

Singularity-free and non-chaotic inhomogeneous Mixmaster in polymer representation for the volume of the universe

Stefano Antonini^{1,*} and Giovanni Montani^{1,2,†}

¹*Physics Department, “Sapienza” University of Rome, P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma (Italy)*

²*ENEA, Fusion and Nuclear Safety Department,
C. R. Frascati, Via E. Fermi 45, 00044 Frascati (Roma), Italy*

(Dated: August 3, 2018)

We analyze the semiclassical polymer dynamics of the inhomogeneous Mixmaster model by choosing the cubed scale factor as the discretized configurational variable, while the anisotropies remain pure Einsteinian variables. Such a modified theory of gravity should be regarded as the appropriate framework to describe the behavior of quantum mean values, both in polymer quantum mechanics and in Loop Quantum Cosmology. We first construct the generalized Kasner solution, including a massless scalar field and a cosmological constant in the dynamics. They account for a quasi-isotropization, inflationary-like mechanism. The resulting scenario links a singularity-free Kasner-like regime with a homogeneous and isotropic de Sitter phase. Subsequently, we investigate the role of the three-dimensional scalar curvature, demonstrating that a bounce of the point-universe against the potential walls can always occur within the polymer framework, also in the presence of a scalar field. However, the absence of the singularity implies that the curvature is bounded. Therefore, the point-universe undergoes an oscillatory regime until it oversteps the potential walls. After that, a final stable Kasner-like regime will last all the way toward the Big Bounce. Thus, the present study demonstrates that, as soon as a discretization of the volume of the universe is performed, the generic cosmological solution is non-chaotic and free from singularities. It is likely that the same result can be achieved also in the loop quantum cosmology approach.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 98.80.Qc, 04.60.Pp

I. INTRODUCTION

The construction of a “generic” inhomogeneous solution has represented one of the most important achievements of relativistic cosmology. Such a solution is valid asymptotically toward the initial singularity [1–4], see also [5, 6], and is obtained by extending the Mixmaster dynamics [7, 8], see also [9–11]. Each point of space (de facto, each sufficiently small region around a space point, having the size of the average horizon) is described independently according to the so-called BKL conjecture [12, 13].

The analysis of the inhomogeneous oscillatory regime (“inhomogeneous Mixmaster”) emphasizes how the cosmological singularity is a very general feature of the Einstein equations. However, the non-physical and non-predictive nature of the Einstein equations when approaching the cosmological singularity suggests the necessity of a regularization of the theory. The most reliable picture of a non-singular universe has recently emerged from the implementation of loop quantum gravity [14, 15] to the quantum dynamics of the isotropic universe [16–18], see also [19–21].

Loop quantum gravity has been applied to various cosmological models [22–24]. Possible implications on the BKL conjecture have been discussed in [25]. However, the loop quantization of the Mixmaster model presents

non-trivial subtleties and cannot be regarded as fully achieved. Nonetheless, interesting results about the qualitative behavior of the model have been presented in [26, 27], where it is argued that the homogenous Mixmaster model is, within the framework of loop quantum cosmology, a singularity-free and chaos-free universe.

The non-trivial technical equipment required in loop quantization procedures has led to infer [28–30] that the so-called polymer quantum mechanics [31, 32] (i.e. quantum mechanics on a discrete lattice), when applied to the Minisuperspace, may provide results qualitatively equivalent to those of loop quantum cosmology. In this paper, we analyze the inhomogeneous Mixmaster model by adopting a semiclassical polymer approach, i.e. by replacing the standard Hamiltonian dynamics with the polymer one, within the prescriptions of the WKB limit. Therefore, our results must be regarded as a qualitative description of the behavior of quantum mean values, in the sense of the Ehrenfest theorem.

Polymer quantization of the homogeneous Mixmaster model in standard Misner variables has been addressed both at a semiclassical and at a quantum level. By choosing the anisotropies as polymer configurational variables [33], a singular but non-chaotic cosmology is obtained. On the other hand, it is somewhat surprising that, when the polymer variable is the isotropic one [30], both the singularity and the chaotic behavior of the Mixmaster are preserved. These two cases have been treated separately because they represent two physically different approaches. Indeed, by choosing the polymer representation for the anisotropies, we are affecting the real grav-

* stefanoantonini94@gmail.com

† giovanni.montani@enea.it

itational degrees of freedom, while the discretization of the isotropic variable, which is related to the volume of the universe, alter the geometrical properties of the system. Furthermore, the analysis carried out in [34] shows how the dynamics of the isotropic universe changes under different choices of the polymer isotropic configurational variable. In particular, it has been demonstrated that the semiclassical results of loop quantum cosmology are achieved only by using the cubed cosmic scale factor, i.e. the volume of the universe, as the polymer variable. In this paper, we use an analogous isotropic variable to provide a semiclassical polymer description of the inhomogeneous Mixmaster.

After a brief review of the inhomogeneous Mixmaster and of polymer quantization, we will derive in Section IV the Bianchi I polymer solution, including a scalar field and a cosmological constant in the dynamics. They allow to account for an inflationary scenario, able to isotropize the Mixmaster [35]. The absence of the cosmological singularity, replaced by a Big Bounce, will emerge naturally. Then, we will analyze the transition process between two successive Kasner regimes in the Misner representation [8]. This study demonstrates that the bounces responsible for such transitions are always possible, surprisingly also in the presence of a scalar field. Afterward, we will remark how the absence of the singularity implies that the potential walls cannot be approximated as infinite anymore, but they have a well-defined maximum height. Hence, if the kinetic term of the ADM-reduced Hamiltonian is larger than the spatial curvature term, the point-universe is able to overstep the potential walls. We can infer that, after a certain number of bounces, such a condition will be fulfilled. Indeed, the point-universe evolution covers all the available phase space, according to the Mixmaster-like scenario, until it will be able to overstep the potential walls. From that moment on, a final, stable Kasner-like regime will last all the way toward the Big Bounce. This result is in accordance with the loop quantum cosmology analysis [26, 27], but generalizes the latter to a generic inhomogeneous universe. In fact, in Section V we will prove that the BKL conjecture is still valid within the polymer framework.

The present study possesses two main merits. First, it suggests the existence of a singularity-free and non-chaotic dynamics for a generic cosmological solution when the polymer quantization scheme is applied. A quasi-isotropization mechanism driven by the cosmological constant allows to link such an early phase of the universe with a later homogeneous and isotropic phase. Second, it emphasizes that these features, very similar to those present in loop quantum cosmology, are obtained only when the polymer quantization affects the dynamics of an isotropic variable directly related to a geometrical structure, i.e. the volume of the universe.

II. INHOMOGENEOUS MIXMASTER

This section is devoted to characterizing the generic cosmological problem and its relationship with the homogeneous Mixmaster model. We will work in Planck units. The line element of a generic foliated spacetime is:

$$ds^2 = N^2 dt^2 - h_{\alpha\beta} (dx^\alpha + N^\alpha dt) (dx^\beta + N^\beta dt) \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$, $N(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the lapse function and $N^\alpha(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the shift vector. Without loss of generality, the triadic projection of the three-dimensional metric tensor can be chosen to be diagonal:

$$h_{\alpha\beta}(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{q_1(t, \mathbf{x})} l_\alpha^1 l_\beta^1 + e^{q_2(t, \mathbf{x})} l_\alpha^2 l_\beta^2 + e^{q_3(t, \mathbf{x})} l_\alpha^3 l_\beta^3.$$

Following [36], we assume the Kasner vectors l_α^a to depend only on spatial coordinates. The generalized Misner variables are defined as:

$$q_a(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{3} \ln [V(t, \mathbf{x})] + 2\beta_a(t, \mathbf{x})$$

with $a = 1, 2, 3$ and $\beta_a = (\beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-, \beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-, -2\beta_+)$. V is the isotropic variable, proportional to the spatial volume of the universe, while β_\pm are the anisotropies. Let us include a massless, self-interacting scalar field ϕ in the dynamics. Through a suitable symmetry-breaking mechanism, typical of the inflationary paradigm, the *slow-roll* condition $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V(\phi)$ can be satisfied, as well as the condition:

$$|\nabla\phi|^2 \ll V[\phi(t, \mathbf{x})] \sim \tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Rescaling the scalar field and by means of the Legendre transformation of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian density associated to the line element (1), the following Hamiltonian action functional is obtained:

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_{\mathbb{R} \otimes \Sigma_t} d^3x dt \left(p_v \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \sum_r p_r \frac{\partial \beta_r}{\partial t} - N\mathcal{H} - N^\gamma \mathcal{H}_\gamma \right)$$

where $r = +, -, \phi$ and $\beta_\phi \equiv \phi$. A variation with respect to the lapse function and the shift vector leads to the superhamiltonian and supermomentum constraints respectively:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{3\chi}{4} \left[-V p_v^2 + \frac{\sum_r p_r^2}{9V^2} + \frac{V^{\frac{1}{3}}}{3\chi^2} U_{\text{in}} + V\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \right] = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\gamma = & p_v \partial_\gamma V + \sum_r p_r \partial_\gamma \beta_r - \partial_\gamma \left(V p_v + \frac{1}{6} p_+ + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} p_- \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{6} \partial_\beta \left[2\sqrt{3} p_- l_2^\beta l_\gamma^2 + (3p_+ + \sqrt{3}p_-) l_3^\beta l_\gamma^3 \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We remark how the self-interacting scalar field, under the slow-roll condition, is equivalent to a free scalar field

and a cosmological constant $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$. The dependence of Λ on the spatial coordinates means that the slow-roll condition may be satisfied differently in different points of space. The potential term, due to the three-dimensional scalar curvature, can be split as $U_{\text{in}} = U_{\text{B}} + W$, where W contains spatial gradients of the configurational variables and

$$U_{\text{B}}(\beta_+, \beta_-, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{a=1}^3 \lambda_a^2 e^{4\beta_a} - \sum_{a \neq b} \lambda_a \lambda_b e^{\beta_a + \beta_b} \quad (4)$$

is the inhomogeneous generalization of the potential of the Bianchi models. The last term in equation (4) can be neglected in the limit $V \rightarrow 0$ [36]. Unlike the homogeneous case, the quantities λ_a are not constant, but they are defined as $\lambda_a(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{I}^a(\mathbf{x}) \cdot [\nabla \wedge \mathbf{I}^a(\mathbf{x})] / v(\mathbf{x})$ with $v(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{I}^1(\mathbf{x}) \cdot [\mathbf{I}^2(\mathbf{x}) \wedge \mathbf{I}^3(\mathbf{x})]$. If the term W in the potential is negligible with respect to U_{B} , the superhamiltonian reduces to that one of the Bianchi models, and the spatial coordinates appear only as parameters. Thus, by means of the gauge choice $N^\gamma = 0$, each point of space evolves independently and can be described using a homogeneous Bianchi model [1]. The most general choice is to consider $\lambda_a(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0 \forall a$, i.e. to examine models similar to either the Bianchi VIII or the Bianchi IX model, but where λ_a are not necessarily unitary. Additionally, the corresponding solutions of the dynamics must satisfy also the supermomentum constraint, which identically vanishes for a homogeneous spacetime. In the following we will assume W to be negligible according to the BKL conjecture [1], approximating point-by-point the inhomogeneous cosmological model with a Mixmaster-like model. In Section V we will verify the reliability of this assumption by solving the supermomentum constraint and explicitly evaluating the term W .

III. POLYMER QUANTIZATION

Polymer representation is a formulation of quantum mechanics unitarily-inequivalent to the Schrödinger one [31]. In order to build it, the starting point is the Weyl quantization procedure. A non-countable basis of the non-separable polymer kinematic Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{poly}}$ is provided by abstract kets $|\nu\rangle$, with $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. The scalar product between two fundamental kets is given by $\langle \lambda | \nu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \nu}$. A representation of the Weyl algebra on such a Hilbert space is obtained by means of two fundamental operators, the label operator \hat{e} and the displacement operator $\hat{S}(\beta)$, whose action on the kets is:

$$\hat{e}|\nu\rangle = \nu|\nu\rangle; \quad \hat{S}(\beta)|\nu\rangle = |\nu + \beta\rangle.$$

Since $\forall \beta \neq 0 \langle \nu | \nu + \beta \rangle = 0$, the action of the displacement operator is discontinuous with respect to the parameter β . Thus, $\hat{S}(\beta)$ cannot be expressed in terms of an exponential of a Hermitian operator which generates the displacement. By considering an one-dimensional system

with configurational variable q and conjugate momentum p , the states corresponding to the fundamental kets in the momentum polarization take the form $\langle p | \nu \rangle = e^{i\nu p}$. Therefore, the operator \hat{q} is identified with the label operator \hat{e} and has a discrete spectrum (its eigenvalues are associated to orthogonal eigenstates). On the other hand, the displacement operator reads $\hat{S}(\beta) = e^{i\beta p}$ and the operator \hat{p} is not defined. As a consequence, a generic Hamiltonian $H = p^2/(2m) + V(q)$ cannot be immediately promoted to be an operator on the Hilbert space. The definition of the dynamics requires the introduction of a lattice, called *graph*, on the configurational space:

$$\gamma_\mu = \{q \in \mathbb{R} | q = n\mu, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

where the polymer parameter μ is the distance between two neighboring points of the graph. In order to remain within this reduced configurational space, the parameter of the displacement operator must be a multiple of the polymer parameter: $\beta = k\mu$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The fundamental displacement operator is then $\hat{S}(\mu) = \exp(i\mu p)$. By approximating the conjugate momentum as:

$$p \rightarrow \frac{1}{2i\mu} (e^{i\mu p} - e^{-i\mu p}) = \frac{\sin(\mu p)}{\mu} \quad (5)$$

it is now possible to promote the Hamiltonian to be an operator on the Hilbert space. The kinetic term will be in fact expressed by means of the fundamental displacement operator. The approximation (5) is clearly valid only if $\mu p \ll 1$. The problem of recovering the standard formulation of quantum mechanics (continuum limit) through successive refinements of the graph and a renormalization procedure is extensively discussed in [31, 32]. It is worth noting that, if one hypothesizes, as loop quantum gravity suggests, a space discretization at small length scales (for instance at the Planck scale), polymer representation can be regarded as a natural framework to study cosmological models. Indeed, the discrete nature of the space is reflected by the discrete structure of the polymer configurational space. Thus, the dynamical differences between the standard and the polymer-modified systems where the approximation (5) is not valid anymore may be a hint of the presence of new physics.

IV. BIG BOUNCE AND ABSENCE OF CHAOS

In the following we will analyze the behavior of the cosmological model introduced in Section II from a semiclassical perspective. The superhamiltonian and supermomentum constraints will be modified by means of the approximation (5) and the corresponding classical Hamilton's equations will be solved. The discrete configurational variable is the isotropic variable V . This study can be regarded as a zeroth order WKB approximation of the full quantum system. By neglecting spatial gradients and choosing $0 < \lambda_a \leq 1 \forall a$ in the potential term (4),

the polymer-modified superhamiltonian constraint reads:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{3\chi}{4} \left[-V \frac{\sin^2(\mu p_v)}{\mu^2} + \frac{\sum_r p_r^2}{9V^2} + \frac{V^{\frac{1}{3}}}{3\chi^2} U_{IX} + V\Lambda \right] = 0 \quad (6)$$

where U_{IX} is the Mixmaster-like potential. Let us first investigate the behavior of the model in a region where the potential term is negligible. We immediately note that the superhamiltonian constraint can be satisfied only if the condition

$$V > V_{min} \equiv \frac{\mu}{3} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_r p_r^2}{1 - \mu^2 \Lambda}} \quad (7)$$

holds. In other words, in the polymer framework the volume cannot vanish and the singularity is not present anymore. The condition $\mu^2 \Lambda < 1$, necessary for the minimum volume to be defined, is physically reasonable. Indeed, in Planck units $L_p = E_p = 1$ and we expect a space discretization at the Planck scale ($\mu \sim 1$). Additionally, the Hamilton's equation for the volume V suggests that, in order to deal with an expanding universe, we must choose one of the following branches for the momentum p_v :

$$\frac{n\pi}{\mu} - \frac{\pi}{2\mu} < p_v < \frac{n\pi}{\mu}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The natural choice is $n = 0$, which gives the correct continuum limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$. In the synchronous gauge ($N = 1$), using the superhamiltonian constraint (6) and requiring the initial condition $V(t = 0) = V_{min}$, the solution of the Hamilton's equation for the volume V is:

$$V(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_r p_r^2 \left[\cosh \left(3\chi \sqrt{\Lambda} \sqrt{1 - \mu^2 \Lambda} t \right) - 1 + 2\mu^2 \Lambda \right]}{18\Lambda(1 - \mu^2 \Lambda)}}. \quad (8)$$

The singularity is clearly replaced by a Big Bounce. The minimum volume (7) is proportional to the polymer parameter μ and depends on the initial conditions for the momenta p_r , which are constants of motion. Far from the Bounce, the volume grows exponentially due to the presence of the cosmological constant. By performing the ADM reduction of the system and choosing the volume V as the internal time variable ($\dot{V} = 1$), the solution of the Hamilton's equations associated to the anisotropies and to the scalar field can be written as:

$$\beta_r(V, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p_r}{3\sqrt{\sum_r p_r^2}} \left[\mathcal{G}(V, \mu, \Lambda, p_r) + \ln \left(\frac{\mu}{6} \right) \right] + C_{\beta_r}(\mu) \quad (9)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda\mu}} \Re \left\{ F \left[\operatorname{isinh}^{-1} \left(3\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{\sum_r p_r^2}} V \right) \middle| 1 - \frac{1}{\Lambda\mu^2} \right] \right\} \quad (10)$$

where \Re indicates the real part and $F(x|m)$ is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind. The logarithmic




Figure 1. Volume $V(t, \mathbf{x})$ (extended to negative values of t) as a function of the synchronous time t . $p_r = \Lambda = 0.2$, $\mu = 1$. A choice for Λ consistent with inflationary theories would be several order of magnitude smaller. $\Lambda = 0.2$ allows to emphasize the behavior of the bridge solution.




Figure 2. Anisotropies $\beta_{\pm}(t, \mathbf{x})$ (extended to negative values of t) as a function of the synchronous time t . $p_r = \Lambda = 0.2$, $\mu = 1$, $C_{\beta_r} = 0$. By choosing $p_r < 0$ the anisotropies reach their maximum value at the Big Bounce.

term is obtained by splitting the constant of integration and allows to recover the standard (non-polymer) solution of the Hamilton's equations in the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$ [35]. By substituting expression (8) for the volume into the solution (9), the behavior of the anisotropies as functions of the synchronous time can be investigated. In the polymer scenario, approaching the Big Bounce, the anisotropies do not diverge as it happened in the standard case. Indeed, when $V = V_{min}$ the term (10) vanishes and they assume a constant value logarithmically dependent on the polymer parameter μ , which acts as a cutoff. On the other hand, far from the Bounce, the anisotropies tend toward a constant value. The latter can be absorbed by an appropriate (and local) rescaling of the coordinate system. This does mean that we obtained a *bridge solution*, connecting a non-singular, inhomogeneous and anisotropic, Kasner-like early phase of the

universe with a later homogeneous and isotropic phase. Thus, the quasi-isotropization mechanism described in [35, 37] is preserved under the polymer modification. It is remarkable that, if the Kasner vector \mathbf{l}_a associated to the scale factor growing toward the singularity satisfies the condition $\mathbf{l}_a \cdot (\nabla \wedge \mathbf{l}_a) = 0$, this solution is stable toward the Big Bounce [38]. As it is well-known [8], the ADM-reduced system is equivalent to the description of a two-dimensional particle (the so-called point-universe) with coordinates β_+ and β_- , while V is regarded as the time variable. The polymer-modified ADM Hamiltonian reads:

$$H_{ADM} = \frac{1}{\mu} \arcsin \left[\frac{\mu}{3V} \sqrt{\sum_r p_r^2 + \frac{3}{\chi^2} V^{\frac{4}{3}} U_{IX} + 9\Lambda V^2} \right].$$

Following the same procedure carried out in [8], in the standard (non-polymer) description the last term in equation (4) can be neglected and the potential term can be regarded point-by-point as a triangular infinite and vertical wall when approaching the singularity. When the ‘‘particle’’ representing the universe is far from the walls, it behaves according to the Bianchi I dynamics. The walls recede with a velocity $|\beta'_{wall}| = 1/(6V)$. In vacuum the velocity of the particle is $|\beta'| = 1/(3V)$. Therefore it always reaches the walls and bounces against them, no matter its angle of incidence. The bounce corresponds to the transition between two Kasner epochs, i.e. to the change of the momenta p_{\pm} . Since the velocity of the particle diverges when approaching the singularity, an infinite number of bounces takes place and the system shows chaotic and ergodic properties [9, 39]. p_{\pm} will assume all the possible values. The presence of a scalar field suppresses this chaotic behavior, ensuring the existence of a final, stable Kasner epoch [40]. In the polymer-modified scenario, the potential wall is not infinite anymore. Indeed, the volume does not vanish and the singularity is replaced with the Big Bounce. Nonetheless, as long as the kinetic term in the ADM Hamiltonian is smaller than the potential one, the walls can still be considered infinite. Their velocity is again $|\beta'_{wall}| = 1/(6V)$. The velocity of the particle in the presence of a scalar field, found in the same way as in the standard case, is now:

$$|\beta'| = \frac{1}{3V \cos(\mu H_{ADM})} \sqrt{1 - \frac{p_{\phi}^2 + 9\Lambda V_{min}^2}{\sum_r p_r^2 + 9\Lambda V_{min}^2}}.$$

We remark that the velocity of the particle diverges when $V \rightarrow V_{min}$, while $|\beta'_{wall}|$ is finite. Hence, unlike the standard case, the particle reaches the wall for any angle of incidence even in the presence of a scalar field. Therefore, bounces will occur and, in analogy with the standard case, p_{\pm} will assume all the possible values, until the condition

$$\sum_r p_r^2 + 9\Lambda V^2 > \max \left(\frac{3}{\chi^2} V^{\frac{4}{3}} U_{IX} \right) \quad (11)$$

will be satisfied. Taking into account only the left wall of the potential (associated to the term $\lambda_3^2 e^{-8\beta_+}$) and using

expression (9) for the anisotropies, the right hand side of the condition (11) has a maximum in $V = V_{min}$. The condition can then be rewritten as:

$$p_+^2 + p_-^2 > \frac{3\lambda_3^6 (1 - \mu^2 \Lambda) e^{-24C_{\beta_+}}}{6^{-8 \cos \theta_i} \chi^6} \mu^{4(1-2 \cos \theta_i)} - p_{\phi}^2 \quad (12)$$

where $\cos \theta_i = p_+ / \sqrt{p_+^2 + p_-^2}$ is the angle of incidence of the particle. It is worth noting that if the particle approaches the left wall, $\theta_i < \pi/3$. If $\theta_i > \pi/3$, it is possible to find an analogous condition for another wall. When the polymer parameter μ is finite, the right hand side of expression (12) is always bounded and, after a number of bounces, the condition will be satisfied. When it happens, the particle will overstep the potential wall and a final, stable Kasner epoch will last all the way toward the Big Bounce. The minimum volume will be that associated to the last Kasner epoch. The same reasoning is valid also in vacuum. Therefore, the chaotic behavior of the inhomogeneous Mixmaster model is suppressed within the polymer framework. In the continuum limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$, the right hand side of the condition (12) diverges and we recover the infinite walls of the standard description. We finally remark that, since the Mixmaster expands, reaches a turning point and then recollapses [41], if the accelerated expansion comes to an end, the presence of the Big Bounce suggests that our model describes a cyclical universe.

V. SPATIAL GRADIENTS

In the previous section we have assumed the BKL conjecture to be valid also in polymer representation. Thus we have neglected both the last term in equation (4) and the term W in the potential, which contains spatial gradients of the configurational variables. Let us now justify this assumption. Starting from the components of the three-dimensional scalar curvature, obtained in [38], and using the generalized Misner variables, it is possible to write the explicit expression for W . All the terms contained in W have a factor $\exp(\beta_a + \beta_b)$ with $a \neq b$, and some of them depend on spatial gradients of the configurational variables ($\partial_{\gamma} V$, $\partial_{\gamma} \beta_{\pm}$). The supermomentum constraint (3) must be modified according to the polymer prescription (5). Then, using the anisotropies (9), it can be solved to obtain an explicit expression for $\partial_{\gamma} V$, which does not diverge in the limit $V \rightarrow V_{min}$. Additionally, evaluating $\partial_{\gamma} \beta_{\pm}$ by means of expression (9) for the anisotropies and substituting $\partial_{\gamma} V$, it is immediate to find that, in the limit $V \rightarrow V_{min}$, $\partial_{\gamma} \beta_{\pm}$ is finite too. These spatial gradients depend on the polymer parameter μ either logarithmically or as a power law with positive exponent. It is well-known [36] that, in the standard picture and in the limit $V \rightarrow 0$, the terms $\exp(\beta_a + \beta_b)$ with $a \neq b$ are negligible with respect to $\exp(2\beta_a)$ where the potential is relevant. Thus, in the polymer framework, they are negligible if the condition $V \ll 1$ is satisfied. Therefore,

the condition $V_{min} \ll 1$ must be satisfied too, because $V_{min} \leq V$. It is remarkable that, if $V_{min} \ll 1$ is satisfied for the first Kasner epoch, it is satisfied for all the following Kasner epochs. Indeed, V_{min} cannot become bigger than V , which decreases monotonically. Since the logarithmic growth of the spatial gradients cannot affect this behavior, if $V_{min} \ll 1$ both the last term in the Bianchi-like potential (4) and the term W are negligible. Therefore, by requiring $V_{min} \ll 1$, i.e. $\sum_r p_r^2 \ll 9(1 - \mu^2 \Lambda) / \mu^2$, the BKL picture holds and the analysis carried out in the previous section is completely justified. The last step is to verify that such a condition, which must be always satisfied, is compatible with the condition (12). Both conditions are satisfied if the relation

$$\frac{3\lambda_3^6(1 - \mu^2 \Lambda)e^{-24C_{\beta+}}}{6^{-8 \cos \theta_i} \chi^6} \mu^{4(1-2 \cos \theta_i)} < \sum_r p_r^2 \ll \frac{9(1 - \mu^2 \Lambda)}{\mu^2} \quad (13)$$

holds. It is possible only if

$$\begin{cases} \mu \ll \left(\frac{3\chi^6 6^{-8 \cos \theta_i}}{\lambda_3^6 e^{-24C_{\beta+}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2(3-4 \cos \theta_i)}} & \frac{1}{2} < \cos \theta_i < \frac{3}{4} \\ \mu \gg \left(\frac{3\chi^6 6^{-8 \cos \theta_i}}{\lambda_3^6 e^{-24C_{\beta+}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2(3-4 \cos \theta_i)}} & \frac{3}{4} < \cos \theta_i < 1. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

If the conditions (14) and $V_{min} \ll 1$ are always fulfilled, the generic cosmological solution behaves as outlined in Section IV.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work we have constructed the generic cosmological solution in the semiclassical polymer representation for the isotropic variable only. The presence of a Big Bounce naturally arises when choosing the cubed scale factor as the generalized coordinate, while the chaotic behavior of the (inhomogeneous) Mixmaster is suppressed. These results significantly overlap those obtained in loop quantum cosmology, developed in [26, 27]. Moreover, the flexibility of the polymer scenario has allowed us to extend the analysis to the generic inhomogeneous case. This suggests that also loop quantum cosmology would produce a generic non-chaotic bounce cosmology when applied to the cosmological problem with no symmetry restrictions [19, 20, 25].

The present study (similarly to [34]) also strongly suggests that the polymer quantization in the Minisuperspace is equivalent to loop quantum cosmology only if the discretized variable is directly related to a geometrical quantity. In fact, as shown in [30], by discretizing the standard isotropic Misner variable, i.e. the logarithm of the volume instead of the volume itself, both the singularity and the chaotic behavior of the Mixmaster model are still present, and their properties are analogous to the standard, non-polymer case [30].

Apart from the cosmological relevance of the generic inhomogeneous solution we have constructed, which is able to link a non-singular Kasner-like dynamics with the isotropic de Sitter phase, the dependence of the behavior of the model on the choice of the discretized configurational variable and the analogies with the loop quantum cosmology approach should be regarded as the main results of the present work.

-
- [1] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, and E. M. Lifshitz, *Adv. Phys.* **31**, 639 (1982).
 - [2] A. A. Kirillov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **76**, 355 (1993).
 - [3] G. Montani, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12**, 2505 (1995).
 - [4] R. Benini and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 103527 (2004).
 - [5] J. D. Barrow and F. J. Tipler, *Phys. Reports* **56**, 371 (1979).
 - [6] J. M. Heinzle and C. Uggla, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 075016 (2009).
 - [7] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, and E. M. Lifshitz, *Adv. Phys.* **19**, 525 (1970).
 - [8] C. W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.* **186**, 1319 (1969).
 - [9] A. A. Kirillov and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 6225 (1997).
 - [10] G. P. Imponente and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 103501 (2001).
 - [11] N. J. Cornish and J. J. Levin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 998 (1997).
 - [12] M. V. Battisti, R. Benini, G. Imponente, and G. Montani, *Int. J. Mod. Phys A* **23**, 2353 (2008).
 - [13] M. V. Battisti, R. Benini, G. Imponente, and G. Montani, *Primordial Cosmology* (World Scientific Publishing, 2011).
 - [14] F. Cianfrani, O. M. Lecian, M. Lulli, and G. Montani, *Canonical Quantum Gravity* (World Scientific Publishing, 2014).
 - [15] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
 - [16] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski, and P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 124038 (2006).
 - [17] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski, and P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 141301 (2006).
 - [18] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski, and P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 084003 (2006).
 - [19] F. Cianfrani and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 021501 (2010).
 - [20] F. Cianfrani and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 024027 (2012).
 - [21] F. Cianfrani, A. Marchini, and G. Montani, *EPL* **99**, no. 1, 10003 (2012).
 - [22] A. Ashtekar and E. Wilson-Ewing, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 083535 (2009).

- [23] A. Ashtekar and E. Wilson-Ewing, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 123532 (2009).
- [24] E. Wilson-Ewing, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 043508 (2010).
- [25] A. Ashtekar, A. Henderson, and D. Sloan, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 084024 (2011).
- [26] M. Bojowald, G. Date, and G. M. Hossain, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 3541 (2004).
- [27] M. Bojowald and G. Date, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 071302 (2004).
- [28] A. Ashtekar, *Int. J. Mod. Phys D* **5**, no. 6, 629 (1996).
- [29] A. Ashtekar, J. C. Baez, and K. Krasnov, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **4**, 1 (2000).
- [30] C. Crinò, G. Montani, and G. Pintaudi, arXiv:1805.01212 [gr-qc] (2018).
- [31] A. Corichi, T. Vukasinac, and J. A. Zapata, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 044016 (2007).
- [32] A. Corichi, T. Vukasinac, and J. A. Zapata, *Class. Quant. Gravity* **24**, 6 (2007).
- [33] O. M. Lecian, G. Montani, and R. Moriconi, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 103511 (2013).
- [34] F. Bombacigno, C. Mantero, and G. Montani, arXiv:1806.10364 [gr-qc] (2018).
- [35] A. A. Kirillov and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 064010 (2002).
- [36] G. Imponente and G. Montani, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **861**, 383, Paris, 2005.
- [37] F. Cianfrani, G. Montani, and M. Muccino, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 103524 (2010).
- [38] I. M. Khalatnikov and E. M. Lifshitz, *Advances in Physics* **12**, 185 (1963).
- [39] E. M. Lifshitz, I. M. Khalatnikov, Y. G. Sinai, K. M. Khanin, and L. N. Shchur, *JETP Letters* **38**, 91 (1983).
- [40] V. A. Belinskii and I. M. Khalatnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **36**, 591 (1972).
- [41] X. F. Lin, R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 2444 (1990).