

# ON SPECTRAL CONVERGENCE OF VECTOR BUNDLES AND CONVERGENCE OF PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

KOTA HATTORI

ABSTRACT. In this article we consider the continuity of the eigenvalues of the connection Laplacian of connections on vector bundles over Riemannian manifolds. To show it, we consider the equivariant version of the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology on the space of metric measure spaces with isometric actions, and apply it to the total spaces of principal bundles equipped with connections over Riemannian manifolds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a closed connected Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$ , the Laplace operator is defined by

$$\Delta f := d^* df$$

for any smooth functions  $f$  on  $X$ , where  $d^*$  is the formal adjoint of exterior derivative  $d$ . As one of the fundamental results of harmonic analysis, we obtain the orthonormal basis  $\{f_j\}_j$  of  $L^2(X)$  and eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = \infty$  and  $\Delta f_j = \lambda_j f_j$ .

Here, we consider the function  $\lambda_j$ , which maps the isometric class of closed Riemannian manifolds to the  $j$ -th eigenvalue of its Laplacian. In [3], Fukaya has shown the continuity of  $\lambda_j$  with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology under the assumptions that the sectional curvatures of Riemannian manifolds are bounded. He also conjectured that the continuity should hold if the lower bound of the Ricci curvatures are given.

His conjecture was solved by Cheeger and Colding in [2]. They defined the Laplacian on the metric measure space  $(X, d, \mu)$  which is the measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence of smooth connected closed Riemannian manifolds  $\{(X_i, g_i)\}$  with

$$\text{diam}(X_i) \leq D, \quad \text{Ric}_{g_i} \geq \kappa g_i$$

for some constant  $D > 0$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , then they showed that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j(X_i, g_i) = \lambda_j(X, d, \mu).$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C07, 58J50.

Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) Grant Number 16K17598 and by JSPS Core-to-Core Program, "Foundation of a Global Research Cooperative Center in Mathematics focused on Number Theory and Geometry".

Here,  $(X_i, g_i)$  can be regarded as a metric measure space by the Riemannian distance  $d_{g_i}$  and the provability measure  $\frac{\mu_{g_i}}{\mu_{g_i}(X)}$ , where  $\mu_{g_i}$  is the Riemannian measure.

On the Riemannian manifolds, the Laplace operators can be also defined for the differential forms or smooth section of vector bundle with the metric connections. In [10], Lott discussed with the eigenvalues of the Laplacian acting on differential forms on the Riemannian manifolds with bounded sectional curvatures and diameters, but may be collapsing. He also discussed with the eigenvalues of the Dirac operators in [9]. In [5], Honda showed the continuity of the eigenvalues of the Hodge Laplacian acting on the 1-forms with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology under the assumption that the Ricci curvatures are bounded from below and above, the diameters are bounded from above and the volumes are bounded from below. He also showed the continuity of the eigenvalues of connection Laplacian acting on the tensor bundle of cotangent bundles and tangent bundles under the same assumptions.

In another direction, Kasue showed the convergence of the eigenvalues of the connection Laplacians on vector bundles over the Riemannian manifolds who have the uniform estimates of heat kernels in [7]. He considered the vector bundle  $E_\rho = P \times_\rho V$  with  $G$ -connection  $\nabla$  on a smooth Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$ . Here,  $P$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle,  $(\rho, V)$  is a representation of  $G$ ,  $P \times_\rho V$  is the associate bundle and  $\nabla = \nabla^A$  is the connection on  $E_\rho$  induced by a connection form  $A \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ . Then the connection Laplacian  $\nabla^* \nabla$  acting on  $\Gamma(E)$  can be identified with the Laplacian of the certain Riemannian metric  $h = h(g, A, \sigma)$  on  $P$  determined by  $g, A$  and an bi-invariant metric  $\sigma$  on  $G$ .

In this article, we also consider the continuity of the connection Laplacian on  $E_\rho$ . The difference between [7] and this article is the topologies on the space of the vector bundles with connections. In this article we consider the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology on the space of compact metric spaces with isometric  $G$ -actions, which was also introduced in [4] and [9][10]. Then we can define the convergence of the sequence of  $\{(P_i, A_i)\}_i$ , where  $P_i$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle over a Riemannian manifold  $(X_i, g_i)$  and  $A_i$  is a  $G$ -connection on  $P_i$ . Denote by  $\lambda_{i,j}^\rho$  the  $j$ -th eigenvalue of  $\nabla^{A_i} \nabla^{A_i}$  acting on  $\Gamma(P_i \times_\rho V)$ . The aim of this article is to show that if  $\{(P_i, A_i)\}_i$  is the convergent sequence, then the limit  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i,j}^\rho$  exists for any  $j$  and  $(\rho, V)$ . As a consequence, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $(\rho, V)$  is a real unitary representation of  $G$ . For any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, D, N > 0$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , there exist constants  $0 \leq C_j$  depending only on  $n, \kappa, D, N, j, G, \rho, V$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_j = \infty$  and the following holds. For any closed Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$  of dimension  $n$  and principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi: P \rightarrow X$  with the  $G$ -connection  $A$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X = n, \quad \text{Ric}_g \geq \kappa g, \quad \text{diam } X \leq D, \\ \|(d\nabla^A)^* F^A\|_{L^\infty} \leq N, \quad \|F^A\|_{L^\infty} \leq N, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\lambda_j^{\nabla^A} \geq C_j,$$

where  $F^A \in \Omega^2(X, E_\rho)$  is the curvature form of  $A$ .

We also have the uniform upper estimate of  $\lambda_j^{\nabla^A}$  in Theorem 9.6, however, we need additional assumptions.

Kasue has also shown the convergence of the eigenvalues of connection Laplacians under the spectral topology in [7]. He also argued the relation between the spectral topology and the Gromov-Hausdorff topology in [6]

Next we apply Theorem 1.1 to a compact Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  and a holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  with hermitian metric  $h$ . Then there is the unique connection  $\nabla$  which preserves the holomorphic structure and  $h$ . If  $\nabla$  is a Hermitian-Einstein connection, then it is a Yang-Mills connection.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any  $\kappa, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, D > 0$  and  $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , there exist a positive integer  $N$  depending only on  $\kappa, \mu, D, n, r$  such that the following holds. For any closed Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  and holomorphic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  with the Hermitian-Einstein connection  $\nabla$  such that*

$$\dim X = n, \quad \text{rk } E = r, \quad \frac{2\pi \dim X \cdot c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^{n-1}}{\text{rk}(E)[\omega]^n} = \mu,$$

$$\text{Ric}_\omega \geq \kappa\omega, \quad \text{diam}_\omega X \leq D, \quad \|F^\nabla\|_{L^\infty} \leq D,$$

we have

$$\dim H^0(X, E) \leq N.$$

Theorem 1.1 is proved as follows. To discuss with the connection Laplacian  $\nabla^*\nabla$ , we need the relation between

$$\nabla^*\nabla: \Gamma(E_\rho) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_\rho)$$

and the Laplacian  $\Delta$  of  $h$  on  $P$ . In Section 2 we review the relation between the sections of  $E_\rho$  and  $G$ -equivariant  $V$ -valued smooth functions on  $P$ . Next we construct the Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $P$  from  $(X, g), A$  then show that  $\nabla^*\nabla$  is related to the Laplacian of  $h$  along [7][9] in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 5 we compute the Ricci curvature of  $h$ , and we will see that the boundedness of  $\|F^A\|_{L^\infty}$  and  $\|(d^{\nabla^A})^*F^A\|_{L^\infty}$  in the assumption of Theorem 1.1 is necessary to give the lower bound of the Ricci curvature of  $h$ . In Section 6, we introduce the notion of the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergences for the sequences of compact metric measure spaces with isometric  $G$ -actions, then show that if the sequence is precompact with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology, then it is also precompact with respect to the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. In Sections 7 and 8, we review the results for the convergence of spectral structures on some metric measure spaces along [2][8] and apply them to our situation, then we see that these arguments are compatible with the  $G$ -actions. As a consequence, we obtain Theorem 1.1 in Section 9. In Section 10, we apply Theorem 1.1 to the case of holomorphic vector bundles on compact Kähler manifolds then obtain Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Shouhei Honda for useful conversations and telling him the related works. He would also like to thank Professor Atsushi Kasue for his comments and advices. He would like to thank Daisuke Kazukawa for his comments.

## 2. CONNECTIONS ON PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

Let  $X$  be a smooth manifold and  $\pi: P \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle. A  $G$ -connection on  $P$  is a differential form  $A \in \Omega^1(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying

$$A_u(\xi_u^\sharp) = \xi, \quad R_\gamma^* A = \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} A$$

for all  $u \in P$ ,  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\gamma \in G$ . Here,  $\xi^\sharp \in \mathcal{X}(P)$  is the vector field generated by  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , defined by

$$\xi_u^\sharp := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} u \exp(t\xi).$$

The  $G$ -connection determines the horizontal distribution  $H = \{H_u\}_{u \in P}$  by  $H_u := \text{Ker } A_u \subset T_u P$ . The curvature form  $F^A \in \Omega^2(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  is defined by

$$F^A := dA|_H = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A \wedge A].$$

**2.1. Local trivialization.** Let  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  be a local coordinate on  $X$  and we suppose that  $P|_U = \pi^{-1}(U) = U \times G$ . Let  $\hat{v}_u \in H_u$  be the horizontal lift of  $v_x \in T_x X$ . Now we have  $T_{(x,\gamma)} P = T_x X \oplus T_\gamma G$ , and let  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathfrak{g}$  be a basis. Then  $\{\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, e_\alpha^\sharp\}$  becomes a basis of  $T_{(x,\gamma)} P$ , where  $(e_\alpha^\sharp)_\gamma = (L_\gamma)_* e_\alpha$ . Let  $A_i^\alpha: U \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$A_i^\alpha(x, \gamma) e_\alpha := A_{x,\gamma}((\partial_i)_x).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^\alpha(x, \gamma) e_\alpha &= \text{Ad}_{\gamma^{-1}} \{A_i^\alpha(x, 1) e_\alpha\}, \\ (\hat{\partial}_i)_{(x,\gamma)} &= (\partial_i)_x - A_i^\alpha(x, \gamma) (e_\alpha^\sharp)_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we fix a real unitary representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow O(V)$  for a vector space  $V$  with inner product, and have

$$\Omega_B^k(P, \rho, V) = \{\tau \in \Omega^k(P) \otimes V; R_\gamma^* \tau = \rho(\gamma^{-1}) \tau, \iota_{\xi^\sharp} \tau = 0\}.$$

For the associate vector bundle  $E_\rho := P \times_\rho V$ , we have the natural identification

$$\Omega^k(X, E_\rho) \cong \Omega_B^k(P, \rho, V).$$

In particular, the correspondence between  $\tau \in \Gamma(X, E_\rho)$  and  $\hat{\tau} \in \Omega_B^0(P, \rho, V)$  is given by

$$\tau(x) = u \times_\rho \hat{\tau}(u) \quad (u \in \pi^{-1}(x)).$$

Denote by  $\nabla^A$  the covariant derivative on  $E_\rho$ , then  $\nabla \tau$  corresponds to  $d\hat{\tau}|_H$  under the identification  $\Omega^1(X, E_\rho) \cong \Omega_B^1(P, \rho, V)$ . Under the direct decomposition  $T_u P = H_u \oplus \text{Ker } d\pi_u$ , we have

$$d\hat{\tau} = d\hat{\tau}|_H + d\hat{\tau}|_{\text{Ker } d\pi}.$$

For  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{\tau}_u(\xi_u^\sharp) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \hat{\tau}(u \exp(t\xi)) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \rho(\exp(-t\xi))\hat{\tau}(u) = -\rho_*(\xi)\hat{\tau}(u), \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain

$$(1) \quad d\hat{\tau} = d\hat{\tau}|_H - \rho_* \circ A(\cdot)\hat{\tau},$$

### 3. RIEMANNIAN METRIC ON $P$

In this section we describe the relation between the rough Laplacian on the associate bundle  $E_\rho$  and the Laplacian on  $P$  for the certain metric along [7][9].

Fix an  $\text{Ad}_G$ -invariant metric  $\sigma$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and define a Riemannian metric

$$(2) \quad h = h(g, A, \sigma)$$

on  $P$  by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij} &:= h(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j) = g(\partial_i, \partial_j) =: g_{ij}, \\ h_{i\alpha} &= h(\hat{\partial}_i, e_\alpha^\sharp) = 0, \\ h_{\alpha\beta} &:= h(e_\alpha^\sharp, e_\beta^\sharp) = \sigma(e_\alpha, e_\beta) =: \sigma_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

By the decomposition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}(d\hat{\tau}_0, d\hat{\tau}_1) &= h^{-1}(d\hat{\tau}_0|_H, d\hat{\tau}_1|_H) + \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \langle \rho_*(e_\alpha)\hat{\tau}_0, \rho_*(e_\beta)\hat{\tau}_1 \rangle_V \\ &= g^{ij} \langle \nabla_{\partial_i}^A \tau_0, \nabla_{\partial_j}^A \tau_1 \rangle_{E_\rho} - \langle \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \rho_*(e_\beta)\rho_*(e_\alpha)\hat{\tau}_0, \hat{\tau}_1 \rangle_V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by integrating on  $P$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_P d^* d\hat{\tau}_0 \cdot \hat{\tau}_1 \, d\text{vol}_h &= \int_P \langle (\nabla^A)^* \nabla^A \tau_0, \tau_1 \rangle_{E_\rho} \, d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad - \int_P \langle \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \rho_*(e_\beta)\rho_*(e_\alpha)\hat{\tau}_0, \hat{\tau}_1 \rangle_V \, d\text{vol}_h \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in \Gamma(X, E_\rho)$ , which gives

$$\Delta^h \hat{\tau} = \widehat{\Delta^A \tau} - \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \rho_*(e_\beta)\rho_*(e_\alpha)\hat{\tau},$$

where  $\Delta^h = d^*d$  is the Laplacian of  $h$  acting on the functions and  $\Delta^A = (\nabla^A)^*\nabla^A$  is the rough Laplacian acting on the sections of  $E_\rho$ .

Here,

$$\sigma^{\alpha\beta} \rho_*(e_\alpha)\rho_*(e_\beta): V \rightarrow V$$

is a  $G$ -equivariant map whose eigenvalues are real and nonpositive. Consequently, if  $(\rho, V)$  is an irreducible representation, then by the following lemma we may write

$$\sigma^{\alpha\beta} \rho_*(e_\alpha)\rho_*(e_\beta) = -\chi_{\sigma, \rho}$$

for some nonnegative number  $\chi_{\sigma, \rho}$ , called Casimir invariant, determined by  $(\rho, V)$  and  $\sigma$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(\rho, V)$  be an irreducible real  $G$ -representation and  $\Phi: V \rightarrow V$  be a  $G$ -equivariant linear map which has at least one real eigenvalue. Then there is  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Phi = a \cdot \text{id}_V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be an eigenvalue of  $\Phi$  and  $V(a) \subset V$  be the eigenspace associate with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $V(a)$  is a subrepresentation of  $V$ ,  $V(a) = V$  holds since  $V$  is irreducible.  $\square$

#### 4. EIGENSPACES

Let  $X, P, G, E_\rho, \rho, V, h, A$  be as above. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  put

$$\begin{aligned} W^{E_\rho}(\lambda) &:= \{s \in \Gamma(E_\rho); \Delta^A s = \lambda s\}, \\ W^h(\lambda) &:= \{f \in C^\infty(P); \Delta^h f = \lambda f\}, \end{aligned}$$

then we can see

$$(W^h(\lambda) \otimes V)^G = \{f \in C_B^\infty(P, \rho, V); \Delta^h f = \lambda f\}.$$

By the previous section we obtain an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (W^h(\lambda) \otimes V)^G & \xrightarrow{\cong} & W^{E_\rho}(\lambda - \chi_{\sigma, \rho}) \\ \downarrow \Psi & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \hat{\tau} & \longmapsto & u \times_\rho \hat{\tau}. \end{array}$$

#### 5. CURVATURE

In this section we compute the curvature of  $h(g, A, \sigma)$ . Define  $F_{ij}^\alpha \in C^\infty(P|_U)$  by

$$F_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha := F^A(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j) \in \mathfrak{g},$$

and let  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  be the Christoffel symbols of  $g$ . By Section 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j] &= -F_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha^\#, \quad [\hat{\partial}_i, e_\beta^\#] = 0, \quad [e_\alpha^\#, e_\beta^\#] = [e_\alpha, e_\beta]^\#, \\ \nabla_{\hat{\partial}_i} \hat{\partial}_j &= \Gamma_{ij}^k \hat{\partial}_k - \frac{1}{2} F_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha^\#, \quad \nabla_{e_\alpha^\#} e_\beta^\# = \frac{1}{2} [e_\alpha, e_\beta]^\#, \\ \nabla_{\hat{\partial}_i} e_\beta^\# &= \nabla_{e_\beta^\#} \hat{\partial}_i = \frac{g^{kh} \sigma(F_{ih}, e_\beta)}{2} \hat{\partial}_k = \frac{g^{kh} F_{ih}^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{2} \hat{\partial}_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_{ij} = F_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha$ . Moreover the 2nd Bianchi identity yields

$$0 = dF^A(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j, \hat{\partial}_k) = \hat{\partial}_i(F_{jk}) - \hat{\partial}_j(F_{ik}) + \hat{\partial}_k(F_{ij}).$$

Now we denote by  $\hat{R}$  the curvature tensor of  $h$ , and by  $R$  that of  $g$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j)\hat{\partial}_k &= R_{ijk}^l \hat{\partial}_l + \frac{\hat{\partial}_k(F_{ij}^\alpha) - F_{il}^\alpha \Gamma_{jk}^l - F_{lj}^\alpha \Gamma_{ik}^l}{2} e_\alpha^\# \\
&\quad + \frac{g^{lh} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{4} (2F_{ij}^\alpha F_{kh}^\beta - F_{jk}^\alpha F_{ih}^\beta - F_{ki}^\alpha F_{jh}^\beta) \hat{\partial}_l, \\
\hat{R}(\hat{\partial}_i, e_\alpha^\#)\hat{\partial}_j &= \frac{g^{lh} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{2} (\hat{\partial}_i(F_{jh}^\beta) - F_{jk}^\beta \Gamma_{ih}^k - F_{kh}^\beta \Gamma_{ij}^k) \hat{\partial}_l \\
&\quad - \frac{g^{kh} F_{jh}^\beta F_{ik}^\mu \sigma_{\alpha\beta} e_\mu^\#}{4} + \frac{e_\alpha^\#(F_{ij}^\beta) e_\beta^\#}{2} + \frac{F_{ij}^\beta [e_\alpha, e_\beta]^\#}{4}, \\
\hat{R}(\hat{\partial}_i, e_\alpha^\#) e_\beta^\# &= \frac{g^{kh} (F_{ih}^\mu \sigma(e_\mu, [e_\alpha, e_\beta]) - 2e_\alpha^\#(F_{ih}^\mu) \sigma_{\mu\beta})}{4} \hat{\partial}_k \\
&\quad - \frac{g^{kh} F_{ih}^\mu \sigma_{\mu\beta} g^{lp} F_{kp}^\delta \sigma_{\delta\alpha}}{4} \hat{\partial}_l, \\
\hat{R}(e_\alpha^\#, e_\beta^\#) e_\mu^\# &= \frac{[e_\mu, [e_\alpha, e_\beta]]^\#}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have

$$e_\alpha^\#(F_{ij}^\beta) e_\beta^\# = -F_{ij}^\beta [e_\alpha, e_\beta], \quad e_\alpha^\#(F_{ij}^\beta) e_\beta^\# = -F_{ij}^\beta [e_\alpha, e_\beta]^\#.$$

By putting

$$(\nabla F)_{kij}^\alpha = \hat{\partial}_k(F_{ij}^\alpha) - F_{il}^\alpha \Gamma_{jk}^l - F_{lj}^\alpha \Gamma_{ik}^l,$$

The 2nd Bianchi identity implies

$$(\nabla F)_{ijk}^\alpha + (\nabla F)_{jki}^\alpha + (\nabla F)_{kij}^\alpha = 0,$$

therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j)\hat{\partial}_k &= R_{ijk}^l \hat{\partial}_l + \frac{(\nabla F)_{kij}^\alpha}{2} e_\alpha^\# \\
&\quad + \frac{g^{lh} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{4} (2F_{ij}^\alpha F_{kh}^\beta - F_{jk}^\alpha F_{ih}^\beta - F_{ki}^\alpha F_{jh}^\beta) \hat{\partial}_l, \\
\hat{R}(\hat{\partial}_i, e_\alpha^\#)\hat{\partial}_j &= \frac{g^{lh} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} (\nabla F)_{ijh}^\beta}{2} \hat{\partial}_l - \frac{g^{kh} F_{jh}^\beta F_{ik}^\mu \sigma_{\alpha\beta} e_\mu^\#}{4} \\
&\quad - \frac{F_{ij}^\beta [e_\alpha, e_\beta]^\#}{4}, \\
\hat{R}(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_j) e_\alpha^\# &= -\frac{g^{lh} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} (\nabla F)_{hij}^\beta}{2} \hat{\partial}_l - \frac{g^{kh} (F_{jh}^\beta F_{ik}^\mu - F_{ih}^\beta F_{jk}^\mu) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} e_\mu^\#}{4} \\
&\quad - \frac{F_{ij}^\beta [e_\alpha, e_\beta]^\#}{2}, \\
\hat{R}(\hat{\partial}_i, e_\alpha^\#) e_\beta^\# &= -\frac{g^{kh} F_{ih}^\mu \sigma(e_\mu, [e_\alpha, e_\beta])}{4} \hat{\partial}_k - \frac{g^{kh} F_{ih}^\mu \sigma_{\mu\beta} g^{lp} F_{kp}^\delta \sigma_{\delta\alpha}}{4} \hat{\partial}_l, \\
\hat{R}(e_\alpha^\#, e_\beta^\#)\hat{\partial}_i &= \frac{g^{kh} F_{ih}^\mu \sigma(e_\mu, [e_\alpha, e_\beta])}{2} \hat{\partial}_k \\
&\quad + \frac{g^{kh} F_{ih}^\mu g^{lp} F_{kp}^\delta (\sigma_{\mu\beta} \sigma_{\delta\alpha} - \sigma_{\mu\alpha} \sigma_{\delta\beta})}{4} \hat{\partial}_l, \\
\hat{R}(e_\alpha^\#, e_\beta^\#) e_\mu^\# &= \frac{[e_\mu, [e_\alpha, e_\beta]]^\#}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the Ricci curvature of  $h$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\text{Ric}}(\hat{\partial}_j, \hat{\partial}_k) &= \text{Ric}_{jk} - \frac{g^{ih} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} F_{ki}^\alpha F_{jh}^\beta}{2}, \\
\hat{\text{Ric}}(\hat{\partial}_j, e_\beta^\#) &= -\frac{g^{ih} \sigma_{\beta\mu} (\nabla F)_{hij}^\mu}{2}, \\
\hat{\text{Ric}}(e_\beta^\#, e_\mu^\#) &= \frac{g^{kh} F_{hi}^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\mu} g^{ip} F_{kp}^\delta \sigma_{\delta\beta}}{4} + \frac{\sigma^{\alpha\delta} \sigma([e_\alpha, e_\beta], [e_\delta, e_\mu])}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, define  $F^*F \in \Gamma(\text{Symm}_2(H^*)) \otimes \text{Symm}_2(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$F^*F = g^{kl} F_{ik}^\alpha F_{jl}^\beta \hat{\partial}^i \otimes \hat{\partial}^j \otimes e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta$$

where  $H^* \rightarrow P$  is the dual of the horizontal distribution  $H \rightarrow P$  and  $\{\hat{\partial}^i\}_i$  is the dual basis of  $\{\hat{\partial}_i\}_i$ . Note that  $\{(d^\nabla)^*F\}_j^\mu = -g^{ih} (\nabla F)_{hij}^\mu$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\text{Ric}}(\hat{\partial}_j, \hat{\partial}_k) &= \text{Ric}_{jk} - \frac{(F^*F)_{jk}^{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{2}, \\
\hat{\text{Ric}}(\hat{\partial}_j, e_\beta^\#) &= \frac{\{(d^\nabla)^*F\}_j^\mu \sigma_{\beta\mu}}{2}, \\
\hat{\text{Ric}}(e_\beta^\#, e_\mu^\#) &= \frac{g^{jk} (F^*F)_{jk}^{\alpha\delta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\delta\mu}}{4} + \frac{\sigma^{\alpha\delta} \sigma([e_\alpha, e_\beta], [e_\delta, e_\mu])}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$



6.  $G$ -STRUCTURES ON METRIC SPACES

In Section 3, we have shown that  $\pi: (P, h) \rightarrow (X, g)$  is a Riemannian submersion and every  $G$ -orbit is totally geodesically embedded in  $P$  and isometric to  $(G, \sigma)$ . Conversely, if  $(P, h)$  is a Riemannian manifold with isometric free  $G$ -action for a compact Lie group  $G$  and every  $G$ -orbit is isometric to  $(G, \sigma)$ , then  $X = P/G$  is a smooth manifold with a Riemannian metric  $g$  such that  $\pi: (P, h) \rightarrow (X, g)$  is a Riemannian submersion, and the horizontal distribution of  $P$  defines a  $G$ -connection. We generalize this picture to the metric spaces.

In this article,  $G$ -actions on the metric spaces always mean the right actions, and the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times G & \longrightarrow & P \\ \Psi & & \Psi \\ (u, \gamma) & \longmapsto & u\gamma \end{array}$$

are always supposed to be continuous. We denote by  $\bar{u} \in P/G$  the equivalence class represented by  $u \in P$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact topological group, and  $(P, d)$  be a metric space with isometric continuous right  $G$ -action. Then the quotient map  $\pi: (P, d) \rightarrow (P/G, \bar{d})$  is a submetry, where  $\bar{d}$  is a distance function on  $P$  defined by  $\bar{d}(\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1) := \inf_{g \in G} d(u_0, u_1g)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D(u_0, r) := \{u_1 \in P; d(u_1, u_0) \leq r\}$ . We show  $\pi(D(u_0, r)) = D(\bar{u}_0, r)$  for all  $u_0 \in P$  and  $r > 0$ . Let  $u_1 \in D(u_0, r)$ . Then  $\bar{d}(\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1) \leq d(u_0, u_1) \leq r$ , hence we have  $\pi(u_1) = \bar{u}_1 \in D(\bar{u}_0, r)$ . Conversely, if  $\bar{u}_1 \in D(\bar{u}_0, r)$ , then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $d(u_0, u_1g) = \bar{d}(\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1) \leq r$ , consequently  $\bar{u}_1 \in \pi(D(u_0, r))$ .  $\square$

For a topological space  $X$ , we denote by  $C_0(X)$  the set consisting of all continuous functions on  $X$  with compact support.

Throughout of this paper  $(P, d, \nu)$  is called *metric measure space* if  $(P, d)$  is a metric space and  $\nu$  is a Borel Radon measure such that  $\nu(B(p, r))$  is finite for any  $p \in P$  and  $r > 0$ .

**Definition 6.2.**

- (1) Let  $(P', d')$  and  $(P, d)$  be metric spaces. A map  $\phi: P' \rightarrow P$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -isometry if (i)  $|d'(u_0, u_1) - d(\phi(u_0), \phi(u_1))| < \varepsilon$  for any  $u_0, u_1 \in P'$ , (ii)  $P \subset B(\phi(P'), \varepsilon)$ .
- (2) Let  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)\}_i$  be a sequence of metric measure spaces. A metric measure space  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$  is the *measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit* of  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)\}_i$  if there are positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_i$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$  and Borel  $\varepsilon_i$ -isometries  $\phi_i: P_i \rightarrow P_\infty$  for every  $i$  such that  $\phi_{i*}\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_\infty$  vaguely as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{P_\infty} f d\phi_{i*}\nu_i = \int_{P_\infty} f d\nu_\infty$$

holds for any  $f \in C_0(P_\infty)$ .

- (3) Let  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i)\}_i$  be a sequence of pointed metric measure spaces. A pointed metric measure space  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty)$  is the *pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit* of  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i)\}_i$  if for any  $R > 0$

there are positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_i$  and  $\{R_i\}_i$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i = R$  and Borel  $\varepsilon_i$ -isometries

$$\phi_i: (B(p_i, R_i), p_i) \rightarrow (B(p_\infty, R), p_\infty)$$

for every  $i$  such that  $\phi_{i*}(\nu_i|_{B(p_i, R_i)}) \rightarrow \nu_\infty|_{B(p_\infty, R)}$  vaguely.

The following notion (1) is the special case of [4, Definition 4.1].

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $G$  be a compact topological group.

- (1) Let  $(P', d')$  and  $(P, d)$  be metric spaces with isometric  $G$ -action. A map  $\phi: P' \rightarrow P$  is an  $\varepsilon$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation if  $\phi$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -isometry satisfying  $d(\phi(u'\gamma), \phi(u'\gamma)) < \varepsilon$  for any  $u' \in P'$  and  $\gamma \in G$ . Moreover if  $\phi$  is a Borel map then it is called a *Borel  $\varepsilon$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation*.
- (2) Let  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)\}_i$  be a sequence of metric measure spaces with isometric  $G$ -action. A metric measure space  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$  with isometric  $G$ -action is called *the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit of  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)\}_i$*  if there is a Borel  $\varepsilon_i$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation  $\phi_i: P_i \rightarrow P_\infty$  for each  $i$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$  and  $\phi_{i*}\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_\infty$  vaguely as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (3) Let  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i)\}_i$  be a sequence of pointed metric measure spaces with isometric  $G$ -action.  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty)$  is said to be *the pointed asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit of  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i)\}_i$*  if  $G$  acts on  $P_\infty$  isometrically and for any  $R > 0$  there are positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_i$ ,  $\{R_i\}_i$  with

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i = R,$$

and Borel  $\varepsilon_i$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation

$$\phi_i: (\pi_i^{-1}(B(x_i, R_i)), p_i) \rightarrow (\pi_\infty^{-1}(B(x_\infty, R)), p_\infty)$$

for every  $i$  such that  $\phi_{i*}(\nu_i|_{\pi_i^{-1}(B(x_i, R_i))}) \rightarrow \nu_\infty|_{\pi_\infty^{-1}(B(x_\infty, R))}$  vaguely.

Here,  $\pi: P_i \rightarrow P_i/G$  is the quotient map and  $x_i = \pi_i(p_i)$ .

**Remark 6.4.** In Definition 6.3,  $\phi_i: P_i \rightarrow P_\infty$  are not required to be  $G$ -equivariant. If all of  $\phi_i$  are  $G$ -equivariant, then we obtain another topology which is already introduced by Lott in [9][10].

We denote by

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty) \quad (\text{or } P_i \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} P_\infty)$$

the pair of a sequence of metric measure spaces  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)\}_i$  and its measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ . Similarly, we write

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty) \quad (\text{or } P_i \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} P_\infty)$$

if  $G$  acts on  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)$  and  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ , and  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$  is the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit of  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)\}_i$ .

Similarly,

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty),$$

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty)$$

mean the pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and the pointed asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, respectively.

**Remark 6.5.** By [8, Remark 2.2],  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty)$  iff there are positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_i$ ,  $\{R_i\}_i$ ,  $\{R'_i\}_i$  and Borel  $\varepsilon_i$ -isometries  $\phi_i: B(p_i, R'_i) \rightarrow B(p_\infty, R_i)$  for every  $i$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R'_i = \infty$  and

$$\phi_i(p_i) = p_\infty, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(p_\infty, R_i)} f d\phi_{i*}\nu_i = \int_{B(p_\infty, R_i)} f d\nu_\infty$$

hold for any  $f \in C_0(P_\infty)$ .

**Remark 6.6.** If  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty)$ , then the limit is the pointed measured compact Gromov Hausdorff limit in the sense of Definition 2.2 in [8].

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $(P, d)$  and  $(P', d')$  be metric spaces with isometric  $G$ -action, and  $\phi: P' \rightarrow P$  be an  $\varepsilon$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation. Then there exists a  $2\varepsilon$ -isometry  $\bar{\phi}: P'/G \rightarrow P/G$ . Moreover, suppose that  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  are Borel measures on  $P$  and  $P'$  respectively,  $\phi$  is a Borel map, and there is a Borel section  $s': P'/G \rightarrow P'$ , namely, Borel map  $s'$  with  $\pi' \circ s' = \text{id}_{P'/G}$ . Then  $\bar{\phi}$  is also a Borel map and the following holds. For any  $f \in C_0(P/G)$  and  $\varepsilon' > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  depending only on  $\varepsilon'$  and  $f$  such that if  $\varepsilon \leq \delta$  then*

$$\left| \int_X f d(\bar{\phi}_*\bar{\nu}') - \int_X f d\bar{\nu} \right| \leq \nu'(P')\varepsilon' + \left| \int_P f \circ \pi d\phi_*\nu' - \int_P f \circ \pi d\nu \right|$$

holds, where  $\bar{\nu} = \pi_*\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}' = \pi'_*\nu'$ .

*Proof.* Put  $X := P/G$ ,  $X' := P'/G$ . First of all we define  $\bar{\phi}: P'/G \rightarrow P/G$  such that

$$|\bar{d}(\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1) - \bar{d}(\bar{\phi}(\bar{u}_0), \bar{\phi}(\bar{u}_1))| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Fix  $s': P'/G \rightarrow P'$  with  $\pi' \circ s' = \text{id}_{P'/G}$  and put

$$\bar{\phi}(x) := \overline{\phi(s'(x))}.$$

Note that  $\bar{\phi}$  is a Borel map if so are  $\phi$  and  $s'$ .

For  $x_0, x_1 \in P'$ , take  $\gamma_0 \in G$  such that

$$d(\phi(s'(x_0)), \phi(s'(x_1))\gamma_0) = \bar{d}(\bar{\phi}(x_0), \bar{\phi}(x_1)).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(x_0, x_1) - \bar{d}(\bar{\phi}(x_0), \bar{\phi}(x_1)) &= \bar{d}(x_0, x_1) - d(\phi(s'(x_0)), \phi(s'(x_1))\gamma_0) \\ &< \bar{d}(x_0, x_1) - d(\phi(s'(x_0)), \phi(s'(x_1))\gamma_0) + \varepsilon \\ &< \bar{d}(x_0, x_1) - d'(s'(x_0), s'(x_1)\gamma_0) + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $\gamma_1 \in G$  such that  $d'(s'(x_0), s'(x_1)\gamma_1) = \bar{d}'(x_0, x_1)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\bar{\phi}(x_0), \bar{\phi}(x_1)) - \bar{d}'(x_0, x_1) &= \bar{d}(\bar{\phi}(x_0), \bar{\phi}(x_1)) - d'(s'(x_0), s'(x_1)\gamma_1) \\ &< \bar{d}(\bar{\phi}(x_0), \bar{\phi}(x_1)) - d(\phi(s'(x_0)), \phi(s'(x_1)\gamma_1)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \\ &< \bar{d}(\bar{\phi}(x_0), \bar{\phi}(x_1)) - d(\phi(s'(x_0)), \phi(s'(x_1))\gamma_1) \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show  $X \subset B(\bar{\phi}(X'), 2\varepsilon)$ . Let  $\bar{u} \in X$ , then there is  $u' \in P'$  such that  $d(u, \phi(u')) < \varepsilon$ . Take  $\gamma_2 \in G$  such that  $u' = s'(\bar{u}')\gamma_2$ . Then we have

$$\bar{d}(\bar{u}, \bar{\phi}(\bar{u}')) \leq d(u, \phi(s'(\bar{u}')\gamma_2)) < d(u, \phi(s'(\bar{u}'))\gamma_2) + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon,$$

which implies  $\bar{u} \in B(\bar{\phi}(X'), 2\varepsilon)$ .

Suppose  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  are Borel measures on  $P$  and  $P'$  respectively and  $f: X_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X f d(\bar{\phi}_*\bar{\nu}') - \int_X f d\bar{\nu} \right| &\leq \left| \int_{P'} f \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi' d\nu' - \int_{P'} f \circ \pi \circ \phi d\nu' \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_P f \circ \pi d\phi_*\nu' - \int_P f \circ \pi d\nu \right| \\ &\leq \|f \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi' - f \circ \pi \circ \phi\|_{L^\infty} \nu'(P') \\ &\quad + \left| \int_P f \circ \pi d\phi_*\nu' - \int_P f \circ \pi d\nu \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the support of  $f$  is compact, it is uniformly continuous, therefore for any  $\varepsilon' > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  which depends only on  $\varepsilon'$  and  $f$  such that  $\bar{d}(x_0, x_1) < \delta$  implies  $|f(x_0) - f(x_1)| < \varepsilon'$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(\bar{\phi} \circ \pi'(u'), \pi \circ \phi(u')) &= \inf_{\gamma \in G} d(\phi(s'(\bar{u}')), \phi(u')\gamma) \\ &< \inf_{\gamma \in G} d(\phi(s'(\bar{u}')), \phi(u')\gamma) + \varepsilon \\ &< \inf_{\gamma \in G} d'(s'(\bar{u}'), u'\gamma) + 2\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

hence if  $\varepsilon$  is not more than  $\frac{\delta}{2}$  then

$$\left| \int_X f d(\bar{\phi}_*\bar{\nu}') - \int_X f d\bar{\nu} \right| \leq \nu'(P')\varepsilon' + \left| \int_P f \circ \pi d\phi_*\nu' - \int_P f \circ \pi d\nu \right|$$

holds.  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 6.7, we obtain the following results.

**Corollary 6.8.** *If  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ ,  $P_i$  and  $P_\infty$  are relatively compact and every  $P_i \rightarrow P_i/G$  has a Borel sections, then  $(P_i/G, \bar{d}_i, \bar{\nu}_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty/G, \bar{d}_\infty, \bar{\nu}_\infty)$ .*

Next we generalize Corollary 6.8 to the pointed noncompact spaces.

**Definition 6.9.** A metric space is said to be *proper* if all open balls  $B(p, r)$  are relatively compact.

It is easy to see that subsets of proper metric spaces are compact iff they are closed and bounded.

**Lemma 6.10.** *Let  $(P, d)$  be a metric space,  $G$  be a compact topological group and  $G$  acts on  $P$  isometrically. Assume that  $(P/G, \bar{d})$  is proper. Then the quotient map  $\pi: P \rightarrow P/G$  is a proper map iff  $P$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\pi$  is proper. Since  $\pi$  is submetry,  $\pi(B(u, r)) = B(\bar{u}, r)$  holds for any  $u \in P$  and  $r > 0$ . Then one can see  $B(u, r) \subset \pi^{-1}(B(\bar{u}, r))$ , hence  $B(u, r)$  is relatively compact. Next we suppose  $P$  is proper, then it is locally compact. For any compact subset  $K \subset P/G$  we take an open cover  $\pi^{-1}(K) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ . By the locally compactness of  $P$  we obtain another open cover  $\pi^{-1}(K) \subset \bigcup_{\beta} V_{\beta}$  such that every  $V_{\beta}$  is relatively compact and contained in some  $U_{\alpha}$ . Since  $\pi$  is an open map,  $\{\pi(V_{\beta})\}_{\beta}$  is an open cover of  $K$ , therefore we have  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N V_{\beta_i}$  for some  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$ . Denote by  $\varphi: P \times G \rightarrow P$  the continuous map which defines the  $G$ -action on  $P$ . Then one can see that  $\pi^{-1}(K)$  is contained in  $\varphi(\bigcup_i V_{\beta_i} \times G)$ , which is relatively compact. Since  $\pi^{-1}(K)$  is closed, it is compact.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.11.** *If  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, \nu_{\infty}, p_{\infty})$ , every  $P_i \rightarrow P_i/G$  has a Borel sections,  $P_i/G$  and  $P_{\infty}/G$  are proper,  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_{\infty}$  are proper and  $\nu_{\infty}(K) < \infty$  holds for any compact subset  $K \subset P_{\infty}$ , then  $(P_i/G, \bar{d}_i, \bar{\nu}_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_{\infty}/G, \bar{d}_{\infty}, \bar{\nu}_{\infty})$ .*

*Proof.* By applying Proposition 6.7 for  $P' = B(p_i, r_i)$  and  $P = B(p_{\infty}, r_{\infty})$ , we can see that  $(P_i, d_i, p_i)$  converges to  $(P_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, p_{\infty})$  with respect to pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology. To show the vague convergence of measures by using the inequality in Proposition 6.7, we have to show that  $f \circ \pi_{\infty}$  has compact support and  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(B(p_i, r_i)) < \infty$  holds if  $r_i \rightarrow r_{\infty}$ . Since we suppose that  $f \in C_0(B(\bar{p}_{\infty}, r))$  and  $\pi_{\infty}$  is proper, then the support of  $f \circ \pi_{\infty}$  is compact. Next we take  $f \in C_0(P_{\infty})$  such that  $f = 1$  on  $\bigcup_i \phi_i(B(p_i, r_i))$  and  $f \leq 1$  everywhere, then one can see

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(B(p_i, r_i)) \leq \nu_{\infty}(\text{supp}(f)).$$

$\square$

**Proposition 6.12.** *Let  $(P_i, d_i) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (P_{\infty}, d_{\infty})$ , that is, there are  $\varepsilon_i$ -isometry  $\phi_i: P_i \rightarrow P_{\infty}$  for each  $i$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$  holds. Suppose that a compact topological group  $G$  acts on every  $P_i$  isometrically and  $P_{\infty}$  is relatively compact. Moreover assume that the family of continuous maps  $\{F_{i,u}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, u \in P_i}$ , where*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{i,u}: & G \times G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & \Psi & & \Psi \\ & (\gamma, \gamma') & \longmapsto & d_i(u\gamma, u\gamma'), \end{array}$$

*is equicontinuous. For any decreasing sequence  $\{\hat{\varepsilon}_k\}_k$  with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\varepsilon}_k = 0$ , there are a subsequence  $\{(P_{i_k}, d_{i_k})\}_k$  and an isometric  $G$ -action on  $(P_{\infty}, d_{\infty})$  such that  $\phi_{i_k}$  is an  $\hat{\varepsilon}_k$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation.*

*Proof.* Put

$$G_0 := \{\gamma \in G; u\gamma = u \text{ for all } u \in X_i \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

then  $G_0$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $G$ . First of all we induce the metric on the quotient group  $G_0 \backslash G$  compatible with its quotient topology. For every  $u \in P_i$ , let  $P_{i,u}$  be the metric space isometric to  $P_i$  and put

$$\mathcal{X} := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, u \in P_i} P_{i,u}$$

be the metric space whose distance function is given by

$$d_{\mathcal{X}}((p_{i,u})_{i,u}, (q_{i,u})_{i,u}) := \sup_{i,u} d_i(p_{i,u}, q_{i,u}).$$

Define the injective map  $f_{\mathcal{X}}: G_0 \backslash G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  by  $f_{\mathcal{X}}(\gamma) := (u\gamma)_{i,u}$ . Here, we denote by  $\gamma$  the right coset in  $G_0 \backslash G$  represented by  $\gamma$  for the brevity. Then the induced metric  $d_G := f_{\mathcal{X}}^* d_{\mathcal{X}}$  on  $G_0 \backslash G$  is given by

$$d_G(\gamma, \gamma') = \sup_{i,u} d(u\gamma, u\gamma') = \sup_{i,u} F_{i,u}(\gamma, \gamma').$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the quotient topology on  $G_0 \backslash G$  and denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{d_G}$  the topology induced by  $d_G$ . Since  $\{F_{i,u}\}_{i,u}$  is equicontinuous,  $d_G: G_0 \backslash G \times G_0 \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous with respect to  $\mathcal{O}$ , hence  $\mathcal{O}_{d_G}$  is weaker than or equal to  $\mathcal{O}$  then one can see  $(G_0 \backslash G, \mathcal{O}_{d_G})$  is compact and  $f_{\mathcal{X}}(G_0 \backslash G)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Now we can see that  $f_{\mathcal{X}}: (G_0 \backslash G, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is continuous by the following reason. If  $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus f_{\mathcal{X}}(G_0 \backslash G)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta) \subset \mathcal{X} \setminus f_{\mathcal{X}}(G_0 \backslash G)$ , hence  $f_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(B(x, \delta)) = \emptyset$ . If  $x \in f_{\mathcal{X}}(G_0 \backslash G)$  then there exists  $\gamma_0 \in G$  such that  $f_{\mathcal{X}}(\gamma_0) = x$  and we have  $f_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(B(x, \delta)) = d_G(\gamma_0, \cdot)^{-1}((-\infty, \delta))$ , which is an open set. Thus we can see that  $f: (G_0 \backslash G, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow f_{\mathcal{X}}(G_0 \backslash G)$  is a bijective continuous map from a compact space to a Hausdorff space, hence it is a homeomorphism, which implies  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{d_G}$ .

Since  $P_{\infty}$  and  $G_0 \backslash G$  are compact metric spaces, they are separable. Let

$$\{u^{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \subset P_{\infty}, \quad \{\gamma^{(\beta)}\}_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \subset G_0 \backslash G$$

be countable dense subsets.

By the compactness of  $P_{\infty}$  and  $G_0 \backslash G$ , we may assume that there are increasing sequences of integers  $0 = K_0 < K_1 < K_2 < \dots$  and  $0 = L_0 < L_1 < L_2 < \dots$  such that

$$\bigcup_{\alpha=K_m}^{K_{m+1}-1} B\left(u^{(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{2^m}\right) = P_{\infty}, \quad \bigcup_{\beta=L_m}^{L_{m+1}-1} B\left(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \frac{1}{2^m}\right) = G_0 \backslash G.$$

First of all we define  $u^{(\alpha)} \cdot \gamma^{(\beta)} \in P_{\infty}$ . Take  $u_i^{(\alpha)} \in P_i$  such that

$$d_{\infty}(\phi_i(u_i^{(\alpha)}), u^{(\alpha)}) < \varepsilon_i.$$

Since  $P_{\infty}$  is relatively compact, there is a subsequence of  $\{\phi_i(u_i^{(\alpha)} \gamma^{(\beta)})\}_i$  converging to some points in  $P_{\infty}$ . By repeating this procedure for

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2), \dots$$

and by the diagonal argument, there is  $\{i_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \{i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $\{\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)} \gamma^{(\beta)})\}_{i_k}$  converges to the limit. We put

$$u^{(\alpha)} \gamma^{(\beta)} := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)} \gamma^{(\beta)}),$$

then we obtain a map

$$(3) \quad \{u^{(\alpha)}\}_\alpha \times \{\gamma^{(\beta)}\}_\beta \rightarrow P_\infty, \quad (u^{(\alpha)}, \gamma^{(\beta)}) \mapsto u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}.$$

By taking a subsequence  $\{i_{0,k}\}_k$  of  $\{i_k\}_k$ , we may suppose that

$$d_\infty(\phi_{i_{0,k}}(u_{i_{0,k}}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}), u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}) < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

for any  $0 \leq \alpha < K_1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < L_1$  and  $k \geq 0$ . We can take a subsequence  $\{i_{m,k}\}_k$  of  $\{i_{m-1,k}\}_k$  inductively such that

$$d_\infty(\phi_{i_{m,k}}(u_{i_{m,k}}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}), u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}) < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

for any  $K_m \leq \alpha < K_{m+1}$ ,  $L_m \leq \beta < L_{m+1}$  and  $k \geq 0$ . By replacing  $i_k$  by  $i_{k,k}$ , we may assume that

$$d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}), u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}) < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

for any  $K_m \leq \alpha < K_{m+1}$ ,  $L_m \leq \beta < L_{m+1}$  and  $k \geq m$

Next we show the continuity of the above map. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, u^{(\alpha')} \gamma^{(\beta')}) &= d_\infty(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}), \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha')} \gamma^{(\beta')})) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}), \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha')} \gamma^{(\beta')})) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, u_{i_k}^{(\alpha')} \gamma^{(\beta')}) + \varepsilon_{i_k} \right) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, u_{i_k}^{(\alpha')} \gamma^{(\beta')}) \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta')}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta')}, u_{i_k}^{(\alpha')} \gamma^{(\beta')}) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta')}) + d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}, u_{i_k}^{(\alpha')}) \right) \\ &\leq d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma^{(\beta')}) + d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}, u^{(\alpha')}). \end{aligned}$$

which gives the continuity of (3). Then we can extend the map to

$$(4) \quad P_\infty \times G \rightarrow P_\infty, \quad (u, \gamma) \mapsto u\gamma.$$

continuously. Next we show that for any  $u_{i_k} \in P_{i_k}$  and  $\gamma \in G$  with  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}) \rightarrow u$ , we have  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma) \rightarrow u\gamma$ . Take  $u^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\gamma^{(\beta)}$  such that

$d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}, u)$  and  $d(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma)$  are small. Then one can see

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}), \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma)) &< d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, u_{i_k}\gamma) + \varepsilon_{i_k} \\
&\leq d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma) \\
&\quad + d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma, u_{i_k}\gamma) + \varepsilon_{i_k} \\
&\leq d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma) + d_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}, u_{i_k}) + \varepsilon_{i_k} \\
&\leq d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma) + d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}), \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k})) + 2\varepsilon_{i_k} \\
&\leq d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma) + d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}, u) \\
&\quad + d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}), u) + 3\varepsilon_{i_k},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\infty(u\gamma, \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma)) &< d_\infty(u\gamma, u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}) + d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)})) \\
&\quad + d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma) + d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}, u) \\
&\quad + d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}), u) + 3\varepsilon_{i_k} \\
&\leq d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)}, \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}^{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\beta)})) + 2d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma) \\
(5) \quad &\quad + 2d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}, u) + d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}), u) + 3\varepsilon_{i_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, choose  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $K_k \leq \alpha < K_{k+1}$ ,  $L_k \leq \beta < L_{k+1}$  and  $d_\infty(u^{(\alpha)}, u) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ ,  $d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ . Then by (5) we have

$$(6) \quad d_\infty(u\gamma, \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma)) \leq \frac{5}{2^k} + 3\varepsilon_{i_k} + d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}), u),$$

which implies  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma) = u\gamma$ .

Next we have to show  $(u\gamma)\gamma' = u(\gamma\gamma')$  for any  $u \in P_\infty$  and  $\gamma, \gamma' \in G$ . Take  $u_{i_k} \in P_{i_k}$  such that  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}) \rightarrow u$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we have  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma) \rightarrow u\gamma$ , hence  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma\gamma') \rightarrow (u\gamma)\gamma'$ . Obviously,  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{i_k}\gamma\gamma') \rightarrow u(\gamma\gamma')$  also holds, therefore we obtain  $(u\gamma)\gamma' = u(\gamma\gamma')$ .

One can see that the action on  $P_\infty$  is isometric since

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\infty(u_0\gamma, u_1\gamma) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{0,i_k}\gamma), \phi_{i_k}(u_{1,i_k}\gamma)) \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{i_k}(u_{0,i_k}\gamma, u_{1,i_k}\gamma) \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{i_k}(u_{0,i_k}, u_{1,i_k}) \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u_{0,i_k}), \phi_{i_k}(u_{1,i_k})) = d_\infty(u_0, u_1).
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{0,i_k}) \rightarrow u_0$ ,  $\phi_{i_k}(u_{1,i_k}) \rightarrow u_1$ .

By (6), we have

$$d_\infty(\phi_{i_k}(u'\gamma), \phi_{i_k}(u'\gamma)) \leq \frac{5}{2^k} + 3\varepsilon_{i_k},$$

for some  $\beta$  with  $d_G(\gamma^{(\beta)}, \gamma) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ , which implies that  $\phi_{i_k}$  is an  $\frac{5}{2^k} + 3\varepsilon_{i_k}$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation.  $\square$



**Remark 6.13.** Suppose  $P_i$  is compact. The following map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_i \times G \times G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ \Psi & & \Psi \\ (u, \gamma, \gamma') & \longmapsto & d_i(u\gamma, u\gamma'), \end{array}$$

is continuous, hence uniformly continuous. Then  $\{F_{i,u}\}_u$  is always equicontinuous for any fixed  $i$ .

As a consequence of Proposition 6.12, we also obtain the following.

**Proposition 6.14.** *Let  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)$  and  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$  be metric measure spaces and all of  $(P_i, d_i)$  have isometric  $G$ -actions satisfying the assumption of Proposition 6.12, and let*

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty).$$

*Then there exists a subsequence  $\{(P_{i_k}, d_{i_k}, \nu_{i_k})\}_k$  and isometric  $G$ -action on  $P_\infty$  such that*

$$(P_{i_k}, d_{i_k}, \nu_{i_k}) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty).$$

We also have the noncompact version of Proposition 6.14 as follows.

**Proposition 6.15.** *Let  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i)$  be proper pointed metric measure spaces with isometric  $G$ -actions for  $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that*

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty).$$

*Assume that  $\{F_{i,u}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, u \in B(p_i, r_i)}$  is equicontinuous for any convergent sequence  $\{r_i\}_i$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{(P_{i_k}, d_{i_k}, \nu_{i_k}, p_{i_k})\}_k$  such that*

$$(P_{i_k}, d_{i_k}, \nu_{i_k}, p_{i_k}) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty).$$

*Proof.* Take a sequence  $\{r_m\}_m$  such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_m = \infty$  and sequences  $\{r_{m,i}\}_i$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{m,i} = r_m$ . Denote by  $\pi_i: P_i \rightarrow P_i/G$  the quotient maps. By applying Proposition 6.12 to

$$\pi_i^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_i, r_{m,i})) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} \pi_\infty^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_\infty, r_m))$$

inductively and combining with the diagonal argument, we have the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 6.16.** Kasue showed the precompactness of the families of the principal  $G$ -bundles equipped with the spectral distances in [7], and he discussed the relation between the spectral distances and the Riemannian distances in [6].

Any smooth closed Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$  can be regarded as a metric measure space by the Riemannian distance  $d_g$  and the measure  $\frac{\mu_g}{\mu_g(X)}$ , where  $\mu_g$  is the Riemannian measure. For a principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi: P \rightarrow X$  and a  $G$ -connection  $A$  on  $P$ , let  $|F^A|^2(x) := \langle F^A(x), F^A(x) \rangle_{g_x \otimes \sigma}$ , where  $\sigma$  is a  $\text{Ad}_G$ -invariant metric on  $G$ . Similarly we can define  $|(d^{\nabla^A})^* F^A|^2(x)$ .

**Theorem 6.17.** *Let  $\{(X_i, g_i)\}_{i=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of closed Riemannian manifolds with*

$$\dim X_i = n, \operatorname{Ric}_{g_i} \geq \kappa g_i, \operatorname{diam} X_i \leq D$$

*for some constants  $n, \kappa, D$  independent of  $i$ ,  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow X_i$  be principal  $G$ -bundle with  $G$ -connection  $A_i$  satisfying*

$$\sup_{x \in X_i} |(d^{\nabla^{A_i}})^* F^{A_i}|(x) < N, \quad \sup_{x \in X_i} |F^{A_i}|(x) < N$$

*for a constant  $N > 0$  independent of  $i$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{i_k\}_k$  and a metric measure space  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$  with the isometric  $G$ -action such that*

$$(P_{i_k}, h(g_{i_k}, A_{i_k}, \sigma)) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty), \quad (X_{i_k}, g_{i_k}) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty/G, \bar{d}_\infty, \bar{\nu}_\infty)$$

*where  $h(g_i, A_i, \sigma)$  is the metric on  $P_i$  defined by (2).*

*Proof.* By the assumption, the Ricci curvatures of  $h(g_i, A_i, \sigma)$  are bounded below by the constant independent of  $i$ , hence,  $\{(P_i, h(g_i, A_i, \sigma))\}_i$  is precompact with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Moreover, the functions  $F_{i,u}$  in the assumption of Proposition 6.12 satisfies  $F_{i,u}(\gamma, \gamma') \leq d_G(\gamma, \gamma')$ , where  $d_G$  is the distance induced by  $\sigma$ , accordingly  $\{F_{i,u}\}_{i,u}$  is equicontinuous. Therefore, by Proposition 6.12, there exists a convergent subsequence of  $\{(P_i, h(g_i, A_i, \sigma))\}_i$  with respect to the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Finally, to apply Corollary 6.8, it suffices to show that all principal  $G$ -bundles  $\pi : P \rightarrow X$  over a compact smooth manifold has a Borel section. Since  $X$  is compact, there is a finite open covering  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^N$  of  $X$  such that  $P|_{U_\alpha} \rightarrow U_\alpha$  are trivial bundles. Put  $U_\alpha^0 := U_\alpha$ ,  $U_\alpha^1 := X \setminus U_\alpha$  and  $V_\sigma := \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^N U_\alpha^{\sigma(\alpha)}$  for a map  $\sigma : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . Then we have  $X = \bigsqcup_\sigma V_\sigma$  and every  $P|_{V_\sigma} \rightarrow V_\sigma$  has a continuous section  $s_\sigma : V_\sigma \rightarrow P|_{V_\sigma}$ . Since  $V_\sigma$  are Borel sets, we have the Borel section of  $P \rightarrow X$  by gluing  $\{s_\sigma\}_\sigma$ .  $\square$

Similarly we have the followings by Proposition 6.15.

**Theorem 6.18.** *Let  $\{(X_i, g_i)\}_{i=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of complete Riemannian manifolds with*

$$\dim X_i = n, \operatorname{Ric}_{g_i} \geq \kappa g_i$$

*for some constants  $n, \kappa$  independent of  $i$ ,  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow X_i$  be principal  $G$ -bundle with  $G$ -connection  $A_i$  satisfying*

$$\sup_{x \in X_i} |(d^{\nabla^{A_i}})^* F^{A_i}|(x) < N, \quad \sup_{x \in X_i} |F^{A_i}|(x) < N$$

*for a constant  $N > 0$  independent of  $i$ . Let  $p_i \in P_i$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{i_k\}_k$  and a pointed metric measure space  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty)$  with the isometric  $G$ -action such that*

$$(P_{i_k}, h(g_{i_k}, A_{i_k}, \sigma), p_{i_k}) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty), \\ (X_{i_k}, g_{i_k}, \bar{p}_{i_k}) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty/G, \bar{d}_\infty, \bar{\nu}_\infty, \bar{p}_\infty)$$

*where  $h(g_i, A_i, \sigma)$  is the metric on  $P_i$  defined by (2).*

## 7. CONVERGENCE OF EIGENFUNCTIONS

Any closed Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$  can be canonically regarded as the metric measure space  $(X, d_g, \frac{\mu_g}{\mu_g(X)})$ , where  $d_g$  is the Riemannian distance of  $g$  and  $\mu_g$  is the Riemannian measure. Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)$  the set consisting of isometric classes of metric measure spaces coming from closed Riemannian manifolds  $(X, g)$  with

$$\dim X = n, \text{Ric}_g \geq \kappa g, \text{diam } X \leq D,$$

and let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$  be the closure with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa)$  the set consisting of pointed metric measure spaces  $(X, d_g, \frac{\mu_g}{\mu_g(B(p, 1))}, p)$ , where  $(X, g)$  is a complete Riemannian manifold with

$$\dim X = n, \text{Ric}_g \geq \kappa g,$$

$p \in X$  and  $B(p, 1)$  is the geodesic ball centered at  $p$  of radius 1. Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa)}$  be the closure with respect to the pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

**7.1. Convergence of spectral structures.** In [8], Kuwae and Shioya introduced the notion of spectral structures for the Laplacian which enabled us to treat the convergence of eigenvalues in the systematic way. In this subsection we review the framework developed in [8].

Let  $H_i$  be Hilbert spaces over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C} \subset H_\infty$  be a dense subspace and  $\Phi_i: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H_i$  be linear operators which satisfy

$$(7) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(u)\|_{H_i} = \|u\|_{H_\infty}$$

for any  $u \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 7.1** ([8]). Let  $u_i \in H_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

- (1) A sequence  $\{u_i\}_i$  converges to  $u_\infty$  strongly as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  if there exists a sequence  $\{\tilde{u}_k\}_k \subset H_\infty$  tending to  $u_\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i} = 0.$$

- (2) A sequence  $\{u_i\}_i$  converges to  $u_\infty$  weakly as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_i, v_i \rangle_{H_i} = 0$$

holds for any  $(v_i)_i \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}} H_i$  such that  $v_i \rightarrow v_\infty$  strongly.

For a Hilbert space  $H$ , let  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow H$  be a self-adjoint linear operator on  $H$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is the domain of  $A$ , and suppose  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by  $\mathcal{E}(u) := \langle Au, u \rangle_H$  for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(u) := \infty$  for  $u \in H \setminus \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Moreover we assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed, namely,  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is complete with respect to the norm defined by  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \sqrt{\|u\|_H^2 + \mathcal{E}(u)}$ . The spectral structure  $\Sigma$  generated by  $A$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := (A, \mathcal{E}, E, \{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \{R_\zeta\}_{\zeta \in \rho(A)}),$$

where  $E$  is the spectral measure of  $A$ ,  $T_t := e^{-tA}$ ,  $R_\zeta = (\zeta - A)^{-1}$  and  $\rho(A)$  is the resolvent set of  $A$ .

**Definition 7.2** ([8]). A sequence of closed quadratic forms  $\{\mathcal{E}_i: H_i \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}_i$  Mosco converges to  $\mathcal{E}_\infty: H_\infty \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  if

- (1)  $\mathcal{E}_\infty(u_\infty) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_i(u_i)$  for any  $\{u_i\}_i$  with  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  weakly,
- (2) for any  $u_\infty \in H_\infty$  there exists  $\{u_i\}_i$  strongly converging to  $u_\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_\infty(u_\infty) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_i(u_i)$ .

Moreover,  $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_i$  compactly converges to  $\mathcal{E}_\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  if

- (3)  $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_i$  Mosco converges to  $\mathcal{E}_\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (4) for any  $\{u_i\}_i$  with  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\|u_i\|_{H_i}^2 + \mathcal{E}_i(u_i)) < \infty$ , there exists a strongly convergent subsequence.

We write  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{E}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \mathcal{E}_\infty$ , respectively.

**Definition 7.3** ([8]). Let  $A_i$  be a self-adjoint nonnegative operator on  $H_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$  be the spectral structure generated by  $A_i$ . Then  $\{\Sigma_i\}_i$  strongly converges to  $\Sigma_\infty$  (resp.  $\{\Sigma_i\}_i$  compactly converges to  $\Sigma_\infty$ ) as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{E}_\infty$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \mathcal{E}_\infty$ ) as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

The authors of [8] have shown that the compact convergence of  $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_i$  is equivalent to the certain convergence of the other materials consisting of  $\Sigma_i$ . See [8, Section 2.6] for the details.

The definitions of the notions in Definition 7.1 and 7.2 depend on the choice of  $\{\Phi_i\}_i$  with (7), however, we can replace it with other  $\{\hat{\Phi}_i: \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow H_i\}_i$  by the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$  be dense subspaces of  $H_\infty$ . If  $\Phi_i: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H_i$  and  $\hat{\Phi}_i: \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow H_i$  satisfy (7) and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(u) - \hat{\Phi}_i(u)\|_{H_i} = 0$  for any  $u \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , then  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i\}_i$  iff  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  strongly with respect to  $\{\hat{\Phi}_i\}_i$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  strongly with respect to  $\{\hat{\Phi}_i\}_i$ , that is, there is a sequence  $\{\tilde{u}_k\}_k \subset \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  tending to  $u_\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{\Phi}_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i} = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i} &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{\Phi}_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i} \\ &\quad + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}_k) - \hat{\Phi}_i(\tilde{u}_k)\|_{H_i} \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{\Phi}_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\tilde{u}_k$ , hence  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i\}_i$ . The converse follows by the similar argument since the definition of the strong convergence of  $\{u_i\}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\{\tilde{u}_k\}_k$  tending to  $u_\infty$ .  $\square$

Now let  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$  and suppose that  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)\}_i$  measured Gromov-Hausdorff converges to  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ . Then there are Borel  $\varepsilon_i$ -isometries  $\phi_i: P_i \rightarrow P_\infty$  such that  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  and  $\phi_{i*}\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Put  $H_i := L^2(P_i, \nu_i)$ ,  $\mathcal{C} := C(P_\infty) = \{f: P_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f \text{ is continuous.}\}$ ,  $\Phi_i(f) := f \circ \phi_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$  be the spectral structure generated by  $\Delta_{\nu_i}$ .

**Theorem 7.5** ([2][8]). *If  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$  and*

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty),$$

*then  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_\infty$ .*

Let  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa)}$  and suppose that  $\{(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i)\}_i$  pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff converges to  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_i, R_i, R'_i$  and  $\phi_i: B(p_i, R'_i) \rightarrow B(p_\infty, R_i)$  be as in Remark 6.5. Put  $H_i := L^2(P_i, \nu_i)$ ,

$$\mathcal{C} := C_0(P_\infty) = \{f \in C(P_\infty); \text{supp}(f) \text{ is compact.}\},$$

$$\Phi_i(f)(u) := \begin{cases} f \circ \phi_i(u) & u \in B(p_i, R'_i) \\ 0 & u \notin B(p_i, R'_i) \end{cases}$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\Sigma_i$  be the spectral structure generated by  $\Delta_{\nu_i}$ .

**Theorem 7.6** ([8]). *If  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa)}$  and*

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{\text{mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty),$$

*then  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_\infty$ .*

Suppose that the sequence  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i)$  have isometric  $G$ -actions, then  $G$  acts on  $H_i = L^2(P_i, \nu_i)$  isometrically. In the following subsections we are going to see that we can replace  $\Phi_i$  by  $G$ -equivariant maps  $\hat{\Phi}_i$  which satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 7.4.

**7.2.  $G$ -equivariant maps between Hilbert spaces.** In this subsection we assume that  $G$  is a compact Lie group,  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$  and  $(P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$  have isometric  $G$ -actions and

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty).$$

Let  $\phi_i, \varepsilon_i$  be as in Definition 6.3.

For any  $\gamma \in G$ , put  $R_\gamma(u) := u\gamma$ , then we have  $(R_\gamma)_*\nu_i = \nu_i$  since  $\nu_i$  is the Riemannian measure and  $G$  acts on  $(P_i, d_i)$  isometrically. Since we have

$$\left| \int_{P_\infty} f d(R_\gamma \circ \phi_i)_*\nu_i - \int_{P_\infty} f d\phi_i_*\nu_i \right| \leq \sup_{u' \in P_i} |f(\phi_i(u')\gamma) - f(\phi_i(u'))| \nu_i(P_i),$$

for any continuous function  $f: P_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the continuous functions on compact metric spaces are uniformly continuous, then the vague limit of  $\{(R_\gamma \circ \phi_i)_*\nu_i\}_i$  and  $\{\phi_i \circ R_\gamma)_*\nu_i\}_i$  should coincides, consequently we have

$$\int_{P_\infty} f dR_{\gamma_*}\nu_\infty = \int_{P_\infty} f d\nu_\infty$$

for any continuous function  $f$  and  $\gamma \in G$ . By [1],  $\nu_\infty$  and  $R_{\gamma_*}\nu_\infty$  are Radon measures, hence we can see

$$R_{\gamma_*}\nu_\infty = \nu_\infty$$

for any  $\gamma \in G$ .

Now, let

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa)}.$$

In this case we also have  $R_{\gamma_*}\nu_\infty = \nu_\infty$  for any  $\gamma \in G$ . Similarly as Remark 6.5, there exist  $\varepsilon_i, R_i, R'_i$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R'_i = \infty$  and  $\varepsilon_i$ - $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff approximation

$$\phi_i: \pi_i^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_i, R'_i)) \rightarrow \pi_\infty^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_\infty, R_i))$$

such that  $\phi_i(p_i) = p_\infty$ . We also assume that  $\phi_{i*}\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_\infty$  vaguely. Put  $H_i := L^2(P_i, \nu_i)$ ,

$$\mathcal{C} := C_0(P_\infty) = \{f \in C(P_\infty); \text{supp}(f) \text{ is compact.}\},$$

$$\Phi_i(f)(u) := \begin{cases} f \circ \phi_i(u) & u \in \pi_i^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_i, R'_i)) \\ 0 & u \notin \pi_i^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_i, R'_i)) \end{cases}$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ . Define  $\hat{\Phi}_i: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H_i$  by

$$\hat{\Phi}_i(f) := \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_G R_{\gamma^{-1}}^* \Phi_i(R_\gamma^* f) d\mu,$$

where  $\mu$  is one of the right-invariant measures on  $G$ .

**Proposition 7.7.** *Let  $\Phi_i, \hat{\Phi}_i$  be as above. Then  $\hat{\Phi}_i$  is  $G$ -equivariant and*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(f) - \hat{\Phi}_i(f)\|_{H_i} = 0$$

holds for any  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $\hat{\Phi}_i$  is  $G$ -equivariant. One can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_i(f) - \hat{\Phi}_i(f)\|_{H_i}^2 \\ &= \int_{\pi_i^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_i, R'_i))} \frac{1}{\mu(G)^2} \left| \int_G (f \circ \phi_i(u) - f(\phi_i(u\gamma^{-1}\gamma))) d\mu(\gamma) \right|^2 d\nu_i(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nu_i$  is  $G$ -invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_i(f) - \hat{\Phi}_i(f)\|_{H_i}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(G)^2} \int_{\pi_i^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_i, R'_i))} \left| \int_G (f \circ \phi_i(u\gamma) - f(\phi_i(u)\gamma)) d\mu(\gamma) \right|^2 d\nu_i(u). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the support of  $f$  is compact. Then  $f$  is uniformly continuous, hence for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_\infty(u_0, u_1) < \delta$  implies  $|f(u_0) - f(u_1)| < \varepsilon$ . If we take  $i_\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon_i < \delta$  holds for any  $i \geq i_\varepsilon$ , then we can see  $|f \circ \phi_i(u\gamma) - f(\phi_i(u)\gamma)| < \varepsilon$  for any  $u \in \pi_i^{-1}(B(\bar{p}_i, R'_i))$ ,  $\gamma \in G$  and  $i \geq i_\varepsilon$ , and  $|f \circ \phi_i(u\gamma) - f(\phi_i(u)\gamma)| = 0$  for  $u \notin \phi_i^{-1}(\text{supp}(f) \cdot G)$ , which gives

$$\|\Phi_i(f) - \hat{\Phi}_i(f)\|_{H_i}^2 = \varepsilon^2 \nu_i(\phi_i^{-1}(\text{supp}(f) \cdot G)).$$

By the same argument in the proof of Corollary 6.11, we have

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(\phi_i^{-1}(\text{supp}(f) \cdot G)) < \infty,$$

which implies the assertion.  $\square$

## 8. SPECTRAL STRUCTURES WITH GROUP ACTIONS

Let  $H_i, \mathcal{C}, \Phi_i: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H_i$  be as in Section 7.1, and  $\Sigma_i$  be the spectral structures generated by  $A_i: \mathcal{D}(A_i) \rightarrow H_i$ . In this section we observe some spectral structures induced from  $\Sigma_i$ .

**8.1. Tensor products.** Let  $V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $k$  with a positive definite inner product. Then  $H_i \otimes V$  are Hilbert spaces whose inner products are given by

$$\left\langle \sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}, \sum_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{H_i \otimes V} = \sum_{\alpha} \langle u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha} \rangle_{H_i},$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in V$  is an orthonormal basis and  $u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha} \in H_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} \otimes V$  is dense in  $H_{\infty} \otimes V$  and we define  $\Phi_i^V: \mathcal{C} \otimes V \rightarrow H_i \otimes V$  by  $\Phi_i^V(\sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}) := \sum_{\alpha} \Phi_i(u^{\alpha}) \otimes e_{\alpha}$ . Then we can check the followings easily.

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $u_i^{\alpha} \in H_i$ .*

- (1)  $\sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} u_{\infty}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}$  strongly iff  $u_i^{\alpha} \rightarrow u_{\infty}^{\alpha}$  strongly for all  $\alpha$ .
- (2)  $\sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} u_{\infty}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}$  weakly iff  $u_i^{\alpha} \rightarrow u_{\infty}^{\alpha}$  weakly for all  $\alpha$ .

Let  $A_i^V: \mathcal{D}(A_i) \otimes V \rightarrow H_i \otimes V$  be defined by

$$A_i^V\left(\sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\right) := \sum_{\alpha} A_i(u^{\alpha}) \otimes e_{\alpha}$$

and put  $\mathcal{E}_i^V(u) := \langle A_i^V(u), u \rangle_{H_i}$ , then we have  $\mathcal{E}_i^V(\sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_i(u^{\alpha})$ .

**Proposition 8.2.**

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is closed, then  $\mathcal{E}_i^V$  is closed.*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}$ ), then  $\mathcal{E}_i^V \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^V$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_i^V \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^V$ ).*

*Proof.* (1) is obvious. We show (2). Suppose  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}$ . If  $\sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} u_{\infty}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}$  weakly, then by Proposition 8.1 we can see  $u_i^{\alpha} \rightarrow u_{\infty}^{\alpha}$  weakly for any  $\alpha$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^V\left(\sum_{\alpha} u_{\infty}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\right) &= \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}(u_{\infty}^{\alpha}) \leq \sum_{\alpha} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_i(u_i^{\alpha}) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_i(u_i^{\alpha}) \\ &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_i^V\left(\sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next we take  $\sum_{\alpha} u_{\infty}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \in H_{\infty} \otimes V$  arbitrarily. By the assumption there are  $u_i^{\alpha} \in H_i$  such that  $u_i^{\alpha} \rightarrow u_{\infty}^{\alpha}$  strongly and  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty}(u_{\infty}^{\alpha}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_i(u_i^{\alpha})$ . Then  $\sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} u_{\infty}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}$  strongly by Proposition 8.1 and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}^V\left(\sum_{\alpha} u_{\infty}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\right) &= \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}(u_{\infty}^{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_i(u_i^{\alpha}) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_i(u_i^{\alpha}) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_i^V\left(\sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we take  $\{\sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\}_i$  such that

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left\| \sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \right\|_{H_i}^2 + \mathcal{E}_i^V \left( \sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \right) \right) < \infty.$$

Since

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \right\|_{H_i}^2 + \mathcal{E}_i^V \left( \sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha} \right) = \sum_{\alpha} \{ \|u_i^{\alpha}\|_{H_i}^2 + \mathcal{E}_i(u_i^{\alpha}) \},$$

we have  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i^{\alpha}\|_{H_i}^2 + \mathcal{E}_i(u_i^{\alpha}) < \infty$  for all  $\alpha$ . Then we have a subsequence  $\{i_j\}_j \subset \{i\}_i$  such that  $\{u_{i_j}^{\alpha}\}_j$  strongly converge for all  $\alpha$  if  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \mathcal{E}_{\infty}$ . Then  $\{\sum_{\alpha} u_{i_j}^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}\}_j$  strongly converges to some points by Proposition 8.1.  $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 8.3.** *Let  $\Sigma_i^V$  be the spectral structure on  $H_i^V$  generated by  $A_i^V$ . If  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_{\infty}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_{\infty}$ ), then  $\Sigma_i^V \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_{\infty}^V$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i^V \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_{\infty}^V$ ).*

**8.2. Closed subspaces.** Let  $K_i \subset H_i$  be closed subspaces. In this subsection we assume the followings.

- (i) For  $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C} \cap K_{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'' := \mathcal{C} \cap K_{\infty}^{\perp}$ , we have the decomposition  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}' \oplus \mathcal{C}''$ .
- (ii)  $\Phi_i(\mathcal{C}') \subset K_i$  and  $\Phi_i(\mathcal{C}'') \subset K_i^{\perp}$ .

Moreover, denote by  $\pi_{K_i}: H_i \rightarrow K_i$  and  $\pi_{K_i^{\perp}}: H_i \rightarrow K_i^{\perp}$  the orthogonal projections.

**Proposition 8.4.** *Let  $u_i \in H_i$  and  $u_i \rightarrow u_{\infty}$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i\}_i$ . Then  $\pi_{K_i}(u_i) \rightarrow \pi_{K_{\infty}}(u_{\infty})$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i|_{\mathcal{C}'}\}_i$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{\tilde{u}_k\}_k \in \mathcal{C}$  converges to  $u_{\infty}$  and satisfies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i} = 0.$$

Take  $\{\tilde{u}'_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{C}'$  and  $\{\tilde{u}''_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{C}''$  such that  $\tilde{u}_k = \tilde{u}'_k + \tilde{u}''_k$ . Then

$$\|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i}^2 = \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}'_k) - \pi_{K_i}(u_i)\|_{H_i}^2 + \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}''_k) - \pi_{K_i^{\perp}}(u_i)\|_{H_i}^2$$

holds, which gives

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}'_k) - \pi_{K_i}(u_i)\|_{H_i} = 0.$$

Since  $\tilde{u}'_k \rightarrow \pi_{K_{\infty}}(u_{\infty})$ , we have the assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.5.** *Let  $u_i \in K_i$ .*

- (1)  $u_i \rightarrow u_{\infty}$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i|_{\mathcal{C}'}\}_i$  iff  $u_i \rightarrow u_{\infty}$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i\}_i$ .
- (2)  $u_i \rightarrow u_{\infty}$  weakly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i|_{\mathcal{C}'}\}_i$  iff  $u_i \rightarrow u_{\infty}$  weakly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i\}_i$ .



*Proof.* Suppose  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i\}_i$ . Then there is  $\tilde{u}_k \in \mathcal{C}$  converging to  $u_\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_i(\tilde{u}_k) - u_i\|_{H_i} = 0.$$

Since  $\{\pi_{K_\infty} \tilde{u}_k\}_k \subset \mathcal{C}'$  converges to  $u_\infty$ , we can show that  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i|_{\mathcal{C}'}\}_i$ . The converse is trivial.

Next we show (2). Suppose  $u_i \rightarrow u_\infty$  weakly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i|_{\mathcal{C}'}\}_i$ . Then it suffices to show that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_i, v_i \rangle$  for any strongly converging sequence  $v_i \in H_i$ . Since  $\pi_{K_i}(v_i) \rightarrow \pi_{K_\infty}(v_\infty)$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i\}$  by the previous proposition, then  $\pi_{K_i}(v_i) \rightarrow \pi_{K_\infty}(v_\infty)$  strongly with respect to  $\{\Phi_i|_{\mathcal{C}'}\}$  by (1). Then we can deduce that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_i, v_i \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_i, \pi_{K_i}(v_i) \rangle = \langle u_\infty, \pi_{K_\infty}(v_\infty) \rangle.$$

The converse is trivial.  $\square$

Assume that  $A_i$  preserves the decomposition  $H_i = K_i \oplus K_i^\perp$  in the following sense;

- (iii)  $\mathcal{D}(A_i) = \mathcal{D}(A_i) \cap K_i \oplus \mathcal{D}(A_i) \cap K_i^\perp$ ,
- (iv)  $A_i(\mathcal{D}(A_i) \cap K_i) \subset K_i$  and  $A_i(\mathcal{D}(A_i) \cap K_i^\perp) \subset K_i^\perp$ .

We can see that  $\mathcal{E}_i|_{K_i}$  is closed quadratic form on  $K_i$  since  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is closed. Moreover, we have the followings by combining Propositions 8.4 and 8.5.

**Proposition 8.6.** *If  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{E}_\infty$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \mathcal{E}_\infty$ ), then  $\mathcal{E}_i|_{K_i} \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{E}_\infty|_{K_\infty}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_i|_{K_i} \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \mathcal{E}_\infty|_{K_\infty}$ ).*

**Proposition 8.7.** *Let  $\Sigma_i|_{K_i}$  be the spectral structure on  $K_i$  generated by  $A_i|_{\mathcal{D}(A_i) \cap K_i}$ . If  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_\infty$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_\infty$ ), then  $\Sigma_i|_{K_i} \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_\infty|_{K_\infty}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i|_{K_i} \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_\infty|_{K_\infty}$ ).*

**8.3.  $G$ -invariant subspaces.** Now let  $G$  be a compact topological group and  $(\rho, V)$  be a unitary representation of  $G$ . Suppose  $G$  acts isometrically on each  $H_i$ ,  $G \cdot \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $\Phi_i$  are all  $G$ -equivariant. Then  $(\mathcal{C} \otimes V)^G$  is dense in  $(H_\infty \otimes V)^G$  and  $\Phi_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$  induce  $\Phi_i^\rho := \Phi_i^V|_{(\mathcal{C} \otimes V)^G} : (\mathcal{C} \otimes V)^G \rightarrow (H_i \otimes V)^G$  and  $\Sigma_i^\rho := \Sigma_i^V|_{(H_i \otimes V)^G}$ . By Propositions 8.3 and 8.7, we have the following result.

**Proposition 8.8.** *If  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_\infty$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_\infty$ ), then  $\Sigma_i^\rho \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_\infty^\rho$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i^\rho \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_\infty^\rho$ ).*

## 9. MAIN RESULT

Combining Propositions 7.7 and 8.8 we have the following result.

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group,*

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \in \mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D), \quad (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$$

$$\text{(resp. } (P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \in \mathcal{M}(n, \kappa), \quad (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa)})$$

have isometric  $G$ -actions and

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty).$$

$$(\text{resp. } (P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty).)$$

Let  $\Sigma_i$  be the spectral structures generated by  $\Delta_{\nu_i}$  and  $(\rho, V)$  be a finite dimensional unitary representation of  $G$ . Then  $\Sigma_i^\rho \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} \Sigma_\infty^\rho$  (resp.  $\Sigma_i^\rho \xrightarrow{\text{str}} \Sigma_\infty^\rho$ ) as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Next we show the convergence of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. For  $(P, d, \nu) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$  with an isometric  $G$ -action,  $(L^2(P, \nu) \otimes V)^G$  may be of finite dimension. In this case, the  $j$ -th eigenvalue of the Laplacian acting on  $(L^2(P, \nu) \otimes V)^G$  is defined to be infinity if

$$j > \dim(L^2(P, \nu) \otimes V)^G.$$

**Theorem 9.2.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group,  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa, D)}$  have isometric  $G$ -actions for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  and*

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty).$$

Denote by  $\lambda_{i,j}^\rho$  the  $j$ -th eigenvalue of  $\Delta_{\nu_i}: (\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\nu_i}) \otimes V)^G \rightarrow (L^2(P_i) \otimes V)^G$  with multiplicity and let  $\{f_{\infty,j}\}_{j=1}^N$  be the complete orthonormal system of  $(L^2(P_\infty) \otimes V)^G$ , where  $N = \dim(L^2(P_\infty) \otimes V)^G$ , such that  $\Delta_{\nu_\infty} f_{\infty,j} = \lambda_{\infty,j}^\rho f_{\infty,j}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i,j}^\rho = \lambda_{\infty,j}^\rho$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and there are orthonormal systems  $\{f_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^N$  of  $(L^2(P_i) \otimes V)^G$  such that  $\Delta_{\nu_i} f_{i,j} = \lambda_{i,j}^\rho f_{i,j}$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{i,j} - f_{\infty,j} \circ \phi_i\|_{L^2} = 0$ , where  $\phi_i$  is defined as in Definition 6.2.

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{\Phi}_i$  be as in Proposition 7.7 and  $\hat{\Phi}_i^\rho$  be as in Section 8.3. By [2, Theorem 6.27], every eigenfunctions  $f_{\infty,j}$  is in  $\mathcal{C} = (C(P_\infty) \otimes V)^G$ . Then by applying [8, Corollary 2.5] to our case, we have the assertion.  $\square$

Let  $P$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle,  $A$  be a  $G$ -connection on  $P$ ,  $(\rho, V)$  be a real unitary irreducible representation of  $G$ . Put  $E_\rho = P \times_\rho V$  and let  $\nabla = \nabla^A$  is induced from  $A$ , and  $\lambda_j^\nabla$  be the  $j$ -th eigenvalue of the rough Laplacian  $\nabla^* \nabla$ . As a consequence of the above theorem, we have the following result.

**Theorem 9.3.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group. For any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, D, N > 0$ , there exist constants  $0 \leq C_j$  depending only on  $j, n, \kappa, D, N, G, \rho, V$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_j = \infty$  and the following holds. For any closed Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$  of dimension  $n$  and principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi: P \rightarrow X$  with the  $G$ -connection  $A$  such that*

$$\dim X = n, \quad \text{Ric}_g \geq \kappa g, \quad \text{diam } X \leq D,$$

$$\|(d^{\nabla^A})^* F^A\|_{L^\infty} \leq N, \quad \|F^A\|_{L^\infty} \leq N,$$

we have

$$\lambda_j^{\nabla^A} \geq C_j.$$

*Proof.* For fixed  $n, \kappa, D, N$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_G(n, \kappa, D, N, \sigma)$  be the space consists of isometric classes of the Riemannian manifold  $(P, h(g, A, \sigma))$  satisfying the assumptions. By Theorem 6.17,  $\mathcal{M}_G(n, \kappa, D, N, \sigma)$  is precompact with respect to the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. The function which maps  $(P, h(g, A, \sigma))$  to  $\lambda_j^\nabla = \lambda_j^\rho - \chi_{\sigma, \rho}$  is continuous by Section 3 and Theorem 9.2. Now we deny the conclusion. Suppose there are a constant  $B > 0$ , an increasing sequence  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \dots$ , principal bundles  $P_k \rightarrow X_k$  with  $G$ -connections  $A_k$  such that  $\lambda_{j_k}^{\nabla^{A_k}} \leq B$  for every  $k$ . Then by the precompactness of  $\mathcal{M}_G(n, \kappa, D, N, \sigma)$ , there exists the limit  $(P, d, \nu)$  of some subsequences of  $\{P_k\}_k$ . On  $(P, d, \nu)$ , one can check that  $\lambda_j^\rho \leq B + \chi_{\rho, \sigma}$  for all  $j$ , hence we have the contradiction.  $\square$

To show the uniform upper bound of the eigenvalues, we need to add some assumptions since the eigenvalues may be  $\infty$  if  $(L^2(P) \otimes V)^G$  is of finite dimension.

**Lemma 9.4.** *Let  $G$  be a compact topological group and  $(P, d)$  be a proper metric space with an isometric  $G$ -action. Then for any orthogonal representation  $(\rho, V)$  of  $G$ ,*

$$\dim(C_0(P) \otimes V)^G \geq \#\{\bar{u} \in P/G; V^{G_u} \neq \{0\}\}$$

holds, where  $G_u = \{\gamma \in G; u\gamma = u\}$ .

*Proof.* Take any finite subset

$$\{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_N\} \subset \{\bar{u} \in P/G; V^{G_u} \neq \{0\}\}.$$

Then we can take  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(\bar{u}_i, 2\delta) \cap B(\bar{u}_j, 2\delta) = \emptyset$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Define  $f_i \in C_0(P)$  by

$$f_i(u) := \frac{d(u, B(u_i, 2\delta)^c)}{d(u, \overline{B(u_i, \delta)}) + d(u, B(u_i, 2\delta)^c)},$$

then  $f_i$  is nonnegative on  $P$ ,  $f_i|_{\overline{B(u_i, \delta)}} \equiv 1$  and  $\text{supp}(f_i) \subset B(u_i, 2\delta)$  holds. Let  $\mu_G$  be the Haar measure on  $G$ . Take  $v_i \in V^{G_{u_i}}$  such that  $\|v_i\| = 1$  and put

$$\hat{f}_i(u) := \int_G f_i(u\gamma) \rho(\gamma) v_i d\mu_G(\gamma) \in V,$$

then one can check that  $\hat{f}_i \in (C_0(P) \otimes V)^G$  and  $\text{supp}(\hat{f}_i) \subset \pi^{-1}(B(\bar{u}_i, 2\delta))$ , where  $\pi: P \rightarrow P/G$  is the quotient map. Next we show the linear independence of  $\{\hat{f}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ . Since  $\text{supp}(\hat{f}_i) \cap \text{supp}(\hat{f}_j) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ , it suffices to show that  $\hat{f}_i(u_i) \neq 0$ .

Define the continuous maps  $\varphi_P: G_{u_i} \backslash G \rightarrow P$  and  $\varphi_V: G_{u_i} \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varphi_P(G_{u_i} \cdot \gamma) = u_i\gamma$  and  $\varphi_V(G_{u_i} \cdot \gamma) = \langle \rho(\gamma)v_i, v_i \rangle_V$ , respectively. Since  $\varphi_P$  is a homeomorphism, then  $\varphi_V \circ \varphi_P^{-1}$  is continuous therefore we can replace  $\delta$  by the smaller one such that

$$|\langle \rho(\gamma)v_i, v_i \rangle_V - \|v_i\|_V^2| < \frac{1}{10}$$

holds for any  $u_i\gamma \in B(u_i, 2\delta)$ . Then we can deduce that  $f_i(u_i\gamma) \neq 0$  implies  $|\langle \rho(\gamma)v_i, v_i \rangle_V - \|v_i\|_V^2| < \frac{1}{10}$ , therefore,

$$|f_i(u_i\gamma)\langle \rho(\gamma)v_i, v_i \rangle_V - f_i(u_i\gamma)\|v_i\|_V^2| \leq \frac{f_i(u_i\gamma)}{10}$$

and

$$\left| \langle \hat{f}_i(u_i), v_i \rangle_V - \int_G f_i(u_i\gamma)\|v_i\|_V^2 d\mu_G(\gamma) \right| \leq \frac{1}{10} \int_G f_i(u_i\gamma) d\mu_G(\gamma)$$

holds. Since

$$\int_G f_i(u_i\gamma)\|v_i\|_V^2 d\mu_G(\gamma) = \int_G f_i(u_i\gamma) d\mu_G(\gamma),$$

we have

$$\langle \hat{f}_i(u_i), v_i \rangle_V \geq \frac{9}{10} \int_G f_i(u_i\gamma) d\mu_G(\gamma).$$

Define  $\psi: G \rightarrow P$  by  $\psi(\gamma) = u_i\gamma$ . Then

$$\int_G f_i(u_i\gamma) d\mu_G(\gamma) \geq \mu_G(\psi^{-1}(B(u_i, \delta))) > 0$$

holds since  $\psi^{-1}(B(u_i, \delta))$  is nonempty and open, hence  $\langle \hat{f}_i(u_i), v_i \rangle_V \neq 0$  holds.  $\square$

**Remark 9.5.** In fact, we can show that

$$\dim(C_0(P) \otimes V)^G = \sum_{\bar{u} \in P/G} \dim V^{G_u} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

by more precise argument.

For a compact Lie group  $G$  and an orthogonal representation  $(\rho, V)$ , put

$$S(G) := \{H \subset G; H \text{ is a closed subgroup}\},$$

$$S(G, V) := \{H \in S(G); V^H = \{0\}\},$$

and for a metric space  $(P, d)$  with an isometric  $G$ -action, put

$$\delta_V(u) := \inf_{H \in S(G, V)} \sup_{h \in H} d(u, uh).$$

Now we have the following uniform upper bound of eigenvalues.

**Theorem 9.6.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group. For any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, D, N > 0$ , there exist constants  $0 \leq C_j \leq C'_j$  depending only on  $j, n, \kappa, D, N, G, \rho, V$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_j = \infty$  and the following holds. For any closed Riemannian manifold  $(X, g)$  of dimension  $n$  and principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi: P \rightarrow X$  with the  $G$ -connection  $A$  such that*

$$\dim X = n, \quad \text{Ric}_g \geq \kappa g, \quad D^{-1} \leq \text{diam } X \leq D,$$

$$\|(d^{\nabla^A})^* F^A\|_{L^\infty} \leq N, \quad \|F^A\|_{L^\infty} \leq N, \quad \sup_P \delta_V \geq N^{-1}$$

we have

$$C_j \leq \lambda_j^{\nabla^A} \leq C'_j.$$

*Proof.* If we show that the  $(C_0(P_\infty) \otimes V)^G$  is of infinite dimensional for any  $(P_\infty, d_\infty)$  which is the asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff limit of principal  $G$ -bundles satisfying the assumptions, then we obtain the upper estimate similarly as the proof of Theorem 9.3.

By the triangle inequality we have

$$|d_\infty(u, uh) - d_\infty(u', u'h)| \leq 2d_\infty(u, u')$$

for any  $u, u' \in P_\infty$  and  $h \in G$ , hence the function  $\delta_V: P_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Moreover we can check that  $(P, d) \mapsto \sup_P \delta_V$  is continuous with respect to asymptotically  $G$ -equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology, hence we have  $\sup_{P_\infty} \delta_V > 0$  and  $\text{diam } P_\infty/G > 0$ . Since  $P_\infty$  is the length space, there exists infinitely many  $x \in P_\infty/G$  such that  $\delta_V(u) > 0$  for any  $u \in P_\infty$  with  $\bar{u} = x$ . Since  $\delta_V(u) > 0$  implies  $G_u \notin S(G, V)$ , we can see that  $\{\bar{u} \in P_\infty/G; V^{G_u} \neq \{0\}\}$  is an infinite set, hence  $\dim(C_0(P_\infty) \otimes V)^G$  is infinity by Lemma 9.4.  $\square$

Finally we describe the spectral convergence in the case of noncompact.

**Theorem 9.7.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group,  $(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, \kappa)}$  have isometric  $G$ -actions for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$  and*

$$(P_i, d_i, \nu_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{G\text{-mGH}} (P_\infty, d_\infty, \nu_\infty, p_\infty).$$

*Denote by  $\sigma(\Delta_{\nu_i}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  the set consists of all eigenvalues of  $\Delta_{\nu_i}: (\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\nu_i}) \otimes V)^G \rightarrow (L^2(P_i) \otimes V)^G$ . Then for any  $\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta_{\nu_\infty})$  there are  $\lambda_i \in \sigma(\Delta_{\nu_i})$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 9.1 and [8, Proposition 2.5].  $\square$

## 10. APPLICATIONS TO HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR BUNDLES ON COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

In this section we show Theorem 1.2. Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold and  $\pi_E: E \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic vector bundle over  $X$ . Suppose  $h$  is a hermitian metric on  $E$  and  $\nabla: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Omega^1(E)$  is the Chern connection. Under the decomposition  $\Omega^1 = \Omega^{1,0} \oplus \Omega^{0,1}$ , we have the decomposition  $\nabla = \nabla^{1,0} + \nabla^{0,1}$ . Let  $\nabla^*, (\nabla^{1,0})^*, (\nabla^{0,1})^*$  are the formal adjoint of  $\nabla, \nabla^{1,0}, \nabla^{0,1}$ , respectively. For a holomorphic coordinate  $(U, z^1, \dots, z^n)$  on  $X$  we put  $\omega = \sqrt{-1}g_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$ . Then we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^* &= (\nabla^{1,0})^* + (\nabla^{0,1})^*, \\ (\nabla^{1,0})^* &= -g^{i\bar{j}}\iota_{\partial_i}\nabla_{\bar{\partial}_j}, \\ (\nabla^{0,1})^* &= -g^{i\bar{j}}\iota_{\bar{\partial}_j}\nabla_{\partial_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$ . Let  $F^\nabla \in \Omega^{1,1}(\text{End}E)$  be the curvature form. Since we have

$$(\nabla^{1,0})^*\nabla^{1,0}s = (\nabla^{0,1})^*\nabla^{0,1}s + g^{i\bar{j}}F^\nabla(\partial_i, \bar{\partial}_j)s,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^*\nabla &= 2\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} + \Lambda_{\omega}F^{\nabla}, \\ \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} &:= (\nabla^{0,1})^*\nabla^{0,1}, \\ \Lambda_{\omega}F^{\nabla} &:= g^{i\bar{j}}F(\partial_i, \bar{\partial}_j) \in \Gamma(\text{End}E).\end{aligned}$$

A *Hermitian-Einstein connection* is the Chern connection  $\nabla$  which satisfies

$$\Lambda_{\omega}F^{\nabla} = \mu \cdot \text{id}_E$$

for some constant  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this case  $\mu$  is given by

$$\mu = \frac{2\pi \dim X \cdot c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^{n-1}}{\text{rk}(E)[\omega]^n}.$$

Hence a smooth section of  $E$  is holomorphic if and only if it is the eigensection of  $\nabla^*\nabla$  corresponding to  $\mu$ .

Here,  $\mu$  is determined by the topological invariant depending only on the Kähler class  $[\omega]$  and the first Chern class  $c_1(E)$ . It is known that the Hermitian-Einstein connections are Yang-Mills, hence  $(d^{\nabla})^*F^{\nabla} = 0$ .

Now we apply Theorem 9.3 to this case. To apply it, we fix the dimension of the manifolds and, the rank of the holomorphic vector bundles and the constant  $\mu$ , assume that the connections are Hermitian-Einstein and give the uniform bound of the diameters, the Ricci curvatures and the  $L^{\infty}$ -norm of the curvature forms of the connections. Then we have the uniform lower bound  $C_j$  of the  $j$ -th eigenvalue of the connection Laplacian such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_j = \infty$ . If we take  $j$  such that  $C_j > \mu$ , then we can see that  $j$  is the upper bound of the dimension of

$$H^0(X, E) = \{s: X \rightarrow E; s \text{ is a holomorphic section}\}.$$

Thus we have shown Theorem 1.2.

Moreover we suppose that  $L = E$  is a holomorphic line bundle such that  $c_1(L) = [\frac{\omega}{2\pi}]$ . Then there is a hermitian metric such that  $F^{\nabla} = -\sqrt{-1}\omega$ , hence  $\|F^{\nabla}\|_{L^{\infty}}$  is the universal constant. Therefore, we obtain the next result as the special case of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 10.1.** *For any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, D > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , there exist a positive integer  $N$  depending only on  $\kappa, D, n$  such that the following holds. For any closed Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  and holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$\dim X = n, \quad c_1(L) = \left[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right], \quad \text{Ric}_{\omega} \geq \kappa\omega, \quad \text{diam}_{\omega}X \leq D,$$

we have

$$\dim H^0(X, L) \leq N.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 46(3):406–480, 1997.
- [2] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(1):37–74, 2000.

- [3] Kenji Fukaya. Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds and eigenvalues of Laplace operator. *Invent. Math.*, 87(3):517–547, 1987.
- [4] Kenji Fukaya and Takao Yamaguchi. Isometry groups of singular spaces. *Math. Z.*, 216(1):31–44, 1994.
- [5] Shouhei Honda. Spectral convergence under bounded Ricci curvature. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 273(5):1577–1662, 2017.
- [6] Atsushi Kasue. Convergence of Riemannian manifolds and Laplace operators. I. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 52(4):1219–1257, 2002.
- [7] Atsushi Kasue. Spectral convergence of Riemannian vector bundles. *Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ.*, 55:25–49, 2011.
- [8] Kazuhiro Kuwae and Takashi Shioya. Convergence of spectral structures: a functional analytic theory and its applications to spectral geometry. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 11(4):599–673, 2003.
- [9] John Lott. Collapsing and Dirac-type operators. In *Proceedings of the Euroconference on Partial Differential Equations and their Applications to Geometry and Physics (Castelvecchio Pascoli, 2000)*, volume 91, pages 175–196, 2002.
- [10] John Lott. Collapsing and the differential form Laplacian: the case of a smooth limit space. *Duke Math. J.*, 114(2):267–306, 2002.

KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOHOKU, YOKOHAMA 223-8522, JAPAN  
E-mail address: hattori@math.keio.ac.jp