measurement accuracy was enough to detect the total deflection angle of a light ray in the gravitational field of the Sun not only due to the first order in m , 1.75 arcsec but also due to the second order in m , $3.5 \mu\text{as}$ (micro arcsec), the frame dragging, $\pm 0.7 \mu\text{as}$ and the solar quadrupole moment, J_2 , $0.2 \mu\text{as}$; see Table 1 in [33]. These values will be detectable with high accuracy by space missions in the near future. Currently we can detect the contribution of the velocity of the lens object (or observer) to the bending of a light ray; in fact the effect of the motion of Jupiter on the deflection angle of light from the quasar J0842+1835, $51 \mu\text{as}$, has been observed by VLBA [35].

In this paper, we will investigate the bending of a light ray in Kerr spacetime and concentrate our discussion mainly on the measurable angle (local angle) ψ at the position of observer P , which can be described as angle of intersection between the tangent vector k^μ of the light ray Γ_k that we investigate and the tangent vector w^μ of the radial null geodesic Γ_w connecting the center O and the position of observer P . Our aim is to show not only the contribution of the spin parameter a of the central object but also the effect of the motion of the observer and the contribution of the coordinate radial velocity $v^r = dr/dt$ and the coordinate transverse velocity $bv^\phi = bd\phi/dt$ (where $v^\phi = d\phi/dt$ means the coordinate angular velocity), which are related to the components of the 4-velocity of the observer u^r and u^ϕ , respectively. Due to the motion of the observer, the direction of the light ray approaching the observer changes, and this effect is known as an “aberration.” In order to consider the effect of an aberration, we adopt the general relativistic aberration formula that appears in [36–38]. In the previous papers, e.g., [28–32], the velocity effect is incorporated as the motion of the lens object. However considering the motion of the observer instead of the

motion of the lens object in a relative sense and employing the general relativistic aberration equation enable us to compute the contribution of the velocity effect to the bending angle of a light ray more easily and straightforwardly because the equations of the null geodesic of Γ_k and Γ_w are independent of the velocity effect. The effect of the motion of the observer is taken into account via the 4-velocity of the observer u^μ in the given spacetime; see Eq. (21) below. As will be shown, the measurable angle ψ calculated in this paper is not limited to the case of the observer located in an asymptotically flat region of spacetime; it can also be applied to the case of the observer placed within the curved and finite-distance region. It should be mentioned that in Appendix A, we describe the reason why we will not investigate deeply the argument involving the total deflection angle (global angle) α ; instead we will mainly focus on the measurable angle (local angle) ψ .

This paper is organized as follows: in section II, the trajectory of light ray in Kerr spacetime is derived from the first-order differential equation of the null geodesic. In section III, the general relativistic aberration equation is introduced, and in section IV the measurable angle ψ is calculated for the case of the static observer, the observer in radial motion and the observer in transverse motion. Finally, section V is devoted to presenting conclusions.

II. LIGHT TRAJECTORY IN KERR SPACETIME

Kerr spacetime in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) [40] can be rearranged as

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \\
 &= - \left(1 - \frac{2mr}{\Sigma} \right) dt^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 - \frac{4mar \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} dt d\phi \\
 &\quad + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2ma^2 r \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \right) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \\
 \Sigma &= r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad \Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2mr,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the Greek indices such as μ, ν run from 0 to 3, m is the mass of the central object, $a \equiv J/m$ is a spin parameter (J is the angular momentum of the central object and from Eq. (2), the spin parameter a has the dimension of length), and we use the geometrical unit $c = G = 1$.

For the sake of brevity, we take the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2, d\theta = 0$) as an orbital plane

of the light ray, then the line element becomes

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{a^2}{r^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - \frac{4ma}{r} dt d\phi + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2ma^2}{r}\right) d\phi^2. \quad (3)$$

To simplify the description, we rewrite Eq (3) in symbolic form:

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + 2C(r)dt d\phi + D(r)d\phi^2; \quad (4)$$

then in the case of Kerr spacetime, $A(r)$, $B(r)$, $C(r)$, and $D(r)$ are

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}, \quad (5)$$

$$B(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{a^2}{r^2}\right)^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

$$C(r) = -\frac{2ma}{r}, \quad (7)$$

$$D(r) = r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2ma^2}{r}. \quad (8)$$

Two constants of motion of the light ray, the energy E and the angular momentum L , are given by

$$E = A(r)\frac{dt}{d\lambda} - C(r)\frac{d\phi}{d\lambda}, \quad (9)$$

$$L = C(r)\frac{dt}{d\lambda} + D(r)\frac{d\phi}{d\lambda}, \quad (10)$$

in which λ is an affine parameter. Solving for $dt/d\lambda$ and $d\phi/d\lambda$, we have two relations:

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \frac{ED(r) + LC(r)}{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} = \frac{LA(r) - EC(r)}{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}. \quad (12)$$

From the null condition $ds^2 = 0$ and Eqs. (4), (11), and (12), the geodesic equation of a light ray is expressed as

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{B(r)[bA(r) - C(r)]^2}[-b^2A(r) + 2bC(r) + D(r)], \quad (13)$$

where we introduced another constant, the impact parameter b as

$$b \equiv \frac{L}{E}. \quad (14)$$

Inserting Eqs. (5), (6), (7), and (8) into Eq. (13), it becomes

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \left[\frac{r^2 - 2mr + a^2}{br - 2m(b-a)}\right]^2 \left[r^2 + a^2 - b^2 + \frac{2m}{r}(b-a)^2\right]. \quad (15)$$

When $a = 0$, Eq. (15) reduces to the geodesic equation of a light ray in Schwarzschild spacetime:

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 = r^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{b^2} - 1 + \frac{2m}{r}\right). \quad (16)$$

Although Eq. (15) is the exact expression of the null geodesic in Kerr spacetime, it is too complicated to yield the trajectory of a light ray. Thus, expanding Eq. (15) up to the order $\mathcal{O}(m^2, a^2, am)$ [?], we have,

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{r^4}{b^2} - r^2 + a^2 \left(\frac{3r^2}{b^2} - 2\right) + 2mr \left(1 - \frac{2r^2a}{b^3}\right) + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2). \quad (17)$$

Changing the variable r by $u = 1/r$, Eq. (17) is rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{b^2} - u^2 - 2a^2u^4 + \frac{3a^2u^2}{b^2} + 2mu^3 - \frac{4mau}{b^3} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2). \quad (18)$$

According to the standard perturbation scheme, let the solution $u = u(\phi)$ be

$$u = \frac{\sin \phi}{b} + \delta u_1 + \delta u_2, \quad (19)$$

where $u_0 = \sin \phi/b$ is the zero-th order solution, and δu_1 and δu_2 are the first order $\mathcal{O}(m, a)$ and second order $\mathcal{O}(m^2, a^2, ma)$ corrections to u_0 , respectively. Substituting Eq. (19) into Eq. (18) and collecting the same order terms, the equation describing the light trajectory is given by up to the order $\mathcal{O}(m^2, a^2, ma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} &= \frac{\sin \phi}{b} + \frac{m}{2b^2}(3 + \cos 2\phi) \\ &+ \frac{1}{16b^3} \{m^2 [37 \sin \phi + 30(\pi - 2\phi) \cos \phi - 3 \sin 3\phi] + 8a^2 \sin^3 \phi - 32am\} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where the integration constant of Eq. (20) is chosen so as to maximize u (or minimize r) at $\phi = \pi/2$. Note that Eq. (20) coincides with the equation of the light trajectory in Schwarzschild spacetime when $a = 0$; see, e.g., Eq. (7) in [42].

III. GENERAL RELATIVISTIC ABERRATION EQUATION

The general relativistic aberration equation is given by, e.g., [36–38]:

$$\cos \psi = \frac{g_{\mu\nu} k^\mu w^\nu}{(g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu k^\nu)(g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu w^\nu)} + 1, \quad (21)$$

in which k^μ is the 4-momentum (the tangent vector) of the light ray Γ_k which we now investigate, w^μ is the 4-momentum of the radial null geodesic Γ_w connecting the center O and the position of observer P , $u^\mu = dx^\mu/d\tau$ is the 4-velocity of the observer (τ is the proper time of the observer), and ψ is the angle between the two vectors k^μ and w^μ at the position of observer P . The reader is advised to refer to section V. B in [37] which describes the derivation of Eq. (21) in detail. Because Eq. (21) includes the 4-velocity of the observer u^μ , it enables us to calculate the influence of the motion of the observer on the measurable angle ψ . See FIG. 1 for the schematic diagram of the light trajectory.




FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of light trajectory. Γ_k (bold line) is the trajectory of the light ray which we now investigate, Γ_w (dotted line) is the radial null geodesic connecting the center O and the position of observer P and the measurable angle ψ is the angle of intersection between Γ_k and Γ_w at P . Two bold vectors u^r and u^ϕ at P indicate the directions of the r (radial) and ϕ (transverse) components of the 4-velocity u^μ . The direction of the time component u^t is perpendicular to this schematic plane.

Eq. (21) can be alternatively expressed as the tangent formula:

$$\tan^2 \psi = -\frac{g_{\mu\nu} k^\mu w^\nu [g_{\mu\nu} k^\mu w^\nu + 2(g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu k^\nu)(g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu w^\nu)]}{[g_{\mu\nu} k^\mu w^\mu + (g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu k^\nu)(g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu w^\nu)]^2}, \quad (22)$$

and we confirmed that Eqs. (21) and (22) give the same approximate solution of the measurable angle ψ ; nevertheless Eq. (22) is more complicated than Eq. (21) and further we must carry out tedious and lengthy calculations. Therefore, we will present the results obtained

by using Eq. (21) in this paper [?]. For reference, we summarize the expressions of the tangent formula in terms of $A(r)$, $B(r)$, $C(r)$, and $D(r)$ in Appendix B.

IV. MEASURABLE ANGLE OF LIGHT RAY

We are working in the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2, d\theta = 0$, and the components of k^μ and w^μ are

$$k^\mu = (k^t, k^r, 0, k^\phi), \quad (23)$$

$$w^\mu = (w^t, w^r, 0, 0). \quad (24)$$

From the null condition, $g_{\mu\nu}k^\mu k^\nu = 0$ and $g_{\mu\nu}w^\mu w^\nu = 0$, and k^t and w^t are given by

$$k^t = \frac{C(r)k^\phi + \sqrt{[C(r)k^\phi]^2 + A(r)[B(r)(k^r)^2 + D(r)(k^\phi)^2]}}{A(r)}, \quad (25)$$

$$w^t = \sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)}}w^r, \quad (26)$$

where we chose the sign of k^t and w^t to be positive. The inner product of k^μ and w^μ is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}k^\mu w^\nu &= -A(r)k^t w^t + B(r)k^r w^r + C(r)k^\phi w^t \\ &= \left(-\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)}} \left\{ C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + D(r) \right] \right\} + B(r) \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right) k^\phi w^r \\ &= \left\{ -\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)}} \frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{bA(r) - C(r)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sqrt{B(r)[A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)][-b^2A(r) + 2bC(r) + D(r)]}}{bA(r) - C(r)} \right\} k^\phi w^r, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where we used Eqs. (13), (25), and (26), and the following relation:

$$\frac{k^r}{k^\phi} = \frac{dr/d\lambda}{d\phi/d\lambda} = \frac{dr}{d\phi}. \quad (28)$$

The calculation of the inner product of two vectors requires care because the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ includes the non-diagonal components $g_{t\phi} = g_{\phi t} = C(r)$ in our case:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{tt} & 0 & 0 & g_{t\phi} \\ 0 & g_{rr} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{\theta\theta} & 0 \\ g_{\phi t} & 0 & 0 & g_{\phi\phi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

A. Measurable Angle by Static Observer

In the case of a static observer, the component of the 4-velocity of the observer, u^μ , becomes

$$u^\mu = (u^t, 0, 0, 0), \quad (30)$$

and from the condition $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = -1$, u^t is expressed as

$$u^t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A(r)}}, \quad (31)$$

where we assume u^t to be positive. The inner products $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu$ and $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu$ are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu &= -A(r)u^t k^t + C(r)u^t k^\phi \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{1}{A(r)} \left\{ C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + D(r) \right] \right\}} k^\phi \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{A(r)}} \frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{bA(r) - C(r)} k^\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu = -A(r)u^t w^t = -\sqrt{B(r)}w^r, \quad (33)$$

where we used Eqs. (13), (25), (26), (28), and (31). This yields

$$(g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu)(g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu) = \sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)} \frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{bA(r) - C(r)}} k^\phi w^r. \quad (34)$$

Inserting Eqs. (27) and (34) into Eq. (21) gives

$$\cos \psi_{\text{static}} = \sqrt{\frac{A(r)[-b^2 A(r) + 2bC(r) + D(r)]}{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}}. \quad (35)$$

Further, substituting Eqs. (5), (6), (7), (8), and (20) into Eq. (35), and expanding up to the order $\mathcal{O}(m^2, a^2, ma)$, $\cos \psi_{\text{static}}$ becomes for the range $0 \leq \psi \leq \pi/2$

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \psi_{\text{static}} &= \cos \phi - \frac{m}{b} \sin 2\phi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{16b^2} \{ m^2 [30(\pi - 2\phi) + 23 \cot \phi + 9 \cos 3\phi \csc \phi] - 32am \cos \phi \} \sin \phi \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2 a, ma^2), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

and for the range $\pi/2 \leq \phi \leq \pi$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \psi_{\text{static}} &= -\cos \phi + \frac{m}{b} \sin 2\phi \\ &+ \frac{1}{16b^2} \{m^2[30(\pi - 2\phi) + 23 \cot \phi + 9 \cos 3\phi \csc \phi] - 32am \cos \phi\} \sin \phi \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

By using the Taylor expansion of arc-cosine, the angle ψ_{static} between k^μ and w^μ measured by the static observer for the range $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{static}} &= \phi + \frac{2m}{b} \cos \phi \\ &+ \frac{1}{8b^2} \{m^2 [15(\pi - 2\phi) - \sin 2\phi] - 16ma \cos \phi\} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and for the range $\pi/2 \leq \phi \leq \pi$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{static}} &= \arccos(-\cos \phi) - \frac{2m}{b} \cos \phi \\ &- \frac{1}{8b^2} \{m^2 [15(\pi - 2\phi) - \sin 2\phi] - 16ma \cos \phi\} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

We find that when $a = 0$, Eq. (38) reduces to Eq. (41) in [39] from the tangent formula.

Note that we have divided the expression of ψ_{static} into two cases, Eqs. (39) and (38). This was done in order to utilize the trigonometric identities $\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \phi} = \cos \phi$ for $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$ and $\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \phi} = -\cos \phi$ for $\pi/2 \leq \phi \leq \pi$. Henceforth we employ a similar procedure when calculating the angle measured by the observer in radial motion, ψ_{radial} and in transverse motion, $\psi_{\text{transverse}}$. Although this procedure may not be necessary for computing ψ_{static} and ψ_{radial} , this treatment is required when calculating $\psi_{\text{transverse}}$; see Eq. (69) and observe the case of $\phi \rightarrow \pi$.

If the observer and the source of the light ray are located in an asymptotically flat region at infinity, $\phi \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi$, respectively, it is found that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{static}}(\phi \rightarrow 0) &= \psi_{\text{static}}(\phi \rightarrow \pi) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{2m}{b} + \frac{15\pi m^2}{8b^2} - \frac{2ma}{b^2} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

In this case, the sum of $\psi_{\text{static}}(\phi \rightarrow 0)$ and $\psi_{\text{static}}(\phi \rightarrow \pi)$ can be regarded as the total deflection angle α_{static} :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{static}} &= \psi_{\text{static}}(\phi \rightarrow 0) + \psi_{\text{static}}(\phi \rightarrow \pi) \\ &= \frac{4m}{b} + \frac{15\pi m^2}{4b^2} - \frac{4ma}{b^2} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where the third term is the correction due to the rotation of the central object and it is in agreement with the result previously obtained by, e.g., [13–17].

B. Measurable Angle by Observer in Radial Motion

In the case of a radially moving observer, the component of the 4-velocity u^μ is

$$u^\mu = (u^t, u^r, 0, 0), \quad (42)$$

and the condition $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = -1$ gives the relation between u^t and u^r as

$$u^t = \sqrt{\frac{B(r)(u^r)^2 + 1}{A(r)}}, \quad (43)$$

where as in Eq. (31), we take u^t to be a positive value. From Eqs. (13), (25), (26), (28), and (43), the inner product of $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu$ and $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu &= -A(r)u^t k^t + B(r)u^r k^r + C(r)u^t k^\phi \\ &= \left(-\sqrt{\frac{B(r)(u^r)^2 + 1}{A(r)}} \left\{ C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right) + D(r) \right] \right\} + B(r)u^r \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right) k^\phi \\ &= \left\{ -\sqrt{\frac{B(r)(u^r)^2 + 1}{A(r)}} \frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{bA(r) - C(r)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + u^r \frac{\sqrt{B(r)[A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)][-b^2A(r) + 2bC(r) + D(r)]}}{bA(r) - C(r)} \right\} k^\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu &= -A(r)u^t w^t + B(r)u^r w^r \\ &= \left\{ -\sqrt{B(r)[B(r)(u^r)^2 + 1]} + B(r)u^r \right\} w^r. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Here, let us introduce the coordinate radial velocity v^r as

$$v^r = \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{dr/d\tau}{dt/d\tau} = \frac{u^r}{u^t}; \quad (46)$$

substituting Eq. (43) into Eq. (46), we find

$$u^r = \frac{v^r}{\sqrt{A(r) - B(r)(v^r)^2}}. \quad (47)$$

Note that Eq. (47) reduces to the well-known relation in the special theory of relativity when $A(r) \rightarrow 1$ and $B(r) \rightarrow 1$:

$$u_{\text{sr}}^r \rightarrow \frac{v^r}{\sqrt{1 - (v^r)^2}}. \quad (48)$$

Using Eq. (47), we rewrite Eqs. (44) and (45) in terms of v^r :

$$g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu = \left\{ -\frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{[bA(r) - C(r)]\sqrt{A(r) - B(r)(v^r)^2}} + v^r \frac{\sqrt{B(r)[A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)][-b^2A(r) + 2bC(r) + D(r)]}}{[bA(r) - C(r)]\sqrt{A(r) - B(r)(v^r)^2}} \right\} k^\phi, \quad (49)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu = -\frac{\sqrt{A(r)B(r)} - B(r)v^r}{\sqrt{A(r) - B(r)(v^r)^2}} w^r. \quad (50)$$

Now we impose the slow motion approximation for the velocity of the observer $v^r \ll 1$. Next, employing the same procedure used to obtain Eqs. (38) and (39), we insert Eqs. (5), (6), (7), (8), (27), (49), and (50) into Eq. (21), obtaining ψ_{radial} up to the order $\mathcal{O}(m^2, a^2, ma, m^2v^r, a^2v^r, mav^r)$ for the range $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{radial}} = & \phi + v^r \sin \phi + \frac{2m}{b}(\cos \phi + v^r) \\ & + \frac{1}{8b^2} (m^2[15(\pi - 2\phi) - \sin 2\phi] - 16am \cos \phi) \\ & + \frac{v^r}{16b^2} \{m^2 [30(\pi - 2\phi) \cos \phi + 95 \sin \phi - \sin 3\phi] \\ & - 16am(1 + \cos 2\phi) - 2a^2(3 \sin \phi - \sin 3\phi)\} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (v^r)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and for the range $\pi/2 \leq \phi \leq \pi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{radial}} = & \arccos(-\cos \phi) + v^r \sin \phi + \frac{2m}{b}(-\cos \phi + v^r) \\ & - \frac{1}{8b^2} (m^2[15(\pi - 2\phi) - \sin 2\phi] - 16am \cos \phi) \\ & + \frac{v^r}{16b^2} \{m^2 [30(\pi - 2\phi) \cos \phi + 95 \sin \phi - \sin 3\phi] \\ & - 16am(1 + \cos 2\phi) - 2a^2(3 \sin \phi - \sin 3\phi)\} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (v^r)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Here, assuming the observer is located within the range $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$, we extract the terms pertaining to v^r from Eq. (51):

$$\psi_{\text{radial}}(\phi; m, a, v^r)_0 = v^r \sin \phi, \quad (53)$$

$$\psi_{\text{radial}}(\phi; m, a, v^r)_1 = \frac{2mv^r}{b}, \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{radial}}(\phi; m, a, v^r)_2 = & \frac{v^r}{16b^2} \{m^2 [30(\pi - 2\phi) \cos \phi + 95 \sin \phi - \sin 3\phi] \\ & - 16am(1 + \cos 2\phi) - 2a^2(3 \sin \phi - \sin 3\phi)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Eqs. (53), (54), and (55) are the corrections to the case of the static observer ψ_{static} ; see Eq. (38).

In order to estimate concrete values of Eqs. (53), (54), and (55), we adopt the Sun as the central (lens) object and take the third escape velocity with respect to the Sun as the radial velocity of the observer, $v^r = v_3/c \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$; see TABLE I for the numerical values.

Eq. (53) can be regarded as the usual aberration term, and the order is 10^{-4} . However actual observation is mainly conducted for the range $\phi \ll 1$ then $\sin \phi \sim 0$ to obtain a large bending angle. Therefore in many cases, the contribution of Eq. (53) can be considered as negligible. Eq. (54) has a constant value $2mv^r/b \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-10}$ throughout the range $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$, which is one order of magnitude larger than half of the second order in m , $15\pi m^2/(8b^2) \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$. This value lies in the observable range of LATOR and ASTROD. FIG. 2 shows Eq. (55) as a function of ϕ . From FIG. 2, Eq. (55) is four orders of magnitude smaller than half of the second order in m , $15\pi m^2/(8b^2) \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$. Therefore, Eq. (55) can be considered as the 2.5 post-Newtonian contribution, but the order 10^{-15} is at most one order of magnitude smaller than the observational limit of LATOR, $\mathcal{O}(10^{-14})$.

When the observer and the source of a light ray are located in an asymptotically flat region at infinity, $\phi \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi$, respectively, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{radial}}(\phi \rightarrow 0) &= \psi_{\text{radial}}(\phi \rightarrow \pi) \\ &\rightarrow \left(\frac{2m}{b} + \frac{15\pi m^2}{8b^2} - \frac{2am}{b^2} \right) (1 + v^r) + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (v^r)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

TABLE I. Numerical values. We use the following numerical values in this paper. As the value of the angular momentum of the Sun, we adopt $J_\odot \simeq 2.0 \times 10^{41}$ kgm²/s from [44, 45].

Name	Symbol	Value
Mass of the Sun	$m = GM_\odot/c^2$	1.5×10^4 m
Impact Parameter	$b = R_\odot$	1.7×10^8 m
Angular Momentum of the Sun [44, 45]	J_\odot	2.0×10^{41} kgm ² /s
Spin Parameter	$a = J_\odot/(M_\odot c)$	333.3 m
Third Escape Velocity w.r.t the Sun	v_3	4.2×10^4 m/s
Radial Velocity	$v^r = v_3/c$	1.4×10^{-4}
Transverse Velocity	$bv^\phi = v_3/c$	1.4×10^{-4}




FIG. 2. ϕ dependence of Eq. (55).

In this case, the total deflection angle α_{radial} becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{radial}} &= \psi_{\text{radial}}(\phi \rightarrow 0) + \psi_{\text{radial}}(\phi \rightarrow \pi) \\ &= \left(\frac{4m}{b} + \frac{15\pi m^2}{4b^2} - \frac{4am}{b^2} \right) (1 + v^r) + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (v^r)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

From Eq. (57), it is found that the total deflection angle becomes larger than that of the static case when the observer moves radially away from the center, $v^r > 0$, whereas it becomes smaller when the observer radially approaches the center, $v^r < 0$.

It is clear from Eqs. (41) and (57) that the relation between α_{static} and α_{radial} is expressed as

$$\alpha_{\text{radial}} = (1 + v^r)\alpha_{\text{static}}. \quad (58)$$

Eq. (58) is in agreement with, e.g., [28–30], in which the form of the overall scaling factor is $1 - v$ instead of $1 - 2v$ by, e.g., [31, 32]. It should be mentioned that the radial velocity v used in [28–32] is that of the lens object while the radial velocity v^r in our case is that of the observer. Then v^r and v can be connected as follows:

$$v^r = -v. \quad (59)$$

C. Measurable Angle by Observer in Transverse Motion

Let us investigate the case of the observer in transverse motion which is the motion in a direction perpendicular to the radial direction in the orbital plane.

The component of the 4-velocity of the observer u^μ is

$$u^\mu = (u^t, 0, 0, u^\phi), \quad (60)$$

and the condition $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = -1$ gives

$$u^t = \frac{C(r)u^\phi + \sqrt{[C(r)u^\phi]^2 + A(r)[D(r)(u^\phi)^2 + 1]}}{A(r)}, \quad (61)$$

in which we choose the positive sign for u^t .

$g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu$ and $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu$ are computed by using Eqs. (13), (25), (26), (28), and (61) as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu &= -A(r)u^t k^t + C(r)(u^t k^\phi + u^\phi k^t) + D(r)u^\phi k^\phi \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{A(r)} \left\{ C^2(r)u^\phi - \sqrt{[C(r)u^\phi]^2 + A(r)[D(r)(u^\phi)^2 + 1]} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \sqrt{C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + D(r) \right]} \right\} + D(r)u^\phi \right) k^\phi \\ &= \frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{A(r)} \left\{ u^\phi - \frac{\sqrt{[C(r)u^\phi]^2 + A(r)[D(r)((u^\phi)^2 + 1)]}}{bA(r) - C(r)} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu &= -A(r)u^t w^t + C(r)u^\phi w^t \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)} \{ [C(r)u^\phi]^2 + A(r)[D(r)(u^\phi)^2 + 1] \}} w^r. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

As in the derivation of Eqs. (49) and (50), we rewrite Eqs. (62) and (63) in terms of the coordinate angular velocity v^ϕ , which is determined by

$$v^\phi = \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{d\phi/d\tau}{dt/d\tau} = \frac{u^\phi}{u^t}, \quad (64)$$

and using Eq. (61), u^ϕ is obtained by means of v^ϕ as

$$u^\phi = \frac{v^\phi}{\sqrt{A(r) - 2C(r)v^\phi - D(r)(v^\phi)^2}}. \quad (65)$$

For $A(r) \rightarrow 1, C(r) \rightarrow 0, D(r) \rightarrow r^2$, Eq. (65) coincides with the special relativistic relation

$$ru_{\text{sr}}^\phi \rightarrow \frac{rv^\phi}{\sqrt{1 - (rv^\phi)^2}}; \quad (66)$$

note that $v^\phi = d\phi/dt$ itself is the coordinate angular velocity.

Inserting Eq. (65) into Eqs. (62) and (63), $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu$ and $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu$ are expressed in terms of v^ϕ :

$$g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu k^\nu = \frac{[A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)](-1 + bv^\phi)}{[bA(r) - C(r)]\sqrt{A(r) - 2C(r)v^\phi - D(r)(v^\phi)^2}}k^\phi, \quad (67)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu w^\nu = -\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)}}\frac{A(r) - C(r)v^\phi}{\sqrt{A(r) - 2C(r)v^\phi - D(r)(v^\phi)^2}}w^r. \quad (68)$$

Because $v^\phi = d\phi/dt$ is the coordinate angular velocity, we regard bv^ϕ as the coordinate transverse velocity and introduce the slow motion approximation $bv^\phi \ll 1$. As in the derivation of Eqs. (51) and (52), we substitute Eqs. (5), (6), (7), (8), (27), (67), and (68) into Eq. (21), and expand up to the order $\mathcal{O}(m^2, a^2, ma, m^2bv^\phi, a^2bv^\phi, mabv^\phi)$ to obtain $\psi_{\text{transverse}}$ for $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{transverse}} = & \phi + bv^\phi \tan \frac{\phi}{2} + \frac{2m}{b} \cos \phi \left(1 + \frac{bv^\phi}{1 + \cos \phi} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{8b^2} \{ m^2 [15(\pi - 2\phi) - \sin 2\phi] - 16am \cos \phi \} \\ & + \frac{bv^\phi}{8b^2(1 + \cos \phi)} \left\{ m^2 \left[15(\pi - 2\phi) - 16 \sin \phi + 7 \sin 2\phi + 16 \tan \frac{\phi}{2} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + 8ma(1 - 2 \cos \phi - \cos 2\phi) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (bv^\phi)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

and for $\pi/2 \leq \phi \leq \pi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{transverse}} = & \arccos(-\cos \phi) + bv^\phi \cot \frac{\phi}{2} - \frac{2m}{b} \cos \phi \left(1 + \frac{bv^\phi}{1 - \cos \phi} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{8b^2} \{ m^2 [15(\pi - 2\phi) - \sin 2\phi] - 16am \cos \phi \} \\ & + \frac{bv^\phi}{8b^2(1 - \cos \phi)} \left\{ m^2 \left[-15(\pi - 2\phi) - 16 \sin \phi - 7 \sin 2\phi + 16 \cot \frac{\phi}{2} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + 8am(1 + 2 \cos \phi - \cos 2\phi) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (bv^\phi)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Just as was done for radial motion, from Eq. (69) we extract the terms concerning v^ϕ for

the range $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$:

$$\psi_{\text{transverse}}(\phi; m, a, bv^\phi)_0 = bv^\phi \tan \frac{\phi}{2}, \quad (71)$$

$$\psi_{\text{transverse}}(\phi; m, a, bv^\phi)_1 = \frac{2mbv^\phi \cos \phi}{b(1 + \cos \phi)}, \quad (72)$$

$$\psi_{\text{transverse}}(\phi; m, a, bv^\phi)_2 = \frac{bv^\phi}{8b^2(1 + \cos \phi)} \left\{ m^2 \left[15(\pi - 2\phi) - 16 \sin \phi + 7 \sin 2\phi + 16 \tan \frac{\phi}{2} \right] + 8ma(1 - 2 \cos \phi - \cos 2\phi) \right\}, \quad (73)$$

and Eqs. (71), (72), and (73) are the additional terms compared to the case of the static observer, ψ_{static} , Eq. (38). We choose the transverse velocity in the same way that we chose radial motion: $bv^\phi = v_3/c = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$; see TABLE I.

Eq. (71) is of order 10^{-4} but for the same reason as for Eq. (53), this term can be ignored, because for small ϕ ($\phi \ll 1$), $\tan(\phi/2) \simeq 0$. FIG. 3 plots Eq. (72) as a function of ϕ which is one order of magnitude larger than half of the second order in m , $15\pi m^2/(8b^2) \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$. Therefore Eq. (72) also lies in the observable range of, e.g., LATOR and ASTROD. FIG. 4




FIG. 3. ϕ dependence of Eq. (72).

illustrates the ϕ dependence of Eq. (73). From FIG. 4, the value of Eq. (73) is almost four orders of magnitude smaller than half of the second order in m $15\pi m^2/(8b^2) \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$.




FIG. 4. ϕ dependence of Eq. (73).

Then as for Eq. (55), it is obvious that Eq. (73) corresponds to the 2.5 post-Newtonian contribution however it is at most one order of magnitude smaller than the observational threshold, e.g., $\mathcal{O}(10^{-14})$ of LATOR.

Finally, if the observer and source of the light ray are located in an asymptotically flat region at infinity, $\phi \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi$, respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{transverse}}(\phi \rightarrow 0) &= \psi_{\text{transverse}}(\phi \rightarrow \pi) \\ &\rightarrow \left(\frac{2m}{b} + \frac{15\pi m^2}{8b^2} - \frac{2am}{b^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{bv^\phi}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (bv^\phi)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Therefore, the total deflection angle $\alpha_{\text{transverse}}$ can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{transverse}} &= \psi_{\text{transverse}}(\phi \rightarrow 0) + \psi_{\text{transverse}}(\phi \rightarrow \pi) \\ &= \left(\frac{4m}{b} + \frac{15\pi m^2}{4b^2} - \frac{4am}{b^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{bv^\phi}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(m^3, a^3, m^2a, ma^2, (bv^\phi)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

From Eq. (75) we find that when the observer moves counterclockwise with respect to the radial direction, $v^\phi > 0$, the measured angle $\psi_{\text{transverse}}$ becomes larger than that of the static observer, and vice versa.

From Eqs. (41) and (75), the relation between α_{static} and $\alpha_{\text{transverse}}$ becomes

$$\alpha_{\text{transverse}} = \left(1 + \frac{bv^\phi}{2}\right) \alpha_{\text{static}}. \quad (76)$$

It seems that the form of the overall scaling factor is characterized by half of the transverse velocity $bv^\phi/2$ unlike v^r in the radial motion case; see Eq. (58). However, this may depend on the choice of form of the transverse velocity.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We focused on the measurable angle (local angle) of the light ray ψ at the position of the observer instead of the total deflection angle (global angle) α in Kerr spacetime. We investigated not only the effect of frame dragging represented by the spin parameter a but also the influence of the motion of the observer with the coordinate radial velocity v^r and the coordinate transverse velocity bv^ϕ (or coordinate angular velocity v^ϕ), which are converted from the 4-velocity of the observer u^r and u^ϕ , respectively.

In order to consider the influence of the velocity of the observer v^r and bv^ϕ on the measurable angle ψ , we employed the general relativistic aberration equation because the motion of the observer changes the direction of the light ray approaching the observer.

The local measurable angle ψ obtained in this paper can be applied not only to the case of the observer located in an asymptotically flat region but also to the case of the observer placed within the curved and finite-distance region in the spacetime.

As we observed, the order $\mathcal{O}(mv^r)$ and $\mathcal{O}(mbv^\phi)$ terms in Eqs (54) and (72) are of order 10^{-10} , and therefore they lie in the observable range of planned space missions such as LATOR and ASTROD. However, the order $\mathcal{O}(m^2v^r, a^2v^r, mav^r)$ and $\mathcal{O}(m^2bv^\phi, a^2bv^\phi, mabv^\phi)$ terms in Eqs (55) and (73) are of order 10^{-15} , and thus they are one order of magnitude smaller than the observation limit, e.g., 10^{-14} , of LATOR. Nevertheless, this limitation may be overcome by a space mission in the near future.

When the observer is moving in the radial direction, the total deflection angle α_{radial} can be expressed by $\alpha_{\text{radial}} = (1 + v^r)\alpha_{\text{static}}$ which is equivalent to the results obtained by, e.g., [28–30], whose overall scaling factor is $1 - v$ instead of $1 - 2v$ obtained by, e.g., [31, 32]. We notice that v^r and v are related with $v^r = -v$ because the velocity v in [28–32] is that of the lens object. On the other hand, when the observer moves in the transverse direction,

the total deflection angle is given by the form $\alpha_{\text{transverse}} = (1 + bv^\phi/2)\alpha_{\text{static}}$ if we define the transverse velocity as having the form of bv^ϕ . However, this relation may depend on the choice of transverse velocity.

Appendix A: Difficulty in Defining Total Deflection Angle in Curved Spacetime

It is important to present the reasons why in this paper we did not investigate deeply the argument involving the total deflection angle (global angle) α ; instead we concentrated mostly on the measurable angle (local angle) ψ in curved spacetime.

It is counterintuitive and difficult to realize the concept of the total deflection angle α mathematically when the observer and/or the source of light ray are located within the curved and finite-distance region from the center O . This is because the parallel postulate in Euclidean geometry does not hold in curved spacetime, and so we cannot determine the angle at a distant point from the position of observer P . Further, in accordance with the curvature of spacetime, the sum of the internal angles of a polygon on curved spacetime, such as the triangle and quadrilateral, takes larger or smaller values than those in Euclidean space. Therefore, twice the measurable angle ψ_P at the position of observer P does not mean the total deflection angle α in curved spacetime.

The total deflection angle α that we obtain is “the value of the difference between two null geodesics” which originally exist in the distinct spacetime; for instance, the null geodesic in Minkowski spacetime is the shortest path (a straight line in Euclidean space) described on the flat metric (ruler), whereas the null geodesic in Schwarzschild spacetime is the shortest path lying on the curved metric (ruler). Then it is not possible to evaluate two null geodesics on a single spacetime (metric or ruler) in the sense that “they remain as the null geodesic simultaneously.” Although we proposed the definition of the total deflection angle α on curved spacetime and constructed two formulas to calculate it on the basis of the Gauss–Bonnet theorem [39], we did not succeed in realizing the concept of the total deflection angle α mathematically.

On the other hand, it is always possible to determine the measurable angle (local angle) ψ at the position of observer P as the intersection angle between the null geodesic Γ_k which we are interested in and the radial null geodesic Γ_w connecting the center O and the position of observer P . In fact, we can observe not only the direction of light ray Γ_k but also the

direction of the radial null geodesic Γ_w which is the direction toward a central (lens) object such as the Sun and galaxy. Hence, the measurable angle ψ can be obtained directly by actual observation.

Appendix B: Expressions for ψ in Terms of Tangent Formula Eq. (22)

For reference, we list the expressions of the measurable angle ψ in terms of the tangent Eq. (22). For the static observer:

$$\tan \psi_{\text{static}} = \sqrt{\frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{A(r)B(r)} \frac{d\phi}{dr}}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

For the observer in radial motion, $\tan \psi_{\text{radial}}$ is expressed in terms of u^r :

$$\begin{aligned} \tan^2 \psi_{\text{radial}} = & \frac{B(r) [A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)]}{A(r)} \left\{ 1 + 2B(r)(u^r)^2 - 2u^r \sqrt{B(r)[B(r)(u^r)^2 + 1]} \right\} \\ & \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)}} \left\{ B(r)(u^r)^2 - u^r \sqrt{B(r)[B(r)(u^r)^2 + 1]} \right\} \right. \\ & \times \sqrt{C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + D(r) \right]} \\ & \left. + B(r) \left\{ 1 + B(r)(u^r)^2 - u^r \sqrt{B(r)[B(r)(u^r)^2 + 1]} \right\} \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^{-2}. \quad (\text{B2}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally for the observer in transverse motion, $\tan \psi_{\text{transverse}}$ is expressed in terms of u^ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tan^2 \psi_{\text{transverse}} = & \left(\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)} \left\{ C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + D(r) \right] \right\}} - B \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right) \\ & \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)} \left\{ \frac{A(r) + 2[A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)](u^\phi)^2}{A(r)} \right\}} \right. \\ & \times \sqrt{C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + D(r) \right]} \\ & \left. - \frac{2[A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)]}{A(r)} u^\phi \sqrt{[C(r)u^\phi]^2 + A(r)[D(r)(u^\phi)^2 + 1]} \right\} + B(r) \frac{dr}{d\phi} \Bigg) \\ & \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{B(r)}{A(r)} \frac{A(r)D(r) + C^2(r)}{A(r)} \left\{ (u^\phi)^2 \sqrt{C^2(r) + A(r) \left[B(r) \left(\frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + D(r) \right]} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - u^\phi \sqrt{[C(r)u^\phi]^2 + A(r)[D(r)(u^\phi)^2 + 1]} \right\} + B(r) \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^{-2}. \quad (\text{B3}) \end{aligned}$$

-
- [1] F. W. Dyson, A. S. Eddington, C. Davidson, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. of London. Series A, **220**, 291 (1920).
- [2] A. Einstein, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig), **35**, 898 (1911).
- [3] A. Einstein, Sitzungsber. K. Preuß. Akad. Wiss. erster Halbband, 831 (1915).
- [4] C. M. Will, Living Rev. Relativity, **17**, 4 (2014).
- [5] P. Schneider, J. Ehlers, E. E. Falco, *Gravitational Lenses*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1999).
- [6] P. Schneider, C. Kochanek, J. Wambsganss, *Gravitational Lensing: Strong, Weak and Micro*, (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2006).
- [7] R. P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Letters **11**, 237 (1963).
- [8] H. Thirring, Phys. Zs. **19**, 33 (1918)
- [9] J. Lense, H. Thirring, Phys. Zs, **19**, 156 (1918).
- [10] W. Israel, Phys. Rev., **164**, 1776-1779 (1967).
- [11] W. Israel, Commun. Math Phys., **8**, 245-260 (1968).
- [12] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. Lett., **26**, 331-333 (1971).
- [13] R. Epstein, I. I. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. D, **22**, 2947-2949 (1980).
- [14] G. W. Richter, R. A. Matzner, Phys. Rev. D, **26**, 1219-1224 (1982).
- [15] A. Edery, J. Godin, Gen. Relativ. Gravit., **38**, 1715-1722 (2006).
- [16] M. Sereno, F. De Luca, Phys. Rev. D, **74**, id 123009 (2006).
- [17] T. Ono, A. Ishihara, and H. Asada, Phys. Rev. D, **96**, id 104037 (2017).
- [18] L. J. Goicoechea, E. Mediavilla, J. Buitrago, F. Atrio, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **259**, 281-292 (1992).
- [19] G. V. Kraniotis, Class. Quant. Grav., **22**, 4391-4424 (2005).
- [20] S. V. Iyer, E. C. Hansen, Phys. Rev. D, **80**, id 124023 (2009).
- [21] J. Sultana, Phys. Rev. D, **88**, id. 042003 (2013).
- [22] G. V. Kraniotis, Gen. Rel. Gravit., **46**, id.1818 (44 pages) (2014).
- [23] G. He, W. Lin, Class. and Quant. Grav., **33**, id. 095007 (2016).
- [24] G. He, W. Lin, Class. and Quant. Grav., **34**, id. 029401 (2017).
- [25] G. He, W. Lin, Class. and Quant. Grav., **34**, id. 105006 (2017).

- [26] C. Jiang, W. Lin, Phys. Rev. D, **97**, id.024045 (2018).
- [27] R. Uniyal, H. Nandan, P Jetzer, Phys. Lett. B, **782**, 185-192 (2018).
- [28] T. Pyne, M. Birkinshaw, ApJ, **415**, 459-468 (1993).
- [29] S. Frittelli, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **340**, 457-462 (2003).
- [30] O. Wucknitz, U. Sperhake, Phys. Rev. D, **69**, id. 063001 (2004).
- [31] S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase G. Papini, G. Scarpetta, Phys. Lett. A, **254**, 11-17 (1999).
- [32] M. Sereno, Phys. Lett. A, **305**, 7-11 (2002).
- [33] S. G. Turyshev, M. Shao, K. L. Nordtvedt, H. Dittus, C. Laemmerzahl, S. Theil, C. Salomon, S. Reynaud, T. Damour, U. Johann, P. Bouyer, P. Touboul, B. Foulon, O. Bertolami, J. Páramos, Exp. Astron, **27**, 27-60 (2009).
- [34] W. T. Ni, Int. J. Modern Phys. D, **17**, 921-940 (2008).
- [35] E. B. Fomalont, S. M. Kopeikin, ApJ, **598**, 704-711 (2003).
- [36] K. R. Pechenick, C. Ftaclas, J. M. Cohen, ApJ, **274**, 846-857 (1983).
- [37] D. Lebedev, K. Lake, arXiv:1308.4931 (2013).
- [38] D. Lebedev, K. Lake, arXiv:1609.05183 (2016).
- [39] H. Arakida, Gen. Relativ. Gravit., **50**, 48 (2018)
- [40] R. H. Boyer, R. W. Lindquist, J. Math. Phys. **8**, 265-281 (1967).
- [41] W. Rindler, M. Ishak, Phys. Rev. D, **76**, id. 043006 (2007).
- [42] H. Arakida, M. Kasai, Phys. Rev. D, **85**, id. 023006 (2012).
- [43] A. Ishihara, Y. Suzuki, T. Ono, T. Kitamura, H. Asada, Phys. Rev. D, **94**, id.084015 (2016).
- [44] R. Komm, R. Howe, B. R. Durney, F. Hill, ApJ, **586**, 650-662 (2003).
- [45] S. L. Bi, T. D. Li, L. H. Li, and W. M. Yang, ApJL, **731**, L42 (4pp) (2011).