

Susy Q and spatially modulated deformations of ABJM theory

Jerome P. Gauntlett and Christopher Rosen

*Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College
London, SW7 2AZ, U.K.*

Abstract

Within a holographic framework we construct supersymmetric Q-lattice ('Susy Q') solutions that describe RG flows driven by supersymmetric and spatially modulated deformations of the dual CFTs. We focus on a specific $D = 4$ supergravity model which arises as a consistent KK truncation of $D = 11$ supergravity on the seven sphere that preserves $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ symmetry. The Susy Q solutions are dual to boomerang RG flows, flowing from ABJM theory in the UV, deformed by spatially modulated mass terms depending on one of the spatial directions, back to the ABJM vacuum in the far IR. For large enough deformations the boomerang flows approach the well known Poincaré invariant RG dielectric flow. The spatially averaged energy density vanishes for the Susy Q solutions.

1 Introduction

Holography provides a powerful framework for analysing what happens to strongly coupled field theories that have been deformed by spatially modulated operators. Indeed, by solving the relevant bulk gravitational equations of motion one can deduce the entire RG flow from the UV to the IR that is induced by the deformation. An interesting class of such RG flows are the boomerang RG flows [1–5], which start from a fixed point in the UV and end up at exactly the same fixed point in the IR.

Several of these boomerang flows have been studied within the framework of Q-lattice constructions [6], which leads to important technical simplifications in solving the bulk equations. Such constructions require the bulk gravitational theory to admit a global symmetry and this is used to provide an ansatz for the bulk fields whereby, effectively, the spatial dependence of the fields is solved exactly. The full equations of motion then boil down to solving a system of ordinary differential equations that just depend on the holographic radial coordinate.

Boomerang RG flows for CFTs in d spacetime dimensions that are driven by spatially modulated operators that depend on a single Fourier mode, k , are parametrised by a single dimensionless parameter $\Lambda/k^{d-\Delta}$ where Δ is the scaling dimension of the operator, \mathcal{O} , in the CFT. For small values of this parameter, when \mathcal{O} is a relevant operator¹ with $\Delta < d$ one can show that the RG flow is a boomerang flow, flowing from an AdS vacuum in the UV to the same AdS vacuum in the IR. by perturbatively solving the bulk equations. For large values of $\Lambda/k^{d-\Delta}$, however, one needs to numerically solve the system of ODEs. In some examples, the boomerang flow persists but in others there can be a quantum phase transition at some critical value of $\Lambda/k^{d-\Delta}$ leading to new IR behaviour.

If the boomerang RG flow does exist for arbitrary large values of $\Lambda/k^{d-\Delta}$ then as $\Lambda/k^{d-\Delta} \rightarrow \infty$ the RG flow approaches the Poincaré invariant RG flow that is driven by the relevant operator, \mathcal{O} , with $k = 0$, before returning to the AdS vacuum in the far IR. Thus, these boomerang flows exhibit an interesting intermediate regime, dominated by the IR behaviour of the Poincaré invariant RG flow, that generically² imprints itself on various observables, such as spectral density functions and entanglement entropy [5]. In some models the Poincaré invariant RG flow has a singular behaviour in the IR and in these cases, the boomerang RG flows can be viewed as a novel mechanism to resolve this singularity.

¹For marginal operators \mathcal{O} , when $\Delta = d$, the RG flows can be boomerang flows or flows to other behaviour in the IR; see [3] for explicit examples.

²It is not automatic that this is the case; see [5] for more details.

The starting point for the results presented here was the observation that if the Poincaré invariant RG flow is supersymmetric then the associated boomerang flows, if they exist for large enough deformations, should exhibit approximate intermediate supersymmetry. In studying this in more detail, we found an interesting Q-lattice construction in which the entire boomerang RG flows, for arbitrary values of the deformation parameter $\Lambda/k^{d-\Delta}$, preserve some supersymmetry. Naturally enough, we christen Q-lattices that preserve supersymmetry ‘Susy Q’.

We begin by examining general Susy Q constructions in the context of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity in $D = 4$ with a single chiral field. If the model has a constant superpotential then one can construct a Susy Q ansatz that is anisotropically spatially modulated in just one of the two spatial directions of the dual field theory and preserves 1/4 of the supersymmetry. We then focus on a specific top-down model that arises as a consistent KK truncation of $D = 11$ supergravity on S^7 that preserves $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ symmetry [7]. After uplifting the Susy Q solutions to $D = 11$ we obtain boomerang RG flows driven by specific spatially modulated mass terms in the dual ABJM theory [8] associated with operators of dimension $\Delta = 1$ and 2. For large deformations the boomerang flows have an intermediate regime which approaches the Poincaré invariant dielectric flows studied in [9]. Interestingly, and independently of this work, it was recently shown that spatially modulated mass terms for ABJM, depending on one of the spatial coordinates can preserve supersymmetry in [10], and our work provides the gravity dual for a specific example. Another gravity dual is provided by the supersymmetric Janus solutions presented in [11, 12].

We also calculate the stress tensor for the Susy Q solutions. One interesting feature is that the stress tensor is spatially modulated. In a generic Q-lattice construction, the metric is spatially homogeneous and hence, with standard holographic boundary terms, this implies a spatially homogeneous stress tensor. In our set-up, however, supersymmetry demands that we have boundary terms such that the real and imaginary parts of the bulk complex scalar field are dual to operators with dimension $\Delta = 1$ and 2, respectively. In particular, this requires that we add boundary terms which break the bulk global symmetry being used in the Q-lattice construction and this leads to the stress tensor having non-trivial dependence on the spatial coordinates. Another interesting feature is that the stress tensor for the Susy Q solutions has zero average energy density, $\langle \overline{\mathcal{T}^{tt}} \rangle = 0$, where the bar refers to taking the average over a spatial period. We will see that this is associated with a novel way to preserve supersymmetry, utilising the spatial periodicity of the configuration.

Top down, isotropic boomerang flow solutions were found in [4] using a Q-lattice ansatz. In appendix C we calculate the spatially modulated stress tensor for these

solutions and find $\langle \overline{\mathcal{T}^{tt}} \rangle \neq 0$, as expected, since the solutions do not preserve supersymmetry. An interesting feature of these isotropic boomerang flows is that for large enough deformations, when the flows approach the Poincaré invariant RG flow, they also approach a second intermediate scaling regime with hyperscaling violation. This latter regime is determined not by a solution to the equations of motion themselves but to those of an auxiliary gravitational theory whose equations of motion approximately agree when the scalar field becomes large. While this phenomenon is rather natural from a gravitational point of view, it is less so from the field theory point of view and deserves further study. It is therefore natural to investigate if a similar thing happens for the Susy Q solutions constructed here. In appendix D we show that the obvious auxiliary gravitational theory has a novel $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ solution with the remarkable property that the value of the scalar field is not fixed. However, it turns out that this $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ geometry does not play a role in the Susy Q boomerang RG flows which we construct. Appendix D also discusses a general class of gravity theories that admit similar and novel $AdS_{D-n} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ solutions, breaking translations in the \mathbb{R}^n directions, which would be interesting to explore further.

2 Susy Q

In this section we describe a general construction of supersymmetric, anisotropic Q-lattices. We work within the framework of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity in $D = 4$ spacetime dimensions coupled to a single chiral multiplet (see appendix A for more details). The bosonic part of the action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - G \partial_\mu z \partial^\mu \bar{z} - \mathcal{V} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

The complex scalar z parametrises a Kähler manifold with $G = 2\partial\bar{\partial}\mathcal{K}$ where $\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z})$ is the Kähler potential. The potential $\mathcal{V}(z, \bar{z})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V} = 4G^{-1} \partial\mathcal{W}\bar{\partial}\mathcal{W} - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{W}^2, \quad \mathcal{W} = -e^{\mathcal{K}/2}|W|, \quad (2.2)$$

where W is the superpotential which is a holomorphic function of z .

For a Q-lattice construction [6] we require that the model admits a global abelian symmetry. Assuming that this acts as a constant phase rotation of the field z , we demand that G and \mathcal{V} , which are functions of both z and \bar{z} , in general, are functions of $|z|$ only. We can then consider the anisotropic Q-lattice ansatz, consistent with

equations of motion, given by³

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= e^{2A}(-dt^2 + dy^2) + e^{2V} dx^2 + N^2 dr^2, \\ z &= \rho e^{ikx}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

with A , V , N and ρ all functions of the radial coordinate r only. Without loss of generality we will assume $k > 0$ in the sequel. Translations in the x direction are broken by this ansatz, as is the global $U(1)$ symmetry, but a diagonal combination of the two symmetries is preserved.

We proceed by taking a gauge for the Kähler potential with $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(|z|)$. Then the only non-vanishing component of the Kähler connection one-form, defined by $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \frac{i}{6}(\partial\mathcal{K}\partial_\mu z - \bar{\partial}\mathcal{K}\partial_\mu \bar{z})$, is the x component with $\mathcal{A}_x = -1/6\rho k\mathcal{K}'$. In order to find supersymmetric solutions, satisfying projections on the Killing spinors given below, we now restrict to models with constant superpotential, which, we can take to be real

$$W = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.4}$$

Using the analysis of appendix A we then obtain the following set of BPS equations

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-1}\rho' - ke^{-V}\rho + \frac{1}{2}e^{\mathcal{K}/2}G^{-1}\mathcal{K}'W &= 0, \\ N^{-1}A' - \frac{1}{2}e^{\mathcal{K}/2}W &= 0, \\ N^{-1}V' + \frac{1}{2}e^{-V}k\rho\mathcal{K}' - \frac{1}{2}e^{\mathcal{K}/2}W &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

It is straightforward to show that any solution to these BPS equations automatically solves the full equations of motion.

Our primary interest is within the context of holography and so we assume that the model admits a vacuum AdS_4 solution. We also assume that the two real scalar fields in z are dual to relevant (or possibly marginal) operators in the dual conformal field theory. Setting $k = 0$, the above ansatz can be used to construct supersymmetric solutions that describe a Poincaré invariant RG flow from the deformed CFT in the UV to some other behaviour in the IR. The latter could be, for example, another AdS_4 fixed point, but there are many other possibilities too. When $k \neq 0$ the supersymmetric solutions describe the RG flows associated to deformations of the CFT in the UV that also break translations in the x direction.

³Note that we can also replace z with $z = \rho e^{ikx+i\theta}$ for some constant θ without changing any of the formulae below. When $k \neq 0$ we can absorb θ into a shift of the x coordinate. However, when $k = 0$ the value of θ can play an important role in the context of consistent KK truncations when uplifting to obtain solutions in higher dimensions.

When $k = 0$ the Killing spinors, $\hat{\epsilon}$, are given by

$$\hat{\epsilon} = e^{A/2}\eta, \quad \Gamma^{\hat{r}}\eta = -\eta, \quad (2.6)$$

where η is a constant Majorana spinor. The projection implies that the superconformal symmetries of the dual field theory are broken but the Poincaré supersymmetries are preserved, as expected for the RG flow. When $k \neq 0$ we also need to impose an additional projection on the Killing spinor given by

$$\Gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{y}}\eta = -\eta, \quad (2.7)$$

which breaks 1/2 of the Poincaré supersymmetries. It is also worth pointing out that the Killing vector that can be constructed from the Killing spinor bi-linear via $(\hat{\epsilon}\Gamma^\mu\hat{\epsilon})\partial_\mu$ is null and proportional to $\partial_t + \partial_y$.

3 Susy Q in ABJM theory

We now focus on a specific $D = 4$ supergravity theory with action given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{2}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \partial_\mu z \partial^\mu \bar{z} + \frac{2(3 - |z|^2)}{1 - |z|^2} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, we have $e^{-\mathcal{K}/2} = 2^{3/2}(1 - |z|^2)^{1/2}$ and $W = 2^{5/2}$. This arises as a consistent KK truncation of $D = 11$ supergravity on S^7 and hence any solution can be uplifted on an S^7 to obtain a solution of $D = 11$ supergravity, and hence is of relevance to the dual ABJM field theory [8]. Starting with the maximal $\mathcal{N} = 8$ $SO(8)$ gauged supergravity [13] we can further truncate to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SO(4)$ gauged supergravity [14], whose bosonic sector is given by (3.1), after setting the gauge fields to zero. The model can also be obtained as a truncation of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ STU gauged supergravity theory [15, 16], as we discuss further in appendix A.2. The formulae for the uplifted $D = 11$ Susy Q solutions, which preserve $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ symmetry, can be found using the results of [7], and are presented in section 4. We write the real and imaginary parts of z as

$$z = \mathcal{X} + i\mathcal{Y}, \quad (3.2)$$

and, without loss of generality, take \mathcal{X} to be one of the 35 scalars of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity and \mathcal{Y} to be one of the 35 pseudoscalars.

The AdS_4 vacuum solution⁴ with $z = 0$, uplifts to the $D = 11$ $AdS_4 \times S^7$ vacuum solution. In this vacuum \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} have mass squared equal to minus two and hence

⁴Note that for convenience we have set the radius of the AdS_4 space to unity and we have also set $16\pi G = 1$.

we can impose boundary conditions so that they are dual to operators, \mathcal{O}_x and \mathcal{O}_y , with scaling dimensions $\Delta = 1$ or $\Delta = 2$. However, supersymmetry demands [17] that we must choose boundary conditions, which we discuss in appendix A.3, so that

$$\Delta_x = 1, \quad \Delta_y = 2. \quad (3.3)$$

For this particular model the BPS equations (2.5) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= rA' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}, \\ 0 &= rV' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} + ke^{-V} \frac{\rho^2}{1-\rho^2}, \\ 0 &= r\frac{\rho'}{\rho} - ke^{-V} + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where we have now chosen, for convenience,

$$N = \frac{1}{r}. \quad (3.5)$$

We showed in the previous section that these solutions preserve one supersymmetry in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity for $k \neq 0$. We show in appendix B that such solutions preserve eight supersymmetries in the context of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity.

We are interested in solutions to the BPS equations that asymptotically approach AdS_4 in the UV, which we take to be located at $r \rightarrow \infty$. Using the second order equations of motion we can construct the following expansion as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2A} &= r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2 + M\frac{1}{r} + \dots, \\ e^{2V} &= r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2 - (2M + \frac{8}{3}\Lambda l_{(2)})\frac{1}{r} + \dots, \\ \rho &= \Lambda\frac{1}{r} + l_{(2)}\frac{1}{r^2} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

with the higher order terms determined by M , Λ , and $l_{(2)}$. For solutions of the BPS equations (3.4) we have the additional constraints

$$l_{(2)} = -k\Lambda, \quad M = \frac{2}{3}k\Lambda^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Using some results on holographic renormalisation, summarised in appendix A.3, we deduce that the field theory has non-trivial sources for the scalar operators with

$$\mathcal{X}_s = -4l_{(2)} \cos kx = 4k\Lambda \cos kx, \quad \mathcal{Y}_s = \Lambda \sin kx, \quad (3.8)$$




Figure 1: The one-point functions of the stress energy tensor $\langle \mathcal{T}^{tt} \rangle = -\langle \mathcal{T}^{yy} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{T}^{xx} \rangle$ for boomerang RG flows driven by deformations with $\Lambda/k \approx 3.5, 10.0, 20.3$ (lightest to darkest). The spatially averaged energy density vanishes in these flows, $\overline{\langle \mathcal{T}^{tt} \rangle} = 0$.

and, furthermore, we also deduce the following expectation values

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{T}^{tt} \rangle &= -\langle \mathcal{T}^{yy} \rangle = -3M - 4\Lambda l_{(2)} \sin^2 kx = -2k \Lambda^2 \cos 2kx, \\
\langle \mathcal{T}^{xx} \rangle &= -2(3M + \Lambda l_{(2)}(3 + \cos 2kx)) = 4k \Lambda^2 \cos^2 kx, \\
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rangle &= \Lambda \cos kx, \\
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rangle &= 4l_{(2)} \sin kx = -4k \Lambda \sin kx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

In (3.8), (3.9) the first expressions are valid for general solutions to the equations of motion and the final expressions valid for solutions to the BPS equations. One can check that these satisfy the Ward identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^i \langle \mathcal{T}_{ij} \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rangle \partial_j \mathcal{X}_s + \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rangle \partial_j \mathcal{Y}_s, \\
\langle \mathcal{T}^i{}_i \rangle &= (3 - \Delta_{\mathcal{X}}) \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rangle \mathcal{X}_s + (3 - \Delta_{\mathcal{Y}}) \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rangle \mathcal{Y}_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Also notice that for solutions to the BPS equations, the dimensionless quantities $\langle \mathcal{T}^{ij} \rangle / k^3$, $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rangle / k$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rangle / k^2$ all depend on the deformation parameter Λ via the dimensionless combination Λ/k .

We now discuss some interesting features concerning the stress tensor which is plotted in figure 1 for various deformation parameters Λ/k . First, it has non-trivial dependence on the spatial coordinates. Recall that for generic Q-lattice constructions, with boundary terms that preserve the global symmetry associated with the Q-lattice construction, the stress tensor is spatially homogeneous. In particular, the bulk metric is spatially homogeneous in the field theory directions and furthermore the extrinsic curvature is as well. In order to obtain a spatially inhomogeneous stress tensor it is necessary that the boundary terms break the global symmetry. Indeed the

spatial dependence that we find here is precisely because we have imposed alternate quantisation boundary conditions for the scalar field \mathcal{X} in order that $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}} = 1$.

Second, if we average over a spatial period in the x direction, we have $\langle \overline{\mathcal{T}^{tt}} \rangle = \langle \overline{\mathcal{T}^{yy}} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \overline{\mathcal{T}^{xx}} \rangle = 2k\Lambda^2$. The fact that the average energy density is zero follows from supersymmetry. Indeed from the first expression for $\langle \mathcal{T}^{tt} \rangle$ in (3.9) one sees that the average energy density is generically non-zero for Q-lattice solutions of the second order equations of motion. Furthermore, it is also worth emphasising that $\langle \overline{\mathcal{T}^{tt}} \rangle = 0$ requires not only the BPS equations but also the boundary conditions associated with the alternate quantisation of \mathcal{X} , both of which are required for supersymmetry.

3.1 The Poincaré invariant dielectric flow

When $k = 0$, there is a solution to the BPS equations (3.4) that gives a Poincaré invariant RG flow first discussed in [9]. This flow preserves sixteen supersymmetries when embedded in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity, and can be written in our conventions as

$$e^{2A} = e^{2V} = r^2 \left(1 - \frac{\mu^2}{r^2} \right)^2, \quad z = \frac{2\mu r}{r^2 + \mu^2} e^{i\theta}, \quad (3.11)$$

for any constant θ . The value of θ plays an interesting role when the solutions are uplifted to $D = 11$ supergravity⁵. Indeed, as θ varies from 0 to $\pi/2$, the solution rotates between a holographic description of a purely Coulomb branch RG flow, associated with a distribution of membranes, in which the operator $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\Delta=1}$ has condensed, and a ‘dielectric’ RG flow, with membranes puffing up into fivebranes, driven by a supersymmetric source for the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\Delta=2}$ operator.

The Ricci scalar diverges for these solutions at $r = \mu$. When $\theta = 0$, for example, this curvature singularity can be simply understood from the eleven dimensional perspective in terms of the distribution of membranes [9], much like the $D = 5$ analogue [20].

From the perspective of applied holography, a particularly noteworthy feature of this solution is that operators in the dual ABJM phase are generically gapped at low frequencies. To illustrate this we can consider linearized fluctuations of a massless scalar in this background of the form

$$\delta h(t, r) = h(r) e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (3.12)$$

These could be, for example, fluctuations of the transverse traceless modes of the

⁵These $D = 11$ solutions are generalised in [18, 19].

metric. The linearised equation can be solved exactly for h to get

$$h = \left(\frac{r - \mu}{r + \mu} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4\mu^2}} \left[\frac{r^2 + \mu^2 + 2r\mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4\mu^2}}}{r^2 - \mu^2} \right]. \quad (3.13)$$

Here we have demanded that we have ingoing boundary conditions for $\omega > 2\mu$ (which also means, as it turns out, choosing the most regular solutions as we approach the singularity at $r \rightarrow \mu$). This gives rise to a retarded Green's function for the dual dimension three operator of the form

$$G^R(\omega) \propto \omega^2 \mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4\mu^2}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Crucially, this correlation function is purely real for frequencies $\omega \leq 2\mu$. Since the spectral function for this operator is proportional to the imaginary part of the two point function, the spectral weight is gapped for energies below 2μ . Other bosonic and fermionic probes from the dual field theory exhibit similar behaviour for these flows [21, 22].

The presence of the gap is associated with the detailed way in which the background solution is becoming singular in the IR. In the next section we will construct supersymmetric boomerang RG flow solutions which, for large deformations, approach this Poincaré invariant flow before flowing back to the AdS_4 vacuum in the far IR. These boomerang solutions both regulate the singularity of the solution as well as close the gap in the spectral functions.

3.2 Holographic boomerang RG flows

We now show that the BPS equations (3.4) with $k \neq 0$ admit boomerang solutions which flow from the AdS_4 ABJM vacuum in the UV and then return to the same vacuum in the far IR. We will first exhibit such solutions, analytically, at leading order in a perturbative expansion with respect to the dimensionless deformation parameter Λ/k . We will then show that boomerang flows also exist for large deformations by solving the BPS equations numerically, and show that the solutions exhibit a region that approaches the Poincaré invariant solution discussed in the last sub-section.

An interesting observable of the boomerang flows is the ‘index of refraction’ which measures the renormalisation of relative length scales in the UV and IR as a ratio of the coordinate speeds of light there. For the anisotropic flows we are considering, which preserve Poincaré invariance in the t, y plane, the only non-trivial index of

refraction is in the x direction, n_x . If we define $\chi = e^{A-V}$ then $n_x \equiv \frac{\chi_{UV}}{\chi_{IR}}$. From the BPS equations, we have

$$\frac{d \log \chi}{d \log r} = k e^{-V} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} \right) \geq 0, \quad (3.15)$$

from which we deduce that $n^x \geq 1$. An analogous result was proven for some isotropic boomerang flows in [5] and it seems likely that this is a general result in holography.

3.2.1 Perturbative anisotropic boomerang RG flows

It is straightforward to show that for small values of Λ/k the BPS equations (3.4) admit boomerang RG flow solutions. Working to quadratic order in Λ/k , one can develop the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{\Lambda}{r} e^{-k/r} + \dots, & e^{2A} &= r^2 \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{4k^2} \left(-1 + \frac{(2k+r)}{r} e^{-2k/r} \right) + \dots \right], \\ e^{2V} &= r^2 \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{4k^2} \left(1 - \frac{(4k^2 + 2kr + r^2)}{r^2} e^{-2k/r} \right) + \dots \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

This solution asymptotes to the unit radius AdS_4 in the UV ($r \rightarrow \infty$), with the correct boundary conditions given in (3.6), (3.7). Moreover, it also asymptotes to the same unit radius AdS_4 in the IR ($r \rightarrow 0$). We can immediately read off the index of refraction in the x direction and we find

$$n^x = 1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{k} \right)^2 + O \left(\frac{\Lambda}{k} \right)^3. \quad (3.17)$$

3.2.2 Non-perturbative anisotropic boomerang RG flows

In order to study the RG flows for values of Λ/k outside the perturbative regime, we numerically integrate the BPS equations. A simple and effective method is to use a perturbative boomerang solution (3.16) to seed a shooting algorithm which integrates the background fields towards the UV.

For this model we find that the boomerang RG flows seem to exist for arbitrarily large values of Λ/k . In figure 2 we plot the value of the index of refraction as a function of Λ/k . The results agree with the perturbative result (3.17) for small Λ/k . The figure also shows that for very large deformations, the index of refraction grows approximately exponentially with increasing Λ/k .

In figure 3 we have plotted some features of the boomerang RG flow for a specific large deformation, $\Lambda/k \approx 34.2$. The figure shows the scalar field profile as well as the Ricci scalar as functions of the proper radial coordinate, $\log r$. We see that such




Figure 2: The index of refraction, n_x , for the BPS boomerang flows as a function of the dimensionless deformation parameter Λ/k .

large deformations drive the scalar towards the edge of field space, the boundary of the Poincaré disk at $\rho \rightarrow 1$, before it dives back towards zero, associated with the unit radius AdS_4 . In both plots we additionally depict a dotted light blue line, which corresponds to the Poincaré invariant dielectric solution, with the value of μ in (3.11) chosen to agree with the leading order fall-off of the numerical solution at the AdS_4 boundary. Specifically, we take $2\mu = \Lambda \sim 1.8 \times 10^4$ for this flow. Clearly, for large deformations the boomerang flows are closely tracking the Poincaré invariant solution, as we expect.




Figure 3: The scalar profile, ρ , and Ricci curvature scalar, R , for a non-perturbative anisotropic boomerang flow with $\Lambda/k \approx 34.2$. The light blue dotted line shows the dielectric flow solution whose leading fall-off matches that of the full boomerang flow. The dashed black line shows the unit radius AdS_4 .

In section 3.1 we discussed how the Poincaré invariant RG flow has the feature that generic operators will have spectral functions with a hard gap at low frequencies, $\omega \leq 2\mu$. The behaviour of the boomerang RG flows for large deformations, that we just described, allows us to infer how this gap is closed. Since for large values of $\log r$ the geometries are nearly identical we can deduce that for large ω the spectral function

for the boomerang flow will closely approximate those of the Poincaré invariant flow given in (3.14). However, as we approach $r \rightarrow \mu$, associated with $\omega \rightarrow 2\mu$, the geometry of the boomerang flow is modified from the Poincaré invariant flow as it heads back to the AdS_4 solution in the IR. This implies that the hard gap is replaced with a small bump of spectral weight in the region $0 \leq \omega < 2\mu$, in order that it approaches the power law behaviour, ω^3 , dictated by conformal invariance⁶ in the far IR.

4 Supersymmetric boomerang solutions in $D = 11$

We can uplift the $D = 4$ Susy Q-lattice solutions to $D = 11$ either using the formulae for solutions of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ STU model in [16,23] or the formulae for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SO(4)$ gauged supergravity model in [7].

To use the results of [7] we should switch from the complex scalar field z , parametrising the Poincaré disc, to scalar fields ϕ, χ , which parametrise the upper half plane, as described in appendix A.2. For the Susy Q-lattice solutions in the ansatz (2.3) we have

$$e^\phi = \cosh \lambda + \sinh \lambda \cos kx, \quad \chi e^\phi = \sinh \lambda \sin kx, \quad \text{with} \quad \rho = \tanh \frac{\lambda}{2}. \quad (4.1)$$

We commented before that we can take $kx \rightarrow kx + \theta$, where θ is a constant, and the Q-lattice solutions are otherwise unchanged. When $k \neq 0$, we can remove θ by a shift of the x coordinate. However, when $k = 0$, the value of θ parametrises different solutions in $D = 11$, as emphasised in [9].

The uplifted $D = 11$ metric can be written

$$ds_{11}^2 = \left(Z \tilde{Z} \right)^{1/3} ds_4^2 + 4 \left(Z \tilde{Z} \right)^{1/3} \left[d\xi^2 + \frac{\cos^2 \xi}{Z} d\Omega_3^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \xi}{\tilde{Z}} d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2 \right], \quad (4.2)$$

where $d\Omega_3^2$ and $d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2$ are each metrics on a unit radius, round three-sphere, and

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sin^2 \xi + \cos^2 \xi (\cosh \lambda + \cos kx \sinh \lambda), \\ \tilde{Z} &= \cos^2 \xi + \sin^2 \xi (\cosh \lambda - \cos kx \sinh \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The four-form flux can be written as the sum of three terms

$$F_{(4)} = F_{(4)}^{FR} + F_{(4)}^{I_1} + F_{(4)}^{I_2}. \quad (4.4)$$

⁶As an aside, for certain operators we note that the power of ω appearing in the spectral functions in the UV and IR are not necessarily the same, as discussed in section 4.2 of [4].

The ‘Freund-Rubin’ part of the flux, $F_{(4)}^{FR}$, is given by

$$F_{(4)}^{FR} = -(2 + \cosh \lambda + \cos 2\xi \sinh \lambda \cos kx) \text{vol}_4, \quad (4.5)$$

where vol_4 is the volume form for ds_4^2 . The remaining components of the flux are given by

$$F_{(4)}^{I_1} = \sin 2\xi \left(-\cos kx \star d\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \sin kx \sinh 2\lambda \star d(kx) \right) \wedge d\xi, \quad (4.6)$$

where \star is the hodge dual is with respect to ds_4^2 , and

$$F_{(4)}^{I_2} = d \left(\sinh \lambda \sin kx \frac{\cos^4 \xi}{Z} \right) \wedge \text{vol}_{\Omega_3} - d \left(\sinh \lambda \sin kx \frac{\sin^4 \xi}{\tilde{Z}} \right) \wedge \text{vol}_{\tilde{\Omega}_3}. \quad (4.7)$$

In the dielectric RG flows of [9], obtained by setting $kx \rightarrow \theta$ for constant θ in the above, the $D = 11$ solutions were interpreted as a distribution of membranes that have polarized into fivebranes wrapping the three-spheres. For the boomerang flows this distribution is spatially modulated in the x direction. In particular, the number of membranes is fixed by integrating $\star F_4$ over the seven-sphere at the AdS_4 boundary at $r \rightarrow \infty$ where $\lambda(r) \rightarrow 0$.

5 Spatially modulated mass deformations in ABJM theory

ABJM theory is a $d = 3$ Chern-Simons theory coupled to matter with gauge group $U(N)_q \times U(N)_{-q}$, where q labels the Chern-Simons level on each factor [8]. It is an interacting SCFT that describes the low energy dynamics of N M2 branes on $\mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}_q$. It has manifest $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry and $SU(4) \times U(1)_b$ global symmetry. When $q = 1, 2$ there is an enhancement of supersymmetry to $\mathcal{N} = 8$. In the large N and strongly coupled limit, the physics of the ABJM theory is captured holographically by $D = 11$ supergravity on $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_q$ with $\mathbb{Z}_q \subset U(1)_b \subset SO(8)$.

The supersymmetric boomerang RG flows that we have constructed lie within the $SO(4) \times SO(4) \subset SO(8)$ invariant sector of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity. In particular, the uplifted $D = 11$ solutions in the last section survive a cyclic quotient of the S^7 by $\mathbb{Z}_q \subset U(1)_b \subset SO(8)$ and then describe RG flows associated with spatially modulated deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 6$ ABJM theory. We now want to identify which operators in ABJM field theory correspond to these deformations. A related analysis, for a different problem, appears in [24] and we refer to that reference for additional details.

The $\mathbf{35}_v$ scalars and $\mathbf{35}_c$ pseudoscalars of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity are conveniently parametrised in the 56-vielbein \mathcal{V} in ‘unitary gauge’ via

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{ij} & v_{ijKL} \\ v^{klIJ} & u^{kl}{}_{KL} \end{pmatrix} = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ \Sigma^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where Σ satisfies $\Sigma_{I_1 \dots I_4} = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{I_1 \dots I_8} \Sigma^{I_5 \dots I_8}$ with $\Sigma^{IJKL} = \Sigma_{IJKL}^*$, and the I index is an $\mathbf{8}_s$ index. If we take the $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ action to be rotating the 1234 and 5678 indices of $\mathbf{8}_s$, we see that we can identify our supergravity scalar z with $\Sigma_{1234} = \Sigma_{5678}^*$.

To identify the dual operator in ABJM theory, we recall that the field content includes bosons, Y^A , and fermions, ψ_A transforming under $SU(4) \times U(1)_b$ in the $\mathbf{4}_0$ and $\bar{\mathbf{4}}_0$, respectively. From these we can form the following operators, each transforming in the $\mathbf{15}_0$, schematically of the form

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Delta=1} \sim \text{Tr} \left(Y^A Y_B^\dagger - \frac{1}{4} \delta_B^A Y \cdot Y^\dagger \right), \quad \mathcal{O}^{\Delta=2} \sim \text{Tr} \left(\psi^\dagger{}^A \psi_B - \frac{1}{4} \delta_B^A \psi^\dagger \cdot \psi \right), \quad (5.2)$$

and with scaling dimensions $\Delta = 1, 2$, respectively. We will not nail down precise normalisations of the operators and we also note that the $\Delta = 2$ operator is supplemented by additional terms quartic in the Y ’s, as dictated by the supersymmetry algebra [25].

Returning to supergravity, under the decomposition $SU(4) \times U(1)_b \subset SO(8)$ we have the branchings

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \Sigma_{IJKL} : & \quad \mathbf{35}_v \rightarrow \mathbf{15}_0 + \mathbf{10}_2 + \bar{\mathbf{10}}_{-2}, \\ \text{Im } \Sigma_{IJKL} : & \quad \mathbf{35}_c \rightarrow \mathbf{15}_0 + \mathbf{10}_{-2} + \bar{\mathbf{10}}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

and we can identify the $\mathbf{15}_0$ ’s of $\text{Re } \Sigma_{IJKL}$ and $\text{Im } \Sigma_{IJKL}$ with the above operators with $\Delta = 1, 2$, respectively. To identify the operator associated with the $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ singlet, Σ_{1234} , we can consider the common embedding of the subgroup $U(1) \times U(1)_b \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \sim U(1) \times U(1)_b \times SO(4)$ into both $U(1)_b \times SU(4)$ and $SO(4) \times SO(4)$. We take the $U(1)$ to rotate the 12 indices of $\mathbf{8}_s$, $U(1)_b$ to rotate the 34 indices and, as before, the $SU(2) \times SU(2) \sim SO(4)$ rotates the 5678 indices. Clearly, Σ_{1234} is a singlet under $U(1) \times U(1)_b \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$. The associated singlet operator can easily be identified if we take the two $SU(2)$ factors to act on $A = 1, 2$ and $A = 3, 4$ respectively, with $U(1)_b$ acting as an overall phase and the two doublets having opposite charge under $U(1)$ [24]. In particular we conclude that we can make the following identification between the real and imaginary parts of the supergravity

fields and the ABJM operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X} &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\Delta=1} \sim M_A{}^B \text{Tr} \left(Y^A Y_B^\dagger \right), \\ \mathcal{Y} &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\Delta=2} \sim M_A{}^B \text{Tr} \left(\psi^\dagger{}^A \psi_B \right),\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

where $M_A{}^B = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$ and we recall that in the Susy Q solutions we have $\mathcal{X} = \rho \cos kx$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \rho \sin kx$.

In appendix B we show that as solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity, the Susy Q boomerang flows preserve 8 supersymmetries. After taking the \mathbb{Z}_q quotient associated with ABJM theory, 6 of these supersymmetries survive for $q > 2$. Thus, the spatially modulated deformation breaks the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry of ABJM theory to $\mathcal{N} = 3$ for $q > 2$. In appendix B we show that the 6 preserved supersymmetries can be characterised as four Majorana spinors with eigenvalue $+1$ under the action of the $d = 3$ gamma matrix $\gamma^{\hat{x}}$ and two Majorana spinors with eigenvalue -1 . In the special case of $q = 1, 2$ the supersymmetry is broken from $\mathcal{N} = 8$ to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ characterised by four plus four Majorana spinors with the previous stated eigenvalues.

In a recent work it was shown that mass terms for ABJM, depending on one of the spatial coordinates, can preserve $\mathcal{N} = 3$ supersymmetry in [10]. Our results provide a precise holographic realisation of a specific example of these deformations. In the notation of [10], the spatially dependent mass terms in the Lagrangian can be written as a sum of three terms:

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = m' M_A{}^B \text{Tr} \left(Y^A Y_B^\dagger \right) + m M_A{}^B \text{Tr} \left(i \psi^\dagger{}^A \psi_B + \frac{8\pi}{q} Y^C Y_{[C}^\dagger Y^A Y_{B]}^\dagger \right) + m^2 \text{Tr} \left(Y^A Y_A^\dagger \right)\tag{5.5}$$

where m is an arbitrary function of one of the spatial coordinates. To compare with our holographic construction we should take the function $m(x) \propto \sin kx$. Up to the normalisation of the operators coming from holography, which we haven't made precise, we see that the first two terms in (5.5) agree with our results (after recalling that the term quartic in Y 's is needed for the supersymmetric completion of the $\Delta = 2$ operator, as mentioned above). The final term in (5.5) is an unprotected operator and hence is not visible from the supergravity point of view.

In [10] it was also determined how the supersymmetry is broken from $\mathcal{N} = 6$ to $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and we find agreement with the projections given in eq. (2.20) of [10]. An interesting point is that our supergravity analysis shows that for the special case that $q = 1, 2$, when ABJM has enhanced $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry, the spatially modulated deformation will preserve $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry, a point that cannot be seen from the field theory construction of [10]. Given that we have shown we get the supersymmetry

enhancement for the special case that $m(x) \propto \sin kx$, it is natural to conjecture that it will hold for arbitrary $m(x)$.

6 Conclusion

We have shown that simple Susy Q constructions are possible within the context of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity in $D = 4$. The constructions we considered are spatially anisotropic; based on the analysis of general supersymmetric solutions of [26], it seems likely that this is a general restriction for Susy Q for these supergravity theories.

For $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity coupled to a single chiral field, our construction required that the superpotential was constant in addition to the standard Q-lattice restriction that the action has a global symmetry. Although restrictive, this class includes a particularly interesting top-down example, arising from the $SO(4) \times SO(4) \subset SO(8)$ invariant sector of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity and hence of relevance to ABJM theory. It would be interesting to know if there are other consistent truncations with constant superpotentials. Within $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity there is a classification of truncations of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity that keeps scalars parametrising $SL(2)/SO(2)$, that are invariant under a group $G \subset SO(8)$ [12]. There are three examples. In addition to the case with $G = SO(4) \times SO(4)$, studied in this paper, there are also cases with $G = SU(3) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ and $G = G_2$. While in these latter two cases the truncated action has an $SO(2)$ global symmetry that can be utilised for Q-lattice constructions, in neither case is the superpotential constant. Of course this does not rule out the possibility that there are other consistent truncations of $D = 10, 11$ supergravity to $D = 4$ that do allow Susy Q constructions.

The Susy Q solutions that we explicitly constructed for the $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ invariant sector of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity are boomerang RG flows. They start at the ABJM vacuum in the UV, deformed by spatially modulated operators, and then flow in the IR back to the ABJM vacuum. We identified the participating operators in the ABJM field theory and showed that it was consistent with the recent work of [10] who considered spatially dependent mass deformations of ABJM theory, parametrised by an arbitrary function $m(x)$, preserving $\mathcal{N} = 3$ supersymmetry for Chern-Simons level $q > 2$. An interesting corollary of our work is that for $q = 1, 2$ there is an enhancement of supersymmetry from $\mathcal{N} = 3$ to $\mathcal{N} = 4$. The mass deformations captured by the Susy Q construction have spatial dependence of the form $m(x) \propto \sin kx$. It would be interesting to construct the gravity solutions for arbitrary $m(x)$ within the $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ invariant sector of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity. The supersymmetric Janus solutions of [11, 12] provide another example of $m(x)$, with

$m(x) \propto \delta(x)$, which can be found by solving ODEs. In general, however, one will need to solve PDEs. More generally, it would be interesting to determine the most general spatially dependent and supersymmetric deformations of ABJM theory, both from the perspective of the field theory and $D = 11$ supergravity.

We calculated the index of refraction in the direction in which translations are broken, n_x , for the Susy Q boomerang flows and showed that $n_x > 1$. Combined with analogous results for a class of isotropic Q-lattices [5] and also for the perturbative inhomogeneous solutions found in [1], we expect that this is a general result in holography. It would be interesting to know if it is also true for boomerang RG flows in field theory more generally.

It would also be interesting to investigate Susy Q constructions in the context of supergravity theories in other spacetime dimensions. In fact, a construction in the context of a specific top-down $D = 5$ gauged supergravity was already made in [27] which involves two axion-fields, with shift symmetries, that each depend linearly on one of two different spatial directions. That translations in two of the three spatial directions of the CFT are broken is associated with the fact that there is a projection on the Killing spinor involving the time and the remaining spatial direction, analogous to (2.7). A difference to the construction of this paper, however, is that the axions are dual to marginal operators in the dual CFT, rather than relevant operators. Furthermore, and interrelated with this point, the $D = 5$ solutions of [27] are not boomerang RG flows, but instead flow from AdS_5 in the UV to an $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ fixed point in the IR, supported by the two linear axions. It seems likely that Susy Q solutions in $D = 5$ using fields dual to relevant operators can also be found.

Acknowledgements

We thank Aristomenis Donos for collaboration on related material and also Louise Anderson, Igal Arav, Carlos Núñez, Matthew Roberts and Toby Wiseman for helpful discussions. JPG and CR are supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013), ERC Grant agreement ADG 339140. JPG is also supported by STFC grant ST/P000762/1, EPSRC grant EP/K034456/1, as a KIAS Scholar and as a Visiting Fellow at the Perimeter Institute.

A Useful results for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity

Here we collect a few useful formulae, mostly using the conventions given in [28].

We begin by considering $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity in $D = 4$ coupled to an arbitrary number of chiral multiplets with the bosonic part of the action given by

$$S_G = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_\mu z^\alpha \partial^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} - \mathcal{V} \right). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The complex scalar fields z^α parametrise a Kähler manifold with metric given by

$$G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 2\partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\beta}} \mathcal{K}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where \mathcal{K} is the Kähler potential⁷. The potential \mathcal{V} is given by

$$\mathcal{V} = 4G^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_\alpha \mathcal{W} \partial_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\mathcal{W}} - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{W}^2, \quad \mathcal{W} = -e^{\mathcal{K}/2} |W|, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where W is the superpotential which is a holomorphic function of the z^α .

We use gamma matrices given by

$$\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{\hat{\mu}} \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\hat{\mu}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma^{\hat{\mu}} = (1, \vec{\sigma}), \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\hat{\mu}} = (-1, \vec{\sigma}), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the hatted indices refers to an orthonormal frame, and $\vec{\sigma}$ are the three Pauli matrices. The supersymmetry parameter $\hat{\epsilon}$ satisfies a Majorana condition which can be expressed in terms of chiral components via

$$\hat{\epsilon} = (\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon})^T \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\epsilon} = i\sigma_2 \epsilon^*, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and the chiral projections are given by

$$\Gamma^5 = -i\Gamma^{\hat{0}}\Gamma^{\hat{1}}\Gamma^{\hat{2}}\Gamma^{\hat{3}}, \quad P_{L/R} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \Gamma^5). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The variations for the fermions as chiral spinors can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_\mu &= \left(\nabla_\mu - \frac{3}{2}i\mathcal{A}_\mu \right) \epsilon + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_\mu e^{\mathcal{K}/2} W \tilde{\epsilon}, \\ \delta\tilde{\psi}_\mu &= \left(\tilde{\nabla}_\mu + \frac{3}{2}i\mathcal{A}_\mu \right) \tilde{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\sigma}_\mu e^{\mathcal{K}/2} \bar{W} \epsilon, \\ \sqrt{2}\delta\chi^\alpha &= \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu z^\alpha \tilde{\epsilon} - e^{\mathcal{K}/2} G^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} D_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{W} \epsilon, \\ \sqrt{2}\delta\tilde{\chi}^{\bar{\beta}} &= \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} \epsilon - e^{\mathcal{K}/2} G^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} D_\alpha W \tilde{\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where the various covariant derivatives are defined as

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4}\omega_\mu^{\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} \sigma_{[\hat{\nu}} \bar{\sigma}_{\hat{\rho}]}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\mu = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4}\omega_\mu^{\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} \bar{\sigma}_{[\hat{\nu}} \sigma_{\hat{\rho}]}, \quad D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \mathcal{K}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and the Kähler connection is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \frac{i}{6} \sum_\alpha (\partial_\alpha \mathcal{K} \partial_\mu z^\alpha - \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{K} \partial_\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\alpha}}). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

⁷Note that we have set $16\pi G = 2\kappa^2 = 1$ and our Kähler potential, \mathcal{K} , is related to the one in [28], \mathcal{K}_{there} , via $\mathcal{K} = \kappa^2 \mathcal{K}_{there} = (1/2)\mathcal{K}_{there}$.

A.1 Supersymmetry for Susy Q

We now restrict to the case of a single chiral multiplet with complex scalar, z (dropping the index). We choose an orthonormal frame with $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma^{\hat{x}}, \sigma^{\hat{y}}, \sigma^{\hat{r}})$. We next substitute the Q-lattice ansatz given in (2.3) into the supersymmetry variations (A.7) and impose the projections

$$\Gamma^{\hat{r}}\hat{\epsilon} = -\hat{\epsilon}, \quad \Gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{y}}\hat{\epsilon} = -\hat{\epsilon}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

or equivalently

$$\sigma^{\hat{r}}\tilde{\epsilon} = -\epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{\hat{x}}\tilde{\epsilon} = -i\epsilon, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which also implies $\sigma^{\hat{y}}\epsilon = -\epsilon$. This then leads to the BPS equations given in (2.5), provided that the superpotential W is taken to be constant (in order that we can divide out the e^{ikx} factors) and real. If we wanted to work with a non-real W , by carrying out a Kähler transformation, we can soak up the phase of W with a phase rotation of the Killing spinors, leading to the same BPS equations in (2.5) with W replaced with $|W|$. We also obtain a radial equation for the Killing spinor which, using the other BPS equations, can be solved as in (2.6).

We can also make a connection with the general analysis of supersymmetric solutions given in [26]. Let $(e^{\hat{t}}, e^{\hat{y}}, e^{\hat{x}}, e^{\hat{r}}) = (e^A dt, e^A dy, e^V dx, N dr)$ be an orthonormal frame and define the complex one-form $e^{\hat{1}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{\hat{x}} + ie^{\hat{r}})$. We then find that the first BPS equation in (2.5) (with real, constant W), can be written in the form

$$i\sqrt{2}(dz)_{\hat{1}} = e^{\mathcal{K}/2}G^{-1}D_{\bar{z}}\bar{W}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

which can be compared with eq. (3.8) of [26] (note the latter uses supersymmetry transformations as in [28] with $2\kappa^2 = 2$).

A.2 Consistent truncations of $D = 11$ supergravity

There is a consistent Kaluza-Klein reduction of $D = 11$ supergravity on S^7 to the maximal $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $SO(8)$ gauged supergravity in $D = 4$. There is a further consistent truncation of the latter to an $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $U(1)^4$, gauged supergravity coupled to three vector multiplets, called the STU model [15, 16]. After setting the gauge fields to zero, the STU model can be recast in the language of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity (appendix A of [29] has a discussion of different presentations of this theory). Specifically, the STU model with vanishing gauge fields has

$$\mathcal{K} = -\sum_{\alpha} \log [2(1 - |z^{\alpha}|^2)] \quad \text{and} \quad W = 4\sqrt{2}(1 + z^1 z^2 z^3). \quad (\text{A.13})$$

In [4] isotropic Q-lattice solutions were constructed for this theory with one of the three complex scalars set to zero.

There is another consistent truncation of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ $SO(8)$ gauged supergravity to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SO(4)$ gauged supergravity of [14]. After setting the gauge fields to zero, we can recast this in the language of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. In fact the Lagrangian is obtained from the STU model with vanishing gauge fields and setting $z^2 = z^3 = 0$. This leads to

$$\mathcal{K} = -\log [8(1 - |z|^2)] \quad \text{and} \quad W = 4\sqrt{2}, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

and the bosonic Lagrangian given in (3.1):

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{2}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \partial_\mu z \partial^\mu \bar{z} + \frac{2(3 - |z|^2)}{1 - |z|^2} \right). \quad (\text{A.15})$$

The coordinate z parametrises the Poincaré disc. If we redefine the modulus of z via $z = \tanh \lambda / 2 e^{i\sigma}$ then we obtain

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\lambda)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sinh^2 \lambda (\partial\sigma)^2 + 2(2 + \cosh \lambda) \right). \quad (\text{A.16})$$

It is also useful to employ another field redefinition, which maps the Poincaré disc to the upper half plane. Specifically, we write $z = \frac{1+i\tau}{(1-i\tau)}$ with $\tau = \chi + ie^{-\phi}$ which then puts the action in the form

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} (\partial\chi)^2 + 4 + 2 \cosh \phi + \chi^2 e^\phi \right). \quad (\text{A.17})$$

In this parametrisation the global $U(1)$ symmetry that we use to build the Q-lattice solutions is not immediately self-evident. On the other hand it is useful in order to uplift the solutions to $D = 11$ using the results of [7].

A.3 Holographic renormalisation

We now consider the bosonic part of the supergravity action, including the boundary terms, given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_\mu z^\alpha \partial^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} - \mathcal{V} \right) + 2 \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} K + S_{ct} + S_L. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

We have included the standard Gibbons-Hawking term, as well as a counter term, S_{ct} , and S_L is an additional boundary term which ensures that the operators associated with the real and imaginary parts of the scalar fields have different scaling dimensions in the dual field theory, as required by supersymmetry. We follow the conventions and

analysis of [29] but we work in units with $16\pi G = 1$, and also the AdS_4 vacuum solution is assumed to have unit radius.

We use a radial Hamiltonian formalism and it will be sufficient for our purposes to consider metrics of the form

$$ds^2 = N(r)^2 dr^2 + \gamma_{ij}(r) dx^i dx^j, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

which greatly facilitates holographic renormalisation. The asymptotic boundary is normal to the (outward pointing) unit vector $n^\mu = (1/N, 0, 0, 0)$, and carries extrinsic curvature $K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \partial_r \gamma_{ij}$. Writing $z^\alpha = \mathcal{X}^\alpha + i\mathcal{Y}^\alpha$ the contribution to the momenta conjugate to γ_{ij} , \mathcal{X}^α and \mathcal{Y}^α arising from the first two terms in (A.18) is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{ij} &= \sqrt{-\gamma} (K \gamma^{ij} - K^{ij}), \\ \pi_\alpha^{\mathcal{X}} &= -\frac{1}{N} \sqrt{-\gamma} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_r \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} + G_{\beta\bar{\alpha}} \partial_r z^\beta), \\ \pi_\alpha^{\mathcal{Y}} &= -\frac{i}{N} \sqrt{-\gamma} (G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_r \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} - G_{\beta\bar{\alpha}} \partial_r z^\beta), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

respectively,

These momenta need to be supplemented with contributions coming from the boundary counterterms used to renormalise the holographic theory. For the supergravity theories and their solutions of interest, the counterterm action can be written

$$S_{\text{ct}} = 2 \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} \mathcal{W}, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

where the function \mathcal{W} was defined in (A.3) and satisfies $\mathcal{V} = 4G^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_\alpha \mathcal{W} \partial_{\bar{\beta}} \mathcal{W} - \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{W}^2$. The addition of (A.21) to the first two terms in (A.18) renders the on-shell action finite, and defines a well posed Dirichlet problem for the bulk fields. It also shifts the canonical momenta: $\pi_\Phi \rightarrow \Pi_\Phi \equiv \pi_\Phi + \delta S_{\text{ct}} / \delta \Phi$ where $\Phi \in \{\gamma_{ij}, \mathcal{X}^\alpha, \mathcal{Y}^\alpha\}$.

The Dirichlet problem may not be the only consistent variational problem for a given bulk action. Depending on the details, Neumann or mixed boundary conditions may also be permissible. For the present work, we are interested in models which supersymmetry dictates that the scalars \mathcal{X}^α are dual to operators with dimension $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}} = 1$ and the pseudoscalars \mathcal{Y}^α are dual to operators with dimension $\Delta_{\mathcal{Y}} = 2$. This can be achieved by performing a Legendre transformation of the renormalised bulk on-shell action with respect to the field \mathcal{X}^α . This manoeuvre ensures that the transformed on-shell action is holographically dual to the field theory generating function, a functional of the sources for the dual operators.

This can be achieved by taking S_L to be

$$S_L = \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} J_\alpha \mathcal{X}^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad J_\alpha \equiv -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \Pi_\alpha^{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

With this action the field theory sources for the scalar operators in the dual field theory are then

$$\mathcal{Y}_s^\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \mathcal{Y}^\alpha, \quad \mathcal{X}_s^\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 J_\alpha, \quad (\text{A.23})$$

with boundary metric (source) given by $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \gamma_{ij}$. The associated one point functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{T}^{ij} \rangle &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^5 \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \Pi^{ij} + J_\alpha \mathcal{X}^\alpha \gamma^{ij} \right), \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}^\alpha \rangle &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \Pi_\alpha^\mathcal{Y} \right), \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}^\alpha \rangle &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \mathcal{X}^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

where we have chosen the lapse function $N = 1/r$ and additionally assume that the scalars vanish near the boundary as

$$z^\alpha \approx \frac{A^\alpha}{r} + \frac{B^\alpha}{r^2} + \dots \quad (\text{A.25})$$

The associated Ward identities are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^i \langle \mathcal{T}_{ij} \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} \rangle \cdot \partial_j \mathcal{X}_s + \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y} \rangle \cdot \partial_j \mathcal{Y}_s, \\ \langle \mathcal{T}^i{}_i \rangle &= (3 - \Delta_\mathcal{X}) \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} \rangle \cdot \mathcal{X}_s + (3 - \Delta_\mathcal{Y}) \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y} \rangle \cdot \mathcal{Y}_s, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

where we have used \cdot to denote a sum over the scalar index α .

Focussing on the bulk action considered in section 3:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{2}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \partial_\mu z \partial^\mu \bar{z} + \frac{2(3 - |z|^2)}{1 - |z|^2} \right), \quad (\text{A.27})$$

we have

$$S_{ct} = -4 \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} |z|^2 \right), \quad (\text{A.28})$$

where we have just kept the terms quadratic in the scalars (as higher powers do not contribute), and

$$S_L = 4 \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left(r \mathcal{X} \partial_r \mathcal{X} + \mathcal{X}^2 \right). \quad (\text{A.29})$$

We note that S_L breaks the bulk global symmetry which rotates z by a constant phase, and gives rise, in particular, to a stress tensor for Q-lattice solutions which is spatially modulated as in (3.9).

B Supersymmetry in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity and ABJM

The Susy Q solutions can be easily embedded in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ $SO(8)$ gauged supergravity [13] by considering the sector of the latter that is invariant under $SO(4) \times SO(4) \subset SO(8)$ [7]. Here we sketch out a few details of how to calculate the supersymmetry preserved in the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory.

We consider the following $SO(4) \times SO(4)$ invariant tensors

$$\Omega^L = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4, \quad \Omega^R = dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with the x^I coordinates on \mathbb{R}^8 . The bosonic sector of the relevant truncation of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ follows from the scalar ansatz

$$\Sigma = \zeta \Omega^L + \bar{\zeta} \Omega^R, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where the complex scalar ζ is related to the complex scalar z of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory (3.1) by writing $z = \rho e^{i\sigma}$ with $\rho = \tanh \frac{\lambda}{2}$ (see (A.16)) and defining

$$\zeta = \frac{\lambda}{4} e^{i\sigma}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The scalar/pseudoscalar fields of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity parametrise the non-compact coset $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$. The relevant coset representative for the truncation can be efficiently obtained from Σ by working in ‘unitary gauge’, in which the 56-bein \mathcal{V} takes the form

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{ij}{}^{IJ} & v_{ijKL} \\ v^{klIJ} & u^{kl}{}_{KL} \end{pmatrix} = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ \Sigma^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

To carry out the matrix exponentiation, it is helpful to define the projector

$$\Pi = \frac{1}{4} \left(\Omega^L \cdot \Omega^L + \Omega^R \cdot \Omega^R \right), \quad \text{where} \quad (A \cdot B)_{IJKL} \equiv \sum_{M,N} A_{IJMN} B_{MNKL}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

which has the following nice properties:

$$\Pi \cdot \Pi = \Pi, \quad \Sigma^* \cdot \Sigma = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma^* = \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \Pi, \quad \Sigma \cdot \Pi = \Sigma. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Using these one obtains

$$u_{ij}{}^{IJ} = \delta_{ij}^{IJ} + \left(\cosh \frac{\lambda}{2} - 1 \right) \Pi_{ijIJ}, \quad v^{klIJ} = \frac{1}{2} \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(e^{-i\sigma} \Omega^L + e^{i\sigma} \Omega^R \right)_{klIJ}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Next, using standard formulae, which can be found in [13, 30], one can use these expressions to evaluate the various tensors that appear in the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity Lagrangian and its supersymmetric variations. After some calculation, one eventually finds that the supersymmetry variations of the fermions can be written for eight left/right chiral spinor parameters ϵ^I/ϵ_I as follows. Breaking up the $SO(8)$ indices $I \in \{1, 8\}$ into two sets of $SO(4)$ indices, such that $a, b, c, d \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ and $s, t, u, v \in \{5, \dots, 8\}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\delta\psi_\mu^a &= \left[\nabla_\mu - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\bar{z}\partial_\mu z - z\partial_\mu \bar{z}}{1 - \bar{z}z} \right) \right] \epsilon^a + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - \bar{z}z}} \Gamma_\mu \epsilon_a, \\ \frac{1}{2}\delta\psi_\mu^s &= \left[\nabla_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\bar{z}\partial_\mu z - z\partial_\mu \bar{z}}{1 - \bar{z}z} \right) \right] \epsilon^s + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - \bar{z}z}} \Gamma_\mu \epsilon_s,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.8})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta\chi^{abc} &= \frac{1}{1 - \bar{z}z} \Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{z} \Omega^L{}_{abc}{}^d \epsilon_d - \frac{\bar{z}}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{z}z}} \Omega^L{}_{abcd} \epsilon^d, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta\chi^{stu} &= \frac{1}{1 - \bar{z}z} \Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu z \Omega^R{}_{stu}{}^v \epsilon_v - \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{z}z}} \Omega^R{}_{stuv} \epsilon^v,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.9})$$

together with their conjugate variations. Evaluating these variations using the Susy Q ansatz (2.3), one finds that the projections

$$\Gamma^{\hat{r}} \epsilon_a = -\epsilon^a \quad \Gamma^{\hat{x}} \epsilon_a = i\epsilon^a \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^{\hat{r}} \epsilon_s = -\epsilon^s, \quad \Gamma^{\hat{x}} \epsilon_s = -i\epsilon^s, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

yield the same BPS equations as in (3.4). These projections reduce the supersymmetry from 32 to eight real components. If we form the Majorana spinors $\epsilon_{(M)}^I = \epsilon^I + \epsilon_I$ then we can write the projections as

$$\Gamma^{\hat{r}} \epsilon_{(M)}^I = -\epsilon_{(M)}^I, \quad \Gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{y}} \epsilon_{(M)}^a = +\epsilon_{(M)}^a, \quad \Gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{y}} \epsilon_{(M)}^s = -\epsilon_{(M)}^s. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

B.1 Supersymmetry in ABJM theory

To understand the preserved supersymmetries in ABJM theory, it is convenient to use a different basis of Gamma matrices in $D = 4$ than used in appendix A. Specifically, we can take

$$\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} = (\Gamma^{\hat{i}}, \Gamma^{\hat{r}}) \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma^{\hat{i}} = \gamma^{\hat{i}} \otimes \sigma^2, \quad \Gamma^{\hat{r}} = -1 \otimes \sigma^3, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

with, for example, $\gamma^{\hat{i}} = (i\sigma^3, -\sigma^2, -\sigma^1)$, so that $\gamma^{\hat{t}} \gamma^{\hat{x}} \gamma^{\hat{y}} = +1$. In this basis, the bulk Killing spinors are of the form

$$\eta = \chi \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

and hence $\gamma^{\hat{i}}$ are the $d = 3$ Gamma matrices acting on the two component boundary spinor χ . Furthermore, in this basis the bulk Majorana condition $\eta_{(M)} = B^{-1}\eta_{(M)}^*$ with $B = \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^3$ becomes a constraint on the boundary spinor of the form $\chi_{(M)} = \sigma^1 \chi_{(M)}^*$. In this language the projections on the bulk Killing spinors given in (B.11) can be written in terms of the boundary spinors as

$$\gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{y}}\chi_{(M)}^a = +\chi_{(M)}^a, \quad \gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{y}}\chi_{(M)}^s = -\chi_{(M)}^s, \quad (\text{B.14})$$

or equivalently

$$\gamma^{\hat{x}}\chi_{(M)}^a = -\chi_{(M)}^a, \quad \gamma^{\hat{x}}\chi_{(M)}^s = +\chi_{(M)}^s, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

with $a \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ and $s \in \{5, \dots, 8\}$.

To describe the supersymmetries relevant to ABJM theory we need to examine which of the supersymmetries survive the cyclic quotient by $\mathbb{Z}_q \subset U(1)_b$ with $U(1)_b \times SU(4) \subset SO(8)$. Recall that in section 5 we took $U(1)_b$ to act on $\mathbf{8}_s$ as a rotation in the 34 components. We also recall that the eight gravitini of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity transform as $\mathbf{8}_s$ and we have the branching $\mathbf{8}_s \rightarrow \mathbf{6}_0 + \mathbf{1}_2 + \mathbf{1}_{-2}$. Thus for the generic case, the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetries of ABJM theory at level $q > 2$ are identified with $\chi_{(M)}^a$, with $a \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\chi_{(M)}^s$ with $s \in \{5, \dots, 8\}$. The spatially modulated deformation breaks 1/2 of this supersymmetry to $\mathcal{N} = 3$, given by the projections above. The projections as given in (B.15) exactly correspond to those given in eq. (2.20) of [10]. For the special case of $q = 1, 2$ the non-manifest $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry of ABJM will be broken to $\mathcal{N} = 4$, associated with the projections (B.15) but now with $a \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ and $s \in \{5, \dots, 8\}$.

C Isotropic boomerang RG flow

In [4] isotropic boomerang RG flows were constructed in $D = 11$ supergravity using the consistent truncation to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ STU model in $D = 4$ that we described in appendix A.2. Here we present the calculation of the stress tensor using the holographic renormalisation described in appendix A.3.

Using a different radial coordinate to [4], the isotropic solutions lie within the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -e^{2A}dt^2 + e^{2V}(dx^2 + dy^2) + \frac{1}{r^2}dr^2, \\ z^1 &= \rho e^{ikx}, \quad z^2 = \rho e^{iky}, \quad z^3 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

with A, V and ρ functions of r only. The asymptotic expansions as $r \rightarrow \infty$ are given

by

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2A} &= r^2 - \Lambda^2 + M\frac{1}{r} + \dots, \\
e^{2V} &= r^2 - \Lambda^2 - \left(\frac{M}{2} + \frac{8}{3}\Lambda l_{(2)}\right)\frac{1}{r} + \dots, \\
\rho &= \Lambda\frac{1}{r} + l_{(2)}\frac{1}{r^2} + \dots.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Hence we deduce that the non-trivial field theory sources, with $\alpha = 1, 2$, are given by

$$\mathcal{X}_s^\alpha = -4l_{(2)}(\cos kx, \cos ky), \quad \mathcal{Y}_s^\alpha = \Lambda(\sin kx, \sin ky), \tag{C.3}$$

and the vevs are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{T}^{tt} \rangle &= -3M + 2\Lambda l_{(2)}(\cos 2kx + \cos 2ky - 2), \\
\langle \mathcal{T}^{xx} \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{T}^{yy} \rangle = -\frac{3}{2}M - 2\Lambda l_{(2)}(\cos 2kx + \cos 2ky + 2), \\
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^\alpha} \rangle &= \Lambda(\cos kx, \cos ky), \\
\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha} \rangle &= 4l_{(2)}(\sin kx, \sin ky).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

One can check that these correlation functions obey the expected Ward identities in (A.26).

We observe that, in general, the spatial average of $\langle \overline{\mathcal{T}^{tt}} \rangle$ is non-zero. Curiously, however, constructing a perturbative solution, as in [4], we find that at leading non-trivial order in the perturbative expansion it does vanish.

D Novel $AdS_{D-n} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ solutions

Consider a general class of theories in D spacetime dimensions of the form

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} G_{ab}(\phi) \partial\phi^a \partial\phi^b - \frac{1}{2} G_{ij}(\phi) \partial\chi^i \partial\chi^j \right). \tag{D.1}$$

Taking the index $i = 1, \dots, n$ this theory has n shift symmetries $\chi^i \rightarrow \chi^i + \epsilon^i$ which can be used for Q-lattice constructions. We seek solutions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= L^2 ds^2(AdS_{D-n}) + h^2 dx^i dx^i, \\
\phi^a &= \phi_0^a, \quad \chi^i = kx^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.2}$$

where $ds^2(AdS_{D-n})$ has unit radius and L^2 , h , k and ϕ_0^a are all real constants. We find that the equations of motion are satisfied if

$$L^2 = \frac{(D-2)(D-n-1)}{-V}, \quad -V\delta_{ij} = \frac{(D-2)k^2}{2h^2} G_{ij}, \quad -\partial_a V = \frac{k^2}{2h^2} \delta^{ij} \partial_a G_{ij}, \tag{D.3}$$

with all quantities evaluated at the fixed point values of $\phi^a = \phi_0^a$. Notice that G_{ab} does not enter these conditions and also that $V(\phi_0^a) \neq 0$.

Let us now restrict to the sub-class of theories with $G_{ij}(\phi) = f(\phi)\delta_{ij}$, so that the equations we need to solve are

$$L^2 = \frac{(D-2)(D-n-1)}{-V}, \quad \frac{k^2}{h^2} = \frac{2}{D-2} \frac{-V}{f} \quad -\partial_a V = \frac{k^2 n}{2h^2} \partial_a f, \quad (\text{D.4})$$

again evaluated at $\phi^a = \phi_0^a$. We have also used the fact that since solutions require $V(\phi_0^a) \neq 0$, we must also have $f(\phi_0^a) \neq 0$. We now notice the remarkable fact that if we consider theories in which we have, functionally,

$$-V = cf^{\frac{n}{D-2}}, \quad (\text{D.5})$$

where c is a constant, then the equations of motion are satisfied *for any value* of ϕ_0^a provided that k^2/h^2 and L^2 are given by the first two conditions in (D.4). For example, if we restrict to a single scalar field, ϕ , with $f = e^{2\phi}$,

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} G(\phi) (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\phi} \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial\chi^i)^2 \right), \quad (\text{D.6})$$

then for $D = 4$ we have $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ solutions for $V = -ce^\phi$ and $AdS_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ solutions for $V = -ce^{2\phi}$. For $D = 5$ we have $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{R}$ solutions for $V = -ce^{2\phi/3}$, $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ solutions for $V = -ce^{4\phi/3}$ and $AdS_2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ solutions for $V = -ce^{2\phi}$. Of course, for these theories there is no AdS_4 or AdS_5 vacuum solution.

If we consider the top-down $D = 4$ model (3.1), or more conveniently in the form (A.16), then we see that as $|z| \rightarrow 1$, or equivalently, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the model is approximately of the above form with $V = -e^\lambda$ (after taking $\sigma \rightarrow 2\chi$). This approximate model thus has $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ solutions with λ_0 unspecified. For large deformations, in the Susy Q boomerang RG flows the scalar field is becoming large and it is natural to wonder if the bulk solutions have an intermediate regime approximated by these $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ solutions of the auxiliary theory. However, we do not see any direct evidence for this in figure 3. It seems likely that this is connected to the fact that the scalar field is not fixed in the $AdS_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ solutions.

References

- [1] P. Chesler, A. Lucas, and S. Sachdev, ‘‘Conformal field theories in a periodic potential: results from holography and field theory,’’ *Phys.Rev.* **D89** (2014) 026005, arXiv:1308.0329 [hep-th].

- [2] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, and C. Panteidou, “Conformal field theories in $d = 4$ with a helical twist,” *Phys.Rev.* **D91** (2015) 066003, [arXiv:1412.3446](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [3] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, and O. Sosa-Rodriguez, “Anisotropic plasmas from axion and dilaton deformations,” *JHEP* **11** (2016) 002, [arXiv:1608.02970](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [4] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, C. Rosen, and O. Sosa-Rodriguez, “Boomerang RG flows in M-theory with intermediate scaling,” *JHEP* **07** (2017) 128, [arXiv:1705.03000](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [5] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, C. Rosen, and O. Sosa-Rodriguez, “Boomerang RG flows with intermediate conformal invariance,” *JHEP* **04** (2018) 017, [arXiv:1712.08017](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [6] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, “Holographic Q-lattices,” *JHEP* **1404** (2014) 040, [arXiv:1311.3292](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [7] M. Cvetič, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, “Four-dimensional N=4, SO(4) gauged supergravity from D = 11,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B574** (2000) 761–781, [arXiv:hep-th/9910252](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [8] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, and J. Maldacena, “N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals,” *JHEP* **10** (2008) 091, [arXiv:0806.1218](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [9] C. N. Pope and N. P. Warner, “A Dielectric flow solution with maximal supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **04** (2004) 011, [arXiv:hep-th/0304132](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [10] K. K. Kim and O.-K. Kwon, “Janus ABJM Models with Mass Deformation,” [arXiv:1806.06963](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [11] E. D’Hoker, J. Estes, M. Gutperle, and D. Krym, “Janus solutions in M-theory,” *JHEP* **06** (2009) 018, [arXiv:0904.3313](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [12] N. Bobev, K. Pilch, and N. P. Warner, “Supersymmetric Janus Solutions in Four Dimensions,” *JHEP* **06** (2014) 058, [arXiv:1311.4883](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [13] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, “N=8 Supergravity with Local SO(8) x SU(8) Invariance,” *Phys. Lett.* **B108** (1982) 285.

- [14] A. Das, M. Fischler, and M. Rocek, “SuperHiggs Effect in a New Class of Scalar Models and a Model of Super QED,” *Phys. Rev.* **D16** (1977) 3427–3436.
- [15] M. Cvetič *et al.*, “Embedding AdS black holes in ten and eleven dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B558** (1999) 96–126, [arXiv:hep-th/9903214](#).
- [16] M. Cvetič, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, “Geometry of the embedding of supergravity scalar manifolds in $D = 11$ and $D = 10$,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B584** (2000) 149–170, [arXiv:hep-th/0002099](#) [hep-th].
- [17] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, “Stability in Gauged Extended Supergravity,” *Annals Phys.* **144** (1982) 249.
- [18] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, “A Harmonic family of dielectric flow solutions with maximal supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **12** (2004) 021, [arXiv:hep-th/0406145](#) [hep-th].
- [19] H. Lin, O. Lunin, and J. M. Maldacena, “Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries,” *JHEP* **10** (2004) 025, [arXiv:hep-th/0409174](#).
- [20] D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser, K. Pilch, and N. P. Warner, “Continuous distributions of D3-branes and gauged supergravity,” *JHEP* **07** (2000) 038, [arXiv:hep-th/9906194](#) [hep-th].
- [21] O. DeWolfe, O. Henriksson, and C. Rosen, “Fermi surface behavior in the ABJM M2-brane theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D91** no. 12, (2015) 126017, [arXiv:1410.6986](#) [hep-th].
- [22] E. Kiritsis and J. Ren, “On Holographic Insulators and Supersolids,” *JHEP* **09** (2015) 168, [arXiv:1503.03481](#) [hep-th].
- [23] A. Azizi, H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, and C. N. Pope, “Embedding of gauged STU supergravity in eleven dimensions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 6, (2016) 066003, [arXiv:1606.06954](#) [hep-th].
- [24] D. Z. Freedman and S. S. Pufu, “The holography of F -maximization,” *JHEP* **03** (2014) 135, [arXiv:1302.7310](#) [hep-th].
- [25] J. Gomis, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, M. Van Raamsdonk, and H. Verlinde, “A Massive Study of M2-brane Proposals,” *JHEP* **09** (2008) 113, [arXiv:0807.1074](#) [hep-th].

- [26] U. Gran, J. Gutowski, and G. Papadopoulos, “Geometry of all supersymmetric four-dimensional $N = 1$ supergravity backgrounds,” *JHEP* **06** (2008) 102, [arXiv:0802.1779 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, “Flowing from AdS_5 to AdS_3 with $T^{1,1}$,” *JHEP* **08** (2014) 006, [arXiv:1404.7133 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] D. Freedman and A. van Proeyen, *Supergravity*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012.
- [29] A. Cabo-Bizet, U. Kol, L. A. Pando Zayas, I. Papadimitriou, and V. Rathee, “Entropy functional and the holographic attractor mechanism,” *JHEP* **05** (2018) 155, [arXiv:1712.01849 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] D. Z. Freedman, K. Pilch, S. S. Pufu, and N. P. Warner, “Boundary Terms and Three-Point Functions: An AdS/CFT Puzzle Resolved,” *JHEP* **06** (2017) 053, [arXiv:1611.01888 \[hep-th\]](#).