

Polynomial-like elements in vector spaces with group actions

Minh Kha[‡] and Vladimir Lin

To the memory of Selim Grigorievich Krein, a great man and a great mathematician

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study polynomial-like elements in vector spaces equipped with group actions. We first define these elements via iterated difference operators. In the case of a full rank lattice acting on an Euclidean space, these polynomial-like elements are exactly polynomials with periodic coefficients, which are closely related to solutions of periodic differential equations. Our main theorem confirms that if the space of polynomial-like elements of degree zero is of finite dimension then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the space consisting of all polynomial-like elements of degree at most n is also finite dimensional.

Introduction

In 1984, T. Lyons and D. Sullivan [13] used the connections between the theory of harmonic functions and the theory of stochastic processes to prove that on a nilpotent covering of a compact Riemannian manifold, there are no nonconstant positive (and a fortiori no nonconstant bounded) harmonic functions. In [10], a new approach was proposed, applicable both to bounded holomorphic functions on nilpotent coverings of complex spaces and to bounded harmonic functions on such coverings of Riemannian manifolds.

In the case of a compact base with a fixed Riemannian metric, the question naturally arises of the structure of spaces of holomorphic or harmonic functions on coverings. In particular, it is natural to expect that on nilpotent coverings the spaces of the corresponding functions of bounded polynomial growth are finite-dimensional. In complex-analytic case, some results for *abelian* coverings were obtained by A. Brudnyi [3] and then, in both complex-analytic and harmonic cases in the paper of P. Kuchment and Y. Pinchover [9].

On the other hand, in the series of three papers [4]-[6], T. Colding and W. Minicozzi studied harmonic functions of restricted growth on Riemannian manifolds. In particular, it follows from their results that the spaces of harmonic or holomorphic functions of restricted polynomial growths on nilpotent coverings of *Kähler* manifolds are of finite dimension.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54C40, 14E20; Secondary 46E25, 20C20.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic geometry, Group actions, Periodic differential operators.

[‡] The author acknowledges the support of the NSF Grant DMS-1517938.

Another part of our motivation in studying polynomial-like elements via difference operators approach comes from the related studies of periodic equations, e.g., Liouville type results for elliptic equations of second-order with periodic coefficients (in divergence form) on Euclidean spaces, which appeared in the work [2] of M. Avellaneda and F.-H. Lin. It is also worthwhile to note that analogous Liouville type results have been established in [14]. Such Liouville type results show that every solution with polynomial growth of the equation admits a representation: it is a linear combination of polynomials whose coefficients are periodic functions and moreover, each of these polynomials is also a solution. Hence, the spaces of such solutions (with a fixed polynomial growth) are finite dimensional. As a first attempt to generalize some of these results, our first step is to give a definition of polynomial-like elements in vector spaces equipped with group actions. Rather than using explicit formulas, we choose to define in a more invariant way by using difference operators approach.

Let us give a brief outline of the paper. Assume that G is a group that acts linearly on a vector space A . Section 1 is devoted to defining polynomial-like elements (or G -polynomials) in A through the iterated difference operators D^n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$). In Subsection 1.1, these iterated difference operators, which can be considered as analogs of the usual derivatives or the difference operators for G -moduli spaces, are introduced inductively via the action of G on A . In Subsection 1.2, G -polynomials of degree at most n in A are defined as elements in the kernel of the $(n+1)^{th}$ -iterated difference operator D^{n+1} .

Motivating from Liouville type results, we would like to understand the finite dimensionality of the spaces of polynomial-like elements under certain conditions. Our main aim is to prove the following theorem:

MAIN THEOREM. *Let F be a field of characteristic 0, G be a group, and A be a F -vector space endowed with a linear right G -action. Let A^G be the space consisting of all G -invariant elements in A and $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ be the space consisting of all G -polynomials of degree at most n in A . If the group $\tilde{G} = G/[G, G]$ is finitely generated and $\dim_F A^G < \infty$ then*

$$\dim_F \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) < \infty \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We will develop the necessary tools, and then use them to prove this theorem in the rest of Section 1, i.e., Subsections 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6.

In Section 2, we examine more properties of the iterated difference operators if A has an additional ring structure that is compatible with the group action. In more details, we prove (a) a Leibniz formula for the operator D^1 , (b) the set of all polynomial-like elements in A is a subring, (c) D^n is a linear operator over the ring A^G . These results are needed for the next section.

In Section 3, we apply the above Main Theorem to the case when G is a lattice acting naturally on A . We consider here an important example when A is a G -invariant subspace of the algebra of continuous functions on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^r , where r is the rank of the lattice G . In this example, we characterize completely G -polynomials (see Proposition 3.2): these are exactly polynomials with G -invariant coefficients¹, which we introduce at the beginning of Subsection 3.1. Then in Subsection 3.2, we give a useful interpretation of the Main Theorem when A is the

¹These polynomials are called Floquet functions, which play an important role in studying the spectral theory of periodic differential operators (see e.g., [8]).

space of all classical global solutions of a G -periodic linear differential operator \mathcal{D} . Finally, Subsection 3.3 provides some remarks related to periodic operators acting on co-compact regular Riemannian coverings whose deck transformation groups G are not necessarily abelian.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the referees for useful comments on this manuscript. The work of the first author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1517938. Minh Kha expresses his gratitude to the NSF for the support.

1. Polynomial-like elements

1.1. Iterated difference operators in G -moduli. Let G be a group with the unity \mathbf{e} and A be an additive abelian group.

DEFINITION 1.1. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let us denote by $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$ the additive group of all *normalized n -cochains* of G with the values in A ; that is, $\mathcal{C}^0(G, A) = A$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the group $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$ consists of all functions

$$c: G^n = \underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_n \ni (g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto c(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in A$$

such that $c(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$ whenever at least one of the elements g_1, \dots, g_n equals \mathbf{e} .

Suppose that A is endowed with a right G -module structure

$$(1.1) \quad A \ni a \mapsto a^g \in A, \quad g \in G.$$

Such a structure induces the following right G -actions in cochain groups $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^n(G, A) \ni c \mapsto c^g \in \mathcal{C}^n(G, A), \quad c^g(g_1, \dots, g_n) &= [c(g_1, \dots, g_n)]^g, \\ &(g, g_1, \dots, g_n \in G, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+). \end{aligned}$$

These actions give rise to group homomorphisms

$$D^n: A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$$

defined as follows. First, we define homomorphisms

$$d_n: \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(G, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A) \quad (n \geq 1)$$

by the formulas

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (d_n c)(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n) &= c^{g_n}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) - c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) \\ &= [c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})]^{g_n} - c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) \\ &(c \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(G, A), \quad g_1, \dots, g_n \in G, \quad n > 1). \end{aligned}$$

Using these homomorphisms, we define homomorphisms $D^n: A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$ by the recursion relations

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} D^0 &= \mathbf{id}_A \text{ (the identity operator in } A) \text{ and } D^n = d_n D^{n-1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ &\text{or, which is the same, } D^n = d_n \cdots d_1 D^0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

These homomorphisms D^n are called the *iterated difference operators*.

NOTATION 1.2. Let $n, s, i_1, \dots, i_s \in \mathbb{N}$, where $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n$. For any $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, set

$$\pi_{i_1, \dots, i_s}(g_1, \dots, g_n) = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \widehat{g_{i_1}} \cdot \dots \cdot \widehat{g_{i_2}} \cdot \dots \cdot \widehat{g_{i_s}} \cdot \dots \cdot g_n$$

(terms with hats in the right hand side must be omitted, and the empty product is defined to be \mathbf{e} , the unity of G).

LEMMA 1.3. For any $a \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad [D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) = a^{g_1 \cdots g_n} + \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^s \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} a^{\pi_{i_1, \dots, i_s}(g_1, \dots, g_n)} + (-1)^n a.$$

PROOF. The proof is by induction in n . □

REMARK 1.4. Let us define

$$(1.5) \quad [\Delta^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) := \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^s S_s(g_1, \dots, g_n), \text{ where} \\ S_s(g_1, \dots, g_n) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} a^{\pi_{i_1, \dots, i_s}(g_1, \dots, g_n)}.$$

Then formula (1.4) can be written in the form

$$(1.6) \quad [D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) = a^{g_1 \cdots g_n} + [\Delta^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n),$$

Each sum $S_s(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ in (1.5) is a symmetric function of g_1, \dots, g_n , that is,

$$S_s(g_{j_1}, \dots, g_{j_n}) = S_s(g_1, \dots, g_n)$$

for any $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ and any permutation (j_1, \dots, j_n) of the indices $1, \dots, n$. Therefore, the function $[\Delta^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) = [D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) - a^{g_1 \cdots g_n}$ is symmetric in g_1, \dots, g_n . ○

For any abelian G , the function $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto a^{g_1 \cdots g_n}$ is symmetric, and the above remark implies the symmetry of $[D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n)$. For non-abelian G this may be wrong; however, the following property holds in general case.

LEMMA 1.5. Suppose that $D^{n+1}a = 0$. Then, for any natural $k \leq n+1$, the functions $a^{g_1 \cdots g_k}$ and $[D^k a](g_1, \dots, g_k)$ are symmetric in $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$.

PROOF. The assumption $D^{n+1}a = 0$ implies that

$$a^{g_1 \cdots g_{n+1}} = [D^{n+1} a](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) - [\Delta^{n+1} a](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ = -[\Delta^{n+1} a](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}).$$

By Remark 1.4, $[\Delta^{n+1} a](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1})$ is symmetric in g_1, \dots, g_{n+1} ; therefore, $a^{g_1 \cdots g_{n+1}}$ is symmetric as well. For any $k \leq n+1$, we have

$$a^{g_1 \cdots g_k} = a^{g_1 \cdots g_{n+1}} \Big|_{g_{k+1} = \dots = g_{n+1} = \mathbf{e}};$$

hence $a^{g_1 \cdots g_k}$ is symmetric in g_1, \dots, g_k and, by (1.6), $[D^k a](g_1, \dots, g_k)$ is symmetric. □

LEMMA 1.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a \in A$. Then

$$(1.7) \quad [D^{n+1} a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) = [D^n(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) - [D^n a](g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ \text{for all } g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n+1} \in G.$$

PROOF. The proof is by induction in n . For $n = 0, 1$, formula (1.7) looks as follows:

$$(1.8) \quad n = 0 : \quad [D^1 a](g_1) = a^{g_1} - a;$$

$$(1.9) \quad n = 1 : \quad [D^2 a](g_1, g_2) = [D^1(a^{g_1})](g_2) - [D^1 a](g_2).$$

Formula (1.8) is just the definition of D^1 . Furthermore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [D^2 a](g_1, g_2) &= [a^{g_1} - a]^{g_2} - [a^{g_1} - a] = a^{g_1 g_2} - a^{g_2} - a^{g_1} + a \\ &= [(a^{g_1})^{g_2} - a^{g_1}] - (a^{g_2} - a) = [D^1(a^{g_1})](g_2) - [D^1 a](g_2), \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (1.9). This provides us with the base of induction.

Suppose now that for some $m \geq 2$, the formula (1.7) is fulfilled for all $n \leq m - 1$. Let us show that it holds true for $n = m$. According to (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} [D^{m+1} a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) &= \{[D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} - [D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m), \\ [D^m a](g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) &= \{[D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} - [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (1.10) \quad & [D^{m+1} a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) + [D^m a](g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) \\ &= \{[D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} - [D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ &\quad + \{[D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} - [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ &= \{[D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) + [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} \\ &\quad - \{[D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) + [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$(1.11) \quad [D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = [D^{m-1}(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_m) - [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m).$$

It follows from (1.10), (1.11), and (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^{m+1} a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) + [D^m a](g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) \\ &= \{[D^m a](g_1, \dots, g_m) + [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} \\ &\quad - \{[D^m a](g_1, \dots, g_m) + [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\} \\ &= \{[D^{m-1}(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_m) - [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m) + [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} \\ &\quad - \{[D^{m-1}(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_m) - [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m) + [D^{m-1} a](g_2, \dots, g_m)\} \\ &= \{[D^{m-1}(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_m)\}^{g_{m+1}} - [D^{m-1}(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ &= [D^m(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$[D^{m+1} a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) = [D^m(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) - [D^m a](g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

1.2. Polynomial-like elements. From now on, whenever A is a vector space we assume that the given right G -action in A is *linear*, i.e., all the mappings (1.1) are linear operators. Then the homomorphisms d_m and D^n defined in (1.2) and (1.3) respectively, are linear operators.

DEFINITION 1.7. Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ the kernel of the homomorphism $D^{n+1}: A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, A)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_n &= \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) = \{a \in A \mid D^{n+1}a = 0\} \\ &= \{a \in A \mid [D^{n+1}a](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = 0 \quad \forall g_1, \dots, g_{n+1} \in G\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ is a subgroup of A (a vector subspace whenever A is a vector space with a linear G -action).

An element $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is said to be a G -polynomial in A of order at most n , or a polynomial-like element (of order at most n) in A . It follows from (1.3) that

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \subseteq \dots$$

Let A^G denote the subgroup of A consisting of all G -invariant elements, i.e.,

$$A^G = \{a \in A \mid a^g = a, \quad \forall g \in G\}.$$

If A is a vector space and the given G -action in A is linear then A^G is a vector subspace of A .

EXAMPLE 1.8. Let G be an additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}^r acting by translations in the space $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ of all (real or complex) continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^r :

$$f^g(x) = f(x + g) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(\mathbb{R}^r), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^r, \quad g \in G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$$

(all the mappings $f \mapsto f^g$ are, in fact, automorphisms of the algebra $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$). Let $A \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ be a G -invariant subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$. For instance, the following natural cases seem interesting:

- (a) $G = \mathbb{R}^r$ and $A = C(\mathbb{R}^r)$;
- (b) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ is a lattice of rank r in \mathbb{R}^r and $A = C(\mathbb{R}^r)$;
- (c) G is as in (b) and $A = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^r)$ is the space of all harmonic functions on \mathbb{R}^r ;
- (d) $r = 2m$ is even, $\mathbb{R}^r = \mathbb{C}^m$, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2m}$ is a lattice of rank $2m$ in \mathbb{C}^m , and $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ is the space of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^m .

In case (a) the space A^G of all G -invariant elements of A is just the field of constants (that is, either $A^G = \mathbb{R}$ or $A^G = \mathbb{C}$). In cases (b) – (d), A^G is the space of all G -invariant functions that are, respectively, (b) continuous, (c) harmonic, (d) holomorphic.

In fact, with help of Proposition 1.24 proven in Subsection 1.6 below, one can see that in cases (a) – (c) the space $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ coincides with the space $A_n^G[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ of all polynomials in the standard coordinates x_1, \dots, x_r of degree at most n with coefficients in A^G ; in case (d) the space $A_n^G[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ of polynomials in complex coordinates z_1, \dots, z_m should be taken instead.

EXAMPLE 1.9. Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a lattice of rank 2 and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ be the field of meromorphic functions of one complex variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathcal{P}_0(G, \mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}^G$ is just the field of elliptic functions associated with the lattice G . It can be proven that $\mathcal{P}_n(G, \mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}_n^G[z]$, where $\mathcal{M}_n^G[z]$ stands for the space of all polynomial in z of degree at most n with coefficients in the field of elliptic functions \mathcal{M}^G .

EXAMPLE 1.10. It is interesting to take $A = \mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M} \setminus \{0\}$, the multiplicative group of the field \mathcal{M} , with a lattice $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ of rank 2 acting by translations. Clearly, $\mathcal{P}_0(G, \mathcal{M}^*) = (\mathcal{M}^*)^G$, the multiplicative group of the field \mathcal{M}^G of G -elliptic functions.

PROPOSITION 1.11. *Each $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A) \subseteq A$ is a G -invariant subgroup (respectively, a G -invariant vector subspace whenever A is a vector space).*

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ is the kernel of a homomorphism (respectively, linear operator), we only need to check that $a^g \in \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ whenever $a \in \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ and $g \in G$. In view of Lemma 1.6 (with $n + 2$ instead of n), the assumption $D^{n+1}a = 0$ implies

$$[D^{n+1}(a^g)](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = [D^{n+1}a](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) + [D^{n+2}a](g, g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

NOTATION 1.12. $[G, G]$ denotes the commutator subgroup of a group G and $\tilde{G} = G/[G, G]$ is the **abelianization** of G . For every $g \in G$, let \tilde{g} denote the image of g in \tilde{G} under the natural epimorphism $G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$.

Our main aim in the remaining of this Section is to achieve the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.13. *Let F be a field of characteristic 0, G be a group, and A be a F -vector space endowed with a linear right G -action. If \tilde{G} is finitely generated and $\dim_F A^G < \infty$ then $\dim_F \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

To prove this theorem, we show that the quotient spaces $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)/\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) may be embedded into an appropriated vector space of A^G -valued *symmetric polylinear forms* on the group G . Under certain conditions, the latter space is of finite dimension, which makes it possible to use the induction in n . To follow this idea, we need to define certain spaces of polylinear forms on G and establish some relations between the iterated difference operators D^n and the coboundary operators δ related to the *trivial* left G action in A . We will do this in the next Subsections 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6.

1.3. Group polymorphisms. In this Subsection, we introduce the concept of polylinear forms on the group G and its related properties.

DEFINITION 1.14. Let G be a group and B be an abelian group. A B -valued *polymorphism*, or, more precisely, *n -morphism* of G is a function

$$L: \underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_n \rightarrow B$$

such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the condition

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &L(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g'g'', g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n) \\ &= L(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g', g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n) + L(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g'', g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n) \end{aligned}$$

is fulfilled for every $g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g', g'', g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n \in G$.

The set $\mathcal{L}_n(G, B)$ of all B -valued n -morphisms of G is an abelian group. If B is a vector space over a field F then $\mathcal{L}_n(G, B)$ is a vector space over F as well; in this case polymorphisms $L \in \mathcal{L}_n(G, B)$ are also said to be B -valued *polylinear* (or *n -linear*) forms on G .

It is convenient to set $\mathcal{L}_0(G, B) = B$.

We skip the proof of the following quite elementary lemma, leaving this as an exercise for the reader.

LEMMA 1.15. (a) Let G be a free abelian group of rank r and

$$(1.14) \quad L: \underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_n \rightarrow B$$

be a n -morphism of G to an abelian group B . Take any free basis $\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_r$ of G and set

$$(1.15) \quad b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = L(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}), \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r.$$

Then for any $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(g_1, \dots, g_n) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r} g_{1, i_1} \cdots g_{n, i_n} \cdot L(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r} g_{1, i_1} \cdots g_{n, i_n} \cdot b_{i_1, \dots, i_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_{k,1}, \dots, g_{k,r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the coordinates of the element $g_k \in G$ represented in the basis $\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_r$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

(b) For any choice of r^n elements $b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in B$ ($1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r$), there exists a unique n -morphism (1.14) satisfying (1.15).

(c) Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ be a lattice of rank r , $\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_r$ be a basis of G , and L be a n -morphism of G to a vector space B . Then there exists a unique B -valued n -linear form

$$\mathfrak{L}: \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^r \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^r}_n \rightarrow B$$

that extends L from G to \mathbb{R}^r (i. e., \mathfrak{L} satisfies $\mathfrak{L}|_G = L$). This form \mathfrak{L} is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}(v_1, \dots, v_n) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r} v_{1, i_1} \cdots v_{n, i_n} L(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r} v_{1, i_1} \cdots v_{n, i_n} b_{i_1, \dots, i_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{k,1}, \dots, v_{k,r} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the coordinates of the vector $v_k \in \mathbb{R}^r$ represented in the basis $\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_r$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$).

The next lemma collects some simple and useful properties of B -valued n -morphisms on a (possibly non-abelian) group G .

LEMMA 1.16. (a) $\mathcal{L}_n(G, B) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n(G, B)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$; in other words, every n -morphism L is also a n -cochain, that is, $L(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$ whenever one of the elements g_1, \dots, g_n equals \mathbf{e} .

(b) For any $L \in \mathcal{L}_n(G, B)$, $L(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$ whenever one of the elements g_1, \dots, g_n belongs to the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$.

(c) Consider any $L \in \mathcal{L}_n(G, B)$ and $\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n \in \tilde{G} = G/[G, G]$. Take arbitrary representatives $g_i \in \tilde{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and set

$$\tilde{L}(\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n) := L(g_1, \dots, g_n).$$

Then \tilde{L} is a well-defined B -valued n -morphism of the abelianization \tilde{G} of G . The correspondence $L \mapsto \tilde{L}$ defines an isomorphism of groups

$$\mu: \mathcal{L}_n(G, B) \ni L \mapsto \mu(L) = \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}_n(\tilde{G}, B).$$

Moreover, μ is an isomorphism of vector spaces whenever B is a vector space.

(d) Suppose that B is a vector space over a field F of characteristic 0, $\dim_F B < \infty$, and the abelianization \tilde{G} of G is finitely generated. Then ²

$$\dim_F \mathcal{L}_n(G, B) = \dim_F \mathcal{L}_n(\tilde{G}, B) < \infty \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF. (a) It suffices to show that $L(\mathbf{e}, g_2, \dots, g_n) = 0$. The latter relation follows from the following identities:

$$L(\mathbf{e}, g_2, \dots, g_n) = L(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}, g_2, \dots, g_n) = L(\mathbf{e}, g_2, \dots, g_n) + L(\mathbf{e}, g_2, \dots, g_n).$$

(b) Using (1.13) and the fact that B is abelian, we get that for any $h_1, h_2, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$, the following identities hold:

$$L(h_1 h_2 h_1^{-1} h_2^{-1}, g_2, \dots, g_n) = L(h_1 h_1^{-1} h_2 h_2^{-1}, g_2, \dots, g_n) = L(\mathbf{e}, g_2, \dots, g_n) = 0.$$

This implies (b).

(c) This is an immediate consequence of (b).

(d) Since \tilde{G} is a finitely generated abelian group, we have $\tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus K$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and K is a finite abelian group. For any $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}_n(\tilde{G}, B)$ we have $\tilde{L}(\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n) = 0$ whenever at least one of the elements $\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n$ belongs to the subgroup K . Indeed, assuming, for instance, that \tilde{g}_1 is an element of finite order $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that

$$m \cdot \tilde{L}(\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n) = \tilde{L}(\tilde{g}_1^m, \dots, \tilde{g}_n) = \tilde{L}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}, \dots, \tilde{g}_n) = 0,$$

which implies $\tilde{L}(\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n) = 0$ (note that $\text{char } F = 0$). It follows from (c) and the above fact that

$$\mathcal{L}_n(G, B) \cong \mathcal{L}_n(\tilde{G}, B) \cong \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{Z}^r, B).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $\dim_F \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{Z}^r, B) < \infty$.

Let $\mathbf{h}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \mathbf{h}_r = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^r and $\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^s$ be a basis in B .

Let \mathcal{I} be the family of all finite ordered sets $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ consisting of n natural numbers i_1, \dots, i_n such that $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r$ (clearly, $\#\mathcal{I} = r^n$). For each $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}$ and each $i = 1, \dots, s$, we define the n -linear form $\ell_I^i \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{Z}^r, B)$ as follows:

$$(1.16) \quad \ell_I^i(\mathbf{h}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{j_n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{b}^i & \text{if } (j_1, \dots, j_n) = I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

These sr^n forms ℓ_I^i constitute a basis of $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{Z}^r, B)$.³ Indeed, for any $L \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{Z}^r, B)$, it suffices to show that L is a linear combination of ℓ_I^i . Let us consider $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $L(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}) \in B$ and $\{\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^s\}$ is a basis of B , we have

$$(1.17) \quad L(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^s a_I^i \mathbf{b}^i \quad \text{for some } a_I^1, \dots, a_I^s \in F.$$

It follows from (1.16) and (1.17) that

$$L(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^s a_I^i \ell_I^i(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}} a_J^i \ell_J^i(\mathbf{h}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{i_n}).$$

²Although this fact is simple and well-known, we treat it here just for completeness of the proof of our main result. Notice that the assumption $\text{char } F = 0$ could be omitted.

³Obviously, these forms ℓ_I^i are linearly independent.

This proves that

$$L = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i=1}^s a_I^i \ell_I^i,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

1.4. Embeddings $[\mathcal{P}^n(G, A)/\mathcal{P}^{n-1}(G, A)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$. By Definition 1.7, the iterated difference operator D^{n+1} annihilates the subgroup $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) = \ker D^{n+1} \subseteq A$ of all polynomial-like elements of order at most n . However, the preceding iterated difference operator D^n may be non-zero on \mathcal{P}_n . In this section, we study certain properties of the restriction

$$D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D^n|_{\mathcal{P}_n} : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$$

of the operator $D^n : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$ to the subgroup $\mathcal{P}_n \subseteq A$.

According to our notation, $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$ is the group of all n -cochains of G with values in the subgroup $A^G \subseteq A$ consisting of all G -invariant elements in A . Clearly, $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$ is a subgroup of the group $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$ (if A is a vector space then $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$ is a vector subspace of the vector space $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$).

LEMMA 1.17. *The image of $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n$ is contained in the subgroup $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$, i.e.,*

$$D^n(\mathcal{P}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G).$$

That is, $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n$ may be regarded as a group homomorphism (a linear operator in case of vector spaces)

$$D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n : \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$$

acting from the group $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ to the group $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$.

By (1.12), (1.4), and Definition 1.7, the kernel $\ker D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n$ of $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n$ coincides with $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A)$. Thus, the homomorphism $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n$ gives rise to the exact sequence

$$(1.18) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) \xrightarrow{D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n} \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G),$$

and the embedding of the quotient group

$$(1.19) \quad i_n : [\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)/\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G).$$

PROOF. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Take any $a \in \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$. By Definition 1.7, $D^{n+1}a = 0$. According to (1.3), we have

$$0 = D^{n+1}a = d_{n+1}(D^n a).$$

In other words, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [d_{n+1}(D^n a)](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = [(D^n a)^{g_{n+1}} - D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) \\ &= \{[D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n)\}^{g_{n+1}} - [D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) \quad \text{for all } g_1, \dots, g_{n+1} \in G. \end{aligned}$$

The latter relation may be written as

$$\{[D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n)\}^g = [D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) \quad \text{for all } g, g_1, \dots, g_n \in G,$$

which actually means that all the values $[D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n)$ of the n -cochain $D^n a$ are G -invariant.

Hence, for every $a \in \mathcal{P}_n$ we may regard the n -cochain $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n(a) = D^n a$ (which is originally an A -valued one) as a n -cochain of G with values in A^G : $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n(a) =$

$D^n a \in \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$. So $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n(\mathcal{P}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$ and $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n$ may be regarded as a homomorphism (linear operator) acting from $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ to $\mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$.

The rest statements of Lemma 1.17 follow immediately from what we have proved above. \square

1.5. Coboundary operators and iterated difference operators. In this subsection, we want to study more carefully the image $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n(\mathcal{P}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$ of the homomorphism $D_{\mathcal{P}_n}^n$. We will see that this image is contained in the group $\mathcal{L}_n(G, A^G)$ of all A^G -valued n -morphism G (according to Lemma 1.16(a), $\mathcal{L}_n(G, A^G) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$). To prove this, we will introduce the *coboundary operators* $\delta^n: \mathcal{C}^n(G, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, A)$ (related to the *trivial* left G -action in A) and study their relation to the iterated difference operators D^n .

DEFINITION 1.18. Let us consider A as a trivial left G -module and define the *coboundary operator*

$$\delta^n: \mathcal{C}^n(G, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, A)$$

by the standard formula

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta^n c](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) &= c(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) - c(g_1 g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{n+1}) + c(g_1, g_2 g_3, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \dots + (-1)^n c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n g_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} c(g_1, \dots, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\delta^0 = 0$ since we use the trivial left G -action in A ; indeed, $\mathcal{C}^0(G, A) = A$ and for any $a \in A$ and $g \in G$ we have $(\delta^0 a)(g) = {}^g a - a = a - a = 0$.

LEMMA 1.19. *Any n -morphism is a n -cocycle.*

Proof. According to Lemma 1.16(a), any n -morphism c is a n -cochain. A straightforward calculation shows that $\delta^n c(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = 0$ for all g_1, \dots, g_{n+1} . \square

In the following two lemmas, we establish some simple algebraic properties of the operators δ , d , and D . We omit a simple computation that proves the first lemma.

LEMMA 1.20. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$. For each $h \in G$, we define the $(n-1)$ -cochain c_h by*

$$c_h(h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) := c(h, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}).$$

Then for every $h, g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta^n c](h, g_1, \dots, g_n) &= c(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) - c(h g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \\ &\quad + c(h, g_2, \dots, g_n) - [\delta^{n-1} c_h](g_1, \dots, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 1.21. *For any $n \geq 1$ we have:*

$$(1.20) \quad \delta^n d_{n-1} = d_n \delta^{n-1} + (-1)^n d_n d_{n-1},$$

$$(1.21) \quad \delta^n D^n = d_n \delta^{n-1} D^{n-1} + (-1)^n D^{n+1},$$

$$(1.22) \quad \delta^n D^n = \begin{cases} -D^{n+1} & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(G, A)$ and $g_1, \dots, g_{n+1} \in G$. Put $c' := d_{n-1}c$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\delta^n d_{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) = (\delta^n c')(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\
& = c'(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) - c'(g_1 g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\
& \quad + c'(g_1, g_2 g_3, g_4, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} c'(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} g_n, g_{n+1}) \\
& \quad + (-1)^n c'(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n g_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} c'(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n) \\
& = (d_{n-1}c)(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) - (d_{n-1}c)(g_1 g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\
& \quad + (d_{n-1}c)(g_1, g_2 g_3, g_4, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} (d_{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} g_n, g_{n+1}) \\
& \quad + (-1)^n (d_{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n g_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} (d_{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n) \\
& = [c^{g_{n+1}}(g_2, \dots, g_n) - c(g_2, \dots, g_n)] - [c^{g_{n+1}}(g_1 g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n) - c(g_1 g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n)] \\
& \quad + [c^{g_{n+1}}(g_1, g_2 g_3, g_4, \dots, g_n) - c(g_1, g_2 g_3, g_4, \dots, g_n)] \\
& \quad + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} [c^{g_{n+1}}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-2}, g_{n-1} g_n) - c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-2}, g_{n-1} g_n)] \\
& \quad + (-1)^n [c^{g_n g_{n+1}}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) - c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})] \\
& \quad + (-1)^{n+1} [c^{g_n}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) - c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})]
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the zero sum $(-1)^n c^{g_{n+1}}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) - (-1)^n c^{g_{n+1}}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})$ to the right hand side of the above equality and rearranging the obtained expression to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\delta^n d_{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\
& = [c(g_2, \dots, g_n) - c(g_1 g_2, \dots, g_n) + c(g_1, g_2 g_3, \dots, g_n) \\
& \quad + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} g_n) + (-1)^n c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})]^{g_{n+1}} \\
& \quad - [c(g_2, \dots, g_n) - c(g_1 g_2, \dots, g_n) + c(g_1, g_2 g_3, \dots, g_n) \\
& \quad + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} g_n) + (-1)^n c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})] \\
& \quad + (-1)^n [c^{g_n g_{n+1}}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) - c^{g_{n+1}}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) \\
& \quad - c^{g_n}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) + c(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1})] \\
& = [(\delta^{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_n)]^{g_{n+1}} - (\delta^{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_n) \\
& \quad + (-1)^n \{[(d_{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_n)]^{g_{n+1}} - (d_{n-1}c)(g_1, \dots, g_n)\} \\
& = [d_n(\delta^{n-1}c)](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) + (-1)^n [d_n(d_{n-1}c)](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\
& = [(d_n \delta^{n-1} + (-1)^n d_n d_{n-1})c](g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.20). Furthermore, by (1.3) and (1.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^n D^n & = \delta^n d_{n-1} d_{n-2} \cdots d_0 = (\delta^n d_{n-1}) d_{n-2} \cdots d_0 \\
& = (d_n \delta^{n-1} + (-1)^n d_n d_{n-1}) d_{n-2} \cdots d_0 \\
& = d_n \delta^{n-1} d_{n-2} \cdots d_0 + (-1)^n d_n d_{n-1} d_{n-2} \cdots d_0 \\
& = d_n \delta^{n-1} D^{n-1} + (-1)^n D^{n+1};
\end{aligned}$$

this proves (1.21). Finally, to prove (1.22), we use (1.21) and induction in n . Since $\delta^0 = 0$, we have $\delta^0 D^0 = 0$. Assume that for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, relation (1.22) is already proven for all $n \leq m-1$. If m is odd then $m-1$ is even and

$$\delta^m D^m = d_m \delta^{m-1} D^{m-1} + (-1)^m D^{m+1} = -D^{m+1}.$$

If m is even then $m - 1$ is odd and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^m D^m &= d_m \delta^{m-1} D^{m-1} + (-1)^m D^{m+1} \\ &= d_m (-D^m) + D^{m+1} = -D^{m+1} + D^{m+1} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

COROLLARY 1.22. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a \in \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$. Then $D^n a$ is a n -cocycle of G with values in A^G .*

Proof. By Lemma 1.17, $D^n a \in \mathcal{C}^n(G, A^G)$. Thus, we only need to check that $\delta^n D^n a = 0$. By (1.22),

$$\delta^n D^n = \begin{cases} -D^{n+1} & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ even;} \end{cases}$$

this implies $\delta^n D^n a = 0$ since $D^{n+1} a = 0$. \square

1.6. Iterated difference operators and polymorphisms. Here we establish that polynomial-like elements are closely related to polymorphisms. This connection provides us with an appropriate tool for the proving that the spaces of polynomial-like elements are of finite dimension, i.e., the Main Theorem.

NOTATION 1.23. *Let $\mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G)$ denote the subgroup of the group $\mathcal{L}_n(G, A^G)$ consisting of all symmetric A^G -valued n -morphisms of G . In more details, a n -morphism*

$$L: \underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_n \rightarrow A^G$$

belongs to $\mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G)$ whenever $L(g_{j_1}, \dots, g_{j_n}) = L(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ for any $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ and any permutation (j_1, \dots, j_n) of the indices $1, \dots, n$. \circ

Suppose $a \in \mathcal{P}_0(G, A)$, that is, $a \in A$ and $[D^1 a](g) = a^g - a = 0$ for all $g \in G$. This shows that the element a is in A^G and hence its image $D^0 a = a$ belongs to $A^G = \mathcal{L}_0(G, A^G)$ (see Definition 1.14).

Suppose now that $a \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, A)$. Then, according to Corollary 1.22, $D^1 a$ is a 1-cocycle of G with values in A^G . Hence

$$D^1 a: G \rightarrow A^G \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^1(D^1 a) = 0, \quad \text{that is,}$$

$$[D^1 a](g_2) - [D^1 a](g_1 g_2) + [D^1 a](g_1) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in G,$$

which shows that the mapping $D^1 a: G \rightarrow A^G$ is a group homomorphism whenever $a \in \mathcal{P}_1(G, A)$.

Combining this simple observation with Lemma 1.5, we come to the following property:

PROPOSITION 1.24. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a \in \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$. Then $D^n a \in \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G)$, that is, $D^n a$ is a symmetric A^G -valued n -morphism of G .*

Proof. The symmetry of $D^n a$ and the inclusion $[D^n a](g_1, \dots, g_n) \in A^G$ for any $a \in \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ and all $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ have been established in Lemmas 1.5 and 1.17. Thus, we only need to prove that $D^n a$ is a polymorphism.

The proof is by induction in n . The cases $n = 0, 1$ have been already handled above. Suppose that $m \geq 2$ and the statement is already proven for $n = m - 1$. To

complete the proof, we need to show that $D^m a \in \mathcal{L}_m(G, A^G)$ whenever $D^{m+1}a = 0$.

Define cochains $c \in \mathcal{C}^m(G, A^G)$ and $c_h \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}(G, A^G)$ ($h \in G$) by

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{aligned} c(g_1, \dots, g_m) &= [D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \quad \text{and} \\ c_h(g_2, \dots, g_m) &= c(h, g_2, \dots, g_m) = [D^m a](h, g_2, \dots, g_m). \end{aligned}$$

We start with the following claim:

Claim 1. For any $h \in G$, the $(m-1)$ -cochain c_h ,

$$c_h: \underbrace{G \times \dots \times G}_{m-1} \ni (g_2, \dots, g_m) \mapsto c_h(g_2, \dots, g_m) = [D^m a](h, g_2, \dots, g_m) \in A^G,$$

is an A^G -valued $(m-1)$ -homomorphism.

To justify this claim, we apply Lemma 1.6 to the $(m+1)$ -cochain $D^{m+1}a$. By taking into account that $D^{m+1}a = 0$, for any $h_1, \dots, h_m \in G$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [D^m(a^h - a)](h_1, \dots, h_m) &= [D^m(a^h)](h_1, \dots, h_m) - [D^m a](h_1, \dots, h_m) \\ &= [D^{m+1}a](h, h_1, \dots, h_m) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The latter relation means that the element $b_h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^h - a$ is a polynomial-like element in A of order at most $m-1$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, $D^{m-1}b_h \in \mathcal{L}_{m-1}(G, A^G)$. Using Lemma 1.6 again, we obtain that for any $g_2, \dots, g_m \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} c_h(g_2, \dots, g_m) &= [D^m a](h, g_2, \dots, g_m) = [D^{m-1}(a^h)](g_2, \dots, g_m) - [D^{m-1}a](g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ &= [D^{m-1}(a^h - a)](g_2, \dots, g_m) = [D^{m-1}b_h](g_2, \dots, g_m). \end{aligned}$$

Thereby, $c_h = D^{m-1}b_h \in \mathcal{L}_{m-1}(G, A^G)$, which proves Claim 1.

In view of Claim 1, the following statement is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.19:

Claim 2. For any $h \in G$, the $(m-1)$ -cochain $c_h \in \mathcal{L}_{m-1}(G, A^G)$ defined in (1.23) is a $(m-1)$ -cocycle, that is, $[\delta^{m-1}c_h](g_1, \dots, g_m) = 0$ for every $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$.

Claim 3. For any $g_2, \dots, g_m \in G$ the mapping

$$(1.24) \quad F_{g_2, \dots, g_m}: G \ni g \mapsto [D^m a](g, g_2, \dots, g_m) \in A^G$$

is a group homomorphism.

To justify Claim 3, we apply Lemma 1.20 (with $n = m+1$) to the m -cochain $c = D^m a$ and $(m-1)$ -cochain c_h defined in (1.23). This gives the relation

$$(1.25) \quad \begin{aligned} [\delta^m D^m a](h, g_1, \dots, g_m) &= [\delta^m c](h, g_1, \dots, g_m) \\ &= c(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) - c(hg_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ &\quad + c(h, g_2, \dots, g_m) - [\delta^{m-1}c_h](g_1, \dots, g_m) \\ &= [D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) - [D^m a](hg_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ &\quad + [D^m a](h, g_2, \dots, g_m) - [\delta^{m-1}c_h](g_1, \dots, g_m). \end{aligned}$$

Since $D^m a$ is a m -cocycle (Corollary 1.22) and c_h is a $(m-1)$ -cocycle (Claim 2), relation (1.25) takes the form

$$[D^m a](hg_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = [D^m a](h, g_2, \dots, g_m) + [D^m a](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m),$$

which proves (1.24).

Combining Claim 1 with Claim 3, we complete the induction step, which proves Proposition 1.24. \square

REMARK 1.25. In fact, the above proof of Proposition 1.24 can be simplified: whenever Claim 1 is proven, one may use the symmetry of $D^n a$ (Lemma 1.5) to conclude that for any $n \geq 2$, the mapping $D^n a: \underbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}_n \rightarrow A^G$ is a group polymorphism. \circlearrowright

MAIN THEOREM. (a) $D^n(\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, the image $D^n(\mathcal{P}_n(G, A))$ of the subgroup $\mathcal{P}_n(G, A) \subseteq A$ of all polynomial-like elements of order at most n under the homomorphism $D^n: \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$ is contained in the subgroup $\mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$ consisting of all symmetric A^G -valued n -morphisms of the group G .

(b) Exact sequence (1.18) and embedding (1.19) may be written in the form

$$(1.26) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) \xrightarrow{D^n_{\mathcal{P}_n}} \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G)$$

and

$$i_n: [\mathcal{P}_n(G, A)/\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G).$$

(c) Suppose that F is a field of characteristic 0, G is a group, and A is a F -vector space endowed with a linear right G -action. If the group $\tilde{G} = G/[G, G]$ is finitely generated and $\dim_F A^G < \infty$ then

$$\dim_F \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) < \infty \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Proof. (a) is proven in Proposition 1.24. (b) follows from (a) and Lemma 1.17. To prove (c), we use induction in n , statement (b), and Lemma 1.16(d). Indeed, by assumption, the space $\mathcal{P}_0(G, A) = A^G$ is of finite dimension. Suppose that we already have that $\dim_F \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A) < \infty$. By Lemma 1.16(d), we also have that $\dim_F \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G) \leq \dim_F \mathcal{L}_n(G, A^G) < \infty$. Therefore, the induction hypothesis and the exact sequence (1.26) would imply that $\dim_F \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) < \infty$. \square

We immediately obtain the following estimate:

PROPOSITION 1.26. Under the same assumption of part (c) of Main Theorem, the following estimate holds:

$$\dim_F \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) \leq s \cdot \binom{n+r}{r},$$

where $s = \dim_F A^G$ and r is the rank of the abelian group \tilde{G} .

Proof. We prove it by induction in n . The estimate is obviously true for $n = 0$. So we assume that $n \geq 1$ and the estimate holds for $n - 1$, i.e.,

$$(1.27) \quad \dim_F \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A) \leq s \cdot \binom{n+r-1}{r}.$$

According to the proof of Main Theorem, we have

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim_F \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) &= \dim_F \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)/\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A) + \dim_F \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A) \\ &\leq \dim_F \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G) + \dim_F \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(G, A). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.16(d), we have

$$\dim_F \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G) = \dim_F \mathcal{L}_n^S(\mathbb{Z}^r, A^G).$$

Moreover, we recall from the proof of Lemma 1.16(d) that the polymorphisms $\{\ell_j^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq s, I \in \mathcal{I}}$ constitute a vector basis for $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{Z}^r, A^G)$, where \mathcal{I} is the family of all finite ordered sets I consisting of n natural numbers in $\{1, \dots, r\}$. For any $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}$, we define $\gamma(I) := (\gamma_1(I), \dots, \gamma_r(I))$, where for each $1 \leq k \leq r$, the notation $\gamma_k(I)$ is the number of indices $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_j = k$. If $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\gamma(I) = \gamma(J)$, we say that I and J belong to the same class. Consider any $L \in \mathcal{L}_n^S(\mathbb{Z}^r, A^G)$ and write it as follows

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} a_I^i \ell_I^i.$$

Due to the symmetry of L , whenever I, J are in the same class, we must have $a_I^i = a_J^i$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Thus, to determine L completely, one just needs to know the coefficients a_I^i for only one representative I in each class. By definition, the cardinality of these classes is equal to the number of the r -tuples consisting of r nonnegative integers $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ satisfying $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_r = n$. Therefore, we have

$$(1.29) \quad \dim_F \mathcal{L}_n^S(G, A^G) = (\dim_F A^G) \cdot \binom{n+r-1}{r-1},$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Using (1.27), (1.28), (1.29), we finish the proof. \square

2. Polynomial-like elements in a ring

Suppose that a group G acts from the right hand side in a ring A in such a way that for any $g \in G$ the mapping $A \ni a \mapsto a^g \in A$ is a ring automorphism. Under this assumption, we prove now some additional properties of the iterated difference operators and the set $\mathcal{P}(G, A)$ of all polynomial-like elements in A .

PROPOSITION 2.1. (a) **Leibniz-type formula:** For any $a, b \in A$ and $g \in G$,

$$(2.1) \quad [D^1(ab)](g) = a^g \cdot \{[D^1b](g)\} + \{[D^1a](g)\} \cdot b.$$

(b) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m+n \geq 1$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad D^{m+n-1}(ab) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } a, b \in A \text{ and } D^m a = D^n b = 0,$$

Equivalently, $ab \in \mathcal{P}_{m+n-1}(G, A)$ whenever $a \in \mathcal{P}_m(G, A)$ and $b \in \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$. In particular, the set $\mathcal{P}(G, A)$ of all polynomial-like elements in A is a subring of the ring A .

(c) Each operator $D^n: A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, A)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is A^G -linear, i.e., whenever $a \in A$ is a G -invariant element, we have that $D^n(ab) = aD^n b$ for all $b \in A$.

Proof. (a) (2.1) is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} [D^1(ab)](g) &= (ab)^g - ab = a^g b^g - ab = a^g \cdot (b^g - b) + (a^g - a) \cdot b \\ &= a^g \cdot \{[D^1b](g)\} + \{[D^1a](g)\} \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

(b) The proof is by induction in $N = m+n$. Since $D^0 = \text{id}_A$, statement (2.2) is trivial whenever one of the numbers m, n is 0; hence (2.2) is true for $N = 1$ and, from now on, we may assume $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that for some $N \geq 1$, statement

(2.2) is true for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m+n = N$. Take any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m+n = N+1$ and assume $D^m a = D^n b = 0$. By Lemma 1.6,

$$\begin{aligned} [D^{m-1}(a^{g_1} - a)](g_2, \dots, g_m) &= [D^{m-1}(a^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_m) - [D^{m-1}a](g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ &= [D^m a](g_1, \dots, g_m) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(2.3) \quad D^{m-1}(a^{g_1} - a) = 0 \text{ for any } g_1 \in G.$$

Hence, taking into account the assumption $D^n b = 0$ and the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad D^{m+n-2}[(a^{g_1} - a)b] = 0.$$

Similarly, the assumption $D^n b = 0$ implies

$$(2.5) \quad D^{n-1}(b^{g_1} - b) = 0 \text{ for any } g_1 \in G.$$

combining this with the assumption $D^m a = 0$ and the induction hypothesis, we get

$$(2.6) \quad D^{m+n-2}[a(b^{g_1} - b)] = 0.$$

Summing up relations (2.4) and (2.6) we see that $D^{m+n-2}[(a^{g_1} - a)b + a(b^{g_1} - b)] = 0$, that is,

$$(2.7) \quad D^{m+n-2}(a^{g_1}b + ab^{g_1} - 2ab) = 0.$$

Relation (2.3) certainly implies that $D^m(a^{g_1} - a) = 0$; in view of (2.5) and the induction hypothesis, this shows that

$$D^{m+n-2}[(a^{g_1} - a)(b^{g_1} - b)] = 0.$$

Thus,

$$(2.8) \quad D^{m+n-2}(a^{g_1}b^{g_1} - ab^{g_1} - a^{g_1}b + ab) = 0.$$

Summing up (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad D^{m+n-2}(a^{g_1}b^{g_1} - ab) = 0.$$

Finally, we apply Lemma 1.6 to the element $D^{m+n-1}(ab)$ and take into account (2.9) to see that for every $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+n-1} \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [D^{m+n-1}(ab)](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+n-1}) \\ &= \{D^{m+n-2}[(ab)^{g_1}]\}(g_2, \dots, g_{m+n-1}) - [D^{m+n-2}(ab)](g_2, \dots, g_{m+n-1}) \\ &= [D^{m+n-2}(a^{g_1}b^{g_1} - ab)](g_2, \dots, g_{m+n-1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D^{m+n-1}(ab) = 0$, which completes the induction step and proves (b).

(c) This follows immediately from Lemma 1.3 and the fact that for any $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n$, one has

$$(ab)^{\pi_{i_1, \dots, i_s}(g_1, \dots, g_n)} = ab^{\pi_{i_1, \dots, i_s}(g_1, \dots, g_n)}.$$

□

3. Polynomial-like elements related to lattices

In this section, we now present an application of the Main Theorem to a concrete but important case: G is a lattice which acts naturally⁴ on an Euclidean space whose dimension is the same as the rank of the abelian group G . In this case, A is a G -invariant subalgebra of the algebra of continuous functions defined on the Euclidean space (see Example 1.8). We will first prove that polynomial-like elements of order n are exactly polynomials of the same order with G -periodic coefficients. As a consequence, we obtain Corollary 3.3 which states roughly that given a periodic elliptic operator \mathcal{D} , all of the dimensions of the spaces of polynomial-like solutions of \mathcal{D} of order n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) are finite provided that there are only finitely many linearly independent periodic solutions. Notice that the same results should hold for the case of periodic elliptic operators on co-compact abelian coverings; however, for simplicity, here we focus first on the Euclidean case and then consider briefly the covering case.

3.1. Polynomials with periodic coefficients. Let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ be a lattice of rank r in \mathbb{R}^r . This lattice acts naturally in the space $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ of all (real or complex) continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^r ; namely⁵,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} C(\mathbb{R}^r) \ni f &\mapsto f^g \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^r), \\ &\text{where } f^g(x) = f(T_g x) = f(x + g), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^r, \quad g \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, all mappings (3.1) are automorphisms of the algebra $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$. Denote by C^G the subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ consisting of all G -invariant (or, which is the same, G -periodic) functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^r)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set

$$\begin{aligned} P^G &= C^G[x_1, \dots, x_r], \\ P_n^G &= C_n^G[x_1, \dots, x_r] = \{p \in C^G[x_1, \dots, x_r] \mid \deg p \leq n\}; \end{aligned}$$

here x_1, \dots, x_r are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^r . In other words, P^G is the algebra (over \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R}) of all polynomials in the coordinates x_1, \dots, x_r with continuous G -periodic coefficients; P_n^G is the vector subspace of P_n^G consisting of all polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_r of degree at most n with continuous G -periodic coefficients. By misuse of language, we call elements in P^G as *G -periodic polynomials* (or more specifically, *G -periodic polynomials of degree at most n* for elements in P_n^G). A G -periodic polynomial of the form $Q_n = f(x) \cdot x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}$ ($1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r$) with G -periodic coefficient $f \neq 0$ is said to be *G -periodic monomial of degree n* ; such a monomial may also be written in the form $Q_n = f(x) \cdot x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_r^{j_r}$, where $j_1, \dots, j_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $j_1 + \dots + j_r = n$. Any G -periodic polynomial of degree at most n is a sum of G -periodic monomials of degree at most n ; such a representation is unique (up to an order of summands). Clearly, all P_n^G are G -invariant subspaces of $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$, and P^G itself is a G -invariant subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$.

The G -action in $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ gives rise to iterated difference operators

$$D^n: C(\mathbb{R}^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r))$$

⁴I.e., the action is isometric, free, properly discontinuous, and co-compact.

⁵If we wish, this action may be regarded as a right one; this is of no importance since G is commutative.

(see (1.2) and (1.3)). As in Section 1, we define the subspaces $\mathcal{P}_n(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r)) \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ of *polynomial-like elements in $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ of order at most n* by

$$\mathcal{P}_n(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r)) = \ker D^{n+1}$$

(see Definition 1.7).

LEMMA 3.1. (a) $D^{n+1}Q_m = 0$ for any G -periodic monomial of degree $m \leq n$.
 (b) Let $Q_n = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} = x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_r^{j_r}$, where $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r$, $j_1, \dots, j_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $j_1 + \dots + j_r = n$, and each coordinate x_k ($k = 1, \dots, r$) enters the product $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}$ precisely j_k times. Let $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ and

$$g_i = (g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,r}) = \sum_{k=1}^r g_{i,k} \mathbf{e}_k \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r\}$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^r . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [D^n Q_n](g_1, \dots, g_n) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}(n)} g_{1, i_{s_1}} g_{2, i_{s_2}} \cdots g_{n, i_{s_n}} \\ (3.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= j_1! \cdots j_r! \cdot \sum_{\kappa} g_{1, k_1} g_{2, k_2} \cdots g_{n, k_n}; \end{aligned}$$

here $\sigma = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbf{S}(n)$ in the first sum runs over all the permutations of the indices $1, \dots, n$, whereas $\kappa = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ in the second sum runs over all finite sequences of length n containing each of the indices $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ precisely j_k times.

Proof. (a) The proof is by induction in n . If f is a G -periodic function then $f^g = f$ for all $g \in G$, that is,

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad \qquad D^1 f = 0 \quad \text{for any } G\text{-periodic } f.$$

For each coordinate function $\phi_i(x) = x_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and any $g = (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in G$, we have

$$\phi_i^g(x) = \phi_i(x + g) = x_i + g_i \quad \text{and} \quad \{[D^1 \phi_i](g)\}(x) = \phi_i(x + g) - \phi_i(x) = g_i.$$

Since the right hand side g_i of the latter relation does not depend on x , it is G -invariant and, therefore,

$$\{[D^2 \phi_i](g', g'')\} = \{[D^1 \phi_i](g')\}^{g''} - \{[D^1 \phi_i](g')\} = 0,$$

which means that $D^2 \phi_i = 0$. Due to Proposition 2.1, this implies that

$$(3.4) \qquad \qquad \qquad D^2(a\phi_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, r \text{ whenever } a \in C(\mathbb{R}^r)^G.$$

Relations (3.3), (3.4) provide us with a base of induction.

Suppose that statement (a) is already proven for some $n \geq 1$. Let $Q_m = f(x) \cdot x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}$ be a G -periodic monomial of degree $m \leq n + 1$. If $m \leq n$ then, by the induction hypothesis, $D^{n+1}Q_m = 0$, which certainly implies $D^{n+2}Q_m = 0$. On the other hand, if $m = n + 1$, we may represent the monomial $Q_{n+1} = Q_m$ as a product $Q_{n+1} = Q_n \cdot x_{i_{n+1}}$, where Q_n is a G -periodic monomial of degree n . By the induction hypothesis and (3.4), we have

$$D^{n+1}Q_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D^2 x_{i_{n+1}} = D^2 \phi_{i_{n+1}} = 0.$$

Using this and Proposition 2.1(b) (with the pair $(n + 1, 2)$ instead of (m, n)), we obtain

$$D^{n+2}(Q_{n+1}) = D^{(n+1)+2-1}(Q_n \cdot x_{i_{n+1}}) = 0,$$

which concludes the induction step and proves (a).

(b) The proof of the first equality in (3.2) is by induction on n (the coincidence of the two sums in (3.2) under the condition $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} = x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_r^{j_r}$ is an elementary combinatorial fact; we skip its proof).

First, if $n = 1$ we have $Q_1 = x_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and hence $[D^1 Q_1](g_1) = (x_i + g_{1,i}) - x_i = g_{1,i}$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that the first sum in (3.2) is equal to $g_{1,i}$ whenever $n = 1$, $j_i = 1$, and $j_k = 0$ for $k \neq i$. This proves formula (3.2) for $n = 1$.

Suppose that for some $n \geq 2$, the formula (3.2) is already proven for all $m \leq n - 1$. Let us show that then (3.2) holds true for $m = n$. Take a monomial $Q_n = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}$ ($1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq r$) and write it in the form $Q_n = x_{i_1} \cdot Q_{n-1}$, where $Q_{n-1} = x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}$. By Lemma 1.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [D^n Q_n](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) &= [D^{n-1}(Q_n^{g_1})](g_2, \dots, g_n) - [D^{n-1} Q_n](g_2, \dots, g_n) \\ (3.5) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \{D^{n-1}([D^1 Q_n](g_1))\}(g_2, \dots, g_n) \\ &= \{D^{n-1}([D^1(x_{i_1} \cdot Q_{n-1})](g_1))\}(g_2, \dots, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

By the Leibniz-type formula (Proposition 2.1(a)),

$$\begin{aligned} [D^1(x_{i_1} \cdot Q_{n-1})](g_1) &= (x_{i_1}^{g_1}) \cdot [D^1 Q_{n-1}](g_1) + \{[D^1 x_{i_1}](g_1)\} \cdot Q_{n-1} \\ &= (x_{i_1} + g_{1,i_1}) \cdot [D^1 Q_{n-1}](g_1) + g_{1,i_1} \cdot Q_{n-1} \\ &= (x_{i_1} + g_{1,i_1}) \cdot [(x_{i_2} + g_{1,i_2}) \cdots (x_{i_n} + g_{1,i_n}) - x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}] + g_{1,i_1} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [D^1(x_{i_1} \cdot Q_{n-1})](g_1) &= x_{i_1} \cdot \sum_{s=2}^n g_{1,i_s} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_s}} \cdots x_{i_n} + g_{1,i_1} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n} \\ (3.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &+ P_{n-2}(x_1, \dots, x_r; g_1) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n g_{1,i_s} \cdot x_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_s}} \cdots x_{i_n} + P_{n-2}(x_1, \dots, x_r; g_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{n-2}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is a polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_r of degree at most $n - 2$ (with constant coefficients depending on the element $g_1 \in G$). It follows from statement (a) that $D^{n-1} P_{n-2} = 0$; therefore, formulae (3.5) and (3.6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} [D^n Q_n](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) &= \left[D^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^n g_{1,i_s} \cdot x_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_s}} \cdots x_{i_n} \right](g_2, \dots, g_n) \\ (3.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \sum_{s=1}^n g_{1,i_s} \cdot [D^{n-1}(x_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_s}} \cdots x_{i_n})](g_2, \dots, g_n). \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$(3.8) \qquad [D^{n-1}(x_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_s}} \cdots x_{i_n})](g_2, \dots, g_n) = \sum_{\sigma_s} g_{2,i_{\sigma_s(2)}} \cdots g_{n,i_{\sigma_s(n)}},$$

where σ_s runs over all one-to-one mappings $\{2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \widehat{s}, \dots, n\}$. It follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} [D^n Q_n](g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) &= \sum_{s=1}^n g_{1, i_s} \cdot [D^{n-1}(x_{i_1} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_s}} \cdots x_{i_n})](g_2, \dots, g_n) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n g_{1, i_s} \cdot \sum_{\sigma_s} g_{2, i_{\sigma_s(2)}} \cdots g_{n, i_{\sigma_s(n)}} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}(n)} g_{1, i_{s_1}} g_{2, i_{s_2}} \cdots g_{n, i_{s_n}}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the induction step and proves Lemma. \square

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let a lattice $G \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ of rank r act naturally in $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$. A function $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ is a G -periodic polynomial of degree at most n if and only if $D^{n+1}p = 0$. In other words,*

$$P_n^G = \mathcal{P}_n(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ker [D^{n+1}: C(\mathbb{R}^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r))].$$

Proof. Since all the iterated difference operators are linear, the inclusion $P_n^G \subseteq \ker D^{n+1}$ follows immediately from Lemma 3.1(a).

The proof of the opposite inclusion $\ker D^{n+1} \subseteq P_n^G$ is by induction in n . Let $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ and $D^1 p = 0$; that is, $p(x+g) = p(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $g \in G$, which means that p is a G -periodic polynomial of degree 0. This gives us the base of induction. Suppose that the inclusion $\ker D^n \subseteq P_{n-1}^G$ is already proven for some $n \geq 1$. Let $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^r)$ and $D^{n+1}p = 0$. By part (a) of Main Theorem, $D^n p$ is a symmetric n -polymorphism on G with values in $C^G(\mathbb{R}^r)$. Hence, $D^n p$ may be recovered from its values $(D^n p)(\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n})$, where $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r$ is a free basis of G and (i_1, \dots, i_n) runs over $\{1, \dots, r\}^n$. For every $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ with $|\boldsymbol{\nu}| = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r = n$, we denote by $\mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ the set of all $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, r\}^n$ such that the number of appearances of each $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ in the sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is precisely ν_j . Since $D^n p$ is symmetric, the value $(D^n p)(\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n})$ depends only on $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ and does not depend on a choice of a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. Thus, we may define

$$a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\nu}!} \cdot (D^n p)(\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}),$$

where (i_1, \dots, i_n) is an arbitrary element of $\mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$. Clearly $a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is a continuous G -invariant function on \mathbb{R}^r . Let

$$(3.9) \quad p' = p - \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}: |\boldsymbol{\nu}|=n} a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(x) x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_r^{\nu_r}.$$

Certainly $D^{n+1}p' = 0$. Moreover, $(D^n p')(\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_n}) = 0$ for all $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, r\}^n$ due to Lemma 3.1(b). By part (a) of Main Theorem, this implies that $D^n p' = 0$. The induction hypothesis implies that p' is a G -periodic polynomial of degree at most $n-1$. According to (3.9), p is a G -periodic polynomial of degree at most n , which completes the proof. \square

3.2. G -periodic polynomials in G -invariant subspaces. Let A be a G -invariant vector subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$. Set $A^G = A \cap C^G$ and $P_n^G(A) = A \cap P_n^G$. In other words, A^Γ consists of all G -invariant continuous functions that belong to A , and $P_n^G(A)$ consists of all G -periodic polynomials of degree at most n that belong to A . Clearly, A^G and $P_n^G(A)$ are vector spaces.

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose that the space A^G is of finite dimension. Then every $P_n^G(A)$ is of finite dimension as well.*

Proof. By the above definition and Proposition 3.2, we have

$$(3.10) \quad P_n^G(A) = A \cap P_n^G = A \cap \mathcal{P}_n(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r)).$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} A \cap \mathcal{P}_n(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r)) &= A \cap \ker \{D^{n+1} : C(\mathbb{R}^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r))\} \\ &= \ker \{D^{n+1}|_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, C(\mathbb{R}^r))\} \\ &= \ker \{D^{n+1}|_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, A)\} = \mathcal{P}_n(G, A). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11), we see that

$$(3.12) \quad P_n^G(A) = \mathcal{P}_n(G, A).$$

The lattice G is finitely generated and, by our assumption, $\dim A^G < \infty$. Hence, by Main Theorem, $\dim \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) < \infty$, and (3.12) implies $\dim P_n^G(A) < \infty$. \square

REMARK 3.4. Any G -periodic polynomial $a \in A$ is a sum of monomials with G -invariant coefficients. In the case we know that the coefficients of all these monomials are in A (and thereby, actually, in A^G), we could prove that $\dim P_n^G(A) < \infty$ without referring to Main Theorem.

Indeed, let us denote by P_n the vector space of all polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_r of degree at most n with constant coefficients. The tensor product $\mathcal{T}_n = A^G \otimes P_n$ of the finite dimensional vector spaces A^G and P_n is of finite dimension. In fact, \mathcal{T}_n may be represented as the space of all functions $F(y, x)$ on the direct product $\mathbb{R}_y^r \times \mathbb{R}_x^r$ of the form

$$\sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r \leq n} f_{j_1, \dots, j_r}(y_1, \dots, y_r) x_1^{j_1} \dots x_r^{j_r}$$

with coefficients $f_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \in A^G$. Any G -polynomial $a \in P_n^G(A)$ with coefficients in A^G may be considered as the restriction of a certain function $F \in \mathcal{T}_n$ to the diagonal $\Delta = \{x = y\}$ of $\mathbb{R}_y^r \times \mathbb{R}_x^r$. Since \mathcal{T}_n is of finite dimension, $P_n^G(A)$ is such as well.

However, the coefficients of a G -periodic polynomial $a \in P_n^G(A)$ may not be in A^G , and the above ‘‘proof’’ does not apply in this situation. \circ

As above, let G be a full rank lattice in \mathbb{R}^r and \mathcal{D} be a linear partial differential operator in \mathbb{R}^r with continuous G -periodic coefficients. Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the space of all classical global solutions u of the equation $\mathcal{D}u = 0$. Clearly, \mathcal{S} is a G -invariant vector subspace of $C(\mathbb{R}^r)$. Denote by $P_n^G(\mathcal{S})$ the space of all solutions $p \in \mathcal{S}$ that are G -polynomials of degree at most n :

$$P_n^G(\mathcal{S}) = \left\{ p = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r \leq n} f_{j_1, \dots, j_r}(x_1, \dots, x_r) x_1^{j_1} \dots x_r^{j_r} \mid \right. \\ \left. \text{all } f_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \text{ are } G\text{-periodic, } \mathcal{D}p = 0 \right\}.$$

The following result follows immediately from Theorem 3.3:

COROLLARY 3.5. *Suppose that the space \mathcal{S}^G of all G -periodic solutions of the equation $\mathcal{D}u = 0$ is of finite dimension. Then $\dim P_n^G(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Notice that no additional restrictions to the linear partial differential operator \mathcal{D} are required. One has just to assume that *the coefficients of \mathcal{D} (real or complex) are continuous and G -periodic, and the space \mathcal{S}^G of all classical G -periodic solutions of the equation $\mathcal{D}u = 0$ is of finite dimension.* Furthermore, the continuity of the coefficients of \mathcal{D} does not seem necessary. In this case, one can define and then obtain analogous results for certain classes of “generalized G -periodic polynomial” solutions. However, for general linear partial differential operators \mathcal{D} , the *a priori Liouville-type assumption* $\dim \mathcal{S}^G < \infty$ cannot be omitted, unless \mathcal{D} satisfies an appropriate maximum principle.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let \mathcal{D} be an elliptic operator of second-order with real coefficients acting on functions $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^r)$:

$$\mathcal{D} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^r a_{ij}(x) \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i(x) \partial_i + c(x).$$

Here the coefficients a_{ij}, b_i, c are real, locally Hölder continuous, \mathbb{Z}^r -periodic functions. The matrix $A(x) := (a_{ij}(x))$ is positive definite. Also, we assume that the zeroth-order coefficient $c(x) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{1})$ is non-negative for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant function with value 1. Then \mathcal{D} satisfies the strong maximum principle (see e.g., [11, Lemma 3.6]).

3.3. Polynomial-like solutions of periodic differential operators on co-compact Riemannian coverings. In this subsection, we provide briefly some details as in Subsection 3.2 for the case when \mathcal{D} is a periodic differential operator defined on a co-compact Riemannian covering. Let X be a connected Riemannian manifold equipped with an isometric, free, properly discontinuous and co-compact right group action of a finitely generated discrete group G (G may be non-abelian) and let \mathcal{D} be a G -periodic elliptic differential operator on X , i.e., \mathcal{D} commutes with the group action of G . We always assume that the principal symbol of \mathcal{D} is a negative-definite quadratic form. To study G -periodic polynomials in this setting, we define the class of additive functions on the covering X as follows (see more details in [7, 11]):

DEFINITION 3.7. A real continuous function u on X is said to be *additive* if there is a homomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad u^g(x) = u(x) + \alpha(g), \quad \text{for all } (g, x) \in G \times X,$$

where $u^g(x) = u(g \cdot x)$.

We also denote by $\mathcal{A}(X)$ the vector space consisting of all additive functions on X .

It is known that the vector space $\mathcal{A}(X)/C^G(X)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R})$ (see [11, Lemma 2.7]). Clearly, $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{R})$, where $\tilde{G} = G/[G, G]$. Hence, the dimension of $\mathcal{A}(X)/C^G(X)$ is equal to the rank r of the finitely generated abelian group \tilde{G} . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be a vector basis of $\text{Hom}(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^r$ and h_1, \dots, h_r be a corresponding basis of $\mathcal{A}(X)$ (modulo G -periodic functions) via the

isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}(X)/C^G(X)$ and $\text{Hom}(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{R})$. Notice that when $G = \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $X = \mathbb{R}^r$, it is easy to see that $h_j(x) = \phi_j(x) = x_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $x \in X$; thus, we may regard these functions h_1, \dots, h_r as some analogs of Euclidean coordinate functions on the covering X (see also [1] for the case of co-compact abelian coverings). By misuse of language, we say that a G -periodic monomial of degree n is an element Q_n if it has the form $Q_n = f(x) \cdot h_1(x)^{j_1} \dots h_r(x)^{j_r}$, where the coefficient $f \neq 0$ is G -periodic and $j_1, \dots, j_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $j_1 + \dots + j_r = n$. As before, a G -periodic polynomial is a sum of G -periodic monomials and this representation is unique up to a G -periodic function. Let P^G (P_n^G) be the algebra of G -periodic polynomials (of order at most n). Then P^G (P_n^G) is a G -invariant subalgebra (resp. subspace) of $C(X)$.

The G -action on X induces the iterated difference operators

$$D^n : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^n(G, C(X)).$$

Again, the subspaces $\mathcal{P}_n(G, C(X))$ of $C(X)$ of polynomial-like elements in $C(X)$ of order at most n is the kernel of the operator D^{n+1} . Due to (3.13), each term $[D^1 h_i](g)(x) = h_i(g \cdot x) - h_i(x)$ is independent of x ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Using this fact, we can repeat the proof of Lemma 3.1(a) to see that the same statement should hold, i.e., $D^{n+1}Q=0$ for any G -periodic monomial Q of degree at most n . This means that

$$P_n^G \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n(G, C(X)).$$

Now suppose that A is a G -invariant vector subspace of $C(X)$. We also denote $A^G = A \cap C^G(X)$ and $P_n^G(A) = A \cap P_n^G$. Therefore, $P_n^G(A) \subseteq A \cap \mathcal{P}_n(G, C(X)) = \mathcal{P}_n(G, A)$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.3). By applying the Main Theorem again, whenever $\dim A^G < \infty$, we have $\dim P_n^G(A) \leq \dim \mathcal{P}_n(G, A) < \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

It is worthy mentioning that when G is abelian, all of the results in Subsection 3.1 and Subsection 3.2 still hold. The proofs of these results do not require any change in this case, so we skip the details.

We finish this subsection by proving the following statement:

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let X be a Riemannian manifold which is a Galois covering of a compact Riemannian manifold and G be its deck transformation group. Suppose that the abelianization \tilde{G} of G has rank r and G is of polynomial growth⁶. Let h_1, \dots, h_r be a basis of the vector space $\mathcal{A}(X)$ (modulo G -periodic functions on X). Let \mathcal{D} be a G -periodic, real elliptic operator of second-order on X such that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{1}) \geq 0$. Also, let $P_n^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}})$ be the space of all solutions u of the equation $\mathcal{D}u = 0$ on X such that*

$$u(x) = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r \leq n} f_{j_1, \dots, j_r}(x) h_1(x)^{j_1} \dots h_r(x)^{j_r},$$

where each term $f_{j_1, \dots, j_r}(x)$ in the above sum is G -periodic.

Then $\dim P_n^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Furthermore, for any $n \geq 0$, we have

- (i) If $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{1}) \neq 0$, $P_n^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) = \{0\}$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, the following estimate holds:

$$\dim P_n^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq \binom{n+r}{r}.$$

⁶Due to the celebrated work of M. Gromov, this is equivalent to the assumption that G is virtually nilpotent.

PROOF. According to our above discussion, $\dim P_n^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ if and only if $\dim P_0^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) < \infty$. It is known (see [11, Theorem 6.9]) that when $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, the dimension of the space $P_0^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}})$ consisting of all G -periodic (bounded) solutions on X is one. When $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{1}) \neq 0$, [11, Theorem 4.5] yields that $\dim P_0^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$. In both cases, $P_0^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}})$ has finite dimension. This proves the first statement. The second statement then follows immediately from the fact that $\dim P_n^G(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq \dim \mathcal{P}_n(G, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}})$ and Proposition 1.26. \square

REMARK 3.9. Note that in the case $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{1}) \neq 0$, Proposition 3.8 is still valid even if the growth of G is not polynomial.

References

- [1] S. Agmon, *On positive solutions of elliptic equations with periodic coefficients in \mathbf{R}^n , spectral results and extensions to elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, Differential equations (Birmingham, Ala., 1983), 1984, pp. 7–17.
- [2] M. Avellaneda and F.-H. Lin, *Un théorème de Liouville pour des équations elliptiques à coefficients périodiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **309** (1989), no. 5, 245–250.
- [3] A. Brudnyi, *Holomorphic functions of polynomial growth on abelian coverings of a compact complex manifold*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), no. 3, 485–510.
- [4] T. H. Colding and W. P. Minicozzi II, *Harmonic functions on manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **146** (1997), no. 3, 725–747.
- [5] ———, *Weyl type bounds for harmonic functions*, Invent. math. **131** (1998), no. 2, 257–298.
- [6] ———, *Liouville Theorems for Harmonic Sections*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **51** (1998), no. 2, 113–138.
- [7] M. Kha, *A short note on additive functions on co-compact Riemannian normal coverings*. arXiv:1511.00185, preprint.
- [8] P. Kuchment, *An overview of periodic elliptic operators*, Bulletin (New Series) of the American Mathematical Society **53** (July 2016), no. 3, 343–414.
- [9] P. Kuchment and Y. Pinchover, *Liouville theorems and spectral edge behavior on abelian coverings of compact manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 12, 5777–5815.
- [10] V. Lin, *Liouville coverings of complex spaces and amenable groups*, Matem. Sbornik (in Russian [Engl. transl. Math. USSR-Sb. 60 (1988), no. 1, 197–216]) **132(174)** (1987), no. 2, 202–224.
- [11] V. Ya. Lin and Y. Pinchover, *Manifolds with group actions and elliptic operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1994), no. 540, vi+78.
- [12] V. Lin and M. Zaidenberg, *Liouville and Caratheodory coverings in Riemannian and complex geometry*, Voronezh Winter Mathematical Schools, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, Adv. Math. Sci., 37, 1998, pp. 111–130.
- [13] T. Lyons and D. Sullivan, *Function theory, random paths and covering spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), no. 2, 299–323.
- [14] J. Moser and M. Struwe, *On a Liouville-type theorem for linear and nonlinear elliptic differential equations on a torus*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **23** (1992), no. 1-2, 1–20.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, ARIZONA, 85721, USA

E-mail address: minhkha@math.arizona.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA, ISRAEL 32000

E-mail address: vlalin@gmail.com

E-mail address: vlin@technion.ac.il