A NON-INJECTIVE VERSION OF WIGNER'S THEOREM

MARK PANKOV, LUCIJAN PLEVNIK

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ be the real vector space of all self-adjoint finite rank operators on H . We prove the following noninjective version of Wigner's theorem: every linear operator on $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ sending rank one projections to rank one projections (without any additional assumption) is either induced by a linear or conjugate-linear isometry or constant on the set of rank one projections.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wigner's theorem plays an important role in mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics. Pure states of a quantum mechanical system are identified with rank one projections (see, for example, [\[21\]](#page-6-0)) and Wigner's theorem [\[22\]](#page-6-1) characterizes all symmetries of the space of pure states as unitary and anti-unitary operators. We present a non-injective version of this result in terms of linear operators on the real vector space of self-adjoint finite rank operators which send rank one projections to rank one projections.

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For every natural $k < \dim H$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$ the set of all rank k projections, i.e. bounded self-adjoint idempotent operators of rank k. Let $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ be the real vector space of all self-adjoint finite rank operators on H. This vector space is spanned by $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$, see e.g. [\[10,](#page-5-0) Lemma 2.1.5].

Classical Wigner's theorem says that every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{P}_1(H)$ preserving the angle between the images of any two projections, or equivalently, preserving the trace of the composition of any two projections, is induced by a unitary or anti-unitary operator. The first rigorous proof of this statement was given in [\[8\]](#page-5-1), see also [\[20\]](#page-6-2) for the case when dim $H \geq 3$. By the non-bijective version of this result [\[2,](#page-5-2) [3,](#page-5-3) [4\]](#page-5-4), arbitrary (not necessarily bijective) transformation of $\mathcal{P}_1(H)$ preserving the angles between the images of projections (it is clear that such a transformation is injective) is induced by a linear or conjugate-linear isometry.

Various analogues of Wigner's theorem for $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$ can be found in [\[5,](#page-5-5) [6,](#page-5-6) [7,](#page-5-7) [9,](#page-5-8) [10,](#page-5-0) [11,](#page-5-9) [12,](#page-5-10) [13,](#page-5-11) [15,](#page-6-3) 17. In particular, transformations of $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$ preserving principal angles between the images of any two projections and transformations preserving the trace of the composition of any two projections are determined in [\[9,](#page-5-8) [11\]](#page-5-9) and [\[5\]](#page-5-5), respectively. All such transformations are induced by linear or conjugate-linear isometries, except in the case dim $H = 2k \geq 4$ when there is an additional class of transformations. The description of transformations preserving the trace of the composition given in [\[5\]](#page-5-5) is based on the following fact from [\[9\]](#page-5-8): every transformation of $\mathcal{P}_{k}(H)$ preserving the trace of the composition of two projections can be extended to an injective linear operator on $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$. So, there is an intimate relation between

Key words and phrases. projection, self-adjoint operator of finite rank, Wigner's theorem.

Wigner's type theorems mentioned above and results concerning linear operators sending projections to projections [\[1,](#page-5-12) [14,](#page-6-5) [16,](#page-6-6) [19\]](#page-6-7).

Consider a linear operator L on $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ such that

$$
(1) \tL(\mathcal{P}_k(H)) \subset \mathcal{P}_k(H)
$$

such that the restriction of L to $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$ is injective. We also assume that dim $H \geq 3$. By [\[14\]](#page-6-5), this operator is induced by a linear or conjugate-linear isometry if dim $H \neq$ 2k. In the case when dim $H = 2k$, it can be also a composition of an operator induced by a linear or conjugate-linear isometry and an operator which sends any projection on a k -dimensional subspace X to the projection on the orthogonal complement X^{\perp} . This statement is a small generalization of the result obtained in [\[1\]](#page-5-12). The main result of [\[14\]](#page-6-5) concerns linear operators sending $P_k(H)$ to $P_m(H)$, as above, whose restrictions to $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$ are injective.

In this paper, we determine all possibilities for a linear operator L on $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ satisfying [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $k = 1$ without any additional assumption. Such an operator is either induced by a linear or conjugate-linear isometry or its restriction to $\mathcal{P}_1(H)$ is constant. We mention that this result could be easily obtained from [\[18,](#page-6-8) Theorem 2.1], as such a map L clearly preserves the adjacency relation on the set $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$. However, we will present an elementary approach by only using the Wigner's theorem.

Some remarks concerning the case when $k > 1$ will be given in the last section.

2. The main result

We investigate linear maps on $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ preserving the set of projections of rank one. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. Let H be a complex Hilbert space, dim $H \geq 2$, and $L: \mathcal{F}_s(H) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ *a linear map. Then we have*

(2) $L(\mathcal{P}_1(H)) \subset \mathcal{P}_1(H)$

if and only if either there exists $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1(H)$ *such that*

$$
L(A) = (\text{tr}A)P_0, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_s(H)
$$

or there exists a linear or conjugate-linear isometry $U: H \rightarrow H$ *such that*

$$
L(A) = UAU^*, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_s(H).
$$

3. Preliminaries

Denote by P_X the projection whose image is a closed subspace $X \subset H$. Since P_X belongs to $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$ if and only if X is k-dimensional, $\mathcal{P}_k(H)$ will be identified with the Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_k(H)$. For any subspace $Z \subset H$, denote

$$
\langle Z]_1 = \{ X \in \mathcal{G}_1(H) \mid X \subset Z \}.
$$

If dim $H \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{G}_1(H)$ is a projective space, whose projective lines are exactly sets of the form $\langle S]_1, S \in \mathcal{G}_2(H)$.

We will show that the maps f , satisfying (2) , behave nicely on projective lines in $\mathcal{G}_1(H)$. In order to do that, we will need the following concept, which is a modification of the concept, introduced in [\[5\]](#page-5-5). For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$ and $t \in$ $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \infty\right)$, define the set

$$
\chi_t(X,Y) = \{ Z \in \mathcal{G}_1(H) : t(P_X + P_Y) + (1 - 2t)P_Z \in \mathcal{P}_1(H) \}.
$$

The following lemma describes this set.

Lemma 1. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$ and $t \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \infty\right)$. Then the following statements hold.

- $\chi_t(X, Y) \subset \langle X + Y]_1$
- $\chi_t(X,Y) \neq \emptyset \iff \text{tr}(P_X P_Y) \geq (1 \frac{1}{t})^2$
- If X and Y are orthogonal, then $\chi_1(X,Y) = \langle X + Y \rangle_1$.
- If $X \neq Y$ and $tr(P_X P_Y) > (1 \frac{1}{t})^2$, then $\chi_t(X, Y)$ is homeomorphic to a *circle.*
- If $X = Y$ or $\text{tr}(P_X P_Y) = (1 \frac{1}{t})^2 \neq 0$, then $\chi_t(X, Y)$ is a singleton.

Proof. It is easy to show that $\chi_t(X, X) = \{X\}.$

Assume now that $X \neq Y$ and denote $S = X + Y$ and $A = P_X + P_Y$. Then A is a positive semidefinite operator with trace 2. Its kernel equals $X^{\perp} \cap Y^{\perp}$, so its range equals S. Therefore, if $Z \in \chi_t(X, Y)$, then $tA + (1 - 2t)P_Z$ is positive semidefinite, implying that $Z \in \langle S]_1$.

Moreover, there exist $c \in [0,1)$ and an orthonormal base \mathcal{B} of S, according to which we have the matrix representation

$$
A|_S = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1+c & 0 \\ 0 & 1-c \end{array} \right].
$$

Note that

$$
\text{tr}(P_X P_Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(A^2 - A) = c^2.
$$

If Z is any element of $\langle S_1$, then, according to \mathcal{B} ,

$$
P_Z|_S = \left[\begin{array}{cc} s & w \\ \overline{w} & 1-s \end{array}\right]
$$

for some $s \in [0,1]$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $|w| = \sqrt{s(1-s)}$. Any such Z belongs to $\chi_t(X, Y)$ if and only if

$$
\det\left(t\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1+c & 0 \\ 0 & 1-c \end{array}\right]+(1-2t)\left[\begin{array}{cc} s & w \\ \overline{w} & 1-s \end{array}\right]\right)=0.
$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the latter holds if and only if we have either $c = 0$ and $t = 1$ or $c \neq 0$ and s equals

(3)
$$
\frac{(1+c)(t(1+c)-1)}{2c(2t-1)}.
$$

Thus, if X and Y are orthogonal, then $\chi_t(X, Y)$ is non-empty if and only if $t = 1$ and in this case, it equals $(X + Y)_{1}$. In the case when they are not orthogonal, $\chi_t(X, Y)$ is non-empty if and only if [\(3\)](#page-2-0) belongs to [0, 1], which is equivalent to $c \geq |1-\frac{1}{t}|$. Next, if [\(3\)](#page-2-0) belongs to $\{0,1\}$, which is equivalent to $c = |1-\frac{1}{t}|$, then $\chi_t(X, Y)$ is a singleton. Finally, if [\(3\)](#page-2-0) belongs to $(0, 1)$ and equals s, then any $Z \in \chi_t(X, Y)$ can be identified with an element w of the circle with origin 0 and radius $\sqrt{s(1-s)}$. $\overline{s(1-s)}$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Recall that L is a linear map $\mathcal{F}_s(H) \to \mathcal{F}_s(H)$ satisfying [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Denote by f the transformation $G_1(H) \to G_1(H)$, induced by L, i.e. $L(P_X) = P_{f(X)}$, $X \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$.

Lemma 2. *The following assertions are fulfilled:*

(1) *For any* $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ *and* $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$ *we have* $f(\chi_t(X, Y)) \subset \chi_t(f(X), f(Y))$.

If $f(X) = f(Y)$ *, then* f *is constant on* $\chi_t(X, Y)$ *.*

(2) f *transfers any projective line to a subset of a projective line.*

Proof. (1) Easy verification.

(2) If $S \in \mathcal{G}_2(H)$ and $X, Y \in \langle S \rangle$ are orthogonal, then $\chi_1(X, Y)$ coincides with $\langle S]_1$ by Lemma [1](#page-2-1) and we have

$$
f(\langle S]_1) \subset \chi_1\left(f(X), f(Y)\right) \subset \langle S']_1
$$

with $S' = f(X) + f(Y)$ if $f(X) \neq f(Y)$, otherwise we take any 2-dimensional subspace S' containing $f(X) = f(Y)$.

 \Box

Lemma 3. *The restriction of* f *to any projective line is either injective or constant.*

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{G}_2(H)$. Suppose that the restriction of f to $\langle S \rangle_1$ is not injective. Then there exist distinct $X, Y \in \langle S \rangle$ such that $f(X) = f(Y)$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$
g(t) = \det((t(P_X + P_Y) + (1 - 2t)P_{S \cap X^{\perp}})|_S).
$$

Then $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $g(\frac{1}{2}) > 0$. Let $A = P_X +$ $P_Y - P_{S \cap X^\perp}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the scalar product on H. Since $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$ for $x \in X$ and $\langle Az, z \rangle \leq 0$ for $z \in S \cap X^{\perp}$, we have $g(1) \leq 0$. Therefore, there exists $t \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ such that $g(t) = 0$. For such t we have $S \cap X^{\perp} \in \chi_t(X, Y)$. By Lemma [2,](#page-2-2) $f(S \cap X^{\perp}) = f(X) = f(Y)$. Another application of Lemma [2](#page-2-2) yields that f is constant on $\chi_1(X, S \cap X^{\perp})$, which equals $\langle S \rangle$, by Lemma 1. constant on $\chi_1(X, S \cap X^{\perp})$, which equals $\overline{\langle S \rangle}_1$ by Lemma [1.](#page-2-1)

Lemma 4. *The restriction of* f *to any projective line is continuous.*

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{G}_2(H)$. Then the linear span of $\{P_X \mid X \in \langle S|_1\}$ is finitedimensional, which implies that the restriction of L to this linear span is bounded. Hence, the restriction of f to $\langle S]_1$ is continuous.

Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-1-2) The two examples in the conclusion of the theorem clearly satisfy [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Assume now that [\(2\)](#page-1-1) holds.

If f is constant, then $\phi(A) = (\text{tr}A)P_0$, $A \in \mathcal{F}_s(H)$, for some $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1(H)$.

Assume now that f is not constant. Then there exist $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$ such that $f(X) \neq f(Y)$. Denote $S = X + Y \in \mathcal{G}_2(H)$. We will first show that

(4)
$$
f(\langle S]_1) = \langle f(X) + f(Y) \rangle_1.
$$

By Lemma [2,](#page-2-2) Lemma [3,](#page-3-0) and Lemma [4,](#page-3-1) f is an injective continuous map from $\langle S \rangle$ to $\langle f(X) + f(Y) \rangle$, which are both homeomorphic to the 2-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Thus, f induces an injective continuous map $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$. If \tilde{f} was not surjective, then it would map into $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{p\}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$, which is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , but this would contradict the Borsuk–Ulam theorem. Therefore, we deduce [\(4\)](#page-3-2).

We next assert that

(5)
$$
\operatorname{tr}(P_{f(X)}P_{f(Y)}) = \operatorname{tr}(P_X P_Y).
$$

Assume first that X and Y are orthogonal. Lemma [1](#page-2-1) implies that $\chi_1(X,Y) = \langle S \vert_1$, so it follows from [\(4\)](#page-3-2) that $\chi_1(f(X), f(Y)) = \langle f(X) + f(Y) \rangle$ ₁. Another application of Lemma [1](#page-2-1) yields that $f(X)$ and $f(Y)$ are orthogonal, as desired. Suppose now

that X and Y are not orthogonal and denote $t = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\text{tr}(P_X P_Y)}} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. By Lemma [1,](#page-2-1) $\chi_t(X, Y)$ is a singleton. We claim that

(6)
$$
f(\chi_t(X, Y)) = \chi_t(f(X), f(Y)).
$$

Indeed, the left-hand side is contained in the right-hand side by Lemma [2.](#page-2-2) Let now $W \in \chi_t(f(X), f(Y))$. Then $W \in \langle f(X) + f(Y) \rangle$ by Lemma [1,](#page-2-1) so [\(4\)](#page-3-2) yields that $W = f(W')$ for some $W' \in \langle S]_1$. Hence,

(7)
$$
t(P_{f(X)} + P_{f(Y)}) + (1 - 2t)P_{f(W')} = P_{W''}
$$

for some $W'' \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$. Then we have $W'' \in \chi_{\frac{t}{2t-1}}(f(X), f(Y))$, hence another application of [\(4\)](#page-3-2) implies that $W'' = f(W''')$ for some $W''' \in \langle S]_1$. Denote

$$
A = t(P_X + P_Y) + (1 - 2t)P_{W'} - P_{W'''}.
$$

By [\(7\)](#page-4-0), $L(A) = 0$. We assert that $A = 0$. Indeed, $A = aP_Z + bP_{S \cap Z^{\perp}}$ for some $Z \in \langle S]_1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $f|_{\langle S]_1}$ is injective, $f(Z) \neq f(S \cap Z^{\perp})$, so $P_{f(Z)}$ and $P_{f(S \cap Z^{\perp})}$ are linearly independent. Now $0 = L(A) = aP_{f(Z)} + bP_{f(S \cap Z^{\perp})}$ implies that $a = b = 0$ and $A = 0$, which completes the proof of [\(6\)](#page-4-1).

By [\(6\)](#page-4-1), $\chi_t(f(X), f(Y))$ is a singleton. Another application of Lemma [1](#page-2-1) yields that $t = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\text{tr}(P_{f(X)}P_{f(Y)})}}$, so [\(5\)](#page-3-3) holds.

We have shown that [\(5\)](#page-3-3) holds whenever $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$ are such that $f(X) \neq$ $f(Y)$. By Lemma [3,](#page-3-0) the same holds for any pair from $(X + Y)$ ₁.

We will next show f is injective. If dim $H = 2$, there is nothing more to do, so assume that dim $H \geq 3$. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that there exist pairwise distinct $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$ such that $f(X) \neq f(Y)$ and $f(Z) = f(X)$. Denote $S = X + Y$ and let $Z' = (S + Z) \cap S^{\perp}$. By Lemma [3,](#page-3-0) $Z \not\subset S$, thus $Z' \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$. Let $Y' \in \langle S]_1 \setminus \{X\}$ be non-orthogonal to X. By the previous paragraph, $f(X)$ and $f(Y')$ are distinct and non-orthogonal. Since Z' is orthogonal Y', $f(Z')$ is either equal or orthogonal to $f(Y')$, so $f(Z') \neq f(X)$. Because Z' is orthogonal to X, $f(Z')$ is orthogonal to $f(X)$, which equals $f(Z)$. By the previous paragraph, Z' is orthogonal to Z. Hence,

$$
\{0\} = (S + Z) \cap (S + Z)^{\perp} = Z' \cap Z^{\perp} = Z',
$$

a contradiction. This contradiction shows that, since f is not constant, it must be injective. Thus, [\(5\)](#page-3-3) holds for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_1(H)$. The conclusion of the theorem now follows from Wigner's theorem, see e.g. [4]. now follows from Wigner's theorem, see e.g. [\[4\]](#page-5-4).

5. Final remarks

Consider a linear map L on $\mathcal{F}_s(H)$ satisfying

$$
L(\mathcal{P}_k(H)) \subset \mathcal{P}_k(H)
$$

for a certain $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k < \dim H$. As above, L induces a transformation f of $\mathcal{G}_k(H)$ which is not necessarily injective. The general case can be reduced to the case when dim $H \geq 2k$.

For subspaces M and N satisfying dim $M < k <$ dim N and $M \subset N$ we denote by $[M, N]_k$ the set of all $X \in \mathcal{G}_k(H)$ such that $M \subset X \subset N$. For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_k(H)$ we have

$$
\chi_1(X, Y) = \{ Z \in \mathcal{G}_k(H) : P_X + P_Y - P_Z \in \mathcal{P}_k(H) \} \subset [X \cap Y, X + Y]_k
$$

and the inverse inclusion holds if and only if X, Y are compatible, i.e. there is an orthonormal basis of H such that X and Y are spanned by subsets of this basis. If X and Y are orthogonal, then $\chi_1(X,Y) = \langle X + Y]_k$ and

$$
f(\langle X+Y]_k) \subset \chi_1(f(X), f(Y)) \subset \langle f(X)+f(Y)]_k.
$$

As in the proof of Lemma [4,](#page-3-1) we show that for any $(2k)$ -dimensional subspace $S \subset H$ the restriction of f to $\langle S]_k$ is continuous. In the case when $k = 1$, the restriction of f to any projective line is a continuous map to a projective line.

In the general case, a line of $\mathcal{G}_k(H)$ is a subset of type $[M, N]_k$, where M is a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace contained a $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace N. This line can be identified with the line of $\langle M^{\perp} \def\kap{\kappa} \def\lam{\lambda})\rangle_{1}$ associated to the 2-dimensional subspace $N \cap M^{\perp}$. Two distinct k-dimensional subspaces are contained in a common line if and only if they are adjacent, i.e. their intersection is $(k-1)$ -dimensional. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_k(H)$ are adjacent, then the line containing them is $[X \cap Y, X + Y]_k$. It was noted above that this line coincides with $\chi_1(X, Y)$ only in the case when X and Y are compatible. If X and Y are non-compatible, then $\chi_1(X, Y)$ is a subset of the line $[X \cap Y, X + Y]_k$ homeomorphic to a circle.

For every line there is a $(2k)$ -dimensional subspace S such that $\langle S]_k$ contains this line, i.e. the restriction of f to each line is continuous. Using analogous arguments as in the proof of Lemma [3,](#page-3-0) we establish that the restriction of f to every line is either injective or constant; but we are not be able to show that f sends lines to subsets of lines.

On the other hand, if f is injective, then it is adjacency and orthogonality preserving (see $[1, 5, 14]$ $[1, 5, 14]$ $[1, 5, 14]$ for the details). By $[13]$, this immediately implies that f is induced by a linear or conjugate-linear isometry if $\dim H > 2k$ and there is one other option for f if dim $H = 2k$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Aniello, D. Chruściński, *Symmetry witnesses*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50(2017), No. 28, 16 p.
- [2] V. Bargmann, *Note on Wigner's theorem on symmetry operations*, J. Math. Phys. 5(1964), 862–868.
- [3] J. Barvínek, J. Hamhalter, *Linear algebraic proof of Wigner theorem and its consequences*, Math. Slovaca, 67(2017), 371–386.
- [4] G. P. Geh´er, *An elementary proof for the non-bijective version of Wigner's theorem*, Phys. Lett. A, 378 (2014), 2054–2057.
- [5] G. P. Gehér, *Wigner's theorem on Grassmann spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 273(2017), 2994–3001.
- [6] G. P. Gehér, P. Šemrl, *Isometries of Grassmann spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 270(2016), 1585–1601.
- [7] M. Györy, *Transformations on the set of all n-dimensional subspaces of a Hilbert space preserving orthogonality*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 65(2004), 233–242.
- [8] J.S. Lomont and P. Mendelson, *The Wigner unitary-antiunitary theorem*, Ann. Math. 78(1963), 548–559.
- [9] L. Moln´ar, *Transformations on the set of all* n*-dimensional subspaces of a Hilbert space preserving principal angles*, Comm. Math. Phys. 217(2001), 409–421.
- [10] L. Moln´ar, *Selected Preserver Problems on Algebraic Structures of Linear Operators and on Function Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1895, Springer, 2007.
- [11] L. Molnár, *Maps on the n-dimensional subspaces of a Hilbert space preserving principal angles*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136(2008), 3205–3209.
- [12] M. Pankov, *Orthogonal apartments in Hilbert Grassmanians*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 506(2016), 168–182.
- [13] M. Pankov, *Geometric version of Wigner's theorem for Hilbert Grassmannians*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 459(2018), 135–144.
- [14] M. Pankov, *Wigner's type theorem in terms of linear operators which send projections of a fixed rank to projections of other fixed rank*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 474 (2019), 1238–1249.
- [15] M. Pankov, *Wigner Type Theorems for Hilbert Grassmannians*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 460, Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [16] G. Sarbicki, D. Chruściński, M. Mozrzymas, *Generalising Wigner's theorem*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49(2016), No 30, 7 p.
- [17] P. Šemrl, *Orthogonality preserving transformations on the set of n-dimensional subspaces of a Hilbert space*, Illinois J. Math. 48(2004), 567–573.
- [18] P. Semrl, *Symmetries on bounded observables: a unified approach based on adjacency preserving maps*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 72(2012), 7–66.
- [19] E. Størmer, *Positive maps which map the set of rank k projections onto itself*, Positivity 21(2017), 509–511.
- [20] U. Uhlhorn, *Representation of symmetry transformations in quantum mechanics*, Ark. Fys. 23(1963), 307–340.
- [21] V.S. Varadarajan, *Geometry of Quantum Theory* (2nd edition), Springer, 2000.
- [22] E.P. Wigner, *Gruppentheorie und ihre Anwendung auf die Quantenmechanik der Atomspektrum*, Fredrik Vieweg und Sohn 1931 (english translation *Group Theory and its Applications to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra*, Academic Press 1959).

University of Warmia and Mazury, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, SŁONECZNA 54, OLSZTYN, POLAND

University of Ljubljana, Faculty for Mathematics and Physics, Jadranska 21, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Email address: pankov@matman.uwm.edu.pl, lucijan.plevnik@fmf.uni-lj.si