

MExGlue De-Mess-ed (or M-Theory Exotic Scalar Glueball Decays to Mesons at Finite Coupling)

Vikas Yadav* and Aalok Misra†

Department of Physics,
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India

Abstract

Using the pull-back of the perturbed type IIA metric corresponding to the perturbation of [1]’s M-theory uplift of [2]’s UV-complete top-down type IIB holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD, at finite coupling, we obtain the interaction Lagrangian corresponding to exotic scalar glueball(G_E)- ρ/π -meson interaction, linear in the exotic scalar glueball and up to quartic order in the π mesons. In the Lagrangian the coupling constants are determined as (radial integrals of) [1]’s M-theory uplift’s metric components and six radial functions appearing in the M-theory metric perturbations. Assuming $M_G > 2M_\rho$, we then compute $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$, $G_E \rightarrow 2\pi$, 2ρ , $\rho + 2\pi$ decay widths as well as the direct and indirect (mediated via ρ mesons) $G_E \rightarrow 4\pi$ decays. For numerics, we choose $f_0[1710]$ and compare with previous calculations. We emphasize that our results can be made to match PDG data (and improvements thereof) exactly by appropriate tuning of some constants of integration appearing in the solution of the M-theory metric perturbations and the ρ and π meson radial profile functions - a flexibility that our calculations permits.

*email- viitr.dph2015@iitr.ac.in

†email-aalokfph@iitr.ac.in

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Background: Large-N Thermal QCD at Finite Gauge Coupling from M-Theory	4
3	Glueballs from M-theory metric perturbations	10
4	Meson Sector	18
4.1	Radial Profile Function $\psi_1(Z)$ for ρ -Meson	21
4.2	Radial Profile Function $\phi_0(Z)$ for π -Meson	23
5	Glueball-Meson Interaction Lagrangian	23
6	Decay widths	31
6.1	$G_E \rightarrow 2\pi$	31
6.2	$G_E \rightarrow 2\rho$	32
6.3	$\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$	34
6.4	Direct Glueball Decay to $4\pi^0$ s	35
6.5	$G_E \rightarrow \rho + 2\pi$	38
6.6	Indirect Decay of Glueball to 4π	39
7	Summary and Discussion	41
A	SYZ Free of Delocalization - An Instructive Example of the Mirror of $D5$ Wrapping the Resolved S^2 with B [21] Summarized	43
B	M theory Metric Components	47
C	Schrödinger-Like Potential for the Radial Profile Function for ρ Mesons	50

1 Introduction

The non-abelian nature of QCD makes it possible to form color-neutral bound states of gauge bosons known as glueballs (gg, ggg, etc). In pure Yang-Mills theory these are the only possible particle states. Glueballs are represented by quantum numbers J^{PC} , where J denotes total angular momentum, P denotes parity, and C denotes charge conjugation. Their spectrum has been studied in detail in lattice gauge theory. Despite the theoretical proof of existence of glueballs their experimental identification remains difficult. This difficulty in the identification arises mainly because of lack of information about coupling of glueballs with quark-antiquark states in strongly coupled QCD. Lattice simulation of QCD provides a reliable means of studying the glueballs, but lattice simulation of QCD with dynamical quarks are notoriously difficult. Lattice QCD predicts the mass of the lightest scalar glueball to be around 1600-1800 MeV.

In this paper we have obtained the decay width for ‘exotic’ scalar glueball by explicitly computing the couplings between scalar glueballs and mesons by using [1]’s M-theory uplift of [2]’s type IIB holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD at finite gauge coupling. In the past few decades AdS/CFT correspondence [3] and its generalization - gauge/gravity duality - has been used extensively to study non-supersymmetric gauge field theories. The AdS/CFT(gauge-gravity duality) establishes a map between correlation functions of gauge invariant composite operators with large N_c and large ’t Hooft coupling to perturbations of certain backgrounds in classical(super-)gravity. Gauge/gravity duality has been used to compute glueball and meson spectra in large N_c QCD.

In the past decade, (glueballs and) mesons have been studied extensively to gain new insights into the non-perturbative regime of QCD. Various holographic setups such as soft-wall model, hard wall model, modified soft wall model, etc. amongst the bottom-up approaches and the Sakai-Sugimoto model, have been used to obtain the glueball and meson spectra[8] and study interaction between them. Let us very briefly summarize the recent work done by our group in this context. In [6], we initiated a top-down G -structure holographic large- N thermal QCD phenomenology at *finite* gauge coupling and *finite* number of colors, in particular from the vantage point of the M theory uplift of the delocalized SYZ type IIA mirror of the top-down UV complete holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD of [2], as constructed in [1]. We calculated up to (N)LO in N , masses of $0^{++}, 0^{--}, 0^{-+}, 1^{++}$ and 2^{++} glueballs, and found very good agreement with some of the lattice results on the same. In [7] we continued by evaluating the spectra of (pseudo-)vector and (pseudo-)scalar mesons and compared our results with [8], [9] and [10].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief introduction to a variety of topics like [2]’s type IIB holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD, its SYZ type IIA mirror and its subsequent M-theory uplift as worked out in [1], $SU(3)$ -structure of

type IIB/A and G_2 structure of M theory uplift and a discussion on why in the MQGP limit the gauge theory is essentially 2+1 dimensional with gluonic bound states (glueballs) and the lightest vector and pseudo-scalar mesons. Section **3** is on obtaining the EOMs and their solutions for the six scalar functions relevant to exotic 0^{++} glueball M theory metric perturbations. In Section **4** via two sub-sections, mesons arising from the Kaluza-Klein reduction of gauge fields on the world volume of the flavor $D6$ -branes, and in particular their radial profile functions appearing in the same, are discussed. Section **5** is devoted to obtaining the exotic scalar glueball-meson interaction Lagrangian up to linear order in the glueball and up to quartic order in the meson fields. In Section **6**, decay widths corresponding to $G_E \rightarrow 2\pi, 2\rho, \rho + 2\pi, 4\pi^0, 2\pi^a + 2\pi^b$ as well as $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$ are obtained. Finally, Section **7** has a discussion on the results obtained. There are three appendices to supplement the main text.

2 Background: Large- N Thermal QCD at Finite Gauge Coupling from M-Theory

In this section, we will provide a lightning review of the type IIB background of [2] - a UV complete holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD - discuss the 'MQGP' limit of [1] along with the motivation for considering this limit, issues as discussed in [1] pertaining to construction of delocalized S(trominger) Y(au) Z(aslow) mirror and approximate supersymmetry along with (an appendix-supplemented) discussion on the SYZ mirror in fact being independent of angular delocalization, construction explicit $SU(3)$ and G_2 structures respectively of type IIB/IIA and M-theory uplift as constructed for the first time in [12], [13].

Let us start with the UV-complete holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD as constructed in Dasgupta-Mia et al [2]. To include fundamental quarks at non-zero temperature in the context of type IIB string theory, the authors of [2] considered N $D3$ -branes placed at the tip of six-dimensional conifold, M $D5$ -branes wrapping the vanishing S^2 and M $\overline{D5}$ -branes distributed along the resolved S^2 placed at anti-podal points relative to the M $D5$ -branes. Let us denote the average $D5/\overline{D5}$ separation by $\mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}$. On the gravity side, the domain of the radial coordinate, in [2], is divided into the IR, the IR-UV interpolating region and the UV with the $\overline{D5}$ -branes placed at the outer boundary of the IR-UV interpolating region/inner boundary of the UV region. Roughly, $r > \mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}$, would be the UV. The N_f $D7$ -branes are holomorphically embedded via Ouyang embedding in the resolved conifold geometry in the brane construction. They are present in the UV, the IR-UV interpolating region and they dip into the (confining) IR (but do not touch the $D3$ -branes with the shortest $D3 - D7$ string corresponding to the lightest quark). In addition, N_f $\overline{D7}$ -branes are present in the UV and the UV-IR interpolating region. This brane construct ensures UV conformality and chiral symmetry breaking in the IR.

Let us understand this in some more detail. In the UV, one has $SU(N + M) \times SU(N + M)$ color gauge group and $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f)$ flavor gauge group. There occurs a partial Higgsing of $SU(N + M) \times SU(N + M)$ to $SU(N + M) \times SU(N)$ as one goes from $r > \mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}$ to $r < \mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}}$ [14]. The reason is that in the IR, the $\overline{D5}$ -branes are integrated out resulting in the reduction of the rank of one of the product gauge groups (which is $SU(N + \text{number of } D5\text{-branes}) \times SU(N + \text{number of } \overline{D5}\text{-branes})$; the number of $\overline{D5}$ -branes drops off in the IR to zero). By the same token, the $\overline{D5}$ -branes are integrated in the UV resulting in the conformal Klebanov-Witten-like $SU(M + N) \times SU(M + N)$ color gauge group [5]. The two gauge couplings, $g_{SU(N+M)}$ and $g_{SU(N)}$, were shown in [4] to flow logarithmically and oppositely via: $4\pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{g_{SU(N+M)}^2} + \frac{1}{g_{SU(N)}^2} \right) e^\phi \sim \pi$; $4\pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{g_{SU(N+M)}^2} - \frac{1}{g_{SU(N)}^2} \right) e^\phi \sim \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_{S^2} B_2$. One thus sees that $\int_{S^2} B_2$, in the UV, is the obstruction to obtaining conformality which is why M $\overline{D5}$ -branes were included in [2] to cancel the net $D5$ -brane charge in the UV. Further, the N_f flavor $D7$ -branes which appear in the dilaton profile, enter the RG flow of the gauge couplings. This therefore needs to be annulled by N_f $\overline{D7}$ -branes which is the reason for their inclusion in the UV in [2]. The RG flow equations for the gauge coupling $g_{SU(N+M)}$ - corresponding to the gauge group of a relatively higher rank - can be used to show that the same flows towards strong coupling, and the $SU(N)$ gauge coupling flows towards weak coupling. One can show that the strongly coupled $SU(N + M)$ is Seiberg dual to weakly coupled $SU(N - (M - N_f))$; the addition of the flavor branes hence decelerates the reduction in the rank of the gauge group under Seiberg duality. One then performs a Seiberg duality cascade such that N decreases to 0 but there is a finite M left at the end. One will thus be left with an $SU(M)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors which confines in the IR. It was the finite temperature version of this $SU(M)$ gauge theory that was looked at by the authors of [2]. So, at the end of the duality cascade in the IR, number of colors N_c is identified with M , which in the ‘MQGP limit’ can be tuned to equal 3. The number of colors $N_c = N_{\text{eff}}(r) + M_{\text{eff}}(r)$, where $N_{\text{eff}}(r) = \int_{\text{Base of Resolved Warped Deformed Conifold}} F_5$ and $M_{\text{eff}} = \int_{S^3} \tilde{F}_3$ (the S^3 being dual to $e_\psi \wedge (\sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 \wedge d\phi_1 - B_1 \sin \theta_2 \wedge d\phi_2)$, wherein B_1 is an asymmetry factor defined in [2], and $e_\psi \equiv d\psi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2$) where $\tilde{F}_3 (\equiv F_3 - \tau H_3) \propto M(r) \equiv 1 - \frac{e^{\alpha(r - \mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}})}}{1 + e^{\alpha(r - \mathcal{R}_{D5/\overline{D5}})}}$, $\alpha \gg 1$ [15]. Further, the flavor group $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f)$, is broken in the IR to $SU(N_f)$ because the IR has only N_f $D7$ -branes. The gravity dual is given by a resolved warped deformed conifold wherein the $D3$ -branes and the $D5$ -branes are replaced by fluxes in the IR, and the back-reactions are included in the warp factor and fluxes.

It was argued in [12] that the length scale on the gravity side in the IR will be given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
L &\sim \sqrt{M} N_f^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} N_f^m M^n f_{mn}(\Lambda) \right) \left(\sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{p \geq 0} N_f^l M^p g_{lp}(\Lambda) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} g_s^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\alpha'} \\
&\equiv N_f^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} N_f^m M^n f_{mn}(\Lambda) \right) \left(\sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{p \geq 0} N_f^l M^p g_{lp}(\Lambda) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} L_{\text{KS}}, \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that in the IR, relative to KS, there is a color-flavor enhancement of the length scale. Hence, in the IR, even for $N_c^{\text{IR}} = M = 3$ and $N_f = 2$ (light flavors) upon inclusion of higher order terms in M and N_f , $L \gg L_{\text{KS}} (\sim L_{\text{Planck}})$ in the MQGP limit involving $g_s \lesssim 1$, implying that the stringy corrections are suppressed and one can trust supergravity calculations.

Hence, the type IIB model of [2] make it an ideal holographic dual of thermal QCD because: (i) it is UV conformal (Landau poles are absent), (ii) it is IR confining with required chiral symmetry breaking in the IR, (iii) the quarks transform in the fundamental representation of flavor and color groups, and (iv) it is defined for the full range of temperature - both low and high.

In [1], the authors considered the following limit:

$$\text{MQGP limit : } \frac{g_s M^2}{N} \ll 1, g_s N \gg 1, \text{ finite } g_s, M. \tag{2}$$

The motivation for considering the MQGP limit which was discussed in detail in [12], is summarized now. The usual AdS/CFT limit involves $g_{\text{YM}} \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$ such that the 't Hooft coupling $g_{\text{YM}}^2 N$ is very large. However, for strongly coupled thermal systems like sQGP, this limit is not relevant as it is expected that g_{YM} is finite, and $N_c = 3$ [33]. From the discussion in the paragraph preceding (1), one recollects that at the end of the Seiberg duality cascade in the IR, $N_c = M$. Note that in the MQGP limit (2), M can be set to equal 3. Further, in the MQGP limit, $g_s \lesssim 1$. The finiteness of g_s requires one to construct the M theory uplift of [2]. These were precisely the reasons for coining ‘MQGP limit’ in [1]. In fact this was the reason why the type IIA mirror was first constructed in [1] a la delocalized Strominger-Yau-Zaslow mirror symmetry, and then its M-theory uplift obtained in the same paper.

In order to be able to implement quantum mirror symmetry a la SYZ [16], one needs a special Lagrangian (sLag) T^3 fibered over a large base. Defining delocalized T-duality/local $T^3(x, y, z)$ coordinates [1]:

$$x = \sqrt{h_2} h^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin\langle\theta_1\rangle\langle r\rangle\phi_1, \quad y = \sqrt{h_4} h^{\frac{1}{4}} \sin\langle\theta_2\rangle\langle r\rangle\phi_2, \quad z = \sqrt{h_1}\langle r\rangle h^{\frac{1}{4}}\psi, \tag{3}$$

it was shown in [13, 18] that the aforementioned T^3 is the T^2 -invariant sLag of [17] for a deformed/resolved conifold. Hence, the local T^3 of (3) is the sLag needed to effect the construction

of the SYZ mirror.

In the ‘delocalized limit’ [19] $\psi = \langle \psi \rangle$, under the transformation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_2 d\phi_2 \\ d\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos \langle \psi \rangle & \sin \langle \psi \rangle \\ -\sin \langle \psi \rangle & \cos \langle \psi \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_2 d\phi_2 \\ d\theta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

and an appropriate shift in ψ , it was shown in [1] that one introduces a local isometry along ψ in the resolved warped deformed conifold in the gravity dual in [2]; of course this is not true globally.

Now, to be able to construct the SYZ mirror, one also needs to ensure a large base of the $T^3(x, y, z)$ fibration. This is effected via: [20]:

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi &\rightarrow d\psi + f_1(\theta_1) \cos \theta_1 d\theta_1 + f_2(\theta_2) \cos \theta_2 d\theta_2, \\ d\phi_{1,2} &\rightarrow d\phi_{1,2} - f_{1,2}(\theta_{1,2}) d\theta_{1,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for appropriately chosen large values of $f_{1,2}(\theta_{1,2})$. The guiding principle behind choosing such large values of $f_{1,2}(\theta_{1,2})$, as given in [1], is that one requires the metric obtained after SYZ-mirror transformation applied to the non-Kähler resolved warped deformed conifold to be like a non-Kähler warped resolved conifold at least locally. This was explicitly demonstrated in [12] and appropriate values of $f_{1,2}(\theta_{1,2})$ obtained therein.

The aforementioned delocalization procedure used to construct the type IIA mirror of the UV-complete [2]’s type IIB holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD *a la* SYZ triple-T-duality prescription and its M-theory uplift as worked out in [1], is in fact, *not* restricted to fixed- ψ mirrors. To understand this, let us look at the example of the mirror of a $D5$ -brane wrapping the resolved S^2 with fluxes as studied in [21]. In the large-complex structure limit and after a fixed- ψ coordinate rotation, the SYZ mirror was found in [21] to be $D6$ -brane wrapping a non-Kähler deformed conifold. As shown in (section 6 of) [21], an explicit G_2 structure can be constructed in terms of which the M-theory uplift of the type IIA mirror could be rewritten, which is valid $\forall \psi$. Hence, the type IIA mirror in Sec. 6 of [21] obtained from arbitrary- ψ M theory metric, will be the same as the fixed- ψ type IIA mirror of Sec. 5 of [21] that was obtained using delocalization. Thus, the fixed ψ value chosen to effect the abovementioned delocalized SYZ mirror, could simply be replaced by an arbitrary ψ , implying the type IIA mirror is effectively free of delocalization. The details of this example, as worked out in [21], are summarized in Appendix A. Let us understand what SYZ mirror transformation via triple T-duality does to the brane construct. A single T-duality along a direction orthogonal to the $D3$ -branes world volume, e.g., z of $T^3(x, y, z)$, yields $D4$ branes that are straddling a pair of $NS5$ -branes with world-volume coordinates, let us say, denoted by (θ_1, x) and (θ_2, y) . A second T-duality along x and a third T-duality along y would yield a Taub-NUT space from each of

the two $NS5$ -branes [22]. The $D7$ -branes yield $D6$ -branes which get uplifted to Kaluza-Klein monopoles in M-theory [23] which also involve Taub-NUT spaces. Globally, one expects the eleven-dimensional uplift would involve a seven-fold of G_2 -structure, analogous to the uplift of $D5$ -branes wrapping a two-cycle in a resolved warped conifold [24].

We will now briefly review $G = SU(3), G_2$ -structures of the holographic type IIB dual of [2], its delocalized type IIA SYZ mirror and its M-theory uplift constructed in [1]. In [18], it was shown that the five $SU(3)$ structure torsion classes, in the MQGP limit, were given by (schematically):

$$T_{SU(3)}^{\text{IIB}} \in W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_4 \oplus W_5 \sim \frac{e^{-3\tau}}{\sqrt{g_s N}} \oplus (g_s N)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-3\tau} \oplus \sqrt{g_s N} e^{-3\tau} \oplus -\frac{2}{3} \oplus -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (6)$$

wherein ($r \sim e^{\frac{\tau}{3}}$), such that:

$$\frac{2}{3} W_5^3 = W_4^3 \quad (7)$$

in the UV-IR interpolating region/UV, implying a Klebanov-Strassler-like supersymmetry [25]. Locally, around $\theta_1 \sim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{5}}}, \theta_2 \sim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{10}}}$, the type IIA torsion classes of the delocalized SYZ type IIA mirror metric, were worked out in [12] to be:

$$T_{SU(3)}^{\text{IIA}} \in W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_4 \oplus W_5 \sim \gamma_2 g_s^{-\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{3}{10}} \oplus g_s^{-\frac{1}{4}} N^{-\frac{1}{20}} \oplus g_s^{-\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{3}{10}} \oplus g_s^{-\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{3}{10}} \approx \gamma W_2 \oplus W_4 \oplus W_5$$

fine tuning: $\gamma \approx 0 \xrightarrow{\quad} \approx W_4 \oplus W_5.$

(8)

Further,

$$W_4 \sim \Re W_5, \quad (9)$$

indicative of supersymmetry after constructing the delocalized SYZ mirror.

Apart from quantifying the departure from $SU(3)$ holonomy due to intrinsic contorsion arising from the NS-NS three-form H , via the evaluation of the $SU(3)$ structure torsion classes, to our knowledge for the first time in the context of holographic thermal QCD **at finite gauge coupling** in [12]:

- (i) the existence of approximate supersymmetry of the type IIB holographic dual of [2] in the MQGP limit near the coordinate branch $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$ was explicitly shown, which apart from the existence of a special Lagrangian three-cycle, is essential for construction of the local SYZ type IIA mirror;
- (ii) it was shown that the large- N suppression of the deviation of the type IIB resolved warped deformed conifold from being a complex manifold, is lost on being duality-chased to type IIA, and that a fine tuning in W_2^{IIA} can ensure that the local type IIA mirror is complex;
- (iii) for the local type IIA $SU(3)$ mirror, the possibility of surviving approximate supersymmetry was explicitly shown which is essential as SYZ mirror is supersymmetric.

We can get a one-form type IIA potential from the triple T-dual (along x, y, z) of the type IIB $F_{1,3,5}$ in [1] and using which the following $D = 11$ metric was obtained in [1] ($u \equiv \frac{r_h}{r}$):

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{-\frac{2\phi^{IIA}}{3}} [g_{tt}dt^2 + g_{\mathbb{R}^3} (dx^2 + dy^2 + dZ^2) + g_{uu}du^2 + ds_{IIA}^2(\theta_{1,2}, \phi_{1,2}, \psi)] + e^{\frac{4\phi^{IIA}}{3}} (dx_{11} + A^{F_1} + A^{F_3} + A^{F_5})^2. \quad (10)$$

The torsion tensor associated with the G_2 structure of a seven fold, possesses 49 components and can be split into torsion components as:

$$T = T_1g + T_{7\lrcorner}\varphi + T_{14} + T_{27} \quad (11)$$

where T_1 is a function and gives the **1** component of T . We also have T_7 , which is a 1-form and hence gives the **7** component, and, $T_{14} \in \Lambda_{14}^2$ gives the **14** component. Further, T_{27} is traceless symmetric, and gives the **27** component. Writing T_i as W_i , we can split W as

$$W = W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27}. \quad (12)$$

From [26], we see that a G_2 structure can be defined as:

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{1}{3!} f_{ABC} e^{ABC} = e^{-\phi^{IIA}} f_{abc} e^{abc} + e^{-\frac{2\phi^{IIA}}{3}} J \wedge e^{x_{10}}, \quad (13)$$

where $A, B, C = 1, \dots, 6, 10$; $a, b, c, = 1, \dots, 6$, and f_{ABC} are the structure constants of the imaginary octonions. Using the same, the G_2 -structure torsion classes were worked out in [12] around $\theta_1 \sim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \theta_2 \sim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{3}{10}}}$ (schematically):

$$T_{G_2} \in W_2^{14} \oplus W_3^{27} \sim \frac{1}{(g_s N)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \oplus \frac{1}{(g_s N)^{\frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (14)$$

Hence, the approach of the seven-fold, locally, to having a G_2 holonomy ($W_1^{G_2} = W_2^{G_2} = W_3^{G_2} = W_4^{G_2} = 0$) is accelerated in the MQGP limit.

As stated earlier, the global uplift to M-theory of the type IIB background of [2] is expected to involve a seven-fold of G_2 structure (not G_2 -holonomy due to non-zero M theory four-form fluxes). It is therefore extremely important to be able to see this, at least locally. It is in this sense that the results of [1] are of great significance as one explicitly sees in the context of holographic thermal QCD **at finite gauge coupling**, though locally, the aforementioned G_2 structure having worked out the non-trivial G_2 -structure torsion classes.

Let us now argue that in the MQGP limit, apart from the gluon-bound states, i.e. glueballs, and the light (ρ/π) mesons, all other scalar mesons are integrated out. As per [32], supersymmetry can be broken by imposing anti-periodic boundary conditions for fermions along the x^0 -circle

(which at finite temperature has periodicity given by the reciprocal of the Hawking temperature). This is expected to generate fermionic masses of the order of the reciprocal of the S_t^1 radius R_{r_h} and scalar masses of the order of $\frac{g_s^2 N}{R_{r_h}}$. We will now argue that R_{r_h} is very small implying scalar mesons (apart from the lightest ρ -vector and pionic pseudo-scalar mesons) are very heavy and are hence integrated out, and effectively the 3+1-dimensional QCD-like theory thus reduces to 2+1 dimensions. From (B3), one sees that working with a near-horizon coordinate $\chi : r = r_h + \chi, \frac{\chi}{r_h} \ll 1, G_{rr}^M dr^2 = \frac{d\chi^2}{\chi} F_{rr}(r_h) \equiv d\rho^2$ or $\chi = \frac{\rho^2}{4F_{rr}(r_h)}$. Thus:

$$-G_{tt}^M dt^2 + G_{rr}^M dr^2 = -G_{tt}^M (r_h) \frac{\rho^2}{4F_{rr}(r_h)} dt^2 + d\rho^2 \equiv -4\pi^2 R_{r_h}^2 dt^2 + d\rho^2. \quad (15)$$

We therefore read off the radius of the temporal direction:

$$R_{r_h} = \sqrt{\frac{1+9b^2}{1+6b^2}} \frac{r_h}{\pi L^2} \rho \sim T\rho \sim T\sqrt{\chi}\sqrt{F_{rr}(r_h)} \sim \sqrt{\chi}\sqrt{T}. \quad (16)$$

One hence sees that R_{r_h} is very small implying heavy scalar mesons, and hence the assertion.

3 Glueballs from M-theory metric perturbations

To start off our study of glueball decays into meson, we first need to understand how glueballs are obtained in the M-theory background. Glueballs are gauge invariant composite states in the Yang-Mills theory and their duals corresponds to the supergravity fluctuations in the near horizon geometry of brane solutions. The M-theory metric for D=11 was written out in (10). Here g_{MN}^{IIA} and ϕ^{IIA} corresponds to the metric components and dilaton in type IIA string background respectively; A 's are the one form potential in type IIA background. The M-theory metric components up to NLO in N near $\theta_1 = \alpha_{\theta_1} N^{-\frac{1}{5}}, \theta_2 = \alpha_{\theta_2} N^{-\frac{3}{10}}, \phi_{1,2} = 0/2\pi$, whereat an explicit G_2 structure was worked out in [12], are given in (B3). The general M-theory metric fluctuations corresponding to 'exotic' scalar glueball with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ in terms of the three dimensional spacetime x^1, x^2, x^3 can be written following [31],[34] as:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{tt} &= -q_1(r) G_{tt}^M G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3) \\ h_{rr} &= -q_2(r) G_{rr}^M G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3) \\ h_{ra} &= q_3(r) G_{aa}^M \frac{\partial_a G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{M^2}, \quad a = 1, 2, 3 \\ h_{ab} &= G_{ab}^M \left(q_4(r) \eta_{ab} - q_5(r) \frac{\partial_a \partial_b}{M^2} \right) G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3), \quad b = 1, 2, 3 \\ h_{11,11} &= q_6(r) G_{11,11}^M G_E(x^1 x^2 x^3) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Here $G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)$ is the glueball field in the 2+1 dimensional spacetime and, M is the mass of the glueball. The explicit expression for functions $q_{i=1,2,\dots,6}$ can be obtained by solving their EOM's obtained from 11-D action. The 11-d action, using $\int C_3 \wedge G_4 \wedge G_4 = 0$ [1], is given as:

$$S_{11} = \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-\det g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2 \times 4!} |G_4|^2 \right),$$

the first order perturbation of whose EOM yields:

$$R_{\hat{M}\hat{N}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12} \left(-3G_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{P}_2} G_{\hat{Q}\hat{R}}^{\hat{P}_3} h_{\hat{P}_2\hat{P}_3} + \frac{1}{3} G_{\hat{N}\hat{P}\hat{Q}}^{\hat{P}_2} g^{\hat{N}\hat{M}} G^{\hat{P}_3\hat{N}\hat{P}\hat{Q}} h_{\hat{P}_2\hat{P}_3} G_{\hat{M}\hat{N}}^M - \frac{G^2}{12} h_{\hat{M}\hat{N}} \right). \quad (18)$$

Here, hatted letters like \hat{M}, \hat{N} etc go from 0 to 10 while, $R_{\hat{M}\hat{N}}^{(1)}$ is perturbed part of the Ricci tensor. Putting in the expressions for each of the components following coupled eom's were obtained¹,

• $\delta R[t, t]$

$$\begin{aligned} & q_1''(r) + q_1'(r) \left(\frac{2(6a^2 \log(r) + r^2)}{r(2 \log(r) + 1)(r^2 - 3a^2)} \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{52488\pi^{3/2}r^2(2 \log(r) + 1)\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 (r^2 - a^2)} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{2/5} \left[38416\pi^{3/2}r\alpha_{\theta_2}^7 (6a^2 \log(r) + r^2) \right. \right. \\ & + 177147\sqrt{6}g_s^{3/2}MN_f\alpha_{\theta_1}^8 \left(12a^2 \log^2(r) (-27a^2 + 15r^2 + r) - 3a^2r + 72 \log^3(r) (9a^4 - a^2r^2) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \log(r) (-216a^4 + 72a^2r^2 - 6a^2r + 4r^3) + r^3 \right) \right] \right\} - \frac{3a^2r}{54a^4 + 15a^2r^2 + r^4} + \frac{4r_h^4}{r^5 - rr_h^4} + \frac{5}{r} \Big) \\ & + q_1(r) \left(\frac{4\pi g_s (K^1)^2 N (6a^2 + r^2)}{r^4 (9a^2 + r^2) \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4} \right)} - \frac{3g_s^3 (K^1)^2 \log(N) M^2 N_f \log(r) (6a^2 + r^2)}{4\pi (r^4 - r_h^4) (9a^2 + r^2)} \right) = 0. \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Defining:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{243\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}b^2(9b^2 - 1)g_s^{3/2}M\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5}N_f\alpha_{\theta_1}^4\log^2(r_h)}{\pi^{3/2}(3b^2 - 1)\alpha_{\theta_2}^3} + \frac{\left(\frac{12}{1-3b^2} - \frac{6}{54b^4+15b^2+1}\right)b^2 + 5}{2r_h} \\ a_2 &= \frac{(6b^2 + 1)g_s K^{1^2}(16\pi^2 N - 3g_s^2 \log(N)M^2 N_f \log(r_h))}{16\pi(9b^2 + 1)r_h^3} \\ b_1 &= 1, \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

¹here term $\delta R[\hat{M}, \hat{N}]$ represents the EOM corresponding to coordinates \hat{M}, \hat{N} .

the $q_1(r)$ EOM, near $r = r_h$, can be written as:

$$q_1''(r) + \left(a_1 + \frac{1}{(r - r_h)} \right) q_1'(r) + a_2 q_1(r) = 0, \quad (21)$$

whose solution is given by:

$$q_1(r) = e^{-a_1 r} \left(c_1 {}_{q_1}U \left(1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1}, 1, a_1(r - r_h) \right) + c_2 {}_{q_1}L_{\frac{a_2}{a_1} - 1}(a_1(r - r_h)) \right). \quad (22)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} q_1'(r) = & -\frac{c_1 {}_{q_1}e^{-a_1 r_h}}{(r - r_h)\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)} + \frac{e^{-a_1 r_h}}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)} \left(c_1 {}_{q_1} \left(a_2 \log(a_1) + a_1 \psi^{(0)} \left(1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) + (a_2 - a_1) \psi^{(0)} \left(2 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2a_1 + a_2 \log(r - r_h) - a_2 + 2\gamma a_2 \right) - a_1 c_2 \left(L_{\frac{a_2}{a_1} - 1}^{a_2}(0) + L_{\frac{a_2}{a_1} - 2}^1(0) \right) \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) \right) + O((r - r_h)^1), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

we conclude that to be able to impost Neumann boundary condition at $r = r_h$: $q_1'(r_h) = 0$, one requires to set $c_2 {}_{q_1} = 0$ and

$$\left(-\frac{a_2}{a_1} + 1 \right) = -n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}. \quad (24)$$

We shall choose $n = 1$, implying $a_1 = \frac{a_2}{2}$,

So:

$$q_1(r \sim r_h) = \frac{1}{2} e^{1 - \frac{a_2 r}{2}} \left(c_2 e^{\frac{a_2 r_h}{2}} (a_2(r_h - r) + 2) Ei \left(\frac{1}{2} a_2(r - r_h) \right) + 4c_1 e^{\frac{a_2 r_h}{2}} (-a_2 r + a_2 r_h + 2) + 2c_2 e^{\frac{a_2 r}{2}} \right). \quad (25)$$

Again, setting $c_2 {}_{q_1} = 0$:

$$q_1(r \sim r_h) = -\frac{1}{3} a_2^3 c_1 {}_{q_1} (r - r_h)^3 + \frac{3}{2} a_2^2 c_1 {}_{q_1} (r - r_h)^2 - 4a_2 c_1 {}_{q_1} (r - r_h) + 4c_1 {}_{q_1} + O(r - r_h)^4. \quad (26)$$

Further, using (43), $c_1 {}_{q_1} = 0$, i.e.:

$$q_1(r \sim r_h) = 0. \quad (27)$$

In the UV, defining:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 5 - \frac{27 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g_s^{UV3/2} M^{UV} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{2/5} N_f^{UV} \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}{2\pi^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \\ \beta &= \frac{1}{16} m_0^2 r_h^2 \left(16 - \frac{3g_s^{UV2} \log(N) \log(r) M^{UV2} N_f^{UV}}{\pi^2 N} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

(19) reduces to:

$$q_1''(r) + \frac{|\alpha| q_1'(r)}{r} + \frac{|\beta| q_1(r)}{r^4} = 0, \quad (29)$$

whose solution is given as:

$$q_1(r) = \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha|+|\alpha|-1|-1)} \left(c_2 e^{-\frac{i\sqrt{|\beta|}}{r}} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha|-1|+1); |\alpha|-1|+1; \frac{2i\sqrt{|\beta|}}{r}\right) + \frac{c_1 2^{-\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}} |\beta|^{-\frac{|\alpha|-1}{4}} \left(\frac{i}{r}\right)^{-\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}} K_{\frac{|\alpha|-1}{2}}\left(\frac{i\sqrt{|\beta|}}{r}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right). \quad (30)$$

We conclude that for the solution to vanish in the UV region one requires $c_1 = 0$, then the solution can be approximated as:

$$q_1(r \rightarrow r_{UV}) = c_{2q_1}^{UV} \left(\frac{-\frac{3\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g_s^{UV 3/2} m_0^2 M^{UV} N_f^{UV} r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}{16\pi^{3/2} N^{2/5} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} - \frac{m_0^2 r_h^2}{12}}{r^6} + \frac{1}{r^4} \right). \quad (31)$$

• $\delta R[x^1, x^1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & q_5''(r) + \left(\frac{100g_s N \pi (r^2 + 6a^2)}{r^4 (r^2 + 9a^2) \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)} - \frac{75g_s^3 \log N M^2 N_f (r^2 + 6a^2) \log(r)}{4\pi (r^4 - r_h^4) (r^2 + 9a^2)} \right) q_1(r) \\ & + \left(\frac{75g_s^3 \log N M^2 N_f (r^2 + 6a^2) \log(r)}{2\pi (r^4 - r_h^4) (r^2 + 9a^2)} - \frac{200g_s N \pi (r^2 + 6a^2)}{(r^4 - r_h^4) (r^2 + 9a^2)} \right) q_4(r) \\ & + \left(-\frac{12\sqrt{6} a^4 g_s^{3/2} M^3 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} (r^2 + 6a^2) \left(\frac{68260644(54a^2+5)(r_h^4-10000) \log(10)}{(100-3a^2)^4} - \frac{30876125(12a^2+1)(r_h^4-6561) \log(9)}{9(a^2-27)^4} \right)}{5 \log N^5 M_g^2 \pi^{3/2} r^2 (r^2 + 9a^2) \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)} \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{r^2 (r^2 - 3a^2) (r^2 + 6a^2) (r^2 + 9a^2) (r^4 - r_h^4) (2 \log(r) + 1)} \\ & \times \left\{ 2 \left[r^2 (648a^6 r^2 - 9a^4 (17r^4 - 13r_h^4)) + a^2 (27r^2 r_h^4 - 75r^6) - 6r^8 + 2r^4 r_h^4 \right] \right. \\ & \left. + 2 \log(r) (324a^6 (r^4 + r_h^4) + a^4 (99r^2 r_h^4 - 135r^6) + a^2 (3r^4 r_h^4 - 51r^8) - 4r^{10}) \right\} \Bigg] \Bigg\} q_5(r) \\ & + q_3(r) \left[\frac{8r_h^4}{r^5 \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)} - \frac{(r^2 + 9a^2) \left(\frac{2r}{r^2 + 9a^2} - \frac{2r(r^2 + 6a^2)}{(r^2 + 9a^2)^2} \right)}{r^2 + 6a^2} + \frac{4(r^2 + 6a^2 \log(r))}{r (r^2 - 3a^2) (2 \log(r) + 1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{13122\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}r^2(r^2-3a^2)(2\log(r)+1)\alpha_{\theta_1}^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^3}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5}\left(177147\sqrt{3}g_s^{3/2}MN_f\left(r^3-3a^2r+72(9a^4-a^2r^2)\log^3(r)\right.\right.\right. \\
& +12a^2(15r^2+r-27a^2)\log^2(r)+(-216a^4+72a^2r^2-6a^2r+4r^3)\log(r)\left.\left.\right)\alpha_{\theta_1}^8\right. \\
& \left. +19208\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}r(r^2+6a^2\log(r))\alpha_{\theta_2}^7\right\}+\frac{12}{r}\left] +\left(\frac{75g_s^2\log NN_fM^2}{64(K^1)^2N\pi^2r}+\frac{25}{(K^1)^2r}\right)q_1'(r) \right. \\
& \left. +2q_3'(r)+\left(\frac{25\left(-\frac{8r_h^4}{r^5-r_h^4}+\frac{6a^2r}{r^4+15a^2r^2+54a^4}-\frac{4(r^2+6a^2\log(r))}{r(r^2-3a^2)(2\log(r)+1)}-\frac{16}{r}\right)}{2(K^1)^2}\right) \right. \\
& \left. +\frac{1}{26244\sqrt{2}(K^1)^2\pi^{3/2}r^2(r^2-3a^2)(2\log(r)+1)\alpha_{\theta_1}^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^3}\left\{25\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5}\right. \right. \\
& \times\left(177147\sqrt{3}g_s^{3/2}MN_f\left[r^3-3a^2r+72(9a^4-b^2r^2r_h^2)\log^3(r)+12a^2(15r^2+r-27a^2)\log^2(r)\right.\right. \\
& \left. \left. +(-216a^4+72a^2r^2-6a^2r+4r^3)\log(r)\right]\alpha_{\theta_1}^8+19208\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}r(r^2+6a^2\log(r))\alpha_{\theta_2}^7\right\}\left.\right)q_4'(r) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{48r_h^4}{r^5\left(1-\frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)}-\frac{6(r^2+9b^2r_h^2)\left(\frac{2r}{r^2+9b^2r_h^2}-\frac{2r(r^2+6b^2r_h^2)}{(r^2+9b^2r_h^2)^2}\right)}{r^2+6b^2r_h^2}+\frac{24(r^2+6b^2r_h^2\log(r))}{r(r^2-3b^2r_h^2)(2\log(r)+1)}+\frac{9}{r}\right) \right. \\
& \left. -\frac{1}{26244\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}r^2(r^2-3a^2)(2\log(r)+1)\alpha_{\theta_1}^4\alpha_{\theta_2}^3}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5}\right. \right. \\
& \times\left(177147\sqrt{3}g_s^{3/2}MN_f\left[r^3-3a^2r+72(9a^4-a^2r^2)\log^3(r)+12a^2(15r^2+r-27a^2)\log^2(r)\right.\right. \\
& \left. \left. +(-216a^4+72a^2r^2-6a^2r+4r^3)\log(r)\right]\alpha_{\theta_1}^8+19208\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}r(r^2+6a^2\log(r))\alpha_{\theta_2}^7\right\}\left.\right)q_5'(r)-\frac{25q_4''(r)}{(K^1)^2}=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Defining:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{32} &\equiv -\frac{2\alpha_4}{\beta_3} \\
\gamma_{33} &\equiv -\frac{\alpha_4 \left(\frac{243\sqrt{6}b^2(9b^2-1)g_s^{3/2}M\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5}N_f\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \log^2(r_h)}{\pi^{3/2}(3b^2-1)\alpha_{\theta_2}^3} + \frac{-486b^6+261b^4+90b^2+7}{-162b^6r_h+9b^4r_h+12b^2r_h+r_h} \right)}{\beta_3} \\
\gamma_{51} &\equiv \frac{100a_2c_{1q_4}}{K^{12}} \\
\gamma_{52} &\equiv \frac{25a_2c_{1q_4} \left(-3a_2 - \frac{2 \left(\frac{-1134b^6+297b^4+138b^2+11}{54b^4r_h+15b^2r_h+r_h} - \frac{243\sqrt{6}b^2(9b^2-1)g_s^{3/2}M\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{2/5}N_f\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \log^2(r_h)}{\pi^{3/2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \right)}{3b^2-1} \right)}{K^{12}} \\
\gamma_{55} &\equiv \frac{25(6b^2+1)g_sC_{1q_4}(3g_s^2\log(N)M^2N_f\log(r_h)-16\pi^2N)}{2(9\pi b^2+\pi)r_h^3} \\
\gamma_{56} &\equiv \frac{25g_sc_{1q_4}(54b^4(2a_2r_h+3)+b^2(30a_2r_h+33)+2a_2r_h+3)(16\pi^2N-3g_s^2\log(N)M^2N_f\log(r_h))}{4\pi(9b^2+1)^2r_h^4},
\end{aligned}$$

(32) near $r = r_h$ can be written as:

$$q_5''(r) + \frac{q_5'(r)}{(r-r_h)} + \frac{2q_5(r)}{r_h(r-r_h)} + \gamma_{52} + \gamma_{56} + \gamma_{33} + \frac{\gamma_{51} + \gamma_{55} + \gamma_{32}}{r-r_h} = 0,$$

whose solution is given by:

$$q_5(r \sim r_h) = \frac{1}{2} \left(2\sqrt{2}c_{1q_5} - \gamma_{51}r_h - \gamma_{55}r_h - \gamma_{32}r_h \right) + \frac{1}{4}(r-r_h)^2 \left(\frac{4\sqrt{2}c_{1q_5}}{r_h^2} - \gamma_{52} - \gamma_{56} - \gamma_{33} \right) - \frac{2\sqrt{2}c_{1q_5}(r-r_h)}{r_h}. \quad (33)$$

So, to be able impose Neumann boundary condition $q_5'(r = r_h) = 0$, one needs to set $c_2 = 0$ and $c_{1q_5} = N^{-\alpha_5}$, $\alpha_5 > 0$, In the UV region ($r > r_h$), (32) can be approximated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_5''(r) + \frac{0.75}{r}q_5'(r) - \frac{192019.b^4g_s^{UV5/2}M^{UV3}N^{4/5}r_h^2}{\log(N)^5m_0^2r^2}q_5(r) + \frac{12.c_{1q_3}^{UV}}{r} - \frac{1256.64g_s^{UV}Nc_{2q_1}^{UV}}{m_0^2r^6r_h^2} \\
+ \frac{3769.91g_s^{UV}Nc_{2q_4}^{UV}}{m_0^2r^6r_h^2} = 0
\end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

whose solution after a large large r and large N expansion can be written as:

$$q_5(r \rightarrow r_{UV}) = \frac{\log(N)^5 \sqrt[5]{N}(0.0196 c_{2q_4}^{UV} - 0.0065 c_{2q_1}^{UV})}{b^4g_s^{UV3/2}M^{UV3}r^4r_h^4} \quad (35)$$

• $\delta R[x^1, r]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_1'(r) \left(\frac{200\pi g_s N r (6b^2 r_h^2 + r^2)}{21 (r^4 - r_h^4) (9a^2 + r^2)} - \frac{25g_s^3 \log N M^2 N_f r (4 \log(r) + 1) (6a^2 + r^2)}{56\pi (r^4 - r_h^4) (9a^2 + r^2)} \right) \\
& + q_1(r) \left(\frac{400\pi g_s N r_h^4 (6a^2 + r^2)}{21 (r^4 - r_h^4)^2 (9a^2 + r^2)} - \frac{25g_s^3 \log N M^2 N_f r_h^4 (4 \log(r) + 1) (6a^2 + r^2)}{28\pi (r^4 - r_h^4)^2 (9a^2 + r^2)} \right) \\
& + q_4'(r) \left(\frac{25g_s^3 \log N M^2 N_f r (4 \log(r) + 1) (6a^2 + r^2)}{28\pi (r^4 - r_h^4) (9a^2 + r^2)} - \frac{400\pi g_s N r (6a^2 + r^2)}{21 (r^4 - r_h^4) (9a^2 + r^2)} \right) \\
& + q_3(r) \left[\frac{8\sqrt{6} a^4 g_s^{3/2} M^3 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} (6a^2 + r^2) \left(\frac{68260644(r_h^4 - 10000) \log(10)(54a^2 + 5)}{(100 - 3a^2)^4} - \frac{30876125(r_h^4 - 6561) \log(9)(12a^2 + 1)}{9(a^2 - 27)^4} \right)}{35\pi^{3/2} \log N^5 M_g^2 r (9a^2 + r^2) \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4}\right)} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{21r (r^4 - r_h^4) (2 \log(r) + 1) (r^2 - 3a^2) (6a^2 + r^2) (9a^2 + r^2)} \\
& \times \left\{ -7614a^6 r^4 - 17820a^6 r^4 \log(r) + 20412a^6 r_h^4 \log(r) + 8910a^6 r_h^4 + 144a^4 r^6 + 432a^4 r^6 \log(r) \right. \\
& - 216a^4 r^2 r_h^4 - 576a^4 r^2 r_h^4 \log(r) + 393a^2 r^8 + 978a^2 r^8 \log(r) - 489a^2 r^4 r_h^4 - 1170a^2 r^4 r_h^4 \log(r) + 39r^{10} \\
& \left. \left. + 94r^{10} \log(r) - 47r^6 r_h^4 - 110r^6 r_h^4 \log(r) \right\} + q_3'(r) = 0. \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

Defining:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &\equiv -\frac{25a_2 (6b^2 + 1) g_s c_{1q_1} (16\pi^2 N - 3g_s^2 \log(N) M^2 N_f \log(r_h))}{42\pi (9b^2 + 1) r_h^2} \\
\alpha_4 &\equiv -\frac{25a_2 (6b^2 + 1) g_s c_{1q_4} (3g_s^2 \log(N) M^2 N_f \log(r_h) - 16\pi^2 N)}{21\pi (9b^2 + 1) r_h^2} \\
\beta_1 &\equiv \frac{25 (6b^2 + 1) g_s c_{1q_1} (16\pi^2 N - 3g_s^2 \log(N) M^2 N_f \log(r_h))}{84 (9\pi b^2 + \pi) r_h^2} \\
\gamma_1 &\equiv \frac{25g_s c_{1q_1} (54b^4 (a_2 r_h + 3) + 3b^2 (5a_2 r_h + 13) + a_2 r_h + 3) (3g_s^2 \log(N) M^2 N_f \log(r_h) - 16\pi^2 N)}{84\pi (9b^2 + 1)^2 r_h^3} \\
\beta_3 &= \frac{13}{42}, \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

(36) near $r = r_h$ can be written as:

$$q_3'(r) + \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + \gamma_1)}{(r - r_h)} + \frac{\beta_1}{(r - r_h)^2} + \frac{\beta_3 q_3(r)}{r - r_h} = 0, \tag{38}$$

whose solution is given by:

$$q_3(r \sim r_h) = -\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + \gamma_1)}{\beta_3} - \frac{\beta_1}{(1 - \beta_3)(r - r_h)} + c_{q_3} (r - r_h)^{-\beta_3}. \tag{39}$$

To be able to impose Neumann boundary condition at $r = r_h$, one needs to set $c_{q_3} = 0$. For c_{1q_1} only α_4 , β_3 , and γ_3 gives a non-zero value,

$$q_3(r \sim r_h) = -\frac{\alpha_4}{\beta_3}. \tag{40}$$

In the UV region ($r > r_h$), the (36) can be approximated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& r^4 \left(r^7 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 \left(18287.5 b^4 g_s^{UV5/2} M^{UV3} N^{4/5} r_h^2 q_3(r) + 1. \log(N)^5 m_0^2 r q_3'(r) \right) + g_s \log(N)^5 m_0^2 N c_{2q_4}^{UV} \right. \\
& \left. \left(239.359 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 - 177.683 g_s^{UV3/2} M \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{2/5} N_f^{UV} \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \right) r \frac{27 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g_s^{UV3/2} M \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{2/5} N_f^{UV} \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}{2\pi^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \right) \\
& \left. + g_s^{UV} \log(N)^5 m_0^2 N c_{2q_1}^{UV} r \frac{27 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g_s^{UV3/2} M \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{2/5} N_f^{UV} \alpha_{\theta_1}^4}{2\pi^{3/2} \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \right) \left(88.8414 g_s^{UV3/2} M \left(\frac{1}{N} \right)^{2/5} N_f^{UV} r^4 \alpha_{\theta_1}^4 + \alpha_{\theta_2}^3 (59.8399 r_h^4 - 119.68 r^4) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

whose solution after taking an expansion around large r and large n can be written as:

$$q_3(r \rightarrow r_{UV}) = \frac{0.00654434 \log(N)^5 m_0^2 \sqrt[5]{N} (c_{2q_1}^{UV} - 2c_{2q_4}^{UV})}{b^4 g_s^{UV3/2} M^{UV3} r^7 r_h^2} + c_{1q_3}^{UV} \tag{42}$$

• $\delta R[x^3, x^3]$

This yields an EOM for $q_4(r)$ which is identical to that for $q_1(r)$ for both UV and IR region.

• $\delta R[r, r]$

$$q_1'(r) = 0. \tag{43}$$

This along with the $\delta R[t, t]$ EOM implies that c_{1q_1} is vanishingly small. In Section 6, we set it to zero while calculating decay widths associated with decays of the exotic scalar glueball.

• $\delta R[\theta_1, \theta_1]$

$$q_2(r) - \frac{49\pi^3 N^{3/5} r^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 (6a^2 + r^2) \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4} \right)}{216 g_s^3 M^2 N_f^2 (9a^2 + r^2) \log^2(r) (108a^2 + r)^2} q_6(r) = 0. \tag{44}$$

• $\delta R[\theta_2, \theta_2]$

$$q_6(r) \left(- \frac{3g_s^2 \log N M^2 N_f \log(r) \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4} \right)}{32\pi^2 N} + \frac{r_h^4}{r^4} - 1 \right) + q_2(r) = 0. \tag{45}$$

• $\delta R[\theta_1, \theta_2]$

$$- \frac{49\sqrt{3}\pi^{3/2} \sqrt[5]{N} r (6a^2 + r^2) \left(1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4} \right) (36a^2 \log(r) + r) q_6(r)}{\sqrt{2} 32 g_s^3 M N_f \alpha_{\theta_2} (9a^2 + r^2) \log(r) (108a^2 + r)^2} + q_2(r) = 0. \tag{46}$$

We see that (44) - (46) are identically satisfied by setting $q_2(Z) = q_6(Z) = 0$.

- All other remaining equations $\delta R[m, n]$ for $(m, n) \in \{\theta_{1,2}, x, y, z, x^{11}\}$ are automatically satisfied provided:

$$\frac{1}{2}(K^1)^2 q_3(r) + \frac{1}{4}(K^1)^2 q_5'(r) + q_1'(r) - 3q_4'(r) = 0. \quad (47)$$

In the IR, near $r = r_h$, by substituting solutions for $q_{3,4,5}(Z)$, one sees that (47) is identically satisfied.

4 Meson Sector

To start off our study of glueball-meson interaction in the type IIA background we first have to understand how the mesons are obtained in the theory. The meson sector in the type IIA dual background of top-down holographic type IIB setup[1] is given by the flavor D6-branes action. We first need to understand how the D6 branes are embedded in the mirror(constructed in [1]) of the resolved warped deformed conifold of [2]. To obtain the pullback metric and the pullback NS-NS flux on the D6 branes, we choose the first branch of the Ouyang embedding where $(\theta_1, x) = (0, 0)$ and we consider the ‘z’ coordinate as a function of r, i.e $z(r)$ [9]. In [7] a diagonal metric $\{t, x^1, x^2, x^3, r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}\}$ was used to obtain the mirror of the Ouyang embedding, but it turns out that the embedding conditions remains same even with the nondiagonal basis $\{t, x^1, x^2, x^3, r, \theta_1, \theta_2, x, y, z\}$. For $\theta_1 = \alpha_{\theta_1} N^{\frac{-1}{5}}$ and $\theta_2 = \alpha_{\theta_2} N^{\frac{-3}{10}}$ one will assume that the embedding of the D6-brane will be given by $\iota : \Sigma^{1,6} \left(t, R^{1,2}, r, \theta_2 \sim \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}}{N^{\frac{3}{10}}}, y \right) \hookrightarrow M^{1,9}$, effected by: $z = z(r)$. As obtained in [7] one sees that $z=\text{constant}$ is still a solution and by choosing $z = \pm \mathcal{C} \frac{\pi}{2}$, one can choose the $D6/\bar{D}6$ -branes to be at “antipodal” points along the z coordinate. As done in [9] after redefining (r, z) in terms of new variables (Y, Z) :

$$\begin{aligned} r &= r_h e^{\sqrt{Y^2 + Z^2}} \\ z &= \mathcal{C} \arctan \frac{Z}{Y} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

the constant embedding of the $D6(\bar{D}6)$ -branes corresponds to $z = \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $\mathcal{C} = 1$ for D6-branes and $z = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ for $\mathcal{C} = -1$ for $\bar{D}6$ -branes, both corresponding to $Y = 0$. Vector mesons are obtained by considering gauge fluctuations of a background gauge field along the world volume of the embedded flavor D6 branes. Turning on a gauge field fluctuation $F \frac{\sigma^3}{2}$ about a small background

gauge field $F_0 \frac{\sigma^3}{2}$ and the background $i^*(g + B)$. This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Str} \sqrt{-\det_{t, \mathbb{R}^{1,2}, Z, \theta_2, y} \left(i^*(g + B) + (F_0 + F) \frac{\sigma^3}{2} \right)} \Big|_{Y=0} \delta \left(\theta_2 - \frac{\alpha \theta_2}{N \frac{3}{10}} \right) \\
&= \sqrt{-\det_{\theta_2, y} (i^*(g + B))} \text{Str} \sqrt{\det_{t, \mathbb{R}^{1,2}, Z} \left(i^*(g + B) + (F_0 + F) \frac{\sigma^3}{2} \right)} \Big|_{Y=0} \delta \left(\theta_2 - \frac{\alpha \theta_2}{N \frac{3}{10}} \right) \\
&= \sqrt{-\det_{\theta_2, y} (i^*(g + B))} \sqrt{\det_{t, \mathbb{R}^{1,2}, Z} (i^*g)} \text{Str} \left(\mathbf{1}_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left[(i^*g)^{-1} \left((F_0 + F) \frac{\sigma^3}{2} \right) \right]^2 + \dots \right) \Big|_{Y=0} \delta \left(\theta_2 - \frac{\alpha \theta_2}{N \frac{3}{10}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Picking up terms quadratic in \tilde{F} :

$$S_{D6}^{IIA} = \frac{T_{D6} (2\pi\alpha')^2}{4} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) \text{Str} \int d^3 x dZ d\theta_2 dy \delta \left(\theta_2 - \frac{\alpha \theta_2}{N \frac{3}{10}} \right) e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det_{\theta_2 y} (i^*(g + B))} \sqrt{\det_{t, \mathbb{R}^{1,2}, Z} (i^*g)} g^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\rho} g^{\rho\sigma} F_{\sigma\mu} \tag{50}$$

Here i^*g and i^*B is the pull back metric and the pull back NS-NS flux on the D6-brane respectively. Writing the Klauza-Klein modes for the gauge fields in a 2+1 dimensional minkowski spacetime consisting of $x^{1,2,3}$ as,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\mu(x^\nu, Z) &= \sum_{n=1} \rho_\mu^{(n)}(x^\nu) \psi_n^\mu(Z) \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3 \\
A_Z(x^\nu, Z) &= \sum_{n=0} \pi^{(n)}(x^\nu) \phi_n(Z),
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{V}{4} \int d^3 x dZ \sum_{nm} \left(\mathcal{V}_2(Z) \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \tilde{F}^{(m)\mu\nu} \psi_m(Z) \psi_n(Z) + \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \rho_\mu^{(m)} \rho^{(n)\mu} \dot{\psi}_m \dot{\psi}_n + \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \partial_\mu \pi^n \partial^\mu \pi^m \phi_n \phi_m \right. \\
& \left. - \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \partial_\mu \pi^n \rho^{(m)\mu} \phi_n \dot{\psi}_m - \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \partial_\mu \pi^m \rho^{n\mu} \phi_m \dot{\psi}_n \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

writing terms quadratic in ψ :

$$-\frac{V}{4} \int d^3 x dZ \sum_{nm} \left(\mathcal{V}_2(Z) \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \tilde{F}^{(m)\mu\nu} \psi_m(Z) \psi_n(Z) + \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \rho_\mu^{(m)} \rho^{(n)\mu} \dot{\psi}_m \dot{\psi}_n \right), \tag{53}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= -T_{D6} 2(2\pi\alpha')^2 \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) \int dy d\theta_2 \delta \left(\theta_2 - \frac{\alpha \theta_2}{N \frac{3}{10}} \right) \\
\mathcal{V}_1(Z) &= 2\sqrt{h} g^{ZZ} e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det_{\theta_2, y} (i^*(g + B))} \sqrt{\det_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2}, t, Z} (i^*g)} \\
\mathcal{V}_2(Z) &= h e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det_{\theta_2, y} (i^*(g + B))} \sqrt{\det_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2}, t, Z} (i^*g)}
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Now, $F_{\mu\nu}(x^\rho, |Z|) = \sum_n \partial_{[\mu} \rho_{\nu]}^{(n)} \psi_n(Z) \equiv \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \psi_n(Z)$. The EOM satisfied by $\rho_\mu(x^\nu)^{(n)}$ is: $\partial_\mu \tilde{F}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \log \sqrt{g_{t, \mathbb{R}^{1,2}, |Z|}} \tilde{F}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \tilde{F}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^2 \rho_{(n)}^\nu$. After integrating by parts once, and utilizing the EOM for $\rho_\mu^{(n)}$, one writes :

$$\int d^3x dZ \left(-2\mathcal{V}_2(Z) \mathcal{M}_{(m)}^2 \psi_n^{\rho\mu} \psi_m^{\rho\mu} + \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \dot{\psi}_n^{\rho\mu} \dot{\psi}_m^{\rho\mu} \right) \rho^{\mu(n)} \rho_\mu^{(m)}, \quad (55)$$

which yields the following equations of motion:

$$\psi_{(m)}^\mu : \frac{d}{dZ} \left(\mathcal{V}_1(Z) \dot{\psi}_{(m)}^\mu \right) + 2\mathcal{V}_2(Z) \mathcal{M}_{(m)}^2 \psi_{(m)}^\mu = 0. \quad (56)$$

The normalization condition of ψ_n are given as

$$\begin{aligned} V \int dZ \mathcal{V}_2(Z) \psi_n \psi_m &= \delta_{nm} \\ \frac{V}{2} \int dZ \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \partial_Z \psi_n \partial_Z \psi_m &= m_n^2 \delta_{nm} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Thus the action for vector meson part for all $n \geq 1$ can be written as

$$- \int d^3x \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \tilde{F}^{(n)\mu\nu} + \frac{m_n^2}{2} \rho_\mu^{(n)} \rho^{(n)\mu} \right), \quad (58)$$

To normalize the kinetic term for π^n , we impose the normalization condition for all n corresponding to π^n which ranges from 0 to ∞

$$\frac{V}{2} \int dZ \mathcal{V}_1(Z) \phi_n \phi_m = \delta_{nm} \quad (59)$$

From 57, it is seen that we can choose $\phi_n = m_n^{-1} \dot{\psi}_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. For $n = 0$ corresponding to ϕ_0 we choose its form such as it is orthogonal to $\dot{\psi}_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. By writing $\phi_0 = \frac{C}{\mathcal{V}_1(Z)}$, we have

$$(\phi_0, \phi_n) \propto \int dZ C \partial_Z \psi = 0$$

Thus the cross component in (52) vanishes for $n = 0$, and the remaining cross components can be absorbed in the ρ_μ^n by following a specific gauge transformation given as,

$$\rho_\mu^n \rightarrow \rho_\mu^n + m_n^{-1} \partial_\mu \pi^n$$

Then the action becomes

$$- \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \pi^0 \partial^\mu \pi^0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} \tilde{F}^{(n)\mu\nu} + \frac{m_n^2}{2} \rho_\mu^{(n)} \rho^{(n)\mu} \right) \right], \quad (60)$$

4.1 Radial Profile Function $\psi_1(Z)$ for ρ -Meson

Up to NLO in N :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1(Z) = \frac{1}{108\pi^2 \log N \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} & \left\{ M \sqrt[5]{\frac{1}{N}} N_f e^{-4Z} e^{4Z} - 1 \left(2 \sqrt[5]{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right. \\ & \log(r_h e^Z) \left(3 \log(r_h e^Z) \left(3a^2 (g_s N_f (8 \log(N) r_h e^Z - 1) + 32\pi r_h e^Z) - 2g_s N_f r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) + 3a^2 (g_s (\log(N) - 3) N_f + 4\pi) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 216a^2 g_s N_f r_h e^Z \log^2(r_h e^Z) + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} (g_s \log(N) N_f + 4\pi) \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_2(Z) = \frac{1}{54\pi \log N r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} & \left\{ g_s M N^{3/5} N_f \left(81 \sqrt[5]{N} \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + 2\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \right) \right. \\ & \log(r_h e^Z) \left(3a^2 e^{-2Z} \left((3 \log(r_h e^Z) (g_s N_f (8 \log(N) r_h e^Z + 1) + 32\pi r_h e^Z) - g_s (\log(N) + 3) N_f \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 72g_s N_f r_h e^Z \log^2(r_h e^Z) - 4\pi \right) + 2r_h^2 (g_s \log(N) N_f - 3g_s N_f \log(r_h e^Z) + 4\pi) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Hence the Schrödinger-like equation satisfied by $g(Z) \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{V}_1(Z)} \psi_1(Z)$ will have a potential given by (C1). Near the horizon, $Z = 0$, and the aforementioned Schrödinger-like equation can be written as:

$$g''(Z) + g(Z) \left(\frac{\omega_1}{Z} + \omega_2 + \frac{1}{4Z^2} \right) = 0, \quad (63)$$

wherein:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 & \equiv \frac{1}{4} (m_0^2 - 3b^2 (m_0^2 - 2)) + 18b^2 r_h \log(r_h) - \frac{3b\gamma g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 2) \log(r_h)}{2N} + \frac{36b\gamma g_s M^2 r_h \log^2(r_h)}{N}, \\ \omega_2 & \equiv -\frac{4}{3} + \frac{3}{2} b^2 (m_0^2 + 72r_h - 4) - 36b^2 r_h \log(r_h) + \frac{3b\gamma g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} - \frac{72b\gamma g_s M^2 r_h \log^2(r_h)}{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

The solution to (63) is given by:

$$g(Z) = \tilde{c}_1 \psi_1 M_{-\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}}, 0} (2i\sqrt{\omega_2} Z) + \tilde{c}_2 \psi_1 W_{-\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}}, 0} (2i\sqrt{\omega_2} Z). \quad (65)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}_1}} & = \frac{2\pi \sqrt[10]{N}}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}}} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{10}}) \\ & = \frac{\pi \sqrt[10]{N}}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{Z} \sqrt{\frac{MN_f r_h^2 \log(r_h) (3 \log(r_h) (g_s N_f (3b^2 (8 \log(N) r_h - 1) - 2) + 96\pi b^2 r_h) + 3b^2 (g_s (\log(N) - 3) N_f + 4\pi) - 216b^2 g_s N_f r_h \log^2(r_h) + 2g_s \log(N) N_f + 8\pi)}{\log(N) \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}}} \\ & + \mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{10}}, Z^{\frac{3}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where:

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \frac{1}{\log N \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ MN_f r_h^2 e^{-4Z} \sqrt{e^{4Z} - 1} (\log(e^Z r_h)) \right. \\ \left. \left(3(\log(r_h) + Z) (3b^2 (g_s N_f (8 \log(N) r_h e^Z - 1) + 32\pi r_h e^Z) - 2g_s N_f e^{2Z}) + 3b^2 (g_s (\log(N) - 3) N_f + 4\pi) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 216b^2 g_s N_f r_h e^Z (\log(r_h) + Z)^2 + 2e^{2Z} (g_s \log(N) N_f + 4\pi) \right) \right\} \quad (67)$$

Thus:

$$\psi_1(Z) = Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[c_1 {}_{\psi_1} M_{-\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}}, 0}(2i\sqrt{\omega_2}Z) + c_2 {}_{\psi_1} W_{-\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}}, 0}(2i\sqrt{\omega_2}Z) \right], \quad (68)$$

which yields:

$$\psi_1'(Z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{i\sqrt{\omega_2}}Z\Gamma\left(\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \left\{ ic_2 \left(2\sqrt{\omega_2}\Gamma\left(\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\psi^{(0)}\left(\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \log(2i\sqrt{\omega_2}) + \log(Z) + 2\gamma \right) + (\sqrt{\omega_2} - i\omega_1)\Gamma\left(\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\psi^{(0)}\left(\frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}} + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \log(2i\sqrt{\omega_2}) + \log(Z) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 2\gamma \right) \right\} + \dots \quad (69)$$

To ensure that the coefficient of the $\frac{1}{Z}$ term in $\psi_1'(Z \sim 0)$ vanishes, we set:

$$-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\omega_1}{2\sqrt{\omega_2}} = -1, \quad (70)$$

that implies $\omega_1 = i\sqrt{\omega_2}$, and:

$$m_0 = 2.479 + 2.911r_h \log(r_h) - \frac{0.289\gamma g_s M^2 \log(r_h)}{N}. \quad (71)$$

Further for well-behaved $\psi_1'(Z)$ near $Z = 0$ one requires to set $c_2 {}_{\psi_1} = 0$. Therefore:

$$\psi_1(Z) = -\frac{c_{\psi_1} \sqrt{i\sqrt{\omega_2}\omega_2} Z^2}{\sqrt{2}} - \sqrt{2}c_{\psi_1} (i\sqrt{\omega_2})^{3/2} Z + \sqrt{2}c_{\psi_1} \sqrt{i\sqrt{\omega_2}}, \quad (72)$$

and

$$\psi_1'(Z) = -\sqrt{2}c_{\psi_1}\omega_2\sqrt{i\sqrt{\omega_2}}Z - \sqrt{2}c_{\psi_1} (i\sqrt{\omega_2})^{3/2}. \quad (73)$$

To satisfy Neumann boundary condition at $Z = 0$, one will hence set: $c_1 {}_{\psi_1} = c_{\psi_1} = N^{-\Omega_\psi}$, $\Omega_\psi > 1$. Also, for $b = 0.57$ $\omega_2 = \mathcal{O}\left(r_h \log r_h, \frac{g_s M^2}{N} r_h (\log r_h)^2\right) \ll 1$.

4.2 Radial Profile Function $\phi_0(Z)$ for π -Meson

Near $Z = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0(Z) &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}}{\mathcal{V}_1(Z)} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt[5]{N} \left(\frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}{3(3b^2+2)g_s M N_f^2 r_h^2 \log(r_h)} - \frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}{(3b^2+2)g_s \log(N) M N_f^2 r_h^2} \right)}{Z} + \frac{2\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4}{81(3b^2+2)g_s \log(N) M N_f^2 r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}} + \frac{2\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4}{243(3b^2+2)g_s M N_f^2 r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} \log(r_h)} \\
&+ \sqrt[5]{N} \left(\frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}{72b^2 g_s^2 \log(N) M N_f^2 r_h^3 \log(r_h)} + \frac{2\pi^2 b^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}{(3b^2+2)^2 g_s M N_f^2 r_h^2 \log(r_h)} \right) + \left(- \frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4}{2916b^2 g_s^2 \log(N) M N_f^2 r_h^3 \alpha_{\theta_1} \log(r_h)} \right. \\
&- \left. \frac{4\pi^2 b^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \alpha_{\theta_2}^4}{81(3b^2+2)^2 g_s M N_f^2 r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} \log(r_h)} \right) + \frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \sqrt[5]{N} Z \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 (9b^4 (4\log(r_h)^2 - 6\log(r_h) + 3) - 12b^2 (5\log(r_h)^2 + 3\log(r_h) - 3) - 8\log(r_h)^2 + 12)}{9(3b^2+2)^3 g_s^{7/2} M N_f^2 \log^3(r_h)} \\
&\frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \sqrt[5]{N} Z^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 (432b^4 \log(r_h)^3 - 18(3b^2+2)^2 b^2 \log(r_h) + 3(3b^2+2)^3 + 4(3b^2+2)(9b^4 - 15b^2 - 2)\log(r_h)^2)}{9(3b^2+2)^4 g_s \log(r_h)^4 M N_f^2 r_h^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Let $Z \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then, in (74), one can show that the sum of all terms except that of $\mathcal{O}(N^{\frac{1}{5}}Z^2)$, assuming $\log N > 3|\log r_h|$, vanishes provided:

$$\alpha_{\theta_2} = \frac{9 \sqrt[10]{N} \alpha_{\theta_1} \sqrt{\log^2(r_h)(\log(N) + 3\log(r_h))}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\log^2(r_h)(\log(N) - 3\log(r_h))}}. \tag{75}$$

Therefore:

$$\phi_0(Z \sim 0) = - \frac{0.682249 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0} \sqrt[5]{N} Z^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}{g_s |\log(r_h)| M N_f^2 r_h^2}. \tag{76}$$

5 Glueball-Meson Interaction Lagrangian

The couplings appearing in the DBI action after ignoring the derivatives and possible indices can be written as:

$$G_E Tr(\pi^2), \quad G_E Tr(\pi, [\pi, \rho]), \quad G_E Tr([\pi, \rho]^2), \quad G_E Tr(\rho^2), \quad G_E Tr(\rho, [\rho, \rho]), \quad G_E Tr([\rho, \rho]^2) \tag{77}$$

The interaction terms written above are generic results for single glueball case. The flavor structure remains same for the case involving multi-glueball vertices. In subsequent sections we will be considering the $n = 1, 0$ modes respectively in the KK expansion of A_μ, A_Z .

Substituting all the fluctuations for the metric in the D-6 brane action gives us the glueball-meson couplings. We only consider the interaction terms that are linear in glueball field G_E , since we are interested in glueball decays.

The DBI action for D6 branes is written in terms of the 10 dimensional type-IIA metric and dilaton field. The glueball modes and dilaton field for type-IIA background were obtained in

terms of 11-D M theory metric perturbations using witten's relation. The perturbed type-IIA field components and dilaton are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{tt}^{IIA} &= \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{h_{11,11}}{2G_{11,11}^M} \right) G_{tt}^M + h_{tt} \right] \\
g_{rr}^{IIA} &= \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{h_{11,11}}{2G_{11,11}^M} \right) G_{rr}^M + h_{rr} \right] \\
g_{ab}^{IIA} &= \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{h_{11,11}}{2G_{11,11}^M} \right) G_{ab}^M + h_{ab} \right] \\
g_{ra}^{IIA} &= \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{h_{11,11}}{2G_{11,11}^M} \right) G_{ra}^M + h_{ra} \right] \\
g_{yy}^{IIA} &= \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{h_{11,11}}{2G_{11,11}^M} \right) G_{yy}^M \right] \\
g_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA} &= \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{h_{11,11}}{2G_{11,11}^M} \right) G_{\theta_2 y}^M \right] \\
g_{\theta_2 \theta_2}^{IIA} &= G_{11,11}^M \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{3h_{11,11}}{2G_{11,11}^M} \right) \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where a,b run from 1 to 3 corresponding to the spatial part of the metric. Substituting all the expressions for the type IIA metric components g_{MN}^{IIA} and the M-theory perturbations h_{MN} into the D6-brane DBI action and, working only upto linear order we get three different type of terms as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h)} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_d(h)} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h)}. \tag{79}$$

Here $\mathcal{O}(h^0)$ represent term without any perturbation while $\mathcal{O}(h)$ represents term with linear order in perturbation. In both the terms subscripts d,F, ϕ corresponds to part of the integrand of the DBI action from which they are obtained, \mathcal{O}_d corresponds to term obtained from $\sqrt{-\det(\iota^*(g+B))}$, \mathcal{O}_ϕ corresponds to the term $e^{-\phi}$ and, \mathcal{O}_F corresponds to the term of type gFgF. Contributions to the interaction lagrangian from these three different terms were obtained as:

- $\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h)$:

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_d(h^0) &= \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \\
\mathcal{O}_F(h^0) &= \frac{2F_{12}^2}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M} + \frac{2F_{13}^2}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M} + \frac{2^2_{1Z} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} + \frac{2F_{23}^2}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M} + \frac{2F_{2Z}^2 e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} + \frac{2F_{3Z}^2 e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \\
\mathcal{O}_\phi(h) &= -\frac{3h_{11,11}}{4G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4}}
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Putting everything together:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h)} &= \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \\
&\left(-\frac{3e^{-2Z} \phi_0(Z)^2 q_6(Z) \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{2G_{11}^M G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} r_h^2} - \frac{3\iota e^{-2Z} \psi_1(Z) \phi_0(Z)^2 q_6(Z) \partial_\mu \pi [\pi, \rho^\mu] G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{G_{11}^M G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} r_h^2} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{3e^{-2Z} q_6(Z) \psi_1'(Z)^2 \rho^\mu \rho_\mu G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{2G_{11}^M G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} r_h^2} - \frac{3\psi_1(Z)^2 q_6(Z) \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}}{4G_{11}^M{}^2 G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4}} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

• $\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_d(h)$:

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0) &= G_{11,11}^M{}^{-3/4} \\
\mathcal{O}_F(h^0) &= \frac{2F_{12}^2}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M} + \frac{2F_{13}^2}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M} + \frac{2F_{23}^2}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M} + \frac{2F_{1Z}^2 e^{-2Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} + \frac{2F_{2Z}^2 e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} + \frac{2F_{3Z}^2 e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \\
\mathcal{O}_d(h) &= \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \left(\frac{h_{11}}{2G_{11}^M} + \frac{h_{22}}{2G_{22}^M} + \frac{h_{33}}{2G_{33}^M} + \frac{h_{rr}}{2G_{rr}^M} \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{h_{tt}}{2G_{tt}^M} \right) - \frac{h_{11,11} \left(2\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M G_{yy}^M - G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 \right) \sqrt{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{2\sqrt{G_{11,11}^M \left(G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 - \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M G_{yy}^M \right) - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

implying:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_d(h)} &= \\
&\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \right. \\
&\times \left(3q_4(Z) - q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) - q_5(Z) \frac{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu}{M_g^2} \right) \\
&\left. \frac{q_6(Z) \left(9\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M + 5B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 - 7G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 \right) \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{4\sqrt{G_{11,11}^M \left(G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 - G_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{yy}^M \right) - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2}} \right) \left(\frac{2e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{11}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right) \\
&\left(\phi_0(Z)^2 \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi + \psi_1'(Z)^2 \rho^\mu \rho_\mu + 2\iota \phi_0^2(Z) \psi_1(Z) \partial_\mu \pi [\pi, \rho^\mu] \right) G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \right. \\
& \times \left(3q_4(Z) - q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) - G_{11}^M \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M} q_5(Z) \frac{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu}{M_g^2} \right) \\
& \left. \frac{q_6(Z) \left(9G_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{yy}^M + 5B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 - 7G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 \right) \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{4\sqrt{G_{11,11}^M \left(G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 - G_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{yy}^M \right) - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4}} \right) \\
& \left(\psi_1(Z)^2 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right) G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)
\end{aligned}$$

• $\mathcal{O}_d(h^0) \mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0) \mathcal{O}_F(h)$:

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0) &= G_{11,11}^M{}^{-3/4} \\
\mathcal{O}_d(h^0) &= \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \\
\mathcal{O}_F(h) &= -\frac{2F_{12}^2 h_{11}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M} - \frac{2F_{12}^2 h_{11,11}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{22}^M} - \frac{2F_{12}^2 h_{22}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M{}^2} - \frac{4F_{12} F_{13} h_{23}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M} - \frac{4F_{12} F_{1Z} h_{2r} e^{-Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{rr}^M r_h} \\
& + \frac{4F_{12} F_{23} h_{13}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M} + \frac{4F_{12} F_{2Z} h_{1r} e^{-Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{rr}^M r_h} - \frac{2F_{13}^2 h_{11}}{G_{11}^M{}^2 G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M} - \frac{2F_{13}^2 h_{11,11}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{33}^M} - \frac{2F_{13}^2 h_{33}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M{}^2} \\
& - \frac{4F_{13} F_{1Z} h_{3r} e^{-Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h} - \frac{4F_{13} F_{23} h_{12}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M} + \frac{4F_{13} F_{3Z} h_{1r} e^{-Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h} - \frac{2F_{1Z}^2 h_{11} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11}^M{}^2 G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} - \frac{2F_{1Z}^2 h_{11,11} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \\
& - \frac{2F_{1Z}^2 h_{rr} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M{}^2 r_h^2} - \frac{4F_{1Z} F_{2Z} h_{12} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} - \frac{4F_{1Z} F_{3Z} h_{13} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} - \frac{2F_{23}^2 h_{11,11}}{G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{22}^M G_{33}^M} - \frac{2F_{23}^2 h_{22}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M{}^2 G_{33}^M} \\
& - \frac{2F_{23}^2 h_{33}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M{}^2} - \frac{4F_{23} F_{2Z} h_{3r} e^{-Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h} + \frac{4F_{23} F_{3Z} h_{2r} e^{-Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h} - \frac{2F_{2Z}^2 h_{1111} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{22}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} - \frac{2F_{2Z}^2 h_{22} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \\
& - \frac{2F_{2Z}^2 h_{rr} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{rr}^M{}^2 r_h^2} - \frac{4F_{2Z} F_{3Z} h_{23} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} - \frac{2F_{3Z}^2 h_{11,11} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} - \frac{2F_{3Z}^2 h_{33} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M r_h^2} - \frac{2F_{3Z}^2 h_{rr} e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M{}^2 r_h^2} \quad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\varphi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h)} &= \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2}G_{11,11}^M{}^2G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2x^2}^M G_{x^3x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z} G_{11,11}^M}^{-3/4} \\
&\left(\frac{2e^{-2Z}\psi_1'(Z)^2\rho_\mu^2 G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)(q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) - q_6(Z))}{G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M r_h^2} + \frac{2e^{-2Z}\psi_1'(Z)^2\rho_\mu\rho_\nu q_5(Z)\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M M_g^2 r_h^2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{2e^{-2Z}\partial_\mu\pi^2\phi_0(Z)^2 G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)(q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) - q_6(Z))}{G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M r_h^2} + \frac{2e^{-2Z}G_{11,11}^M\phi_0(Z)^2 q_5(Z)\partial_\mu\pi\partial_\nu\pi\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M M_g^2 r_h^2} \\
&+ \iota\phi_0 Z^2\psi_1(Z)\partial_\mu\pi[\pi, \rho_\nu] \frac{4e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} q_5(Z) \frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{M_g^2} + \iota\phi_0 Z^2\psi_1(Z)\partial_\mu\pi[\pi, \rho^\mu] \frac{4e^{-2Z}}{G_{11,11}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} (-q_4(Z)) \\
&+ \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}\psi_1(Z)^2 G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)(-2q_4(Z) - q_6(Z)) + \frac{2\psi_1(Z)^2 q_5(Z)}{G_{11,11}^M G_{11,11}^M} \tilde{F}_{\mu l}\tilde{F}_\nu{}^l \frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{M_g^2} \\
&- \left. \frac{4e^{-Z}\psi_1(Z)\psi_1'(Z)q_3(Z)}{G_{11,11}^M G_{rr}^M G_{11,11}^M r_h} \rho_\mu F_\nu{}^\mu \frac{\partial^\nu G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{M_g^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{int} &= \mathcal{T} Str \int \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) d^3x \left[c_1(\partial_\mu\pi)^2 G_E + c_2\partial_\mu\pi\partial_\nu\pi \frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M^2} G_E \right. \\
&+ c_3\rho_\mu^2 G + c_4\rho_\mu\rho_\nu \frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M^2} G_E + c_5\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} G_E + c_6\tilde{F}_{\mu\rho}\tilde{F}_\nu{}^\rho \frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M^2} G_E \\
&+ \iota c_7\partial_\mu\pi[\pi, \rho^\mu] G_E + \iota c_8\partial_\mu\pi[\pi, \rho_\nu] \frac{\partial^\mu\partial^\nu}{M^2} G_E + c_9(Z)\rho_\mu\tilde{F}_\nu{}^\mu \frac{\partial^\nu G_E}{M^2} \\
&\left. + c_{10}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} G_E + c_{11}\partial_\mu\pi\partial^\mu\pi G_E + c_{12}\rho_\mu\rho^\mu G_E + \iota c_{13}\partial_\mu\pi[\pi, \rho^\mu] G_E \right] \quad (84)
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{-T_{D_6}(2\pi\alpha l)^2}{4} \int dy d\theta_2 \delta\left(\theta_2 - \frac{\alpha\theta_2}{N^{3/10}}\right) \quad (85)$$

At quadratic order in field strength tensor these are the only interaction terms. Terms with higher order in ρ_μ and π can be obtained in the same manner by keeping higher order terms of F in the DBI action. Assuming that in (84), $\int_{Z=0}^\infty dZ = \int_{Z=0}^{\log\sqrt{3}b} dZ + \int_{\log\sqrt{3}b}^\infty dZ$, the coefficients c'_i s setting $q_6(r) = 0$, are given as under:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= \int dZ \left[\frac{e^{-2Z} \phi_0(Z)^2 \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right. \\
&\times \left(-\frac{q_5(Z) m^2}{m^2} - q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) + 3q_4(Z) \right) \\
&+ \left. \frac{2e^{-2Z} \phi_0(Z)^2 (q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) - q_6(Z)) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{x^3 x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right] \\
&= - \int \frac{dZ}{216\pi^2 M_g^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s M \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^2 e^{-4Z} (e^{4Z} - 1) \phi_0(Z)^2 \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\times \left. (\log(e^Z r_h)) \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z \log(e^Z r_h) + 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) M_g^2 (q_5(Z) + (q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) + 2q_6(Z))) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[-\frac{1.16 \times 10^{-7} C_{\phi_0}^2 N^{6/5} \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 c_{1q_4}}{M N_f^2 r_h^3} + \frac{15.9759 C_{\phi_0}^{UV^2} \log(N)^5 \sqrt{N} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) (1. c_{q_1}^{UV} - 3.01538 c_{q_4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s} M^{UV^2} r_h^6 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2 &= \int dZ \left[\frac{2e^{-2Z} \phi_0(Z)^2 q_5(Z) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{x^3 x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right. \\
&= \int \frac{dZ}{108\pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s M \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^2 e^{-4Z} \sqrt{e^{4Z} - 1} \phi_0(Z)^2 q_5(Z) \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\times \left. (\log(e^Z r_h)) \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) + 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) \right\} \Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[-\frac{2.32 \times 10^{-7} C_{\phi_0}^2 N^{6/5} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 c_{1q_4}}{M N_f^2 r_h^3} - \frac{31.9518 C_{\phi_0}^{UV^2} \log(N)^5 \sqrt{N} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) (1. c_{q_1}^{UV} - 3.01538 c_{q_4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} M^{UV^2} r_h^6 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3 &= \int dZ \left[\frac{e^{-2Z} \psi_1'(Z)^2 \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right. \\
&\times \left(-\frac{q_5(Z) m^2}{m^2} - q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) + 3q_4(Z) \right) \\
&+ \left. \frac{2e^{-2Z} \psi_1'(Z)^2 (q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) - q_6(Z)) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{x^3 x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right] \\
&= - \int \frac{dZ}{216\pi^2 M_g^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s M \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^2 e^{-4Z} (e^{4Z} - 1) \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\times \left. \psi_1'(Z)^2 (\log(e^Z r_h)) \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) + 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) M_g^2 (q_5(Z) + (q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) + 2q_6(Z))) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[\frac{0.68 g_s^2 M N^{4/5} N_f^2 \left(v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \right)^{3/2} r_h c_{\psi_1}{}^2 c_{1q_4} \log^2(r_h)}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} + \frac{821.55 \log(N)^5 \sqrt{N} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) c_{\psi_1}^{UV^2} (1. c_{q_1}^{UV} - 3.01 c_{q_4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} M^{UV^2} r_h^6 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

$$c_4 = \int dZ \left[\frac{2e^{-2Z} q_5(Z) \psi_1'(Z)^2 \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{x^3 x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right]$$

$$= \int \frac{dZ}{108\pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s M^5 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^2 e^{-4Z} (e^{4Z} - 1) q_5(Z) \left(2\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \psi_1'(Z)^2 (\log(e^Z r_h)) \right.$$

$$\left. \times \left(3a^2 + 72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \log(N) \right) \right\}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[\frac{1.36 g_s^2 M N^{4/5} N_f^2 \left(v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \right)^{3/2} r_h c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{1q_4} \log^2(r_h)}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} - \frac{1643.11 \log(N)^5 \sqrt{N} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) c_{\psi_1}^{UV^2} (1. c_{2q_1}^{UV} - 3.01 c_{2q_4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} M^{UV^2} r_h^6 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

$$c_5 = \int dZ \left[\frac{\psi_1(Z)^2 \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \left(-\frac{q_5(Z) m^2}{m^2} - q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) + 3q_4(Z) \right)}{2G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\psi_1(Z)^2 (-2q_4(Z) - q_6(Z)) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{x^3 x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11 \ 11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M}$$

$$- \int \frac{dZ}{108\pi M_g^2 r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s^2 M N^{3/5} N_f^2 e^{-2Z} \psi_1(Z)^2 \left(81\sqrt{N} \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + 2\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \right) (\log(r_h) + Z) \right.$$

$$\left. \times \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) - 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. \times M_g^2 (q_5(Z) + (q_1(Z) + q_2(Z) + q_4(Z) + 2q_6(Z))) \right\}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[\frac{-55.75 g_s^3 M N^{9/5} N_f^2 \sqrt{\left(v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \right)} c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{1q_4} \log^2(r_h)}{r_h \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} + \frac{176.96 \sqrt{g_s^{UV}} \log(N)^5 N^{6/5} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) c_{\psi_1}^{UV^2} (0.0196 c_{2q_4}^{UV} - 0.006 c_{2q_1}^{UV})}{M^{UV^2} r_h^8 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

$$c_6 = \int dZ \left[\frac{2\psi_1(Z)^2 q_5(Z) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11\ 11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11\ 11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2x^2}^M G_{x^3x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^2 G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{7/4}} \right]$$

$$= \int \frac{dZ}{27\pi r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s^2 M N^{3/5} N_f^2 e^{-2Z} \psi_1(Z)^2 q_5(Z) \left(81 \sqrt[5]{N} \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + 2\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \right) \right.$$

$$\left. \times (\log(e^Z r_h)) \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) - 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) \right\}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[\frac{223.007 g_s^3 M N^{9/5} N_f^2 \sqrt{\left(v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \right)} c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{1q4} \log^2(r_h)}{r_h \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} + \frac{4.60 \sqrt{g_s^{UV}} \log(N)^5 N^{6/5} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) c_{\psi_1}^{UV^2} (1. c_{2q1}^{UV} - 3.015 c_{2q4}^{UV})}{M^{UV^2} r_h^8 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

$$c_7 = \int dZ \left[\frac{2e^{-2Z} \phi_0(Z)^2 \psi_1(Z) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11\ 11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11\ 11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1x^1}^M G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right]$$

$$\times \left(-\frac{q_5(Z) m^2}{m^2} - q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) + 3q_4(Z) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{4e^{-2Z} \phi_0(Z)^2 \psi_1(Z) (q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) - q_6(Z)) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11\ 11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11\ 11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2x^2}^M G_{x^3x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1x^1}^M G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2}$$

$$= - \int \frac{dZ}{108\pi^2 M_g^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s M \sqrt[5]{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^2 e^{-2Z} \left(e^{4Z} - 1 \right) \phi_0(Z)^2 \psi_1(Z) \left(2 \sqrt[5]{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right.$$

$$\times (\log(e^Z r_h)) \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) + 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \log(N) \right)$$

$$\left. \times M_g^2 (q_5(Z) + (q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) + 2q_6(Z))) \right\}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[-\frac{3.28 \times 10^{-7} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2 N^{6/5} \left(v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \right)^{1/4} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 c_{\psi_1} c_{1q4}}{M N_f^2 r_h^3} + \frac{2666.71 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV^2} \log(N)^5 \sqrt[5]{N} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) c_{\psi_1}^{UV} (1. c_{2q1}^{UV} - 3.015 c_{2q4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} M^{UV^2} r_h^6 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

$$c_8 = \int dZ \left[\frac{4e^{-2Z} \phi_0(Z)^2 \psi_1(Z) q_5(Z) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2} G_{11\ 11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11\ 11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2x^2}^M G_{x^3x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1x^1}^M G_{11\ 11}^M{}^{7/4} G_{rr}^M r_h^2} \right]$$

$$= \int \frac{dZ}{54\pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s M \sqrt[5]{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^2 e^{-4Z} \left(e^{4Z} - 1 \right) \phi_0(Z)^2 \psi_1(Z) q_5(Z) \left(2 \sqrt[5]{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right.$$

$$\left. \times (\log(e^Z r_h)) \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) + 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) \right\}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{6.57 \times 10^{-7} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2 N^{6/5} \left(v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \right)^{1/4}}{M N_f^2 r_h^3} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 c_{\psi_1} c_{1_{q4}} - \frac{5333.42 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV^2} \log(N)^5 \sqrt{N} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) c_2^{\psi_1} (1. c_{2_{q1}}^{UV} - 3.015 c_{2_{q4}}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV} M^{UV^2} r_h^6 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}} \right] \\
c_9 &= - \int dZ \left[\frac{4e^{-Z} \psi_1(Z) q_3(Z) \psi_1'(Z) \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} G_{11}^M G_{11}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA^2} + G_{11}^M G_{11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11}^M G_{11}^M G_{11}^M G_{11}^M G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{x^3 x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11}^M G_{11}^M G_{rr}^M r_h} \right] \\
&= - \int \frac{dZ}{54\pi^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s M \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^2 r_h e^{-3Z} \left(e^{4Z} - 1 \right) \psi_1(Z) q_3(Z) \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \psi_1'(Z) (\log(e^Z r_h)) \right. \\
&\times \left. \left(72a^2 r_h e^Z (\log(e^Z r_h)) + 3a^2 + 2r_h^2 e^{2Z} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

which for $b \sim 0.6$ yields :

$$\left[- \frac{0.000514915 g_s^{3/2} \log(N)^5 m_0^4 N_f^2 \left(v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \right) c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{1_{q4}} \log(r_h)}{M^2 \pi g_s^2 r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} + \frac{2709.66 g_s^{UV} M^{UV} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^{UV^2} r_h^3 \log(r_h) c_{1_{q3}}^{UV} c_2^{\psi_1} c_{2_{q4}}^{UV^2}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]$$

where $v_1 \equiv \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $v_2 \sim 10^{-3}$, and:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2 \theta_2} \equiv \frac{9 g_s^{7/2} M^2 N^{11/10} N_f^4 e^{-2Z} \log^2(r_h e^Z) (36a^2 \log(r_h e^Z) + r_h e^Z)^2}{2\pi^{5/2} r_h^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^4} \quad (86)$$

6 Decay widths

In this section, using standard techniques in scattering theory (specially in dealing with multi-particle phase-space integrals: see [29], [30]²), in the following sub-sections, we calculate decay widths for $G_E \rightarrow 2\pi$, $G_E \rightarrow 2\rho$, $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$, $G_E \rightarrow 4\pi^0$, $G_E \rightarrow \rho + 2\pi$ as well as indirect four- π decay with associated with $G_E \rightarrow \rho + 2\pi \rightarrow 4\pi$ as well as $G_E \rightarrow 2\rho \rightarrow 4\pi$ assuming $M_G > 2M_\rho$ for definiteness and specifically concentrating on the potential glueball candidate f_0 [1710].

6.1 $G_E \rightarrow 2\pi$

The decay width for two body decay is given as,

$$\Gamma = \frac{S}{8m^2} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \quad (87)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the amplitude for the decay, and \mathbf{p} is the final momentum of one of the identical particles in the decay product. The relevant coupling for the 2π decay in the rest frame of the glueball is given by following terms in the interaction lagrangian

$$\mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) \text{Str} \left(c_1 (\partial_\mu \pi)^2 G_E + c_2 \partial_\mu \pi \partial_\nu \pi \frac{\partial^\mu \partial^\nu}{M_g^2} G_E + c_{11} \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi G_E \right) \quad (88)$$

²We would like to thank M.Dhuria for bringing [30] to our attention.

Considering a specific adjoint index for the pion π^a ($a=1,2,3$). \mathcal{M} for two pions π^1 and π^2 as final state particles in the rest frame of glueball is given as,

$$\iota\mathcal{M} = -\iota_2 \mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) \left(2c_1 \iota k_{1\mu} \iota k_2^\mu + 2c_2 \iota k_{1\mu} \iota k_{2\nu} \frac{\iota k_g^\mu \iota k_g^\nu}{M_g^2} \right) \quad (89)$$

where the factor of 2 is for the symmetry of exchanging the two final state particles. Pions are massless which gives $k^0 = |\mathbf{k}| = m/2$ for both the particles, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iota\mathcal{M} &= -\iota\mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) \left(-2c_1 (k_{10}k_2^0 + k_{1i}k_2^i) + 2c_2 k_{10}k_{20} \frac{k_g^0 k_g^0}{M_g^2} \right) \\ &= -\iota\mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) (k_1^0 k_2^0 (2\eta_{00}^2 c_2 - 2c_1 \eta_{00}) - 2c_1 \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) \\ &= \frac{-\iota M_g^2}{4} \mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) (2\eta_{00}^2 c_2 - 2\eta_{00} c_1 + 2c_1) \\ &= -\iota \frac{\mathcal{T}}{2} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) M_g^2 (2c_1 + c_2) \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

The decay width summed over $a = 1, 2, 3$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow \pi\pi} &= \frac{|2c_1 + c_2|^2 M_g^2}{32} \mathcal{T}^2 \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right)^2 \times 3 \times \frac{1}{2} \\ &\approx \frac{3}{64} c_2^2 m_0^2 \pi^2 \mathcal{T}^2 \\ &\text{which for } b \sim 0.6 : \\ &= 0.003 m_0 \left(\frac{1.35 \times 10^{-7} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^4 N^{14/5} \mathcal{T}^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^6 c_{1q4}^2}{M^2 N_f^4 r_h^6} \right) \equiv 0.003 m_0 \times \Lambda_{G_E \rightarrow 2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

In our paper, we have assumed $|\log r_h| = \frac{f_{r_h}}{3} \log N, 0 < f_{r_h} < 1$, or equivalently $r_h = N^{-\frac{f_{r_h}}{3}}$. From [27], the 2π -decay width per unit mass associated with f_0 [1710] is $\sim 10^{-2}$. Therefore by a convenient choice of $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}, c_{1q4} : \Lambda_{G_E \rightarrow 2\pi} \sim 10$ - implying a constraint on $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2 c_{1q4}$ - one obtains: $\frac{\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\pi}}{m_0} = 10^{-2}$ - a good match with the PDG-2018 results.

6.2 $G_E \rightarrow 2\rho$

We consider the onshell decay for $G_E \rightarrow \rho\rho$. The differential width is given by

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{16\pi} \sum_{pol} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \frac{S}{m^2} d\Omega_{k_1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T} \frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \epsilon_\alpha(k_1) \epsilon_\beta(k_2) (A \eta^{\alpha\beta} + B^{\alpha\beta})$$

where expression for A and $B^{\alpha\beta}$ are given as

$$A = \frac{c_6 (k_1 \cdot k_{g1}) (k_2 \cdot k_{g1})}{M_g^2} - \frac{c_9 (k_1 + k_2) \cdot k_{g1}}{2M_g^2} - 2(c_5 + c_{10}) k_1 \cdot k_2 + c_3 + c_{12}$$

which for $q_6(Z) = c_1 q_1 = 0$ yields :

$$= c_3 - c_5 (m_\rho^2 - M_g^2) + \frac{c_9}{2} + \frac{c_6}{4} M_g^2$$

For $b \sim 0.6$ dominated by $\frac{c_6}{4} M_g^2$;

$$B^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} c_6 \delta_0^\beta k_2^\alpha k_g^\beta + \frac{1}{2} c_6 \delta_0^\alpha k_1^\beta k_g^\alpha + \frac{c_9 \delta_0^\beta k_2^\alpha k_g^\beta}{2M^2} + \frac{c_9 \delta_0^\alpha k_1^\beta k_g^\alpha}{2M^2} - \frac{c_4 \delta_0^\alpha \delta_0^\beta k_g^\alpha k_g^\beta}{M^2} + \frac{c_6 k_1 \cdot k_2 \delta_0^\alpha \delta_0^\beta k_g^\alpha k_g^\beta}{M^2} + 2c_5 k_2^\alpha k_1^\beta \quad (92)$$

Now using:

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 \cdot k_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (2M_\rho^2 - m^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m^4 \lambda(M_\rho^2, M_\rho^2; m^2) + 4M_\rho^4} \\ |\mathbf{k}_1| &= \frac{m}{2} \sqrt{\lambda(M_\rho^2, M_\rho^2; m^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

we can write

$$\sum_{\text{pol}} = \mathcal{T}^2 \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right)^2 \frac{m^4}{4M_\rho^4} (A^2 \lambda(M_\rho^2, M_\rho^2; m^2) + 8A^2 \frac{M_\rho^4}{m^4} + 4 \frac{M_\rho^4}{m^4} X(k_1, k_2, m, B)), \quad (94)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{Ac_4 (-6M_g^2 M_\rho^2 + M_g^4 + 8M_\rho^4)}{4M_\rho^4} - \frac{Ac_5 (M_g^2 - 4M_\rho^2) (-6M_g^2 M_\rho^2 + M_g^4 + 8M_\rho^4)}{2M_\rho^4} \\ &- \frac{Ac_6 (M_g^2 + 2M_\rho^2) (-6M_g^2 M_\rho^2 + M_g^4 + 8M_\rho^4)}{8M_\rho^4} - \frac{Ac_9 (-6M_g^2 M_\rho^2 + M_g^4 + 8M_\rho^4)}{4M_\rho^4} \\ &+ \frac{c_4^2 (M_g^2 - 4M_\rho^2)^2}{16M_\rho^4} - \frac{c_4 c_5 (M_g^3 - 4M_g M_\rho^2)^2}{4M_\rho^4} \\ &- \frac{c_4 c_6 (-6M_g^4 M_\rho^2 + M_g^6 + 32M_\rho^6)}{16M_\rho^4} - \frac{c_4 c_9 (M_g^2 - 4M_\rho^2)^2}{8M_\rho^4} \\ &+ \frac{c_5^2 M_g^4 (M_g^2 - 4M_\rho^2)^2}{4M_\rho^4} + \frac{c_5 c_6 (-5M_g^6 M_\rho^2 - 4M_g^4 M_\rho^4 + 36M_g^2 M_\rho^6 + M_g^8)}{8M_\rho^4} \\ &+ \frac{c_5 c_9 (M_g^3 - 4M_g M_\rho^2)^2}{4M_\rho^4} + \frac{c_6^2 (-2M_g^2 M_\rho^2 + M_g^4 - 8M_\rho^4)^2}{64M_\rho^4} \\ &+ \frac{c_6 c_9 (-6M_g^4 M_\rho^2 + M_g^6 + 32M_\rho^6)}{16M_\rho^4} + \frac{c_9^2 (M_g^2 - 4M_\rho^2)^2}{16M_\rho^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

For $b \sim 0.6$, from the expressions of the coupling constants c_i s, (95) will be dominated by the c_6^2 , Ac_6 and c_4c_6 terms (if $M_g = 2M_\rho + \epsilon$, $0 < \epsilon \ll M_\rho$ then the c_4^2 term will be further suppressed). Demanding $\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\rho} = \Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 4\pi}$ for $M_g > 2M_\rho$ [28], would require $c_4 = \frac{3}{4}c_6M_g^2$; so for $M_g = m_0$ MeV $\equiv m_0 \left(\frac{r_h}{\pi\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}} \right)$, $c_4 = \frac{3m_0^2}{4}c_6 \left(\frac{r_h}{\pi\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}} \right)^2$.

6.3 $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$

The relevant interaction term in the action is given by:

$$c_{16} \mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}}{r_h} \right) \int d^3x \partial_\mu \pi [\pi, \rho^\mu], \quad (96)$$

where:

$$c_{16} = \frac{5.61 \times 10^{-9} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2 \sqrt{\omega_2} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 c_{\psi_1} N^{\frac{f_{r_h}}{3} + \frac{1}{5}}}{g_s M N_f^2} - \frac{43017.7 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV2} f_{r_h} g_s^{UV} M^{UV} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^{UV2} \log(N) c_{2\psi_1}^{UV} N^{-\frac{2f_{r_h}}{3}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}, \quad (97)$$

$$\omega_2 \equiv v_2 + \frac{v_1 g_s M^2 (m_0^2 - 4) \log(r_h)}{N} \quad (98)$$

where $M_{UV} \ll M$ and $N_{f\ UV} \ll N_f$ are the tiny values of the number of fractional $D3$ -branes and flavor branes in the UV One obtains:

$$\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi} = \mathcal{T}^2 \left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}}{r_h} \right)^2 \frac{c_{16}^2}{2}. \quad (99)$$

We will demand $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi} = 149 \text{ MeV}$ ([27]); replacing MeV by $\frac{r_h}{\pi\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}}$, this implies a constraint on $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2$ (c_{ψ_1}) and $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV2} c_{2\psi_1}^{UV}$:

$$\left[\frac{5.61 \times 10^{-9} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2 \sqrt{\omega_2} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2 c_{\psi_1} N^{\frac{f_{r_h}}{3} + \frac{1}{5}}}{g_s M N_f^2} - \frac{43017.7 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV2} f_{r_h} g_s^{UV} M^{UV} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} N_f^{UV2} \log(N) c_{2\psi_1}^{UV} N^{-\frac{2f_{r_h}}{3}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right]^2 = \frac{298}{\mathcal{T}^2} \left(\frac{r_h}{\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}} \right)^3. \quad (100)$$

6.4 Direct Glueball Decay to $4\pi^0$ s

For coupling to four π^0 we need to expand the DBI action upto quartic order in $F_{\mu\nu}$. The action restricted to quartic order, reads

$$S = -T_{D_6}(2\pi\alpha')^4 \text{Str} \int d^4x dZ d\theta_2 dy \delta \left(\theta_2 - \frac{\alpha_{\theta_2}}{N^{3/10}} \right) \exp^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det(\iota^*(g+B))} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{1}{32} \text{STr} (g^{-1} F g^{-1} F) \text{Tr} (g^{-1} F g^{-1} F) - \frac{1}{8} \text{STr} (g^{-1} F g^{-1} F g^{-1} F g^{-1} F) \right\} \quad (101)$$

Inserting the metric fluctuations corresponding to the glueball and keeping the terms which are quartic in $\phi_0(Z)$ gives the interaction term

- $\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h)} = \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{11}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{22}^M G_{33}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \\ \times G_{11,11}^M{}^{-3/4} \left(\frac{3e^{-4Z} \phi_0(Z)^4 q_6(Z) \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi \partial_\nu \pi \partial^\nu \pi G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{16 G_{rr}^M{}^2 G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{11}^M{}^2 r_h^4} \right) \quad (102)$$

- $\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_d(h)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h^0)\mathcal{O}_d(h)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \right. \\ \times (3q_4(Z) - q_1(Z) - q_2(Z) - q_5(Z)) \\ \left. \frac{q_6(Z) \left(9\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M + 5B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2 - 7G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 \right) \sqrt{G_{x^1x^1}^M{}^3 G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}}}{4 \sqrt{G_{11,11}^M \left(G_{\theta_2y}^M{}^2 - \mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M G_{yy}^M \right) - B_{\theta_2y}^{IIA}{}^2}} \right) \\ \times G_{11,11}^M{}^{-3/4} \left(\frac{-e^{-4Z}}{G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M{}^2 G_{11}^M{}^2 r_h^4} \right) \left(\phi_0(Z)^4 \partial_\nu \pi \partial^\nu \pi \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi \right) G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3) \quad (103)$$

- $\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_d(h^0)\mathcal{O}_\phi(h^0)\mathcal{O}_F(h)} &= \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}_{\theta_2\theta_2}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{yy}^M - B_{\theta_2 y}^{IIA}{}^2 + G_{11,11}^M G_{\theta_2 y}^M{}^2} \sqrt{G_{x^1 x^1}^M G_{11,11}^M{}^{5/2} G_{x^2 x^2}^M G_{x^3 x^3}^M G_{rr}^M G_{tt}^M r_h^2 e^{2Z}} \\
G_{11,11}^M{}^{-3/4} &\left(\frac{e^{-4Z} \partial_\nu \pi^2 \partial_\mu \pi^2 \phi_0(Z)^4 G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3) (-q_2(Z) + q_4(Z) + q_6(Z))}{4 G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M{}^2 r_h^4 G_{11}^M{}^2} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{e^{-4Z} \partial_\sigma \pi \partial^\sigma \pi \partial_\nu \pi \partial_\mu \pi \phi_0(Z)^4 q_5(Z) \partial^\mu \partial^\nu G_E(x^1, x^2, x^3)}{4 G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M{}^2 M_g^2 r_h^4 G_{11}^M{}^2} \right) \quad (104)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together and setting $q_2(Z) = q_6(Z) = 0$, one gets the following interaction Lagrangian corresponding to the direct $G_E \rightarrow 4\pi$ decay:

$$S_{\text{int}}^{G_E \rightarrow 4\pi} = \mathcal{T} \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) \text{Str} \int d^3x \left(c_{14} \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi \partial_\nu \pi \partial^\nu \pi G_E(x^{1,2,3}) + c_{15} \partial_\sigma \pi \partial^\sigma \pi \partial_\mu \pi \partial_\nu \pi \frac{\partial^\mu \partial^\nu}{M_g^2} G_E(x^{1,2,3}) \right) \quad (105)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{14}^{\text{IR}} &= \int dZ \left[-\frac{\mathcal{G} e^{-4Z} \phi_0(Z)^4 \left(-\frac{q_1(Z)}{2} - \frac{q_2(Z)}{2} + \frac{3q_4(Z)}{2} - \frac{q_5(Z)}{2} \right)}{8 G_{x^1 x^1}^M{}^2 G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M{}^2 r_h^4} - \frac{\mathcal{G} e^{-4Z} \phi_0(Z)^4 (q_2(Z) - q_4(Z) - q_6(Z))}{4 G_{x^1 x^1}^M{}^2 G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M{}^2 r_h^4} \right] \\
&\text{which for } b \sim 0.6 \text{ yields :} \\
&= \frac{6.219 \times 10^{-16} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}{}^4 N^{8/5} \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^6 c_{1q^4}}{g_s^2 M^3 N_f^6 r_h^9 \log^2(r_h)} \\
c_{15}^{\text{IR}} &= \int dZ \left[-\frac{\mathcal{G} e^{-4Z} \phi_0(Z)^4 q_5(Z)}{4 G_{x^1 x^1}^M{}^2 G_{11,11}^M{}^2 G_{rr}^M{}^2 r_h^4} \right] \\
&= -\frac{11.224 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}{}^4 N^{8/5} \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^6 c_{1q^4}}{g_s^2 M^3 N_f^6 r_h^9 \log^2(r_h)}. \quad (106)
\end{aligned}$$

From (106), $c_{15}^{\text{IR}} > c_{14}^{\text{IR}}$. We will drop c_{14} in the direct $4\pi^0$ -decay of the glueball decay. One can show that the contribution from the UV: $Z \in [\log(\sqrt{3}b), \infty]$ yields:

$$c_{15}^{\text{UV}} = \frac{638116 \cdot \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV^4} \log(N)^5 \sqrt[5]{N} N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) (c_{2q^1}^{UV} - 3.01538 c_{2q^4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} M^{UV^2} r_h^8 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}. \quad (107)$$

From (106) and (107):

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{15} &= 1.35 \times 10^{-13} N^{21/20} \left(\frac{4.72 \times 10^{18} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV^4} \log(N)^5 N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) (c_{2q^1}^{UV} - 3.01538 c_{2q^4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} N^{17/20} M^{UV^2} r_h^8 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{8.31 \times 10^{13} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}{}^4 N^{11/20} \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^6 c_{1q^4}}{g_s^2 M^3 N_f^6 r_h^9 \log^2(r_h)} \right). \quad (108)
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: $G_E \rightarrow \rho + 2\pi$

One can show for $f_0[1710](M_g > 2M_\rho)$:

$$\frac{\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 4\pi^0}}{m_0} \sim 10^{17} c_{15}^2 \sim 10^{-5} \left(\frac{4.72 \times 10^{18} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV^4} \log(N)^5 N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) (c_{2q1}^{UV} - 3.01538 c_{2q4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} N^{17/20} M^{UV^2} r_h^8 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} - \frac{8.31 \times 10^{13} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^4 N^{11/20} \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^6 c_{1q4}}{g_s^2 M^3 N_f^6 r_h^9 \log^2(r_h)} \right)^2. \quad (109)$$

Currently [27] does not have an entry against the experimental value of $\frac{\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 4\pi^0}}{m_0}$. Let us say it is $\sim 10^{-5+\text{required}_1}$, required could be positive or negative. This implies the following constraint:

$$\left(\frac{4.72 \times 10^{18} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{UV^4} \log(N)^5 N_f^{UV^2} \log(r_h) (c_{2q1}^{UV} - 3.01538 c_{2q4}^{UV})}{\sqrt{g_s^{UV}} N^{17/20} M^{UV^2} r_h^8 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} - \frac{8.31 \times 10^{13} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^4 N^{11/20} \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^6 c_{1q4}}{g_s^2 M^3 N_f^6 r_h^9 \log^2(r_h)} \right)^2 \Big|_{N=10^2} \sim 10^{\text{required}_1}. \quad (110)$$

6.5 $G_E \rightarrow \rho + 2\pi$

One obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{(a)} &= -3c_8^2 L^4 \mathcal{T}^2 \int_{k_1=0}^{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g}} \int_{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g} - k_1}^{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g}} dk_1 dk_2 \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2 \left(\frac{(M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2}{M_\rho^2} - 1 \right)}{4k_1 k_2 r_h^2 M_g \sqrt{1 - \frac{((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2)^2}{4k_1^2 k_2^2}}} \\
&\times \theta \left(1 - \frac{[(M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2]^2}{4k_1^2 k_2^2} \right) \\
\Gamma_{(b)} &= -\frac{3\pi^2 c_6^2 c_{16}^2 L^8}{4M_g M_\rho^4} \mathcal{T}^4 \int_{k_1=0}^{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g}} \int_{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g} - k_1}^{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g}} dk_1 dk_2 \frac{\theta \left(1 - \frac{[(M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2]^2}{4k_1^2 k_2^2} \right) (k_1 - k_2)^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2)^2}{4k_1^2 k_2^2}}} \\
&\times \frac{((k_1 + k_2)^2 - M_\rho^2)^2 \left(\frac{(M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2}{M_\rho^2} - 1 \right) ((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 + 4k_1(M_g - k_1 - k_2) + 4k_2(M_g - k_1 - k_2) - M_\rho^2)^2}{k_1 k_2 \left(-(M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 + k_1^2 + 2k_1 k_2 + k_2^2 + 2M_\rho^2 \right)^2 + M_\rho^2 \Gamma_\rho^2} \\
\Gamma_{(a)(b)^* + (a)^*(b)} &= \frac{3\pi c_6 c_8 c_{16} L^6}{2M_g M_\rho^2} \mathcal{T}^3 \int_{k_1=0}^{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g}} \int_{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g} - k_1}^{\frac{(M_g^2 - M_\rho^2)}{2M_g}} dk_1 dk_2 \frac{\theta \left(1 - \frac{[(M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2]^2}{4k_1^2 k_2^2} \right) (k_1 - k_2)^2 ((k_1 + k_2)^2 - M_\rho^2)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2)^2}{4k_1^2 k_2^2}}} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} \\
&\times \frac{\left(\frac{(M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2}{M_\rho^2} - 1 \right) ((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 + k_1(M_g - k_1 - k_2) + k_2(M_g - k_1 - k_2) + 3(k_1 + k_2)(M_g - k_1 - k_2) - M_\rho^2)}{(-4M_\rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} ((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2) - k_1 k_2 \right) + 4 \left(\frac{1}{2} ((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2) - k_1 k_2 \right)^2 + M_\rho^2 \Gamma_\rho^2 + M_\rho^4)} \\
&\times \left(M_\rho^2 - 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} ((M_g - k_1 - k_2)^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 - M_\rho^2) - k_1 k_2 \right) \right). \tag{111}
\end{aligned}$$

Writing:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_6 &= 10^{-5} N^{\frac{13}{10}} \left(-\frac{2.23007 \times 10^7 f_{r_h}^2 g_s^3 M N_f^2 \sqrt{\omega_2} c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{q_4} \log^2 \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) N^{\frac{f_{r_h}}{3}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{460099. f_{r_h} \sqrt{g_s} \log(N)^5 N_f^{UV^2} \log \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) c_{\psi_1}^{UV^2} (c_{q_1}^{UV} - 3.01538 c_{q_4}^{UV}) N^{\frac{8f_{r_h}}{3} - \frac{1}{10}}}{M^{UV^2} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right), \tag{112}
\end{aligned}$$

working with $f_0[1710] : M_g > 2M_\rho$ having dropping v_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow \rho + 2\pi}}{m_0} &\sim \frac{\Gamma_{(b)}}{m_0} \sim c_6^2 c_{16}^2 \\
&\sim 10^{-10} N^{\frac{13}{5}} \left(-\frac{2.23007 \times 10^7 f_{r_h}^2 g_s^3 M N_f^2 \sqrt{\omega_2} c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{q_4} \log^2 \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) N^{\frac{f_{r_h}}{3}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{460099. f_{r_h} \sqrt{g_s^{UV}} \log(N)^5 N_f^{UV^2} \log \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) c_{\psi_1}^{UV^2} (c_{q_1}^{UV} - 3.0153 c_{q_4}^{UV}) N^{\frac{8f_{r_h}}{3} - \frac{1}{10}}}{M^{UV^2} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right)^2. \tag{113}
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming the experimental value for $\frac{\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow \rho+2\pi}}{m_0}$ - not yet known in [27] - is $10^{-5+\text{required}_2}$, (113) for $N = 10^2$, implies the following constraint:

$$\left(\frac{2.23007 \times 10^7 f_{r_h}^2 g_s^3 M N_f^2 \sqrt{\omega_2} c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{1q4} \log^2 \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) N^{\frac{f_{r_h}}{3}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right) \frac{460099. f_{r_h} \sqrt{g_s^{UV}} \log(N)^5 N_f^{UV^2} \log \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) c_{2\psi_1}^{UV^2} (c_{2q1}^{UV} - 3.0153 c_{2q4}^{UV}) N^{\frac{8f_{r_h}}{3} - \frac{1}{10}}}{M^{UV^2} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \Bigg|_{N=10^2} = 10^{\text{required}_2} \quad (114)$$

6.6 Indirect Decay of Glueball to 4π

$$\begin{aligned} S_{int} = \mathcal{T} \text{Str} \int \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right) d^3x & \left[c_3 \rho_\mu^2 G_E + c_4 \rho_\mu \rho_\nu \frac{\partial^\mu \partial^\nu}{M^2} G + c_5 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} G_E + c_6 \tilde{F}_{\mu\rho} \tilde{F}_\nu^\rho \frac{\partial^\mu \partial^\nu}{M^2} G_E \right. \\ & + \iota c_7 \partial_\mu \pi [\pi, \rho^\mu] G_E + \iota c_8 \partial_\mu \pi [\pi, \rho_\nu] \frac{\partial^\mu \partial^\nu}{M^2} G_E + c_9 (Z) \rho_\mu \tilde{F}_\nu^\mu \frac{\partial^\nu G_E}{M^2} \\ & \left. + c_{10} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} G_E + c_{11} \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi G_E + c_{12} \rho_\mu \rho^\mu G_E + \iota c_{13} \partial_\mu \pi [\pi, \rho^\mu] G_E \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

At LO order glueball decay into four pions is a successive decay process which involve two process. First process is $G_E \rightarrow \rho\rho$ in which each ρ meson further decays into two π each and, the second process is $G_E \rightarrow \pi\pi\rho$ in which ρ meson further decays into two π . The LO order decay amplitude of a glueball into four pions involves two pairs of pions with different isospin index. If M is the amplitude for $G_E \rightarrow 2\pi^a 2\pi^b$ where $a \neq b$ then without any loss of generality we can set $a = 1$ and $b = 2$. The total decay rate is given by:

$$\Gamma = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{2M} \int d\Phi_4 |M|^2 \quad (116)$$

where the factor of $3/4$ is due to a factor of 3 for the three different pairs of isospin and 4 is due to the symmetry factor of two pairs of identical particles. The full four body phase space in 2+1 dimension is given by

$$\int d\Phi_4 = \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_i}{(2\pi)^2 2E_i} (2\pi)^3 \delta(k^\mu - k_1^\mu - k_2^\mu - k_3^\mu - k_4^\mu) \quad (117)$$

The amplitude corresponding to process $G_E \rightarrow \rho\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\pi$ is given

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{(a)} &= \mathcal{T}^2 \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right)^2 8c_8 c_{16} \left(\Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_2 + k_4)(-k_{(1)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'} + k_{(1)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'} + k_{(3)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'} - k_{(3)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'}) \right. \\
&+ \Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_3 + k_4)(-k_{(1)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'} + k_{(1)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'} + k_{(2)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'} - k_{(2)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'}) \\
&+ \Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_1 + k_2)(-k_{(3)\mu}k_{(1)\mu'} + k_{(4)\mu}k_{(1)\mu'} + k_{(3)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'} - k_{(4)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'}) \\
&\left. + \Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_1 + k_3)(-k_{(2)\mu}k_{(1)\mu'} + k_{(4)\mu}k_{(1)\mu'} + k_{(2)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'} - k_{(4)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'}) \right) \\
\mathcal{M}_{(a)} &= \mathcal{T}^2 \left(\frac{\pi L^2}{r_h} \right)^2 8c_8 c_{16} \left(\Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_2 + k_4)(-k_{(1)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'} + k_{(1)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'} + k_{(3)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'} - k_{(3)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'}) \right. \\
&+ \Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_3 + k_4)(-k_{(1)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'} + k_{(1)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'} + k_{(2)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'} - k_{(2)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'}) \\
&+ \Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_1 + k_2)(-k_{(1)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'} + k_{(4)\mu}k_{(1)\mu'} + k_{(2)\mu}k_{(3)\mu'} - k_{(2)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'}) \\
&\left. + \Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_1 + k_3)(-k_{(1)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'} + k_{(1)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'} + k_{(3)\mu}k_{(2)\mu'} - k_{(3)\mu}k_{(4)\mu'}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}$ corresponds to the vector meson propagator which is given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_1 + k_2) &= \frac{\delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \left(-\delta_\nu^{\mu'} - \frac{(k_1+k_2)^\mu (k_1+k_2)_\nu}{M_\rho^2} \right)}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 + i\Gamma_\rho M_\rho^2 - M_\rho^2} \\
\Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_1 + k_3) &= \frac{\delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \left(-\delta_\nu^{\mu'} - \frac{(k_1+k_3)^\mu (k_1+k_3)_\nu}{M_\rho^2} \right)}{(k_1 + k_3)^2 + i\Gamma_\rho M_\rho^2 - M_\rho^2} \\
\Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_3 + k_4) &= \frac{\delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \left(-\delta_\nu^{\mu'} - \frac{(k_3+k_4)^\mu (k_3+k_4)_\nu}{M_\rho^2} \right)}{(k_3 + k_4)^2 + i\Gamma_\rho M_\rho^2 - M_\rho^2} \\
\Delta_\rho^{\mu\mu'}(k_2 + k_4) &= \frac{\delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \left(-\delta_\nu^{\mu'} - \frac{(k_2+k_4)^\mu (k_2+k_4)_\nu}{M_\rho^2} \right)}{(k_2 + k_4)^2 + i\Gamma_\rho M_\rho^2 - M_\rho^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

The amplitude corresponding to the second process $G_E \rightarrow \rho\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\pi$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{(b)} &= -\frac{16\pi^3 c_{16}^2 L^6 \mathcal{T}^3}{r_h^3} \left((A\eta^{\sigma\gamma} + B^{\sigma\gamma}) \Delta_\rho(k_3 + k_4)_\sigma^\mu \Delta_\rho \right. \\
&\left. \times (k_1 + k_2)_\gamma^{\mu'} (-k_{\mu_1} k_{\mu_3'} + k_{\mu_1} k_{\mu_4'} + k_{\mu_2} k_{\mu_3'} - k_{\mu_2} k_{\mu_4'}) + k_2 \longleftrightarrow k_3 \right), \tag{119}
\end{aligned}$$

where the expression for A and $B^{\mu\nu}$ are same as given in the section for $G_E \rightarrow \rho\rho$ decay with appropriate momentum substitution. For $f_0[1710] : M_g > 2M_\rho$, (b) dominates.




Figure 2: $G_E \rightarrow 4\pi$

So the total decay width can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\rho \rightarrow 4\pi}}{m_0} &\sim c_6^2 c_{16}^4 \\
&\sim 10^{-10} N^{\frac{13}{5}} \left(-\frac{2.23007 \times 10^7 f_{r_h}^2 g_s^3 M N_f^2 \sqrt{\omega_2} c_{\psi_1}^2 c_{1q4} \log^2 \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) N^{\frac{fr_h}{3}}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{460099. f_{r_h} \sqrt{g_s^{UV}} \log(N)^5 N_f^{UV^2} \log \left(\sqrt[3]{N} \right) c_{2\psi_1}^{UV^2} (c_{2q1}^{UV} - 3.0153 c_{2q4}^{UV}) N^{\frac{8fr_h}{3} - \frac{1}{10}}}{M^{UV^2} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right)^2. \quad (120)
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming the experimental value of $\frac{\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\rho \rightarrow 4\pi}}{m_0}$ - not currently known [27] - is $10^{-5+\text{required}_3}$, one obtains, for $N = 10^2$ the same constraint as (110) with required_2 replaced by required_3 ; we expect $\text{required}_2 \sim \text{required}_3$.

7 Summary and Discussion

We studied (exotic) scalar glueball 0_E^{++} -meson interaction and (exotic) scalar glueball decays at tree level wherein the glueballs corresponded to metric fluctuations of the M theory uplift of [2]'s UV-complete type IIB holographic dual of large- N thermal QCD at finite coupling - MQGP limit of [1] - and the mesons corresponded to gauge fluctuations on the world-volume of type IIA flavor $D6$ -branes (involving pull-back apart from that of the type IIA B, the perturbed type IIA metric corresponding to a circle reduction of the aforementioned perturbed M theory metric). The following is a summary of the main results of this paper, all of which correspond to finite gauge/string coupling on the gauge/gravity side.

- We obtained $0_E^{++} - \rho, \pi$ interaction Lagrangian linear in the exotic scalar glueball and up to quartic in π s wherein the coefficients are given by radial integrals of components of the M theory metric that corresponds to the uplift of [1]'s SYZ type IIA mirror of [2], and perturbations thereof. This is rather gratifying as one is able to get the coupling constants from the underlying fundamental M theory.
- Assuming $M_G > 2M_\rho$, the following is a summary of how our calculations with appropriate choice of constants of integration appearing in the solutions to the metric fluctuations and meson radial profile functions, can be made to match PDG results exactly.

1. From (91), the constant of integration in the solution to the EOM of $q_4(Z) : c_1 q_4$ can be adjusted to reproduce the PDG value of $\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\pi}$ *exactly*.
2. Requiring $\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\rho} = \Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 4\pi}$ yields: $c_4 = \frac{3}{4}c_6 m_0^2 \left(\frac{r_h}{\pi\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}} \right)^2$, the glueball mass written as $M_g = m_0 \frac{r_h}{\pi\sqrt{4\pi g_s N}}$ [6].
3. From (57) using (54) the normalization condition for $\psi_1(Z)$ implies the following quadratic constraint on c_{ψ_1} and $c_{\psi_1}^{\text{UV}}$:

$$V \left(\frac{5 \times 10^{-5}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} g_s^2 M N^{\frac{4}{5}} N_f^2 \sqrt{|\omega_2|} (1433.4 + b^2(-2067.37 + \omega_2)) (c_{\psi_1})^2 \log r_h \right. \\ \left. + \frac{244.91 \log r_h g_s^{\text{UV}2} M^{\text{UV}} N^{\frac{4}{5}} N_f^{\text{UV}2} c_{\psi_1}^{\text{UV}2}}{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right) = 1. \quad (121)$$

4. From (59) using (54) the normalization condition for $\phi_0(Z)$ implies the following quadratic constraint on \mathcal{C}_{ϕ_0} and $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{\text{UV}}$:

$$\frac{V}{2} \left(\frac{5.51 \times 10^{-9} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2 N^{\frac{1}{5}} (0.03 + 0.042b^2) \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2}{g_s r_h^2 \log r_h M N_f^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{793.58 \mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{\text{UV}2} g_s^{\text{UV}2} M^{\text{UV}} N_f^{\text{UV}2} r_h^2 \log r_h}{N^{\frac{1}{5}} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \right) = 1. \quad (122)$$

5. The combination of constants of integration appearing in the solutions to the EOMS of $\phi_0(Z), \psi_1(Z)$ in the IR and UV, using (121): $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^2 c_{\psi_1}$ and $(\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{\text{UV}}(\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}))^2 c_{\psi_1}^{\text{UV}}(c_{\psi_1})$, can be adjusted to reproduce the PDG value of $\Gamma_{\rho \rightarrow 2\pi}$ *exactly*.
6. From (100), (110) and (120), and also using (121) as well as (122), we note that the combination of constants of integration appearing in the solutions to the EOMS of $\phi_0(Z), \psi_1(Z)$ and $q_{1,2,3,4,5,6}(Z)$ in the IR and UV:
 - involving $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^4 c_1 q_4$ and $(\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}^{\text{UV}}(\mathcal{C}_{\phi_0}))^4 (c_{q_1}^{\text{UV}} - 3.0153c_{q_4}^{\text{UV}})$ appearing in $\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 4\pi^0}$
 - involving $c_1 q_4 (c_{\psi_1})^2$ and $c_2 \psi_1^{\text{UV}}(c_{\psi_1}) (c_{q_1}^{\text{UV}} - 3.0153c_{q_4}^{\text{UV}})$ appearing in $\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow \rho+2\pi} \approx$

$\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\rho \rightarrow 4\pi}$

can be tuned and equality of these two combinations can be effected such that one can reproduce the PDG value of $\Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 4\pi^0} = \Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow \rho + 2\pi} \approx \Gamma_{G_E \rightarrow 2\rho \rightarrow 4\pi}$ *exactly*.

Acknowledgment

VY is supported by a Junior Research Fellowship (JRF) from the University Grants Commission, Govt. of India. AM was partly supported by IIT Roorkee. He would also like to thank the theory group at McGill University, and Keshav Dasgupta in particular, for the wonderful hospitality and support during the final stages of the work and for discussions related to Appendix A. We would like to thank Karunava Sil for valuable participation in the earlier stages of this work, and Sharad Mishra in verifying some of the results in **6.1** as part of his Masters project. We would like to thank M.Dhuria for bringing [30] to our attention. AM would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of his Ph.D. advisor, the late, Professor D.S.Koltun, a nuclear theorist, who initiated him into Hadronic Physics via Chiral Perturbation Theory.

A SYZ Free of Delocalization - An Instructive Example of the Mirror of $D5$ Wrapping the Resolved S^2 with B [21] Summarized

In this appendix, we will summarize discussion from sections 5 and 6 of [21] in the context of explicitly showing that the mirror of $D5$ -brane wrapping the resolved S^2 in the presence of an NS-NS B is independent of angular delocalization.

From [35], we know that the metric for $D5$ branes wrapped on an S^2 of a resolved conifold is given by:

$$ds^2 = h^{-1/2} ds_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}}^2 + h^{1/2} \left[\gamma' dr^2 + \frac{1}{4} \gamma' r^2 (d\psi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \gamma (d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2) + \frac{1}{4} (\gamma + 4a^2) (d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\phi_2^2) \right], \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\gamma' \equiv \frac{d\gamma}{dr^2}$, $\gamma \equiv r^2 \frac{dF}{dr^2}$, F appearing in the Kähler potential of the resolved conifold: $K = F(r^2) + 4a^2 \log(1 + |\lambda|^2)$ with $\lambda \equiv \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$, $\lambda_{1,2} \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ corresponding to the blown-up S^2 in the $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ coordinate patch. First the angular coordinates (ψ, ϕ_1, ϕ_2) are converted into suitable coordinates using which the local metric can be expressed and also the mirror map effected, as the original choice of coordinates does not suffice. Since the global resolved conifold metric breaks supersymmetry, only the local metric can be used for constructing the SYZ mirror. $D7$ -branes are then added to make the system supersymmetric. The local metric is written in terms

of the ‘‘T-duality’’/local T^3 coordinates (z, x, y) as:

$$(x, y, z) \rightarrow (\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi),$$

$$(dx, dy, dz) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{h^{1/2} \gamma(\langle r \rangle)} \sin \langle \theta_1 \rangle d\phi_1, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{h^{1/2} (\gamma(\langle r \rangle) + 4a^2)} \sin \langle \theta_2 \rangle d\phi_2, \frac{1}{2} \langle r \rangle \sqrt{\gamma'(\langle r \rangle) h^{1/2}} d\psi \right),$$
(A2)

where a point $(\langle r \rangle, \langle \theta_i \rangle, \langle \phi_i \rangle, \langle \psi \rangle)$ is picked out to define (A2). Henceforth, $\gamma \equiv \gamma(\langle r \rangle)$, $\gamma' \equiv \gamma'(\langle r \rangle)$, $h \equiv h(\langle r \rangle)$ unless mentioned otherwise. This amounts to: $S^2(\phi_{1,2}, \theta_{1,2}) \rightarrow T^2(x, \theta_{1,2})$ ³. The local form of the metric (involving square T^2 s) was hence worked out in [21] and modified to incorporate the B and rewritten in the right T-duality coordinates. Locally, if the seven branes are kept very far away, then the metric will be the aforementioned local metric. When the seven branes are sufficiently far away so that one can study pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM, one can continue with radial delocalization at $r = \langle r \rangle$, but arbitrary θ_i in the local metric.

Defining:

$$\alpha^{-1} = g_{xx} g_{yy} - g_{xy}^2 + b_{xy}^2 = g_{xx} g_{yy} - g_{xy}^2,$$
(A3)

the mirror manifold’s metric obtained after SYZ triple-T duality is shown in [21] to have the following form:

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{G_{zz}} (dz + \mathcal{B}_{\mu z} dx^\mu + \mathcal{B}_{xz} dx + \mathcal{B}_{yz} dy)^2 + G_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2G_{x\nu} dx dx^\nu + 2G_{y\nu} dy dx^\nu + 2G_{xy} dx dy + G_{xx} dx^2 + G_{yy} dy^2,$$
(A4)

where $(\mu, \nu) \neq (x, y, z)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu z, xz, yz}$ and $G_{\mu\nu, x\nu, y\nu, xy, xx, yy, zz}$ are defined in [21] wherein it is shown that the following fibration structure is obtained:

$$(dz - b_{z\mu} dx^\mu) - \alpha g_{xz} (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1) - \alpha g_{yz} (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2).$$
(A5)

[21] define:

$$g_{xz} = A = \Delta_1 \cot \theta_1, \quad g_{yz} = B = \Delta_2 \cot \theta_2,$$
(A6)

with $\Delta_1(\langle r \rangle)$ and $\Delta_2(\langle r \rangle)$ being warp factors. Finally, the following mirror manifold’s metric is obtained in [21]:

$$ds^2 = g_1 [(dz - b_{z\mu} dx^\mu) - \alpha \Delta_1 \cot \theta_1 (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1) - \alpha \Delta_2 \cot \theta_2 (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2) + \dots]^2 + g_2 d\theta_1^2 + g_3 d\theta_2^2 + g_4 (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1)^2 + g_5 (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2)^2 - g_7 (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1)(dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2),$$
(A7)

where $g_i \equiv g_i(r = \langle r \rangle, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ and are given in [21]. Let us now compare (A7) with the delocalized limit of the metric of the wrapped $D6$ -branes on S^3 of a deformed conifold, the latter being given as under:

$$ds^2 = \tilde{g}_1 (dz + \tilde{\Delta}_1 \cot \theta_1 dx + \tilde{\Delta}_2 \cot \theta_2 dy + \dots)^2 + \tilde{g}_2 [d\theta_1^2 + dx^2] + \tilde{g}_3 [d\theta_2^2 + dy^2] + \tilde{g}_4 [d\theta_1 d\theta_2 - dx dy],$$
(A8)

³An S^2 is topologically the same as a T^2 with a *degenerating* cycle (i.e. if one of the cycles of the T^2 is shrunk to zero size then this would be topologically the same as a sphere) and therefore this mapping would be locally indistinguishable.

where \tilde{g}_i are again some functions of $\langle r \rangle, \theta_1, \theta_2$ which can be readily determined.

The non-trivial B -dependent fibration is responsible for making the manifold (A7) non-Kähler. Interestingly, defining $d\hat{x} \equiv dx - b_{x\theta_1}d\theta_1, d\hat{y} \equiv dy - b_{y\theta_2}d\theta_2$ for constant $b_{x\theta_1}$ and $b_{y\theta_2}$, (A7) and (A8) match up to warp factors. One should note that the $d\theta_1 d\theta_2$ cross term is now entirely absorbed in the fibration structure. The relative signs between the dx, dy terms of (A7) and (A8) can be fixed if $\mathcal{B}_{yz}, \mathcal{B}_{zx}$ and \mathcal{B}_{xy} are set as *minus* of themselves. In the following the same will be assumed and thus the fibration structures of (A7) and (A8) would match. There is no $g_{\theta_1\theta_2}^{\text{IIA}}$ term in (A7); this term, as pointed out in [21], must appear with the precise coefficient αg_{xy} . These were reconciled in [21] by identifying the correct T-duality coordinates. Working with “finite” shifts $\delta x, \delta y, \delta z, \delta\theta_{1,2}$ instead of infinitesimal differentials $dx, dy, dx, d\theta_{1,2}$ and making the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta z &\rightarrow \delta\tilde{z} + f_1(\langle r \rangle, \theta_{1,2})\Delta_1 \cot \theta_1 \delta\theta_1 + f_2(\langle r \rangle, \theta_{1,2})\Delta_2 \cot \theta_2 \delta\theta_2, \\ \delta x &\rightarrow \delta\tilde{x} - f_1(\langle r \rangle, \theta_{1,2})\delta\tilde{\theta}_1, \\ \delta y &\rightarrow \delta\tilde{y} - f_2(\langle r \rangle, \theta_{1,2})\delta\theta_2,\end{aligned}\tag{A9}$$

and using the regularization scheme wherein $g_{z\tilde{z}} = 1 - \epsilon, \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yielding after a triple-T/SYZ dual:

$$G_{\theta_1\theta_2}^{\text{IIA}} = \alpha f_1 f_2 g_{x\tilde{y}} \epsilon,\tag{A10}$$

with the understanding:

$$\begin{aligned}f_{1,2} &= \frac{\beta_{1,2}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \\ \beta_1 \beta_2 &= -\alpha,\end{aligned}\tag{A11}$$

the required metric component with the same given exactly by $\alpha g_{x\tilde{y}}$ was shown to be obtained in [21]. The shifts $\delta\theta_{1,2}$ are redefined in [21] as: $\delta\theta_1 \rightarrow \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sqrt{h}\gamma}}\delta\tilde{\theta}_1, \delta\theta_2 \rightarrow \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sqrt{h}(\gamma+4a^2)}}\delta\tilde{\theta}_2$. Upon integration, the correct T-duality coordinates $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ are obtained in [21].

Performing the three T-duality transformations [21] obtain the final mirror manifold (in the delocalised limit) with the following form of the metric written with dx, dy, dz and $d\theta_i$:

$$\begin{aligned}ds^2 &= g_1 \left[(dz - b_{z\mu} dx^\mu) + \hat{\Delta}_1 \cot \hat{\theta}_1 (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1) + \hat{\Delta}_2 \cot \hat{\theta}_2 (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2) + \dots \right]^2 + \\ &+ g_2 [d\tilde{\theta}_1^2 + (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1)^2] + g_3 [d\tilde{\theta}_2^2 + (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2)^2] + g_4 [d\theta_1 d\theta_2 - (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1)(dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2)],\end{aligned}\tag{A12}$$

where, like [21], un-tilded coordinates have been/will be used. In (A12), $\cot \hat{\theta}_i$ instead of $\cot \theta_i$ has been used to indicate the change in θ_i - a scaling. Now, only four warp factors g_1, g_2, g_3 and g_4 instead of six are required and are defined in [21]. With these values the metric (A12) can be compared to (A8).

Defining:

$$dz_1 = dx - \tau_1 d\theta_1, \quad dz_2 = dy - \tau_2 d\theta_2 \quad (\text{A13})$$

as the two coordinates of the two T^2 s with complex structures $\tau_{1,2}$, one sees that the transformation performed earlier, would therefore correspond to the following shift of the complex structures of the two T^2 s:

$$\tau_1 = f_1 + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{\gamma\sqrt{h}}, \quad \tau_2 = f_2 + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{(\gamma + 4a^2)\sqrt{h}}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

The transformations discussed earlier convert $\tau_i \rightarrow \tau_i + f_i$ via $SL(2, R)$ transformations on the two T^2 s. In the limit when $f_i \gg 1$, as pointed out in [21], the base of the six dimensional manifold $(\theta_1, \theta_2, r = \langle r \rangle)$ is very large compared to the T^3 fiber (x, y, z) , as required to implement SYZ mirror transformation to suppress the contributions from disc instantons.

Defining $d\hat{y} \equiv dy - b_{y\theta_2}d\theta_2$, and performing the following fixed- $\langle\psi\rangle$ rotation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d\hat{y} \\ d\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\langle\psi\rangle & -\sin\langle\psi\rangle \\ \sin\langle\psi\rangle & \cos\langle\psi\rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dy \\ d\theta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A15})$$

(A12) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{IIA}^2 = & g_1 \left[(dz - b_{z\mu} dx^\mu) + \Delta_1 \cot \hat{\theta}_1 (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1) + \Delta_2 \cot \hat{\theta}_2 (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2) + \dots \right]^2 \\ & + g_2 [d\theta_1^2 + (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1)^2] + g_3 [d\theta_2^2 + (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2)^2] \\ & + g_4 \left(\sin \langle\psi\rangle [(dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1) d\theta_2 + (dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2) d\theta_1] + \cos \langle\psi\rangle [d\theta_1 d\theta_2 - (dx - b_{x\theta_1} d\theta_1)(dy - b_{y\theta_2} d\theta_2)] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A16})$$

The B fields being periodic variables, [21] define angular coordinates λ_1, λ_2 as $\tan \lambda_1 \equiv a_1 b_{x\theta_1}$, $\tan \lambda_2 = a_2 b_{y\theta_2}$, with a_1, a_2 constants. For localized B fields, [21] define the following set of one-forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sin \psi_1 dx + \sec \lambda_1 \cos (\psi_1 + \lambda_1) d\theta_1 \\ \sigma_2 &= \cos \psi_1 dx - \sec \lambda_1 \sin (\psi_1 + \lambda_1) d\theta_1 \\ \sigma_3 &= d\psi_1 + \Delta_1 \cot \hat{\theta}_1 dx - \Delta_1 \tan \lambda_1 \cot \hat{\theta}_1 d\theta_1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= -\sin \psi_2 dy + \sec \lambda_2 \cos (\psi_2 - \lambda_2) d\theta_2 \\ \Sigma_2 &= -\cos \psi_2 dy - \sec \lambda_2 \sin (\psi_2 - \lambda_2) d\theta_2 \\ \Sigma_3 &= d\psi_2 - \Delta_2 \cot \hat{\theta}_2 dy + \Delta_2 \tan \lambda_2 \cot \hat{\theta}_2 d\theta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A18})$$

The M-theory metric obtained by uplifting the type IIA metric (A16) is obtained in [21] to read as under:

$$ds^2 = e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}} (h^{-1/2} ds_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}}^2 + h^{1/2} \gamma' dr^2) + e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}} ds_{IIA}^2 + e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}} (dx_{11} + \Delta_3 \cot \hat{\theta}_1 d\hat{x} - \Delta_4 \cot \hat{\theta}_2 d\hat{y})^2, \quad (\text{A19})$$

x_{11} being the M theory circle, and ds_{IIA}^2 as given in (A16). Identifying: $dz \equiv d\psi_1 - d\psi_2$, $dx_{11} \equiv d\psi_1 + d\psi_2$, the exact form of (A19) excluding the $ds_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}}^2$ portion, can be obtained as follows:

$$ds^2 = \alpha_1^2 \sum_{a=1}^2 (\sigma_a + \xi \Sigma_a)^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sum_{a=1}^2 (\sigma_a - \xi \Sigma_a)^2 + \alpha_3^2 (\sigma_3 + \Sigma_3)^2 + \alpha_4^2 (\sigma_3 - \Sigma_3)^2 + \alpha_5^2 dr^2, \quad (\text{A20})$$

where the factor ξ for Σ_1 and Σ_2 is introduced to account for asymmetry associated with the directions (x, θ_1) and (y, θ_2) ; in (A20), the values of $\alpha_{1,\dots,5}$ are given in [21]. In (A16), the dz fibration structure was independent of the $\langle \psi \rangle$ rotation. The latter generates constant warp factors of $\sin \langle \psi \rangle$ and $\cos \langle \psi \rangle$ in (A16). In (A20) however, we see that the one forms (A17) and (A18) can in fact be used to write the M-theory metric for an *arbitrary* ψ . The non-constant ψ and z are related via

$$z = \psi_1 - \psi_2 = a_\psi \psi, \quad (\text{A21})$$

where $a_s = a_s(\langle r \rangle)$ can be read off from (A2). Thus the M-theory metric allows a type IIA metric which is valid $\forall \psi$. Thus, the local metric in type IIA is delocalised only along the r direction and is now expressed as

$$ds_{IIA}^2 = ds_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}}^2 + g_1 \left(d\hat{z} + \Delta_1 \cot \hat{\theta}_1 d\hat{x} + \Delta_2 \cot \hat{\theta}_2 d\hat{y} + \dots \right)^2 + g_0 dr^2 + g_2 (d\theta_1^2 + d\hat{x}^2) + g_3 (d\theta_2^2 + d\hat{y}^2) + g_4 \left[\sin (za_\psi^{-1}) (d\hat{x} \cdot d\theta_2 + d\hat{y} \cdot d\theta_1) + g_4 \cos (za_\psi^{-1}) (d\theta_1 \cdot d\theta_2 - d\hat{x} \cdot d\hat{y}) \right]. \quad (\text{A22})$$

B M theory Metric Components

Near $\theta_1 = \alpha_{\theta_1} N^{-\frac{1}{5}}$, $\theta_2 = \alpha_{\theta_2} N^{-\frac{3}{10}}$, $\phi_{1,2} = 0/2\pi$ and $\psi = 0/4\pi$, defining the local $T^3(x, y, z)$ coordinates as:

$$x = \sqrt{h_2} 4^{1/4} \pi^{1/4} g_s^{1/4} N^{1/20} \alpha_{\theta_1} \phi_1, \quad y = \sqrt{h_4} 4^{1/4} \pi^{1/4} g_s^{1/4} N^{1/20} \alpha_{\theta_2} \phi_2, \quad z = \sqrt{h_1} 4^{1/4} \pi^{1/4} g_s^{1/4} N^{1/20} \psi, \quad (\text{B1})$$

$h_{1,2,4}$ are defined in [2], and defining:

$$\begin{aligned}
f &\equiv 1 - \frac{r_h^4}{r^4} \\
A(r) &\equiv \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{3}{\pi} \right)^{2/3} \left(-N_f \log(9b^2 r^4 r_h^2 + r^6) + \frac{8\pi}{g_s} - 4N_f \log \left(\frac{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{4\sqrt{\log N}} \right) \right)^{2/3} \\
&\times \left(1 - \frac{32\pi b g_s M^2 N_f r_h^2 \gamma (1 + \log r_h)}{N(9b^2 r_h^2 + r^2) \left(-N_f \log(9b^2 r^4 r_h^2 + r^6) + \frac{8\pi}{g_s} - 4N_f \log \left(\frac{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{4\sqrt{\log N}} \right) \right)} \right), \tag{B2}
\end{aligned}$$

the M-theory metric components used in Sections 3 - 6, are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{00}^M &= -f(r) \frac{A(r)}{\sqrt{h}} \\
G_{ii}^M &= \frac{A(r)}{\sqrt{h}} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \\
G_{rr}^M &= \sqrt{h} \frac{A(r)}{f(r)} \\
G_{\theta_{1,2}\theta_{1,2}}^M &= 0, \quad G_{\theta_1\theta_2}^M = 0, \quad G_{xr}^M = 0, \quad G_{r\theta_{1,2}}^M = 0 \\
G_{11x}^M &= 0, \quad G_{11r}^M = 0, \quad G_{11\theta_{1,2}}^M = 0 \\
G_{11,11}^M &= \frac{16\pi^{4/3} \left(\frac{64\pi b g_s M^2 N_f r_h^2 \gamma (1 + \log r_h)}{N(9b^2 r_h^2 + r^2) \left(-N_f \log(9b^2 r^4 r_h^2 + r^6) + \frac{8\pi}{g_s} - 4N_f \log \left(\frac{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{4\sqrt{\log N}} \right) \right)} + 1 \right)}{3\sqrt[3]{3} \left(-N_f \log(9b^2 r^4 r_h^2 + r^6) + \frac{8\pi}{g_s} - 4N_f \log \left(\frac{\alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}}{4\sqrt{\log N}} \right) \right)^{4/3}} \\
G_{x\theta_1}^M &= A(r) \frac{1}{972\pi^{5/4} r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1}^3 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s^{3/4} M N^{7/20} \left(-243\sqrt{3}\alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 4\sqrt{2}\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81\sqrt{2}\sqrt[5]{N}\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\times \left(g_s N_f (3a^2 - r^2) \log(N) (2 \log(r) + 1) + \log(r) \left(4g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2) \log \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\left. \left. - 24\pi a^2 + r^2 (8\pi - 3g_s N_f) \right) \right. \\
&\left. + 2g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2) \log \left(\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2} \right) + 18g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2 (6r + 1)) \log^2(r) \right) \left. \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{x\theta_2}^M &= -A(r) \frac{g_s^{7/4} M N^{9/20} N_f \log(r) (36a^2 \log(r) + r) \left(486\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 11\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 - 324\sqrt[5]{N}\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right)}{972\sqrt{2}\pi^{5/4} r \alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \\
G_{y\theta_1}^M &= A(r) \frac{1}{72\sqrt{3}\pi^{5/4} N^{7/20} r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}} \left\{ g_s^{3/4} M \left(67\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81\sqrt[5]{N}\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\times \left[g_s N_f (3a^2 - r^2) \log(N) (2\log(r) + 1) + \right. \\
&\log(r) \left(4g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2) \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{\theta_1}\alpha_{\theta_2}\right) - 24\pi a^2 + r^2(8\pi - 3g_s N_f) \right) \\
&\left. \left. + 2g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2) \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{\theta_1}\alpha_{\theta_2}\right) + 18g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2(6r + 1)) \log^2(r) \right] \right\} \\
G_{y\theta_2}^M &= A(r) \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt[4]{\pi}\sqrt[4]{g_s}\sqrt[4]{N}\alpha_{\theta_2} \left(3\sqrt[10]{N}\alpha_{\theta_1} - 7h5\alpha_{\theta_2} \right)}{27\alpha_{\theta_1}^3} \\
G_{z\theta_1}^M &= -A(r) \frac{1}{324\sqrt{2}\pi^{5/4} \sqrt[20]{N} r^2 \alpha_{\theta_1} \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \left\{ g_s^{3/4} M \left(49\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 81\sqrt[5]{N}\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\times \left[g_s N_f (3a^2 - r^2) \log(N) (2\log(r) + 1) \right. \\
&\left. + \log(r) \left(4g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2) \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{\theta_1}\alpha_{\theta_2}\right) - 24\pi a^2 + r^2(8\pi - 3g_s N_f) \right) \right. \\
&\left. \left. + 2g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2) \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\alpha_{\theta_1}\alpha_{\theta_2}\right) + 18g_s N_f (r^2 - 3a^2(6r + 1)) \log^2(r) \right] \right\} \\
G_{z\theta_2}^M &= -A(r) \frac{g_s^{7/4} M N_f \log(r) (36a^2 \log(r) + r) \left(324\sqrt[4]{N}\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 + 169\sqrt[20]{N}\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 \right)}{648\sqrt{2}\pi^{5/4} r \alpha_{\theta_2}^3} \\
G_{xy}^M &= A(r) \left\{ \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} N^{7/10}}{9\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \alpha_{\theta_2}} - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{N} (243\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 118\alpha_{\theta_2}^2)}{729\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}} \right\} \\
G_{xz}^M &= -A(r) \frac{2N^{4/5} \left(-243\sqrt{6}\alpha_{\theta_1}^3 + 8\alpha_{\theta_2}^2 + 162\sqrt[5]{N}\alpha_{\theta_1}^2 \right)}{6561\alpha_{\theta_1}^4 \alpha_{\theta_2}^2} \\
G_{yz}^M &= A(r) \left\{ \frac{14\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt[10]{N}\alpha_{\theta_2}}{243\alpha_{\theta_1}^2} - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} N^{3/10}}{3\alpha_{\theta_2}} \right\} \tag{B3}
\end{aligned}$$

C Schrödinger-Like Potential for the Radial Profile Function for ρ Mesons

The Schrödinger-like equation (63) satisfied by $g(Z) \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{V}_1(Z)}\psi_1(Z)$ will have a potential given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
V(Z) = & \frac{1}{4(-1+e^{4Z})^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{r_h^2} \left\{ 3(-1+e^{4Z}) \left(-4m_0^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta_3} \right. \right. \right. \\
& \times \left. \left. \left. e^{-2Z} \left(6(1+e^{4Z}) g_s N_f (Z + \log(r_h))^2 - 2 \left(4\pi(1+e^{4Z}) + g_s N_f (e^{4Z}(\log N - 3) + \log N + 3) \right) (Z + \log(r_h)) - (-1+e^{4Z})(g_s N_f \log N + 4\pi) \right) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \times \left[216e^Z g_s^2 N_f^2 r_h^2 (Z + \log(r_h))^3 - 18g_s N_f \left(32e^Z \pi r_h + g_s N_f (4e^Z r_h (2\log(N) + 3) - 1) \right) (Z + \log(r_h))^2 \right. \\
& + 6 \left(g_s^2 (4e^Z r_h \log^2 N + (24e^Z r_h - 2) \log(N) + 3) N_f^2 + 8g_s \pi (4e^Z r_h (\log N + 3) - 1) N_f + 64e^Z \pi^2 r_h \right) (Z + \log(r_h)) - 32\pi^2 (12e^Z r_h - 1) \\
& \left. \left. \left. - 8g_s N_f \pi \left((24e^Z r_h - 2) \log(N) + 3 \right) - g_s^2 N_f^2 \left((24e^Z r_h - 2) \log^2(N) + 6 \log N - 9 \right) \right] \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left\{ 2e^{-2Z} \left[\left(-6(-1+e^{4Z}) g_s N_f (Z + \log(r_h))^2 + 2 \left(4\pi(-1+e^{4Z}) + g_s N_f (e^{4Z}(\log(N) - 6) - \log N - 6) \right) \right) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \times (Z + \log(r_h)) + g_s N_f (2\log N + e^{4Z}(2\log N - 3) + 3) + 8(1+e^{4Z})\pi \left. \left. \left. \right) \right. \right. \\
& \times \left(-72e^Z g_s N_f r_h (Z + \log(r_h))^2 + 3 \left(32e^Z \pi r_h + g_s N_f (8e^Z r_h \log N - 1) \right) (Z + \log(r_h)) + g_s N_f (\log N - 3) + 4\pi \right) \\
& \left. \left. \left. - (g_s N_f \log N - 3g_s N_f (Z + \log(r_h)) + 4\pi) \right) \right. \right. \\
& \times \left[-36e^Z (-9+e^{4Z}) g_s N_f r_h (Z + \log(r_h))^3 + 12 \left(4e^Z (-9+e^{4Z}) \pi r_h + g_s N_f (e^{5Z} r_h (\log(N) - 18) - 9e^Z r_h (\log N + 6) + 2) \right) (Z + \log(r_h))^2 \right. \\
& + 8 \left(-27e^Z (-1+e^{4Z}) g_s N_f r_h + 4\pi (18e^Z r_h + 6e^{5Z} r_h - 1) + g_s N_f (18e^Z r_h + 6e^{5Z} r_h - 1) \log N \right) \\
& \left. \left. \left. \times (Z + \log(r_h)) + 16\pi (-6e^Z r_h + 6e^{5Z} r_h + 1) + g_s N_f \left(4(-6e^Z r_h + 6e^{5Z} r_h + 1) \log N - 3(3+e^{4Z}) \right) \right] \right] \right\} a^2 \left. \right\} \\
& + 4e^{2Z} (-1+e^{4Z}) m_0^2 + \\
& \frac{(-6(1+e^{4Z}) g_s N_f (Z + \log(r_h))^2 + 2(4\pi(1+e^{4Z}) + g_s N_f (e^{4Z}(\log N - 3) + \log N + 3)) (Z + \log(r_h)) + (-1+e^{4Z})(g_s N_f \log N + 4\pi))^2}{\Delta_1^2} \\
& - \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \left\{ 4(-1+e^{4Z}) \left[-6(-1+e^{4Z}) g_s N_f (Z + \log(r_h))^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2 \left(4\pi(-1+e^{4Z}) + g_s N_f (e^{4Z}(\log N - 6) - \log N - 6) \right) (Z + \log(r_h)) + g_s N_f (2\log N + e^{4Z}(2\log N - 3) + 3) + 8(1+e^{4Z})\pi \right] \right\}, \tag{C1}
\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\Delta_n \equiv (Z + \log(r_h))(g_s N_f \log N - 3g_s N_f (Z + \log(r_h)) + 4\pi)^n.$$

References

- [1] M. Dhuria and A. Misra, *Towards MQGP*, JHEP 1311 (2013) 001, [arXiv:hep-th/1306.4339].

- [2] M. Mia, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale and S. Jeon, *Five Easy Pieces: The Dynamics of Quarks in Strongly Coupled Plasmas*, Nucl. Phys. B **839**, 187 (2010) [arXiv:hep-th/0902.1540].
- [3] Juan M. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.2:231-252,(1998), doi:10.1023/A:1026654312961 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200]
- [4] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, *Supergravity and a Confining Gauge Theory: Duality Cascades and XSB-Resolution of Naked Singularities*, JHEP 0008:052,2000 [arXiv:hep-th/0007191].
- [5] Igor R. Klebanov and Edward Witten, *Superconformal Field Theory on Threebranes at a Calabi-Yau Singularity*, Nucl. Phys. B 536, 199 (1998)[arXiv:hep-th/9807080].
- [6] K. Sil, V. Yadav and A. Misra, *Top-down holographic G-structure glueball spectroscopy at (N)LO in N and finite coupling*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no. 6, 381 (2017) [arXiv:1703.01306 [hep-th]].
- [7] V. Yadav, A. Misra and K. Sil, *Delocalized SYZ Mirrors and Confronting Top-Down SU(3)-Structure Holographic Meson Masses at Finite g and N_c with P(article) D(ata) G(roup) Values*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no. 10, 656 (2017) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5219-5 [arXiv:1707.02818 [hep-th]].
- [8] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, *Low energy hadron physics in holographic QCD*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **113**, 843 (2005) doi:10.1143/PTP.113.843 [hep-th/0412141].
- [9] K. Dasgupta, C. Gale, M. Mia, M. Richard and O. Trottier, *Infrared Dynamics of a Large N QCD Model, the Massless String Sector and Mesonic Spectra*, JHEP **1507**, 122 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)122 [arXiv:1409.0559 [hep-th]].
- [10] K. A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 38, 090001 (2014) and 2015 update.
- [11] P. Colangelo, F. Giannuzzi and S. Nicotri, *Holographic Approach to Finite Temperature QCD: The Case of Scalar Glueballs and Scalar Mesons*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 094019 (2009) [arXiv:0909.1534 [hep-ph]].
- [12] K. Sil and A. Misra, *On Aspects of Holographic Thermal QCD at Finite Coupling*, Nucl. Phys. B **910**, 754 (2016) [arXiv:1507.02692 [hep-th]].
- [13] K. Sil and A. Misra, *New Insights into Properties of Large-N Holographic Thermal QCD at Finite Gauge Coupling at (the Non-Conformal/Next-to) Leading Order in N*, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 11, 618 (2016) [arXiv:1606.04949 [hep-th]].

- [14] M. Mia, F. Chen, K. Dasgupta, P. Franche and S. Vaidya, *Non-Extremality, Chemical Potential and the Infrared limit of Large N Thermal QCD*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 086002 (2012)[arXiv:1202.5321 [hep-th]].
- [15] M. Mia, K. Dasgupta, C. Gale and S. Jeon, *Toward Large N Thermal QCD from Dual Gravity: The Heavy Quarkonium Potential*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 026004 (2010) [arXiv:1004.0387 [hep-th]].
- [16] A. Strominger, S. T. Yau and E. Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry is T duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **479**, 243 (1996) [hep-th/9606040].
- [17] M. Ionel and M. Min-OO, *Cohomogeneity One Special Lagrangian 3-Folds in the Deformed and the Resolved Conifolds*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 52, Number 3 (2008).
- [18] M. Dhuria and A. Misra, *Transport Coefficients of Black MQGP $M3$ -Branes*, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 1, 16 (2015) [arXiv:1406.6076 [hep-th]].
- [19] S. Alexander, K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta, A. Knauf and R. Tatar, *In the realm of the geometric transitions*, Nucl. Phys. B **704**, 231 (2005) [hep-th/0408192].
- [20] F. Chen, K. Dasgupta, P. Franche, S. Katz and R. Tatar, *Supersymmetric Configurations, Geometric Transitions and New Non-Kahler Manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **852**, 553 (2011) [arXiv:hep-th/1007.5316].
- [21] M. Becker, K. Dasgupta, A. Knauf and R. Tatar, “Geometric transitions, flops and nonKahler manifolds. I.,” Nucl. Phys. B **702**, 207 (2004) [hep-th/0403288]
- [22] D. Tong, *$NS5$ -branes, T duality and world sheet instantons*, JHEP **0207**, 013 (2002) [hep-th/0204186].
- [23] A. Sen, *Dynamics of multiple Kaluza-Klein monopoles in M and string theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **1**, 115 (1998)[hep-th/9707042].
- [24] F. Chen, K. Dasgupta, P. Franche, S. Katz and R. Tatar, *Supersymmetric Configurations, Geometric Transitions and New Non-Kahler Manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **852**, 553 (2011) [arXiv:1007.5316 [hep-th]].
- [25] A. Butti, M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. zaffaroni, *The baryonic branch of Klebanov-Strassler solution: A supersymmetric family of $SU(3)$ structure backgrounds*, JHEP **0503**, 069 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412187].
- [26] P. Kaste, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. Tomasiello, *Kaluza-Klein bundles and manifolds of exceptional holonomy*, JHEP **0209**, 033 (2002) [hep-th/0206213].

- [27] M. Tanabashi et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 98, 030001 (2018).
- [28] F. Brunner, D. Parganlija and A. Rebhan, *Glueball Decay Rates in the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto Model*, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 10, 106002 (2015) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 10, 109903 (2016)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.109903, 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.106002 [arXiv:1501.07906 [hep-ph]].
- [29] M.J.Savage, *Introduction to Nuclear and Particle Physics*,
www.int.washington.edu/users/mjs5/class_cmu/part_cmu/lecture0395.ps
- [30] H. Murayama, *Notes on Phase Space*,
<http://hitoshi.berkeley.edu/233B/phasespace.pdf>
- [31] N. R. Constable and R. C. Myers, *Spin two glueballs, positive energy theorems and the AdS / CFT correspondence*, JHEP **9910**, 037 (1999) [hep-th/9908175].
- [32] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition and confinement in gauge theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 505 [hep-th/9803131].
- [33] M. Natsuume, *String theory and quark-gluon plasma*, hep-ph/0701201.
- [34] K. Hashimoto, C. I. Tan and S. Terashima, *Glueball decay in holographic QCD*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 086001 (2008) [arXiv:0709.2208 [hep-th]].
- [35] L. A. Pando Zayas and A. A. Tseytlin, *3-branes on resolved conifold*, JHEP **0011**, 028 (2000) [hep-th/0010088].