

The causal approach proof for the equivalence of $SDKP_4$ and $SQED_4$ at tree-level

J. Beltran^{*1}, B. M. Pimentel^{†2}, and D. E. Soto^{‡1}

¹Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de Ingeniera (UNI), Avenida Tupac Amaru S/N apartado 31139 Lima, Perú.

²São Paulo State University (UNESP), Institute for Theoretical Physics (IFT), R. Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271 CEP 01140-070, São Paulo, SP

August 7, 2019

Abstract

The description of the electromagnetic interaction of charged spinless particles is usually formulated by the Scalar Quantum Electrodynamics. However, there is an alternative formulation given by the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau theory: the Scalar DKP gauge theory. The proof of the equivalence between these two formulations has been discussed in many researches, but there is not yet a conclusive proof. In this paper, we initiate a complete proof in the framework of the Causal Perturbation theory, showing that both scalar formulations provide the same results for the differential cross section at tree level.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Scalar Quantum Electrodynamics ($SQED_4$) is the analogous gauge theory of the Schwinger-Tomonaga-Feynman Quantum Electrodynamics (QED_4) that describes the electromagnetic interaction of charged spinless particles. As soon as F.J. Dyson [1] showed that the QED_4 can be consistently renormalized, in 1950 F. Rohrlich [2] showed the equivalence of the Schwinger-Tomonaga theory and Feynman theory for the $SQED$ case. Furthermore, Rohrlich found the divergence of meson-meson interaction. Therefore, with the purpose to obtain a renormalized theory, he assumes that there exist a self-interaction term in the Lagrangian of the theory.

Moreover, R. Duffin, N. Kemmer and G. Petiau in the period of years between 1936 and 1939 proposed [3–5] a first order equation (known as DKP equation) to describe particles with spin 0 and spin 1. Thus, in order to describe the electromagnetic interaction of charged spinless particles, one can follow the minimal coupling method for $U(1)$ gauge theories. Therefore, it is possible to construct the interacting theory of DKP's and electromagnetic fields known as Scalar DKP gauge theory ($SDKP_4$) [6–9].

^{*}c18568@utp.edu.pe, jhosep@ift.unesp.br

[†]pimentel@ift.unesp.br

[‡]dsotob@uni.edu.pe

It is known that non-interacting scalar particles can be described by the second order Klein-Gordon-Fock [10] equation and, as we pointed out above, by the first order DKP equation. Therefore, it is reasonable that in the free case the DKP and KGF theories are equivalent, both in classical and quantum pictures. For instance, it was showed that both theories are equivalent in the classical level for the cases of minimal interaction with electromagnetic [11] and gravitational fields [12].

A strict proof of equivalence between both theories, with out self interacting terms, were also given by B.M. Pimentel and V. Ya. Fainberg in [13]. They used the reduction formulas of Lehmann, Symanzik, Zimmermann [14] to compare the two S -matrices constructed for the two theories. In [15], they comment that: "In principle, the DKP as well as KGF theories are nonrenormalizable ones even for scalar particles due to the logarithmical divergence of one loop diagrams of scattering two particles with exchange of two photons". Then, following the Rohrlich suggestion, to obtain SQED₄ renormalizable, they proposed that SDKP₄ can becomes renormalizable if we introduce a self interaction term.

An alternative way to proof the equivalence of SQED₄ and SDKP₄ is provided by the Epstein-Glaser perturbative causal approach or Causal Perturbation Theory (CPT) [16]. The great advantage of this approach is that this is intrinsically finite, therefore, there is no need to include self-interaction terms neither in SQED₄ nor in SDKP₄. For instance, in SQED₄, M. Dütsch, F. Krahe and G. Scharf [17] found out that there is only need of the derivative coupling term to reproduce all the well known results of the standard approach. Furthermore, they demonstrated that SQED₄ is (re)normalizable¹ and the self-interacting term arises naturally from the theory. From these results, we bealieve that CPT is a great framework to analyze the equivalence of SQED₄ and SDKP₄, and to determine the (re)normalizability of the last one.

The origin of CPT started in 1973 when H. Epstein and V. Glaser wrote their article entitled "The role of locality in perturbation theory" [16] where they developed an iterative construction of the S -matrix taking as advantage the causal support of the propagators to determine their advanced and retarded part. Ten years later, G. Scharf began to apply the approach to study Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) obtaining a finite theory, in other words, **a theory without ultraviolet** and infrared divergences [18–27]. From the striking results in QED, G. Scharf and collaborators applied CPT to study other quantum field theories as Yang-Mills [28–33], abelian Higgs mechanism [34], Electroweak theory [35,36], Super-symmetry [37–40] and Quantum Gravity [41–51]. On the other side of the Atlantic ocean, B. M. Pimentel and Collaborators applied CPT in General Quantum Electrodynamics (GQED) [53], Light front Dynamics [54,55], SDKP₄ [56], gauge Thirring model [57,58], and QED₃ [59,60].

In a previous work [56], Lunardi et al. provides some progress in the causal approach point of view of SDKP₄ oriented to compute the scalar propagator, the vacuum polarization tensor, the self energy function, and the vertex correction in the limit of zero momentum transfer. In some sense, this work is a continuation of that one. However, in the present work, we construct the SDKP₄ following the *perturbative gauge invariant* property [52].

If the SQED₄ and SDKP₄ approaches are equivalent, both theories must give us the same physical observables. In particular, the scattering cross sections. In this work, we initiate a complete analysis of the SDKP₄ in the framework of CPT. In this sense, we will focus our attention to the Moller and Compton scattering processes to proof the equivalence at tree level by comparing the differential cross sections.

¹In the point of view of CPT there is three kind of theories: Normalizable, non-normalizable and super-normalizable. The prefix re is not used because there are not **ultraviolet** divergences.

The paper is organized as follows: In sections II and III, CPT will be introduced in generality to be applied to any quantum field theory. In section IV, we develop the quantum properties of free DKP, electromagnetic and fermionic scalar ghost fields to be applied in Scalar Quantum Electrodynamics and to develop gauge invariance at quantum level that will be presented in section V. The section VI is devoted to verified the base term T_1 , founded in the previous section, by computing the differential cross section for the scattering of scalar particle by a non quantized electromagnetic field. In section VII, we will compute the second order causal distribution which contain the Compton and Moller scattering processes and the radiative corrections known as vacuum polarization and self energy function. In sections VIII and IX, we will compute the differential cross sections of the Moller and Compton scattering processes, respectively. Finally in section X, we will present our conclusions.

II. CAUSAL PERTURBATION THEORY

The CPT formalism works directly in the construction of the *scattering operator* as a formal series in the following form

$$S[g] \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^4x_1 \dots d^4x_n T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) g(x_1) \dots g(x_n), \quad (1)$$

where $g(x) \in [0, 1]$ is the *switching on-off* Bogoliubov [61] function and T_n are named n-point distributions [27]. In this approach $g^{\otimes n}$ are test functions of T_n which are *operator value distributions* (OVD). As we will see later, the distributions T_n are well define temporal ordered product of free quantized fields.

In the same sense, we define the inverse of S operator as a formal series too

$$S^{-1} = \mathbb{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^4x_1 \dots d^4x_n \tilde{T}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) g(x_1) \dots g(x_n), \quad (2)$$

thus, by a formal inversion $S^{-1} = (\mathbb{1} + T)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-T)^r$, we obtain the following identity

$$\tilde{T}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^r \sum_{P_r} T_{n_1}(X_1) \dots T_{n_r}(X_r), \quad (3)$$

where the sum runs over all partitions P_r of the set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in r disjoint and not empty sub-sets X_j .

From (1), in order to construct the S -matrix, we can see that the principal objects to determine are the OVD T_n . Imposing Poincaré invariance of S , we obtain the following useful properties

$$T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = T_n(x_1 + a, \dots, x_n + a), \quad (4)$$

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = T(\Lambda x_1, \dots, \Lambda x_n), \quad (5)$$

where Λ is a Lorentz transformation tensor. **In CPT, the causality principle is imposed to S from the beginning, so that** we can demonstrate [27] the following temporal decomposition for T_n and \tilde{T}_n

$$T_n(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) = T_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) T_{n-m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n), \quad (6)$$

$$\tilde{T}_n(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) = \tilde{T}_{n-m}(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \tilde{T}_m(x_1, \dots, x_m), \quad (7)$$

if and only if $\{x_1^0, \dots, x_m^0\} > \{x_{m+1}^0, \dots, x_n^0\}$.

The causal construction of S operator begins defining the first nontrivial term T_1 with the help of *perturbative gauge invariance* which we will present in section V.

By considering that we know all distributions $\{T_{n-1}, \dots, T_1, \tilde{T}_{n-1}, \dots, \tilde{T}_1\}$, we can define the *intermediate distributions* A'_n and R'_n as

$$A'(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \sum_{P_2} \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X) T_{n-n_1}(Y, x_n), \quad (8)$$

$$R'(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \sum_{P_2} T_{n-n_1}(Y, x_n) \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X), \quad (9)$$

where the sum runs over all partitions P_2 of the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ in two disjoint sub-sets X and Y , with $X \neq \emptyset$ as the only restriction. This product is well define because is done between distributions defined in different space points.

The next step is extend the sums (8) and (9) allowing the empty sub-set $X = \emptyset$

$$A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \sum_{P_2^0} \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X) T_{n-n_1}(Y, x_n), \quad (10)$$

$$R_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \sum_{P_2^0} T_{n-n_1}(Y, x_n) \tilde{T}_{n_1}(X), \quad (11)$$

where $T_0 = 1 = \tilde{T}_0$ and P_2^0 represents the inclusion of the empty set in the partition procedure. It is straightforward to rewrite the sums (10) and (11) as

$$A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A'_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) + T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (12)$$

$$R_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = R'_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) + T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (13)$$

Therefore we can determine the n -point distribution by

$$T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - A'_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ R_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - R'_n(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

In equations (12) and (13) just R'_n and A'_n are known. However, we can demonstrate that A_n and R_n are the advanced and retarded parts of the difference

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &\equiv R'_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - A'_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= R_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $D_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is named *causal distribution*. The computation of A_n and R_n from D_n can be done via *the causal splitting procedure* and it will be presented in the next section.

III. CAUSAL SPLITTING PROCEDURE

In the usual framework, the splitting of a causal distribution in its advanced or retarded part is done by the naively multiplication by the Heaviside step function [62]. However, this product is not always well defined because in quantum field theory there exist causal singular distributions. As demonstrated by G. Scharf, in QED [27] this naively procedure were the origin of ultraviolet (UV) divergences.

From the properties of the n-point distributions (3-7) and by using **the first** Wick theorem [27], it is not difficult to note that the causal distribution D_n is a summation of normal order operator products as follows

$$D_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_k : \prod_j \mathcal{O}(x_j) : d_n^k(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(x_j)$ represents all kind of OVD and $d_n^k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are the numerical part of each summation term obtained via Wick contractions defined as $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x_i)\mathcal{O}(x_j)} \equiv [\mathcal{O}^{(-)}(x_i), \mathcal{O}^{(+)}(x_j)]$. **It is useful to represent** (16) graphically: the non-contracted OVD fields **resemble** in and out particles, and the numerical distributions $d_n^k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ represent their connections.

The causal split is applied to the numerical distribution d_n . By using the Poincaré invariance, we can translate d_n by x_n

$$d_n^k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = d_n^k(x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n, 0) \equiv d(\tilde{x}), \quad (17)$$

where we define $d(\tilde{x})$ as the general term to denote each numerical distribution to be split and $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-1})$, where $\tilde{x}_i = x_i - x_n$.

In the usual treatment of Quantum Field Theory [62], the UV divergence problem is ascribed to physical phenomena at very short space-time distance. However, this problem arise because in that treatment the split involved products of (17) Heaviside step functions $\Theta(x_j^0 - x_n^0)$ where $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and such products are ill-defined in the limit $\tilde{x} \rightarrow 0$.

For a proper treatment we must consider the behavior of $d(\tilde{x})$ when points $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ are near to x_n . This behavior is characterized via a mathematical parameter named *order of singularity ω of the distribution*² d_n . To obtain ω we first need to determine the *quasi-asymptotic* of d_n defined in momentum space³ as

Definition III.1 *A distribution $\hat{d}(p) \in C_0'^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ has a quasi-asymptotic $\hat{d}_0(p)$ over $p = \infty$, if for a positive function $\rho(\alpha)$ ($\alpha > 0$) the limit*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle \rho(\alpha) \hat{d}(\frac{p}{\alpha}), \check{\psi}(p) \rangle = \langle \hat{d}_0(p), \check{\psi}(p) \rangle \neq 0, \quad (18)$$

exist for every test function $\check{\psi}(p)$.

²We will omit the super index k from now.

³We use the notations $\hat{f}(p)$ and $\check{f}(p)$ for the direct and inverse Fourier transform, respectively, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(x) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int d^m x f(x) e^{ipx}, \\ \check{f}(x) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int d^m x f(x) e^{-ipx}. \end{aligned}$$

From (18), we determine the *power counting function* $\rho(\alpha)$. With $\rho(\alpha)$, we proceed to determine ω using

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\rho(a\alpha)}{\rho(\alpha)} = a^\omega. \quad (19)$$

We classify the numerical distributions d_n as regular if $\omega < 0$, and singular if $\omega \geq 0$. When d_n is regular, the splitting by using Heaviside step functions are well defined, but in the singular case it is not. In the reference [27] it is possible to see the demonstration that the numerical retarded part of d_2 is given by the following formula

$$\hat{r}_0(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Sgn}(p^0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{\hat{d}(tp)}{1-t+i\text{Sgn}(p^0)0^+}, \quad \omega < 0; \quad (20)$$

$$\hat{r}_0(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Sgn}(p^0) \int dt \frac{\hat{d}(tp)}{(t-i0^+)^{\omega+1}(1-t+i\text{Sgn}(p^0)0^+)} + \sum_{l=0}^{\omega} \hat{C}_l p^l, \quad \omega \geq 0; \quad (21)$$

where C_l are constants that the *causal splitting procedure* do not fix. They need to be fixed with other physical properties as second order gauge invariance, charge conjugation invariance, etc.

With $\hat{r}_0(p)$, we obtain R_n and T_n by making the necessary substitutions. **(En mi opiniÃn la parte retardada tambiÃn debe escribirse explÃcitamente, similar a (16))**

IV. QUANTIZED FREE FIELDS

The free fields are solution of the Lorentz covariant homogeneous field equations at quantum level. In the case of electromagnetic interaction, the value of coupling constant is small enough to expand the S -matrix in terms of free fields.

In this section we develop the necessary properties for electromagnetic and DKP quantized free fields.

i. Electromagnetic field

The quantized Electromagnetic field $A^\mu(x)$ obey the relativistic wave equation [52]

$$\square A^\mu = 0, \quad \square = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu, \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -). \quad (22)$$

Taking into account (22) as four massless Klein-Gordon-Fock equations, we define the solutions as

$$A^0(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left(c^0(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ikx} - c^0(\mathbf{k})^\dagger e^{ikx} \right), \quad (23)$$

$$A^i(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left(c^i(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ikx} + c^i(\mathbf{k})^\dagger e^{ikx} \right), \quad (24)$$

where the operators $c^\mu(k)^\dagger$ and $c^\mu(k)$ are the creation and annihilation operators, respectively, which obey the following commutation relations

$$[c^\mu(\mathbf{k}), c^\nu(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger] = \begin{cases} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') & \text{for } \mu = \nu, \\ 0 & \text{for } \mu \neq \nu. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

The minus sign in (23) has been chosen to have a coherent result in the commutation of two electromagnetic 4-potentials⁴. This commutation rule is

$$[A^\alpha(x), A^\beta(y)] = g^{\alpha\beta} iD_0(x-y), \quad (26)$$

where $D_0(x-y)$ is the massless Lorentz invariant Jordan-Pauli distribution that for $m \neq 0$ has the following form

$$D_m(x) \equiv \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4p \delta(p^2 - m^2) \text{sgn}(p^0) e^{-ipx}. \quad (27)$$

We define the positive and negative frequency solution for A^μ as

$$A^{\mu(+)} = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2\omega}} c^\mu(\mathbf{k})^\dagger e^{ikx} \times \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \mu = 1, 2, 3 \\ -1, & \text{for } \mu = 0, \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

$$A^{\mu(-)} = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{2\omega}} c^\mu(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ikx}. \quad (29)$$

From (28), (29) and (25), we could compute the following commutation relations

$$[A^{\mu(-)}(x), A^{\nu(+)}(y)] = g^{\mu\nu} iD_0^{(+)}(x-y), \quad (30)$$

$$[A^{\nu(+)}(x), A^{\mu(-)}(y)] = g^{\mu\nu} iD_0^{(-)}(x-y), \quad (31)$$

where $D_{m=0}^{(+)}(x)$ and $D_{m=0}^{(-)}(x-y)$ are the massless positive and negative parts of Jordan-Pauli distribution

$$D_m^{(+)}(x) \equiv \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4p \delta(p^2 - m^2) \Theta(p^0) e^{-ipx} = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0} e^{-ipx}, \quad (32)$$

$$D_m^{(-)}(x) \equiv \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4p \delta(p^2 - m^2) \Theta(p^0) e^{ipx} = \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0} e^{ipx}, \quad (33)$$

$$D_m(x) = D_m^{(+)}(x) + D_m^{(-)}(x). \quad (34)$$

ii. Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau field

The Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau (DKP) field is a solutions of the DKP equation [3–5]

$$(i\beta^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0, \quad (35)$$

where β^μ represent four matrices which obey the following algebra

$$\beta^\mu \beta^\nu \beta^\rho + \beta^\rho \beta^\nu \beta^\mu = \beta^\mu g^{\nu\rho} + \beta^\rho g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (36)$$

The DKP algebra (36) has three irreducible representations of order 1, 5 and 10. The representation of order 1 is trivial, the next order 5 represent scalar particles and the order 10 represents spin-1 particles. Therefore, to study SDKP₄ we will use the representation of order 5.

⁴If we do not use the minus sign in (23), then we would obtain $[A^\alpha(x), A^\beta(y)] = \delta_\beta^\alpha iD_0(x-y)$, which is not correct because we have a second rank Lorentz tensor in the left hand side of the equation and a scalar in the other side.

The DKP field $\psi(x)$ is given by

$$\psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} a(\mathbf{p}) u^-(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx} + \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) u^+(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx}, \quad (37)$$

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \bar{u}^-(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx} + \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} b(\mathbf{p}) \bar{u}^+(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx}, \quad (38)$$

where the conjugate DKP field $\bar{\psi}(x)$ is obtained via

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \psi^\dagger(x) \eta^0, \quad \eta^0 = 2(\beta^0)^2 - 1, \quad (39)$$

and the operators $a(\mathbf{p})$ and $b(\mathbf{p})$ are the annihilation operators of a scalar particle and antiparticle respectively. They obey the following commutation rules

$$\begin{cases} [a(\mathbf{p}), a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')] = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \\ [b(\mathbf{p}), b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')] = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

and null for other ones.

The factors $u^-(\mathbf{p})$ and $u^+(\mathbf{p})$ are column vectors of five elements. To get a positive energy system, they need to be normalized in the following form

$$\bar{u}^\pm \beta^0 u^\pm = \mp 1. \quad (41)$$

From (37), we can define the positive and negative frequency solutions $\psi^{(+)}$ and $\psi^{(-)}$ as follows

$$\psi^{(+)}(x) \equiv \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) u^+(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx}, \quad (42)$$

$$\psi^{(-)}(x) \equiv \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} a(\mathbf{p}) u^-(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx}, \quad (43)$$

and by conjugation

$$\bar{\psi}^{(+)}(x) \equiv \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \bar{u}^-(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx}, \quad (44)$$

$$\bar{\psi}^{(-)}(x) \equiv \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} b(\mathbf{p}) \bar{u}^+(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx}. \quad (45)$$

For a global $U(1)$ transformation $\delta\psi(x) = ie\alpha\psi(x)$, the conservative Noether current j^μ is

$$j^\mu(x) = e : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\mu \psi(x) :, \quad (46)$$

where e is the unit charge of a scalar particle and the double dots $: \dots :$ means a normal order product. As usual, the latter is necessary to normalize the vacuum expectation value of the current as $\langle 0 | j^\mu(x) | 0 \rangle = 0$.

Now, by using (40)-(45), we can determine the following commutations rules

$$[\psi_a^{(-)}(x), \bar{\psi}_b^{(+)}(y)] = \frac{1}{i} S_{ab}^{(+)}(x - y), \quad (47)$$

$$[\psi_a^{(+)}(x), \bar{\psi}_b^{(-)}(y)] = \frac{1}{i} S_{ab}^{(-)}(x-y), \quad (48)$$

where

$$S_{ab}^{(+)}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{m} [i\rlap{\not{D}}(i\rlap{\not{D}} + m)]_{ab} D_m^{(+)}(x), \quad (49)$$

$$S_{ab}^{(-)}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{m} [i\rlap{\not{D}}(i\rlap{\not{D}} + m)]_{ab} D_m^{(-)}(x), \quad (50)$$

$$S(x) \equiv S^{(+)}(x) + S^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{m} [i\rlap{\not{D}}(i\rlap{\not{D}} + m)] D_m(x), \quad (51)$$

and where the Feynman like notation $\beta^\mu \partial_\mu = \rlap{\not{D}}$ is used.

For future applications, we will write here the Fourier transform of the Jordan-Pauli and $S(x)$ distributions. From (27), (32) and (33), we have

$$\hat{D}_m(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \delta(p^2 - m^2) \text{Sgn}(p^0), \quad (52)$$

$$\hat{D}_m^{(+)}(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \delta(p^2 - m^2) \Theta(p^0), \quad (53)$$

$$\hat{D}_m^{(-)}(p) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \delta(p^2 - m^2) \Theta(-p^0). \quad (54)$$

And from (49), (50) and (51), it is straightforward to determine the following results

$$\hat{S}^\pm(p) = \frac{1}{m} [\rlap{\not{p}}(\rlap{\not{p}} + m)] \frac{\pm i}{(2\pi)} \Theta(\pm p^0) \delta(p^2 - m^2) = \frac{1}{m} [\rlap{\not{p}}(\rlap{\not{p}} + m)] D_m^\pm(p), \quad (55)$$

$$\hat{S}(p) = \frac{1}{m} [\rlap{\not{p}}(\rlap{\not{p}} + m)] \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \text{Sgn}(p^0) \delta(p^2 - m^2) = \frac{1}{m} [\rlap{\not{p}}(\rlap{\not{p}} + m)] D_m(p). \quad (56)$$

V. PERTURBATIVE GAUGE INVARIANCE

As mention in section II, to begin the construction of S -matrix, we need to define the first non-trivial OVD term $T_1(x)$ of (1). In the regular theory we have $T_1(x) = i : \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} :$, where \mathcal{L}_{int} is the interaction Lagrangian. In CPT this is not always true.

As an example, we mention the case of SQED₄ constructed with a complex scalar field $\varphi(x)$ which obey the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation [17]. To obtain a gauge invariant theory, we substitute the partial derivative in the free Lagrangian of $\varphi(x)$ with the covariant derivative $D^\mu = \partial^\mu + ieA^\mu$, obtaining for \mathcal{L}_{int}

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -ieA^\mu (\varphi^* \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \varphi) + e^2 \varphi^* \varphi A^\mu A_\mu, \quad (57)$$

where e represent the electric charge of scalar particle.

The problem with using the \mathcal{L}_{int} of (57), to construct T_1 , is in the second order term $e^2 \varphi^* \varphi A^\mu A_\mu$ which by construction must belong to T_2 because in this approach e represents the physical charge and not a mathematical parameter. What is unquestionable is that T_1 must be defined from the gauge invariance property but in the quantum level.

As constructed by Scharf et al. in [52], a quantum gauge transformation of electromagnetic field has the following form

$$A'^{\mu}(x) = A^{\mu}(x) + \lambda \partial^{\mu} u(x) + O(\lambda^2), \quad (58)$$

where $u(x)$ is a quantum free field which obey the massless Klein-Gordon-Fock equation $\square u(x) = 0$ and has the following solution

$$u(x) \equiv (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left(d_2(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx} + d_1(\mathbf{p})^{\dagger} e^{ipx} \right). \quad (59)$$

By defining the function $\tilde{u}(x)$ as

$$\tilde{u}(x) \equiv (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left(-d_1(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx} + d_2(\mathbf{p})^{\dagger} e^{ipx} \right), \quad (60)$$

and using $\{d_j(\mathbf{p}, d_k^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}))\} = \delta_{jk} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})$, we could see that $u(x)$ and $\tilde{u}(x)$ obey the anti-commutation rule

$$\{u(x), \tilde{u}(y)\} = -iD_0(x - y). \quad (61)$$

The operators $u(x)$ and $\tilde{u}(x)$ are called *scalar fermionic ghost fields* because they obey the massless Klein-Gordon-Fock equation but obey the anti-commutation rule.

The gauge transformation (58) could be obtained in the following form

$$A'^{\mu}(x) = e^{-i\lambda Q} A^{\mu}(x) e^{i\lambda Q}, \quad (62)$$

where the operator Q is called *gauge charge*. Expanding the exponential, we obtain

$$A'^{\mu}(x) = A^{\mu}(x) - i\lambda [Q, A^{\mu}(x)] + O(\lambda^2), \quad (63)$$

then, comparing the equations (58) and (63), we obtain a differential operator equation for Q

$$[Q, A^{\mu}(x)] = i\partial^{\mu} u(x). \quad (64)$$

The solution for Q in (64) is

$$Q = \int d^3 x [\partial_{\nu} A^{\nu} \partial_0 u - (\partial_0 \partial_{\nu} A^{\nu}) u] = \int d^3 x \partial_{\nu} A^{\nu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 u, \quad (65)$$

where the integral is performed over a hyperplane $x^0 = \text{constant}$.

Now, we define the *gauge derivative* d_Q as

$$d_Q F \equiv [Q, F], \quad d_Q G \equiv \{Q, G\}, \quad (66)$$

where F is a product of Bose fields by an even number of fermionic ghost fields, and G is a product of a Bose fields by an odd number of fermionic ghost fields,

In order to obtain a gauge invariant theory, we demand that all n-point distributions T_n obey the following identity

$$d_Q T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = i \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l^{\mu}} T_{n/l}^{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (67)$$

where $T_{n/l}^\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the following well defined time ordering product constructed via CPT

$$T_{n/l}^\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = T\{T_1(x_1) \dots T_{1/1}^\mu(x_1) \dots T_1(x_n)\}, \quad (68)$$

and $T_{1/1}^\mu$ is called the Q-vertex. The identity (67) is called *perturbative gauge invariance condition*.

For one massless gauge field $A^\mu(x)$ we have Q in the form (65) and the following gauge transformations

$$d_Q A^\mu(x) = i\partial^\mu u(x), \quad (69)$$

$$d_Q u(x) = 0, \quad (70)$$

$$d_Q \bar{u}(x) = -i\partial_\mu A^\mu(x). \quad (71)$$

First of all, to determine $T_1(x)$ we can use (67) for $n = 1$

$$d_Q T_1(x_1) = i\partial_\mu T_{1/1}^\mu(x_1). \quad (72)$$

Secondly, because of the adiabatic limit $g(x) \rightarrow 1$, the term $T_1(x)$ must contain all kind of interactions between gauge and matter fields. As show by Scharf et al. [28–31], only in the case where there are a collection of massless gauge fields $A_a^\mu(x)$, where $a = 1, \dots, N$, the condition (72) allows the existence of self interaction terms between gauge and ghost fields.

For SDKP, where we have one massless gauge field, T_1 only contain the interaction between electromagnetic and matter current in the form

$$T_1^{(\text{SDKP})}(x_1) = ij^\mu(x_1)A_\mu(x_1). \quad (73)$$

Because $j^\mu(x)$ represents the matter current, it contains DKP fields $\psi(x)$ and $\bar{\psi}(x)$. Therefore, with the help of (69), (70) and ((71)), by taking the gauge derivative of (73), we have

$$d_Q T_1^{(\text{SDKP})}(x_1) = d_Q \{ij^\mu(x_1)A_\mu(x_1)\} = ij^\mu(x_1)d_Q A_\mu(x_1) = -j^\mu(x_1)\partial_\mu u(x_1). \quad (74)$$

From (73) and (74), we can conclude that to satisfy the condition (72), the matter current $j^\mu(x)$ must be the divergenceless Noether current (46), therefore

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu(x) = 0 \implies d_Q T_1^{(\text{SDKP})}(x_1) = i\partial_\mu T_{1/1}^{\mu(\text{SDKP})} = i\partial_\mu (ij^\mu(x_1)u(x_1)). \quad (75)$$

Finally, replacing (46) in the expressions for the Q-vertex, we obtain for it and T_1 the following forms

$$T_{1/1}^{\mu(\text{SDKP})} = ij^\mu(x_1)u(x_1) = ie : \bar{\psi}(x_1)\beta^\mu\psi(x_1) : u(x_1), \quad (76)$$

$$T_1^{(\text{SDKP})}(x) = ie : \bar{\psi}(x_1)\beta^\mu\psi(x_1) : A_\mu(x_1). \quad (77)$$

VI. SCATTERING OF DKP PARTICLE BY STATIC EXTERNAL FIELD

As a first application, we are going to determine the differential cross section $d\sigma/d\Omega$ in the scattering of scalar by an external non-quantized electromagnetic field A_μ^{ext} .

If the system includes A_μ^{ext} , then we need to perform the substitution $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + A_\mu^{ext}$ in the construction of the S -Matrix. Therefore, the perturbative expansion of S -matrix includes a term

$$S = \dots + \int d^4x ie : \bar{\psi}(x)\beta^\mu\psi(x) : A_\mu^{ext}(x) + \dots \quad (78)$$

This term is the important one to study a scattered scalar particle by A_μ^{ext} . By considering that the initial and final states does not include the creation (or annihilation) of photons, they take the following form

$$|in\rangle = |\Psi_i\rangle = \int d^3p_1 \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) |0\rangle, \quad (79)$$

$$|out\rangle = |\Psi_f\rangle = \int d^3p_2 \Phi_f(\mathbf{p}_2) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_2) |0\rangle, \quad (80)$$

where $a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_{1,2})$ are the creation operators for scalar particles with momentums $\mathbf{p}_{1,2}$. The functions Φ_i and Φ_f are wave packets sharply peaked in \mathbf{p}_i and \mathbf{p}_f , respectively.

Now, by computing the scattering amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{if} = \langle \Psi_f | S | \Psi_i \rangle$, it is not difficult to see that the only non-null result is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{if} &= ie \int d^4x \langle \Psi_f | : \bar{\psi}(x)\beta^\mu\psi(x) : | \Psi_i \rangle A_\mu^{ext}(x) \\ &= ie \int d^4x \int d^3p_2 \int d^3p_1 \Phi_f^*(\mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) \times \\ &\quad \times \langle 0 | a(\mathbf{p}_2) : \bar{\psi}(x)\beta^\mu\psi(x) : a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) | 0 \rangle A_\mu^{ext}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

In order to compute the term $\langle 0 | a(\mathbf{p}_2) : \bar{\psi}(x)\beta^\mu\psi(x) : a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) | 0 \rangle$, we can use the Wick theorem. Therefore, only the term with the two simultaneous contractions $\overline{a(\mathbf{p}_2)\bar{\psi}(x)}$ and $\overline{\psi(x)a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1)}$ contributes to (81). These contractions are

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{a(\mathbf{p}_2)\bar{\psi}(x)} &= \overline{a(\mathbf{p}_2)\bar{\psi}^{(+)}(x)} = \overline{a(\mathbf{q}_2) \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) u^-(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx}} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \delta(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}) \overline{u^-(\mathbf{p})} e^{ipx} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} e^{ip_2x}, \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\psi(x)a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1)} &= \overline{\psi^{(-)}(x)a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1)} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \overline{a(\mathbf{p}) u^-(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx}} a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}) u^-(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} u^-(\mathbf{p}_1) e^{-ip_1x}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

With the help of (82) and (83), the expression (81) takes the following form

$$\mathcal{A}_{if} = ie \int d^3p_2 \int d^3p_1 \Phi_f^*(\mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} \beta^\mu u^-(\mathbf{p}_1) \int d^4x e^{-i(p_1-p_2)x} A_\mu^{ext}(x) \quad (84)$$

If the electromagnetic field is an static one, then we can replace $A_\mu^{ext}(x) = A_\mu^{ext}(\mathbf{x})$. The latter allows us to evaluate the integral in x^0 to obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_{if} = \int d^3 p_2 \int d^3 p_1 \Phi_f^*(\mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) \mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) \delta(E_1 - E_2), \quad (85)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) = \frac{ie}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} \beta^\mu u^-(\mathbf{p}_1) \hat{A}(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1), \quad (86)$$

$$\hat{A}(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int d^3 x e^{-i(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1)\mathbf{x}} A_\mu(\mathbf{x}). \quad (87)$$

With the computation of \mathcal{A}_{if} , we can determine the transition probability P_{if} defined as

$$P_{if} = |\mathcal{A}_{if}|^2. \quad (88)$$

Replacing (85) into (88), we have

$$P_{if} = \int d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2 \Phi_f(\mathbf{p}_2) \tilde{S}_{if}^*(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_i^*(\mathbf{p}_1) \int d^3 p'_1 d^3 p'_2 \Phi_f^*(\mathbf{p}'_2) \tilde{S}_{if}(\mathbf{p}'_1, \mathbf{p}'_2) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}'_1). \quad (89)$$

where

$$\tilde{S}_{if} = \mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) \delta(E_1 - E_2) \quad (90)$$

By summing over all possible final states, we obtain

$$\sum_f P_{if} = \int d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2 \mathcal{M}_{if}^*(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) \delta(E_1 - E_2) \Phi_i^*(\mathbf{p}_1) \int d^3 p'_1 \mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}'_1, \mathbf{p}_2) \delta(E'_1 - E_2) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}'_1) \quad (91)$$

From the fact that the function $\Phi_i(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is sharply peaked in p_i and by considering that the width of $\Phi_i(\mathbf{p}_1)$ is too small compared with the scale of varying of \mathcal{M}_{if} , we could rewrite (91) as follows

$$\sum_f P_{if} = \int d^3 p_2 |\mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_2)|^2 \int d^3 p_1 d^3 p'_1 \delta(E_1 - E_2) \Phi_i^*(\mathbf{p}_1) \delta(E'_1 - E_2) \Phi_i(\mathbf{p}'_1). \quad (92)$$

In order to reduce (92), we must evaluate the \mathbf{p}_2 integral in spherical coordinates and by replacing the integral form of the delta function $\delta(E'_1 - E_1) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int dt e^{-i(E'_1 - E_1)t}$, we obtain

$$\sum_f P_{if} = \int d\Omega_2 |\mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_2)|^2 |\mathbf{p}_i| E_i (2\pi)^2 \int dt |\Phi(t, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0})|^2, \quad (93)$$

where $\Phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the following free wave packet function in configuration space

$$\Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int d^3 q \Phi_i(\mathbf{q}) e^{-i(E_q t - \mathbf{q}\mathbf{x})}. \quad (94)$$

After considering that the velocity of the scattered incoming particles is \mathbf{v} , the wave packet takes the following form

$$\Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi_0(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}t). \quad (95)$$

Now, by averaging (93) in a cylinder of radius R parallel to \mathbf{v} and regarding the wave packet (95), we have

$$\sum_f P_{if}(R) = \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{x_\perp \leq R} d^2 x_\perp \int dt |\Phi_0(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}t)|^2 \int d\Omega_2 |\mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_2)|^2 |\mathbf{p}_i| E_i (2\pi)^2. \quad (96)$$

As usual, the cross section is defined as

$$\sigma = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \pi R^2 \sum_f P_{if}(R). \quad (97)$$

Because of the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, the first two integrals in (96) can be performed as follows

$$\int_{x_\perp \leq R} d^2 x_\perp \int dt |\Phi_0(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}t)|^2 = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} \int dx^3 |\Phi_0|^2 = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} \quad (98)$$

Therefore, after replacing (96) into (97), we get

$$\sigma = E_{p_i}^2 (2\pi)^2 \int d\Omega_2 |\mathcal{M}_{if}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_2)|^2. \quad (99)$$

From (99), it is straightforward to obtain the differential cross section as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = (2\pi)^2 E_i^2 |\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{p}_f, \mathbf{p}_i)|^2. \quad (100)$$

Replacing (86) into (100), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} &= \frac{(2\pi)E_i^2 e^2}{4m^2 p_i^0 p_f^0} \text{Tr}[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \beta^\mu \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \beta^\nu] \hat{A}_\nu(\mathbf{p}_f - \mathbf{p}_i) \hat{A}_\mu(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_f) \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)E_i^2 e^2}{4p_i^0 p_f^0} [p_i^\mu p_i^\nu + p_f^\nu p_f^\mu + p_f^\mu p_i^\nu + p_f^\nu p_i^\mu] \hat{A}_\nu(\mathbf{p}_f - \mathbf{p}_i) \hat{A}_\mu(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_f) \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

where we used the following trace identities

$$\text{Tr}[\beta^{\mu_1} \beta^{\mu_2} \dots \beta^{\mu_{2n-1}}] = 0, \quad (102)$$

$$\text{Tr}[\beta^{\mu_1} \beta^{\mu_2} \dots \beta^{\mu_{2n}}] = g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} g^{\mu_3 \mu_4} \dots g^{\mu_{2n-1} \mu_{2n}} + g^{\mu_2 \mu_3} g^{\mu_4 \mu_5} \dots g^{\mu_{2n} \mu_1}. \quad (103)$$

Regarding the coulomb potential where only the 0-component is not zero

$$A^0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{Ze}{|\mathbf{x}|}, \quad \hat{A}^0(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{Ze}{|\mathbf{p}|^2}, \quad (104)$$

we have for (101) the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} &= \frac{(2\pi)E_i^2 e^2}{4p_i^0 p_f^0} [p_i^0 p_i^0 + p_f^0 p_f^0 + p_f^0 p_i^0 + p_i^0 p_f^0] \hat{A}_0(\mathbf{p}_f - \mathbf{p}_i) \hat{A}_0(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_f) \\ &= Z^2 e^4 \frac{E^2}{4|\mathbf{p}|^4 \sin^4(\vartheta/2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

The latter formula is equivalent to that obtained in [9] via Feynman diagrammatically approach.

VII. CAUSAL DISTRIBUTION IN SECOND ORDER $D_2(x, y)$

After setting $T_1(x)$, the second step is to compute the causal distribution $D_2(x, y)$. By following (8) and (9), the intermediate distributions in second order A'_2 and R'_2 are given by the following expressions

$$A'_2(x, y) = \tilde{T}_1(x)T_1(y) = -T_1(x)T_1(y), \quad (106)$$

$$R'_2(x, y) = T_1(y)\tilde{T}_1(x) = -T_1(y)T_1(x), \quad (107)$$

where (3) is used.

Replacing (77) into (106) and (107), and using Wick theorem to obtain normal ordered terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A'_2(x, y) &= -T_1(x)T_1(y) \\ &= e^2 : \overline{\psi}_a(x)\beta_{ab}^\mu\psi_b(x) :: \overline{\psi}_c(y)\beta_{cd}^\nu\psi_d(y) : A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y) \\ &= e^2 \left[\overbrace{\overline{\psi}_a(x)\beta_{ab}^\mu\psi_b(x)\overline{\psi}_c(y)\beta_{cd}^\nu\psi_d(y)} : + : \overline{\psi}_a(x)\beta_{ab}^\mu\overbrace{\psi_b(x)\overline{\psi}_c(y)\beta_{cd}^\nu\psi_d(y)} : + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + : \overline{\psi}_a(x)\beta_{ab}^\mu\overbrace{\psi_b(x)\overline{\psi}_c(y)\beta_{cd}^\nu\psi_d(y)} : + : \overline{\psi}_a(x)\beta_{ab}^\mu\psi_b(x)\overline{\psi}_c(y)\beta_{cd}^\nu\psi_d(y) : \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \left[: A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y) : + \overbrace{A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

where the field contractions are

$$\overbrace{A^\mu(x)A^\nu(y)} = [A^{\mu(-)}(x), A^{\nu(+)}(y)] = g^{\mu\nu}iD_0^{(+)}(x-y), \quad (109)$$

$$\overbrace{\psi_a(x)\overline{\psi}_b(y)} = [\psi^{(-)}(x), \overline{\psi}^{(+)}(y)] = \frac{1}{i}S_{ab}^{(+)}(x-y), \quad (110)$$

$$\overbrace{\overline{\psi}_c(x)\psi_d(y)} = [\overline{\psi}^{(-)}(x), \psi^{(+)}(y)] = -\frac{1}{i}S_{dc}^{(-)}(y-x). \quad (111)$$

Replacing (109), (110) and (111) into (108), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A'_2(x, y) &= A_2'^{(1)}(x, y) + A_2'^{(2)}(x, y) + A_2'^{(3)}(x, y) + A_2'^{(4)}(x, y) + A_2'^{(5)}(x, y) + \\ &\quad + e^2 : \overline{\psi}\beta^\mu(x)\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(y)\beta^\nu\psi(y) :: A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y) :, \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

where

$$A_2'^{(1)}(x, y) = ie^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \overline{\psi}(x)\beta^\mu\psi(x)D_0^{(+)}(x-y)\overline{\psi}(y)\beta^\nu\psi(y) :, \quad (113)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2'^{(2)}(x, y) &= ie^2 : \overline{\psi}(y)\beta^\nu S^{(-)}(y-x)\beta^\mu\psi(x) :: A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y) : - \\ &\quad - ie^2 : \overline{\psi}(x)\beta^\mu S^{(+)}(x-y)\beta^\nu\psi(y) :: A_\mu(x)A_\nu(y) :, \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

$$A_2'^{(3)}(x, y) = e^2 : A_\mu(x)Tr[\beta^\mu S^{(+)}(x-y)\beta^\nu S^{(-)}(y-x)]A_\nu(y) :, \quad (115)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2'^{(4)}(x, y) &= -e^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \overline{\psi}(y)\beta^\nu S^{(-)}(y-x)D_0^{(+)}(x-y)\beta^\mu\psi(x) : + \\ &\quad + e^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \overline{\psi}(x)\beta^\mu S^{(+)}(x-y)D_0^{(+)}(x-y)\beta^\nu\psi(y) :, \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

$$A_2'^{(5)}(x, y) = ie^2 g_{\mu\nu} D_0^{(+)}(x - y) \text{Tr}[\beta^\mu S^{(+)}(x - y) \beta^\nu S^{(-)}(y - x)]. \quad (117)$$

Similarly, for $R_2'(x, y)$ we have

$$R_2'(x, y) = R_2'^{(1)}(y, x) + R_2'^{(2)}(y, x) + R_2'^{(3)}(y, x) + R_2'^{(4)}(y, x) + R_2'^{(5)}(y, x) + e^2 : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) :, \quad (118)$$

where

$$R_2'^{(1)}(x, y) = ie^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) D_0^{(+)}(y - x) \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :, \quad (119)$$

$$R_2'^{(2)}(x, y) = ie^2 : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu S^{(-)}(x - y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : - ie^2 : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu S^{(+)}(y - x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) :, \quad (120)$$

$$R_2'^{(3)}(y, x) = e^2 : A_\mu(y) \text{Tr}[\beta^\mu S^{(+)}(y - x) \beta^\nu S^{(-)}(x - y)] A_\nu(x) :, \quad (121)$$

$$R_2'^{(4)}(y, x) = -e^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}_c(x) \beta_{cd}^\nu S_{da}^{(-)}(x - y) D_0^{(+)}(y - x) \beta_{ab}^\mu \psi_b(y) : + e^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu S^{(+)}(y - x) D_0^{(+)}(y - x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :, \quad (122)$$

$$R_2'^{(5)}(y, x) = ie^2 g_{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}[\beta^\mu S^{(+)}(y - x) \beta^\nu S^{(-)}(x - y)] D_0^{(+)}(y - x). \quad (123)$$

The causal distribution D_2 is obtained via the subtraction (15), it will takes the following form

$$D_2(x, y) = R_2'(x, y) - A_2'(x, y) = D_2^{(1)} + D_2^{(2)} + D_2^{(3)} + D_2^{(4)} + D_2^{(5)}, \quad (124)$$

where

$$D_2^{(1)} = ie^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) \left(D_0^{(+)}(y - x) - D_0^{(+)}(x - y) \right) \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :, \quad (125)$$

$$D_2^{(2)} = ie^2 : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu \left(S^{(+)}(x - y) + S^{(-)}(x - y) \right) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : - ie^2 : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \left(S^{(+)}(y - x) + S^{(-)}(y - x) \right) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) :, \quad (126)$$

$$D_2^{(3)} = e^2 \text{Tr}[\beta^\mu S^{(+)}(y - x) \beta^\nu S^{(-)}(x - y) - \beta^\nu S^{(+)}(x - y) \beta^\mu S^{(-)}(y - x)] \times : A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) :, \quad (127)$$

$$D_2^{(4)} = -e^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu [S^{(-)}(x - y) D_0^{(+)}(y - x) + S^{(+)}(x - y) D_0^{(+)}(x - y)] \beta^\mu \psi(y) : + e^2 g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu [S^{(+)}(y - x) D_0^{(+)}(y - x) + S^{(-)}(y - x) D_0^{(+)}(x - y)] \beta^\nu \psi(x) :, \quad (128)$$

$$D_2^{(5)} = ie^2 \beta^\mu S^{(+)}(y - x) \beta_\mu S^{(-)}(x - y) D_0^{(+)}(y - x) - ie^2 \beta^\mu S^{(+)}(x - y) \beta_\mu S^{(-)}(y - x) D_0^{(+)}(x - y). \quad (129)$$

We want to emphasize that each term $D_2^{(i)}$ represents different process in the S -matrix.

VIII. MOLLER SCATTERING

Now we will determine the differential cross section of Moller process that consists in the elastic scattering of two scalar particles $b(p_i) + b(q_i) \rightarrow b(p_f) + b(q_f)$, where p_i and q_i are the momentums before the interaction and the other two ones the momentums after. Therefore, the in and out states take the following forms

$$|in_{\text{Moller}}\rangle = |\Psi_i\rangle \otimes |\Phi_i\rangle = \int d^3 p_1 d^3 q_1 \Psi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) \Phi_i(\mathbf{q}_1) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) a^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_1) |0\rangle, \quad (130)$$

$$|out_{\text{Moller}}\rangle = |\Psi_f\rangle \otimes |\Phi_f\rangle = \int d^3 p_2 d^3 q_2 \Psi_f(\mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_f(\mathbf{q}_2) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_2) a^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_2) |0\rangle, \quad (131)$$

where $\{\Psi_i(p_1), \Psi_f(p_2), \Phi_i(q_1), \Phi_f(q_2)\}$ are wave packets sharply peaked in $\{p_i, p_f, q_i, q_f\}$ respectively.

Taking into account the creation and annihilation operators in the computation of the scattering amplitude $\langle out_{\text{Moller}} | S | in_{\text{Moller}} \rangle$, it is not difficult to note that only the term coming from $D_2^{(1)}$ will be not null.

Besides, by using the property $D_0^{(+)}(x) = -D_0^{(-)}(-x)$ we can rewrite $D_2^{(1)}(x, y)$ in the following form

$$D_2^{(1)}(x, y) = -e^2 i g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) D_0(x-y) \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :, \quad (132)$$

where we can see that its *numerical part* is $D_0(x-y)$.

i. Causal splitting of D_0

To continue our study of Moller process, we must perform the *causal splitting procedure* of $D_0(x-y)$. Therefore, as developed in section III, we will work with the Fourier transform of $D_0(x-y)$ given in (52) and which we rewrite here

$$\hat{D}_0(p) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \delta(p^2) \text{sgn}(p^0). \quad (133)$$

The power counting function for $\hat{D}_0(p)$ is determined using (18). Thus, we must compute the form of $\hat{D}_0(\frac{p}{\alpha})$, this is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_0\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right) &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \delta(p^2 \alpha^{-2}) \text{sgn}(p^0 \alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{i\alpha^2}{2\pi} \delta(p^2) \text{sgn}(p^0 \alpha^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

From (134), it is not difficult to conclude that with $\rho(\alpha) = \alpha^{-2}$ we obtain a not null quasi-asymptotic

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha) \langle \hat{D}_0\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right), \check{f}(p) \rangle = \langle \hat{D}_0(p), \check{f}(p) \rangle \neq 0. \quad (135)$$

Therefore, regarding (19), the *order of singularity* of \hat{D}_0 is

$$\omega[D_0] = -2, \quad (136)$$

which means that $\widehat{D}_0(p)$ is a *regular* distribution and its splitting in retarded and advanced part can be done using the Heaviside step function as

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(x-y) &= \theta(x^0 - y^0)D_0(x-y) - \theta(y^0 - x^0)D_0(x-y) \\ &= D_0^{\text{ret}}(x-y) - D_0^{\text{adv}}(x-y), \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

where $D_0^{\text{ret}}(x-y) = \theta(x^0 - y^0)D_0(x-y)$ and $D_0^{\text{adv}}(x-y) = \theta(y^0 - x^0)D_0(x-y)$.

From (132) and (137), the second order retarded distribution $R_2^{(1)}(x, y)$ is given by

$$R_2^{(1)}(x, y) = -e^2 i g_{\mu\nu} : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) D_0^{\text{ret}}(x-y) \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) : . \quad (138)$$

As a last step, with the help of the equations (14), (138) and (119), we can determine $T_2^{(1)}(x, y)$ in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} T_2^{(1)}(x, y) &= R_2^{(1)} - R_2^{\prime(1)} \\ &= -e^2 i g_{\mu\nu} \beta_{ab}^\mu \beta_{cd}^\nu : \bar{\psi}_a(y) \psi_b(y) \bar{\psi}_c(x) \psi_d(x) : D_0^{\text{ret}} \\ &\quad - [e^2 \beta_{ab}^\mu \beta_{cd}^\nu : \bar{\psi}_a(y) \psi_b(y) \bar{\psi}_c(x) \psi_d(x) : i g_{\mu\nu} D_0^{(+)}(y-x)] \\ &= -e^2 i g_{\mu\nu} \beta_{ab}^\mu \beta_{cd}^\nu : \bar{\psi}_a(y) \psi_b(y) \bar{\psi}_c(x) \psi_d(x) : D_0^F(x-y), \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

where $T_2^{(1)}(x, y)$ is the 2-point distribution associated with the Moller process and $D_0^F(x) \equiv D_0^{\text{ret}}(x) - D_0^{(-)}(x)$ is the well known Feynman propagator for a massless scalar field.

ii. Computation of the differential cross section

The non-null scattering amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{fi}^{(Mo)}$ will come from the S-matrix term

$$S^{(1)}(g) = \frac{1}{2!} \int d^4 y d^4 x T_2^{(1)}(x, y) g(x) g(y). \quad (140)$$

Regarding (130) and (131), and taking the adiabatic limit for the computations, we have $\mathcal{A}_{fi}^{(Mo)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{fi}^{(Mo)} &= \langle \text{out}_{Mo} | S^{(1)} | \text{in}_{Mo} \rangle \\ &= \int d^3 p_2 d^3 q_2 \int d^3 p_1 d^3 q_1 \Psi_f^*(\mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_f^*(\mathbf{q}_2) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{if}^{(Mo)} \Psi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) \Phi_i(\mathbf{q}_1) \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{if}^{(Mo)} &= \langle 0 | a(\mathbf{p}_2) a(\mathbf{q}_2) S^{(1)} a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) a^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_1) | 0 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2!} \int d^4 y d^4 x e^2 i g_{\mu\nu} \beta_{ab}^\mu \beta_{cd}^\nu D_0^F(x-y) \times \\ &\quad \times \langle 0 | a(\mathbf{p}_2) a(\mathbf{q}_2) \bar{\psi}_a^{(+)}(y) \bar{\psi}_c^{(+)}(x) \psi_b^{(-)}(y) \psi_d^{(-)}(x) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) a^\dagger(\mathbf{q}_1) | 0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

By using Wick theorem, the contractions (82) and (83), and the formula

$$\int d^4 x d^4 y D_0^F(x-y) e^{iAx+iBy} = (2\pi)^4 \delta(A+B) \left[-\frac{1}{A^2 + i0} \right], \quad (143)$$

we can reduce the expression (142) into

$$\mathcal{A}_{fi}^{(Mo)} = \delta(q_2 - q_1 + p_2 - p_1)\mathcal{M}, \quad (144)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{e^2 i g_{\mu\nu}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\overline{u^-}_a(\mathbf{p}_2) \beta_{ab}^\mu u_b^-(\mathbf{p}_1) \overline{u^-}_c(\mathbf{q}_2) \beta_{cd}^\nu u_d^-(\mathbf{q}_1) \frac{1}{(q_2 - q_1)^2 + i0} \right. \\ \left. + \overline{u^-}_a(\mathbf{p}_2) \beta_{ab}^\mu u_b^-(\mathbf{q}_1) \overline{u^-}_c(\mathbf{q}_2) \beta_{cd}^\nu u_d^-(\mathbf{p}_1) \frac{1}{(q_2 - p_1)^2 + i0} \right] \quad (145)$$

As is known, in the center-of-mass reference the differential cross section is given by the following formula

$$\frac{d\sigma_{c.m.}}{d\Omega} = (2\pi)^2 \frac{E^2}{4} |\mathcal{M}|^2. \quad (146)$$

In the particular case of the Moller scattering, we have for the factor $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ the following expression

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \\ = \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^4} \left[\frac{1}{16m^4 p_f^0 p_i^0 q_f^0 q_i^0} \frac{g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\omega}}{(q_f - q_i)^4} \text{Tr} \left\{ \not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \beta^\alpha \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \beta^\omega \right\} \text{Tr} \left\{ \not{q}_f (\not{q}_f + m) \beta^\mu \not{q}_i (\not{q}_i + m) \beta^\nu \right\} \right. \\ + \frac{1}{16m^4 p_f^0 p_i^0 q_f^0 q_i^0} \frac{g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\omega}}{(q_f - q_i)^2 (q_f - p_i)^2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \beta^\alpha \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \beta^\nu \not{q}_f (\not{q}_f + m) \beta^\mu \not{q}_i (\not{q}_i + m) \beta^\omega \right\} \\ + \frac{1}{16m^4 p_f^0 q_i^0 q_f^0 p_i^0} \frac{g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\omega}}{(q_f - p_i)^2 (q_f - q_i)^2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \beta^\alpha \not{q}_i (\not{q}_i + m) \beta^\nu \not{q}_f (\not{q}_f + m) \beta^\mu \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \beta^\omega \right\} \\ \left. + \frac{1}{16m^4 p_f^0 q_i^0 q_f^0 p_i^0} \frac{g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\omega}}{(q_f - p_i)^4} \text{Tr} \left\{ \not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \beta^\alpha \not{q}_i (\not{q}_i + m) \beta^\omega \right\} \text{Tr} \left\{ \not{q}_f (\not{q}_f + m) \beta^\mu \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \beta^\nu \right\} \right]. \quad (147)$$

The traces can be performed with the help of properties (102) and (103). In the bellow expression we present its final result

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{4E^4} \left| \frac{(p_i q_i) + (q_f q_i)}{(q_f - p_i)^2} + \frac{(q_i p_i) + (p_f q_i)}{(p_f - p_i)^2} \right|^2. \quad (148)$$

In order to compare with other results, we will use the Mandelstam variables $s = (p_i + q_i)^2 = (p_f + q_f)^2$, $t = (p_i - p_f)^2$, $u = (p_i - q_f)^2$, to rewrite the expression (148) in the following form

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{16E^4} \left| \frac{s-t}{u} + \frac{s-u}{t} \right|^2. \quad (149)$$

Replacing (149) into (146), we finally obtain

$$\frac{d\sigma_{c.m.}}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4s} \left| \frac{s-t}{u} + \frac{s-u}{t} \right|^2. \quad (150)$$

The final result (146), is identical to that obtained by C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber in [63] and by J. Beltran in [64].

IX. COMPTON SCATTERING

The Compton scattering process is represented as

$$b(p_i) + \gamma(k_i) \rightarrow b(p_f) + \gamma(k_f), \quad (151)$$

where $b(p_{i,f})$ represents a scalar with momentum $p_{i,f}$ and $\gamma(k_{i,f})$ a photon with momentum $k_{i,f}$.

Therefore, the in and out states can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |in_{\text{Comp}}\rangle &= |\Psi_i\rangle \otimes |\Phi_i\rangle \\ &= \int d^3p_1 d^3k_1 \Psi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) \Phi_i(\mathbf{k}_1) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \varepsilon_{iv}(\mathbf{k}_1) c_v^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_1) |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (152)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |out_{\text{Comp}}\rangle &= |\Psi_f\rangle \otimes |\Phi_f\rangle \\ &= \int d^3p_2 d^3k_2 \Psi_f(\mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_f(\mathbf{k}_2) a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_2) \varepsilon_{f\mu}(\mathbf{k}_2) c_\mu^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_2) |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

where $\Psi_{i,f}(\mathbf{p}_1)$ and $\Phi_{i,f}(\mathbf{k}_2)$ are wave packets sharply peaked in $\mathbf{p}_{i,f}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{i,f}$, a^\dagger and c_v^\dagger are the creation operators of a scalar particle and a photon, and ε_{iv} and $\varepsilon_{f\mu}$ are the initial and final vector polarization for photons, respectively.

Therefore, the transition scattering amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{fi} = \langle out_{\text{Comp}} | S | in_{\text{Comp}} \rangle$ is expressed as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_{fi}^{\text{Comp}} = \int d^3p_2 d^3k_2 \int d^3p_1 d^3k_1 \Psi_f^*(\mathbf{p}_2) \Phi_f^*(\mathbf{k}_2) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{fi}^{\text{Comp}} \Psi_i(\mathbf{p}_1) \Phi_i(\mathbf{k}_1), \quad (154)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{fi}^{\text{Comp}} = \langle 0 | a(\mathbf{p}_2) \varepsilon_{f\mu}(\mathbf{k}_2) c_\mu(\mathbf{k}_2) S a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \varepsilon_{iv}(\mathbf{k}_1) c_v^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_1) | 0 \rangle. \quad (155)$$

From (155), it is not difficult to note that the term of T_2 , which give us a non-null value, will come from $D_2^{(2)}$. In consequence, we must causal split these term of D_2 . we can rewrite $D_2^{(2)}$ here in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{(2)} &= e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^v S(x-y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : - \\ &\quad - e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu S(y-x) \beta^v \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) :, \end{aligned} \quad (156)$$

where we can see that the numerical parts to causal split are $S(x-y)$ and $S(y-x)$.

i. The causal splitting of $S(x-y)$

In momentum space the function $\hat{S}(p)$ had given in (56). Thus, in order to determine the order of singularity ω of $\hat{S}(p)$, we will compute the form of $\hat{S}(p/\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right) &= \frac{i}{2\pi m} [p\alpha^{-1}(p\alpha^{-1} + m)] \delta(p^2\alpha^{-2} - m^2) \text{sgn}(p^0\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi m} [p(p + m\alpha)] \delta(p^2 - \alpha^2 m^2) \text{sgn}(p^0\alpha^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (157)$$

Now, by using (157) and (18), we can see that for a power counting function $\rho(\eta) = 1$, we obtain the following non-null quasi-asymptotic distribution

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha) \langle \hat{S}\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}\right), \check{f}(p) \rangle = \langle \frac{i}{2\pi m} [p\check{p}] \delta(p^2) \text{sgn}(p^0), \check{f}(p) \rangle \neq 0. \quad (158)$$

Therefore, from (19), we can obtain the following order of singularity

$$\omega[\hat{S}(p)] = 0. \quad (159)$$

Differently to the Moller process, here we obtain that $\hat{S}(p)$ is a singular distribution. This result agree with the order of singularity founded by G. Scharf et al. [17] for the same process but using Klein-Gordon-Fock formalism. Furthermore, is in contradiction with the standard formalism of QFT where all tree level processes are considered regular ones.

In consequence of its singular nature, the retarded part of $\hat{S}(p)$ is given by (21). By Replacing (56) into (21), we have

$$\hat{r}_0(p) = \frac{1}{m}[\not{p}(\not{p} + m)]\left\{\frac{i}{2\pi}\text{sgn}(p^0)\int_0^\infty dt \frac{2t\hat{D}_m(pt)}{(1-t+\text{sgn}(p^0)i0^+)}\right\} + C, \quad (160)$$

where the constant C is a 5×5 matrix which is not fixed by the causal splitting procedure.

For the sake of evaluating the integral in (160), we can use the fact that the order of singularity of $\hat{D}_m(p)$ is $\omega[\hat{D}_m(p)] = -2$. Thus, using (20), it is not difficult to note that the factor between braces in (160) is $D_m^{\text{ret}}(p)$. Therefore, the most general solution for the retarded part $\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(p)$ is equal to

$$\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(p) = \frac{1}{m}[\not{p}(\not{p} + m)]D_m^{\text{ret}}(p) + C. \quad (161)$$

Furthermore, in the same style of equations (55) or (56), we can write the first term of the right hand side of equation (161) as follows

$$S^{\text{ret}}(p) = \frac{1}{m}[\not{p}(\not{p} + m)]D_m^{\text{ret}}(p), \quad (162)$$

which is the retarded part obtained via the product of $S(x - y)$ by the Heaviside step function. The latter means that we could split $S(x - y)$ by the standard procedure as

$$S(x - y) = S^{\text{ret}}(x - y) - S^{\text{adv}}(x - y), \quad (163)$$

but, *CPT tell us that this splitting is not unique* because the singular order of $S(x - y)$. In configuration space, the most general solution (161) will be rewritten as

$$\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(x - y) = S^{\text{ret}}(x - y) + C\delta(x - y). \quad (164)$$

We will use the configuration space solution (164) to fix C later.

On the other hand, by noting that the numerical part $S(y - x)$ has opposite sign in its input part, we conclude that its retarded part is given by

$$\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(y - x) = -S^{\text{adv}}(y - x) + C'\delta(x - y) \quad (165)$$

With the help of (164) and (165), the retarded distribution $R_2^{(2)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(2)} = & e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(x)\beta^\nu \left(S^{\text{ret}}(x - y) + C\delta(x - y) \right) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y)A_\nu(x) : - \\ & - e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(y)\beta^\mu \left(-S^{\text{adv}}(y - x) + C\delta(x - y) \right) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y)A_\nu(x) : . \end{aligned} \quad (166)$$

Now, by performing the difference $T_2^{(2)} = R_2^{(2)} - R_2^{\prime(2)}$, we obtain for the two point distribution, associated with the Compton process, the following form

$$\begin{aligned} T_2^{(2)}(x, y) = & e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^v \left(-S^F(x-y) + C\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : + \\ & + e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \left(-S^F(y-x) - C'\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^v \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) :, \end{aligned} \quad (167)$$

where $S^F(x) = S^{(-)}(x) - S^{ret}(x) = -S^{(+)}(x) - S^{adv}(x)$ is the feynman propagator as usual.

Because of the symmetry property of $T_2^{(2)}(x, y)$ under the interchange of variable $x \rightleftharpoons y$, we can see that $C' = -C$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_2^{(2)}(x, y) = & e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^v \left(-S^F(x-y) + C\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : + \\ & + e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \left(-S^F(y-x) + C\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^v \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : . \end{aligned} \quad (168)$$

ii. Fixation of constants C

Because of the singular nature of $D_2^{(2)}(x, y)$, the *causal splitting procedure* (based on *causality* and *gauge invariance* at first order) give us a family of 2-point causal distributions $T_2^{(2)}(x, y)$ represented in the freedom of constant C. To fix this constant, we must use other physical properties of the theory.

Graphically, the Compton scattering has two external photon legs, this allows us to use *perturbative gauge invariance* at second order to determine C. Therefore, we need to compute the gauge derivative $d_Q T_2(x, y)$, using (69) this result is

$$\begin{aligned} d_Q T_2(x, y) = & e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^v \left(-S^F(x-y) + C\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: i\partial_\mu u(y) A_\nu(x) : + \\ & + e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^v \left(-S^F(x-y) + C\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) i\partial_\nu u(x) : + \\ & + e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \left(-S^F(y-x) + C\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^v \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) i\partial_\nu u(x) : + \\ & + e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \left(-S^F(y-x) + C\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^v \psi(x) :: i\partial_\mu u(y) A_\nu(x) : \end{aligned} \quad (169)$$

Defining $Q_{xy}^{v\mu}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{xy}^{v\mu} = & : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^v \left[-S^F(x-y) + C\delta(x-y) \right] \beta^\mu \psi(y) : + \\ & + : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu \left[-S^F(y-x) + C\delta(x-y) \right] \beta^v \psi(x) :, \end{aligned} \quad (170)$$

we can rewrite (169), as

$$\begin{aligned} d_Q T_2(x, y) = & e^2 i^2 \partial_\mu^y \left(Q_{xy}^{v\mu} : u(y) A_\nu(x) : \right) - e^2 i^2 \partial_\mu^y \left(Q_{xy}^{v\mu} : u(y) A_\nu(x) : + \right. \\ & \left. + e^2 i^2 \partial_\nu^x \left(Q_{xy}^{v\mu} : A_\mu(y) u(x) : \right) - e^2 i^2 \partial_\nu^x \left(Q_{xy}^{v\mu} : A_\mu(y) u(x) : . \right. \end{aligned} \quad (171)$$

Examining (67) and (171), it is clear that to get a gauge invariance S-matrix at second order, it is necessary to fulfill the following conditions

$$\partial_\nu^x (Q_{xy}^{v\mu}) = 0 = \partial_\mu^y (Q_{xy}^{v\mu}). \quad (172)$$

By evaluating the first derivative of (172), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{v,x}Q^{\mu\nu} = & \partial_{v,x}\bar{\psi}(x)\beta^v[-S^F(x-y) + C\delta(x-y)]\beta^\mu\psi(y) : + \\
& + : \bar{\psi}(x)\beta^v[-\partial_{v,x}S^F(x-y) + C\partial_{v,x}\delta(x-y)]\beta^\mu\psi(y) : + \\
& + : \bar{\psi}(y)\beta^\mu[-\partial_{v,x}S^F(y-x) + C\partial_{v,x}\delta(x-y)]\beta^v\psi(x) : + \\
& + : \bar{\psi}(y)\beta^\mu[-S^F(y-x) + C\delta(x-y)]\beta^v\partial_{v,x}\psi(x) : .
\end{aligned} \tag{173}$$

Besides, we can write the DKP Feynman propagator $S^F(x)$ regarding (51) and (161), thus we obtain

$$S^F(x) = S^{(-)}(x) - S^{ret}(x) = -S^{(+)}(x) - S^{adv}(x) = -\frac{1}{m}[i\cancel{\partial}(i\cancel{\partial} + m)]D^F(x). \tag{174}$$

In order to obtain the derivative of $S^F(x)$, that we need to replace in (173), we can multiplied (174) from the left by $(i\cancel{\partial} - m)$ to obtain the following expression

$$i\cancel{\partial}S^F(x) = mS^F(x) + \frac{i}{m}\cancel{\partial}\delta(x). \tag{175}$$

On the other hand, regarding the property $\beta^{\mu\dagger} = \eta^0\beta^\mu\eta^0$, we can demonstrate that the transpose conjugate of $S^F(x)$ is given by $(S^F(x))^\dagger = \eta^0S^F(-x)\eta^0$. After this, we can conjugate (175) to get the following result

$$-i\partial_v S^F(-x)\beta^v = mS^F(-x) - \frac{i}{m}\cancel{\partial}\delta(x). \tag{176}$$

Replacing (175) and (176) into (173), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{v,x}Q^{\mu\nu} = & + : \bar{\psi}(x)[-\frac{1}{m}\cancel{\partial}\delta(x) + C\cancel{\partial}\delta(x-y)]\beta^\mu\psi(y) : + \\
& + : \bar{\psi}(y)\beta^\mu[-\frac{1}{m}\cancel{\partial}\delta(x) + C\cancel{\partial}\delta(x-y)]\psi(x) :,
\end{aligned} \tag{177}$$

where we can see clear that to satisfy the condition (172), C must be

$$C = \frac{I}{m}, \tag{178}$$

where I is the 5×5 identity matrix.

iii. Computation of the differential cross section

By replacing (178) into (168), we obtain the 2-point distribution associated with the Compton process $T_2^{(2)}(x, y)$ given by the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2^{(2)}(x, y) = & e^2i : \bar{\psi}(x)\beta^v \left(-S^F(x-y) + \frac{I}{m}\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^\mu\psi(y) :: A_\mu(y)A_\nu(x) : \\
& + e^2i : \bar{\psi}(y)\beta^\mu \left(-S^F(y-x) + \frac{I}{m}\delta(x-y) \right) \beta^v\psi(x) :: A_\mu(y)A_\nu(x) : .
\end{aligned} \tag{179}$$

In order to compute the differential cross section, we will denote as $S_2^{(2)}$ the term of S-matrix associated with the Compton scattering. Regarding (179), $S_2^{(2)}$ can be written in the following

form

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y T_2^{(2)}(x, y) g(x) g(y) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta_{cd}^v S^F(x-y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : g(x) g(y) - \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y e^2 i : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta_{ab}^\mu S^F(y-x) \beta^\nu \psi(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : g(x) g(y) + \\
&\quad + \frac{e^2 i}{m} \int d^4x : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\mu \beta^\nu \psi(x) :: A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x) : g^2(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

where in the last integral we had joined the two terms coming from the Dirac delta functions. *This term could be seen as a graph where we have two photons and two scalars legs interacting in the same point.* As pointed out by Akhiezer and Berestetskii in [9], an advantage of DKP theory is that this term do not appear. But, from (180), it is indisputable that such term appears because of the singular nature of the causal propagator associated. The same happens in SQED₄ when it is studied by CPT too [17].

To continue, we will split $S_2^{(2)}$ in the following form

$$S_2^{(2)} = {}_a S_2^{(2)} + {}_b S_2^{(2)}, \tag{181}$$

where

$${}_a S_2^{(2)} = \frac{e^2 i}{m} \int d^4x : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\mu \beta^\nu \psi_d(x) :: A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x) : g^2(x), \tag{182}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_b S_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{e^2 i}{2} \int d^4x d^4y : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu S^F(x-y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : g(x) g(y) \\
&\quad - \frac{e^2 i}{2} \int d^4x d^4y : \bar{\psi}(y) \beta^\mu S^F(y-x) \beta^\nu \psi_d(x) :: A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : g(x) g(y).
\end{aligned} \tag{183}$$

Therefore, the scattering amplitude distribution \tilde{S}_{fi}^{Comp} can be written as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{fi}^{Comp} = {}_a \mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)} + {}_b \mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)} \tag{184}$$

where, in the adiabatic limit $g(x) \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_a \mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)} &= \frac{e^2 i}{m} \int d^4x \langle 0 | a(\mathbf{p}_2) : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\mu \beta^\nu \psi(x) : a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) | 0 \rangle \times \\
&\quad \times \langle 0 | \varepsilon_{f\beta}(\mathbf{k}_2) c_\beta(\mathbf{k}_2) : A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x) : \varepsilon_{i\alpha}(\mathbf{k}_1) c_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_1) | 0 \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{185}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_b \mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)} &= -e^2 i \int d^4x d^4y \langle 0 | a(\mathbf{p}_2) : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\nu S^F(x-y) \beta^\mu \psi(y) : a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) | 0 \rangle \times \\
&\quad \times \langle 0 | \varepsilon_{f\beta}(\mathbf{k}_2) c_\beta(\mathbf{k}_2) : A_\mu(y) A_\nu(x) : \varepsilon_{i\alpha}(\mathbf{k}_1) c_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_1) | 0 \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{186}$$

Before to reduce the expressions (185) and (186), first of all we will consider a real polarization vector ε_ν with the following properties

$$\varepsilon_\nu = (0, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{k} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 = 1. \tag{187}$$

Secondly, we will use the following contractions between the electromagnetic field $A^\mu(x)$ and the creation or annihilation operator for photons

$$\varepsilon_{f\beta}(\mathbf{k}_j) \overline{c_\beta(\mathbf{k}_j)} A_\mu(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \frac{\varepsilon_{f\mu}(\mathbf{k}_j)}{\sqrt{2\omega_j}} e^{ik_j x}, \quad (188)$$

$$A_\mu(x) \varepsilon_{i\beta}(\mathbf{k}_j) c_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_j) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \frac{\varepsilon_{i\mu}(\mathbf{k}_j)}{\sqrt{2\omega}} e^{-ik_j x}. \quad (189)$$

Returning to the computation of ${}_a\mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)}$, we can use Wick theorem and contractions (82), (83), (188) and (189) to reduce the vacuum expectation values in (185), this is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0|a(\mathbf{p}_2) : \bar{\psi}(x) \beta^\mu \beta^\nu \psi(x) : a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1)|0\rangle &= \\ &= \langle 0|\overline{a(\mathbf{p}_2)} : \overline{\psi_a(x) \beta_{ac}^\mu \beta_{cd}^\nu \psi_d(x)} : a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1)|0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} \beta^\mu \beta^\nu u^-(\mathbf{p}_1) e^{-i(p_1 - p_2)x}, \end{aligned} \quad (190)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0|\varepsilon_{f\beta}(\mathbf{k}_2) a_\beta(\mathbf{k}_2) : A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x) : \varepsilon_{i\alpha}(\mathbf{k}_1) a_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_1)|0\rangle &= \\ &= \langle 0|\varepsilon_{f\beta}(\mathbf{k}_f) \overline{a_\beta(\mathbf{k}_f)} : \overline{A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x)} : \varepsilon_{i\alpha}(\mathbf{k}_i) a_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_i)|0\rangle + \\ &\quad + \langle 0|\varepsilon_{f\beta}(\mathbf{k}_2) \overline{a_\beta(\mathbf{k}_2)} : \overline{A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x)} : \varepsilon_{i\alpha}(\mathbf{k}_1) a_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_1)|0\rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^{-3} \frac{\varepsilon_{f\mu}(\mathbf{k}_2)}{\sqrt{2\omega_f}} \frac{\varepsilon_{i\nu}(\mathbf{k}_1)}{\sqrt{2\omega_i}} e^{-i(k_1 - k_2)x} + (2\pi)^{-3} \frac{\varepsilon_{f\nu}(\mathbf{k}_2)}{\sqrt{2\omega_f}} \frac{\varepsilon_{i\mu}(\mathbf{k}_1)}{\sqrt{2\omega_i}} e^{-i(k_1 - k_2)x}. \end{aligned} \quad (191)$$

Replacing (190) and (191) into (185), we obtain

$${}_a\mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)} = \delta(p_1 - p_2 + k_1 - k_2) \mathcal{M}_a, \quad (192)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_a = \frac{ie^2}{m(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{2\omega_1} \sqrt{2\omega_2}} [\overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{\varepsilon}_i u^-(\mathbf{p}_1) + \overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{\varepsilon}_f u^-(\mathbf{p}_1)]. \quad (193)$$

For the reduction of ${}_b\mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)}$, the computation is similar but not equal because of $S(x - y)$ function between the β -matrices. The final result for ${}_b\mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)}$ is

$${}_b\mathcal{A}_{if}^{(2)} = \delta(p_2 + k_2 - p_1 - k_1) \mathcal{M}_b, \quad (194)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_b &= -\frac{e^2 i}{m(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{2\omega_f} \sqrt{2\omega_1}} \left[\frac{\overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} \not{\varepsilon}_i (\not{p}_1 - \not{k}_2) (\not{p}_1 - \not{k}_2 + m) \not{\varepsilon}_f u^-(\mathbf{p}_1)}{(p_1 - k_2)^2 - m^2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\overline{u^-(\mathbf{p}_2)} \not{\varepsilon}_f (\not{p}_1 + \not{k}_1) (\not{p}_1 + \not{k}_1 + m) \not{\varepsilon}_i u^-(\mathbf{p}_1)}{(p_1 + k_1)^2 - m^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (195)$$

With (194) and (192), the transition amplitude $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{fi}^{Comp}$ could be written as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{fi}^{Comp} = \delta(p_2 + k_2 - p_1 - k_1) \mathcal{M}, \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_a + \mathcal{M}_b \quad (196)$$

Using the laboratory reference frame, the differential cross section is given by

$$\left. \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right|_{\text{lab}} = (2\pi)^2 \frac{\omega_f^3 E_f}{m\omega_i} |\mathcal{M}(p_i, k_i, p_f, k_f)|^2. \quad (197)$$

where

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = |\mathcal{M}_a|^2 + \mathcal{M}_a^* \mathcal{M}_b + \mathcal{M}_b^* \mathcal{M}_a + |\mathcal{M}_b|^2 \quad (198)$$

and, because the sharply peaked form of the wave packets, we have the following change of variables

$$p_1 \rightarrow p_i, \quad p_2 \rightarrow p_f, \quad k_1 \rightarrow k_i, \quad k_2 \rightarrow k_f \quad (199)$$

Before of the computation of the terms in (198), we must take into account two facts. Firstly, because we are working in the laboratory system, then $p_i = (m, \mathbf{0})$. The latter implies that

$$p_i \varepsilon_i = 0, \quad p_i \varepsilon_f = 0, \quad (200)$$

which complement the polarization conditions (187) which has the following covariant form

$$\varepsilon_i k_i = 0, \quad \varepsilon_f k_f = 0. \quad (201)$$

Furthermore, the denominators of fractions in the brackets of (195), will be reduced to

$$(p_i - k_f)^2 - m^2 = -2p_i k_f = -2m\omega_f, \quad (202)$$

$$(p_i + k_i)^2 - m^2 = 2p_i k_i = 2m\omega_i. \quad (203)$$

Secondly, we will find traces with the form $\text{Tr}[\hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2 \dots \hat{A}_n]$ which are null in the case where n is odd and in another one that we will present next. By using (103), it is not difficult to obtain the following result

$$\text{Tr}[\hat{A}_1 \hat{A}_2 \dots \hat{A}_{2n}] = (A_1 \cdot A_2)(A_3 \cdot A_4) \dots (A_{2n-1} \cdot A_{2n}) + (A_2 \cdot A_3)(A_4 \cdot A_5) \dots (A_{2n} \cdot A_1). \quad (204)$$

In consequence, from (200), (201) and (204), we can construct many null traces. As an example we could write

$$\text{Tr}[\dots \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{p}_i \not{\varepsilon}_i \dots] = 0, \quad (205)$$

$$\text{Tr}[\dots \not{p}_i \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{k}_f \dots] = 0, \quad (206)$$

$$\text{Tr}[\dots \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{p}_i \not{\varepsilon}_i \dots] = 0, \quad (207)$$

and other combinations.

Now, returning to (198), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |M_a|^2 = & \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^4 \pi^4 \omega_i \omega_f p_i^0 p_f^0} \left(\text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{\varepsilon}_f \right] + \right. \\ & + \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{\varepsilon}_i \right] + \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{\varepsilon}_f \right] + \\ & \left. + \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\varepsilon}_i \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \not{\varepsilon}_f \not{\varepsilon}_i \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (208)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_a^* \mathcal{M}_b &= \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^5 \pi^4 \omega_i \omega_f^2 p_i^0 p_f^0} \text{Tr} \left[(\not{\epsilon}_i \not{\epsilon}_f + \not{\epsilon}_f \not{\epsilon}_i) \not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_i (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f + m) \boxed{\not{\epsilon}_f} \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \right] - \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^5 \pi^4 \omega_i^2 \omega_f p_i^0 p_f^0} \times \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left[(\not{\epsilon}_i \not{\epsilon}_f + \not{\epsilon}_f \not{\epsilon}_i) \not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_f (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i) (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i + m) \boxed{\not{\epsilon}_i} \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \right], \tag{209}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{M}_b|^2 &= \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^4 \pi^4 \omega_f^3 \omega_i} \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_i (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_f \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \boxed{\not{\epsilon}_f} (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f) (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_i \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^4 \pi^4 \omega_f^2 \omega_i^2} \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_i (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f + m) \boxed{\not{\epsilon}_f} \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \not{\epsilon}_i (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i) (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i + m) \not{\epsilon}_f \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^4 \pi^4 \omega_f^2 \omega_i^2} \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_f (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i + m) \not{\epsilon}_i \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \boxed{\not{\epsilon}_f} (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f) (\not{p}_i - \not{k}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_i \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^4 \pi^4 \omega_f \omega_i^3} \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f (\not{p}_f + m) \not{\epsilon}_f (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i + m) \not{\epsilon}_i \not{p}_i (\not{p}_i + m) \boxed{\not{\epsilon}_i} (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i) (\not{p}_i + \not{k}_i + m) \not{\epsilon}_f \right]. \tag{210}
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of null traces combination that we constructed before, we can see that the boxed terms in (209) and (210) are surrounded by other which cancel the terms that they belong, this is

$$\mathcal{M}_a^* \mathcal{M}_b = 0 = \mathcal{M}_b^* \mathcal{M}_a, \quad |\mathcal{M}_b|^2 = 0. \tag{211}$$

Similarly, there are null terms in (208) coming from the products $\not{\epsilon}_i \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_i$, $\not{\epsilon}_f \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_i$, $\not{\epsilon}_i \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_f$ and $\not{\epsilon}_f \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_f$. Avoiding this terms and those with an odd number of β -matrices, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|M_a|^2 &= \frac{e^4}{2^8 m^4 \pi^4 \omega_i \omega_f p_i^0 p_f^0} \left(\text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f \not{p}_f \not{\epsilon}_f \not{\epsilon}_i \not{p}_i \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_i \not{\epsilon}_f \right] + \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f \not{p}_f \not{\epsilon}_f \not{\epsilon}_i \not{p}_i \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_f \not{\epsilon}_i \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f \not{p}_f \not{\epsilon}_i \not{\epsilon}_f \not{p}_i \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_i \not{\epsilon}_f \right] + \text{Tr} \left[\not{p}_f \not{p}_f \not{\epsilon}_i \not{\epsilon}_f \not{p}_i \not{p}_i \not{\epsilon}_f \not{\epsilon}_i \right] \right) \\
&= \frac{e^4}{2^6 \pi^4 \omega_i \omega_f m E_f} (\varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_f)^2 \tag{212}
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing (212) and (211) into (197), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right|_{\text{lab}} &= \frac{e^4 \omega_f^2}{16\pi^2 m^2 \omega_i^2} (\varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_f)^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2 \omega_f^2}{m^2 \omega_i^2} (\varepsilon_f \cdot \varepsilon_i)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (213)$$

In the framework of Klein-Gordon-Fock equation, the result (213) was obtained in [63] and using CPT in [64]. It is important to emphasize here that, as showed in (192), the only non-null contribution for the differential cross section comes from the Dirac delta function which appear because of the order of singularity $\omega = 0$ in the causal splitting process. In other words, as in the standard QFT approach, the only non-null contribution comes from the four legs vertex $\sim: \bar{\psi}(x) \not{A}_\mu(x) \not{A}_\nu(x) \psi(x) :$ which appear from the theory in the CPT point of view.

X. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Along this article, we summarized and used the axiomatic approach for QFT known as CPT to compute the differential cross sections of scattering processes, in the tree level, for SDKP₄. The objective of this computation is compare the results with those obtained for SQED₄ to establish the equivalence between both formalisms.

In section V we introduced the principle of *perturbative gauge invariance* to determine the correct form of the base term $T_1(x_1)$ to construct the S -Matrix for SDKP₄. With the term T_1 defined, we determined the differential cross section in the scattering of a scalar particle via non quantized electromagnetic field obtaining the same result as that obtained in SQED₄. After that, we proceed to use CPT to determine de Causal 2-point distribution $D_2(x_1, x_2)$ which contain many processes: Moller, Bhabha, Compton, vacuum polarization and self-energy.

The differential cross section computed for Moller and Compton processes are the same for the ones obtained via SQED₄. We must highlight the case of Compton scattering where we found a singular DKP propagator $\omega = 0$ because of the extra \not{p} coming from the β -matrix algebra. The same happens in SQED₄ via CPT [17, 64] in the case where two derivatives scalar fields were contracted via Wick theorem. Furthermore, these singular propagators reproduce the second order terms $\sim e^2 A_\mu A^\mu \phi^* \phi$ and $\sim e^2 \bar{\psi} \not{A}_\mu \not{A}^\mu \psi$ for SQED₄ and SDKP₄, respectively. In SQED₄, via CPT or Feynman diagrams, the term $\sim e^2 A_\mu A^\mu \phi^* \phi$ is really important because the contributions from the other diagrams are null [63, 64]. For SDKP₄ we see the same in section IX.

The recovery of the interaction between two scalars and two photons in the same point is the most relevant result of this work. We are completely sure that the interaction of four scalars, needed to have a renormalized theory, could be recovery too. As demonstrated in [17], for SQED₄, the latter comes naturally from the singular order $\omega > 0$ of a general graph with four scalar legs. We let the latter computation for a future work. At least, in the tree level, we conclude that SDKP₄ and SQED₄ are equivalent.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J. Beltran thanks to CAPES for full support and B. M. Pimentel thanks to CNPq for partial support

REFERENCES

- [1] F.J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. **75**, 486 (1949).
- [2] F. Rohrlich, Phys. Rev. **80**, 666 (1950).
- [3] G. Petiau, Acad. Roy. de Belg., **16** (1936).
- [4] R. J. Duffin, Phys. Rev., **54**, 1114 (1938).
- [5] N. Kemmer, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, **173**, 91 (1939).
- [6] T. Kinoshita, Prog. Theor. Phys., **5**, 473 (1950).
- [7] T. Kinoshita, Prog. Theor. Phys., **5**, 749 (1950).
- [8] H. Umezawa, *Quantum Field Theory* (North-Holland, 1956).
- [9] A. I. Akhiezer, V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1965).
- [10] O. Klein, Z. Phys. **37**, 895 (1926); W. Gordon, Z. Phys. **40**, 117 (1926); V. Fock, Z. Phys. **38**, 242 (1926).
- [11] J.T. Lunardi, B.M. Pimentel, R.G. Texeira and J.S. Valverde, Phys. Lett. **A288**, 165 (2000); M. Nowakowski, Phys. Lett. **A244**, 329 (1998).
- [12] J.T. Lunardi, B.M. Pimentel and R.G. Texeira, Procs. Workshop on Geometrical Aspects of Quantum Fields, eds; A.A. Bytsenko, A.E. Gonçalves and B.M. Pimentel (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).
- [13] V.Ya. Fainberg and B.M. Pimentel, Phys. Lett. A **271**, 16 (2000).
- [14] H. Lehmann, K. Symanzik, W. Zimmermann, Nuovo Cim. **1**, 425 (1955).
- [15] V. Ya. Fainberg, B. M. Pimentel, Braz. J. Phys., **30**, 275 (2000)
- [16] H. Epstein and V. Glaser, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré A **19**, 211 (1973).
- [17] M. Dütsch, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, Nuov Cim A, **106**, 227 (1993).
- [18] G. Scharf, Nuov Cim A, **74**, 302 (1983).
- [19] G. Scharf, Nuov Cim A, **93**, 1 (1986).
- [20] M. Dütsch, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, Nuov Cim A, **103**, 903 (1990).
- [21] M. Dütsch, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, Nuov Cim A, **871**, 903 (1990).
- [22] M. Dütsch, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, Phys. Lett. B, **258**, 457 (1991).
- [23] M. Dütsch, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, Nuov Cim A, **105**, 399 (1992).
- [24] M. Dütsch, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys, **19**, 485 (1992).
- [25] M. Dütsch, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys, **19**, 503 (1992).
- [26] M. D'Äijtsch, T. Hurt, G. Scharf, Phys. Lett. B, **327**, 166 (1994).

- [27] G.Scharf, *Finite Quantum Electrodinamica* (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, Berlin, 1995)(2nd edition).
- [28] M. Dütsch, T. Hurt, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, *Nuov Cim A*, **106**, 1029 (1993).
- [29] M. Dütsch, T. Hurt, F. Krahe, G. Scharf, *Nuov Cim A*, **107**, 375 (1994).
- [30] M. Dütsch, T. Hurt, G. Scharf, *Nuov Cim A*, **108**, 679 (1995).
- [31] M. Dütsch, T. Hurt, G. Scharf, *Nuov Cim A*, **108**, 737 (1995).
- [32] A. Aste, G. Scharf, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **14**, 3421 (1999).
- [33] A. Aste, G. Scharf, M. Dütsch, *J. Phys. A*, **31**, 1563 (1998).
- [34] A. Aste, G. Scharf, M. Dütsch, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **30**, 5785 (1997).
- [35] M. Dütsch, G. Scharf, *Annalen Phys.*, **8**, 359 (1999).
- [36] A. Aste, M. Dütsch, G. Scharf, *Annalen Phys.*, **8**, 389 (1999).
- [37] F. Constantinescu, G. Scharf, hep-th/0106090 (2001).
- [38] F. Constantinescu, M. Gut, G. Scharf, hep-th/0106091 (2001); *Ann. Phys.*, **11**, 335 (2002)
- [39] D. R. Grigore, G. Scharf, *Ann. Phys.*, **12**, 643 (2003)
- [40] D. R. Grigore, G. Scharf, *Ann. Phys.*, **17**, 864 (2008).
- [41] G. Scharf, *Nuov Cim A*, **112**, 619 (1999).
- [42] G. Scharf, M. Wellmann, *General Relativity and Gravitation*, **33**, 553 (2001).
- [43] J. B. Berchtold, G. Scharf, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **39**, 1489 (2007).
- [44] G. Scharf, *Rom. J. Phys.*, **53**, 1199 (2008).
- [45] D. R. Grigore, G. Scharf, *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **25**, 225008 (2008).
- [46] D. R. Grigore, G. Scharf, arXiv:0808.3444 (2008).
- [47] G. Scharf, arXiv:0901.1797 (2009)
- [48] D. R. Grigore, G. Scharf, arXiv:1008.1308 (2010)
- [49] G. Scharf, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, **42**, 471 (2010).
- [50] G. Scharf, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, **43**, 1323 (2011).
- [51] G. Scharf, *Journ. Phys.*, **57**, 192 (2012).
- [52] G. Scharf, *Quantum Gauge Theories* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, Chichester, Weinheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto 2001).
- [53] R. Bufalo, B. M. Pimentel, D. E. Soto, *Phys. Rev. D*, **90**, 085012-1 (2014).
- [54] R. Bufalo, B. M. Pimentel, D. E. Soto, *Annals of Physics*, **351**, 1034 (2014).
- [55] R. Bufalo, B. M. Pimentel, D. E. Soto, *Annals of Physics*, **351**, 1062 (2014).

- [56] J. T. Lunardi, B. M. Pimentel, J. S. Valverde, L. A. Manzoni, *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **17**, 205 (2002).
- [57] B. M. Pimentel, J. L. Tomazelli, L. A. Manzoni, *European Physical Journal C*, **12**, 701 (2000).
- [58] B. M. Pimentel, J. L. Tomazelli, L. A. Manzoni, *European Physical Journal C*, **8**, 353 (1999).
- [59] B. M. Pimentel, J. L. Tomazelli, W. F. Wreszinski, G. Scharf, *Annals of Physics* **231**, 185 (1994).
- [60] B. M. Pimentel, J. L. Boldo, J. L. Tomazelli, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **36**, 1565 (1997).
- [61] N. N. Bogoliubov and O. A. Parasiuk, *Acta Math.* **97**, 227 (1957).
- [62] J. Bjorken, S. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964).
- [63] C. Itzykson, J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, International Book Company, 1980).
- [64] J. V. Beltran, Master's thesis IFT-UNESP, (2014).