

QUASI-POSITIVITY AND RECOGNITION OF PRODUCTS OF CONJUGACY CLASSES IN FREE GROUPS

ROBERT W. BELL AND RITA GITIK

ABSTRACT. Given a group G and a subset $X \subset G$, an element $g \in G$ is called quasi-positive if it is equal to a product of conjugates of elements in the semigroup generated by X . This notion is important in the context of braid groups, where it has been shown that the closure of quasi-positive braids coincides with the geometrically defined class of \mathbb{C} -transverse links. We describe an algorithm that recognizes whether or not an element of a free group is quasi-positive with respect to a basis. Spherical cancellation diagrams over free groups are used to establish the validity of the algorithm and to determine the worst-case runtime.

1. INTRODUCTION

A natural topological question is whether a given link L in 3-dimensional Euclidean space is equivalent to the closure of a braid in which all of the strands cross in a positive sense. Such a braid is called a positive braid. Analogously, one might study when a link is equivalent to the closure of a product of conjugacy classes of positive braids; such a link is called quasi-positive (see, for instance, [1], [3], [4], [7], [8], [9], [10], [12], [14], [15], and [16]).

Quasi-positivity has a striking geometric interpretation: Rudolph proved that \mathbb{C} -transverse links, i.e. links which are the intersection of a complex curve and a sphere in \mathbb{C}^2 transverse to the given curve, are quasi-positive; and Boileau and Orevkov proved the converse. (See section 3.2.2 of [3] for references and further discussion.)

From an algebraic perspective, an element of the braid group is quasi-positive if and only if it belongs to the semigroup that is normally generated by the standard braid generators.

1.1. Quasi-positivity in abstract groups. Quasi-positivity is a condition on elements of a group G with a distinguished subset P consisting of a priori positive elements. An element $g \in G$ is defined to be quasi-positive if it is equal in G to a product of conjugates of elements of P . For our purposes, it is convenient to regard the identity element as a quasi-positive element: thus we call an element quasi-positive if it belongs to the normal closure of the monoid generated by P .

It seems natural to investigate quasi-positivity when $G = F(X)$ is a free group and $P = X$ is a basis. Hence, we ask the following:

Date: 29 January 2019.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. MSC 2010 20E05, 20E45, 20F10, 68Q25.

Key words and phrases. free group, quasi-positive, product of conjugates, complexity of algorithms.

Can one decide whether or not a word over an alphabet X represents a quasi-positive element of the free group $F(X)$?

Orevkov provided a positive answer to this question in his work on quasi-positivity in the three strand braid group [11]. Orevkov also attributes a solution to this problem to Poénaru, as communicated by Blank in [13].

In this article, we give a different algorithmic solution to this question. Our methods are topological and both extend and complement the work of Orevkov. We compare our methods to Orevkov's in Section 7.

We hope that this investigation may be useful in the study of quasi-positivity in braid groups. An immediate application is the following: if $g \in F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is quasi-positive, then g maps to a quasi-positive element of the n -strand braid group under the natural map that sends x_i to the element σ_i that braids the $(i+1)$ -st strand over the i -th strand.

1.2. Products of conjugacy classes in free groups. Gersten [5] studied the following problem:

Given a sequence $w_1, \dots, w_k \in F(X)$, when do there exist $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F(X)$ such that $w_1^{c_1} \cdots w_k^{c_k} = 1$?

Gersten reformulates this question in terms of the topology of surfaces as follows. Since the sequence w_1, \dots, w_k involves only finitely many letters, we may suppose that X is finite, say $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let M be an oriented 2-sphere with k mutually disjoint open disks removed. Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$ be the oriented boundary circles of M . For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, subdivide Γ_i by choosing $|w_i|$ points as vertices, where $|a|$ denotes the number of letters in the word a . Choose one vertex to be the initial vertex on Γ_i , and then label the initial vertex by the first letter of w_i , the next vertex (in the order determined by the orientation) by the next letter of w_i , and so forth. For instance, if $w_1 = x_2x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1$, then Γ_1 is subdivided into four arcs by choosing four vertices with labels x_2, x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1} , and x_1 , respectively. Gersten's theorem can now be stated as follows:

Theorem (Gersten, Theorem 5.2 in [5]). *There exist $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F(X)$ such that $w_1^{c_1} \cdots w_k^{c_k} = 1$ if and only if there exist pairwise disjoint properly embedded tame arcs in M which join vertices labeled by inverse letters, i.e. one labeled by x and one by x^{-1} for some $x \in X$, and such that every vertex is joined by an arc to exactly one other vertex.*

A spherical diagram of the type described in the theorem is called a spherical cancellation diagram for w_1, \dots, w_k over $F(X)$. Gersten outlines a proof of this theorem and states that this theorem also follows from work of Culler [2] and Goldstein–Turner [6].

We will show how to apply Gersten's theorem to give an algorithmic solution to the problem of determining whether or not a given word is quasi-positive in $F(X)$.

2. QUASI-POSITIVITY ALGORITHMS FOR FREE GROUPS

Let $F(X)$ be the free group with basis X . Let $Y = X \cup X^{-1}$, and let Y^* be the free monoid on Y . The natural surjection $Y^* \rightarrow F(X)$ is defined by freely reducing, i.e. by removing subwords of the form xx^{-1} or $x^{-1}x$ for some $x \in X$. An element of $F(X)$ is positive if belongs to the positive semigroup $F^+(X)$ consisting of nonempty reduced words with no occurrence of a letter in X^{-1} . By convention, conjugation is

denoted by $a^b = b^{-1}ab$. As in the introduction, we define an element $w \in F(X)$ to be quasi-positive if it admits a factorization of the form $w = w_1^{c_1} \cdots w_k^{c_k}$ such that every w_i is positive. To simplify the algorithms and the statements of our results, we also call the identity element a quasi-positive element. Since recognizing whether or not a word over X represents the identity element can be solved in linear time, this does not change the complexity of recognizing quasi-positivity.

The starting point of our investigation is the following:

Lemma 1. *If $w \in F(X)$ is quasi-positive, then there exists a factorization of the form $w = x_{i_1}^{c_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{c_k}$, where each $x_{i_j} \in X$. Moreover, if $\Theta : F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^X$ is the abelianization map, then the number of x_{i_j} that is equal to some $x \in X$ is equal to the x -coordinate of $\Theta(w)$.*

Proof. If w is quasi-positive, then w is equal to a (possibly empty) word of the form $w_1^{c_1} \cdots w_m^{c_m} \in F(X)$ such that every $w_i \in F^+(X)$. Using the property that $(ab)^c = a^c b^c$, we can expand the expression until all of the bases of the exponents are positive letters. To see that the number of factors is computed by Θ , observe that $\Theta(x_{i_j}^{c_j}) = \Theta(x_{i_j})$ adds one to the x -coordinate of $\Theta(w)$ if and only if $x_{i_j} = x$. \square

We relate this to Gersten's theorem as follows. Suppose that w is quasi-positive. By Lemma 1, there exist $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} \in X$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F(X)$ such that

$$w \cdot (x_{i_k}^{-1})^{c_1} \cdots (x_{i_1}^{-1})^{c_k} = 1.$$

By Gersten's theorem, there is a spherical cancellation diagram with $k+1$ boundary circles: one circle is subdivided into $|w|$ arcs and vertices and these vertices are labeled by the letters in w , and the other k circles each have a single vertex labeled by their respective negative letter $x_{i_j}^{-1}$. We refer to the letters $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} \in X$ in such a factorization as the base letters. We will see in subsection 2.2 that a quasi-positive element may admit more than one factorization as a product of conjugates of positive letters; however, the number and type of the base letters recorded by $\Theta(w)$ must be the same.

Conversely, we deduce from Gersten's theorem and Lemma 1 that the question of whether or not a given $w \in F(X)$ is quasi-positive is equivalent to whether or not there is a spherical cancellation diagram for at least one sequence of the form $w, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}$, where $k = |\Theta(w)|$, the sum of the coordinates of $\Theta(w)$, and the number of x_{i_j} equal to a given $x \in X$ is the x -coordinate of $\Theta(w)$. Note that there are only finitely many such sequences.

It is not immediately clear how to decide for a given $w \in F(X)$ whether or not such a cancellation diagram exists. The content of Algorithm 1 (TESTQP) is an effective and algebraic procedure for deciding whether or not such a diagram exists. The content of Algorithm 2 (FACTORQP) is an effective and algebraic procedure for writing a word w as a product of conjugates of positive letters if TESTQP has determined that w is quasi-positive. The validity of both algorithms is established in Theorem 1.

Before describing these algorithms (and later investigating their computational complexity), we give a few examples to illustrate some of the difficulties that need to be addressed.

2.1. First example: a quasi-positive element might be disguised. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and consider the following word over X :

$$b \cdot aba^{-1} \cdot a^2b^{-1}a^{-1}baba^{-2}.$$

We have chosen a word which represents a quasi-positive element of $F(X)$. But, if we freely reduce this word and call the corresponding element w , then the fact that $w \in F(X)$ is quasi-positive may be less apparent:

$$w = babab^{-1}a^{-1}baba^{-2}.$$

Since $\Theta(w) = (0, 3)$, any factorization of w as a product of conjugates of letters in X must consist of 3 base letters all of which are equal to b . Topologically, there must exist a cancellation diagram for (w, b, b, b) . We invite the reader to find such a diagram on sphere with 4 boundary circles, one labeled by w and the others each labeled by the letter b^{-1} .

2.2. Second example: quasi-positive factorizations are not unique. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and consider the following word over X :

$$a \cdot (bab^{-1}) \cdot b \cdot (ba^{-1}bab^{-1}).$$

If we freely reduce this word and call the corresponding element w , then the fact that $w \in F(X)$ is quasi-positive is more apparent than in the previous example:

$$w = ababa^{-1}bab^{-1}.$$

We find that $\Theta(w) = (2, 2)$. So, any factorization of w as a product of conjugates of letters in X must consist of 4 base letters: two of which are a and two of which are b . Topologically, there must exist a cancellation diagram for (w, a, a, b, b) . We again invite the reader to find such a diagram on a sphere with 5 boundary circles, one labeled by w and the others each labeled by the letters a^{-1} , a^{-1} , b^{-1} , and b^{-1} , respectively. Note that a solution is not unique. Indeed, the following are different factorizations of w as a product of conjugates of positive letters:

$$w = a \cdot b \cdot aba^{-1} \cdot bab^{-1} = a \cdot b \cdot a \cdot ba^{-1}bab^{-1}.$$

2.3. Third example: the abelianization is not a complete invariant. If $w \in F(X)$ is quasi-positive, then $\Theta(w)$ must have non-negative coordinates. But the converse is not true, as the following example shows: let $w = [a, b]b = a^{-1}b^{-1}abb$. We have that $\Theta(w) = (0, 1)$, but there is no spherical cancellation diagram for w with two boundary circles such that one is labeled by w and the other by b^{-1} .

2.4. The Test for Quasi-Positivity algorithm. The algorithm $\text{TESTQP}(w)$ (described below in Algorithm 1) accepts a word w as input and returns true if w is quasi-positive and false if it is not. The reader is advised to read this algorithm in tandem with the example in subsection 2.5. The algorithm can be modified so that if w is quasi-positive, then it also returns a labelled binary tree T that encodes how it was determined that w is quasi-positive. This tree can then be used as input for the algorithm $\text{FACTORQP}(T)$, and a factorization of w as a product of conjugates of positive letters will be returned. A detailed example of this procedure appears in subsection 2.6.

Algorithm 1 Test for Quasi-Positivity

```

1: procedure TESTQP( $w$ )                                ▷ The input word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$  is
   stored in a list so that  $w[i] = w_i$  and each  $w_i \in X \cup X^{-1}$ . We use the notation
    $w[i : j] = [w_i, \dots, w_{j-1}]$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .
2:   for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do                            ▷ Find the initial negative letter.
3:     if ( $w[i] \in X^{-1}$ ) then
4:        $\text{double} \leftarrow ww$                                 ▷ Double  $w$  to simulate a cyclic word. Here  $ww$ 
   denotes the concatenation of the list  $w$  with itself.
5:       for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n - 1$  do ▷ Search for a matching positive letter  $x \in X$ 
   that is the inverse of the negative letter  $w[i] = x^{-1}$ .
6:         if ( $\text{double}[i + j] = w[i]^{-1}$ ) then            ▷ The pair of matching
   inverse letters  $x^{-1}, x$  subdivides the cyclic word into two subwords: the word
    $w_L$  to the Left of  $x^{-1}$  and to the right of  $x$  and the word  $w_R$  to the Right of
    $x^{-1}$  and to the left of  $x$ . In a cyclic word, these will wrap around.
7:            $w_L \leftarrow \text{double}[i + 1 : i + j]$ 
8:            $w_R \leftarrow \text{double}[i + j + 1 : n + i]$ 
9:           if (TESTQP( $w_L$ ) and TESTQP( $w_R$ )) then ▷ Recursively
   test  $w_L$  and  $w_R$ . If both are quasi-positive, then the matching inverse letter is
   “good”. If either  $w_L$  or  $w_R$  is not quasi-positive, then lines 11 and 12 will be
   called and the FOR loop on line 5 will increment  $j$  and continue to search for
   a good matching inverse letter.
10:            return true
11:          end if
12:        end if
13:      end for                                            ▷ If
   line 13 is called, then there is no good matching inverse letter for the negative
   letter  $x^{-1}$ . By Lemma 2, we deduce that  $w$  is not quasi-positive.
14:      return false
15:    end if
16:  end for                                                ▷ If line 16 is called, then  $w$  is positive or empty.
17:  return true
18: end procedure

```

2.5. **Fourth example: the TestQP algorithm in practice.** Consider the following element of $F(X)$:

$$w = babab^{-1}a^{-1}baba^{-1}a^{-1} = w_1w_2 \cdots w_{10}w_{11},$$

where $X = \{a, b\}$ and each w_i is a letter, i.e. an element of $Y = X \cup X^{-1}$. We describe the steps that result from calling TESTQP(w):

- (1) The procedure (line 1) is called with input $[w_1, \dots, w_{11}]$. The initial negative letter $w_5 = b^{-1}$ is found (line 2). The list

$$\text{double} = [w_1, \dots, w_{11}, w_1, \dots, w_{11}] = [d_1, \dots, d_{22}]$$

is constructed to facilitate our need to regard w as a cyclic word (without needing to speak of indices modulo $|w|$).

- (2) The next (starting with w_6) occurrence of the matching inverse letter is found: $w_7 = b$ is the inverse of w_5 . The cyclic word w is then split into two subwords by deleting the pair w_5, w_7 of inverse letters: let $w_L = a^{-1}$

Algorithm 2 Factor Quasi-Positive element

```

1: procedure FACTORQP(Tree) ▷ The
   input Tree is a rooted binary tree. Every degree one vertex contains a positive
   word. Every vertex of degree greater than one contains a quasi-positive word
    $w$  and a positive letter  $x$  coming from good pair  $x^{-1}, x$  contained in  $w$ . The
   two children of this vertex contain the words  $w_L$  and  $w_R$ , respectively.
2:   Number the vertices of Tree by  $1, 2, \dots$  using a Breadth First Search.
3:   repeat
4:     Find vertices (necessarily of degree one) with the two largest numbers. Let
      $w_L$  and  $w_R$  be the words they contain.
5:     Let  $w$  and  $x$  denote the word and positive letter, respectively, contained in
     the parent vertex of  $w_L$  and  $w_R$ .
6:     Since the tree was constructed from a call to TESTQP( $w$ ), we know that
      $w$  and  $x^{-1}w_Lxw_R$  are equal as cyclic words. Cyclically permute the letters of
      $x^{-1}w_Lxw_R$  until it equals  $w$  letter for letter.
7:     Using one of the cases of Lemma 3, write  $w$  as a product of conjugates of
     positive letters. Overwrite the contents of the parent vertex with this factor-
     ization.
8:     Delete both children of the parent node.
9:     Repeat using the next pair of vertices with the largest two numbers.
10:  until all nodes visited
11:  return the factorization of  $w$  contained in the root vertex
12: end procedure

```

(the left subword, meaning lying to the left of the initial negative letter) and $w_R = aba^{-1}a^{-1}baba$ (the right subword, which wraps around since w is a cyclic word).

- (3) Recursion is then used: the algorithm is called with input w_L (line 9). This returns false since, although a negative letter, namely a^{-1} is found in w_L , there is no matching inverse letter. The algorithm is not called on w_R since the compiler will recognize that the condition inside the IF statement in line 9 is false.
- (4) The FOR loop (line 5) finds the next matching inverse letter (starting the search at w_7): $w_9 = b$. As above, the cyclic word w is split into two subwords: let $w_L = a^{-1}ba$ and let $w_R = a^{-1}a^{-1}baba$. The algorithm is called on w_L . This time, the letter $w_6 = a^{-1}$ is found, a matching inverse letter, $w_8 = a$ is found and w_L is split into two subwords: $w_{LL} = b$ and $w_{RL} = 1$ (the empty word). Recursively, the algorithm is called on w_{LL} and true (line 16) since w_{LL} is positive. The same happens for w_{RL} since it is empty.
- (5) At this point, looking forward to constructing a factorization, the following information will be recorded in a rooted binary tree (see Figure 1). The root will contain the word w and the letter b (since b^{-1} was found in w); the left child of the root will contain the word w_L and a (since a^{-1} was found in w_L). The two children of w_L are vertices continuing $w_{LL} = b$ and $w_{RL} = 1$, respectively. These steps are not included in the algorithm so that the exposition is more clear.




FIGURE 1. The tree T constructed in the process of verifying that $w = babab^{-1}a^{-1}baba^{-1}a^{-1}$ is quasi-positive. Each leaf of T contains a positive word. Other nodes contain a word and a positive letter $x \in X$ corresponding to a good pair x^{-1}, x in w .

- (6) Next, the algorithm is called on $w_R = a^{-1}a^{-1}baba$. The first negative letter, $w_{10} = a^{-1}$ is found. The next matching positive letter $w_2 = a$ is found; this results in the subwords $w_{LR} = a^{-1}b$ (in line 7) and $w_{RR} = ba$. When the algorithm is called on w_{LR} it will find no positive letter to match a^{-1} . Therefore, the algorithm will continue (line 5) to search w_R for a good match for the negative letter a^{-1} . Indeed, $w_4 = a$ is a good match since $w_{LR} = a^{-1}bab$ and $w_{RR} = 1$ are quasi-positive. The negative letter $w_{11} = a^{-1}$ is found in w_{LR} and matched with $w_2 = a$ and the resulting subwords are $w_{LLR} = b$ and $w_{RLR} = b$. These are both positive. These two subwords and the letter a are recorded the binary tree as shown in Figure 1.
- (7) Finally, $w_{RR} = 1$ is recognized as empty. Tracing back through the recursive calls, we find that both conditions in the test on line 9 are satisfied at each step. The result is that the algorithm will return true (line 10) for the input word w .

Remark: The TESTQP algorithm tests whether or not the input word represents a quasi-positive element of a free group. We have chosen to regard a word that represents the identity as quasi-positive since it simplifies the algorithm. However, it is straightforward to recognize whether or not a word represents the identity and doing so will not change the worst-case runtime of the algorithm.

2.6. Fifth example: the FactorQP algorithm in practice. We step through a call of FACTORQP(T), where T is the binary tree in Figure 1 corresponding to the example in subsection 2.5

- (1) The vertices of the tree T are numbered from 1 (the root) to 9 (the vertex containing $w_{RLR} = b$ using a breadth first search (line 2).
- (2) The vertices with the two largest numbers, 8 and 9, contain $w_{LLR} = b$ and $w_{RLR} = b$, respectively (line 4).
- (3) The parent vertex (with number 6) contains the word $w_{LR} = a^{-1}bab$ and the letter a (line 5).
- (4) We construct $a^{-1}w_{LLR}aw_{RLR} = a^{-1}bab$. It so happens that this is equal to w_{LR} and so no cycling is required (line 6).
- (5) We write the factorization $a^{-1}ba \cdot b$ of w_{LR} to the vertex with the number 6 and delete the vertices with numbers 8 and 9 (lines 7 and 8). By abuse of notation, we denote this factorization by w_{LR} .

- (6) We repeat. The next two largest numbered vertices are vertex 6 and vertex 7 which contain $w_{LR} = a^{-1}ba \cdot b$ and $w_{RR} = 1$, respectively (line 5); their parent vertex contains w_R and the letter a .
- (7) As we step through lines 6–9, we find that

$$a^{-1}w_{LR}aw_{RR} = a^{-1}(a^{-1}ba \cdot b)a \cdot 1$$

is equal to w_R letter for letter (no cycling required); however expressing this as a product of conjugates of positive letters requires some care, as detailed in Lemma 3. The correct factorization is the following:

$$b^{aa} \cdot b^a = a^{-1}a^{-1}baa \cdot a^{-1}ba.$$

This factorization is written to vertex 3 and denoted by w_R .

- (8) The next two highest numbered vertices contain $w_{LL} = b$ and $w_{RL} = 1$, respectively. Using the letter a of the parent vertex, we obtain the factorization $a^{-1}ba$ of w_L ; no cycling is required.
- (9) Finally, we consider vertex 2 (containing the factorization $a^{-1}ba = w_L$) and vertex 3 (containing the factorization $a^{-1}a^{-1}baa \cdot a^{-1}ba = w_R$). The parent vertex (the root) contains the letter b and so we try to match w letter for letter with the word $b^{-1}w_Lbw_R$. Cycling is required in this case. Moreover, expressing w as a product of conjugates of positive letters requires some care. We have that

$$b^{-1}w_Lbw_R = b^{-1}(a^{-1}ba)b \cdot (a^{-1}a^{-1}baa \cdot a^{-1}ba).$$

After freely reducing the above word, it is equal as a cyclic word to w . We cyclically permute the letters and obtain the following factorization as detailed in Lemma 3:

$$b \cdot b^{a^{-1}} \cdot b^{aba^{-1}a^{-1}}.$$

The above factorization is the factorization of w as a product of conjugates of positive letters that is returned by $\text{FactorQP}(w)$.

3. VALIDITY OF THE ALGORITHMS

Lemma 2. *Suppose $w = y_1 \cdots y_n$, where each $y_i \in X \cup X^{-1}$. If w is quasi-positive (or w represents the identity) and some $y_i \in X^{-1}$, then there exists a y_j such that $y_j = y_i^{-1}$ and both w_L and w_R are quasi-positive, where*

$$y_i \cdots y_n y_1 \cdots y_{i-1} = y_i w_L y_j w_R.$$

Proof. If w is quasi-positive and $w_i \in X^{-1}$, then by Gersten's theorem there is a spherical cancellation diagram with an arc joining the vertex with label y_i on the w -curve to a vertex with label y_i^{-1} on the w -curve. If we cut this diagram along this arc, we obtain two spherical cancellation diagrams: one for w_L and one for w_R . Therefore, both w_L and w_R are quasi-positive. \square

The significance of the above is that in Algorithm 1 (TESTQP), if a letter in X^{-1} is read from the input word w , then we can search for a matching inverse letter and run the algorithm again on the two subwords w_L and w_R . If neither is found to be quasi-positive, then we proceed to the next inverse letter and repeat. If all matching inverse letters are exhausted and no pair of subword is quasi-positive, then we can conclude that w is not quasi-positive, whereas a priori we might have to check the next letter of w in X^{-1} to find a good pair of inverse letters.

Theorem 1.

- (1) *The algorithm TESTQP correctly determines whether or not an input word w is quasi-positive.*
- (2) *Suppose that w is quasi-positive. Let T be the rooted binary tree encoding the good pairs of inverse letters and corresponding subwords returned by a modification of TESTQP as described in subsections 2.5 and 2.6. Then FACTORQP(T) will return a factorization of w as a product of conjugates of positive letters.*

Proof. If w is quasi-positive, then there exists a cancellation diagram with one boundary cycle labeled by w and the remaining cycles each labeled by one letter in X^{-1} . As described in Lemma 1, the number of these cycles and the number having a given negative letter is determined by the abelianization $\Theta(w)$. We argue that the algorithm TESTQP recognizes that w is quasi-positive and that the algorithm FACTORQP returns a factorization as a product of conjugates of positive letters.

The argument is by induction on the length of w . The statement is vacuously true for the trivial word. Suppose w has length $L > 0$. If the cancellation diagram has no arcs joining vertices of the boundary cycle labeled by w to itself, then w is positive and this is detected by line 16 of Algorithm 1. Otherwise there are vertices labeled by x and x^{-1} for some $x \in X$, and there is an arc in the cancellation diagram joining x to x^{-1} . The word w admits a factorization as $w = tx^{-1}uxv$. By cutting along this arc we obtain two spherical cancellation diagrams, one for the word u and one for the word vt . Since u and vt are shorter quasi-positive words (as in the proof of Lemma 2), by induction, the algorithms correctly identify them as quasi-positive and return factorizations of the desired type. Lemma 3 contains further explanation of how the factorization is obtained from the recursion.

Conversely, we argue that the algorithm TESTQP can be used to construct a spherical cancellation diagram. Suppose that the algorithm returns true for an input word w . If w is positive, it is clear that a spherical cancellation diagram exists. Otherwise, TESTQP find a good pair of inverse letters x^{-1}, x . Join the corresponding vertices by a proper tame arc on a sphere with $|\Theta(w)| + 1$ boundary circles of the correct type as discussed previously. By induction on the length of the input, spherical cancellation diagrams exist for the subwords w_L and w_R corresponding to this pair. These spherical diagrams can be glued to form a spherical cancellation diagram for w . By Gersten's theorem, w is quasi-positive. This proves that TESTQP correctly identifies whether or not an input word is quasi-positive. \square

We saw in subsection 2.5 that finding a factorization of the input word as a product of conjugates of positive words, is delicate. The following lemma explains how Algorithm 2 (FACTORQP) finds such a factorization of w given that w contains a good pair a, a^{-1} of inverse letters and quasi-positive subwords w_L and w_R with given factorizations as a product of conjugates of positive elements.

Lemma 3. *Let $F(X)$ be free with basis X . Let $w \in F(X)$. Let \bar{w} be the cyclic reduction of w so that $w = v^{-1}\bar{w}v$ and $2|v| + |\bar{w}| = |w|$ and $v \in F(X)$. Suppose that w_L and w_R are words over X , each expressed as a product of conjugates of positive letters. Let $a \in X$. Suppose that \bar{w} and $w_L^a w_R$ are conjugate in $F(X)$ via some $u \in F(X)$:*

$$\bar{w} = (w_L^a w_R)^u.$$

Then

$$w = \bar{w}^v = w_L^{auv} \cdot w_R^{uv}$$

is a factorization of w as a product of conjugates of positive letters. Moreover, elements u and v can be effectively determined from the words w and $a^{-1}w_Law_R$ and the assumption that these two words define conjugate elements in $F(X)$.

Proof. The word v can be found in linear time by successively checking whether or not the initial and terminal letters of w are equal and $|w| > 1$. The element \bar{w} is defined as the freely reduced word obtained from vwv^{-1} . Suppose that w and $w_L^a w_R$ are conjugate. Freely and cyclically reduce $w_L^a w_R$ to obtain a word z . It follows that \bar{w} and z are related by a cyclic permutation. By the Knuth-Pratt-Morris string matching algorithm, we can find a subword u of \bar{w} such that $\bar{w} = (w_L^a w_R)^u$ in $F(X)$. It then follows that

$$w = \bar{w}^v = (w_L^a w_R)^{uv} = w_L^{auv} w_R^{uv}.$$

By distributing the exponents across the given factorizations of w_L and w_R , a factorization of w as a product of conjugates of positive letters is obtained. \square

4. WORST-CASE RUNTIME ANALYSIS OF THE TESTQP ALGORITHM

The TESTQP algorithm takes a word of length n as input and returns yes if the word represents a quasi-positive element and no if it does not. The algorithm works as follows:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. input word of length n | (let $f(n)$ be worst-case runtime) |
| 2. find the first negative letter | (runtime Cn) |
| 3. find a matching positive letter | (runtime $C(n-1)$) |
| 4. check whether subwords are quasi-positive | (runtime $f(k) + f(n-k-2)$) |
| 5. repeat 3 and 4 until no more matches | (leads to a sum of $f(k) + f(n-k-2)$) |

From the above, we see that

$$f(n) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (f(k) + f(n-k)) + C(2n-1).$$

We can rewrite this as follows, using the fact that $f(0) = 0$, by replacing the constant C by a larger constant, and by re-writing the sum:

$$f(n) \leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} f(k) \right) + Cn$$

Assuming equality, we have following recurrence relation:

$$f(n+1) - f(n) - 2f(n-1) = C.$$

This is a linear first order non-homogeneous recurrence relation with characteristic equation $x^2 - x - 2 = 0$. The following general solution is obtained by observing that $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = C$ and that $f(n) = -C/2$ is a particular solution:

$$f(n) = \frac{2C}{3}2^n - \frac{C}{6}(-1)^n - \frac{C}{2}.$$

Therefore, the TESTQP algorithm has exponential worst-case runtime.

5. A QUASI-POSITIVE WORD WITH LONG TESTQP RUNTIME

Consider the following word over $X = \{a, b\}$:

$$u_k = ab[a, b]^k b^{k-1}.$$

5.1. The word u_k is quasi-positive. If $k = 1$, then $u_k = u_1 = ab[a, b] = aba^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}ab \cdot b$, and so is quasi-positive.

For $k > 1$, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad u_k &= ab[a, b]^k b^{k-1} \\ &= aba^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}(ab[a, b]^{k-1} b^{k-2})b \\ &= b^{a^{-1}} \cdot u_{k-1}^b, \end{aligned}$$

and so u_k is quasi-positive by induction on k since QP is closed under products and under conjugation.

5.2. Subwords of u_k .

Remark 1. *The initial a^{-1} in u_k cannot pair with any a except the first a , because if it paired with some a belonging to the product of commutator factors, then the left subword w_L would have negative b -coordinate in $\Theta(w_L)$.*

Remark 2. *An element of the form $[a, b]^k b^j$, where $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$ is never quasi-positive. For if it were quasi-positive, then in a spherical cancellation an arc pairing some a^{-1} with some a would leave a subword of the form $b^{-1}ab[a, b]^n a^{-1}b^{-1}$, which abelianizes to $(0, -1)$ and so is not quasi-positive.*

Lemma 4. *The element $ab[a, b]^k b^{k-2}$ is not quasi-positive.*

Proof. The factor $[a, b]^k$ is not quasi-positive. Therefore, any spherical cancellation diagram for the word $ab[a, b]^k b^{k-2}$ must have an arc joining the initial a to some a^{-1} in a commutator. (Otherwise, deleting the initial a would produce a quasi-positive word.) The following left subword results: $w_L = b[a, b]^i$, for some $i \geq 0$. But this word is not quasi-positive by Remark 2. \square

Lemma 5. *The only way to realize $b^{-1}u_k b$ as a quasi-positive element is to pair the outer pair b^{-1}, b in a spherical cancellation diagram.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that the initial b^{-1} can be joined by an arc to some b in u_k . There are three cases to consider.

Case 1: If the initial b^{-1} is joined to the first b in u_k , then the right subword is $[a, b]^k b^{k-1}$, which is not quasi-positive by Remark 2 above.

Case 2: If the initial b^{-1} is joined to some b in a commutator factor of u_k , then the right subword has the form $[a, b]^j b^k$, which is not quasi-positive by Remark 2 above.

Case 3: Assume the initial b^{-1} is joined to some b in the last factor of the form b^{k-1} of u_k , but not to the last such b . Then, the left subword has the form $ab[a, b]^{k-1} b^j$ for some $j < k-2$, and so is not quasi-positive by Lemma 1 above. \square




FIGURE 2. A spherical cancellation diagram for $u_2 = ab[a, b]^2b$. We can recover a factorization as a product of conjugates of positive letters as follows: choose, as a base point, the midpoint of the edge joining the first and last letters of u_2 ; then join each vertex on an inner circle by a transverse arc to the base point the letters joined by the arcs record the conjugating elements and the inner circles, (the inverse of) the base letters. In this case: $u_2 = b^{a^{-1}}b^{ab^{-1}}(a^{-1})^{b^{-2}}$.

5.3. Recursive structure of $\text{TestQP}(u_k)$. When $\text{TestQP}(u_k)$ is called, the algorithm attempts to pair the initial a^{-1} with each occurrence of a , of which there are $k + 1$. By Remark 1 above, only the first a will be a good match. Thus, the algorithm has called itself $k + 1$ times. The resulting left subword is $b^{-1}u_{k-1}b$. By Lemma 2 above, only the final b will pair with the b^{-1} . When this good match is made, the resulting left subword is u_{k-1} , revealing the recursive structure of the work u_k .

The above analysis suggests that the runtime of $\text{TestQP}(u_k)$ is exponential in k . On the other hand, the above analysis shows that if the algorithm is modified so that the input is first cyclically reduced and the abelianization is computed and checked, then the runtime changes to quadratic. In more detail, suppose that the TestQP algorithm is modified so that in between lines 1 and 2, it first cyclically reduces the input and then computes $\Theta(w)$ (both of which can be done in linear time). A line is added so that if $\Theta(w)$ has a negative coordinate, then the algorithm immediately returns false. After these additions, $\text{TestQP}(u_k)$ will run in quadratic time. This is because when we check to see if a positive letter a is a good match for the initial occurrence of a^{-1} , we can reject the bad matches using the abelianization map. So, there will be k checks (with linear run time) and one final check which finds a good match. The resulting subwords are $w_L = b^{-1}u_{k-1}b$ and $w_R = b$. The runtime (after cyclically reducing w_L) will be linear in $k - 1$ by induction. The total runtime will be on the order of $k + (k - 1) + \dots + 1$, which is quadratic in k .

6. BRUTE FORCE ALGORITHM FOR CHECKING QUASI-POSITIVITY IN A FREE GROUP

Given $w \in F(X)$, we can search for a factorization as a product of conjugates of positive basis elements by the following brute force approach. From $\Theta(w)$, we know exactly the number of each basis element that will appear in a factorization as a base w_i as below:

$$w = w_1^{c_1} \cdots w_k^{c_k},$$

where $w_1, \dots, w_k \in X$ and k is the sum of the coordinates of $\Theta(w)$. There are only finitely many such c_i . To see this, observe that if such a factorization exists, then there is a spherical cancellation diagram with outer circle labelled by w and inner circles labelled by $w_1^{-1}, \dots, w_k^{-1}$. Select the midpoint of the first edge (the one incident to the vertex corresponding to the initial letter of w) of the boundary circle labeled by w as a base point. If we join the base point to the vertex on w_i by an arc transverse to the arcs in the diagram, then by reading the labels of the arcs that are crossed, we can write down the letters of c_i . Because the arcs of the diagram join pairs of vertices of w with inverse labels or join vertices of positive letters of w to a corresponding inverse letter on an inner boundary circle, we see that

$$|c_i| \leq \frac{|w| - k}{2}.$$

Thus, there are only finitely many such conjugating elements. And we can check using the linear time solution to the word problem in $F(X)$ whether or not w is equal to any expression of this form. This gives an exponential bound in $|w|$ to the complexity of deciding if w is quasi-positive.

Remark: One can ask if there are decision problems about finitely presented groups which have complexity greater than exponential time. The following construction shows this is possible for countable groups. Let S be a recursive subset of \mathbb{Z} . For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $G_n = \langle n \mid n \rangle \cong \{1\}$ if $n \in S$ and $G_n = \langle n \mid \ \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ if $n \notin S$. Let G be the free product of the $\{G_n\}$. The decision problem of whether or not a word over \mathbb{Z} represents the trivial element of G has complexity at least that of the complexity of deciding whether or not an integer belongs to S . Since G is recursively presented, it is a subgroup of a finitely presented group. The membership problem for this subgroup is a decision problem about a finitely presented group which is at least as complex as the decision problem for an integer belonging to S .

6.1. Brute force approach applied to u_k . If the brute force approach described above is applied to the word u_k in section 5, where $|u_k| = 5k + 1$, we see that one needs to determine whether or not u_k is equal (as a cyclic word) to some word of the form

$$a^{c_0} b^{c_1} \cdots b^{c_k} = a^{c_0} b^{c_1 \cdots c_k},$$

where each $|c_i| \leq (|u_k| - (k + 1))/2 = 2k$. This is a very large collection of words. The ball of radius $r \geq 1$ in the rank 2 free group is $1 + 2(3^r - 1)$.

Remark: Note that checking equality in the free group is basically free since the size of the set $\{c_i\}$ is growing like 2^k .

7. OREVKOV'S METHOD

Stepan Yu. Orevkov described an algorithm which recognizes quasi-positive elements of the free group in [11]. We describe his methods here and compare them to the topological methods used in this paper.

7.1. Quasi-positivity in a free group. Orevkov recursively defines a regular bracket structure (RBS) as follows: the empty word and $*$ are RBSs; if a and b are RBSs, then so are $[a]$ and ab . Thus, the set of RBSs, denoted \mathcal{R} , is a subset of the free monoid on the alphabet $\{[, *,]\}$. The element $*$ is called star, and the elements $[$ and $]$ are called brackets. A pair of brackets match if they arise from the rule $a \in \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [a] \in \mathcal{R}$.

Suppose that $w = x_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_n^{\epsilon_n}$ is a word over X , where $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. A RBS $u_1 \cdots u_n \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to agree with w provided

- (1) if $u_i = *$, then $x_j \in X$, i.e. stars match positive letters, and
- (2) if u_i and u_j are matching brackets, then x_i and x_j are inverse letters.

We can now state Orevkov's theorem:

Theorem (Orevkov, Proposition 1.1 in [11]). *A word w over X represents a quasi-positive element of the free group $F(X)$ if and only if there is a regular bracket structure which agrees with w .*

Here is a proof of Orevkov's theorem using the methods of this paper. Suppose that $w = x_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_n^{\epsilon_n}$ represents a quasi-positive element of $F(X)$. Then there is a spherical cancellation diagram for w , $\Theta(w)$, where $\Theta : F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^X$ is the abelianization homomorphism. If w is positive, then $u = * \cdots *$ agrees with w . Otherwise, we have that $w = ax^\epsilon bx^{-\epsilon} c$ such that the letters $x^{\pm\epsilon}$ are joined by an arc in the diagram. Cutting along this arc, we have that both b and ca are quasi-positive. We need the following:

Lemma 6. *If a word over X agrees with some RBS, then so does any cyclic conjugate of this word.*

Proof. Suppose $u = u_1 \cdots u_n \in \mathcal{R}$ agrees with the word $w = w'x^\epsilon$. If $u_n = *$, then $u_n u_1 \cdots u_{n-1}$ agrees with $x^\epsilon w$. If $u_n =]$ and $u_i = [$ is the matching bracket, then $[u_1 \cdots u_{i-1}]u_{i+1} \cdots u_{n-1}$ is an RBS that agrees with $x^\epsilon w$. □

Continuing with the proof of Theorem 7.1, we use induction on the number of arcs, to conclude that there is an RBS p which agrees with b and an RBS q which agrees with ca . The RBS $[b]q$ agrees with $x^\epsilon bx^{-\epsilon} ca$. By Lemma 6, there is an RBS which agrees with w .

Conversely, suppose that $w = x_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_n^{\epsilon_n}$ is a word over X and $u = u_1 \cdots u_n$ is an RBS which agrees with w . We can construct a spherical cancellation diagram for w as follows: let D be an oriented disk with boundary circle subdivided into n vertices and arcs. Choose a base vertex and assign the letters of w as labels to each of these vertices, starting with the base vertex and continuing in the order determined by the orientation. If $u_i = [$ and $u_j =]$ is an innermost pair of matching brackets for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, join the corresponding pair of vertices (that are labelled by a pair of inverse letters) by an arc. Deleting this pair of brackets, find another innermost pair of matching brackets and again add arcs to the diagram until all brackets are accounted for. The resulting arcs are disjoint. The remaining

vertices on boundary circle are labelled by positive letters. We can choose disjoint neighborhoods around each such vertex and in each delete a small open disk. The resulting inner boundary circle is labelled by the corresponding inverse letter and then joined by an arc to the corresponding outer vertex. In this way, a spherical cancellation diagram for w is constructed. Therefore, w is quasi-positive.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Matt Hedden for sharing his interest in quasi-positivity in braid groups. It was these conversations which inspired this project. The first author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at the University of Michigan for support during his stay as a Visiting Scholar from August 2017 until August 2018. The first author also gratefully acknowledges support from the Lyman Briggs College of Michigan State University for financial support for travel between East Lansing and Ann Arbor, Michigan during this period.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tetsuya Abe and Keiji Tagami. Characterization of positive links and the s -invariant for links. *Canad. J. Math.*, 69(6):1201–1218, 2017.
- [2] Marc Culler. Using surfaces to solve equations in free groups. *Topology*, 20(2):133–145, 1981.
- [3] John B. Etnyre and Jeremy Van Horn-Morris. Monoids in the mapping class group. In *Interactions between low-dimensional topology and mapping class groups*, volume 19 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 319–365. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2015.
- [4] Peter Feller and David Krcatovich. On cobordisms between knots, braid index, and the epsilon-invariant. *Math. Ann.*, 369(1-2):301–329, 2017.
- [5] S. M. Gersten. Products of conjugacy classes in a free group: a counterexample. *Math. Z.*, 192(2):167–181, 1986.
- [6] Richard Z. Goldstein and Edward C. Turner. Applications of topological graph theory to group theory. *Math. Z.*, 165(1):1–10, 1979.
- [7] Kyle Hayden. Minimal braid representatives of quasipositive links. *Pacific J. Math.*, 295(2):421–427, 2018.
- [8] S. Yu. Orevkov. Algorithmic recognition of quasipositive braids of algebraic length two. *J. Algebra*, 423:1080–1108, 2015.
- [9] S. Yu. Orevkov. A criterion for the Hurwitz equivalence of quasi-positive factorizations of three-braids. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 463(3):269–273, 2015.
- [10] S. Yu. Orevkov. On the Hurwitz action on quasipositive factorizations of 3-braids. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 461(3):263–267, 2015.
- [11] Stepan Yu. Orevkov. Quasipositivity problem for 3-braids. *Turkish J. Math.*, 28(1):89–93, 2004.
- [12] Stepan Yu. Orevkov. Algorithmic recognition of quasipositive 4-braids of algebraic length three. *Groups Complex. Cryptol.*, 7(2):157–173, 2015.
- [13] Valentin Poénaru. Extension des immersions en codimension 1 (d’après Samuel Blank). In *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 10*, pages Exp. No. 342, 473–505. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.
- [14] Lee Rudolph. Algebraic functions and closed braids. *Topology*, 22(2):191–202, 1983.
- [15] Lee Rudolph. Constructions of quasipositive knots and links. III. A characterization of quasi-positive Seifert surfaces. *Topology*, 31(2):231–237, 1992.
- [16] Alexander Stoimenow. *Properties of closed 3-braids and braid representations of links*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2017.

LYMAN BRIGGS COLLEGE & DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY,
EAST LANSING, MI

E-mail address: `rbell@math.msu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI

E-mail address: `ritagtk@umich.edu`