

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR AT INFINITY OF SOLUTIONS OF MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS IN HALF SPACES

XIAOBIAO JIA, DONGSHENG LI AND ZHISU LI

ABSTRACT. It is proved that any convex viscosity solution of $\det D^2u = 1$ outside a bounded domain of the half space is asymptotic to a quadratic polynomial at infinity under reasonable assumptions, where the asymptotic rate is the same as the Poisson kernel of the half space. Consequently, it follows the Liouville type theorem on Monge-Ampère equation in the half space. Meanwhile, it is established the existence theorem for the Dirichlet problem with prescribed asymptotic behavior at infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we investigate the asymptotic behavior at infinity of convex viscosity solution of the Monge-Ampère equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \det D^2u = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u = p(x) & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where the space dimension $n \geq 2$, $p(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial with $D^2p > 0$ and

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega_0 := \text{support}(f - 1) \subset B_{R_0}^+$$

for some $R_0 > 0$.

The classical Jögen-Calabi-Pogorelov theorem (cf. [12] for $n = 2$, [7] for $n \leq 5$, [14] for $n \geq 2$) states that any classical convex solution of

$$\det D^2u = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a quadratic polynomial. In [4], L. A. Caffarelli extended above result to viscosity solutions. The asymptotic behavior at infinity of viscosity solution of $\det D^2u = 1$ outside a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n was obtained by L. A. Caffarelli and Y. Y. Li in [5], where the main conclusion is that for $n \geq 3$, u tends to a quadratic polynomial at infinity with rate at least $|x|^{2-n}$; for $n = 2$, u tends to a quadratic polynomial plus $d \log |x|$ at infinity with rate at least $|x|^{-1}$ for some constant d . When $n = 2$, L. Ferrer, A. Martínez and F. Milán obtained the same result by adopting complex variable methods (cf. [9, 10]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J96, 35B40, 35A01.

Key words and phrases. Monge-Ampère equation, asymptotic behavior, half space, existence theorem.

The first and the second authors were supported by National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11671316) and the third author was supported by National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11801015) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2018M631230).

The main purpose of this paper is to extend the result in [5] to the half space. Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p(x)$ be a quadratic polynomial satisfying $D^2p > 0$ and $f \geq 0$ satisfy (1.2). Assume that u is a convex viscosity solution of (1.1) such that*

$$(1.3) \quad \mu|x|^2 \leq u(x) \leq \mu^{-1}|x|^2 \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$$

for some $0 < \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exist some symmetric positive definite matrix A with $\det A = 1$, vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \left| u(x) - \left(\frac{1}{2}x^T Ax + b \cdot x + c \right) \right| \leq C \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_R^+,$$

where $x = (x', x_n)$, and C and $R \geq R_0$ depend only on n , μ and R_0 . Moreover, $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus \Omega_0)$ and for any $k \geq 1$,

$$(1.5) \quad |x|^{n-1+k} \left| D^k \left(u(x) - \frac{1}{2}x^T Ax - b \cdot x - c \right) \right| \leq C \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_R^+,$$

where C also depends on k .

Remark 1.2. (i) (1.3) is reasonable. In fact, the convex function

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1^2}{2(x_n + 1)} + \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^2) + \frac{1}{6}(x_n^3 + 3x_n^2)$$

solves

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \det D^2 u = 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u = \frac{1}{2}|x'|^2 & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

but it is not a quadratic polynomial (cf. [13, 16]).

(ii) Since the boundary condition, the asymptotic rate of solutions at infinity in exterior domains of the half space are faster than in exterior domains of the whole space, and also, the logarithm terms are ruled out as $n = 2$. \square

The following corollary is well known (cf. [13, 16]) and is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.3. *Let u be a convex viscosity solution of*

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \det D^2 u = 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u = p(x) & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\} \end{cases}$$

and satisfy (1.3), where $p(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial satisfying $D^2p > 0$. Then u is a quadratic polynomial.

The next theorem gives the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1) with prescribed asymptotic behavior at infinity.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $p(x)$ be a quadratic polynomial satisfying $D^2p > 0$ and $f \geq 0$ be a bounded function satisfying (1.2). Then for any symmetric positive definite matrix A with $\det A = 1$, vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with the compatibility condition*

$$p(x', 0) = \frac{1}{2}(x', 0)^T A(x', 0) + b \cdot (x', 0) + c,$$

there exists a unique convex solution $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus \Omega_0)$ of (1.1) satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left| u(x) - \left(\frac{1}{2} x^T A x + b \cdot x + c \right) \right| = 0.$$

Moreover, (1.4) and (1.5) hold.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce Pogorelov estimate in half domain and then give as a corollary the estimate of derivatives of solutions of Monge-Ampère equations in half spaces. In Section 3, it is obtained asymptotic behavior at infinity of solutions of linear uniformly elliptic equations in exterior domains in half spaces that extends the results in D. Gilbarg and J. Serrin [11] to the half space case. In Section 4, to show Theorem 1.1, the idea in [5] is borrowed and the results of Section 2 and Section 3 are applied to linearized equation of (1.1). In Section 5, Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 are proved.

Throughout this paper, we use the following standard notations.

- For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x', x_n)$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.
- $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$; $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n \geq 0\}$.
- For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$ and $B_r^+(0) = B_r(0) \cap \{x_n > 0\}$. $B_r = B_r(0)$ and $B_r^+ = B_r^+(0)$.
- For any $r > 0$, $Q_r^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x'| < r, 0 < x_n < r\}$.

2. POGORELOV ESTIMATE IN HALF DOMAIN

We start with the definition of viscosity solution.

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $u \in C(\Omega)$ be a convex function and $f \in C(\Omega)$, $f \geq 0$. The convex function u is a viscosity *subsolution* (*supersolution*) of the equation $\det D^2u = f$ in Ω if whenever convex $\phi(x) \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$ are such that $(u - \phi)(x) \leq (\geq)(u - \phi)(x_0)$ for all x in a neighborhood of x_0 , then we must have

$$\det D^2\phi(x_0) \geq (\leq)f(x_0).$$

If u is a viscosity subsolution and supersolution, we call it viscosity solution. \square

The following so called *Pogorelov estimate in half domain* was obtained by O. Savin [15, Proposition 6.1, Remark 6.3 and Theorem 6.4], which gives the boundary pointwise $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates when the domain is not strictly convex. It is also crucial to establish our main results.

Theorem 2.2 (Pogorelov estimate in half domain). *Let $0 < \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 1$ be three constants and Ω be a convex domain such that*

$$B_{\rho_1}^+ \subset \Omega \subset B_{\rho_1}^+.$$

Assume that $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ is a convex viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} \det D^2 u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = p(x) & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\} \cap \partial\Omega, \\ \rho_2 \leq u \leq \rho_2^{-1} & \text{on } \{x_n > 0\} \cap \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $p(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial satisfying

$$\rho_3 |x'|^2 \leq p(x', 0) \leq \rho_3^{-1} |x'|^2.$$

Then there exists $c_0 > 0$ depending only on n , ρ_1 , ρ_2 and ρ_3 such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_{C^{3,1}(\overline{B_{c_0}^+})} \leq c_0^{-1}.$$

Theorem 2.2 together with interior Pogorelov estimates and the Schauder estimates implies the following corollary, which will be used to obtain the linear part of the quadratic polynomial in (1.4).

Corollary 2.3. Assume that $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \setminus B_1^+)$ satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} [I_n + D^2 u] > 0, \quad \det(I_n + D^2 u) = 1 & \text{and} \quad |u(x)| \leq \frac{\beta}{|x|^\gamma} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B_1^+, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \{x : |x'| \geq 1, x_n = 0\} \end{cases}$$

for some constants $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > -2$. Then

$$\left| D^k u(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\gamma+k}} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \setminus B_{R_0}^+$$

for any $k \geq 1$, where $R_0 \geq 1$ depends only on n , β and γ , and C depends only on n , β , γ and k .

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Boundary point. For any $x_0 \in \{|x| = R \geq 3, x_n = 0\}$, let

$$\eta(x) = u(x) + \frac{1}{2}|x - x_0|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$$

and

$$\eta_R(y) = \left(\frac{4}{R}\right)^2 \eta\left(x_0 + \frac{R}{4}y\right), \quad y \in B_2^+.$$

From (2.2), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} [D^2 \eta_R(y)] > 0 & \text{and} \quad \det D^2 \eta_R(y) = 1 & \text{in } B_2^+, \\ \eta_R(y) = \frac{1}{2}|y|^2 & \text{on } \partial B_2^+ \cap \{y_n = 0\}, \\ 1 \leq \eta_R(y) \leq 3 & \text{on } \{x_n > 0\} \cap \partial B_2^+ \end{cases}$$

for $R \geq R_0$ as R_0 is large enough depending only on β and γ .

By Theorem 2.2, there exists $c_0 > 0$ depending only on n such that

$$\|\eta_R(y)\|_{C^{3,1}(\overline{B_{c_0}^+})} \leq c_0^{-1}.$$

This together with $\det D^2 \eta_R(y) = 1$ implies

$$(2.3) \quad C^{-1}I_n \leq [D^2 \eta_R(y)] \leq CI_n \quad \text{in } y \in \overline{B_{c_0}^+},$$

where C depends only on n . Differentiating $\ln(\det D^2\eta_R) = 0$ with respect to y_k , we get

$$a_{ij}(y)D_{ij}(\eta_R)_k(y) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_{c_0}^+,$$

where $a_{ij}(y) = \{[D^2\eta_R]^{-1}\}_{ij}(y)$. By the Schauder estimates,

$$\|\eta_R(y)\|_{C^k(\overline{B}_{c_0/2}^+)} \leq C$$

for any $k \geq 1$, where C depends only on n and k .

Let

$$u_R(y) = \eta_R(y) - \frac{1}{2}|y|^2 = \left(\frac{4}{R}\right)^2 u\left(x_0 + \frac{R}{4}y\right), \quad y \in B_2^+.$$

By $\ln \det(D^2u_R + I_n) - \ln \det I_n = 0$, we deduce

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{ij}(y)D_{ij}u_R(y) = 0 & \text{in } B_{c_0/2}^+, \\ u_R(y) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{c_0/2}^+ \cap \{y_n = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{a}_{ij}(y) = \int_0^1 [sD^2u_R(y) + I_n]^{ij} ds = \int_0^1 [sD^2\eta_R(y) + (1-s)I_n]^{ij} ds$ and

$$\|\tilde{a}_{ij}\|_{C^k(\overline{B}_{c_0/2}^+)} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad C^{-1}I_n \leq [\tilde{a}_{ij}] \leq CI_n \quad \text{on } \overline{B}_{c_0/2}^+.$$

for any $k \geq 1$. By the Schauder estimates,

$$\|D^k u_R(y)\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B}_{c_0/4}^+)} \leq C \|u_R(y)\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B}_{c_0/2}^+)} \leq CR^{-\gamma-2}$$

for any $k \geq 1$, where C depends only on n, β, γ and k .

It follows that

$$(2.4) \quad |D^k u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\gamma+k}} \quad \text{in } \overline{B}_{\theta R}^+(x_0),$$

for any $k \geq 1$, where $\theta = \frac{c_0}{4}$ and $R_0 \geq 1$ depend only on n, β and γ , and C depends only on n, β, γ and k .

Case 2. Interior point. For any $x_0 \in \{|x| = R \geq R_0, x_n \geq \theta R\}$, by similar arguments as *Case 1*, where we use interior Pogorelov estimates instead of Theorem 2.2 (cf. [5, Lemma 3.5]), we obtain

$$|D^k u(x_0)| \leq \frac{C}{|x_0|^{\gamma+k}}$$

for any $k \geq 1$. □

3. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF LINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATION IN HALF SPACE

In this section we study the asymptotic behavior at infinity of solutions of linear uniformly elliptic equations in non-divergence form outside a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}_+^n , which extends the results in D. Gilbarg and J. Serrin [11] to half spaces and will be applied to the linearized equation of (1.1) in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We begin with the following two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $R_0 > 0$ and $a_{ij}(x) \in C(\overline{B}_{4R_0}^+ \setminus B_{R_0}^+)$ such that $\lambda I \leq [a_{ij}(x)] \leq \Lambda I$ in $\overline{B}_{4R_0}^+ \setminus B_{R_0}^+$ for some $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$. Assume that*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}u(x) = 0 & \text{in } B_{4R_0}^+ \setminus \overline{B}_{R_0}^+, \\ u(x) \leq 1 & \text{on } \partial(B_{4R_0}^+ \setminus \overline{B}_{R_0}^+) \cap \{x_n > 0\}, \\ u(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} & \text{on } \partial(B_{4R_0}^+ \setminus \overline{B}_{R_0}^+) \cap \{x_n = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u(x) \leq 1 - \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{2R_0} \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}$$

for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on n , λ and Λ .

Proof. Without loss of generality, it is assumed that $R_0 = 1$.

By the third inequality in (3.1) and the Hölder continuity up to the boundary of u , there exists constant $0 < \delta \leq 1$ depending only on n , λ and Λ such that

$$(3.2) \quad u(x) \leq \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{on } \partial B_2 \cap \{0 \leq x_n \leq \delta\}.$$

Applying Harnack inequality to $1 - u$, there exists a positive constant $C \geq 1$ depending only on n , λ and Λ such that

$$C \inf_{\partial B_2 \cap \{x_n \geq \delta\}} (1 - u) \geq \sup_{\partial B_2 \cap \{x_n \geq \delta\}} (1 - u) \geq \sup_{\partial B_2 \cap \{x_n = \delta\}} (1 - u) \geq \frac{1}{3}.$$

It implies that

$$(3.3) \quad u(x) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{3C} \quad \text{on } \partial B_2 \cap \{x_n \geq \delta\}.$$

This lemma follows immediately from (3.2) and (3.3) by taking $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{3C}$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $R_0 > 0$ and $a_{ij}(x) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+)$ such that $\lambda I \leq [a_{ij}(x)] \leq \Lambda I$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$ for some $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$. Assume that*

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}u(x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+, \\ |u(x)| \leq 1 & \text{on } (\partial B_{R_0} \cap \{x_n > 0\}) \cup \{x_n = 0, |x| \geq R_0\}, \\ u(x', 0) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x'| \rightarrow \infty, \\ |Du(x)| \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then $|u| \leq 1$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, since $|Du| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $R_\varepsilon \geq R_0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad |Du| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus Q_{R_\varepsilon}^+,$$

where $Q_{R_\varepsilon}^+ = \{(x', x_n) : |x'| < R_\varepsilon, 0 < x_n < R_\varepsilon\}$.

Since $|u| \leq 1$ on $\{x_n = 0, |x| \geq R_0\}$, we have, by (3.4),

$$|u(x)| \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon x_n \quad \text{on } \partial Q_{R_\varepsilon}^+ \cap \{x_n > 0\}.$$

In view of $|u| \leq 1$ on $(\partial B_{R_0} \cap \{x_n > 0\}) \cup \{x_n = 0, |x| \geq R_0\}$, we deduce by the comparison principle that

$$|u(x)| \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon x_n \quad \text{in } \overline{Q}_{R_\varepsilon}^+ \setminus B_{R_0}^+.$$

Fix any $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$ and we derive the conclusion by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

The following two theorems are our main results of this section and will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $R_0 > 0$ and $a_{ij}(x) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+)$ such that $\lambda I \leq [a_{ij}(x)] \leq \Lambda I$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$ for some $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$. Assume that*

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}u(x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+, \\ |u| \leq 1 & \text{on } (\partial B_{R_0} \cap \{x_n > 0\}) \cup \{x_n = 0, |x| \geq R_0\}, \\ u(x', 0) \rightarrow \beta & \text{as } |x'| \rightarrow \infty, \\ |Du(x)| \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

for some real number β . Then $u(x) \rightarrow \beta$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $\beta = 0$. Otherwise, we consider

$$(u(x) - \beta)/(1 + |\beta|).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have $|u(x)| \leq 1$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$. Then u has finite superior limit \overline{u} and inferior limit \underline{u} at infinity. By $u(x', 0) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x'| \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\overline{u} \geq 0 \geq \underline{u}$.

Now we argue by contradiction. If this theorem is not true, then $\overline{u} > 0$ or $\underline{u} < 0$. We may assume $\overline{u} > 0$. Otherwise, we consider $-u$.

Let ε_0 be given by Lemma 3.1. By the definition of \overline{u} , there exists large $R_1 \geq R_0$ such that for all $R \geq R_1$,

$$u(x) \leq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2})\overline{u} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_R^+$$

and (by $u(x', 0) \rightarrow \beta = 0$ as $|x'| \rightarrow \infty$),

$$u(x', 0) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2})\overline{u} \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0, |x'| > R\}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 to $\frac{u(x)}{(1 + \varepsilon_0/2)\overline{u}}$ in $B_{4R}^+ \setminus \overline{B}_R^+$, we get

$$u(x) \leq (1 - \varepsilon_0)(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2})\overline{u} \leq (1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2})\overline{u} \quad \text{on } \partial B_{2R} \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}.$$

However, by the arbitrariness of $R \geq R_1$,

$$u(x) \leq (1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2})\overline{u} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{2R_1}^+,$$

which contradicts the definition of \overline{u} . \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $R_0 > 0$ and $a_{ij}(x) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+)$ such that $\lambda I \leq [a_{ij}(x)] \leq \Lambda I$ and $|a_{ij}(x) - \delta_{ij}| \leq |x|^{-s}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$ for some $s > 0$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$. Assume*

that

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}u(x) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \{x_n = 0, |x| \geq R_0\}, \\ |u| \leq 1 & \text{on } \partial B_{R_0} \cap \{x_n > 0\}, \\ |Du(x)| \leq 1 & \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \setminus B_{R_0}^+, \\ |Du(x)| \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(3.5) \quad |u(x)| \leq C \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \setminus B_R^+,$$

where C and $R \geq R_0$ depend only on n , s and R_0 .

Proof. By Theorem 3.3,

$$u(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fix $0 < \delta < \min\{1, \frac{s}{n-1}\}$ and let

$$w(x) = \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} - \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{1+\delta}.$$

Then for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$D_i w = \left(1 - (1 + \delta) \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^\delta\right) \left(\frac{\delta_n^i}{|x|^n} - \frac{nx_n x_i}{|x|^{n+2}}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij} w &= \left(1 - (1 + \delta) \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^\delta\right) \left(\frac{-n(\delta_n^i x_j + \delta_n^j x_i + \delta_j^i x_n)}{|x|^{n+2}} + \frac{n(n+2)x_n x_i x_j}{|x|^{n+4}}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(-\delta(1 + \delta) \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1}\right) \left(\frac{\delta_n^i}{|x|^n} - \frac{nx_n x_i}{|x|^{n+2}}\right) \left(\frac{\delta_n^j}{|x|^n} - \frac{nx_n x_j}{|x|^{n+2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta w &= -\delta(1 + \delta) \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2n}} + \frac{(n^2 - 2n)x_n^2}{|x|^{2n+2}}\right) \\ &\leq -\delta(1 + \delta) \frac{1}{|x|^{2n}} \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1} \end{aligned}$$

and there exists C depending only on n and δ such that for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |D_{ij} w| &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{|x|^{n+1}} \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^\delta + \frac{1}{|x|^{2n}} \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1}\right) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{|x|^{2n}} \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), (3.7) and $|a_{ij}(x) - \delta_{ij}| \leq |x|^{-s}$, there exists C depending only on n , δ and s such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}w(x) &= \delta_{ij}D_{ij}w(x) + (a_{ij}(x) - \delta_{ij})D_{ij}w(x) \\ &\leq -\delta(1 + \delta)\frac{1}{|x|^{2n}}\left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1} \\ &\quad + C|x|^{-s}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{|x|^{2n}}\left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1}\right) \\ &\leq (-\delta(1 + \delta) + C|x|^{-s})|x|^{-2n}\left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1} + C|x|^{-s-n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exists $R_1 \geq 2R_0$ large enough (depending only on n , δ , s and R_0) such that

$$(3.8) \quad a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}w(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \overline{B}_{R_1}^+,$$

where $0 < \delta < \min\{1, \frac{s}{n-1}\}$ and $\left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^{\delta-1} \geq |x|^{-(n-1)(\delta-1)}$ are used.

From $|Du(x)| \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B_{R_0}^+$ and $u(x) = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0, |x| \geq R_0\}$, we deduce

$$|u(x)| \leq 3x_n \quad \text{on } \partial B_{R_1} \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}.$$

On the other hand, on $\partial B_{R_1} \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}$,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} w(x) &= \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} \left(1 - \left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)^\delta\right) \geq \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}}\right)^\delta\right) \\ &= \frac{x_n}{R_1^n} \left(1 - R_1^{(1-n)\delta}\right) \geq C^{-1}|u(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \frac{3R_1^n}{1 - R_1^{(1-n)\delta}}$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, by $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $R_\epsilon > R$ such that

$$|u(x)| \leq \epsilon, \quad x \in \partial B_{R_\epsilon} \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}.$$

Combine it with (3.9) and (3.8) and by the comparison principle, we have

$$|u(x)| \leq Cw(x) + \epsilon \quad \text{in } \overline{B}_{R_\epsilon}^+ \setminus B_R^+.$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and we conclude (3.5). □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1, which is equivalent to the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $f \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad \Omega_0 = \text{support}(f - 1) \subset B_1^+$$

and u is a convex viscosity solution of

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \det D^2u = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\} \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$(4.3) \quad \mu|x|^2 \leq u \leq \mu^{-1}|x|^2 \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_1^+$$

for some $0 < \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exist some symmetric positive definite matrix A with $\det A = 1$ and constant $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \left| u(x) - \left(\frac{1}{2}x^T A x + b_n x_n \right) \right| \leq C \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_R^+,$$

where C and R depend only on n and μ . Moreover, $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus \Omega_0)$ and

$$(4.5) \quad |x|^{n-1+k} \left| D^k \left(u(x) - \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b_n x_n \right) \right| \leq C \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_R^+,$$

where C also depends on k .

Remark 4.2. Theorem 1.1 follows easily from Theorem 4.1. Indeed, after subtracting an affine function, we may assume that in (1.1), $p(x)$ is homogeneous of degree 2, that is, $p(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T P x$ for some $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix $P =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{P} & \nu \\ \nu^T & a \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Let } \tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$$

such that $\tilde{Q}^T \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} = I_{n-1}$ and $Q = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{Q} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\det \tilde{Q}} \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to see that $\det Q = 1$ and

$$p(Qx) \Big|_{x_n=0} = \frac{1}{2}|x'|^2. \quad \text{Then we can deduce Theorem 1.1 by Theorem 4.1.} \quad \square$$

We first show the smoothness of u .

Lemma 4.3. *Let u be given by Theorem 4.1. Then $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus \Omega_0)$.*

Proof. For any $x_0 \in \{x_n = 0\} \setminus \Omega_0$, let $d = \text{dist}(x_0, \Omega_0)$ and

$$\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) - u(x_0) - x_0 \cdot (x - x_0) + C x_n,$$

where C is large such that \tilde{u} is positive on $\partial B_d(x_0) \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}$. By Theorem 2.2 and the Schauder estimates, we get $u \in C^\infty(\overline{B}_c^+(x_0))$ for some positive $c < d$.

For any $x_0 \in \{x_n > 0\} \setminus \Omega_0$, let $\tilde{d} = \text{dist}(x_0, \partial(\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \Omega_0))$ and $l_{x_0}(x)$ be a support plane of u at x_0 . Then $\alpha := \min_{\partial B_{\tilde{d}/2}(x_0)} (u - l_{x_0})(x) > 0$. Indeed, if $\alpha = 0$, then by [3,

Theorem 1], there exists an endless line $L \subset \{u - l_{x_0} = 0\}$ and this contradicts (4.3). Therefore $\{x : u < l_{x_0} + \alpha\} \subset B_{\tilde{d}/2}(x_0)$ and then we get $u \in C^\infty$ in $\{x : u < l_{x_0} + \alpha\}$ by [5, 8]. \square

In the following, the remaining part of the proof of Theorem 4.1 includes two stages: nonlinear approach and linear approach. In the nonlinear approach, we follow the idea of [5] and show that there exist some matrix T and constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|u(Tx) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2| = O(|x|^{2-\epsilon})$ at infinity by approximating u with a good function ξ which can be estimated by Pogorelov estimate in half domain. In the linear approach, we obtain some linear function $l(x)$ such that $|u(Tx) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - l(x)| = O\left(\frac{x_n}{|x|^n}\right)$ at infinity by linearizing the equation and using the results we established in Section 2 and Section 3.

4.1. **Nonlinear approach.** Denote the cross section by

$$S_M(u) = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n : u(x) < M\}.$$

By (4.3), for any $M \geq \mu^{-1}$,

$$M^{1/2}\mu^{1/2}\overline{B}_1^+ \subset S_M(u) \subset M^{1/2}\mu^{-1/2}\overline{B}_1^+.$$

Let

$$\widehat{u}(x) = \frac{1}{M}u(M^{1/2}x), \quad x \in \mathcal{O} := \frac{1}{M^{1/2}}S_M(u).$$

Then

$$\mu^{1/2}\overline{B}_1^+ \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mu^{-1/2}\overline{B}_1^+$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \det D^2\widehat{u} = f(M^{1/2}x) & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ \widehat{u} = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O} \cap \{x_n = 0\}, \\ \widehat{u} = 1 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O} \cap \{x_n > 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \det D^2\xi = 1 & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ \xi = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O} \cap \{x_n = 0\}, \\ \xi = 1 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O} \cap \{x_n > 0\}. \end{cases}$$

We refer to [1, 2, 8] for the existence of ξ . Observe the boundary value of ξ can be extended to a convex function on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ by defining

$$\sup\{l(x) : l \text{ is an affine function and } l \leq \xi|_{\partial\mathcal{O}} \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{O}\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{O}.$$

For any $M \geq \mu^{-1}$, applying Theorem 2.2 to ξ in \mathcal{O} , there exists $c_0 > 0$ depending only on n and μ such that

$$(4.6) \quad |D\xi(x)| \leq c_0^{-1}, \quad c_0 I \leq [D^2\xi(x)] \leq c_0^{-1}I \quad \text{and} \quad |D^3\xi(x)| \leq c_0^{-1} \quad \text{in } \overline{B}_{c_0}^+.$$

Lemma 4.4. For any $M \geq \max\{\mu^{-1}, c_0^{-2}\}$,

$$|\widehat{u} - \xi| \leq CM^{-1/2} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathcal{O}} \setminus B_{M^{-1/2}}^+,$$

where C depends only on n and μ .

Proof. By (4.3), we see that

$$\mu M^{-1} \leq \widehat{u} \leq \mu^{-1}M^{-1} \quad \text{on } \partial B_{M^{-1/2}}^+ \cap \{x_n > 0\}.$$

In view of (4.6),

$$|\xi(x)| \leq c_0^{-1}M^{-1/2} \quad \text{in } \overline{B}_{M^{-1/2}}^+$$

for any $M \geq \max\{\mu^{-1}, c_0^{-2}\}$. Therefore

$$|\widehat{u} - \xi| \leq CM^{-1/2} \quad \text{on } \partial B_{M^{-1/2}}^+ \cap \{x_n > 0\},$$

where C is a constant depending only on n and μ .

Observe $f \equiv 1$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \setminus B_{M^{-1/2}}^+$. Then the comparison principle follows this lemma. \square

Lemma 4.5. For any $M \geq \max\{\mu^{-2}, c_0^{-2}\}$,

$$(4.7) \quad |D\xi(0)| \leq CM^{-1/4},$$

where C depends only on n and μ .

Proof. Since $\xi = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$, it suffices to show $|D_n\xi(0)| \leq CM^{-1/4}$.

For any $\tilde{x} = (0, \tilde{x}_n) \in \overline{B_{c_0}^+}$, by (4.6), there exists $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\xi(0, \tilde{x}_n) = \xi(0) + D_n\xi(0) \cdot \tilde{x}_n + \frac{1}{2}D_{nn}\xi(\theta\tilde{x})\tilde{x}_n^2,$$

which gives

$$|D_n\xi(0)| \leq \frac{|\xi(0, \tilde{x}_n)| + \frac{1}{2}D_{nn}\xi(\theta\tilde{x})\tilde{x}_n^2}{\tilde{x}_n} \leq \frac{|\xi(0, \tilde{x}_n)| + C\tilde{x}_n^2}{\tilde{x}_n}$$

for some constant C depending only on n and μ .

Choose \tilde{x}_n such that $\hat{u}(0, \tilde{x}_n) = M^{-1/2}$. Then, by (4.3),

$$M^{-1/4}\mu^{1/2} \leq \tilde{x}_n \leq M^{-1/4}\mu^{-1/2}$$

for any $M \geq \max\{\mu^{-2}, c_0^{-2}\}$. Since, by Lemma 4.4,

$$|\xi(0, \tilde{x}_n)| \leq |\hat{u}(0, \tilde{x}_n)| + CM^{-1/2} \leq CM^{-1/2}$$

for some constant C depending only on n and μ , we see (4.7) clearly. \square

Let

$$(4.8) \quad E_M = \left\{ x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} : x^T D^2\xi(0)x \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.6. Let $\tau = \frac{1}{10}$. There exist k_0 and \tilde{C} , depending only on n and μ , such that for all $k \geq k_0$, $M = 2^{(1+\tau)k}$ and $M' \in [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$,

$$(4.9) \quad \left(\frac{2M'}{M} - \tilde{C}2^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau k} \right)^{1/2} E_M \subset \frac{S_{M'}(u)}{M^{1/2}} \subset \left(\frac{2M'}{M} + \tilde{C}2^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau k} \right)^{1/2} E_M.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 and

$$\frac{S_{M'}(u)}{M^{1/2}} = \left\{ \hat{u} < \frac{M'}{M} \right\},$$

we have

$$\left\{ \xi < \frac{M'}{M} - \frac{C}{M^{1/2}} \right\} \subset \frac{S_{M'}(u)}{M^{1/2}} \subset \left\{ \xi < \frac{M'}{M} + \frac{C}{M^{1/2}} \right\},$$

where $B_{M^{-1/2}}^+ \subset \left\{ \hat{u} < \frac{M'}{M} \right\}$ and $B_{M^{-1/2}}^+ \subset \left\{ \xi < \frac{M'}{M} - \frac{C}{M^{1/2}} \right\}$ are used.

For any $x \in \overline{B_{c_0}^+}$, by (4.6),

$$\left| \xi(x) - \xi(0) - D\xi(0) \cdot x - \frac{1}{2}x^T D^2\xi(0)x \right| \leq c_0^{-1}|x|^3.$$

From $\xi(0) = 0$ and Lemma 4.5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}x^T D^2\xi(0)x - CM^{-1/4}|x| - c_0^{-1}|x|^3 \\ \leq \xi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}x^T D^2\xi(0)x + CM^{-1/4}|x| + c_0^{-1}|x|^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (4.6), $c_0I \leq [D^2\xi(0)] \leq c_0^{-1}$, we have $x \in \left\{ \xi < \frac{M'}{M} - \frac{C}{M^{1/2}} \right\}$ implies $|x| \leq C \left(\frac{M'}{M} \right)^{1/2}$ for some constant C depending only on n and μ . Therefore there exist k_0 and \tilde{C} , depending only on n and μ , such that for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$\left\{ x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n : x^T D^2\xi(0)x \leq \frac{2M'}{M} - \tilde{C}2^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau k} \right\} \subset \left\{ \xi < \frac{M'}{M} - \frac{C}{M^{1/2}} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \xi < \frac{M'}{M} + \frac{C}{M^{1/2}} \right\} \subset \left\{ x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n : x^T D^2\xi(0)x \leq \frac{2M'}{M} + \tilde{C}2^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau k} \right\}.$$

This gives (4.9). \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let k_0 and τ be given by Lemma 4.6. Then there exists a real invertible bounded upper-triangular matrix T with $\det T = 1$ such that $|T| \leq C$ and*

$$(4.10) \quad \left(1 - CM'^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau}\right) \sqrt{2M'\overline{B}_1^+} \subset TS_{M'}(u) \subset \left(1 + CM'^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau}\right) \sqrt{2M'\overline{B}_1^+}$$

for all $M' \geq 2^{k_0}$, where C depends only on n and μ .

Proof. For $k \geq k_0$, let $M = 2^{(1+\tau)k}$ and $M' \in [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$.

By LU decomposition for symmetric positive definite matrices, there exists a unique upper-triangular matrix T_k with real positive diagonal entries such that $[D^2\xi(0)] = T_k^T T_k$. Obviously, $\det T_k = 1$ and $|T_k| \leq C$ for some constant C depending only on n and μ . Recall (4.8) and then

$$E_M = T_k^{-1} \overline{B}_1^+.$$

From (4.9), it follows that

$$\left(\frac{2M'}{M} - C2^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau k} \right)^{1/2} T_k^{-1} \overline{B}_1^+ \subset \frac{S_{M'}(u)}{M^{1/2}} \subset \left(\frac{2M'}{M} + C2^{-\frac{3}{2}\tau k} \right)^{1/2} T_k^{-1} \overline{B}_1^+$$

or

$$(4.11) \quad \left(1 - C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \sqrt{2M'\overline{B}_1^+} \subset T_k S_{M'}(u) \subset \left(1 + C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \sqrt{2M'\overline{B}_1^+}.$$

Particularly,

$$\left(1 - C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \sqrt{2^k \overline{B}_1^+} \subset T_k S_{2^{k-1}}(u) \subset \left(1 + C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \sqrt{2^k \overline{B}_1^+}$$

and

$$\left(1 - C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau(k-1)}\right) \sqrt{2^k \overline{B}_1^+} \subset T_{k-1} S_{2^{k-1}}(u) \subset \left(1 + C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau(k-1)}\right) \sqrt{2^k \overline{B}_1^+}.$$

Therefore

$$\left(1 - C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \overline{B}_1^+ \subset T_k T_{k-1}^{-1} \overline{B}_1^+ \subset \left(1 + C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \overline{B}_1^+.$$

for some constant C depending only on n and μ . By reflection,

$$\left(1 - C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \overline{B}_1 \subset T_k T_{k-1}^{-1} \overline{B}_1 \subset \left(1 + C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}\right) \overline{B}_1.$$

It is clear that $T_k T_{k-1}^{-1}$ is upper-triangular. Then by Lemma A.5 in [5],

$$\|T_k T_{k-1}^{-1} - I\| \leq C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}$$

and then (since T_k is uniformly bounded),

$$\|T_k - T_{k-1}\| \leq C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k},$$

where C depends only on n and μ . Therefore there exists a unique bounded invertible upper-triangular matrix T with $\det T = 1$ such that

$$\|T_k - T\| \leq C2^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau k}$$

Combining it with (4.11) and (4.3), we obtain (4.10). \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $v(x) = u(y)$ and $y = T^{-1}x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and then v solves*

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{cases} \det D^2 v = 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus T\Omega_0, \\ v(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\} \end{cases}$$

and for some C depending only on n and μ ,

$$(4.13) \quad \left|v(x) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2\right| \leq C|x|^{2-\tau} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \cap \{|x| \geq 2^{k_0}\},$$

where $\tau = \frac{1}{10}$, k_0 and T are given by Lemma 4.7.

Proof. (4.10) implies that

$$\left(1 - CM^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau}\right) \sqrt{2M}\overline{B}_1^+ \subset S_M(v) \subset \left(1 + CM^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau}\right) \sqrt{2M}\overline{B}_1^+$$

and then (4.13) holds.

By $\det D^2 u(y) = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus \Omega_0$ and $\det T = 1$, we have

$$\det D^2 v(x) = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus T\Omega_0.$$

Since $u(y) = \frac{1}{2}|y|^2$ on $\{y_n = 0\}$ and T is upper-triangular, we deduce

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{2}|T^{-1}x|^2 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}.$$

By (4.13),

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}|T^{-1}Mx|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|Mx|^2\right| \leq C|Mx|^{2-\tau} \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}.$$

Let $M \rightarrow \infty$ and we conclude that

$$|T^{-1}x|^2 = |x|^2 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}.$$

Therefore $v(x', 0) = \frac{1}{2}|x'|^2$. \square

4.2. Linear approach. In this subsection we prove the following lemma that completes the proof of Theorem 1.1, where the results established in Section 2 and Section 3 will be used essentially.

Lemma 4.9. *Let v be given by Lemma 4.8. Then there exists some constant b_n such that*

$$(4.14) \quad \left| v(x) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - b_n x_n \right| \leq C \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_R^+,$$

where C and R depend only on n and μ . Furthermore, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$(4.15) \quad |x|^{n-1-k} \left| D^k \left(v(x) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - b_n x_n \right) \right| \leq C \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_R^+,$$

where C also depends on k .

Proof. Since T is bounded, there exists $R_1 > 0$ depending only on n and μ such that $T\Omega_0 \subset \overline{B}_{R_1}^+$. Let $V(x) = v(x) - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$. By (4.13) and Corollary 2.3,

$$(4.16) \quad |DV(x)| \leq C|x|^{1-\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad |D^2V(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\tau} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_1}^+,$$

where $\tau = \frac{1}{10}$ and C depends only on n and μ .

Differentiating $\ln \det(I_n + D^2V) = 0$ with respect to x_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, we get

$$a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}V_k(x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_1}^+,$$

where $a_{ij}(x) = [D^2V + I_n]^{ij}(x)$ and $V_k = D_kV$.

In view of (4.16), we obtain that

$$|a_{ij}(x) - \delta_{ij}| \leq C|x|^{-\tau} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_1}^+$$

for some constant C depending only on n and μ and for $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$|DV_k(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Observe $V(x', 0) = 0$ and then $V_k(x', 0) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $|x'| > R_1$. By Theorem 3.4, we have for any $k = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$|V_k(x)| \leq C \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_1}^+,$$

where C depends only on n and μ . It follows that for any $k = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$|V_{kn}(x', 0)| \leq \frac{C}{|x'|^n}, \quad |x'| \geq R_1.$$

Since $n \geq 2$, there exists some b_n such that

$$V_n(x', 0) \rightarrow b_n \quad \text{as } |x'| \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Theorem 3.3, we obtain that

$$(4.17) \quad V_n(x) \rightarrow b_n \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

From $\ln \det(I_n + D^2V) = \ln \det I_n = 0$, we deduce

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{ij}(x)D_{ij}(V - b_n x_n) = 0 & \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_1}^+, \\ V - b_n x_n = 0 & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{a}_{ij}(x) = \int_0^1 [sD^2V + I_n]^{ij}(x)ds$ and in view of (4.16),

$$|\tilde{a}_{ij}(x) - \delta_{ij}| \leq C|x|^{-\tau} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n \setminus B_{R_1}^+$$

for some constant C depending only on n and μ . Since, by (4.17),

$$|D(V(x) - b_n x_n)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have, by Theorem 3.4, there exist $R \geq R_1$ and C depending only on n and μ such that (4.14) holds. And then applying Corollary 2.3 with $w = V - b_n x_n$ and $\gamma = n - 1$, we have (4.15). \square

Finally, Theorem 4.1 follows from Lemma 4.9 immediately.

5. PROOFS OF COROLLARY 1.3 AND THEOREM 1.4

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By Theorem 1.1, there exist some symmetric positive definite matrix A with $\det A = 1$, vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$E(x) := u(x) - \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b \cdot x - c \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

and $E = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$. Furthermore, from $\det D^2u - \det A = \det D^2u - 1 = 0$ and $[D^2u] = [A + D^2E]$, it can be deduced that

$$a_{ij}D_{ij}E = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

where $a_{ij}(x) = \int_0^1 [sD^2u + (1-s)A]^{ij}(x)ds$.

By the maximum principle, we get $E(x) \equiv 0$, i.e., $u(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x + b \cdot x + c$. \square

Before proving Theorem 1.4, we define

$$u_{\pm}(x', x_n) = \frac{1}{2}|x'|^2 + \int_0^{x_n} \int_0^t f_{\pm}(s)dsdt, \quad (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

where $f_{\pm}(s)$ satisfy

$$\text{support}(f_{\pm} - 1) \subset [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq f_+(s) \leq 1 \leq f_-(s) \leq \Lambda, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Then $u_{\pm} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n)$ are convex functions satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \det D^2u_{\pm}(x', x_n) = f_{\pm}(x_n) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - x_n \leq u_+ \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 \leq u_- \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + (\Lambda - 1)x_n \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The uniqueness of solutions in Theorem 1.4 can be deduced from the comparison principle. As for the existence part, we only need to show it under additional hypothesis $\Omega_0 \subset \overline{B}_{1/2}^+$, $A = I_n$. In fact, by LU decomposition for symmetric positive definite matrices, there exists a unique upper-triangular matrix Q with real positive diagonal entries such that $Q^T Q = A$ and $\det Q = 1$. Then the

existence of u satisfying (1.1) and (1.8) as (1.2) holds is equivalent to the existence of w satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \det D^2 w = \tilde{f} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ w = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + b \cdot x + c & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left| w(x) - \left(\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + b \cdot x + c \right) \right| = 0 \end{cases}$$

as $\text{support}(\tilde{f} - 1) \subset \overline{B}_{1/2}^+$, by setting

$$u(x) = M^2 w \left(\frac{Qx}{M} \right) \text{ and } f(x) = \tilde{f} \left(\frac{Qx}{M} \right)$$

for M large enough.

Next we prove Theorem 1.4 with $\Omega_0 \subset \overline{B}_{1/2}^+$ and $A = I_n$.

For any $R > 1$, let $u_R(x)$ be the unique convex viscosity solution of

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \det D^2 u_R = f & \text{in } B_R^+, \\ u_R = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \text{on } \partial B_R^+. \end{cases}$$

Suppose $0 \leq f \leq \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda > 1$. Since $\text{support}(\tilde{f} - 1) \subset \overline{B}_{1/2}^+$ and, by (5.1),

$$u_- - (\Lambda - 1)x_n \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 \leq u_+ + x_n \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

we deduce, by the comparison principle,

$$u_- - (\Lambda - 1)x_n \leq u_R \leq u_+ + x_n \quad \text{in } B_R^+.$$

By (5.1) again,

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - (\Lambda - 1)x_n \leq u_R \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + x_n \quad \text{in } B_R^+.$$

It follows that

$$(5.4) \quad -(\Lambda - 1) \leq D_n u_R \leq 1 \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0\} \cap \overline{B}_R^+.$$

Next we fix any $\tilde{R} > 1$ (large enough) and assume that $R \geq 2\tilde{R}$. From (5.4) and the boundary condition in (5.2), we see that

$$|Du_R| \leq \max\{\Lambda - 1, 1, 2\tilde{R}\} \quad \text{on } \{x_n = 0, |x'| \leq 2\tilde{R}\},$$

and from (5.3) and the convexity of u_R , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x_n > 0, |x| = \tilde{R}} |Du_R| &\leq \max \left\{ \max_{x_n = 0, |x'| \leq 2\tilde{R}} |Du_R|, \frac{\sup_{x_n > 0, |x| = 2\tilde{R}} u_R - \inf_{x_n > 0, |x| = \tilde{R}} u_R}{\tilde{R}} \right\} \\ &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C depending on \tilde{R} . Therefore by the convexity of u_R again, we conclude that $|Du_R|$ is bounded on $\overline{B}_{\tilde{R}}^+$. Thus, along a sequence $R_j \rightarrow \infty$,

$u_{R_j} \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{\tilde{R}} \in C^0(B_{\tilde{R}}^+)$. By diagonal arguments, there exist a subsequence of $\{u_{R_j}\}$ (still denoted by $\{u_{R_j}\}$) and $\tilde{u} \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ such that

$$u_{R_j} \rightarrow \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}).$$

Moreover, by (5.2), \tilde{u} is a convex viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} \det D^2 \tilde{u} = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \tilde{u} = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\} \end{cases}$$

and, by (5.3),

$$\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - (\Lambda - 1)x_n \leq \tilde{u} \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + x_n \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we obtain the existence of u by adding a suitable affine function to \tilde{u} . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Aleksandrov. Dirichlet's problem for the equation $\text{Det} \|z_{ij}\| = \varphi(z_1, \dots, z_n, z, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. I. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Ser. Mat. Meh. Astr.*, 13(1):5–24, 1958.
- [2] I. J. Bakel'man. Generalized solutions of Monge-Ampère equations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 114:1143–1145, 1957.
- [3] L. A. Caffarelli. A localization property of viscosity solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation and their strict convexity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 131(1):129–134, 1990.
- [4] L. A. Caffarelli. Topics in PDEs: The Monge-Ampère equation. Graduate course. Courant Institute, New York University, 1995.
- [5] L. A. Caffarelli and Y. Y. Li. An extension to a theorem of Jörgens, Calabi, and Pogorelov. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(5):549–583, 2003.
- [6] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck. The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. I. Monge-Ampère equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 37(3):369–402, 1984.
- [7] E. Calabi. Improper affine hyperspheres of convex type and a generalization of a theorem by K. Jörgens. *Michigan Math. J.*, 5:105–126, 1958.
- [8] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau. On the regularity of the Monge-Ampère equation $\det(\partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial x_j) = F(x, u)$. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30(1):41–68, 1977.
- [9] L. Ferrer, A. Martínez, and F. Milán. An extension of a theorem by K. Jörgens and a maximum principle at infinity for parabolic affine spheres. *Math. Z.*, 230(3):471–486, 1999.
- [10] L. Ferrer, A. Martínez, and F. Milán. The space of parabolic affine spheres with fixed compact boundary. *Monatsh. Math.*, 130(1):19–27, 2000.
- [11] D. Gilbarg and J. Serrin. On isolated singularities of solutions of second order elliptic differential equations. *J. Analyse Math.*, 4:309–340, 1955/56.
- [12] K. Jörgens. über die Lösungen der Differentialgleichung $rt - s^2 = 1$. *Math. Ann.*, 127:130–134, 1954.
- [13] C. Mooney. *Monge-Ampère equation*. <https://people.math.ethz.ch/~mooneyc/>.
- [14] A. V. Pogorelov. On the improper convex affine hyperspheres. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 1(1):33–46, 1972.
- [15] O. Savin. Pointwise $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates at the boundary for the Monge-Ampère equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26(1):63–99, 2013.
- [16] O. Savin. A localization theorem and boundary regularity for a class of degenerate Monge-Ampère equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 256(2):327–388, 2014.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710049, CHINA.

E-mail address: xiaobiaojia@126.com (Xiaobiao Jia: corresponding author)

E-mail address: lidsh@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (Dongsheng Li)

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.

E-mail address: lizhisu@bicmr.pku.edu.cn (Zhisu Li)