## Taub adiabat: a tool to study astrophysical phase transition

Ritam Mallick\* and Mohammad Irfan

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhopal, India

(Dated: May 24, 2019)

We solve the Taub adiabat equation to study the phase transition of a neutron star to quark star. We show that the PT from NS to QS is not always possible and sometimes the PT could lead to a Qs which is much smaller than the original NS. We derive the magnetized Taub adiabat equation from the magnetic field induced RH equations and solved it to show that the magnetic field of the resultant QS after the PT depends strongly on the tilt of the magnetic axis of the original NS.

PACS numbers: 47.40.Nm, 52.35.Tc, 26.60.Kp, 97.10.Cv

Keywords: dense matter, equation of state, stars: magnetic field, stars: neutron, shock waves

Relativistic shocks are very common in astrophysical stellar objects and are associated with stellar winds, supernovae remnants, radio jets, accretion onto compact objects and phase transition (PT) in neutron stars (NSs). Relativistic shocks (the shock speed is close to the speed of light) are generated in many energetic astrophysical events like pulsar winds, gamma-ray bursts and blast waves in guasars. The derivation of the relativistic Rankine-Hugoniot (RH) condition is well studied [1] and their solution is still open for discussion. The RH condition can be used to derive a single equation relating the matter quantities across the discontinuity, known as Taub adiabat (TA) relation [2]. Thorne [3] showed that the TA method of solution can be carried over from non-relativistic to relativistic shocks. Several authors have used the relativistic RH condition to study various problems in astrophysics, but the study of TA in the astrophysical scenario is insufficient.

Relativistic shock phenomena has been widely discussed in recent years with regards to their connection to high-energy heavy ion collisions, high energetic bursts in astrophysics and PT in NSs. In most of the above cases, particular attention has been given to the PT from hadronic matter (HM) to quark matter (QM). In connection with NSs, they are thought to be the best candidates depicting a phase of strongly interacting deconfined matter. They serve as natural laboratories to study the low temperature and high density (or high baryon chemical potential) regime of the QCD phase diagram. Since Witten [6], following the initial proposal by Itoh [4] and Bodmer [5], proposed that strange quark matter can be the stable configuration at such high densities, there has been considerable effort to investigate this possibility in astrophysical literature. One of the lines of investigation assumes it to be a simple first order PT from confined HM to deconfined QM. Such a PT can be either first or second order and can occur in old NSs [7] and also in hot proto-neutron star [8]. Accretion process in NS can trigger this PT [9] or nucleation via seeding can also occur [10]. In many of these calculations, the PT process is assumed to be driven by the relativistic shock and hence the need to study the relativistic RH relations to

characterize the burning process [11–14]. However, not many people have analyzed the TA to look into this PT scenario.

In this letter we first analyze the TA relation. This relation is entirely different from the much-studied RH relation as it is only concerned with the matter properties on either side of the front and does involve any velocity terms. Once the TA is solved to obtain the final state we find the velocities from the matter properties. The above analysis gives us an insight into an entirely new physics which is yet to be studied. The results are quite robust and can be obtained using different sets of equation of state.

We start with our known RH condition which connects matter properties across the front. We assume that the shock front is at y = z = 0 and is perpendicular to the xaxis. Across the front, the two phases are related via the energy-momentum and baryon number conservation.

$$w_h \gamma_h^2 v_h = w_q \gamma_q^2 v_q, \tag{1}$$

$$w_h \gamma_h^2 v_h^2 + p_h = w_q \gamma_q^2 v_q^2 + p_q, \qquad (2)$$

$$n_h v_h \gamma_h = n_q v_q \gamma_q \tag{3}$$

w is the enthalpy  $(w = \epsilon + p)$ ,  $u^{\mu} = (\gamma, \gamma v)$  is the normalized 4-velocity of the fluid and  $\gamma$  is the Lorentz factor. We assume that the PT happens as a single discontinuity and the front propagates separating the two phases. Therefore we denote 'h' as the initial state ahead of the shock (NM) front and 'q' as the final state behind the shock (QM).

The above equations can be used to obtain the TA

$$\mu_q^2 - \mu_h^2 = (p_q - p_h)(\frac{\mu_h}{n_h} + \frac{\mu_q}{n_q})$$
(4)

and the baryon flux conservation equation  $j = n_h v_h = n_q v_q$ .

$$-j^{2} = \frac{p_{q} - p_{h}}{\mu_{q}/n_{q} - \mu_{h}/n_{h}}$$
(5)

where  $\mu$  is the chemical potential w/n.

The TA can also be written in terms of a quantity X defined as  $X = w/n^2$  and takes the form

$$w_q X_q - w_h X_h = (p_q - p_h)(X_h + X_q).$$
 (6)



FIG. 1. (Color online) The TA (p vs. X) curves for HM (NL3 (black-dot) and the PLZ (green-triangle)) with their corresponding burn state with  $Q_N$  and  $Q_P$  marked by the red (square) and blue (star) are drawn. The quark curve of the PLZ extends much beyond the corresponding quark curve of the NL3. The upstream point lies on the HM curves whereas the downstream points lie on the burnt curves. Ri indicates the initial jump from NL3 to its burnt state; Max indicates the maximum on the burnt QM state and Ret marks the retracing of the curve.

The so-called downstream quantities (denoted by subscript "q") are calculated from the known upstream quantities (subscript "h") by the TA method [3]. If we solve for the same EoS for the upstream and downstream, then for a given upstream point (say  $(X_h, p_h)$ ) we can draw a chord with slope  $-j^2$  to reach a point  $(X_q, p_q)$ on the same curve, the usual graphical method of solving TA. However, in this study we use the TA with two different EoS for the upstream and downstream quantities. For the known EoS of the HM, we can plot a curve in the X, p plane indicated by NL3. For the usual graphical TA solution, with some upstream point southward of the curve, the downstream point will lie on the same curve northward.

However, in our analysis for a given initial state of the NM (NL3 or PLZ) the final point (downstream) will lie on the curve marked by Q, indicating a burning or in our case a PT. The slope of the Rayleigh line, connecting this initial point with the point  $(X_q, p_q)$  on the TA is proportional to  $(\gamma_n v_n)^2$ . The hadronic EoS used is modeled after the NL3 and PLZ parameter setting [15–17] having only baryons. The Quark EoS is modeled after MIT bag model [18] having u, d and s quarks with bag pressure  $B^{1/4} = 160$  MeV.

In the TA equation, we know the EoS of the upstream quantities ( $\mu$ , p, n are known). Solving the Taub equation, we find the downstream pressure, and from that pressure value, we calculate other quantities ( $\mu$ , n) using the known EoS. Therefore, we have one equation and one unknown which can be solved. Once the Taub equation



FIG. 2. (Color online) p as a function of  $\rho_b$  for HM (NL3 (black-dot) and the PLZ (green-triangle)) and their corresponding downstream QM ( $Q_N$  and  $Q_P$  marked by the red (square) and blue (star)) curves are illustrated. The burnt pressure first rises and then decreases and cut their corresponding HM pressure curves at higher  $\rho_b$  values.

is solved, we can calculate the velocity of the upstream and downstream quantities [3].

Starting from a point  $(X_h, p_h)$  (fig 1) in the TA if we encounter some point  $(X_q, p_q)$  in the same curve we have a shock wave. However, with the same starting point if we reach a point  $(X'_q, p'_q)$  having different EoS we will have a detonation or deflagration. However, the most interesting result is obtained if we go northward along the HM curve. Initially as the upstream curve goes up, the downstream curve also rises with it. However, there is a maximum point on the burnt trajectory beyond which if we go northward along the HM curve the burnt trajectory retraces its path. Such a nature portrayed by the curve, its maximum point and retracing of path is quite robust and can be seen for both NL3 and PLZ curves (corresponding to a burnt QM curve).

Fig 2 shows the plot of the upstream and downstream pressures as a function of baryon number density. We find that the maximum of the calculated downstream pressure of fig 2 corresponds to the maximum point of the Taub burnt curve beyond which it retraces its path. In fig 2 we get a point at which the upstream and downstream pressures are the same and is quite significant in determining the velocities of the two phases which we show later in fig 3.

From fig 1 and fig 2 we can conclude that the pressure and therefore the energy and density of the burnt phase cannot increase beyond a certain point if we are considering a PT from state h to state  $q^{/}$ . Therefore, if a NS with moderate central energy density suffers a PT to a QS, the central density of the QS would increase (quark EoS being more compact). This is consistent with the initial part (lower pressure) of the TA curve where  $p_q > p_h$ . Going to higher p values along the HM curve the corresponding p of the QM trajectory goes down from a certain maximum point (marked as Max in fig 1 redsquare). In this case the central energy density of the QS. Therefore, the resultant QS will be quite small. Such PT releases significant amount of energy which can have observational signatures like GW, neutrino beaming and gamma-ray bursts. On the other hand it also confirms that the PT of a NS obeying such EoS can lead to a QS having an upper bound on the maximum mass. PT of a massive NS does not guarantee a massive QS. It can be inferred that a reverse shock occurs which decreases the pressure at the center of the star and may even eject a huge amount of matter from the star.

In fig 3 we have plotted the upstream and downstream velocities as a function of baryon density. The velocities can be calculated from the upstream and downstream matter quantities [3]. Initially, the velocities (both  $v_n$ and  $v_q$ ) increase with an increase in density (increase in upstream pressure) and attains a maximum value. This maximum of velocity coincides with the point for which the downstream pressure is maximum and also with the maximum point in the TA curve. The speed then decreases gradually and becomes zero at the density where the upstream pressure equals the downstream pressure. Beyond those densities, the velocities are either zero or unphysical (more than the value of c). However, at much higher densities the velocities again becomes finite.

At low densities the front velocity  $v_f = \frac{v_h - v_q}{1 - v_h v_q}$  is small and the PT is slow. As density increases the burning becomes fast, however, it has an upper limit. Beyond those densites, the burning again becomes slower and stops at much higher densities. NSs with such densities (where velocity is either zero or unphysical) cannot undergo a PT to a QS.

The interaction between the hydrodynamic motion of conducting fluid and the magnetic field has considerable influence not only in astrophysical scenarios but also in other areas of physics. After the seminal work of de Hoffmann & Teller [19] of magnetized shocks, several relativistic and non-relativistic studies were carried out. de Hoffmann & Teller presented a relativistic MHD treatment of shocks in various orientations and a treatment of oblique shocks for the non-relativistic case, eliminating the electric field by transforming to a frame where the flow velocity is parallel to the magnetic field vector (now called the de Hoffmann-Teller frame). Further astrophysical magnetized shock studies in these lines were carried out by Majorana & Anile [20], Ballards & Heavens [21] and more recently by Mallick & Schramm [22]. The TA for the magnetized oblique RH equations were never solved. In this letter, we derive the magnetized TA and discuss them in the astrophysical scenarios to study the magnetic field in the burnt matter (magnetic field evolution of the QS).



FIG. 3. (Color online) The upstream  $(v_h)$  and downstream  $(v_q)$  velocities are shown as a function of  $\rho_b$  for input HM EoS. Both  $v_h$  and  $v_q$  first increases till a point then decreases and goes to zero.  $v_h$  is always greater than  $v_q$  at low density, however, they reach the maximum point and goes to zero at same  $\rho_b$  values. The velocities for NL3 EoS goes to zero at much smaller value of  $\rho_b$  than for PLZ velocities. The velocities again attain high non zero values at much higher densities (where  $v_h$  is less than  $v_q$ ).

The shock adiabat for the magnetized plasma is given by

$$w_h \gamma_h^2 v_{hx} = w_q \gamma_q^2 v_{qx}, \ (7)$$

$$w_h \gamma_h^2 v_{hx}^2 + p_h + \frac{B_{hy}^2}{8\pi} = w_q \gamma_q^2 v_{qx}^2 + p_q + \frac{B_{qy}^2}{8\pi}, \ (8)$$

$$w_h \gamma_h^2 v_{hx} v_{hy} - \frac{B_{hx} B_{hy}}{4\pi} = w_q \gamma_q^2 v_{qx} v_{qy} - \frac{B_{qx} B_{qy}}{4\pi}, \quad (9)$$
$$n_h v_{hx} \gamma_h = n_q v_{qx} \gamma_q (10)$$

The assumption of infinite conductivity gives the electric field to be zero. The Maxwell equation  $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  gives the *x*-component of magnetic field across the discontinuity to be equal

$$B_{hx} = B_{qx} = B. \tag{11}$$

Defining the mass flux j in the x-direction as  $j = n_h u_{hx} = n_q u_{qx}$ 

$$-j^{2} = \frac{(p_{q}^{\prime} - p_{h}^{\prime})}{\mu_{q}/n_{q} - \mu_{h}/n_{h}}$$
(12)

where,  $p_i^{/} = p_i + B_i y^2 / 8\pi$ , we can get the magnetized TA equation

$$\mu_q^2 B_{tq} - \mu_h^2 B_{th} = (p_q' - p_h')(\frac{\mu_h}{n_h} + \frac{\mu_q}{n_q}) \qquad (13)$$

where,  $B_{ti} = 1 + \frac{B^2(B_{hy} - B_{qy})}{4\pi n_i^2(B_{hy}\mu_h/n_h - B_{qy}\mu_q/n_q)}$ . The original TA has only one unknown (for our case we chose it to



FIG. 4. (Color online) p as a function of  $\rho_b$  for PLZ parameter (black-dot) and its corresponding burnt states are drawn. The curves are drawn for three  $B_{hy}$  values. The B value for the whole treatment is fixed and is  $1.5 \times 10^{18}$  G. The crossing point of the hadronic pressure and quark pressure are same for all three values.

be  $p_q$ ), however, the magnetized TA has 2 unknowns ( $p_q$  and  $B_{2y}$ ). Therefore, to solve we need another equation, which we get from our definition of mass flux (eqn. 12).

$$B^{2}B_{a}(X_{h}^{2} - X_{q}^{2}) = (p_{q}^{\prime} - p_{h}^{\prime})(X_{h} + X_{q})$$
(14)

where  $B_a = (B_{hy} - B_{qy})/4\pi(B_{hy}X_h - B_{qy}X_q)$ . With some given upstream matter and magnetic field variables, solving the above two equations we can obtain the downstream matter and magnetic quantities.

In fig 4 we plot the  $p - \rho_b$  curve with 3 values of magnetic field strength. One with  $B_{hy} < B$ , next with  $B_{hy} = B$  and the final with  $B_{hy} > B$ . The upstream points are taken from PLZ parameter setting. We have taken the *B* value to be  $1.5 \times 10^{18}$  G. The three respective  $B_{hy}$  are  $4.5 \times 10^{17}$  G,  $1.5 \times 10^{18}$  G and  $4.5 \times 10^{18}$  G. As evident from fig 4, for the first and the last case, the first maximum occurs at p = 400 MeV/fm<sup>3</sup> ( $\rho_b = 0.49$  fm<sup>-3</sup>). Then the pressure goes down and saturates at  $p \simeq 200$  MeV/fm<sup>3</sup>. The second case is a bit different from the other two, the downstream pressure is always less than the previous two cases, however, the crossing happens at the same point. Beyond that point, the curves are almost similar.

In fig 5, we have plotted the ratio of y-component of downstream and upstream magnetic field  $(B_{qy}/B_{hy})$ values against the baryon number density. The xcomponents of the magnetic fields are equal. The curves are plotted for the three cases mentioned above. For the first case  $B_{hy} < B$ ,  $B_{qy}$  is greater than  $B_{hy}$  till the crossing point. The curve has its peak between  $\rho_b = (0.2-0.3)$ fm<sup>-3</sup>, and then decreases to become equal at the crossing point. Beyond the crossing point  $B_{qy}$  is less than  $B_{hy}$ .

When  $B_{hy} = B$ , the magnetic field in the downstream



FIG. 5. (Color online) The ratio of  $B_{qy}/B_{hy}$  as a function of  $\rho_b$  is shown. The ratio is shown for three input  $B_{hy}$  values, one with  $B_{hy} < B$  (black-circle), second with  $B_{hy} = B$  (red-square) and the third with  $B_{hy} > B$  (green-diamond). B value is fixed at  $1.5 \times 10^{18}$  G.

region is much greater than that of the upstream one and the curve is qualitatively similar to the above curve differing only quantitavely. For the final case  $B_{hy} > B$ , y-component of the magnetic field in the downstream region is almost same as that of the upstream value (sometimes it becomes slightly smaller). It is interesting to note that at the crossing point the magnetic fields become equal for all the three cases.

The magnetic field of the burnt QS can be greater or equal to the original NS depending on the angle which the magnetic field makes with the shock normal. If we assume that the PT front is spherical in an ordinary pulsar, then the tilt angle of the magnetic field with the rotation axis will be crucial in determining the magnetic field strength of the burnt QS.

To summarize, using TA as a tool in studying the PT from NS to QS is proposed. Studies using the RH equations have been done earlier, however in those cases, one extra input was required, the incoming matter velocities, which from ab-intio is indeterministic. However, this TA technique is independent of that and only the upstream and downstream EoSs are enough to study their PT. The analysis gives new results which were not realized before and restricts the PT in NSs considerably. Also the calculation of the TA with the magnetic field is done for the first time and it shows that the tilt angle of the magnetic field is quite significant in determining the magnetic field of the resultant QS.

The authors are grateful to the SERB, Govt. of India for monetary support in the form of Ramanujan Fellowship (SB/S2/RJN-061/2015) and Early Career Research Award (ECR/2016/000161). RM and MI would also like to thank IISER Bhopal for providing all the research and infrastructure facilities. \* mallick@iiserb.ac.in

- Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M., Fluid Mechanics (Pergamon Press, 1987)
- [2] Taub, A. H., Phys. Rev. bf 74, 328 (1948)
- [3] Thorne, K. S., AstroPhys. J. 179, 897 (1973)
- [4] Itoh, N., Prog. Theor. Phys. 44, 291 (1970)
- [5] Bodmer, A. R., Phys. Rev. D 4, 1601 (1971)
- [6] Witten, E., PRD 30, 272 (1984)
- [7] Dai, Z. G., Peng, Q. H., & Lu, T., AstroPhys. J. 440, 815 (1995)
- [8] Cheng, K. S., Dai, Z. G., Wei, D. M., & Lu, T., Science 280, 407 (1998)
- [9] Cheng, K. S., & Dai, Z. G., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1210 (1996)
- [10] C. Alcock, E. Farhi & A. Olinto, AstroPhys. J. 310, 261 (1986)
- [11] Drago, A., Lavagno, A., & Parenti, I., Astrophys. J. 659,

- 1519(2007)
- Bhattacharyya, A., Ghosh, S. K., Joarder, P. S., Mallick, R., & Raha, S., Phys. Rev. C 74, 065804 (2006)
- [13] Mishustin, I., Mallick, R., Nandi, R. & Satarov, L., Phys. Rev. C 91, 055806 (2015)
- [14] R. Prasad & R. Mallick, AstroPhys. J. 859, 57 (2018)
- [15] Serot, B. D., & Walecka, J. D., Adv. Nucl. Phys. 16, 1 (1986)
- [16] Glendenning, N. K., & Moszkowski, S. A., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2414 (1991)
- [17] Reinhard, P. G., Z. Phys. A 329, 257 (1988)
- [18] Chodos, A., Jaffe, R. L., Johnson, K., Thorn, C. B., & Weisskopf, V. F., Phys. Rev. D 9, 3471 (1974)
- [19] de Hoffmann, F., & Teller, E., Phys. Rev. 80, 692 (1950)
- [20] A. Majorana, A., & Anile, A. M., Phys. Fluid 30, 3045 (1987)
- [21] Ballard K. R., & Heavens, A. F., Mon. Not. R. astro. Soc. 251, 438 (1991)
- [22] Mallick, R., Schramm, S., Phys. Rev. C 89, 025801 (2014)