

# THE PHASE SHIFT OF LINE SOLITONS FOR THE KP-II EQUATION

TETSU MIZUMACHI

ABSTRACT. The KP-II equation was derived by Kadomtsev and Petviashvili [15] to explain stability of line solitary waves of shallow water. Stability of line solitons has been proved by [23, 24] and it turns out the local phase shift of modulating line solitons are not uniform in the transverse direction. In this paper, we obtain the  $L^\infty$ -bound for the local phase shift of modulating line solitons for polynomially localized perturbations.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Semigroup estimates for the linearized KP-II equation	5
2.2. Decay estimates for linearized modulation equations	6
3. Decomposition of solutions around 1-line solitons	10
4. Modulation equations	13
5. À priori estimates for modulation parameters.	16
6. The $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ estimate	22
7. Estimates for small solutions for the KP-II equation	23
8. Decay estimates for the exponentially localized part of perturbations	25
9. Large time behavior of the phase shift of line solitons	27
10. Behavior of the local amplitude and the local inclination of line solitons	34
Appendix A. Operator norms of $S_k^j$	39
Appendix B. Estimates of $R^j$	43
Appendix C. Estimates for $k(t, y)$	46
Acknowledgment	46
References	46

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The KP-II equation

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_x(\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + 3\partial_x(u^2)) + 3\sigma\partial_y^2 u = 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0 \text{ and } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $\sigma = 1$ , is a generalization to two spatial dimensions of the KdV equation

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + 3\partial_x(u^2) = 0,$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B35, 37K40; Secondary 35Q35.

*Key words and phrases.* KP-II, line soliton, phase shift.

Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University, 1-7-1 Kagamiyama 739-8521, Japan  
Email: tetsum@hiroshima-u.ac.jp.

and has been derived as a model to explain the transverse stability of solitary wave solutions to the KdV equation with respect to two dimensional perturbation when the surface tension is weak or absent. See [15] for the derivation of (1.1).

The global well-posedness of (1.1) in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) on the background of line solitons has been studied by Molinet, Saut and Tzvetkov [29] whose proof is based on the work of Bourgain [3]. For the other contributions on the Cauchy problem of the KP-II equation, see e.g. [8, 9, 10, 13, 36, 37, 38, 39] and the references therein.

Let

$$\varphi_c(x) \equiv c \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{c}{2}} x \right), \quad c > 0.$$

Then  $\varphi_c(x - 2ct)$  is a solitary wave solution of the KdV equation (1.2) and a line soliton solution of (1.1) as well. Transverse linear stability of line solitons for the KP-II equation was studied by Burtsev ([4]). See also [1] for the spectral stability of KP line solitons. Recently, transverse spectral and linear stability of periodic waves for the KP-II equation has been studied in [11, 12, 14].

If  $\sigma = -1$ , then (1.1) is called KP-I which is a model for long waves in a media with positive dispersion, e.g. water waves with large surface tension. The KP-I equation has a stable ground state ([7]) and line solitons are unstable for the KP-I equation except for thin domains in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  where the two dimensional nature of the equation is negligible (see [32, 33, 34, 41]).

Nonlinear stability of line solitons for the KP-II equation has been proved for localized perturbations as well as for perturbations which have 0-mean along all the lines parallel to the  $x$ -axis ([23, 24]).

**Theorem 1.1.** ([24, Theorem 1.1]) *Let  $c_0 > 0$  and  $u(t, x, y)$  be a solution of (1.1) satisfying  $u(0, x, y) = \varphi_{c_0}(x) + v_0(x, y)$ . There exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $C$  satisfying the following: if  $v_0 \in \partial_x L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \| |D_x|^{1/2} v_0 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \| |D_x|^{-1/2} |D_y|^{1/2} v_0 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon_0$  then there exist  $C^1$ -functions  $c(t, y)$  and  $x(t, y)$  such that for every  $t \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ ,*

$$(1.3) \quad \|u(t, x, y) - \varphi_{c(t,y)}(x - x(t, y))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^2},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \|c(t, \cdot) - c_0\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y x(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} + \|x_t(t, \cdot) - 2c(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^2},$$

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|\partial_y c(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y^2 x(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} \right) = 0,$$

and for any  $R > 0$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t, x + x(t, y), y) - \varphi_{c(t,y)}(x)\|_{L^2((x > -R) \times \mathbb{R}_y)} = 0.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** ([24, Theorem 1.2]) *Let  $c_0 > 0$  and  $s > 1$ . Suppose that  $u$  is a solutions of (1.1) satisfying  $u(0, x, y) = \varphi_{c_0}(x) + v_0(x, y)$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $C$  such that if  $\|\langle x \rangle^s v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon_0$ , there exist  $c(t, y)$  and  $x(t, y)$  satisfying (1.5), (1.6) and*

$$(1.7) \quad \|u(t, x, y) - \varphi_{c(t,y)}(x - x(t, y))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^s v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \|c(t, \cdot) - c_0\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y x(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} + \|x_t(t, \cdot) - 2c(t, \cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^s v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for every  $t \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 0$ .

*Remark 1.1.* The parameters  $c(t_0, y_0)$  and  $x(t_0, y_0)$  represent the local amplitude and the local phase shift of the modulating line soliton  $\varphi_{c(t,y)}(x - x(t, y))$  at time  $t_0$  along the line  $y = y_0$  and that  $x_y(t, y)$  represents the local orientation of the crest of the line soliton.

*Remark 1.2.* In view of Theorem 1.1,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (|c(t, y) - c_0| + |x_y(t, y)|) = 0,$$

and as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the modulating line soliton  $\varphi_{c(t, y)}(x - x(t, y))$  converges to a  $y$ -independent modulating line soliton  $\varphi_{c_0}(x - x(t, 0))$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x \times (|y| \leq R))$  for any  $R > 0$ .

For the KdV equation as well as for the KP-II equation posed on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{T}_y)$ , the dynamics of a modulating soliton  $\varphi_{c(t)}(x - x(t))$  is described by a system of ODEs

$$\dot{c} \simeq 0, \quad \dot{x} \simeq 2c.$$

See [31] for the KdV equation and [27] for the KP-II equation with the  $y$ -periodic boundary condition. However, to analyze transverse stability of line solitons for localized perturbation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we need to study a system of PDEs for  $c(t, y)$  and  $x(t, y)$  in [23, 24] as is the case with the planar traveling waves for the heat equations (e.g. [16, 20, 40]) and planar kinks for the  $\phi^4$ -model ([5]).

By analyzing modulation PDEs, it turns out the set of exact 1-line solitons

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\varphi_c(x + ky - (2c + 3k^2)t + \gamma) \mid c > 0, k, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is not stable in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** ([23, Theorem 1.4]) *Let  $c_0 > 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a solution of (1.1) such that  $\|u(0, x, y) - \varphi_{c_0}(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon$  and  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1/4} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{A}} \|u(t, \cdot) - v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} > 0$ .*

*Remark 1.3.* Theorem 1.3 is a consequence of finite speed propagation of local phase shifts and the fact that the line solitons have infinite length in the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  case. Indeed, the phase  $x(t, y)$  has *jumps* around the points  $y = \pm\sqrt{8c_0 t}$ .

Such phenomena are observed for Boussinesq equations in the physics literature. See e.g. [30] and the reference therein.

The following result is an improvement of [23, Theorem 1.5].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $c_0 = 2$  and  $u(t)$  be as in Theorem 1.2. There exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $C$  such that if  $\varepsilon := \|\langle x \rangle \langle \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle \rangle v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon_0$ , then there exist  $C^1$ -functions  $c(t, y)$  and  $x(t, y)$  satisfying (1.3)–(1.6) and*

$$(1.9) \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} c(t, \cdot) - 2 \\ x_y(t, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_B^+(t, y + 4t) \\ u_B^-(t, y - 4t) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = o(\varepsilon t^{-1/4})$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $u_B^\pm$  are self similar solutions of the Burgers equation

$$\partial_t u = 2\partial_y^2 u \pm 4\partial_y(u^2)$$

such that

$$u_B^\pm(t, y) = \frac{\pm m_\pm H_{2t}(y)}{2(1 + m_\pm \int_0^y H_{2t}(y_1) dy_1)}, \quad H_t(y) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-y^2/4t},$$

and that  $m_\pm$  are constants satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_B^\pm(t, y) dy = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (c(0, y) - 2) dy + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

*Remark 1.4.* Since (1.1) is invariant under the scaling  $u \mapsto \lambda^2 u(\lambda^3 t, \lambda x, \lambda^2 y)$ , we may assume that  $c_0 = 2$  without loss of generality.

*Remark 1.5.* The linearized operator around the line soliton solution has resonant continuous eigenvalues near  $\lambda = 0$  whose corresponding eigenmodes grow exponentially as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ . See (2.1)–(2.3). The diffraction of the line soliton around  $y = \pm 4t$  can be thought as a mechanism to emit energy from those resonant continuous eigenmodes.

If we disregard diffractions of waves propagating along the crest of line solitons, then time evolution of the phase shift is approximately described by the 1-dimensional wave equation

$$x_{tt} = 8c_0 x_{yy}.$$

It is natural to expect that  $\sup_{t,y \in \mathbb{R}} |x(t,y) - 2c_0 t|$  remains small for localized perturbations although the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_y)$  norm of  $x(t,y) - 2c_0 t$  grows as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Our main result in the present paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $u(t,x,y)$  and  $x(t,y)$  be as in Theorem 1.2. There exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $C$  such that if  $\varepsilon := \|\langle x \rangle \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon_0$ , then  $\sup_{t \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}} |x(t,y) - 2c_0 t| \leq C\varepsilon$ . Moreover, there exists an  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x(t, \cdot) - 2c_0 t - h\|_{L^\infty(|y| \leq (\sqrt{8c_0} - \delta)t)} = 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x(t, \cdot) - 2c_0 t\|_{L^\infty(|y| \geq (\sqrt{8c_0} + \delta)t)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the case where  $h \neq 0$  in (1.10), the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -distance between the solution  $u$  and the set of exact 1-line solitons grows like  $t^{1/2}$  or faster.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $c_0 > 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a solution of (1.1) such that  $\|\langle x \rangle \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \{u(0,x,y) - \varphi_{c_0}(x)\} < \varepsilon$  and  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1/2} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{A}} \|u(t, \cdot) - v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} > 0$ .*

To investigate the large time behavior of  $x(t,y)$ , we derive estimates of fundamental solutions to the linearized equation of modulation equations for parameters  $c(t,y)$  and  $x(t,y)$  which is a 1-dimensional damped wave equation (see Section 2.2). As is the same with the 1-dimensional wave equation, we need integrability of the initial data of the modulation equation to prove the boundedness of the phase shift.

In our construction of modulation parameters, we impose a secular term condition on  $c(t,y)$  and  $x(t,y)$  only for  $y$ -frequencies in a small interval  $[-\eta_0, \eta_0]$ . This facilitates the estimates of modulation parameters because the truncation of Fourier modes turns the modulation equations into semilinear equations. On the other hand, it was not clear in [23] whether the initial data of modulation equations are integrable even if perturbations to line solitons are exponentially localized. We find that  $c(0,y)$  can be decomposed into a sum of an integrable function and a derivative of a function that belongs to  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  for polynomially localized perturbations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The decomposition of initial data also enables us to prove Theorem 1.4 which shows the large time asymptotic of the local amplitude and the local orientation of line solitons in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  whereas the result in [23] shows large time asymptotics in a region  $y = \pm\sqrt{8c_0}t + O(\sqrt{t})$ .

In [26], we study the 2-dimensional linearized Benney-Luke equation around line solitary waves in the weak surface tension case and find that the time evolution of resonant continuous eigenmodes is similar to (1.10). We expect our argument presented in this paper is useful to investigate phase shifts of modulating line solitary waves for the 2-dimensional Benney-Luke equation and the other long wave models for 3D water.

Finally, let us introduce several notations. Let  $\mathbf{1}_A$  be the characteristic function of the set  $A$ . For Banach spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , let  $B(V,W)$  be the space of all the linear continuous

operators from  $V$  to  $W$  and  $\|T\|_{B(V,W)} = \sup_{\|x\|_V=1} \|Tu\|_W$  for  $T \in B(V,W)$ . We abbreviate  $B(V,V)$  as  $B(V)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $m \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) &= \hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx, \\ (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(x) &= \check{f}(x) = \hat{f}(-x), \end{aligned}$$

and  $(m(D)f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}(\check{m} * f)(x)$ .

The symbol  $\langle x \rangle$  denotes  $\sqrt{1+x^2}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We use  $a \lesssim b$  and  $a = O(b)$  to mean that there exists a positive constant such that  $a \leq Cb$ . Various constants will be simply denoted by  $C$  and  $C_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in the course of the calculations.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Semigroup estimates for the linearized KP-II equation.** First, we recall decay estimates of the semigroup generated by the linearized operator around a 1-line soliton in exponentially weighted spaces.

Let

$$\varphi = \varphi_2, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\partial_x^3 + 4\partial_x - 3\partial_x^{-1}\partial_y^2 - 6\partial_x(\varphi \cdot).$$

We remark that  $\mathcal{L}$  generates a  $C^0$ -semigroup on  $X := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; e^{2\alpha x} dx dy)$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(\eta) = -\partial_x^3 + 4\partial_x + 3\eta^2\partial_x^{-1} - 6\partial_x(\varphi \cdot)$  be an operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}; e^{2\alpha x} dx)$  with its domain  $D(\mathcal{L}(\eta)) = e^{-\alpha x} H^3(\mathbb{R})$ . Obviously, we have  $\mathcal{L}(u(x)e^{iy\eta}) = e^{iy\eta}\mathcal{L}(\eta)u(x)$  for any  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\eta \simeq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(\eta)$  has two isolated eigenvalues near 0 and the rest of the spectrum is bounded away from the imaginary axis and lies in the stable half plane (see [23, Chapter 2]). We remark that that  $\mathcal{L}(0)$  is the linearized KdV operator around  $\varphi$  which has an isolated 0 eigenvalue of multiplicity 2 in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}; e^{2\alpha x} dx)$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  (see [31]).

Let

$$(2.1) \quad \beta(\eta) = \sqrt{1+i\eta}, \quad \lambda(\eta) = 4i\eta\beta(\eta),$$

$$(2.2) \quad g(x, \eta) = \frac{-i}{2\eta\beta(\eta)} \partial_x^2 (e^{-\beta(\eta)x} \operatorname{sech} x), \quad g^*(x, \eta) = \partial_x (e^{\beta(-\eta)x} \operatorname{sech} x).$$

Then

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{L}(\eta)g(x, \pm\eta) = \lambda(\pm\eta)g(x, \pm\eta), \quad \mathcal{L}(\eta)^*g^*(x, \pm\eta) = \lambda(\mp\eta)g^*(x, \pm\eta).$$

The continuous eigenvalues  $\lambda(\eta)$  belongs to the stable half plane  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re\lambda < 0\}$  for  $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\lambda(\eta) \rightarrow \lambda(0) = 0$  as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\nu(\eta) := \Re\beta(\eta) - 1$  and  $\eta_0$  be a small positive number. Since  $g(x, \eta) = O(e^{\nu(\eta)|x|})$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $\nu(\eta) = O(\eta^2)$  for small  $\eta$ , we choose  $\alpha$  and so that  $\alpha \geq \nu(\eta)$  and  $g(x, \eta) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; e^{2\alpha x} dx)$  for  $\eta \in [-\eta_0, \eta_0]$ . The continuous eigenmodes  $g(x, \eta)e^{iy\eta}$  grow exponentially as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ . Nevertheless, they have to do with modulation of line solitons. See [4] and the references therein.

The spectral projection to the continuous eigenmodes  $\{g_{\pm}(x, \eta)\}_{-\eta_0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_0}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(\eta_0)f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k=1,2} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} a_k(\eta) g_k(x, \eta) e^{iy\eta} d\eta, \\ a_k(\eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F}_y f)(x, \eta) g_k^*(x, \eta) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x, \eta) &= 2\Re g(x, \eta), & g_2(x, \eta) &= -2\eta\Im g(x, \eta), \\ g_1^*(x, \eta) &= \Re g^*(x, \eta), & g_2^*(x, \eta) &= -\eta^{-1}\Im g^*(x, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that for an  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x, \eta) &= \frac{1}{4}\varphi' + \frac{x}{4}\varphi' + \frac{1}{2}\varphi + O(\eta^2), & g_2(x, \eta) &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi' + O(\eta^2) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}; e^{2\alpha x} dx), \\ g_1^*(x, \eta) &= \frac{1}{2}\varphi + O(\eta^2), & g_2^*(x, \eta) &= \int_{-\infty}^x \partial_c \varphi dx + O(\eta^2) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}; e^{-2\alpha x} dx), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\partial_c \varphi = \partial_c \varphi|_{c=2}$ . See [23, Chapter 3].

For  $\eta_0$  and  $M$  satisfying  $0 < \eta_0 \leq M \leq \infty$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(\eta_0, M)u(x, y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\eta_0 \leq |\eta| \leq M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, y_1) e^{i\eta(y-y_1)} dy_1 d\eta, \\ P_2(\eta_0, M) &:= P_1(0, M) - P_0(\eta_0). \end{aligned}$$

The semigroup  $e^{t\mathcal{L}}$  is exponentially stable on  $(I - P_0(\eta_0))X$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** ([23, proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3]) *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and  $\eta_1$  be a positive number satisfying  $\nu(\eta_1) < \alpha$ . Then there exist positive constants  $K$  and  $b$  such that for any  $\eta_0 \in (0, \eta_1]$ ,  $M \geq \eta_0$ ,  $f \in X$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\|e^{t\mathcal{L}} P_2(\eta_0, M)f\|_X \leq K e^{-bt} \|f\|_X.$$

Moreover, there exist positive constants  $K'$  and  $b'$  such that for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\mathcal{L}} P_2(\eta_0, M)\partial_x f\|_X &\leq K' e^{-b't} t^{-1/2} \|e^{\alpha x} f\|_X, \\ \|e^{t\mathcal{L}} P_2(\eta_0, M)\partial_x f\|_X &\leq K' e^{-b't} t^{-3/4} \|e^{\alpha x} f\|_{L_x^1 L_y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

**2.2. Decay estimates for linearized modulation equations.** Time evolution of parameters  $c(t, y)$  and  $x(t, y)$  of a modulating line soliton  $\varphi_{c(t, y)}(x - x(t, y))$  is described by a system of Burgers type equations. In this subsection, we introduce linear estimates which will be used to prove boundedness of the phase shift  $x(t, y) - 2c_0 t$ . The estimates are a substitute of d'Alembert's formula for the 1-dimensional wave equation.

Let  $\omega(\eta) = \sqrt{16 + (8\mu_3 - 1)\eta^2}$ ,  $\mu_3 = -\frac{\mu_1}{2} + \frac{3}{4} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{24} > 1/8$ ,  $\lambda_*^\pm(\eta) = -2\eta^2 \pm i\eta\omega(\eta)$  and

$$\mathcal{A}_*(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} -3\eta^2 & -8\eta^2 \\ 2 + \mu_3\eta^2 & -\eta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{P}_*(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\eta} \begin{pmatrix} 8\eta & 8\eta \\ -\eta - i\omega(\eta) & -\eta + i\omega(\eta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{P}_*(\eta)^{-1} \mathcal{A}_*(\eta) \mathcal{P}_*(\eta) = \text{diag}(\lambda_*^+(\eta), \lambda_*^-(\eta))$  and

$$(2.4) \quad e^{t\mathcal{A}_*(\eta)} = e^{-2\eta^2 t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t\eta\omega(\eta) - \frac{\eta}{\omega(\eta)} \sin t\eta\omega(\eta) & -\frac{8\eta}{\omega(\eta)} \sin t\eta\omega(\eta) \\ \frac{\eta^2 + \omega(\eta)^2}{8\eta\omega(\eta)} \sin t\eta\omega(\eta) & \cos t\eta\omega(\eta) + \frac{\eta}{\omega(\eta)} \sin t\eta\omega(\eta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\eta_0$  be a positive number and let  $\chi_1(\eta)$  be a nonnegative smooth function such that  $0 \leq \chi_1(\eta) \leq 1$  for  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\chi_1(\eta) = 1$  if  $|\eta| \leq \frac{1}{2}\eta_0$  and  $\chi_1(\eta) = 0$  if  $|\eta| \geq \frac{3}{4}\eta_0$ . Let  $\chi_2(\eta) = 1 - \chi_1(\eta)$ . Then

$$(2.5) \quad \|\chi_2(D_y) e^{t\mathcal{A}_*(D_y)}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \lesssim e^{-\eta_0^2 t/2} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Next, we will estimate the low frequency part of  $e^{tA^*(\eta)}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2} \cos t\eta\omega(\eta) \right), \\ K_2(t, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( e^{-2t\eta^2} \frac{\eta\chi_1(\eta)}{\omega(\eta)} \sin t\eta\omega(\eta) \right), \\ K_3(t, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( e^{-2t\eta^2} \frac{\chi_1(\eta)\omega(\eta)}{\eta} \sin t\eta\omega(\eta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.6) \quad \chi_1(D_y) e^{tA^*(D_y)} \delta = \begin{pmatrix} K_1(t, y) - K_2(t, y) & -8K_2(t, y) \\ \frac{1}{8}(K_2(t, y) + K_3(t, y)) & K_1(t, y) + K_2(t, y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the following estimates for  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  and  $K_3$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then*

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{t>0} \|K_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \quad \|K_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|\partial_y^{j+1} K_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y^j K_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y^{j+2} K_3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(j+1)/2},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|\partial_y^{j+1} K_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y^j K_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y^{j+2} K_3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(2j+3)/4},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{t>0} \|\partial_y K_3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \quad \|\partial_y K_3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{t>0} \|K_3(t, \cdot) * f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\omega}(\eta) = \omega(\eta) - 4$  and

$$(2.12) \quad K_{1,\pm}(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \chi_1(\eta) e^{-(2\eta^2 \pm i\eta\tilde{\omega}(\eta))t} \right).$$

Since  $K_1(t, y) = \sum_{\pm} K_{1,\pm}(t, y \mp 4t)$ , it suffices to show that  $\sup_{t>0} \|K_{1,\pm}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$  and  $\|K_{1,\pm}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4}$  to prove (2.7). Using the Plancherel identity, we have

$$\|K_{1,\pm}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \left\| \chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|yK_{1,\pm}(t, y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \left\| \partial_\eta \left( \chi_1(\eta) e^{-(2\eta^2 \pm i\eta\tilde{\omega}(\eta))t} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|\chi_1'(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + t(\|\eta\chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tilde{\omega}'(\eta)\chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(2.13) \quad |\tilde{\omega}(\eta)| \lesssim \min\{1, \eta^2\}, \quad |\tilde{\omega}'(\eta)| \lesssim \min\{1, |\eta|\}.$$

Combining the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{1,\pm}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim t^{1/4} \|K_{1,\pm}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(|y| \leq \sqrt{t})} + \|yK_{1,\pm}(t, y)\|_{L^2(|y| \geq \sqrt{t})} \|y^{-1}\|_{L^2(|y| \geq \sqrt{t})} \\ &= O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.7). We can prove (2.8)–(2.10) in the same way.

Now we will prove (2.11). Let

$$\begin{aligned} K_{3,1}(t, y) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \omega(\eta) \chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2} \cos t\eta \tilde{\omega}(\eta) \right), \\ K_{3,2}(t, y) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \omega(\eta) \chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2} \frac{\sin t\eta \tilde{\omega}(\eta)}{\eta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.14) \quad K_3(t, y) = K_{3,1}(t, \cdot) * \mathbf{1}_{[-4t, 4t]} + K_{3,2}(t, y + 4t) + K_{3,2}(t, y - 4t).$$

We can prove that

$$(2.15) \quad \sup_{t>0} \|K_{3,1}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty,$$

and that  $\|\partial_y^j K_{3,1}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(2j+1)/4}$  for  $j \geq 0$  in the same way as (2.7).

Using the Schwarz inequality and the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{3,2}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim t^{1/4} \|K_{3,2}(t, y)\|_{L^2(|y| \leq \sqrt{t})} + t^{-1/4} \|y K_{3,2}(t, y)\|_{L^2(|y| \geq \sqrt{t})} \\ &\lesssim t^{1/4} \left\| \omega(\eta) \chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2} \frac{\sin t\eta \tilde{\omega}(\eta)}{\eta} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + t^{-1/4} \left\| \partial_\eta \left\{ \omega(\eta) \chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2} \frac{\sin t\eta \tilde{\omega}(\eta)}{\eta} \right\} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\partial_j (\eta^{-1} \sin t\eta \tilde{\omega}(\eta))| \lesssim t\eta^{2-j}$  for  $j = 0$  and  $1$ , it follows that

$$\|K_{3,2}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim t^{1/4} \|t\eta^2 e^{-2t\eta^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + t^{-1/4} \|t\eta e^{-2t\eta^2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = O(1).$$

Let  $\chi_3(\eta) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\chi_1(\eta) = \chi_1(\eta) \chi_3(\eta)$ . Then

$$K_{3,2}(t, \cdot) * f = K_{3,2}(t, \cdot) * \chi_3(D_y) f, \quad \|\chi_3(D_y) f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\tilde{\chi}_3\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})},$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \|K_{3,2}(t, \cdot \pm 4t) * f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Combining (2.14)–(2.16), we have (2.11). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be closed subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$Y = \mathcal{F}_\eta^{-1} Z \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \text{supp } f \subset [-\eta_0, \eta_0]\},$$

and let  $X_1 = L^1(\mathbb{R}_y; L^2(\mathbb{R}; e^{\alpha x} dx))$ ,  $Y_1 = \mathcal{F}_\eta^{-1} Z_1$  and  $Z_1 = \{f \in Z \mid \|f\|_{Z_1} := \|f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty\}$ .

Let  $E_1 = \text{diag}(1, 0)$  and  $E_2 = \text{diag}(0, 1)$  and let  $\chi(\eta)$  be a smooth such that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  if  $\eta \in [-\frac{\eta_0}{4}, \frac{\eta_0}{4}]$  and  $\chi(\eta) = 0$  if  $\eta \notin [-\frac{\eta_0}{2}, \frac{\eta_0}{2}]$ . We will use the following estimates to investigate large time behavior of modulation parameters.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For  $t \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$(2.17) \quad \|\chi_1(D_y) e^{tA^*} E_1\|_{B(L^1; L^\infty)} = O(1), \quad \|(I - \chi(D_y)) e^{tA^*} E_1\|_{B(Y; L^\infty)} = O(e^{-c_1 t}),$$

$$(2.18) \quad \|e^{tA^*} E_2\|_{B(Y; L^\infty)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4}, \quad \|e^{tA^*} E_2\|_{B(Y_1; L^\infty)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/2},$$

$$(2.19) \quad \|\partial_y^k e^{tA^*}\|_{B(Y; L^\infty)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(2k-1)/4}, \quad \|\partial_y^k e^{tA^*}\|_{B(Y_1; L^\infty)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-k/2},$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive constant. Moreover,

$$(2.20) \quad \left\| e^{t\mathcal{A}^*} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} H_{2t} * W_{4t} * f_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/2} (\|f_1\|_{Y_1} + \|f_2\|_{Y_1}),$$

$$(2.21) \quad \left\| \text{diag}(1, \partial_y) e^{t\mathcal{A}^*} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H_{2t}(\cdot + 4t) \\ H_{2t}(\cdot - 4t) \end{pmatrix} * f_1 \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} (\|f_1\|_{Y_1} + \|f_2\|_{Y_1}),$$

$$(2.22) \quad \left\| e^{t\mathcal{A}^*} \text{diag}(1, \partial_y) \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\pm} H_{2t}(\cdot \pm 4t) (2f_2 \pm f_1) \mathbf{e}_2 \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} (\|f_1\|_{Y_1} + \|f_2\|_{Y_1}),$$

where  $H_t(y) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp(-y^2/4t)$  and  $W_t(y) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{[-t, t]}(y)$ .

*Proof.* Equations (2.17)–(2.19) follows immediately from Lemma 2.2, (2.5) and (2.6).

In view of (2.12) and (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2K_{1, \pm}(t, \cdot) * f - \chi_1(D) e^{2t\partial_y^2} f \right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \left\| \chi_1(\eta) e^{-2t\eta^2} (e^{\pm it\eta\tilde{\omega}(\eta)} - 1) \right\|_{L^1} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \min \left\{ t \|\eta^3 e^{-2t\eta^2}\|_{L^1}, \|\chi_1\|_{L^1} \right\} \|f\|_{Y_1} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|f\|_{Y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K_1(t, \cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} K_{1, \pm}(t, \cdot \mp 4t)$ ,

$$(2.23) \quad \left\| K_1(t, \cdot) * f - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} H_{2t}(\cdot \pm 4t) * f \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|f\|_{Y_1}.$$

We can prove

$$(2.24) \quad \|\partial_y K_3(t, \cdot) * f - 2H_{2t}(\cdot + 4t) * f + 2H_{2t}(\cdot - 4t) * f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|f\|_{Y_1},$$

$$(2.25) \quad \|K_3(t, \cdot) * f - 4H_{2t} * W_{4t} * f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/2} \|f\|_{Y_1}.$$

in the same way. Combining (2.23)–(2.25) with Lemma 2.2 and (2.5), we obtain (2.20)–(2.22). Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

To investigate the large time behavior of  $x(t, y)$ , we need the following.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$(2.26) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|y| \leq (4-\delta)t} \left| \int_0^t H_{2(t-s)} * W_{4(t-s)} * f(s, \cdot)(y) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, y) dy ds \right| = 0,$$

$$(2.27) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|y| \geq (4+\delta)t} \left| \int_0^t H_{2(t-s)} * W_{4(t-s)} * f(s, \cdot)(y) ds \right| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $K_t(y, y_1) = \{(s, y_2) \mid 0 \leq s < t, |y_2 - y + 2y_1(t-s)^{1/2}| \leq 4(t-s)\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t H_{2(t-s)} * W_{4(t-s)} * f(s, \cdot)(y) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^t ds (t-s)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy_1 e^{-(y-y_1)^2/8(t-s)} \int_{y_1-4(t-s)}^{y_1+4(t-s)} dy_2 f(s, y_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy_1 e^{-y_1^2/2} \int_{K_t(y, y_1)} ds dy_2 f(s, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{-y_1^2/2} f(s, y_2)$  is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{|y_1| \geq \delta\sqrt{t}/4} dy_1 e^{-y_1^2/2} \int_{K_t(y, y_1)} ds dy_2 f(s, y_2) \right| \\ & \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})} \int_{|y_1| \geq \delta\sqrt{t}/4} dy_1 e^{-y_1^2/2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|y| \leq (4-\delta)t} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|y_1| \leq \delta\sqrt{t}/4} e^{-y_1^2/2} \left( \int_{K_t(y, y_1)} f(s, y_2) dy_2 ds \right) dy_1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}} f(s, y) dy ds \right| = 0, \\ & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|y| \geq (4+\delta)t} \left| \int_{|y_1| \leq \delta\sqrt{t}/4} e^{-y_1^2/2} \left( \int_{K_t(y, y_1)} f(s, y_2) dy_2 ds \right) dy_1 \right| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bigcap_{|y| \leq (4-\delta)t, |y_1| \leq \delta\sqrt{t}/4} K_t(y, y_1) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{|y| \geq (4+\delta)t, |y_1| \leq \delta\sqrt{t}/4} K_t(y, y_1) = \emptyset.$$

□

### 3. DECOMPOSITION OF SOLUTIONS AROUND 1-LINE SOLITONS

Following [23, 24], we decompose a solution around a line soliton  $\varphi(x-4t)$  into a sum of a modulating line soliton and a dispersive part plus a small wave which is caused by amplitude changes of the line soliton:

$$(3.1) \quad u(t, x, y) = \varphi_{c(t, y)}(z) - \psi_{c(t, y), L}(z + 3t) + v(t, z, y), \quad z = x - x(t, y),$$

where  $\psi_{c, L}(x) = 2(\sqrt{2c} - 2)\psi(x + L)$ ,  $\psi(x)$  is a nonnegative function such that  $\psi(x) = 0$  if  $|x| \geq 1$  and that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 1$  and  $L > 0$  is a large constant to be fixed later. The modulation parameters  $c(t_0, y_0)$  and  $x(t_0, y_0)$  denote the maximum height and the phase shift of the modulating line soliton  $\varphi_{c(t, y)}(x - x(t, y))$  along the line  $y = y_0$  at the time  $t = t_0$ , and  $\psi_{c, L}$  is an auxiliary smooth function such that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{c, L}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi_c(x) - \varphi(x)) dx.$$

Now we further decompose  $v$  into a small solution of (1.1) and an exponentially localized part as in [22, 28, 24]. If  $v_0(x, y)$  is polynomially localized, then as in [24], we can decompose

the initial data as a sum of an amplified line soliton and a remainder part  $v_*(x, y)$  that satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v_*(x, y) dx = 0$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let

$$(3.3) \quad c_1(y) = \left\{ \sqrt{c_0} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_0(x, y) dx \right\}^2,$$

$$(3.4) \quad v_*(x, y) = v_0(x, y) + \varphi_{c_0}(x) - \varphi_{c_1(y)}(x).$$

Then we have the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $c_0 > 0$  and  $s > 1$ . There exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_0$  such that if  $\varepsilon := \|\langle x \rangle^{s/2} (\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle)^{s/2} v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon_0$ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \langle y \rangle^{s/2} (c_1 - c_0) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| \langle y \rangle^{s/2} \partial_y c_1 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} v_0 \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \langle x \rangle^s v_* \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^s v_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} v_* \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} v_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \partial_x^{-1} v_* \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \partial_x^{-1} \partial_y v_* \right\|_{L^2} + \|v_*\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^s v_0 \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Moreover, the mapping

$$\langle x \rangle^{-s/2} (\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle)^{-s/2} H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni v_0 \mapsto (v_*, c_1 - c_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \langle y \rangle^{-s/2} L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

is continuous.

*Proof.* By [24, (10.4)],

$$\sup_y \left| \sqrt{c_1(y)} - \sqrt{c_0} \right| \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} v_0 \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \partial_y v_0 \right\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \langle y \rangle^{s/2} \partial_y^i (c_1 - c_0) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \left\| \langle y \rangle^{s/2} \partial_y^i (\sqrt{c_1} - \sqrt{c_0}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \langle y \rangle^{s/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_y^i v_0(x, y) dx \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y)} \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} \partial_y^i v_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} v_* \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} v_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} (\varphi_{c_1(y)} - \varphi_{c_0}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{s/2} \langle y \rangle^{s/2} v_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using [24, (10.2)], we can prove  $\left\| \langle x \rangle^s v_* \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^s v_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$  in the same way. We have (3.7) from [24, Lemma 10.1] and its proof. Since the continuity of the mapping  $v_0 \mapsto (v_*, c_1 - c_0)$  can be proved in the similar way, we omit the proof. Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{v}_1$  be a solution of

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{v}_1 + \partial_x^3 \tilde{v}_1 + 3\partial_x (\tilde{v}_1^2) + 3\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y^2 \tilde{v}_1 = 0, \\ \tilde{v}_1(0, x, y) = v_*(x, y). \end{cases}$$

Since  $v_* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y v_* \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have  $\tilde{v}_1(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))$  from [29]. Applying the Strichartz estimate in [35, Proposition 2.3] to

$$\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y \tilde{v}_1(t) = e^{tS} \partial_x^{-1} \partial_y v_* - 6 \int_0^t e^{(t-s)S} (\tilde{v}_1 \partial_y \tilde{v}_1)(s) ds, \quad S = -\partial_x^3 - 3\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y^2,$$

we have  $\partial_x^{-1}\partial_y\tilde{v} \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ . Suppose that  $v_0$  satisfies the assumption of Lemma 3.1 and that  $u(t)$  is a solution to (1.1) with  $u(0, x, y) = \varphi(x) + v_0(x, y)$ , where  $\varphi = \varphi_2$ . Then as [24, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3], we can prove that  $w(t, x, y) := u(t, x + 4t, y) - \varphi(x) - \tilde{v}_1(t, x + 4t, y)$  belongs to an exponentially weighted space  $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; e^{2\alpha x} dx dy)$  for an  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , that

$$w \in C([0, \infty); X), \quad \partial_x w, \partial_x^{-1}\partial_y w \in L^2(0, T; X),$$

and that the mapping

$$\langle x \rangle^{-1}(\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle)^{-1} H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni v_0 \mapsto w \in C([0, T]; X)$$

is continuous for any  $T > 0$  by using by Lemma 3.1.

Now let

$$(3.9) \quad v_1(t, z, y) = \tilde{v}_1(t, z + x(t, y), y), \quad v_2(t, z, y) = v(t, z, y) - v_1(t, z, y).$$

To fix the decomposition (3.1), we impose the constraint that for  $k = 1, 2$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y) g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(-\eta_0, \eta_0),$$

where  $g_1^*(z, \eta, c) = c g_1^*(\sqrt{c/2}z, \eta)$  and  $g_2^*(z, \eta, c) = \frac{c}{2} g_2^*(\sqrt{c/2}z, \eta)$ .

Let

$$F_k[u, \tilde{c}, \gamma, L](\eta) := \mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]}(\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ u(x, y) + \varphi(x) - \varphi_{c(y)}(x - \gamma(y)) \right. \\ \left. + \psi_{c(y), L}(x - \gamma(y)) \right\} g_k^*(x - \gamma(y), \eta, c(y)) e^{-iy\eta} dx dy$$

for  $k = 1, 2$ , where  $c(y) = 2 + \tilde{c}(y)$ . Since  $w(0) = \varphi_{c_1} - \varphi$  and

$$\|\varphi_{c_1} - \varphi\|_{X_1} \lesssim \|\langle y \rangle (c_1 - 2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_y)} \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

by Lemma 3.1, it follows from [23, Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4] that there exists  $(\tilde{c}_*, x_*) \in Y_1 \times Y_1$  satisfying

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} F_1[w(0), \tilde{c}_*, x_*, L] &= F_2[w(0), \tilde{c}_*, x_*, L] = 0, \\ \|\tilde{c}_*\|_Y + \|x_*\|_Y &\lesssim \|w(0)\|_X \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \\ \|\tilde{c}_*\|_{Y_1} + \|x_*\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim \|w(0)\|_{X_1} \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

provided  $\|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$  is sufficiently small. By the definitions,

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} v_2(0, x, y) = v_{2,*}(x, y) := \varphi_{c_1(y)}(x) - \varphi_{c_*(y)}(x - x_*(y)) + \tilde{\psi}_{c_*(y), L}(x - x_*(y)), \\ \tilde{c}(0, y) = \tilde{c}_*(y), \quad x(0, y) = x_*(y), \end{cases}$$

where  $c_* = 2 + \tilde{c}_*$  and it follows from Lemma 3.1 and (3.11) that

$$(3.13) \quad \|v_{2,*}\|_X \lesssim \|c_1 - 2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tilde{c}_*\|_Y \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Lemma 5.2 in [23] implies that there exist a  $T > 0$ ,  $\tilde{c}(t, \cdot) := c(t, \cdot) - 2 \in C([0, T]; Y)$  and  $\tilde{x}(t, \cdot) := x(t, \cdot) - 4t \in C([0, T]; Y)$  satisfying

$$F_1[w(t), \tilde{c}(t), \tilde{x}(t), L] = F_2[w(t), \tilde{c}(t), \tilde{x}(t), L] = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]$$

because  $w \in C([0, \infty); X)$ . If  $(v_2(t), \tilde{c}(t))$  remains small in  $X \times Y$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ , then the decomposition (3.1) and (3.9) satisfying (3.10) exists beyond  $t = T$  thanks to the continuation argument.

**Proposition 3.2.** ([24, Proposition 3.9]) *There exists a  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that if (3.1), (3.9) and (3.10) hold for  $t \in [0, T)$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tilde{c}, \tilde{x}) \in C([0, T]; Y \times Y) \cap C^1((0, T); Y \times Y), \\ &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\|v_2(t)\|_X + \|\tilde{c}(t)\|_Y) < \delta_1, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\tilde{x}(t)\|_Y < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

*then either  $T = \infty$  or  $T$  is not the maximal time of the decomposition (3.1) and (3.9) satisfying (3.10).*

#### 4. MODULATION EQUATIONS

By [24, Lemma 3.6 and Remark 3.7],

$$v_2(t) \in C([0, T]; X), \quad (\tilde{c}, \tilde{x}) \in C([0, T]; Y \times Y) \cap C^1((0, T); Y \times Y),$$

where  $T$  is the maximal time of the decomposition (3.1) and (3.9) satisfying (3.10). Substituting (3.9) with  $z = x - x(t, y)$  into (3.8) and (3.1) and (3.9) into (1.1), we have

$$(4.1) \quad \partial_t v_1 - 2c \partial_z v_1 + \partial_z^3 v_1 + 3 \partial_z^{-1} \partial_y^2 v_1 = \partial_z (N_{1,1} + N_{1,2}) + N_{1,3},$$

where  $N_{1,1} = -3v_1^2$ ,  $N_{1,2} = \{x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2\}v_1$  and  $N_{1,3} = 6\partial_y(x_y v_1) - 3x_{yy}v_1$ , and

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v_2 = \mathcal{L}_c v_2 + \ell + \partial_z (N_{2,1} + N_{2,2} + N_{2,4}) + N_{2,3}, \\ v_2(0) = v_{2,*}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_c v = -\partial_z(\partial_z^2 - 2c + 6\varphi_c)v - 3\partial_z^{-1}\partial_y^2 v$ ,  $\ell = \sum_{k=1}^2 \ell_k$ ,  $\ell_k = \sum_{j=1}^3 \ell_{kj}$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ),  $\tilde{\psi}_c(z) = \psi_{c,L}(z + 3t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{11} &= (x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2)\varphi'_c - (c_t - 6c_y x_y)\partial_c \varphi_c, \quad \ell_{12} = 3x_{yy}\varphi_c, \\ \ell_{13} &= 3c_{yy} \int_z^\infty \partial_c \varphi_c(z_1) dz_1 + 3(c_y)^2 \int_z^\infty \partial_c^2 \varphi_c(z_1) dz_1, \\ \ell_{21} &= (c_t - 6c_y x_y)\partial_c \tilde{\psi}_c - (x_t - 4 - 3(x_y)^2)\tilde{\psi}'_c, \\ \ell_{22} &= (\partial_z^3 - \partial_z)\tilde{\psi}_c - 3\partial_z(\tilde{\psi}_c^2) + 6\partial_z(\varphi_c \tilde{\psi}_c) - 3x_{yy}\tilde{\psi}_c, \\ \ell_{23} &= -3c_{yy} \int_z^\infty \partial_c \tilde{\psi}_c(z_1) dz_1 - 3(c_y)^2 \int_z^\infty \partial_c^2 \tilde{\psi}_c(z_1) dz_1, \\ N_{2,1} &= -3(2v_1 v_2 + v_2^2), \quad N_{2,2} = \{x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2\}v_2 + 6\tilde{\psi}_c v_2, \\ N_{2,3} &= 6\partial_y(x_y v_2) - 3x_{yy}v_2, \quad N_{2,4} = 6(\tilde{\psi}_c - \varphi_c)v_1. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (3.10) with respect to  $t$  and substituting (4.2) into the resulting equation, we have in  $L^2(-\eta_0, \eta_0)$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y) g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy + \sum_{j=1}^6 II_k^j(t, \eta) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
II_k^1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y) \mathcal{L}_{c(t, y)}^* (g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta}) dz dy, \\
II_k^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N_{2,1} \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\
II_k^3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N_{2,3} g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy \\
&\quad + 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y) c_y(t, y) x_y(t, y) \partial_c g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\
II_k^4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y) (c_t - 6c_y x_y)(t, y) \partial_c g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\
II_k^5 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N_{2,2} \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \quad II_k^6 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N_{2,4} \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $g_1^*(z, \eta, c) \simeq \varphi_c(z)$  and  $g_2^*(z, \eta, c) \simeq (c/2)^{3/2} \int_{-\infty}^z \partial_c \varphi_c$  for  $\eta \simeq 0$ , we derive the modulation equations for  $c(t, y)$  and  $x(t, y)$  (see [24, Section 4]).

To write down the modulation equation, let us introduce several notations. Let  $R^j, \tilde{R}^j, \tilde{S}_j, \tilde{A}_1(t), B_j$  and  $\tilde{C}_j$  be the same as those in [24, pp. 165–168] except for the definitions of  $R^4$  and  $R^5$ . We move a part of  $R^4$  into  $R^5$ . See (B.1) and (B.2) in Appendix B.

Note that

$$(4.4) \quad b(t, \cdot) := \frac{1}{3} \tilde{P}_1 \left\{ \sqrt{2} c(t, \cdot)^{3/2} - 4 \right\} = \tilde{c}(t, \cdot) + O(\tilde{c}^2),$$

where  $\tilde{P}_1 f = \mathcal{F}_\eta^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]} \mathcal{F}_y f$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]}$  is a characteristic function of  $[-\eta_0, \eta_0]$ . We make use of (4.4) to translate the nonlinear term  $c_y x_y$  into a divergence form.

Now let us introduce localized norms of  $v(t)$ . Let  $p_\alpha(z) = 1 + \tanh \alpha z$  and  $\|v\|_{W(t)} = \|p_\alpha(z + 3t + L)^{1/2} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ . Assuming the smallness of the following quantities, we can derive modulation equations of  $b(t, y)$  and  $x(t, y)$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let  $0 \leq T \leq \infty$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{M}_{c, x}(T) &= \sum_{k=0,1} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \langle t \rangle^{(2k+1)1/4} (\|\partial_y^k \tilde{c}(t)\|_Y + \|\partial_y^{k+1} x(t)\|_Y) + \langle t \rangle (\|\partial_y^2 \tilde{c}(t)\|_Y + \|\partial_y^3 x(t)\|_Y) \right\}, \\
\mathbb{M}_v(T) &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}, \\
\mathbb{M}_1(T) &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \langle t \rangle^2 \|v_1(t)\|_{W(t)} + \langle t \rangle \| (1 + z_+) v_1(t) \|_{W(t)} \right\} + \|\mathcal{E}(v_1)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(0, T; W(t))}, \\
\mathbb{M}'_1(\infty) &= \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\mathcal{E}(\tilde{v}_1(t))^{1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \mathbb{M}_2(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \langle t \rangle^{3/4} \|v_2(t)\|_X + \|\mathcal{E}(v_2)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(0, T; X)},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}(v) = (\partial_x v)^2 + (\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y v)^2 + v^2$ . We remark that by an anisotropic Sobolev inequality (see e.g. [2]),

$$(4.5) \quad \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|v\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{E}(v)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

We can prove the following result exactly in the same way as [24, Proposition 3.9]).

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists a  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) + \eta_0 + e^{-\alpha L} < \delta_2$  for a  $T \geq 0$ , then*

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} b_t \\ \tilde{x}_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{A}(t) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \tilde{x} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{N}^i,$$

$$(4.7) \quad b(0, \cdot) = b_*, \quad x(0, \cdot) = x_*,$$

where  $b_* = 4/3\tilde{P}_1\{(c_*/2)^{3/2} - 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(t) = \mathcal{A}_* + B_4^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t) + \partial_y^4 \mathcal{A}_1(t) + \partial_y^2 \mathcal{A}_2(t)$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_1(t) = -B_4^{-1}(\tilde{S}_1 B_1^{-1} B_2 + \tilde{S}_0), \quad \mathcal{A}_2(t) = B_4^{-1} \tilde{S}^3 B_1^{-1} B_2,$$

$$\mathcal{N}^1 = \tilde{P}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 6(b\tilde{x}_y)_y \\ 2(\tilde{c} - b) + 3(\tilde{x}_y)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}^2 = \mathcal{N}^{2a} + \mathcal{N}^{2b},$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{2a} = B_3^{-1} \left( \tilde{P}_1 R_1^7 \mathbf{e}_1 + \tilde{R}^1 + \tilde{R}^3 \right), \quad \mathcal{N}^{2b} = B_3^{-1} \tilde{P}_1 R_2^7 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{N}^3 = B_3^{-1} \partial_y (\tilde{R}^2 + \tilde{R}^4), \quad \mathcal{N}^4 = (B_3^{-1} - B_4^{-1})(B_2 - \partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_0) \partial_y \begin{pmatrix} b_y \\ x_y \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{N}^5 = (B_3^{-1} - B_4^{-1}) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \tilde{x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N}^6 = B_3^{-1} R^{v_1}.$$

We remark that  $\mathcal{N}^6$  equals to  $\mathcal{N}^5$  in [24] and that  $\mathcal{A}(t) + \mathcal{N}^5$  equals to  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  in [24]. The other terms are exactly the same.

To apply (2.17) and (2.20) to (4.6) in Section 9, we need to decompose  $b_*$  into a sum of an integrable function and a function that belongs to  $\partial_y^2 Y_1$ . Note that  $\tilde{P}_1 L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset Y_1 \subset Y$  and  $Y \subset \cap_{k \geq 0} H^k(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *There exist  $\dot{b} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\dot{b} \in Y_1$  such that  $b_* = \tilde{P}_1 \dot{b} + \partial_y^2 \dot{b}$  and*

$$\|\dot{b}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\dot{b}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $b_* - \tilde{c}_* = \frac{4}{3}\tilde{P}_1\{(c_*/2)^{3/2} - 1 - \tilde{c}_*\}$  and  $\|(c_*/2)^{3/2} - 1 - c_*\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\tilde{c}_*\|_Y^2 \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2$ , it suffices to show that there exist  $\dot{c} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\dot{c} \in Y_1$  such that  $\tilde{c}_* = \dot{c} + \partial_y^2 \dot{c}$  and

$$\|\dot{c}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\dot{c}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Let

$$F_{10}[u, \tilde{c}, \gamma, L](\eta) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]}(\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \{u(x, y) + \Phi[\tilde{c}, \gamma](x, y)\} \varphi_{c(y)}(x - \gamma(y)) e^{-iy\eta} dx dy,$$

$$F_{11}[u, \tilde{c}, \gamma, L](\eta) := \mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]}(\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \{u(x, y) + \Phi[\tilde{c}, \gamma](x, y)\} g_{k_1}^*(x - \gamma(y), \eta, c(y)) e^{-iy\eta} dx dy$$

where  $c(y) = 2 + \tilde{c}(y)$  and  $\Phi[\tilde{c}, \gamma](x, y) = \varphi(x) - \varphi_{c(y)}(x - \gamma(y)) + \psi_{c(y), L}(x - \gamma(y))$ . Then

$$F_1[u, \tilde{c}, \gamma, L](\eta) = F_{10}[u, \tilde{c}, \gamma, L](\eta) + \eta^2 F_{11}[u, \tilde{c}, \gamma, L](\eta),$$

and we can prove

$$\|F_{11}[u, \tilde{c}, \gamma, L]\|_{Z_1} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_1} + \|\tilde{c}\|_{Y_1} + \|\gamma\|_{Y_1} + \|u\|_X (\|\tilde{c}\|_{Y_1} + \|\gamma\|_{Y_1})$$

in exactly the same way as the proof of [23, Lemma 5.1].

Let  $w_0(x, y) = \varphi_{c_1(y)}(x) - \varphi(x)$ . Since  $F_1[w_0, \tilde{c}_*, x_*, L] = 0$  and  $(w_0, \tilde{c}_*, x_*) \in X_1 \times Y_1 \times Y_1$ ,

$$(4.8) \quad F_{10}[w_0, \tilde{c}_*, x_*, L](\eta) = -\eta^2 F_{11}[w_0, \tilde{c}_*, x_*, L](\eta),$$

$$(4.9) \quad F_{11}[w_0, \tilde{c}_*, x_*, L] \in Z_1.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(x, y) &= -\tilde{c}_*(y) \{ \partial_c \varphi(x) - \psi(x+L) \} + x_*(y) \varphi'(x), \\ \Psi_1(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \varphi_{c_*(y)}(x - x_*(y)) - \varphi(x) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}_\eta^{-1} F_{10}[w_0, \tilde{c}_*, x_*, L](y) = (2\pi)^{1/2} \tilde{P}_1(J_0 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_0(x, y) \varphi(x) dx = \left( -1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \psi(x+L) dx \right) \tilde{c}_*, \\ J_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_0(x, y) \varphi_{c_*(y)}(x - x_*(y)) dx, \quad J_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi[\tilde{c}_*, x_*](x, y) \Psi_1(x, y) dx, \\ J_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \Phi[\tilde{c}_*, x_*](x, y) - \Phi_0(x, y) \} \varphi(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|w_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\langle y \rangle (c_1 - 2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \|J_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|\Phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\Psi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim (\|\tilde{c}_*\|_Y + \|x_*\|_Y)^2, \\ \|J_3\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|\Phi - \Phi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim (\|\tilde{c}_*\|_Y + \|x_*\|_Y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with Lemma 3.1 and (3.11), we obtain Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

## 5. À PRIORI ESTIMATES FOR MODULATION PARAMETERS.

In this section, we will estimate  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)$  assuming smallness of  $\mathbb{M}_1(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_2(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_v(T)$ ,  $\eta_0$  and  $e^{-\alpha L}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *There exist positive constants  $\delta_3$  and  $C$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) + \eta_0 + e^{-\alpha L} \leq \delta_3$ , then*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq C \|\langle x \rangle \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2} + C(\mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)^2).$$

To prove Lemma 5.1, we need the following.

**Claim 5.1.** *Let  $\delta_2$  be as in proposition 4.1. Suppose  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) + \eta_0 + e^{-\alpha L} < \delta_2$  for a  $T \geq 0$ . Then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\|c_t\|_Y + \|x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2\|_Y \lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)^2) \langle t \rangle^{-3/4}.$$

*Proof.* In view of (4.6),

$$\|c_t(t)\|_Y + \|x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2\|_Y \lesssim I + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 6} \|\mathcal{N}^i\|_Y,$$

$$I = \|b_t - c_t\|_Y + \|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t)(b, \tilde{x})\|_Y + \|b_{yy}\|_Y + \|x_{yy}\|_Y + \|(b\tilde{x}_y)_y\|_Y + \|(I - \tilde{P}_1)x_y^2\|_Y,$$

and it follows from Claim A.5, [23, Claim D.6] and the definition of  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)$  that

$$I \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \langle t \rangle^{-3/4} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

See the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [24]. Following the line of [23, Chapter 7] and using (5.18), we can prove that for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\sum_{i=2}^5 \|\mathcal{N}_i(t)\|_Y \lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(t))^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

Combining the above with (5.21), we have Claim 5.1.  $\square$

To deal with  $E_1 \mathcal{N}^6$ , we decompose  $\chi(D_y)B_3^{-1}$  and  $\chi(D_y)B_4^{-1}$  into a sum of operators that belong to  $B(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  and operators that belong to  $\partial_y^2 B(Y_1)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &= B_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 + \partial_y^2(\bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2) - \bar{S}_3 - \bar{S}_4 - \bar{S}_5, \\ B_4 &= B_1 + \partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_1 - \tilde{S}_3 = B_3|_{\tilde{c}=0, v_2=0}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(5.2) \quad B_4^{-1} = \dot{B}_4 - \partial_y^2 \mathring{B}_{14}, \quad B_3^{-1} - B_4^{-1} = \dot{B}_{34} - \partial_y^2 \mathring{B}_{34},$$

$$(5.3) \quad \dot{B}_4 = (B_1 - \tilde{S}_{31})^{-1}, \quad \mathring{B}_{14} = B_4^{-1}(\tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_{32})\dot{B}_4,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \dot{B}_{34} = -\dot{B}_4(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 + \tilde{S}_{31} - \bar{S}_{31} - \bar{S}_{41} - \bar{S}_{51})B_3^{-1},$$

$$(5.5) \quad \mathring{B}_{34} = \mathring{B}_{14}(B_4 - B_3)B_3^{-1} + \dot{B}_4 \left( \bar{S}_1 - \tilde{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2 + \bar{S}_{32} - \tilde{S}_{32} + \bar{S}_{42} + \bar{S}_{52} \right)$$

where  $\tilde{S}_j$  and  $\bar{S}_j$  are the same as those in [24, p. 167] and  $\tilde{S}_{j1}$ ,  $\tilde{S}_{j2}$ ,  $\bar{S}_{j1}$  and  $\bar{S}_{j2}$  are defined by (A.1)–(A.8) and (A.16)–(A.18) in Appendix A. We remark that  $\tilde{S}_j = \tilde{S}_{j1} - \partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_{j2}$ , that  $\bar{S}_j = \bar{S}_{j1} - \partial_y^2 \bar{S}_{j2}$  and that  $\tilde{S}_{j1}$  is a time-dependent constant multiple of  $\tilde{P}_1$ .

**Claim 5.2.** *Let  $0 \leq T \leq \infty$ . There exist positive constants  $\eta_0$ ,  $L_0$  and  $C$  such that if  $|\eta| \leq \eta_0$  and  $L \geq L_0$ , then for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$(5.6) \quad \|\dot{B}_4\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} + \|\mathring{B}_{14}\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} \leq C,$$

$$(5.7) \quad \|\chi(D_y)\dot{B}_4\|_{B(L^1)} \leq C,$$

$$(5.8) \quad \|\dot{B}_4 - B_1^{-1}\|_{B(Y_1)} + \|\chi(D_y)(\dot{B}_4 - B_1^{-1})\|_{B(L^1)} \leq C e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}.$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) \leq \delta$  is sufficiently small, then there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(5.9) \quad \|\dot{B}_{34}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} + \|\mathring{B}_{34}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} \leq C_1 (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)) \langle t \rangle^{-1/4},$$

$$(5.10) \quad \|\chi(D_y)\dot{B}_{34}\|_{B(Y, L^1)} \leq C_1 (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)) \langle t \rangle^{-1/4},$$

$$(5.11) \quad \|\partial_y \dot{B}_{34}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} + \|\chi(D_y)[\partial_y \dot{B}_{34}]\|_{B(Y, L^1)} \leq C_1 (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)) \langle t \rangle^{-3/4}.$$

*Proof.* By [23, Claim 6.3] and [23, Claim B.1],

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|B_4^{-1}\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} = O(1), \quad \|\tilde{S}_1\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} = O(1).$$

Combining the above with (A.20) and (A.22), we have (5.6).

Next, we will prove (5.7). Since  $\chi(\eta)\chi_1(\eta) = \chi(\eta)$  and  $[\chi_1(D_y), \tilde{S}_{31}] = 0$ ,

$$(5.12) \quad \chi(D_y)\dot{B}_4 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \chi(D_y)(B_1^{-1}\chi_1(D_y)\tilde{S}_{31})^n B^{-1}.$$

Hence it follows from (A.20) that

$$\|\chi(D_y)\dot{B}_4\|_{B(L^1)} \lesssim \|\tilde{\chi}\|_{L^1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \|B_1^{-1}\chi_1(D_y)\tilde{S}_{31}\|_{B(L^1(\mathbb{R}))}^n = O(1).$$

We can prove (5.8) in the same way.

By [23, Claims 6.1 and 6.2], we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|B_3^{-1}\|_{B(Y)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\langle t \rangle^{-1/4} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

It follows from Claims 6.1, B.1–B.3 in [23] that

$$\|B_3 - B_4\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} + \|\bar{S}_1 - \tilde{S}_1\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} \lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T))\langle t \rangle^{-1/4} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Combining the above with (5.6) and Claim A.4, we have (5.9).

Since

$$\chi(D_y)\dot{B}_{34} = -\chi(D_y)\dot{B}_4\chi_1(D_y) \left( \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 + \tilde{S}_{31} - \sum_{3 \leq j \leq 5} \bar{S}_{j1} \right) B_3^{-1},$$

we have (5.10) from Claim A.4. Using the fact that  $\|[\partial_y, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1]\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} + \|\chi_1(D_y)[\partial_y, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1]\|_{B(Y, L^2)} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\langle t \rangle^{-3/4}$  (see [23, Claim B.7]), we can prove (5.11) in the same way as (5.9) and (5.10). This completes the proof of Claim 5.2.  $\square$

Now we are in position to prove Lemma 5.1.

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* Let  $A(t) = \text{diag}(1, \partial_y)\mathcal{A}(t)\text{diag}(1, \partial_y^{-1})$  and  $U(t, s)$  be the semigroup generated by  $A(t)$ . Lemma 4.2 in [23] implies that there exists a  $C = C(\eta_0, k) > 0$  such that

$$(5.13) \quad \|\partial_y^k U(t, s)f\|_Y \leq C\langle t - s \rangle^{-k/2}\|f\|_Y \quad \text{for } t \geq s \geq 0,$$

$$(5.14) \quad \|\partial_y^k U(t, s)f\|_Y \leq C\langle t - s \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4}\|f\|_{Y_1} \quad \text{for } t \geq s \geq 0,$$

provided  $\eta_0$  is sufficiently small.

Multiplying (4.6) by  $\text{diag}(1, \partial_y)$  from the left, we have

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} b \\ x_y \end{pmatrix} = A(t) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ x_y \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^6 \text{diag}(1, \partial_y)\mathcal{N}^i, \\ b(0, \cdot) = b_*, \quad \partial_y x(0, \cdot) = \partial_y x_*. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\|\mathcal{N}^6\|_{Y_1}$  does not necessarily decay as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we make use of the change of variable

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} k(t, y) &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y)\varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) dz \right), \quad S_{11}^3[\psi](t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(z + 3t + L)\varphi(z) dz, \\ \tilde{b}(t, y) &= b(t, y) + \tilde{k}(t, y), \quad \tilde{k}(t, y) = (2 - S_{11}^3(t))^{-1}k(t, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ x_y \end{pmatrix} = A(t) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ x_y \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^6 \text{diag}(1, \partial_y) \mathcal{N}^i + \partial_t \tilde{k}(t) \mathbf{e}_1 + A(t) \tilde{k}(t) \mathbf{e}_1, \\ \tilde{b}(0, \cdot) = b_* + \tilde{k}(0, \cdot), \quad \partial_y x(0, \cdot) = \partial_y x_*. \end{cases}$$

By (3.11) and (5.14),

$$\left\| \partial_y^k U(t, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}(0, \cdot) \\ x_y(0, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_Y \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4} (\|\tilde{b}(0, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} + \|\partial_y x_*\|_{Y_1}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{b}(0)\|_{Y_1} + \|\partial_y x_*\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim \|b_*\|_{Y_1} + \|\langle y \rangle v_*\|_{L^2} + \eta_0 \|x_*\|_{Y_1} + \|\varphi_{c_*(y)}(x - x_*(y)) v_*\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle (\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) v_0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Except for  $\mathcal{N}^6$ , the term which includes  $v_1$  in (5.15) and needs to be treated differently from [23, (6.16)] is  $\mathcal{N}^{2a}$  because  $\tilde{R}^1$  includes  $R^4$  and  $R^4$  includes the inverse Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]}(\eta) II_k^2(t, \eta)$ . But thanks to the smallness of  $\mathbb{M}_1(T)$ ,

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|II_k^2\|_{L^\infty[-\eta_0, \eta_0]} &= \sup_{\eta \in [-\eta_0, \eta_0]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N_{2,1} \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy \right| \\ &\lesssim (\|p_\alpha(z) v_1\|_{L^2} \|v_2\|_X + \|v_2\|_X^2) \sup_{c \in [2-\delta, 2+\delta], \eta \in [-\eta_0, \eta_0]} \|e^{-2\alpha z} g_k^*(z, \eta, c)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim (\mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T))^2 \langle t \rangle^{-3/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|R^4\|_{Y_1}$  decays at the rate as in [23, Claim D.5]. Using (5.13), (5.14) and (5.18), we can prove that for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \int_0^t \|\partial_y^k U(t, s) \text{diag}(1, \partial_y) \mathcal{N}^j(s)\|_Y ds \lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T))^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\min\{1, (2k+1)/4\}}.$$

in the same way as [23, Chapter 7].

Since  $\text{diag}(1, \partial_y) \mathcal{N}^6 = E_1 \mathcal{N}^6 + \partial_y E_2 \mathcal{N}^6$ , it follows from (5.13)

$$(5.19) \quad \mathfrak{N}^k := \left\| \int_0^t \|\partial_y^k U(t, s) \partial_y E_2 \mathcal{N}^6(s)\|_Y ds \right\|_Y \lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(k+1)/2} \|\mathcal{N}^6(s)\|_Y ds.$$

Using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\eta \in [-\eta_0, \eta_0]} \left( |\varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) - \varphi(z) \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta)| + |\tilde{\psi}_{c(t,y)}(z) \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y))| \right) \\ &\lesssim e^{-2\alpha|z|} |\tilde{c}(t, y)|, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|R^{v_1}(t)\|_Y \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1,2} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(z) v_1(t, z, y) \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy \right\|_{L^2(-\eta_0, \eta_0)} + \|\tilde{c}\|_Y \|e^{-\alpha|z|} v_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|e^{-\alpha|z|} v_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} \mathbb{M}_1(T) \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$(5.21) \quad \|\mathcal{N}^6(t)\|_Y \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} \mathbb{M}_1(T) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T],$$

follows from the boundedness of  $B_3^{-1}$  (see [24, Claim 4.5]). Combining (5.19) and (5.21), we have  $\mathfrak{N}^k \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T) \langle t \rangle^{-(k+1)/2}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2$ .

Now we investigate

$$(5.22) \quad E_1 \mathcal{N}^6 = E_1 B_3^{-1} R^{v_1} = E_1 \{ \dot{B}_4 + \dot{B}_{34} - \partial_y^2 (\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34}) \} R^{v_1}$$

more precisely. We remark that  $\|\mathcal{N}^6\|_{Y_1}$  cannot be expected to decay like  $\|\mathcal{N}^6\|_Y$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  because

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(z) v_1(t, z, y) dz \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_y)}$$

does not necessarily decay as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . By (5.20) and Claim 5.2,

$$(5.23) \quad \|\dot{B}_4 R^{v_1}\|_Y + \|(\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34}) R^{v_1}\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T) \langle t \rangle^{-2},$$

$$(5.24) \quad \|\chi(D_y) \dot{B}_{34} R^{v_1}\|_{L^1} + \|\dot{B}_{34} R^{v_1}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T) (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)) \langle t \rangle^{-9/4}.$$

In view of (5.13), (5.14) and (5.22)–(5.24), we see that for  $k = 0, 1, 2$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \partial_y^k U(t, s) E_1 \{ \dot{B}_{34} - \partial_y^2 (\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34}) \} R^{v_1} ds \right\|_Y \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4} \|\dot{B}_{34} R^{v_1}\|_{Y_1} ds + \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(k+2)/2} \|(\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34})\|_Y R^{v_1} ds \\ & \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T) \langle t \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4}, \end{aligned}$$

and that  $E_1 \dot{B}_4 R^{v_1}$  is the hazardous part of  $E_1 \mathcal{N}^6$ .

The worst part of  $E_1 \dot{B}_4 R^{v_1}$  can be expressed as a time derivative of a decaying function as in [24]. The operator  $B_1 - \tilde{S}_{31}$  and its inverse  $\dot{B}_4$  are lower triangular on  $Y \times Y$  and

$$(5.25) \quad E_1 \dot{B}_4 R^{v_1} = (2 - S_{11}^3[\psi])^{-1} R_{11}^{v_1} \mathbf{e}_1.$$

In view of [24, pp.175–176],

$$(5.26) \quad R_{11}^{v_1} = S_1^7[\partial_c \varphi_c](c_t) - S_1^7[\varphi'_c](x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2) - k_t + \dot{R}_{v_1} + \partial_y \dot{R}_{v_1},$$

where

$$S_1^7[q_c](f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{P}_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y) f(y) q_{c(t,y)}(z) dz \right),$$

and  $\dot{R}_{v_1}$  and  $\dot{R}_{v_1}$  are chosen such that  $\dot{R}_{v_1} + \partial_y \dot{R}_{v_1} = R_{11}^{v_1} + \partial_y R_{12}^{v_1}$  and that

$$\chi(D_y) \dot{R}_{v_1} \in L^1(0, \infty; L^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

We give the precise definitions of  $\dot{R}_{v_1}$  and  $\dot{R}_{v_1}$  later.

The term  $\partial_t \tilde{k} \mathbf{e}_1$  in (5.17) cancels out with a bad part of  $E_1 \dot{B}_4 R^{v_1}$  which comes from  $-k_t$  in (5.26). In fact,

$$\partial_t \tilde{k}(t, y) - (2 - S_{11}^3[\psi](t))^{-1} \partial_t k(t, y) = (2 - S_{11}^3[\psi](t))^{-2} \partial_t S_{11}^3[\psi](t) k(t, y),$$

and by the definition,

$$(5.27) \quad |S_{11}^3[\psi](t)| + |\partial_t S_{11}^3[\psi](t)| \lesssim e^{-2(3t+L)} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Combining Claim C.2 and (5.27) with (5.14), we have for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \partial_y^k U(t, s) \left\{ \partial_t \tilde{k}(s, \cdot) - (2 - S_{11}^3[\psi](s))^{-1} \partial_t k(s, \cdot) \right\} \mathbf{e}_1 ds \right\|_Y \\ & \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T) \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4} \langle s \rangle e^{-2(3s+L)} ds \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T) \langle t \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will investigate  $\dot{R}_{v_1}$  and  $\mathring{R}_{v_1}$ . We write  $II_{13}^6$  in [24, p.175] as  $II_{13}^6 = II_{131}^6 + \eta^2 II_{132}^6$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} II_{131}^6 &= 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_1(t, z, y) \tilde{\psi}_{c(t,y)}(z) \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\ II_{132}^6 &= 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_1(t, z, y) \tilde{\psi}_{c(t,y)}(z) \partial_z g_{11}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\ g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c) &= \frac{g_k^*(z, \eta, c) - g_k^*(z, 0, c)}{\eta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\partial_z g_1^*(z, 0, c(t, y)) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z)$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R}_{v_1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \{II_{111}^6(t, \eta) - II_{131}^6(t, \eta)\} e^{iy\eta} d\eta = R_{11}^{v_1} - \frac{\partial_y^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} II_{132}^6(t, \eta) e^{iy\eta} d\eta, \\ \mathring{R}_{v_1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \{II_{112}^6(t, \eta) - i\eta II_{12}^6(t, \eta) + i\eta II_{132}^6(t, \eta)\} e^{iy\eta} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R}_{v_1} &= \frac{3}{2} \tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y)^2 \varphi'_{c(t,y)}(z) dz - 3\tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y) \tilde{\psi}_{c(t,y)}(z) \varphi'_{c(t,y)}(z) dz \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} \tilde{P}_1 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y) \left\{ c_{yy}(t, y) \int_{-\infty}^z \partial_c \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z_1) dz_1 + c_y(t, y)^2 \int_{-\infty}^z \partial_c^2 \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z_1) dz_1 \right\} dz \right] \\ &- \frac{3}{2} \tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y) \{x_{yy}(t, y) \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) + 2(c_y x_y)(t, y) \partial_c \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z)\} dz, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathring{R}_{v_1} = \mathring{R}_{v_{1,1}} + \partial_y \mathring{R}_{v_{1,2}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{R}_{v_{1,1}} &= -\frac{3}{2} \tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y) c_y(t, y) \left( \int_{-\infty}^z \partial_c \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z_1) dz_1 \right) dz \\ &+ 3\tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y) x_y(t, y) \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{R}_{v_{1,2}} &= \frac{3}{2} \tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(t, z, y) \left( \int_{-\infty}^z \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z_1) dz_1 \right) dz \\ &- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \{II_{12}^6(t, \eta) - II_{132}^6(t, \eta)\} e^{iy\eta} d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

$$II_{12}^6(t, \eta) = 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_1(t, z, y) \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) \partial_z g_{11}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy,$$

and we have

$$(5.28) \quad \|\dot{R}_{v_1}\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y)\dot{R}_{v_1}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T)(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T))\langle t \rangle^{-3/2},$$

$$(5.29) \quad \|\mathring{R}_{v_1,1}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\mathbb{M}_1(T)\langle t \rangle^{-7/4}, \quad \|\mathring{R}_{v_1,2}\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T)\langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

Combining the above with (5.13) and (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \partial_y^k U(t,s) (2 - S_{11}^3[\psi](s))^{-1} (\dot{R}_{v_1} + \partial_y \mathring{R}_{v_1}) ds \right\|_Y \lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4} \|\dot{R}_{v_1}\|_{Y_1} ds \\ & + \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(2k+3)/2} \|\mathring{R}_{v_1,1}\|_{Y_1} ds + \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(k+4)/2} \|\mathring{R}_{v_1,2}\|_Y ds \\ & \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T)\langle t \rangle^{-\min\{1, (2k+1)/4\}} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2 \text{ and } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will estimate  $S_1^7[\partial_c \varphi_c](c_t)$  and  $S_1^7[\varphi'_c](x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2)$ . By Claim 5.1,

$$(5.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|S_1^7[\partial_c \varphi_c](c_t)\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y)S_1^7[\partial_c \varphi_c](c_t)\|_{L^1} \\ & + \|S_1^7[\varphi'_c](x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2)\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y)S_1^7[\varphi'_c](x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2)\|_{L^1} \\ & \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T)(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)^2)\langle t \rangle^{-11/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we will estimate  $A(t)\tilde{k}\mathbf{e}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_1(t)E_2 = O$  and  $[\mathcal{A}_i(t), \partial_y] = O$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$A(t) = A_* + \text{diag}(\partial_y^3, \partial_y^4)\mathcal{A}_1(t) \text{diag}(\partial_y, 1) + \text{diag}(\partial_y, \partial_y^2)\mathcal{A}_2(t) \text{diag}(\partial_y, 1) + A_3(t),$$

$$A_* = \begin{pmatrix} 3\partial_y^2 & 8\partial_y \\ (2 - \mu_3\partial_y^2)\partial_y & \partial_y^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3(t) = \text{diag}(1, \partial_y)B_4^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t)E_1,$$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\partial_y^{-1}(A(t) - A_3(t))\|_{B(Y)} < \infty, \quad \|A_3(t)\|_{B(Y_1)} \lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}.$$

Combining the above with (5.13), (5.14) and Claims C.1 and C.2, we have for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \partial_y^k U(t,s) A(s)\tilde{k}(s) ds \right\|_Y \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_y^{k+1} U(t,s)\|_{B(Y)} \|\partial_y^{-1}(A(s) - A_3(s))\|_{B(Y)} \|\tilde{k}(s)\|_Y ds \\ & + \int_0^t \|\partial_y^k U(t,s)\|_{B(Y_1, Y)} \|A_3(s)\|_{B(Y_1)} \|\tilde{k}(s)\|_{L^1} ds \\ & \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T) \left\{ \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(k+1)/2} \langle s \rangle^{-2} ds + \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4} \langle s \rangle^{-\alpha(3s+L)} ds \right\} \\ & \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T)\langle t \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1.  $\square$

## 6. THE $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ESTIMATE

In this section, we will estimate  $\mathbb{M}_v(T)$  assuming smallness of  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_1(T)$  and  $\mathbb{M}_2(T)$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\delta_3$  be the same as in Lemma 5.1. Suppose that  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) + \eta_0 + e^{-\alpha L} \leq \delta_3$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\mathbb{M}_v(T) \leq C(\|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)).$$

To prove Lemma 6.1, we use a variant of the  $L^2$  conservation law on  $v$  as in [23, 24].

**Lemma 6.2.** ([24, Lemma 6.2]) *Let  $0 \leq T \leq \infty$ . Let  $\tilde{v}_1$  be a solution of (3.8) and  $v_2$  be a solution of (4.2). Suppose that  $(v_2(t), c(t), \gamma(t))$  satisfy (3.1), (3.9) and (3.10). Then*

$$Q(t, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \{v(t, z, y)^2 - 2\psi_{c(t,y),L}(z + 3t)v(t, z, y)\} dzdy$$

satisfies for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(t, v) = & Q(0, v) + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \ell_{11} + \ell_{12} + 6\varphi'_{c(s,y)}(z)\tilde{\psi}_{c(s,y)}(z) \right) v(s, z, y) dzdyds \\ & - 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell\psi_{c(t,y),L}(z + 3s) dzdyds - 6 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi'_{c(s,y)}(z)v(s, z, y)^2 dzdyds \\ & - 6 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_z^{-1}\partial_y v)(s, z, y)c_y(s, y)\partial_c\varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) dzdy. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 6.1.* We can estimate the right hand side of (6.1) in exactly the same way as in the proof of [23, Lemma 8.1] except for the last term. By the definition, we have for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_z^{-1}\partial_y v)(s, z, y)c_y(s, y)\partial_c\varphi_{c(t,y)}(z) dzdy \right| & \lesssim \|e^{-\alpha|z|}\partial_z^{-1}\partial_y v\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} \|c_y\|_{L^2(0,T;Y)} \\ & \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)(\mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(t, v) + 8\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\sqrt{c(t)} - \sqrt{c_0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + (\mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T))^2 \int_0^t \langle s \rangle^{-5/4} dt \\ & \lesssim \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + (\mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with the fact that  $Q(t, v) = \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + O(\|\tilde{c}(t)\|_Y \|v(t)\|_{L^2})$ , we have Lemma 6.1. Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

## 7. ESTIMATES FOR SMALL SOLUTIONS FOR THE KP-II EQUATION

In this section, we will give upper bounds of  $\mathbb{M}_1(T)$  and  $\mathbb{M}'_1(\infty)$ . First, we will prove decay estimates for  $v_1$  assuming that  $v_0(x, y)$  is polynomially localized as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $\tilde{v}_1$  be a solution of (3.8). There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\delta_4$  such that if  $\|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{L^2} + \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) < \delta_4$ , then  $\mathbb{M}_1(T) \leq C\|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{L^2}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

To prove Lemma 7.1, we make use of the virial identity for the KP-II equation that was shown in [6]. Let  $u$  be a solution of (1.1) with  $u(0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and

$$I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_\alpha(x - x(t))u(t, x, y)^2 dx dy.$$

Suppose that  $\inf_{t \geq 0} x'(t) > 0$ . There exist positive constants  $\alpha_0$  and  $\delta$  such that if  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$  and  $\|v_0\|_{L^2} < \delta$ , then

$$(7.1) \quad I(t) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p'_\alpha(x - x(s))\mathcal{E}(u)(s, x, y) dx dy ds \lesssim I(0).$$

See e.g. [27, Lemma 5.3] for the proof.

If  $u(0)$  is small in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and polynomially localized, we can prove time decay estimates by using (7.1).

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $u(t)$  be a solution of (1.1). Let  $0 \leq T \leq \infty$  and let  $x(t)$  be a  $C^1$  function satisfying  $x(0) = 0$  and  $\inf_{t \in [0, T]} \dot{x}(t) > c_1$  for a  $c_1 > 0$ . Suppose that  $(1 + x_+)^{\rho} u(0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for a  $\rho \geq 0$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\alpha_0$  and  $\delta$  such that if  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$  and  $\|u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \delta$ , then*

$$(7.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{\alpha}(x - x(t)) u(t, x, y)^2 dx dy \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2\rho} \|(1 + x_+)^{\rho} u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

$$(7.3) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{\alpha}(x - x(t)) \mathcal{E}(u)(t, x, y) dx dy dt \lesssim \|(1 + x_+)^{1/2} p_{\alpha}(x)^{1/2} u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x_+)^{\rho_1} p_{\alpha}(x) u(t, x + x(t), y)^2 dx dy \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(\rho_2 - \rho_1)} \|(1 + x_+)^{\rho_2} u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

where  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are positive constants satisfying  $\rho_2 > \rho_1 > 0$ .

*Proof.* We can prove (7.2) in the same way as in [25, Section 14.1]. Since  $\min(1, e^{2\alpha x}) \leq p_{\alpha}(x) \leq 2 \min(1, e^{2\alpha x})$  and  $p'_{\alpha}(x) = \alpha \operatorname{sech}^2 \alpha x = O(e^{-2\alpha|x|})$ , it follows that for  $x \leq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} p_{\alpha}(x - j) \lesssim \begin{cases} \sum_{j \geq 0} e^{-2\alpha(|x|+j)} \lesssim p_{\alpha}(x) & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ \sum_{0 \leq j \leq [x]} 1 + \sum_{j \geq [x]+1} e^{-2\alpha|x-j|} \lesssim 1 + x & \text{for } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have  $p_{\alpha}(x) \lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} p'_{\alpha}(x - j)$ . Hence it follows from (7.1) that for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_{\alpha}(x - x(s)) \mathcal{E}(u)(s, x, y) dx dy ds \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p'_{\alpha}(x - x(s) - j) \mathcal{E}(u)(s, x, y) dx dy ds \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_{\alpha}(x - j) u(0, x, y)^2 dx dy \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + x_+) p_{\alpha}(x) u(0, x, y)^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we will prove (7.4). Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be constants satisfying  $0 < c_1 < c_2 \leq \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} \dot{x}(t)$  and let  $q_{\ell}(x) = (1 + x_+)^{\rho_{\ell}} p_{\alpha}(x)$  for  $\ell = 1, 2$ . Since

$$q_{\ell}(x) \simeq \sum_{j \geq 0} (1 + j)^{\rho_{\ell}-1} p_{\alpha}(x - j),$$

it follows from (7.1) that for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q_2(x - c_1 t) u(t, x, y)^2 dx dy \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} q_2(x) u(0, x, y)^2,$$

provided  $\|u(0)\|_{L^2}$  is sufficiently small. Combining (7.5) with the fact that

$$q_1(x - x(t)) \leq q_1(x - c_2 t) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\rho_1 - \rho_2} q_2(x - c_1 t),$$

we have (7.4). Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

Now we are in position to prove Lemma 7.1.

*Proof of Lemma 7.1.* By Claim 5.1, there exists a  $c_1 > 0$  such that  $x_t(t, y) \geq c_1$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence it follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 7.2 that  $\mathbb{M}_1(T) \lesssim \|(1 + x_+)^2 v_*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ . Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

The scattering result by Hadac, Herr and Koch ([10]) which uses  $U^p$  and  $V^p$  spaces introduced by [18, 19] implies that higher order Sobolev norms of solutions to (3.8) remain small for all the time.

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $\tilde{v}_1(t)$  be a solution of (3.8). There exists positive constants  $\delta_5$  and  $C$  such that if  $\|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \delta_5$ , then  $\mathbb{M}'_1(\infty) \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from [10, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x \tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \left\| |D_x|^{-1/2} \langle D_y \rangle^{1/2} \tilde{v}_1(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\lesssim \|\partial_x v_*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \left\| |D_x|^{-1/2} \langle D_y \rangle^{1/2} v_* \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{E}(v_*)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

See e.g. [24, Section 7.2] for an explanation. Combining the above with the  $L^2$ -conservation law  $\|\tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \|v_*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$  and the Sobolev inequality (4.5), we have

$$\|\tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \left\| |D_x|^{1/2} \tilde{v}_1(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \left\| |D_x|^{-1/2} \langle D_y \rangle^{1/2} \tilde{v}_1(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{E}(v_*)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Let

$$H(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \{(\partial_x u)^2 - 3(\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y u)^2 - 2u^3\} dx dy.$$

Since  $H(u)$  is the Hamiltonian of the KP-II equation and  $\tilde{v}_1$  is a solution of (3.8) satisfying  $\tilde{v}_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))$  and  $\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y \tilde{v}_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 3\|\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y \tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &\leq -2H(\tilde{v}_1(t)) + \|\partial_x \tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + 2\|\tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \\ &= -2H(v_*) + \|\partial_x \tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + 2\|\tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \lesssim \|\mathcal{E}(v_*)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with Lemma 3.1, we have Lemma 7.3.  $\square$

## 8. DECAY ESTIMATES FOR THE EXPONENTIALLY LOCALIZED PART OF PERTURBATIONS

In this section, we will estimate  $v_2(t)$  following the line of [23].

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $\eta_0$  be a small positive number and  $\alpha \in (\nu(\eta_0), 2)$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{M}'_1(\infty)$  is sufficiently small. Then there exist positive constants  $\delta_6$  and  $C$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_v(T) \leq \delta_6$ ,*

$$(8.1) \quad \mathbb{M}_2(T) \leq C \left( \|\langle x \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) \right).$$

First, we estimate the low frequency part of  $v_2(t)$  assuming the smallness of  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_2(t)$  and  $\mathbb{M}_v(T)$ .

**Lemma 8.2.** *Let  $\eta_0$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $M$  be positive constants satisfying  $\nu(\eta_0) < \alpha < 2$  and  $\nu(M) > \alpha$ . Suppose that  $v_2(t)$  is a solution of (4.2). Then there exist positive constants  $b_1$ ,  $\delta_6$  and  $C$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_v(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) < \delta_6$ , then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_1(0, M)v_2(t, \cdot)\|_X &\leq C e^{-bt} \|v_{2,*}\|_X \\ &\quad + C \{\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)(\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_v(T))\} \langle t \rangle^{-3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{v}_2(t) = P_2(\eta_0, M)v_2(t)$  and  $N'_{2,2} = \{2\tilde{c}(t, y) + 6(\varphi(z) - \varphi_{c(t,y)}(z))\}v_2(t, z, y)$ . Applying Proposition 2.1 to (4.2), we have

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{v}_2(t)\|_X &\lesssim e^{-bt}\|v_{2,*}\|_X + \int_0^t e^{-b'(t-s)}(t-s)^{-3/4}\|e^{\alpha z}P_2N_{2,1}(s)\|_{L^1_y L^2_y} ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-b'(t-s)}(t-s)^{-1/2}(\|N_{2,2}(s)\|_X + \|N'_{2,2}(s)\|_X + \|N_{2,4}\|_X) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{-b(t-s)}(\|\ell(s)\|_X + \|P_2N_{2,3}(s)\|_X) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we abbreviate  $P_2(\eta_0, M)$  as  $P_2$ . It follows from [23, Claim 9.1] that for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$(8.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{\alpha z}P_2N_{2,1}\|_{L^1_y L^2_y} &\lesssim \sqrt{M}(\|v_1\|_{L^2} + \|v_2\|_{L^2})\|v_2\|_X \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{M}(\mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_v(T))\mathbb{M}_2(T)\langle t \rangle^{-3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions and Claim 5.1,

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\ell_1\|_X &\lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)^2)\langle t \rangle^{-3/4}, \\ \|\ell_2\|_X &\lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|N_{2,2}\|_X &\lesssim (\|x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2\|_{L^\infty} + \|\tilde{c}\|_{L^\infty})\|v_2\|_X, \\ &\lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)^2)\mathbb{M}_2(T)\langle t \rangle^{-5/4}. \end{aligned}$$

in the same way as (8.6) and (8.7) in [24]. Since

$$\|\tilde{c}(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{k=1,2} \|\partial_y^k x(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\langle t \rangle^{-1/2} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T],$$

$$(8.7) \quad \|N'_{2,2}\|_X + \|P_2N_{2,3}\|_X \lesssim (\|\tilde{c}\|_{L^\infty} + \|x_y\|_{L^\infty} + \|x_{yy}\|_{L^\infty})\|v_2\|_X \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\mathbb{M}_2(T)\langle t \rangle^{-5/4}.$$

Here we use the fact that  $\|\partial_y P_2\|_{B(X)} \lesssim M$ . Since  $|e^{\alpha z}\{\varphi_c(z) - \tilde{\psi}_c(z)\}| \lesssim p_\alpha(z + 3t + L)$ , we have

$$(8.8) \quad \|N_{2,4}\|_X \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T)\langle t \rangle^{-2} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Combining (8.3)–(8.8), we have for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\|\tilde{v}_2(t)\|_X \lesssim e^{-bt}\|v_{2,*}\|_X + \{\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + (\mathbb{M}_v(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T))\mathbb{M}_2(T)\}\langle t \rangle^{-3/4}.$$

As long as  $v_2(t)$  satisfies the orthogonality condition (3.10) and  $\tilde{c}(t, y)$  remains small, we have

$$\|\tilde{v}_2(t)\|_X \lesssim \|P_1(0, M)v_2(t)\|_X \lesssim \|\tilde{v}_2(t)\|_X$$

in exactly the same way as the proof of lemma 9.2 in [23]. Thus we have (8.2). This completes the proof of lemma 8.2.  $\square$

Using a virial identity, we can estimate the exponentially weighted norm of  $v_2(t)$  for high frequencies in  $y$  in the same way as [24, Lemma 8.3].

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and  $v_2(t)$  be a solution of (4.2). Suppose  $\mathbb{M}'_1(\infty)$  is sufficiently small. Then there exist positive constants  $\delta_6$  and  $M_1$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_v(T) < \delta_6$  and  $M \geq M_1$ , then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\|v_2(t)\|_X^2 \lesssim e^{-M\alpha t} \|v_{2,*}\|_X^2 + \int_0^t e^{-M\alpha(t-s)} (\|\ell(s)\|_X^2 + \|P_1(0, M)v_2(s)\|_X^2 + \|N_{2,4}(s)\|_X^2) ds,$$

$$\|\mathcal{E}(v_2)^{1/2}\|_{L^2(0,T;X)} \lesssim \|v_{2,*}\|_X + \|\ell\|_{L^2(0,T;X)} + \|P_1(0, M)v_2\|_{L^2(0,T;X)} + \|N_{2,4}\|_{L^2(0,T;X)}.$$

Now we are in position to prove Lemma 8.1.

*Proof of Lemma 8.1.* Combining Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3, (8.5) and (8.8) with (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_2(T) &\lesssim \|v_{2,*}\|_X + \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)(\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_v(T)) \\ &\lesssim \langle x \rangle v_0 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T)(\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_v(T)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (8.1) provided  $\mathbb{M}_2(T)$  and  $\mathbb{M}_v(T)$  are sufficiently small. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.1.  $\square$

## 9. LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR OF THE PHASE SHIFT OF LINE SOLITONS

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.5. To begin with, we remark that  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_1(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_2(T)$  and  $\mathbb{M}_v(T)$  remain small for every  $T \in [0, \infty]$  provided the initial perturbation  $v_0$  is sufficiently small. Combining Proposition 3.2, Lemmas 5.1, 6.1, 7.1, 7.3 and 8.1, we have the following.

**Proposition 9.1.** *There exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $C$  such that if  $\varepsilon := \|\langle x \rangle \langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon_0$ , then  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_v(\infty) + \mathbb{M}'_1(\infty) \leq C\varepsilon$ .*

When we estimate the  $L^\infty$  norm of  $\tilde{x}$  by applying Lemma 2.3 to (4.6), two terms  $\mathcal{N}^6$  and  $B_4^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t)^t(b, \tilde{x})$  are hazardous because they do not necessarily belong to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

We introduce a change of variable to eliminate  $E_1 \dot{B}_4 k_t \mathbf{e}_1$  and a bad part of  $B_4^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t)$ . Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_3(t, D_y) & 0 \\ \tilde{a}_4(t, D_y) & 0 \end{pmatrix} := B_4^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t), \quad \tilde{a}_{31}(t) = \tilde{a}_3(t, 0), \quad \tilde{a}_{32}(t, \eta) = \frac{\tilde{a}_3(t, \eta) - \tilde{a}_3(t, 0)}{\eta^2},$$

and  $\gamma(t) = e^{-\int_0^t \tilde{a}_{31}(s) ds}$ . Note that  $\tilde{a}_3(t, \eta)$  is even in  $\eta$  because  $g_k^*(z, \eta)$  thus the symbols of  $B_4$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t)$  are even in  $\eta$ . By [24, Claim 6.3], the operator  $B_4^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded in  $B(Y)$  and we can prove that for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(9.1) \quad |\tilde{a}_{31}(t)| + |\tilde{a}'_{31}(t)| + \|\tilde{a}_{32}(t, D_y)\|_{B(Y)} + \|\tilde{a}_3(t, D_y)\|_{B(Y)} + \|\tilde{a}_4(t, D_y)\|_{B(Y)} \lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}$$

in exactly the same way as [23, Claim D.3]. We need to replace  $e^{-\alpha(4t+L)}$  in [23, Claim D.3] by  $e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}$  because  $\tilde{\psi}_c(z) = \psi_{c,L}(z + 3t)$  in our paper whereas  $\tilde{\psi}_c(z) = \psi_{c,L}(z + 4t)$  in [23]. By the definitions of  $\tilde{S}_0$ ,  $\tilde{S}_1$  and  $\tilde{S}_3$  (see [23, pp.40–41]) and [24, (A1), (A6)],

$$(9.2) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_1(t)\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} = O(1), \quad \|\mathcal{A}_2(t)\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} = O(e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}).$$

By (9.1),

$$0 < \inf_{t \geq 0} \gamma(t) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \gamma(t) < \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) > 0.$$

Let  $\mathbf{k}(t, y) = \gamma(t)\tilde{k}(t, y)\mathbf{e}_1$ ,  $\tilde{b}(t, y) = b(t, y) + \tilde{k}(t, y)$  and

$$(9.3) \quad \mathbf{b}(t, y) = {}^t(b_1(t, y), b_2(t, y)) = \gamma(t){}^t(\tilde{b}(t, y), \tilde{x}(t, y)).$$

By Claim C.1 and (5.27),

$$(9.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_y^k b_1(t)\|_Y &\lesssim \|\partial_y^k b(t)\|_Y + \|k(t)\|_Y \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(2k+1)/4} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) \langle t \rangle^{-2} \quad \text{for } k \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(9.5) \quad \|\partial_y^k b_2(t)\|_Y \lesssim \|\partial_y^k \tilde{x}(t)\|_Y \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-(2k-1)/4} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

$$(9.6) \quad \|\tilde{x}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|b_2(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|k(t)\|_Y \lesssim \|b_2(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) \langle t \rangle^{-2}.$$

Note that  $\|\partial_y^k k(t)\|_Y \lesssim \eta_0^k \|k(t)\|_Y$  for any  $k \geq 1$ .

Substituting (9.3) into (4.6) and using (5.22), (5.25) and (5.26), we have

$$(9.7) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{b} = \mathcal{A}_* \mathbf{b} + \gamma \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{N}^i + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_6 + \partial_y \dot{\mathcal{N}}_6 + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_7 + \partial_y^2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_7 \right\},$$

where  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_6 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{6j}$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_6 = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{61} + \partial_y \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{62}$ ,

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{61} = \gamma^{-1} \partial_t \{ \gamma(2 - S_{11}^3[\psi])^{-1} \} k \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{62} = E_2 \dot{B}_4 R^{v_1} - 2E_{21} \mathbf{k}, \quad E_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{63} = (2 - S_{11}^3[\psi])^{-1} \left\{ \dot{R}_{v_1} + S_1^7[\partial_c \varphi_c](c_t) - S_1^7[\varphi'_c](x_t - 2c - 3(x_y)^2) \right\} + \dot{B}_{34} R^{v_1},$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{61} = \dot{B}_4 \dot{R}_{v_1,1} \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{62} = \left\{ \dot{B}_4 \dot{R}_{v_1,2} \mathbf{e}_1 - (\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34}) R^{v_1} \right\},$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_7 = \{ \tilde{a}_4(t, D_y) - \tilde{a}_{31}(t) \} b(t, \cdot) \mathbf{e}_2,$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_7 = (\partial_y^2 \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2) (b(t, \cdot) \mathbf{e}_1 + \tilde{x}(t, \cdot) \mathbf{e}_2) - \tilde{a}_{32}(t, D_y) b(t, \cdot) \mathbf{e}_1 - \partial_y^{-2} (\mathcal{A}_* - 2E_{21}) \mathbf{k}(t, \cdot).$$

Now we start to prove Theorem 1.5.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Using the variation of constants formula, we can translate (9.7) into

$$(9.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(t) &= e^{t\mathcal{A}_*} \mathbf{b}(0) \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}_*} \gamma(s) \left( \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{N}^i(s) + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_6(s) + \partial_y \dot{\mathcal{N}}_6(s) + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_7(s) + \partial_y^2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_7(s) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will estimate the  $L^\infty$ -norm of the right hand side of (9.8). By (4.7) and (9.3),

$$b_1(0, y) = b_*(y) + \frac{1}{2} (2 - S_{11}^3[\psi](0))^{-1} \tilde{P}_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_*(x, y) \varphi_{c_*(y)}(x - x_*(y)) dx \right),$$

$$b_2(0, y) = x_*(y),$$

and it follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 4.2 that

$$(9.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{t\mathcal{A}_*} \mathbf{b}(0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|\dot{b}\|_{L^1} + \|\dot{b}\|_{Y_1} + \|x_*\|_{Y_1} + \|v_*\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \varepsilon, \\ \left\| \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot e^{t\mathcal{A}_*} \mathbf{b}(0) - \frac{1}{2} H_{2t} * W_{4t} * b_1(0) \right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/2} \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon = \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ .

Next, we will estimate  $\mathcal{N}^1$ . Let  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_1 = \tilde{P}_1 \{2(\tilde{c} - b) + 3(x_y)^2\} \mathbf{e}_2$  and  $\mathring{\mathcal{N}}_1 = 6\tilde{P}_1(b\tilde{x}_y) \mathbf{e}_1$ . Then  $E_1 \mathcal{N}_1 = \partial_y \mathring{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $E_2 \mathcal{N}_1 = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{N}^1 = \text{diag}(\partial_y, 1)(\dot{\mathcal{N}}_1 + \mathring{\mathcal{N}}_1)$ , and

$$III_1(t) := \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_1\|_{Y_1} + \|\mathring{\mathcal{N}}_1\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1/2}.$$

By (1.9) and the fact that

$$(9.10) \quad b - \tilde{c} = \frac{4}{3} \tilde{P}_1 \left\{ \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^{3/2} - 1 - \frac{3}{4} \tilde{c} \right\} = \frac{1}{8} \tilde{P}_1 \tilde{c}^2 + O(\tilde{c}^3)$$

(see [23, Claim D.6]),

$$III_2(t) := \|bx_y - 2\{u_B^+(t, \cdot + 4t)^2 - u_B^-(t, \cdot - 4t)^2\}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \delta(t) \langle t \rangle^{-1/2},$$

$$III_3(t) := \|2(\tilde{c} - b) + 3(x_y)^2 - 2\{u_B^+(t, \cdot + 4t)^2 + u_B^-(t, \cdot - 4t)^2\}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \delta(t) \langle t \rangle^{-1/2},$$

where  $\delta(t)$  is a functions that tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $\|u_B^+(t, \cdot + 4t)u_B^-(t, \cdot - 4t)\|_{L^1} = O(\varepsilon^2 t^{-1/2} e^{-8t})$ . By Lemma 2.3 and [23, Claim 4.1],

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) \mathcal{N}^1(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-1/2} III_1(s) ds \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-1/2} \langle s \rangle^{-1/2} ds \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2, \\ \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) \{ \mathcal{N}^1(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{\pm} H_{2t}(\cdot \pm 4(t-s)) * \{4u_B^\pm(s, \cdot \pm 4s)^2 - 2u_B^\mp(s, \cdot \mp 4s)^2\} ds \mathbf{e}_2 \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-1} III_1(s) ds + \int_0^t \langle t-s \rangle^{-1/2} (III_2(s) + III_3(s)) ds \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1/2} \log(t+2) + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} s^{-1/2} \delta(s) ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For  $y$  satisfying  $\min\{|y-4t|, |y+4t|\} \geq 2\delta(t)^{-1/2} \sqrt{t}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2(t-s)}(y - y_1 \pm 4t) \{H_{2s}(y_1)^2 + H_{2s}(y_1 \mp 8s)^2\} dy_1 ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} s^{-1} e^{-\delta(t)^{-1}/8} \left( \int_{|y_1| \leq \delta(t)^{-1/2} \sqrt{t}} e^{-y_1^2/8s} dy_1 \right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} s^{-1} \left( \int_{|y_1| \geq \delta(t)^{-1/2} \sqrt{t}} e^{-y_1^2/8s} dy_1 \right) ds \\ &\lesssim \exp(-\delta(t)^{-1}/8) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with the fact that  $|u_B^\pm(s, y)| \lesssim H_{2s}(y)$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) \mathcal{N}^1(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(|y \pm 4t| \geq \delta t)} = 0.$$

The other terms can be decomposed as

$$(9.11) \quad \sum_{i=2}^5 \mathcal{N}^i(t) + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_6 + \partial_y \dot{\mathcal{N}}_6 + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_7 + \partial_y^2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_7 = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_b + \partial_y(\dot{\mathcal{N}}_a + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_b) + \partial_y^2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_c,$$

such that  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_b = E_2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_b$  and

$$(9.12) \quad \|\chi(D_y)E_1 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_y^{-1}(I - \chi(D_y))E_1 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a\|_{Y_1} \lesssim (e^{-\alpha L} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \langle t \rangle^{-3/2},$$

$$(9.13) \quad \|E_2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a\|_{Y_1} + \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_a\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1},$$

$$(9.14) \quad \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_b\|_Y + \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_b\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-7/4}, \quad \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_c\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

Hence it follows from Proposition 9.1 and Lemma 2.3 that

$$(9.15) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) \chi(D_y) E_1 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim e^{-\alpha L} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2,$$

$$(9.16) \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) (I - \chi(D_y)) E_1 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (e^{-\alpha L} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \langle t \rangle^{-1/2},$$

$$(9.17) \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) [E_2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a(s) + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_b(s) + \partial_y \{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_a(s) + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_b(s)\} + \partial_y^2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_c(s)] ds \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1/4}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, (2.20) and (9.12), that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(9.18) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|y| \leq (4-\delta)t} \left| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) \chi(D_y) E_1 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a(s) ds - h_a \mathbf{e}_2 \right| = 0,$$

$$(9.19) \quad h_a = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(s) \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a(s, y) dy ds, \quad |h_a| \lesssim \varepsilon e^{-\alpha L} + \varepsilon^2,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|y| \geq (4+\delta)t} \left| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{A}^*} \gamma(s) \chi(D_y) E_1 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a(s) ds \right| = 0.$$

Now, we will prove (9.11)–(9.14). First, we will estimate  $\mathcal{N}^2$ . As in [23, Claim D.7],

$$(9.20) \quad \|\tilde{P}_1 R_1^7\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y) R_1^7\|_{L^1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-3/2},$$

$$(9.21) \quad \|\tilde{P}_1 R_2^7\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|\tilde{P}_1 R_2^7\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-5/4},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^7 &= \left\{ 4\sqrt{2}c^{3/2} - 16 - 12b \right\} x_{yy} - 6(2b_y - (2c)^{1/2}c_y)x_y - 3c^{-1}(c_y)^2, \\ R_2^7 &= 6 \left\{ \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{3/2} - 1 \right\} x_{yy} + 3 \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{1/2} c_y x_y - 3(bx_y)_y + \mu_2 \frac{2}{c} (c_y)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2} (c^2 - 4)(I - \tilde{P}_1)(x_y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}^{11} &= R^{31} + R^{41} + R^{61} + \tilde{S}_{41} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2\tilde{c} \end{pmatrix}, & \tilde{R}^{12} &= R^{32} + R^{42} + R^{62} + \tilde{S}_{42} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2\tilde{c} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{R}^{31} &= R^{91} + R^{11,1}, & \tilde{R}^{32} &= R^{92} + R^{11,2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\tilde{R}^1 = \tilde{R}^{11} - \partial_y^2 \tilde{R}^{12}$  and  $\tilde{R}^3 = \tilde{R}^{31} - \partial_y^2 \tilde{R}^{32}$ . See Appendix B for the definitions of  $R^{j1}$  and  $R^{j2}$  and see (A.1)–(A.4) and (A.17) for the definitions of  $\tilde{S}_{4\ell}$  ( $\ell = 1, 2$ ).

By Claims A.1, A.2, B.2–B.4 and B.6,

(9.22)

$$\begin{aligned}(9.23) \quad & \|\chi(D_y)\tilde{R}^{11}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tilde{R}^{11}\|_{Y_1} + \|\tilde{R}^{12}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)^2 + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty)\mathbb{M}_2(\infty))\langle t \rangle^{-3/2}, \\ & \|\chi(D_y)\tilde{R}^{31}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tilde{R}^{31}\|_{Y_1} + \|\tilde{R}^{32}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))\langle t \rangle^{-3/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_2 = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{21} + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{22} + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{23}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{21} &= \dot{B}_4(\tilde{P}_1 R_1^7 \mathbf{e}_1 + \tilde{R}^{11} + \tilde{R}^{31}) + \dot{B}_{34}(\tilde{P}_1 R^7 + \tilde{R}^1 + \tilde{R}^3), \\ \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{22} &= B_1^{-1} \tilde{P}_1 R_2^7 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{23} = (\dot{B}_4 - B_1^{-1}) \tilde{P}_1 R_2^7 \mathbf{e}_2, \\ \dot{\mathcal{N}}_2 &= \dot{B}_4(\tilde{R}^{12} + \tilde{R}^{32}) + (\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34})(\tilde{P}_1 R^7 + \tilde{R}^1 + \tilde{R}^3).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_3^{-1} = \dot{B}_4 + \dot{B}_{34} - \partial_y^2(\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34})$  and  $[\dot{B}_4, \partial_y] = O$ , we have  $\mathcal{N}^2 = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_2 - \partial_y^2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_2$ . By (9.20)–(9.23) and Claim 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{21}\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y)\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{21}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))^2 \langle t \rangle^{-3/2}, \\ \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_2\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By (5.8), (9.21) and the fact that  $B_1^{-1} \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{22}\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{22} = E_2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{22}, \\ \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{23}\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y)\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{23}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Next we will estimate  $\mathcal{N}^3$ . Let

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_3 = [\dot{B}_{34}, \partial_y](\tilde{R}^2 + \tilde{R}^4), \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_3 = (B_4^{-1} + \dot{B}_{34} - \partial_y \dot{B}_{34} \partial_y)(\tilde{R}^2 + \tilde{R}^4).$$

Then  $\mathcal{N}^3 = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_3 + \partial_y \dot{\mathcal{N}}_3$ . By Claims B.1 and B.3,

(9.24)

$$\|\tilde{R}^2\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))\langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|\tilde{R}^2\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))\langle t \rangle^{-5/4}.$$

By Claims B.4 and B.5,

(9.25)

$$\|\tilde{R}^4\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|\tilde{R}^4\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-5/4}.$$

Combining (9.24) and (9.25) with Claim 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_3\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y)\dot{\mathcal{N}}_3\|_{L^1} &\lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2}, \\ \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_3\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty)(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))\langle t \rangle^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will estimate  $\mathcal{N}^4$ . Let  $n_{41} = (B_2 - \partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_0) b_y \mathbf{e}_1$ ,  $n_{42} = (B_2 - \partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_0) x_{yy} \mathbf{e}_2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{41} &= [\dot{B}_{34}, \partial_y] n_{41}, \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{42} = E_2 \dot{B}_{34} n_{42}, \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{43} = E_1 \dot{B}_{34} n_{42}, \\ \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{41} &= \overset{\circ}{B}_{34} n_{41}, \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{42} = \overset{\circ}{B}_{34} (\partial_y n_{41} + n_{42}) \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions,  $E_2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{42} = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{42}$  and

$$\mathcal{N}^4 = (\dot{B}_{34} - \partial_y^2 \overset{\circ}{B}_{34}) (\partial_y n_{41} + n_{42}) = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{41} + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{42} + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{43} + \partial_y \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{41} - \partial_y^2 \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{42}.$$

Since  $\|\tilde{S}_0\|_{B(Y)} = O(1)$  by [23, Claim B.1], we have  $\|n_{41}\|_Y + \|n_{42}\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) \langle t \rangle^{-3/4}$ . It follows from Claim 5.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(D_y) \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{41}\|_{L^1} + \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{41}\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)) \langle t \rangle^{-3/2}, \\ \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{42}\|_{Y_1} + \|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{41}\|_{Y_1} + \|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_{42}\|_{Y_1} &\lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)) \langle t \rangle^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E_1 B_1^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 = O$ ,

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{43} = E_1 \left( \dot{B}_{34} + B_1^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 B_3^{-1} \right) n_{42}.$$

By Claim A.4 and (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{B}_{34} + \dot{B}_4 \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 B_3^{-1}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} &= \|\dot{B}_4 (\tilde{S}_{31} - \bar{S}_{31} - \bar{S}_{41} - \bar{S}_{51}) B_3^{-1}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} \\ &\lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)) \langle t \rangle^{-3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.8) and the above,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\dot{B}_{34} + B_1^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 B_3^{-1}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} \\ (9.26) \quad &\leq \|\dot{B}_{34} + \dot{B}_4 \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 B_3^{-1}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} + \|B_1^{-1} - \dot{B}_4\|_{B(Y_1)} \|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 B_3^{-1}\|_{B(Y, Y_1)} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-3/4} (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)). \end{aligned}$$

Using Claim 5.2 and (5.12), we can prove

$$(9.27) \quad \|\chi(D_y) \dot{B}_{34} + \chi(D_y) B_1^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 B_3^{-1}\|_{B(Y, L^1)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-3/4} (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty))$$

in the same way. By (9.26) and (9.27),

$$(9.28) \quad \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{43}\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y) \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{43}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-3/2} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)).$$

Secondly, we will estimate  $\mathcal{N}^5$ . By (5.2),

$$\mathcal{N}^5 = \dot{\mathcal{N}}_5 - \partial_y^2 \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_5, \quad \dot{\mathcal{N}}_5 = \dot{B}_{34} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \tilde{x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_5 = \overset{\circ}{B}_{34} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \tilde{x} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t)^t(b, \tilde{x})\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}$ , it follows from Claim 5.2 that

$$(9.29) \quad \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_5\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y) \dot{\mathcal{N}}_5\|_{L^1} + \|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_5\|_{Y_1} \lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)} \langle t \rangle^{-1/4} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)).$$

Next, we will estimate  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_6$  and  $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}_6$ . By Claim C.2, (5.27) and (9.1),

$$\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{61}\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y) \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{61}\|_{L^1} \lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)} \langle t \rangle \varepsilon.$$

We see that  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{62} = E_2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{62}$  and that

$$\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{62}\|_Y \lesssim \|R^{v_1}\|_Y + \|k\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) \langle t \rangle^{-2}$$

follows from Claim C.1 and (5.20). By (5.24), (5.28) and (5.30),

$$\|\chi(D_y) \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{63}\|_{L^1} + \|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{63}\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)) \langle t \rangle^{-3/2}.$$

By Claims 5.2, (5.23) and (5.29),

$$\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{61}\|_Y \lesssim \|\dot{R}_{v_1,1}\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) \langle t \rangle^{-7/4},$$

$$\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{62}\|_Y \lesssim \|\dot{R}_{v_1,2}\|_Y + \|(\dot{B}_{14} + \dot{B}_{34})R^{v_1}\|_Y \lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

Finally, we will estimate  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_7$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_7$ . By the definition and (9.1), we have  $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_7 = E_2 \dot{\mathcal{N}}_7$  and

$$\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_7(t)\|_Y \lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty)) e^{-\alpha(3t+L)} \langle t \rangle^{-1/4}.$$

In view of Claim 5.1,

$$\|\tilde{x}(t)\|_Y \lesssim (\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)^2) \langle t \rangle^{1/4}.$$

Combining the above with Claim C.1, (9.1) and (9.2),

$$\|\dot{\mathcal{N}}_7(t)\|_Y \lesssim \{(\eta_0 + e^{-\alpha L}) \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_1(\infty) + \mathbb{M}_2(\infty)^2\} \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

Next, we will prove Corollary 1.6.

*Proof of Corollary 1.6.* Let  $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(-\eta_0, \eta_0)$  such that  $\zeta(0) = 1$  and let

$$u(0, x, y) = \varphi_{2+c_*(y)}(x) - \psi_{2+\tilde{c}_*(y), L}(x), \quad \tilde{c}_*(y) = \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_\eta^{-1}\zeta)(y).$$

Then it follows from [23, Lemma 5.2] that

$$\tilde{c}(0, y) = \tilde{c}_*(y), \quad \tilde{x}(0, y) \equiv 0, \quad b_1(0, y) = b_*(y), \quad v_* = v_{2,*} = 0.$$

Since  $\|b_* - \tilde{c}_*\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|\tilde{c}_*(0)\|_Y^2$ , we see that  $b_* \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} b_1(0, y) dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{c}_*(y) dy - \|b_* - \tilde{c}_*\|_{L^1} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

If  $\varepsilon$  and  $e^{-\alpha L}$  are sufficiently small, then it follows from (9.9), (9.15)–(9.19) and the above that

$$(9.30) \quad h \gtrsim \inf_{t \geq 0} \tilde{x}(t, 0) \gtrsim \inf_{t \geq 0} b_2(t, 0) \gtrsim \varepsilon,$$

where  $h$  is a constant in (1.10). Corollary 1.6 follows immediately from (9.30) and Theorem 1.5. Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

10. BEHAVIOR OF THE LOCAL AMPLITUDE AND THE LOCAL INCLINATION OF LINE SOLITONS

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.4 following a compactness argument in [17]. Let  $\mathbf{b}(t, \cdot) = \gamma(t)\mathcal{P}_*(D_y)e^{4t\sigma_3\partial_y}\mathbf{d}(t, \cdot)$  and

$$\Pi_*(\eta) = \frac{1}{4i} \begin{pmatrix} 8i & 8i \\ \eta + i\omega(\eta) & \eta - i\omega(\eta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i\eta \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_*(\eta).$$

Then (9.7) is translated to

$$(10.1) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{d} = \{2\partial_y^2 I + \partial_y \tilde{\omega}(D_y)\sigma_3\} \mathbf{d} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a + \partial_y(\tilde{\mathcal{N}} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}') + \partial_y^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'',$$

where  $\sigma_3 = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a = e^{-4t\sigma_3\partial_y}\Pi_*(D_y)^{-1}E_1\chi(D_y)\dot{\mathcal{N}}_a$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{N}} &= e^{-4t\sigma_3\partial_y}\Pi_*(D_y)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 6bx_y \\ 2(\tilde{c} - b) + 3(x_y)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}' &= e^{-4t\sigma_3\partial_y}\Pi_*(D_y)^{-1} \left\{ \partial_y^{-1}(I - \chi(D_y))E_1\dot{\mathcal{N}}_a + E_2\dot{\mathcal{N}}_a + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_b + \text{diag}(1, \partial_y) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_a \\ \dot{\mathcal{N}}_b \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'' &= e^{-4t\sigma_3\partial_y}\Pi_*(D_y)^{-1} \text{diag}(1, \partial_y)\dot{\mathcal{N}}_c. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\chi(\eta) = 1$  for  $\eta \in [-\eta_0/4, \eta_0/4]$  and that  $\text{diag}(1, \partial_y)\dot{\mathcal{N}}_b = \partial_y\dot{\mathcal{N}}_b$ .

By (2.13), we have for  $\eta \in [-\eta_0, \eta_0]$ ,

$$(10.2) \quad \left| \Pi_*(\eta) - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right| + \left| \Pi_*(\eta)^{-1} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \right| \lesssim |\eta|.$$

If  $\eta_0$  is sufficiently small, then  $\Pi_*(D_y), \Pi_*^{-1}(D_y) \in B(Y)$  and it follows from Claim C.1 and the definitions of  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  that

$$(10.3) \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} b(t, \cdot) \\ x_y(t, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} e^{4t\sigma_3\partial_y}\mathbf{d}(t, \cdot) \right\|_Y \lesssim \|k(t, \cdot)\|_Y + \|\partial_y\mathbf{d}(t, \cdot)\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon\langle t \rangle^{-3/4}.$$

To investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions, we consider the rescaled solution  $\mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, y) = \lambda\mathbf{d}(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y)$ . We will show that for any  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ ,

$$(10.4) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|\mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, y) - \mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y) = {}^t(d_{\infty,+}(t, y), d_{\infty,-}(t, y))$  and  $d_{\infty,\pm}(t, y)$  are self-similar solutions of Burgers equations

$$(10.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t d_+ = 2\partial_y^2 d_+ + 4\partial_y(d_+^2), \\ \partial_t d_- = 2\partial_y^2 d_- - 4\partial_y(d_-)^2. \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$(10.6) \quad \lambda\mathbf{d}_\infty(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y) = \mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y) \quad \text{for every } \lambda > 0.$$

First, we will show uniform boundedness of  $\mathbf{d}_\lambda$  with respect to  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 10.1.** *Let  $\varepsilon$  be as in Theorem 1.4. Then there exists a positive constants  $C$  such that for any  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ,*

$$(10.7) \quad \sum_{k=0,1} \|\partial_y^k \mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon t^{-(2k+1)/4}, \quad \|\partial_y^2 \mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon \lambda^{1/2} t^{-1},$$

$$(10.8) \quad \|\partial_t \mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{-2}} \leq C(t^{-1/4} + t^{-3/2})\varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows the line of the proof of [23, Lemma 12.1]. By Proposition 9.1 and (10.3),

$$(10.9) \quad \sum_{k=0,1} \langle t \rangle^{(2k+1)/4} \|\partial_y^k \mathbf{d}(t)\|_Y + \langle t \rangle \|\partial_y^2 \mathbf{d}(t)\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } t \geq 0,$$

and (10.7) follows immediately from (10.9).

Next, we will prove (10.8). By (10.1),

$$\partial_t \mathbf{d}_\lambda = 2\partial_y^2 \mathbf{d}_\lambda + \lambda \sigma_3 \partial_y \tilde{\omega}(\lambda^{-1} D_y) \mathbf{d}_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{a,\lambda} + \partial_y(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_\lambda) + \partial_y^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{a,\lambda}(t, y) = \lambda^3 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y)$  and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda(t, y) = \lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_\lambda(t, y) = \lambda^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_1(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda(t, y) = \lambda \tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y).$$

In view of (2.13) and (10.7),

$$\|\partial_y^2 \mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{-2}} + \|\lambda \partial_y \tilde{\omega}(\lambda^{-1} D_y) \mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{-2}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{d}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-1/4}.$$

Using (9.12)–(9.14), (10.2) and the fact that  $Y_1 \subset Y$ , we have

$$(10.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a\|_{L^1} &\lesssim (e^{-\alpha L} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \langle t \rangle^{-3/2}, \\ \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\|_Y &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-3/4}, \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(10.11) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{a,\lambda}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} = \lambda^2 \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a(\lambda^2 t, \cdot)\|_Y \lesssim (e^{-\alpha L} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \lambda^{-1} t^{-3/2},$$

$$(10.12) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \lambda^{3/2} \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda^2 t, \cdot)\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon^2 t^{-3/4},$$

$$(10.13) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \lambda^{3/2} \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_1(\lambda^2 t, \cdot)\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{3/2} (1 + \lambda^2 t)^{-1} \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-7/8},$$

$$(10.14) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \lambda^{1/2} \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''(\lambda^2 t, \cdot)\|_Y \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{1/2} (1 + \lambda^2 t)^{-1} \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/2} t^{-1/2}.$$

Combining the above, we have (10.8). Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

Using standard compactness argument along with the Aubin-Lions lemma, we have the following.

**Corollary 10.2.** *There exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$  and  $\mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_{\lambda_n}(t, \cdot) &\rightarrow \mathbf{d}_\infty(t, \cdot) \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{d}_{\lambda_n}(t, \cdot) &\rightarrow \partial_t \mathbf{d}_\infty(t, \cdot) \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{loc}^\infty((0, \infty); H^{-2}(\mathbb{R})), \end{aligned}$$

$$(10.15) \quad \sup_{t>0} t^{1/4} \|\mathbf{d}_\infty(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon,$$

where  $C$  is a constant given in Lemma 10.1. Moreover, for any  $R > 0$  and  $t_1, t_2$  with  $0 < t_1 \leq t_2 < \infty$ ,

$$(10.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|\mathbf{d}_{\lambda_n}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{d}_\infty(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(|y| \leq R)} = 0.$$

Next, we will show that  $\mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y)$  tends to a constant multiple of the delta function as  $t \downarrow 0$ . To find initial data of  $\mathbf{d}(0, \cdot)$ , we transform (10.1) into a conservative system. Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{d}}(t, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}_+(t, y) \\ \tilde{d}_-(t, y) \end{pmatrix} := \mathbf{d}(t, y) - \bar{\mathbf{d}}(t, y), \quad \bar{\mathbf{d}}(t, y) = - \int_t^\infty \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_a(s, \cdot) ds.$$

Then

$$(10.17) \quad \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{d}} = 2\partial_y^2 \tilde{\mathbf{d}} + \partial_y(\tilde{\mathcal{N}} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}') + \partial_y^2(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'' + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''),$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''' = 2\bar{\mathbf{d}} + \partial_y^{-1} \tilde{\omega}(D_y) \sigma_3 \mathbf{d}$ .

**Lemma 10.3.**

$$(10.18) \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y) h(y) dy = h(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(0, y) dy \quad \text{for any } h \in H^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(t, y) = \lambda \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y)$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(t, y) = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{d}}(\lambda^2 t, \lambda y)$ . By (10.10), (10.11) and the fact that  $\|\bar{\mathbf{d}}(t, \cdot)\|_Y \lesssim \|\bar{\mathbf{d}}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}$ ,

$$(10.19) \quad \|\bar{\mathbf{d}}(t)\|_{L^1} + \|\bar{\mathbf{d}}(t)\|_Y \lesssim (e^{-\alpha L} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \langle t \rangle^{-1/2},$$

$$(10.20) \quad \|\bar{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = \lambda^{1/2} \|\bar{\mathbf{d}}(\lambda^2 t, \cdot)\|_Y \lesssim (e^{-\alpha L} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \lambda^{-1/2} t^{-1/2}.$$

Hence the limiting profile of  $\mathbf{d}_\lambda(t)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(t)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  are the same for every  $t > 0$ .

By (10.17),

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda = 2\partial_y^2 \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda + \partial_y(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_\lambda) + \partial_y^2(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_\lambda),$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_\lambda = 2\bar{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda + \lambda \partial_y^{-1} \tilde{\omega}(\lambda^{-1} D_y) \mathbf{d}_\lambda$ . By Lemma 10.1 and (2.13),

$$(10.21) \quad \left\| 2\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_\lambda \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{d}_\lambda\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-1/4}.$$

Combining the above with (10.12)–(10.14), we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 1} \|\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{-2}} \lesssim \varepsilon(t^{-1/4} + t^{-7/8}).$$

Thus for  $t > s > 0$  and  $h \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(t, y) h(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(s, y) h(y) dy \right| \leq C \left\{ (t-s)^{3/4} + (t-s)^{1/8} \right\},$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda$ . Passing to the limit as  $s \downarrow 0$  in the above, we obtain for  $t > 0$ ,

$$(10.22) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(t, y) h(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(0, y) h(y) dy \right| \leq C(t^{3/4} + t^{1/8}).$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}(0, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) + \partial_y Y_1$ , it follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(0, y) h(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_y \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(0, \lambda^{-1} \eta) \mathcal{F}_y^{-1} h(\eta) d\eta \rightarrow \sqrt{2\pi} \mathcal{F}_y \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(0, 0) h(0).$$

On the other hand, Corollary 10.2 and (10.20) imply that for any  $t > 0$  and  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda_n}(t, y) h(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{d}_{\infty}(t, y) h(y) dy.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 10.3.  $\square$

Now we will improve (10.16) to show (10.4).

**Lemma 10.4.** *Suppose that  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. Then for every  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 \leq t_2 < \infty$ , there exist a positive constant  $C$  and a function  $\tilde{\delta}(R)$  satisfying  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\delta}(R) = 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|\mathbf{d}_{\lambda}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(|y| \geq R)} \leq C(\tilde{\delta}(R) + \lambda^{-1/4}) \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta$  be a smooth function such that  $\zeta(y) = 0$  if  $|y| \leq 1/2$  and  $\zeta(y) = 1$  if  $|y| \geq 1$  and  $\zeta_R(y) = \zeta(y/R)$ . Multiplying (10.17) by  $\zeta_R$ , we have

$$(10.23) \quad (\partial_t - 2\partial_y^2)(\zeta_R \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda}) = \partial_y \{\zeta_R(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\lambda} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{\lambda})\} + \partial_y^2 \{\zeta_R(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_{\lambda} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_{\lambda})\} - \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_R,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_R = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{R,1} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{R,2}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{R,1} = [\partial_y, \zeta_R](\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\lambda} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{\lambda})$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{R,2} = [\partial_y^2, \zeta_R](2\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_{\lambda} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_{\lambda})$ . Using the variation of constants formula, we have

$$\zeta_R \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda}(t) = e^{2t\partial_y^2} \zeta_R \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^6 IV_j,$$

$$\begin{aligned} IV_1 &= \int_0^t e^{2(t-\tau)\partial_y^2} \partial_y(\zeta_R \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau, & IV_2 &= \int_0^t e^{2(t-\tau)\partial_y^2} \partial_y(\zeta_R \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau, \\ IV_3 &= \int_0^t e^{2(t-\tau)\partial_y^2} \partial_y^2(\zeta_R \tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau, & IV_4 &= \int_0^t e^{2(t-\tau)\partial_y^2} \partial_y^2(\zeta_R \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau, \\ IV_5 &= - \int_0^t e^{2(t-\tau)\partial_y^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{R,1}(\tau) d\tau, & IV_6 &= - \int_0^t e^{2(t-\tau)\partial_y^2} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{R,2}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2, (3.11), (4.7) and (10.19), we can decompose  $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}(0)$  as

$$(10.24) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(0) = \dot{\mathbf{d}}_0 + \overset{\circ}{\partial}_y \dot{\mathbf{d}}_0, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{d}}_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{d}}_0\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\dot{\mathbf{d}}_{0,\lambda}(y) = \lambda \dot{\mathbf{d}}_0(\lambda y)$  and  $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{d}}_{0,\lambda}(y) = \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{d}}_0(\lambda y)$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda}(0, y) = \dot{\mathbf{d}}_{0,\lambda}(y) + \overset{\circ}{\partial}_y \dot{\mathbf{d}}_{0,\lambda}(y)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{2t\partial_y^2} \zeta_R \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda}(0)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim t^{-1/4} \|\zeta_R \dot{\mathbf{d}}_{0,\lambda}\|_{L^1} + t^{-1/2} \|\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{d}}_{0,\lambda}\|_{L^2} + \|[\partial_y, \zeta_R] \dot{\mathbf{d}}_{0,\lambda}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim t^{-1/4} \|\dot{\mathbf{d}}_0\|_{L^1(|y| \geq \lambda R)} + \{R^{-1} + (t\lambda)^{-1/2}\} \|\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{d}}_0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10.1 and (10.20),

$$\begin{aligned} \|IV_1\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-3/4} \|\zeta_R \mathbf{d}_{\lambda}(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{d}_{\lambda}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-3/4} \tau^{-1/4} \|\zeta_R \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau + \varepsilon^2 \lambda^{-1/2} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-3/4} \tau^{-3/4} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-3/4} \tau^{-1/4} \|\zeta_R \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{\lambda}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau + \varepsilon^2 \lambda^{-1/2} t^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (10.13),

$$\|IV_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-1/2} \|\zeta_R \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_\lambda(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-1/2} \tau^{-7/8} d\tau \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-3/8}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}_y \tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda(t, \eta) = 0$  for  $\eta \notin [-\lambda\eta_0, \lambda\eta_0]$ , it follows from (10.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda(\tau, \cdot)\|_{H^{1/4}} &\lesssim \lambda^{1/4} \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} \tau^{-1/2}, \\ \|IV_3\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-7/8} \tau^{-1/2} ds \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-3/8}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 10.1, (2.13) and (10.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_\lambda\|_{H^{1/4}} &\lesssim \|\bar{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda\|_{H^{1/4}} + \lambda \|\partial_y^{-1} \tilde{\omega}(\lambda^{-1} D_y) \mathbf{d}_\lambda\|_{H^{1/4}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{1/4} \|\bar{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda\|_{L^2} + \lambda^{-1/4} \|\mathbf{d}_\lambda\|_{H^{1/2}} \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|IV_4\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-7/8} \left\| \zeta_R \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_\lambda(\tau) \right\|_{H^{1/4}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-7/8} \tau^{-1/2} d\tau \lesssim \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-3/8}. \end{aligned}$$

By (10.12) and (10.13),

$$\|IV_5\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_y \zeta_R\|_{L^\infty} \left( \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\lambda(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_\lambda(\tau)\|_{L^2} \right) d\tau \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{R} (t^{1/4} + t^{1/8}).$$

By (10.7), (10.14), (10.20) and (10.21),

$$\begin{aligned} \|IV_6\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \int_0^t \{ \|\partial_y^2 \zeta_r\|_{L^\infty} + (t-\tau)^{-1/2} \|\partial_y \zeta_R\|_{L^\infty} \} \left( \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}''_\lambda(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|2\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(\tau) + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'''_\lambda(\tau)\|_{L^2} \right) d\tau \\ &\lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{R} \int_0^t \{1 + (t-\tau)^{-1/2}\} \{(\lambda\tau)^{-1/2} + \tau^{-1/4}\} d\tau \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{R} \langle t \rangle^{3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we have for  $t \in (0, t_2)$ ,

$$\|\zeta_R \mathbf{d}_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-1/4} \|\dot{\mathbf{d}}_0\|_{L^1(|y| \geq \lambda R)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{R} + \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} t^{-1/2} + \varepsilon \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-3/4} \tau^{-1/4} \|\zeta_R \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_\lambda(\tau)\| d\tau,$$

and if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, t_2)} t^{1/2} \|\zeta_R \mathbf{d}_\lambda(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(t_1, t_2) \left( \|\dot{\mathbf{d}}_0\|_{L^1(|y| \geq \lambda R)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{R} + \varepsilon \lambda^{-1/4} \right),$$

where  $C(t_2)$  is a constant depending only on  $t_2$ . Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 1.4

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Corollary 10.2 and Lemma 10.4 imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \|\mathbf{d}_{\lambda_n}(t, y) - \mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

and that  $\mathbf{d}_\infty(t, y)$  is a solutions of (10.5) satisfying  $\|\mathbf{d}_\infty(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon t^{-1/4}$  for every  $t > 0$ .

Let  $m_{\pm} \in (-2\sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2})$  be constants satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2} \pm m_{\pm}}{2\sqrt{2} \mp m_{\pm}} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{d}_{\pm}(0, y) dy.$$

Then for every  $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_B^{\pm}(t, y) h(y) dy = h(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{d}_{\pm}(0, y) dy.$$

If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, then solutions of (10.5) satisfying (10.15) and (10.18) are unique (see e.g. [23, pp.74–75]). Hence it follows that

$$(10.25) \quad \mathbf{d}_{\infty}(t, y) = \begin{pmatrix} u_B^+(t, y + 4t) \\ u_B^-(t, y - 4t) \end{pmatrix},$$

and that  $\mathbf{d}_{\infty}(t, y)$  satisfies (10.6). Thanks to the uniqueness of the limiting profile  $\mathbf{d}_{\infty}(t, y)$ , we have (10.4).

By (10.4) and (10.6),

$$(10.26) \quad t^{1/4} \|\mathbf{d}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{d}_{\infty}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\mathbf{d}_{\sqrt{t}}(1, \cdot) - \mathbf{d}_{\infty}(1, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

and Theorem 1.4 follows immediately from (10.3), (10.25) and (10.26). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. OPERATOR NORMS OF $S_k^j$

First, we recall the definitions of operators  $S_k^j$  and  $\tilde{S}^j$  used in [23, 24]. For  $q_c = \varphi_c, \varphi'_c, \partial_c \varphi_c$  and  $\partial_z^{-1} \partial_c^m \varphi_c(z) = -\int_z^{\infty} \partial_c^m \varphi_c(z_1) dz_1$  ( $m \geq 1$ ), let  $S_k^1[q_c]$  and  $S_k^2[q_c]$  be operators defined by

$$S_k^1[q_c](f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1) q_2(z) g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, 2) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta,$$

$$S_k^2[q_c](f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1) \tilde{c}(t, y_1) g_{k2}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta,$$

where

$$\delta q_c(z) = \frac{q_c(z) - q_2(z)}{c-2}, \quad g_{k2}^*(z, \eta, c) = g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, 2) \delta q_c(z) + \frac{g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c) - g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, 2)}{c-2} q_c(z),$$

$$\tilde{S}_0 = 3 \begin{pmatrix} -S_1^1[\partial_z^{-1} \partial_c \varphi_c] & S_1^1[\varphi_c] \\ -S_2^1[\partial_z^{-1} \partial_c \varphi_c] & S_2^1[\varphi_c] \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{S}_j = \begin{pmatrix} -S_1^j[\partial_c \varphi_c] & S_1^j[\varphi'_c] \\ -S_2^j[\partial_c \varphi_c] & S_2^j[\varphi'_c] \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

Let  $S_k^3[p]$  and  $S_k^4[p]$  be operators defined by

$$S_k^3[p](f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1) p(z + 3t + L) g_k^*(z, \eta) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta,$$

$$S_k^4[p](f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1) \tilde{c}(t, y_1) p(z + 3t + L) \\ \times g_{k3}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta,$$

where  $g_{k3}^*(z, \eta, c) = (c - 2)^{-1}(g_k^*(z, \eta, c) - g_k^*(z, \eta))$  and  $p(z) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $S_k^5$  and  $S_k^6$  be operators defined by

$$S_k^5(f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y_1) f(y_1) \partial_c g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta,$$

$$S_k^6(f)(t, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y_1) f(y_1) \partial_z g_k^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta.$$

Let  $\tilde{S}_3 = S_1^3[\psi]E_1 + S_2^3[\psi]E_{21}$ ,

$$\tilde{S}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} S_1^3[\psi]((\sqrt{2/c} - 1)\cdot) + S_1^4[\psi](\sqrt{2/c}\cdot) & -2(S_1^3[\psi'] + S_1^4[\psi'])((\sqrt{2c} - 2)\cdot) \\ S_2^3[\psi]((\sqrt{2/c} - 1)\cdot) + S_2^4[\psi](\sqrt{2/c}\cdot) & -2(S_2^3[\psi'] + S_2^4[\psi'])((\sqrt{2c} - 2)\cdot) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{S}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} S_1^5 & S_1^6 \\ S_2^5 & S_2^6 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\bar{S}_j = \tilde{S}_j(I + \tilde{C}_2)^{-1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , where

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \tilde{P}_1 \left\{ (c(t, \cdot)/2)^{1/2} - 1 \right\} \tilde{P}_1, \quad \tilde{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_2 E_1.$$

Now we decompose the operator  $S_k^j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 6$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ) into a sum of a time-dependent constant multiple of  $\tilde{P}_1$  and an operator which belongs to  $\partial_y^2 B(Y)$ . Let

$$(A.1) \quad S_{k1}^3[p](t) f(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1) p(z + 3t + L) g_k^*(z, 0) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta$$

$$= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(z + 3t + L) g_k^*(z, 0) dz \right) \tilde{P}_1 f,$$

$$(A.2) \quad S_{k2}^3[p](f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1) p(z + 3t + L) g_{k1}^*(z, \eta) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta,$$

$$(A.3) \quad S_{k1}^4[p](f)(t, y) = \tilde{P}_1 \left\{ \tilde{c}(t, \cdot) f \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(z + 3t + L) g_{k3}^*(z, 0, c(t, \cdot)) dz \right\},$$

$$(A.4) \quad S_{k2}^4[p](f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1) \tilde{c}(t, y_1) p(z + 3t + L)$$

$$\times g_{k4}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta,$$

where  $g_{k4}^*(z, \eta, c) = \eta^{-2} \{ g_{k3}^*(z, \eta, c) - g_{k3}^*(z, 0, c) \}$  and

$$(A.5) \quad S_{k1}^5(f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y_1) f(y_1) \partial_c g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta,$$

$$(A.6) \quad S_{k2}^5(f)(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y_1) f(y_1) \partial_c g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta,$$

$$(A.7) \quad S_{k1}^6(f)(t, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y_1) f(y_1) \partial_z g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta,$$

$$(A.8) \quad S_{k2}^6(f)(t, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y_1) f(y_1) \partial_z g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta.$$

Then  $S_k^j = S_{k1}^j - \partial_y^2 S_{k2}^j$  for  $j = 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

**Claim A.1.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ . There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\eta_1$  such that for  $\eta \in (0, \eta_1]$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(A.9) \quad \|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^3[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\|e^{\alpha z}p\|_{L^2}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})},$$

$$(A.10) \quad \|S_{k1}^3[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_Y + \|S_{k2}^3[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_Y \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\|e^{\alpha z}p\|_{L^2}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

$$(A.11) \quad \|S_{k1}^3[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} + \|S_{k2}^3[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\|e^{\alpha z}p\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{P}_1 f\|_{Y_1}.$$

**Claim A.2.** There exist positive constants  $\eta_1$ ,  $\delta$  and  $C$  such that if  $\eta_0 \in (0, \eta_1]$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $f \in L^2$ ,

$$(A.12) \quad \|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^4[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\|e^{\alpha z}p\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{c}\|_Y\|f\|_{L^2},$$

$$(A.13) \quad \|S_{k1}^4[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} + \|S_{k2}^4[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\|e^{\alpha z}p\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{c}\|_Y\|f\|_{L^2}.$$

**Claim A.3.** There exist positive constants  $\eta_1$ ,  $\delta$  and  $C$  such that if  $\eta_0 \in (0, \eta_1]$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $f \in L^2$ ,

$$(A.14) \quad \|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^5(f)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^6(f)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|v_2(t)\|_X\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

$$(A.15) \quad \sum_{j=5,6} \left( \|S_{k1}^j(f)(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} + \|S_{k2}^j(f)(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} \right) \leq C\|v_2(t)\|_X\|f\|_{L^2}.$$

*Proof of Claims A.1–A.3.* Since  $\chi(D_y)\tilde{P}_1 = \chi(D_y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^3[p](f)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(z + 3t + L)g_k^*(z, 0) dz \right\| \|\tilde{\chi} * f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\chi}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\|e^{\alpha z}p(z + 3t + L)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|e^{-\alpha z}g_k^*(z, 0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^5(f)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\chi}(y - y_1)f(y_1) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2(t, z, y_1)\partial_c g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, y_1)) dz \right\} dy_1 \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|\tilde{\chi}\|_{L^1}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2(t, z, \cdot)\partial_c g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, \cdot)) dz \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|v_2(t)\|_X \sup_{c \in [2-\delta, 2+\delta]} \|e^{-\alpha z}\partial_c g_k^*(z, 0, c)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|v_2(t)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have (A.12) and  $\|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^6(f)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|v_2(t)\|_X$ .

We can prove (A.10), (A.11), (A.13) and (A.15) in exactly the same way as the proof of Claims B.3–B.5 in [23]. Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

For  $\ell = 1, 2$ , let

$$(A.16) \quad \tilde{S}_{3\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1\ell}^3[\psi] & 0 \\ S_{2\ell}^3[\psi] & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(A.17) \quad \tilde{S}_{4\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1\ell}^3[\psi](\sqrt{2/c} - 1) + S_{1\ell}^4[\psi](\sqrt{2/c}) & -2(S_{1\ell}^3[\psi'] + S_{1\ell}^4[\psi'])(\sqrt{2c} - 2) \\ S_{1\ell}^4[\psi](\sqrt{2/c} - 1) + S_{2\ell}^4[\psi](\sqrt{2/c}) & -2(S_{2\ell}^3[\psi'] + S_{2\ell}^4[\psi'])(\sqrt{2c} - 2) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(A.18) \quad \tilde{S}_{5\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1\ell}^5 & S_{1\ell}^6 \\ S_{2\ell}^5 & S_{2\ell}^6 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\bar{S}_{j\ell} = \tilde{S}_{j\ell}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2)^{-1}$ . Then  $\tilde{S}_j = \tilde{S}_{j1} - \partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_{j2}$  and  $\bar{S}_j = \bar{S}_{j1} - \partial_y^2 \bar{S}_{j2}$  for  $j = 3, 4, 5$ .

**Claim A.4.** *There exist positive constants  $\eta_1, \delta$  and  $C$  such that if  $\eta_0 \in (0, \eta_1]$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $k = 1, 2$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$(A.19) \quad \|\chi(D_y)\mathcal{C}_k\|_{B(L^2;L^1)} \leq C\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\langle t \rangle^{-1/4},$$

$$(A.20) \quad \|\chi(D_y)\tilde{S}_{31}\|_{B(L^1(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\tilde{S}_{31}\|_{B(Y_1)} \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)},$$

$$(A.21) \quad \|\chi(D_y)(\bar{S}_{31} - \tilde{S}_{31})\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),L^1(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\bar{S}_{31} - \tilde{S}_{31}\|_{B(Y,Y_1)} \leq C\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\langle t \rangle^{-1/4}e^{-\alpha(3t+L)},$$

$$(A.22) \quad \sum_{k=1,2} \left( \|\tilde{S}_{3k}\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} + \|\bar{S}_{3k}\|_{B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)} \right) \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)},$$

$$(A.23) \quad \|\chi(D_y)\tilde{S}_{41}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),L^1(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\chi(D_y)\bar{S}_{41}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),L^1(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-1/4}e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T),$$

$$(A.24) \quad \sum_{k=1,2} \left( \|\tilde{S}_{4k}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),Y_1)} + \|\bar{S}_{4k}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),Y_1)} \right) \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-1/4}e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T),$$

$$(A.25) \quad \|\chi(D_y)\tilde{S}_{51}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),L^1(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\chi(D_y)\bar{S}_{51}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),L^1(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-3/4}\mathbb{M}_2(T),$$

$$(A.26) \quad \sum_{k=1,2} \left( \|\tilde{S}_{5k}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),Y_1)} + \|\bar{S}_{5k}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}),Y_1)} \right) \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-3/4}\mathbb{M}_2(T).$$

*Proof.* By the definition, we have for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\|\chi(D_y)\mathcal{C}_1 f\|_{L^1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \check{\chi}_1 * (c^2 - 4)\tilde{P}_1 f \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\|f\|_{L^2}.$$

We can prove  $\|\chi(D_y)\mathcal{C}_2 f\|_{L^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\|f\|_{L^2}$  in the same way.

Equation (A.20) follows from Claim A.1. Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 f$ . Since  $\chi(\eta) = \chi(\eta)\chi_1(\eta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(D_y)S_{k1}^3[p](f_1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(\eta)\hat{f}_1(\eta)e^{iy\eta} d\eta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(z + 3t + L)g_k^*(z, 0) dz \right) \\ &= \chi(D_y)S_{k1}^3[p](\chi_1(D_y)f_1), \end{aligned}$$

and it follow from Claim A.1 that

$$\|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^3[p](f_1)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\|e^{\alpha z}p\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|\chi_1(D_y)f_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Combining the above and (A.19) with  $\chi$  replaced by  $\chi_1$ , we have for  $k = 1, 2$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$(A.27) \quad \|\chi(D_y)S_{k1}^3[p](\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1/4}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Since  $\tilde{S}_{31} - \bar{S}_{31} = \tilde{S}_{31}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2(I + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2)^{-1}$  and  $I + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2$  has a bounded inverse on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , (A.21) follows immediately from Claim A.1 and (A.27). We can prove (A.23) and (A.25) in the same way.

Equations (A.22)–(A.26) follow from Claims A.1, A.2 and A.3.  $\square$

Let  $\ell_{2,lin}$  be the linear part of  $\ell_{22} + \ell_{23}$  in  $\tilde{c}$  (see [24, p.166]),

$$\tilde{a}_k(t, D_y)\tilde{c} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell_{2,lin}(t, z, y_1)g_k^*(z, \eta)e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta \text{ for } k = 1, 2,$$

and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t) = \tilde{a}_1(t, D_y)E_1 + \tilde{a}_2(t, D_y)E_{21}$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_k(t, \eta) = & \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \partial_z (\partial_z^2 - 1 + 6\varphi(z)) \psi(z + 3t + L) \} g_k^*(z, \eta) dz \right. \\ & \left. + 3\eta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_z^\infty \psi(z_1 + 3t + L) dz_1 \right) g_k^*(z, \eta) dz \right] \mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]}(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1j}(t) = \tilde{a}_{1j}(t)E_1 + \tilde{a}_{2j}E_{21}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{k1}(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \partial_z (\partial_z^2 - 1 + 6\varphi(z)) \psi(z + 3t + L) \} g_k^*(z, 0) dz, \\ \tilde{a}_{k2}(t, \eta) &= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \partial_z (\partial_z^2 - 1 + 6\varphi(z)) \psi(z + 3t + L) \} g_{k1}^*(z, \eta) dz \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_z^\infty \psi(z_1 + 3t + L) dz_1 \right) g_k^*(z, \eta) dz \right] \mathbf{1}_{[-\eta_0, \eta_0]}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{11}(t) - \partial_y^2 \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{12}(t)$  and we have the following.

**Claim A.5.** *There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $L_0$  such that if  $L \geq L_0$ , then for every  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$(A.28) \quad \|\chi(D_y)\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{11}(t)\|_{B(L^1(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{11}(t)\|_{B(Y_1)} \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)},$$

$$(A.29) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{12}(t)\|_{B(Y)} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{12}(t)\|_{B(Y_1)} \leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)}.$$

Since  $\chi(D_y)\tilde{P}_1 = \chi(D_y)$ ,  $\chi \in C_0^\infty$  and  $\check{\chi}$  is integrable, we have (A.28). Equation (A.29) can be shown in exactly the same way as [23, Claims D.3].

## APPENDIX B. ESTIMATES OF $R^j$

Let  $R_k^2$  be as in [23, p. 39],  $R_k^3 = R_k^{31} - \partial_y^2 R_k^{32}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} R_k^{31}(t, y) &:= \tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\ell_{22} + \ell_{23}) g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, y_1)) dz - \tilde{P}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell_{2,lin} g_k^*(z, 0) dz, \\ R_k^{32}(t, y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\ell_{22} + \ell_{23}) g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ell_{2,lin} g_{k1}^*(z, \eta) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dz dy_1 d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following.

**Claim B.1.** ([23, Claim D.1]) *There exist positive constants  $\delta$  and  $C$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\|R_k^2(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} \leq C\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|\partial_y R_k^2(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} \leq C\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-5/4}.$$

**Claim B.2.** *There exist positive constants  $\delta$  and  $C$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_k^3(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} + \|R_{k2}^3(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} &\leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2, \\ \|R_{k1}^3(t, \cdot)\|_{Y_1} + \|\chi(D_y)R_{k1}^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &\leq Ce^{-\alpha(3t+L)} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove Claim B.2 in exactly the same way as Claim D.2 in [23]. Note that  $\chi(D_y)\tilde{P}_1 = \chi(D_y)$  and  $\chi(D_y) \in B(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$ .

In this paper, we slightly modify the definitions of  $R_k^4$  and  $R_k^5$  from [23, 24]. We move  $II_{k1}^1$  into  $R_k^5$  from  $R_k^4$ . Let

$$(B.1) \quad R_k^4(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \{II_{k2}^1(t, \eta) + II_{k3}^1(t, \eta) + II_k^2(t, \eta) + II_{k1}^3(t, \eta)\} e^{iy\eta} d\eta,$$

$$(B.2) \quad R_k^5(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \{II_{k1}^1(t, \eta) + II_{k2}^3(t, \eta)\} e^{iy\eta} d\eta.$$

See [24, p.166] for the definitions of  $II_{kj}^3$ . For the definitions of  $II_{kj}^1$ , replace  $v(t, z, y)$  by  $v_2(t, z, y)$  in  $II_{kj}^1$  defined in the proof of [23, Claim D.5]. We decompose  $R_k^4$  further. For  $j, k, \ell = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{jk1}(t, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2(t, z, y) \left( \int_{-\infty}^z \partial_c^j g_k^*(z_1, 0, c(t, y)) dz_1 \right) dz, \\ h_{jk2}(t, y, \eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_2(t, z, y) \left( \int_{-\infty}^z \partial_c^j g_{k1}^*(z_1, \eta, c(t, y)) dz_1 \right) dz, \\ II_{k2\ell}^1(t, \eta) &= 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} c_{yy}(t, y) h_{1k\ell}(t, y) e^{-iy\eta} dy, \quad II_{k3\ell}^1(t, \eta) = 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} c_y(t, y)^2 h_{2k\ell}(t, y) e^{-iy\eta} dy, \end{aligned}$$

Then  $II_{k2}^1 + II_{k3}^1 = \dot{II}_{1,k} + \eta^2 \overset{\circ}{II}_{1,k}, \dot{II}_{1,k} = II_{k21}^1 + II_{k31}^1, \overset{\circ}{II}_{1,k} = II_{k22}^1 + II_{k32}^1$  and

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \dot{II}_{1,k}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\overset{\circ}{II}_{1,k}(t, \cdot)\|_{Z_1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-3/2} \mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \mathbb{M}_2(T) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} II_{k1}^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N_{2,1} \partial_z g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\ II_{k2}^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N_{2,1} \partial_z g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\ II_{k11}^3 &= -3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y) x_{yy}(t, y) g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy, \\ II_{k12}^3 &= -3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_2(t, z, y) x_{yy}(t, y) g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y)) e^{-iy\eta} dz dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} R_k^{41}(t, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \left\{ \dot{II}_k^1(t, \eta) + II_{k1}^2(t, \eta) + II_{k11}^3(t, \eta) \right\} e^{iy\eta} d\eta, \\ R_k^{42}(t, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \left\{ \overset{\circ}{II}_{k1}^1(t, \eta) + II_{k2}^2(t, \eta) + II_{k12}^3(t, \eta) \right\} e^{iy\eta} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $R_k^4 = R_k^{41} - \partial_y^2 R_k^{42}$ . Let  $R_k^6 = R_k^{61} - \partial_y^2 R_k^{62}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} R_k^{61} &= -6\tilde{P}_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{c(t, y_1), L}(z + 3t) v_2(t, z, y_1) \partial_z g_k^*(z, 0, c(t, y_1)) dz \right), \\ R_k^{62} &= -\frac{3}{\pi} \int_{-\eta_0}^{\eta_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_{c(t, y_1), L}(z + 3t) v_2(t, z, y_1) \partial_z g_{k1}^*(z, \eta, c(t, y_1)) e^{i(y-y_1)\eta} dy_1 dz d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove the following in the same way as [23, Claim D.5].

**Claim B.3.** *Suppose  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ . If  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(D_y)R_k^{41}(t)\|_{L^1} + \|R_k^{41}(t)\|_{Y_1} + \|R_k^{42}(t)\|_{Y_1} &\leq C\langle t \rangle^{-3/2}(\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) + \mathbb{M}_1(T) + \mathbb{M}_2(T))\mathbb{M}_2(T), \\ \|R_k^5(t)\|_{Y_1} &\leq C\langle t \rangle^{-1}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\mathbb{M}_2(T), \quad \|R_k^5(t)\|_Y \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-5/4}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\mathbb{M}_2(T), \\ \|\chi(D_y)R_k^{61}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|R_k^{61}\|_{Y_1} + \|R_k^{62}\|_{Y_1} &\leq C\langle t \rangle^{-1}e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)\mathbb{M}_2(T). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R^9 = R^{91} - \partial_y^2 R^{92}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} R^{91} &= -6 \sum_{3 \leq j \leq 5} \bar{S}_{j1} \{(I + \mathcal{C}_2)(c_y x_y) - (b x_y)_y\} \mathbf{e}_1, \\ R^{92} &= -6 \sum_{3 \leq j \leq 5} \bar{S}_{j2} \{(I + \mathcal{C}_2)(c_y x_y) - (b x_y)_y\} \mathbf{e}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using Claims A.1–A.3 and boundedness of operators  $\partial_y$ ,  $\bar{S}_1$ ,  $\bar{S}_2$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2$  ([23, pp.83–84], [23, Claims 6.1, B.6]), we have the following.

**Claim B.4.** *There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\delta$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^8(t)\|_{Y_1} &\leq C\langle t \rangle^{-1}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2, \quad \|R^8(t)\|_Y \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-5/4}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2, \\ \|\chi(D_y)R^{91}(t)\|_{L^1} + \|R^{91}(t)\|_{Y_1} + \|R^{92}(t)\|_{Y_1} &\leq C(e^{-\alpha L} + \mathbb{M}_2(T))\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2\langle t \rangle^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $R^{10} = (\partial_y^2 \tilde{S}_0 - B_2)(b_y - c_y)\mathbf{e}_1$ , we have the following from [23, Claim D.6] and the fact that  $\tilde{S}_0 \in B(Y) \cap B(Y_1)$ .

**Claim B.5.** *There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\delta$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\|R^{10}(t)\|_{Y_1} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-1}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2, \quad \|R^{10}(t)\|_Y \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-5/4}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2.$$

Let  $R^{11} = R^{11,1} - \partial_y^2 R^{11,2}$  and

$$R^{11,1} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{11}(t)(\tilde{c} - b)\mathbf{e}_1, \quad R^{11,2} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{12}(t)(\tilde{c} - b)\mathbf{e}_1.$$

**Claim B.6.** *There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\delta$  such that if  $\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T) \leq \delta$ , then for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$\|\chi(D_y)R^{11,1}\|_{L^1} + \|R^{11,1}\|_{Y_1} + \|R^{11,2}\|_{Y_1} \leq C e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\langle t \rangle^{-1/2}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2.$$

*Proof.* By the definition,

$$\chi(D_y)R^{11,1}(t) = \chi(D_y)\{\tilde{c}(t, \cdot) - b(t, \cdot)\}(\tilde{a}_{11}(t)\mathbf{e}_1 + \tilde{a}_{21}(t)\mathbf{e}_2).$$

Claim A.5 and (9.10) imply

$$\|\chi(D_y)R^{11,1}(t)\|_{L^1} \lesssim (|\tilde{a}_{11}(t)| + |\tilde{a}_{12}(t)|)\|\tilde{c}(t)\|_Y^2 \lesssim e^{-\alpha(3t+L)}\langle t \rangle^{-1/2}\mathbb{M}_{c,x}(T)^2.$$

We can prove the rest in the similar manner by using Claim A.5. Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

APPENDIX C. ESTIMATES FOR  $k(t, y)$ 

By Lemmas 3.1 and 7.2, the  $L^2$ -norm of  $k(t, y)$  decays like  $t^{-2}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Claim C.1.** *Suppose that  $\inf_{t \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}} x_t(t, y) \geq c_1$  for a  $c_1 > 0$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\delta$  and  $C$  such that if  $\|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \delta$ , then*

$$\|k(t, y)\|_{L^2} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-2} \|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Next, we will give an upper bound of the growth rate of  $\|k(t, y)\|_{L^1}$  when  $v_*(x, y)$  is polynomially localized in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Claim C.2.** *Let  $\tilde{v}_1$  be a solution of (3.8). There exist positive constant  $C$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  such that if  $\|\langle x \rangle (\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \varepsilon_0$ , then for every  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\|\langle y \rangle k(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \langle t \rangle \|\langle x \rangle (\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle) v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (3.8) by  $2(1 + y^2)\tilde{v}_1$  and integrating the resulting equation over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we have after some integration by parts,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + y^2) \tilde{v}_1(t, x, y)^2 dx dy = 12 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} y \tilde{v}_1(t, x, y) (\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y \tilde{v}_1)(t, x, y) dx dy.$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and Lemma 7.3 and the definition of  $\mathbb{M}'_1(\infty)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle y \rangle \tilde{v}_1(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\langle y \rangle v_*\|_{L^2} + 6 \int_0^t \|\partial_x^{-1} \partial_y \tilde{v}_1(s)\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \mathbb{M}'_1(\infty) t \\ &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \left( \|\langle x \rangle^2 v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right) t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17K05332.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Alexander, R. L. Pego and R. L. Sachs, *On the transverse instability of solitary waves in the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Phys. Lett. A **226** (1997), 187–192.
- [2] O. V. Besov, V. P. Il'in and S. M. Nikol'skii. *Integral representations of functions and imbedding theorems* Vol. I (New York-Toronto: J. Wiley & Sons, 1978).
- [3] J. Bourgain, *On the Cauchy problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, GAFA **3** (1993), 315–341.
- [4] S. P. Burtsev, *Damping of soliton oscillations in media with a negative dispersion law*, Sov. Phys. JETP **61** (1985).
- [5] S. Cuccagna, *On asymptotic stability in 3D of kinks for the  $\phi^4$  model*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 2581–2614.
- [6] A. de Bouard and Y. Martel, *Non existence of  $L^2$ -compact solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation*, Math. Ann. **328** (2004) 525–544.
- [7] A. de Bouard and J. C. Saut, *Remarks on the stability of generalized KP solitary waves*, Mathematical problems in the theory of water waves, 75–84, Contemp. Math. **200**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [8] A. Grünrock, M. Panthee and J. Drumond Silva, *On KP-II equations on cylinders*, Ann. IHP Analyse non linéaire **26** (2009), 2335–2358.
- [9] M. Hadac, *Well-posedness of the KP-II equation and generalizations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 6555–6572.

- [10] M. Hadac, S. Herr and H. Koch, *Well-posedness and scattering for the KP-II equation in a critical space*, Ann. IHP Analyse non linéaire **26** (2009), 917-941.
- [11] M. Haragus, *Transverse spectral stability of small periodic traveling waves for the KP equation*, Stud. Appl. Math. **126** (2011), 157-185.
- [12] M. Haragus, Jin Li and D. E. Pelinovsky, *Counting Unstable Eigenvalues in Hamiltonian Spectral Problems via Commuting Operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **354** (2017), 247-268.
- [13] P. Isaza and J. Mejia, *Local and global Cauchy problems for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP-II) equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **26** (2001), 1027-1057.
- [14] M. A. Johnson and K. Zumbrun, *Transverse instability of periodic traveling waves in the generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **42** (2010), 2681-2702.
- [15] B. B. Kadomtsev and V. I. Petviashvili, *On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media*, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **15** (1970), 539-541.
- [16] T. Kapitula, *Multidimensional stability of planar traveling waves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 257-269.
- [17] G. Karch, *Self-similar large time behavior of solutions to Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation*. Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A: Theory Methods **35** (1999), 199-219.
- [18] H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Dispersive estimates for principally normal pseudodifferential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), 217-284.
- [19] H. Koch and D. Tataru, *A priori bounds for the 1D cubic NLS in negative Sobolev spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2007), Art. ID rnm053.
- [20] C. D. Levermore and J. X. Xin, *Multidimensional stability of traveling waves in a bistable reaction-diffusion equation, II*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **17** (1992), 1901-1924.
- [21] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **79** (2000), 339-425.
- [22] T. Mizumachi, *Asymptotic stability of lattice solitons in the energy space*, Comm. Math. Phys. **288** (2009), 125-144.
- [23] T. Mizumachi, *Stability of line solitons for the KP-II equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Mem. of AMS **238** (2015), 1125.
- [24] T. Mizumachi, *Stability of line solitons for the KP-II equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A. **148** (2018), 149-198.
- [25] T. Mizumachi, R. L. Pego and J. R. Quintero, *Asymptotic stability of solitary waves in the Benney-Luke model of water waves*, Differential Integral Equations **26** (2013), 253-301.
- [26] T. Mizumachi and Y. Shimabukuro, *Asymptotic linear stability of Benney-Luke line solitary waves in 2D*, Nonlinearity **30** (2017), 3419-3465.
- [27] T. Mizumachi and N. Tzvetkov, *Stability of the line soliton of the KP-II equation under periodic transverse perturbations*, Mathematische Annalen **352** (2012), 659-690.
- [28] T. Mizumachi and N. Tzvetkov,  *$L^2$ -stability of solitary waves for the KdV equation via Pego and Weinstein's method*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu B49 (2014): Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, eds. M. Sugimoto and H. Kubo, pp.33-63.
- [29] L. Molinet, J. C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov, *Global well-posedness for the KP-II equation on the background of a non-localized solution*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **28** (2011), 653-676.
- [30] G. Pedersen, *Nonlinear modulations of solitary waves*, J. Fluid Mech. **267** (1994), 83-108.
- [31] R. L. Pego and M. I. Weinstein, *Asymptotic stability of solitary waves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), 305-349.
- [32] F. Rousset and N. Tzvetkov, *Transverse nonlinear instability for two-dimensional dispersive models*, Ann. IHP, Analyse Non Linéaire **26** (2009), 477-496.
- [33] F. Rousset and N. Tzvetkov, *Transverse nonlinear instability for some Hamiltonian PDE's*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **90** (2008), 550-590.
- [34] F. Rousset and N. Tzvetkov, *Stability and instability of the KDV solitary wave under the KP-I flow*, Commun. Math. Phys. **313** (2012), 155-173.
- [35] J. C. Saut, *Remarks on the generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), 1011-1026.
- [36] H. Takaoka, *Global well-posedness for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **6** (2000), 483-499.
- [37] H. Takaoka and N. Tzvetkov, *On the local regularity of Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-II equation*, IMRN **8** (2001), 77-114.

- [38] N. Tzvetkov, *Global low regularity solutions for Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Diff. Int. Eq. **13** (2000), 1289-1320.
- [39] S. Ukai, *Local solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, J. Fac. Sc. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **36** (1989), 193–209.
- [40] J. X. Xin, *Multidimensional stability of traveling waves in a bistable reaction-diffusion equation, I*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **17** (1992), 1889–1899.
- [41] V. Zakharov, *Instability and nonlinear oscillations of solitons*, JEPT Lett. **22**(1975), 172-173.