

**UNIQUE DETERMINATION OF A TRANSVERSELY ISOTROPIC
PERTURBATION IN A LINEARIZED INVERSE BOUNDARY VALUE
PROBLEM FOR ELASTICITY**

YANG YANG AND JIAN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. We consider a linearized inverse boundary value problem for the elasticity system. We show that a transversely isotropic perturbation near a homogeneous isotropic elastic tensor can be uniquely determined from the linearized Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. This extends the uniqueness result in [6] from isotropic perturbations to a special class of anisotropic perturbations.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this paper, we investigate the problem of determining interior material property of an elastic body from boundary measurements. For linear elasticity in equilibrium, the governing equations read

$$(1) \quad \partial_j C_{ijkl}(x) \partial_k u_l(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Here u is the displacement vector; $\mathbf{C} = C_{ijkl} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is the elastic tensor whose components obey the symmetry conditions

$$(2) \quad C_{ijkl} = C_{jikl} = C_{klij}.$$

We have used Einstein's summation convention in (1) such that repeated indices are summed up over $\{1, 2, 3\}$. We note here that \mathbf{C} with the above symmetry has a total number of 21 linearly independent components.

Let Ω be an open bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with $C^{1,1}$ boundary $\partial\Omega$. If we assume further that the elasticity tensor \mathbf{C} satisfies the following positivity condition: there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any 3×3 real-valued symmetric matrix (ε_{ij}) ,

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^3 C^{ijkl} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{kl} \geq \delta \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ij}^2;$$

then for any $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, standard elliptic theory ensures a unique solution $u^f \in H^1(\Omega)$ to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_j C_{ijkl}(x) \partial_k u_l^f(x) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \\ u^f|_{\partial\Omega} = f. \end{cases}$$

We define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN map) $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$ by

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}} : f \mapsto C_{ijkl} \nu_j \partial_k u_l^f|_{\partial\Omega}$$

Date: June 9, 2019.

The research of YY was partly supported by NSF Grant DMS-1715178, AMS-Simons travel grant, and start-up fund from Michigan State University.

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$ denotes the outer unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. It follows that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ is a bounded linear operator, and the equivalent weak formulation is

$$\langle \Lambda_{\mathbf{C}} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} C_{ijkl} \partial_i u_j^f \partial_k u_l^g dx = 0.$$

for any $f, g \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$.

An important inverse boundary value problem in linear elasticity is whether one can recover \mathbf{C} from $\Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$. This is related to the invertibility of the non-linear map $\mathbf{C} \mapsto \Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$. The question is difficult for general \mathbf{C} , so it is commonly studied under additional a-priori information. We say the elastic tensor \mathbf{C} (or the medium) is *homogeneous* if it is a constant tensor (that is, independent of x); it is *isotropic* if it can be written as

$$C_{ijkl}(x) = \lambda(x) \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu(x) (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$$

where the two functions $\lambda(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ are known as *Lamé parameters*; and it is *fully anisotropic* if the components C_{ijkl} are subject to no other relations other than (2). For isotropic \mathbf{C} , a global uniqueness result can be found [11] in dimension two. The problem remains open in dimension three, yet some special cases have been tackled. Among them, Nakamura and Uhlmann [15] proved uniqueness when the Lamé parameters are smooth and $\mu(x)$ is close to a positive constant, see [5] for a similar result by Eskin and Ralston and [10] for a partial data version; uniqueness for recovering piecewise constant Lamé parameters was proved in [1, 2]; and some boundary determination results were shown in [12, 14, 13]. For fully anisotropic \mathbf{C} , uniqueness was proved in [4] for piecewise homogeneous medium.

In this article, we investigate the linearization of the map $\mathbf{C} \mapsto \Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$ at a homogeneous isotropic elastic tensor. More specifically, suppose

$$\mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbf{C}^0 + \delta\mathbf{C}(x)$$

where $\mathbf{C}^0 = \lambda^0 \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu^0 (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$ is a homogeneous, isotropic background tensor with Lamé parameters (λ^0, μ^0) satisfying

$$\mu^0 > 0, \quad 3\lambda^0 + 2\mu^0 > 0,$$

and $\delta\mathbf{C}(x)$ is viewed as a perturbation term with components $\delta C_{ijkl}(x)$. It is routine to verify that the map $\mathbf{C} \mapsto \Lambda_{\mathbf{C}}$ is Frechét differentiable at \mathbf{C}^0 (we refer to [6] for more details), and the Frechét derivative

$$\dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0} : L^\infty(\Omega) \ni \delta\mathbf{C} \mapsto \dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}(\delta\mathbf{C}) \in \mathcal{L}(H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega), H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))$$

is characterized by

$$(3) \quad \langle \dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}(\delta\mathbf{C}) f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \delta C_{ijkl}(x) \partial_i u_j(x) \partial_k v_l(x) dx$$

where u (resp. v) solves

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \mu^0 \Delta u + (\lambda^0 + \mu^0) \nabla \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = f. \end{cases} \quad \left(\text{resp.} \quad \begin{cases} \mu^0 \Delta v + (\lambda^0 + \mu^0) \nabla \nabla \cdot v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ v|_{\partial\Omega} = g. \end{cases} \right)$$

The question we are interested in is whether the linearized map $\dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}$ is injective. It was proved in [6] that the linearization $\dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}$ is injective on isotropic perturbations. Our main theorem (see Theorem 1 below) gives an affirmative answer to this injectivity question on a class of anisotropic perturbations with certain symmetry.

In linear elasticity, different types of anisotropy with extra symmetries in the internal structure of the material have been considered. With more symmetries, the elasticity tensor \mathbf{C} would have fewer

degrees of freedom. We list some commonly used anisotropy with symmetries in the table below. It is worth mentioning that these concepts of anisotropy are purely Cartesian (in a prescribed coordinate system (x_1, x_2, x_3)).

Type of anisotropy	Symmetries	Number of independent components
cubic	three mutually orthogonal planes of reflection symmetry plus $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rotation symmetry with respect to those planes	3
transversely isotropic	three mutually orthogonal planes of reflection symmetry and one symmetry axis perpendicular to one symmetry plane	5
orthotropic (orthorhombic)	three mutually orthogonal planes of reflection symmetry	9
monoclinic	one plane of reflection symmetry	13
fully anisotropic	no symmetry	21

We will focus on transversely isotropic elasticity in this article. Transverse isotropy means the elasticity have three mutually orthogonal planes of reflection symmetry and one symmetry axis perpendicular to one of the three symmetry planes. Assume the symmetry axis is x_3 , then $\delta\mathbf{C}$ obeys the invariance

$$Q_{ip}Q_{jq}Q_{kr}Q_{ls}\delta C_{pqrs} = \delta C_{ijkl},$$

where Q can take any of the following reflection and rotation matrices.

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

Writing the above invariance componentwisely results in 9 non-zero components in $\delta\mathbf{C}$

$$\delta C_{1111}, \delta C_{2222}, \delta C_{3333}, \delta C_{1122}, \delta C_{1133}, \delta C_{2233}, \delta C_{1212}, \delta C_{1313}, \delta C_{2323}$$

subject to 4 linear relations

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta C_{1111} &= \delta C_{2222}, & \delta C_{1133} &= \delta C_{2233}, \\ \delta C_{1313} &= \delta C_{2323}, & \delta C_{1212} &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta C_{1111} - \delta C_{1122}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence a transversally isotropic $\delta\mathbf{C}$ has only 5 linearly independent components. We will prove these independent components are uniquely determined by the linearized map $\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}$. More precisely, we show

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{C}^0 = \lambda^0 \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu^0 (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$ be homogeneous and isotropic with positive Lamé parameters (λ^0, μ^0) . If $\hat{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}(\delta\mathbf{C}) = 0$ and $\delta\mathbf{C} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is transversely isotropic with known axis of symmetry, then $\delta\mathbf{C} = 0$.*

The 5 linearly independent components of $\delta\mathbf{C}$ we will determine are δC_{1111} , δC_{1122} , δC_{1133} , δC_{1313} , and δC_{3333} . The proof is based on construction of complex geometric optics (CGO) solutions for the system (4). CGO solutions were initiated by Sylvester and Uhlmann [16] in their solving Calderón's inverse conductivity problem [3]. Solutions of this type were introduced in [6] for the elasticity system with constant coefficients, and [5, 15] with variable coefficients.

Injectivity of the linearized map $\dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}$ has been studied in previous literature. In dimension two or higher, it is known that $\dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}$ is injective on isotropic $\delta\mathbf{C}$ [6]. Our theorem can be viewed as generalization of such injectivity result from isotropic perturbations to transversely isotropic perturbations in dimension three. In dimension two, Ikehata [7, 9, 8] obtained a few characterizations of the injectivity allowing anisotropic \mathbf{C}^0 .

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

In view of (3), $\dot{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{C}^0}(\delta\mathbf{C}) = 0$ implies

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \delta C_{ijkl} \partial_i u_j \partial_k v_l dx = 0,$$

for any u, v satisfying (4). The key ingredient of our proof is constructing CGO solutions to (4) and inserting them into (6) to obtain sufficiently many linearly independent equations in the 5 independent components of $\delta\mathbf{C}$. For the ease of notation, we abbreviate \mathbf{C} for $\delta\mathbf{C}(x)$ and C_{ijkl} for $\delta C_{ijkl}(x)$ from now on. We reserve the letter i for an index and write the bold face \mathbf{i} for the imaginary unit.

Step 1. Set

$$(7) \quad \zeta^{(1)} := \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) + (-t, 0, s), \quad \zeta^{(2)} := \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) - (-t, 0, s),$$

and

$$a^{(1)} = a^{(2)} = a = (0, 1, 0).$$

We take

$$u = a^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x}, \quad v = a^{(2)} e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x}.$$

The choice of $\zeta^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^3$ ensures $\zeta^{(1)} \cdot \zeta^{(1)} = \zeta^{(2)} \cdot \zeta^{(2)} = 0$, hence $\Delta u = \Delta v = 0$. The choice of a ensures $a \perp \Re \zeta^{(i)}$, $a \perp \Im \zeta^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, hence $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot v = 0$. This verifies that u, v defined in this manner satisfy the equations (4).

Substituting u and v into (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} C_{ijkl} a_i \zeta_j^{(1)} a_k \zeta_l^{(2)} e^{(\zeta^{(1)} + \zeta^{(2)}) \cdot x} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [C_{1212}(\mathbf{i}s - t)(\mathbf{i}s + t) + C_{2323}(\mathbf{i}t + s)(\mathbf{i}t - s)] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) \cdot x} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (s^2 + t^2)(-C_{1212} - C_{1313}) e^{2\mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) \cdot x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}[\chi_{\Omega}(C_{1212} + C_{1313})](-2(s, 0, t)) = 0$ for any s, t with $s^2 + t^2 \neq 0$. Here χ_{Ω} is the characteristic function of the domain Ω . Since s, t can be any real number, this Fourier transform vanishes on the punctured $x_1 x_3$ -plane. The axial symmetry with respect to x_3 -axis in the definition of transversal isotropy allows one to obtain similar vanishing result in any plane containing x_3 -axis. We conclude $\mathcal{F}[\chi_{\Omega}(C_{1212} + C_{1313})](\xi) = 0$ for any $\xi \neq 0$. This forces

$$C_{1212} + C_{1313} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Using the relation $C_{1212} = \frac{1}{2}(C_{1111} - C_{1122})$ in (5) we have

$$(8) \quad C_{1111} - C_{1122} + 2C_{1313} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Step 2. Take

$$u = \zeta^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x}, \quad v = \zeta^{(2)} e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x},$$

with $\zeta^{(1)}, \zeta^{(2)}$ defined in (7). One still has $\Delta u = \Delta v = 0$ as before. On the other hand, the i -th component of u (resp. v) is $u_i = \zeta_i^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x}$ (resp. $v_i = \zeta_i^{(2)} e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x}$), $i = 1, 2, 3$. The derivatives of these components are

$$\partial_i u_j = \zeta_i^{(1)} \zeta_j^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x}, \quad \partial_k v_l = \zeta_k^{(2)} \zeta_l^{(2)} e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x}.$$

Then $\nabla \cdot u = \zeta^{(1)} \cdot \zeta^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x} = 0$ and likewise $\nabla \cdot v = 0$. We see that u, v solve (4).

Inserting u, v into the integral identity (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} C_{ijkl} \zeta_i^{(1)} \zeta_j^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x} \zeta_k^{(2)} \zeta_l^{(2)} e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[C_{1111} (\mathbf{is} - t)^2 (\mathbf{is} + t)^2 + C_{1133} (\mathbf{is} - t)^2 (\mathbf{it} - s)^2 \right. \\ &\quad + C_{1313} (\mathbf{is} - t) (\mathbf{it} + s) (\mathbf{is} + t) (\mathbf{it} - s) + C_{1331} (\mathbf{is} - t) (\mathbf{it} + s) (\mathbf{it} - s) (\mathbf{is} + t) \\ &\quad + C_{3113} (\mathbf{it} + s) (\mathbf{is} - t) (\mathbf{is} + t) (\mathbf{it} - s) + C_{3131} (\mathbf{it} + s) (\mathbf{is} - t) (\mathbf{it} - s) (\mathbf{is} + t) \\ &\quad \left. + C_{3311} (\mathbf{it} + s)^2 (\mathbf{is} + t)^2 + C_{3333} (\mathbf{it} + s)^2 (\mathbf{it} - s)^2 \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t) \cdot x} dx \end{aligned}$$

Combining the terms, one has

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} (t - is)^2 (t + is)^2 [C_{1111} - 2C_{1133} + 4C_{1313} + C_{3333}] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t) \cdot x} dx.$$

This means $\mathcal{F}[C_{1111} - 2C_{1133} + 4C_{1313} + C_{3333}](-2(s, 0, t))(t^2 + s^2)^2 = 0$. A similar argument as in Step 1 shows $\mathcal{F}[\chi_{\Omega}(C_{1111} - 2C_{1133} + 4C_{1313} + C_{3333})](\xi) = 0$ for any $\xi \neq 0$, hence

$$(9) \quad C_{1111} - 2C_{1133} + 4C_{1313} + C_{3333} = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Step 3. We still take u, v of the form

$$u = \zeta^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x}, \quad v = \zeta^{(2)} e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x},$$

but with different phases $\zeta^{(1)}, \zeta^{(2)}$. Set $d := \sqrt{s^2 + t^2}$ and $\beta := \sqrt{\frac{r^2}{d^2} - 1}$. The new phases to be used are

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{(1)} &= \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) + \mathbf{i}\beta(-t, 0, s) + r(0, 1, 0) = (\mathbf{is} - \mathbf{i}\beta t, r, \mathbf{it} + \mathbf{i}\beta s), \\ \zeta^{(2)} &= \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) - \mathbf{i}\beta(-t, 0, s) - r(0, 1, 0) = (\mathbf{is} + \mathbf{i}\beta t, -r, \mathbf{it} - \mathbf{i}\beta s). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify $\zeta^{(1)} \cdot \zeta^{(1)} = \zeta^{(2)} \cdot \zeta^{(2)} = 0$. This property again makes $\Delta u = \Delta v = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot v = 0$. Note that these new phases include the old ones: they coincide with (7) if one takes $r = 0$ and $\beta = -\mathbf{i}$.

Using such u, v in (6), we have

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} C_{ijkl} \zeta_i^{(1)} \zeta_j^{(1)} e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x} \zeta_k^{(2)} \zeta_l^{(2)} e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x} dx =: G_1 + G_2 + G_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1 &:= \int_{\Omega} \left[C_{1111}(\mathbf{i}s - \mathbf{i}\beta t)^2(\mathbf{i}s + \mathbf{i}\beta t)^2 + C_{2222}(r)^2(-r)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + C_{3333}(\mathbf{i}t + \mathbf{i}\beta s)^2(\mathbf{i}t - \mathbf{i}\beta s)^2 \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left[C_{1111}(s - \beta t)^2(s + \beta t)^2 + C_{2222}r^4 + C_{3333}(t + \beta s)^2(t - \beta s)^2 \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx, \\
G_2 &:= \int_{\Omega} \left[C_{1122}(\mathbf{i}s - \mathbf{i}\beta t)^2(-r)^2 + C_{2211}(r)^2(\mathbf{i}s + \mathbf{i}\beta t)^2 \right. \\
&\quad + C_{1133}(\mathbf{i}s - \mathbf{i}\beta t)^2(\mathbf{i}t - \mathbf{i}\beta s)^2 + C_{3311}(\mathbf{i}t + \mathbf{i}\beta s)^2(\mathbf{i}s + \mathbf{i}\beta t)^2 \\
&\quad \left. + C_{2233}(r)^2(\mathbf{i}t - \mathbf{i}\beta s)^2 + C_{3322}(\mathbf{i}t + \mathbf{i}\beta s)^2(-r)^2 \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left[-2C_{1122}(s^2 + \beta^2 t^2)r^2 \right. \\
&\quad + C_{1133}(s - \beta t)^2(t - \beta s)^2 + C_{3311}(t + \beta s)^2(s + \beta t)^2 \\
&\quad \left. - 2C_{2233}(t^2 + \beta^2 s^2)r^2 \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx, \\
G_3 &:= \int_{\Omega} \left[4C_{1212}(\mathbf{i}s - \mathbf{i}\beta t)(r)(\mathbf{i}s + \mathbf{i}\beta t)(-r) \right. \\
&\quad + 4C_{1313}(\mathbf{i}s - \mathbf{i}\beta t)(\mathbf{i}t + \mathbf{i}\beta s)(\mathbf{i}s + \mathbf{i}\beta t)(\mathbf{i}t - \mathbf{i}\beta s) \\
&\quad \left. + 4C_{2323}(r)(\mathbf{i}t + \mathbf{i}\beta s)(-r)(\mathbf{i}t - \mathbf{i}\beta s) \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left[4C_{1212}(s^2 - \beta^2 t^2)r^2 + 4C_{1313}(s^2 - \beta^2 t^2)(t^2 - \beta^2 s^2) + 4C_{2323}(t^2 - \beta^2 s^2)r^2 \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We will analyze the asymptotic behavior as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Direct calculation (though tedious) shows

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1 &= \int_{\Omega} \left(C_{1111} \frac{t^4}{d^4} + C_{2222} + C_{3333} \frac{s^4}{d^4} \right) r^4 e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx + O(r^3), \\
G_2 &= \int_{\Omega} \left(-2C_{1122} \frac{t^2}{d^2} + 2C_{1133} \frac{t^2 s^2}{d^4} - 2C_{2233} \frac{s^2}{d^2} \right) r^4 e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx + O(r^3), \\
G_3 &= \int_{\Omega} \left(-4C_{1212} \frac{t^2}{d^2} + 4C_{1313} \frac{t^2 s^2}{d^4} - 4C_{2323} \frac{s^2}{d^2} \right) r^4 e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx + O(r^3),
\end{aligned}$$

Equating the terms of order $O(r^4)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{t^4}{d^4} C_{1111} + C_{2222} + \frac{s^4}{d^4} C_{3333} - \frac{2t^2}{d^2} C_{1122} + \frac{2t^2 s^2}{d^4} C_{1133} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2s^2}{d^2} C_{2233} - \frac{4t^2}{d^2} C_{1212} + \frac{4t^2 s^2}{d^4} C_{1313} - \frac{4s^2}{d^2} C_{2323} \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the linear relations in (5) and $d^2 = t^2 + s^2$, one can eliminate $C_{2222}, C_{2233}, C_{1212}, C_{2323}$ and get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{s^4}{d^4} C_{1111} - \frac{2s^4}{d^4} C_{1133} - \frac{4s^4}{d^4} C_{1313} + \frac{s^4}{d^4} C_{3333} \right] e^{2\mathbf{i}(s,0,t)\cdot x} dx = 0.$$

This, combined with the same argument used before, implies the following linear relation:

$$(10) \quad C_{1111} - 2C_{1133} - 4C_{1313} + C_{3333} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Let us put the three pieces of information (8)(9)(10) together

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1111} - C_{1122} + 0 \cdot C_{1133} + 2C_{1313} + 0 \cdot C_{3333} &= 0; \\ C_{1111} + 0 \cdot C_{1122} - 2C_{1133} + 4C_{1313} + C_{3333} &= 0; \\ C_{1111} + 0 \cdot C_{1122} - 2C_{1133} - 4C_{1313} + C_{3333} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We observe these combinations are linearly independent and thus can be used to eliminate 3 independent components of C . In fact, solving this linear system yields

$$(11) \quad C_{1313} = C_{1212} = \frac{1}{2}(C_{1111} - C_{1122}) = 0;$$

$$(12) \quad 2C_{1133} = C_{1122} + C_{3333}.$$

We are therefore left with only 2 independent components, say C_{1111} and C_{1133} .

The need for different solutions. The previous CGOs are not enough to determine the remaining independent components. To see this, we employ the known relations (11)(12) to simplify the integral identity (6), then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} C_{1111}(\partial_1 u_1 \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_1 u_1 \partial_2 v_2 + \partial_2 u_2 \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 u_2 \partial_2 v_2) \\ &\quad + C_{1133}(\partial_1 u_1 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 u_2 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_2 v_2) \\ &\quad + C_{3333} \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 v_3 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} C_{1111} \nabla \cdot u \nabla \cdot v \\ &\quad + (C_{1133} - C_{1111})(\partial_1 u_1 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 u_2 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_2 v_2) \\ &\quad + (C_{3333} - C_{1111}) \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 v_3 \, dx. \\ (13) \quad &= \int_{\Omega} C_{1111} \nabla \cdot u \nabla \cdot v \\ &\quad + (C_{1133} - C_{1111})(\partial_1 u_1 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 u_2 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_2 v_2) \\ &\quad + 2(C_{1133} - C_{1111}) \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 v_3 \, dx. \\ &= \int_{\Omega} C_{1111} \nabla \cdot u \nabla \cdot v \\ &\quad + (C_{1133} - C_{1111})(\partial_1 u_1 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 u_2 \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \partial_2 v_2 + 2\partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 v_3) \, dx. \\ &= \int_{\Omega} C_{1111} \nabla \cdot u \nabla \cdot v + (C_{1133} - C_{1111})(\nabla \cdot u \partial_3 v_3 + \partial_3 u_3 \nabla \cdot v) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

All the solutions we have constructed have divergence zero, so they cannot give new information about the tensor C .

Remark 1. *With only CGO solutions of divergence zero, one cannot even determine an isotropic perturbation from $\hat{\Lambda}_{C_0}$ (cf. [6]). To see this, suppose $C_{ijkl} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu(\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$, then (6) reduces (with δC_{ijkl} abbreviated as C_{ijkl}) to*

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Omega} 2\mu \text{Sym}(\nabla u) : \text{Sym}(\nabla v) + \lambda(\nabla \cdot u)(\nabla \cdot v) \, dx = 0.$$

Here $\text{Sym}(\nabla u) := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)$ and $A : B = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A_{ij} B_{ij}$ for any 3×3 matrices A, B . It is obvious that solutions with divergence zero cannot provide information about λ .

New type of solutions. This above analysis suggests the necessity to construct new solutions with non-vanishing divergence. We proceed to construct new CGO-type solutions with this property. They are of the form

$$u = [(b \cdot x)\hat{\zeta} + c]e^{\zeta \cdot x}$$

where $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfies $\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$, $\hat{\zeta}$ denotes $\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|}$, and b, c are constant vectors to be determined. This type of solutions can be constructed as in [6]. The divergence of u is

$$\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot \left([(b \cdot x)\hat{\zeta} + c]e^{\zeta \cdot x} \right) = [(b \cdot x)\hat{\zeta} \cdot \zeta + b \cdot \hat{\zeta} + c \cdot \zeta]e^{\zeta \cdot x} = (b \cdot \hat{\zeta} + c \cdot \zeta)e^{\zeta \cdot x},$$

hence

$$\nabla \nabla \cdot u = (b \cdot \hat{\zeta} + c \cdot \zeta)\zeta e^{\zeta \cdot x}.$$

On the other hand, the gradient of u is

$$\nabla u = (b \otimes \hat{\zeta} + \zeta \otimes c + (b \cdot x)\zeta \otimes \hat{\zeta})e^{\zeta \cdot x}$$

so Δu can be computed:

$$\Delta u = \nabla \cdot \nabla u = [(\hat{\zeta} \cdot b)\zeta + (\zeta \cdot \zeta)c + (b \cdot x)(\zeta \cdot \zeta)\hat{\zeta} + (b \cdot \hat{\zeta})\zeta]e^{\zeta \cdot x} = 2(\hat{\zeta} \cdot b)\zeta e^{\zeta \cdot x}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^0 \Delta u + (\lambda^0 + \mu^0) \nabla \nabla \cdot u &= [2\mu^0(\hat{\zeta} \cdot b)\zeta + (\lambda^0 + \mu^0)(b \cdot \hat{\zeta} + c \cdot \zeta)\zeta]e^{\zeta \cdot x} \\ &= [(\lambda^0 + \mu^0)c \cdot \zeta + (\lambda^0 + 3\mu^0)b \cdot \hat{\zeta}]\zeta e^{\zeta \cdot x}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $b = (\lambda^0 + \mu^0)\Re\hat{\zeta}$ and $c = -\frac{\lambda^0 + 3\mu^0}{|\zeta|}\Re\hat{\zeta}$ guarantees the right hand side is zero, making u a solution to (4). Notice that with such b, c , the divergence of u is

$$\nabla \cdot u = (b \cdot \hat{\zeta} + c \cdot \zeta)e^{\zeta \cdot x} = -2\mu^0\Re\hat{\zeta} \cdot \hat{\zeta} e^{\zeta \cdot x} = -\mu^0 e^{\zeta \cdot x},$$

which is non-vanishing since $\mu^0 > 0$.

Step 4. We take

$$u = \zeta^{(1)}e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x}, \quad v = [(b \cdot x)\widehat{\zeta^{(2)}} + c]e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{(1)} &:= \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) + \mathbf{i}\beta(-t, 0, s) + r(0, 1, 0) = (\mathbf{i}s - \mathbf{i}\beta t, r, \mathbf{i}t + \mathbf{i}\beta s), \\ \zeta^{(2)} &:= \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) - \mathbf{i}\beta(-t, 0, s) - r(0, 1, 0) = (\mathbf{i}s + \mathbf{i}\beta t, -r, \mathbf{i}t - \mathbf{i}\beta s). \end{aligned}$$

It has been verified that $\zeta^{(1)} \cdot \zeta^{(1)} = \zeta^{(2)} \cdot \zeta^{(2)} = 0$; moreover, $|\zeta^{(1)}| = |\zeta^{(2)}| = \sqrt{2}r$. Correspondingly, we take

$$b = \Re\widehat{\zeta^{(2)}} = \left(0, -\frac{\lambda^0 + \mu^0}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right), \quad c = \frac{\lambda^0 + 3\mu^0}{\sqrt{2}r} \left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right).$$

Substitute u, v into (13) and notice $\nabla \cdot v = -\mu^0 e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x}$, $\nabla \cdot u = 0$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \int_{\Omega} (C_{1133} - C_{1111})\mu^0 \zeta_3^{(1)} \zeta_3^{(1)} e^{(\zeta^{(1)} + \zeta^{(2)}) \cdot x} dx \\ &= \mu^0 \int_{\Omega} (C_{1133} - C_{1111})(t + \beta s)^2 e^{2\mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) \cdot x} dx \\ &= \mu^0 \left[\int_{\Omega} (C_{1133} - C_{1111}) \frac{s^2}{d^2} e^{2\mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) \cdot x} dx \right] r^2 + O(r) \end{aligned}$$

where the asymptotics is again when $r \rightarrow \infty$. This implies

$$\frac{s^2}{t^2 + s^2} \int_{\Omega} (C_{1133} - C_{1111}) e^{2i(s,0,t) \cdot x} dx = 0$$

In other words, $\mathcal{F}[\chi_{\Omega}(C_{1133} - C_{1111})](-2(s, 0, t)) = 0$ when $s \neq 0$. Using the definition of transverse isotropy, one sees this is true in the entire \mathbb{R}^3 except for a plane (the one corresponding to $\{s = 0\}$). Moreover, the Fourier transform is actually an analytic function since $\chi_{\Omega}(C_{1133} - C_{1111})$ is compactly supported. This forces $\mathcal{F}[\chi_{\Omega}(C_{1133} - C_{1111})] = 0$ everywhere, so $C_{1133} = C_{1111}$ in Ω .

Step 5. Now we have $C_{1111} = C_{1133} = C_{3333}$, and (13) becomes

$$(15) \quad 0 = \int_{\Omega} C_{1111} \nabla \cdot u \nabla \cdot v dx = 0.$$

Take

$$u = [(b^{(1)} \cdot x) \widehat{\zeta^{(1)}} + c^{(1)}] e^{\zeta^{(1)} \cdot x}, \quad v = [(b^{(2)} \cdot x) \widehat{\zeta^{(2)}} + c^{(2)}] e^{\zeta^{(2)} \cdot x},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{(1)} &:= \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) + (-t, 0, s), \\ \zeta^{(2)} &:= \mathbf{i}(s, 0, t) - (-t, 0, s), \\ b^{(1)} = -b^{(2)} &= \left(-\frac{t(\lambda^0 + \mu^0)}{\sqrt{2d}}, 0, \frac{s(\lambda^0 + \mu^0)}{\sqrt{2d}} \right), \\ c^{(1)} = -c^{(2)} &= (\lambda^0 + 3\mu^0) \left(\frac{t}{2d^2}, 0, -\frac{s}{2d^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substitute u and v into (15)

$$\int_{\Omega} C_{1111} (\mu^0)^2 e^{2i(s,0,t) \cdot x} dx = 0.$$

Then we get $C_{1111} = 0$. This completes the proof of the uniqueness of all parameters in \mathbf{C} .

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Beretta, E. Francini, A. Morassi, E. Rosset, and S. Vessella. Lipschitz continuous dependence of piecewise constant lamé coefficients from boundary data: the case of non-flat interfaces. *Inverse Problems*, 30(12):125005, 2014.
- [2] E. Beretta, E. Francini, and S. Vessella. Uniqueness and Lipschitz stability for the identification of Lamé parameters from boundary measurements. *Inverse Probl. Imag.*, 8:611–644, 2014.
- [3] A. P. Calderón. On an inverse boundary value problem. *Computational & Applied Mathematics*, 25(2-3):133–138, 2006.
- [4] C. I. Cârstea, N. Honda, and G. Nakamura. Uniqueness in the inverse boundary value problem for piecewise homogeneous anisotropic elasticity. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 50(3):3291–3302, 2018.
- [5] G. Eskin and J. Ralston. On the inverse boundary value problem for linear isotropic elasticity. *Inverse Problems*, 18(3):907, 2002.
- [6] M. Ikehata. Inversion formulas for the linearized problem for an inverse boundary value problem in elastic prospection. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 50(6):1635–1644, 1990.
- [7] M. Ikehata. The linearization of the dirichlet to neumann map in anisotropic plate theory. *Inverse Problems*, 11(1):165, 1995.
- [8] M. Ikehata. The linearization of the dirichlet-to-neumann map in the anisotropic kirchhoff-love plate theory. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 56(5):1329–1352, 1996.
- [9] M. Ikehata. A relationship between two dirichlet to neumann maps in anisotropic elastic plate theory. *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems*, 4(3):233–244, 1996.
- [10] O. Y. Imanuvilov, G. Uhlmann, and M. Yamamoto. On uniqueness of lamé coefficients from partial cauchy data in three dimensions. *Inverse Problems*, 28(12):125002, 2012.

- [11] O. Y. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto. Global uniqueness in inverse boundary value problems for the navier–stokes equations and lamé system in two dimensions. *Inverse Problems*, 31(3):035004, 2015.
- [12] Y.-H. Lin and G. Nakamura. Boundary determination of the lamé moduli for the isotropic elasticity system. *Inverse Problems*, 33:125004, 2017.
- [13] G. Nakamura, K. Tanuma, and G. Uhlmann. Layer stripping for a transversely isotropic elastic medium. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 59(5):1879–1891, 1999.
- [14] G. Nakamura and G. Uhlmann. Inverse problems at the boundary for an elastic medium. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 26:263–279, 1995.
- [15] G. Nakamura and G. Uhlmann. Erratum: Global uniqueness for an inverse boundary value problem arising in elasticity. *Invent. Math.*, 152:205–207, 2003. Erratum to *Invent. Math.*, 118, 457–474, (1994).
- [16] J. Sylvester and G. Uhlmann. A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem. *Ann. of Math.*, 125:153–169, 1987.

THE DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI, USA (yangy5@msu.edu).

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON, TX, 77005, USA (jian.zhai@rice.edu).