

Extremal functions of generalized critical Hardy inequalities

Megumi Sano^a

^a*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

Abstract

In this paper, we show the existence and non-existence of minimizers of the following minimization problems which include an open problem mentioned by Horiuchi and Kumlin [20]:

$$G_a := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}, \text{ where } f_{a,\beta}(x) := |x|^{-N} \left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|} \right)^{-\beta}.$$

First, we give an answer to the open problem when $\Omega = B_R(0)$. Next, we investigate the minimization problems on general bounded domains. In this case, the results depend on the shape of the domain Ω . Finally, symmetry breaking property of the minimizers is proved for sufficiently large β .

Keywords: Critical Hardy inequality, Optimal constant, Extremal function, Symmetry breaking

2010 MSC: 35A23, 35J20, 46B50

1. Introduction

Let $N \geq 2$, Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $0 \in \Omega$, and $1 < p < N$. The classical Hardy inequality holds for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$\left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad (1)$$

Email address: megumisano0609@gmail.com (Megumi Sano)

where $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a completion of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\nabla(\cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$. We refer the celebrated work by G. H. Hardy [17]. The inequality (1) has great applications to partial differential equations, for example stability, global existence, and instantaneous blow-up and so on. See e.g. [6], [3]. It is well-known that in (1) $(\frac{N-p}{p})^p$ is the optimal constant and is not attained in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, in the critical case where $p = N$, the following inequality which is called the critical Hardy inequality holds for all $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ and all $a \geq 1$, where $R = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|$:

$$\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^N}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^N} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx. \quad (2)$$

See e.g. [25], [24], [4], [5], [15] Corollary 9.1.2., [28], [34]. It is known that in (2) $(\frac{N-1}{N})^N$ is the optimal constant and is not attained for any bounded domain Ω with $0 \in \Omega$ (see [2], [1], [22], [7] etc.).

In this paper, we consider optimal constants and its attainability of the following inequalities (3) which are generalizations of (2):

$$G_a \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx \quad (3)$$

for $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, $q, \beta > 1$, and $a \geq 1$. We define G_a and $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ as the optimal constants of the inequalities (3) as follows:

$$G_a := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}, \quad G_{a,\text{rad}} := \inf_{u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}, \quad (4)$$

where $W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(\Omega) = \{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \mid u \text{ is radial}\}$. When $\Omega = B_R(0)$, $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$, and $q > N$, the exact optimal constant and the attainability of $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ are investigated by Horiuchi and Kumlin [20]. However we do not know the attainability of G_a even if $\Omega = B_R(0)$. In fact, in their article [20] they mention that the attainability of G_a is an open problem. See also [19]. Note that the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0)) \hookrightarrow L^q(B_R(0); |x|^{-N} (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^{-\beta} dx)$ is not compact when $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$, $q \geq N$, and $a > 1$. In addition, the rearrangement technique does not work due to the lack of monotone decreasing property of the potential function $|x|^{-N} (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^{-\beta}$ when $1 \leq a < e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$.

In this paper, we study the existence, non-existence, and symmetry breaking property of the minimizers of G_a . First, we give an answer to the open problem except for $a = a_*$ which is a threshold number when $\Omega = B_R(0)$. More precisely, we show that there exists a minimizer of G_a for $a \in (1, a_*)$ and there is no minimizer for $a > a_*$. Next, we extend the results to general bounded domains. Furthermore we investigate the positivity and the attainability of G_1 in general bounded domains. When $a = 1$, the positivity and the attainability of G_1 depend on geometry of the boundary of the domain since the potential function has singularities on the boundary. Finally, we show that when $\Omega = B_R(0)$, any minimizers of G_a are non-radial for large β and fixed $q > N$, and any minimizers are radial for any β and any $q \leq N$.

Our problem is regarded as the critical case of one of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities, see [20]. In the weighted subcritical Sobolev spaces $W_0^{1,p}(|x|^\alpha dx)$ where $p < N + \alpha$, the existence, nonexistence, and symmetry breaking property of the minimizers of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities are well-studied especially for $p = 2$, see [35], [26], [12], [18], [8], [9], [10], [33], [14], [16], [11] and references therein.

Our minimization problem (4) is related to the following nonlinear elliptic equation with the singular potential:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{N-2}\nabla u) = b \frac{|u|^{q-2}u}{|x|^N(\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The minimizer for G_a is a ground state solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation (5) with a Lagrange multiplier b .

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, necessary preliminary facts are presented. In section 3, we prove the (non-)attainability of G_a when $\Omega = B_R(0)$ and $a > 1$. In section 4, we extend the results to several bounded domains, and we investigate the positivity and the attainability of G_1 in several bounded domains. In section 5, we show that symmetry breaking phenomena of the minimizers of G_a occur for large β .

We fix several notations: $B_R(0)$ and $B_R^N(0)$ denote a N -dimensional ball centered 0 with radius R and ω_{N-1} denotes an area of the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} in \mathbb{R}^N . $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The Schwarz symmetrization $u^\# : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ of u is given by

$$u^\#(x) = u^\#(|x|) = \inf \left\{ \tau > 0 : |\{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(y)| > \tau\}| \leq |B_{|x|}(0)| \right\}.$$

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition of the positivity of G_a for $a \in [1, \infty)$. Furthermore we give the explicit value of G_a , and the minimizers when $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$ and $q > N$. First, we give a necessary and sufficient condition (6) of the positivity of G_a when $a > 1$.

Proposition 1. *Let $a > 1$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with $0 \in \Omega$, $R = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|$, $N \geq 2$ and $q, \beta > 1$. Then $G_a > 0$ if and only if β and q satisfy*

$$\text{either } \beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1 \text{ or } \beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1, q \geq N. \quad (6)$$

Essentially, Proposition 1 is proved by the following theorem in [27]. The authors in [27] show a necessary and sufficient condition of the positivity for more general inequalities in the critical Sobolev-Lorentz spaces $H_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Note that the norm of $H_{N,N}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is equivalent to it of $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We can obtain Proposition 1 from Theorem A and simple calculations. We omit the proof here.

Theorem A . ([27] Theorem 1.1.) *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < r \leq \infty$ and $1 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in H_{p,r}^{\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the inequality*

$$\left(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} \frac{|u|^\alpha}{|x|^N (\log \frac{1}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C \|u\|_{H_{p,r}^{\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad (7)$$

holds true if and only if one of the following conditions (i)–(iii) is fulfilled

$$\begin{cases} (i) & 1 + \alpha - \beta < 0, \\ (ii) & 1 + \alpha - \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } r < \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha - \beta}, \\ (iii) & 1 + \alpha - \beta > 0, r = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha - \beta}, \text{ and } \alpha \geq \beta. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Next, we give a necessary and sufficient condition of the positivity of G_a when $a = 1$ and $\Omega = B_R(0)$. Essentially, the following proposition follows from results in [20].

Proposition 2. *Let $\Omega = B_R(0)$. Then $G_1 > 0$ if and only if $\beta = q = N$.*

In §4, we extend Proposition 2 to general bounded domains. Proposition 2 follows from Proposition 4 in §4. Thus we omit the proof of Proposition 2 here.

Finally, we give the explicit value of the optimal constant $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ and the minimizers when $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$ and $q > N$.

Logarithmic transformations related to $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ are founded by [20], [21], [36], [30]. Especially, in the radial setting, the authors in [30] show an unexpected relation (9) that the critical Hardy inequality in dimension $N \geq 2$ is equivalent to the one of the subcritical Hardy inequalities in higher dimension $m > N$ by using a transformation (10) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla u|^N dx - \left(\frac{m-N}{N}\right)^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{|u|^N}{|x|^N} dx \\ &= \frac{\omega_{m-1}}{\omega_{N-1}} \left(\frac{m-N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} \left(\int_{B_R^N(0)} |\nabla w|^N dy - \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^N \int_{B_R^N(0)} \frac{|w|^N}{|y|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|y|}\right)^N} dy \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{where } u(|x|) = w(|y|) \text{ and } \left(\log \frac{R}{|y|}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} = |x|^{-\frac{m-N}{N}}. \quad (10)$$

By using the transformation (10) and direct calculations, we can observe not only an equivalence between two Hardy inequalities but also the equivalence between Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities and generalized critical Hardy inequalities in the radial setting as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,\text{rad}} &= \inf_{w \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_R^N(0) \setminus \{0\})} \frac{\int_{B_R^N(0)} |\nabla w|^N dy}{\left(\int_{B_R^N(0)} \frac{|w|^q}{|y|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|y|}\right)^\beta} dy \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\omega_{N-1}}{\omega_{m-1}}\right)^{1-\frac{N}{q}} \left(\frac{N-1}{m-N}\right)^{N-1+\frac{N}{q}} \inf_{u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^m) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |x|^\alpha |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{m-N}{N-1}(\beta-1) - m$. The authors in [30] also give a transformation which is a modification of (10) when $a > 1$. Since the minimization problems on the right hand side of (11) are well-known (see e.g. [35], [26]), we can obtain the following proposition by using these transformations.

Proposition 3. *Let $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$, $q > N$, and $\Omega = B_R(0)$. Then the followings hold.*

(i) $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ is independent of $a \geq 1$. Furthermore, the exact value of the optimal constant is as follows:

$$G_{a,\text{rad}} = G_{\text{rad}} := \omega_{N-1}^{1-\frac{N}{q}} (N-1) \left(\frac{N}{q}\right)^{1-\frac{2N}{q}} \left(1 - \frac{N}{q}\right)^{-2+\frac{2N}{q}} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q(N-1)}{q-N}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{q-N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{qN}{q-N}\right)}\right)^{1-\frac{N}{q}},$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

(ii) $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ is not attained for any $a > 1$.

(iii) $G_{1,\text{rad}}$ is attained by the family of the following functions U_λ :

$$U_\lambda(y) = C \lambda^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} \left(1 + \left(\lambda \log \frac{R}{|y|}\right)^{-\frac{q-N}{N}}\right)^{-\frac{N}{q-N}}, \text{ where } C \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$$

Here, we give a simple proof of Proposition 3 (ii) by using a scaling argument.

Proof of Proposition 3 (ii). Let $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$, $q > N$, and $a > 1$. Assume that $u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ is a radial minimizer of $G_{a,\text{rad}}$. We can assume that u is nonnegative without loss of generality. We shall derive a contradiction. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we consider a scaled function $u_\lambda \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ which is given by

$$u_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} u\left(\left(\frac{|x|}{aR}\right)^{\lambda-1} x\right) & \text{if } x \in B_{a(1-\lambda^{-1})R}(0), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in B_R(0) \setminus B_{a(1-\lambda^{-1})R}(0). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx\right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} = \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_\lambda|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u_\lambda|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx\right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}$$

which yields that u_λ is also a nonnegative minimizer of $G_{a,\text{rad}}$. On the other hand, we can show that $u_\lambda \in C^1(B_R(0) \setminus \{0\})$ and $u_\lambda > 0$ in $B_R(0) \setminus \{0\}$ by standard regularity argument and strong maximum principle to the Euler-Lagrange equation (5), see e.g. [13], [29]. However $u_\lambda \equiv 0$ in $B_R(0) \setminus B_{a(1-\lambda^{-1})R}(0)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ is not attained. \square

3. Existence and non-existence of the minimizers

Let $\Omega = B_R(0)$. In this section, we prove an existence and non-existence of the minimizers of G_a . First result is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $a > 1$ and $q, \beta > 1$ satisfy (6). Then the followings hold.*

- (i) *If $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$, then G_a is attained.*
- (ii) *If $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$ and $q > N$, then there exists $a_* \in (1, e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}]$ such that G_a is attained for $a \in (1, a_*)$ and G_a is not attained for $a > a_*$.*

Remark 1. *If $a_* = e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$, then we can show that G_{a_*} is not attained. In fact, if we assume that G_{a_*} is attained by u , then $u^\#$ is a radial minimizer of $G_{a, \text{rad}}$ which contradicts Proposition 3 (ii), see the proof of Theorem 1 (ii). However we do not know the value of a_* .*

In order to show Theorem 1, we need three lemmas. First we show the (non-)compactness of the embedding $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0)) \hookrightarrow L^q(B_R(0); f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$, where $f_{a,\beta}(x) = |x|^{-N} \left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|} \right)^{-\beta}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $a > 1$ and $q, \beta > 1$ satisfy (6). Then the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0)) \hookrightarrow L^q(B_R(0); f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$ is*

- (i) *compact if $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$,*
- (ii) *non-compact if $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$ and $q \geq N$.*

Proof of Lemma 1. (i) It is proved in [31]. However we give a proof here for the convenience of readers. Let $(u_m)_{m=1}^\infty \subset W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ be a bounded sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $(u_{m_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m_k} &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0)), \\ u_{m_k} &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L^r(B_R(0)) \quad \text{for all } r \in [1, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let α satisfy $\frac{N-1}{N}q + 1 < \alpha < \beta$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|} \right)^{\alpha-\beta} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in B_\delta(0). \quad (13)$$

From (12) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u_{m_k} - u|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|} \right)^\beta} dx &\leq \varepsilon \int_{B_\delta(0)} \frac{|u_{m_k} - u|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|} \right)^\alpha} dx + \delta^{-N} \left(\log \frac{aR}{\delta} \right)^{-\beta} \|u_{m_k} - u\|_{L^q(B_R(0))}^q \\ &\leq \varepsilon C \|\nabla(u_{m_k} - u)\|_{L^N(B_R(0))}^q + C \|u_{m_k} - u\|_{L^q(B_R(0))}^q \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + C \|u_{m_k} - u\|_{L^q(B_R(0))}^q \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0)) \hookrightarrow L^q(B_R(0); f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$ is compact if $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$.

(ii) We can see a non-compact sequence $(u_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ in $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$, where for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ u_λ is defined in the proof of Proposition 3 (ii). Hence the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0)) \hookrightarrow L^q(B_R(0); f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$ is non-compact if $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$ and $q \geq N$. \square

In [20], a continuity of G_a with respect to a is proved for $a \in (1, \infty)$. However, in our argument, the continuity of G_a at $a = 1$ is needed.

Lemma 2. G_a is monotone increasing and continuous with respect to $a \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof of Lemma 2. It is enough to show only the continuity of G_a at $a = 1$. From the definition of G_1 , we can take $(u_m)_{m=1}^\infty \subset C_c^\infty(B_R(0))$ and $R_m < R$ for any m such that $\text{supp } u_m \subset B_{R_m}(0)$, $R_m \nearrow R$, and

$$\frac{\int_{B_{R_m}(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_{R_m}(0)} |u_m|^q f_{1,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} = G_1 + o(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Set $v(y) = u_m(x)$, where $y = \frac{R}{R_m}x$. Then

$$\frac{\int_{B_{R_m}(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_{R_m}(0)} |u_m|^q f_{1,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} = \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla v|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} |v|^q f_{a_m,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \geq G_{a_m},$$

where $a_m = \frac{R}{R_m} \searrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we have $G_{a_m} \leq G_1 + o(1)$. Since $f_{a_m,\beta}(x) \leq f_{1,\beta}(x)$ for any $x \in B_R(0)$, we have $G_1 \leq G_{a_m}$. Hence we see that $\lim_{a \searrow 1} G_a = G_1$. \square

Third Lemma is concerned with the concentration level of minimizing sequences of G_a .

Lemma 3. Let $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$, $q > N$, and $a > 1$. If $G_a < G_{\text{rad}}$, then G_a is attained, where G_{rad} is given by Proposition 3 (i).

It is easy to show Theorem 1 by these three lemmas. Therefore we give a proof of Theorem 1 before showing Lemma 3.

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) This is proved by Lemma 1 (i). We omit the proof here.
(ii) Let $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$ and $q > N$. When $a \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$, the potential function $f_{a,\beta}$ is radially decreasing. Thus the Pólya-Szegő inequality and the Hardy-Littlewood inequality imply that

$$\frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \geq \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u^\#|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u^\#|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \geq G_{a,\text{rad}}$$

for any $u \in W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ and $a \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$. Therefore $G_a = G_{a,\text{rad}} = G_{\text{rad}}$ for any $a \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$. Moreover we see $G_1 = 0$ by Proposition 2. Since G_a is continuous and monotone increasing with respect to $a \in [1, \infty)$ by Lemma 2, there exists $a_* \in (1, e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}]$ such that $G_a < G_{\text{rad}}$ for $a \in [1, a_*)$ and $G_a = G_{\text{rad}}$ for $a \in [a_*, \infty)$. Hence G_a is attained for $a \in (1, a_*)$ by Lemma 3. On the other hand, if we assume that there exists a nonnegative minimizer u of G_a for $a > a_*$, then we can show that $u \in C^1(B_R(0) \setminus \{0\})$ and $u > 0$ in $B_R(0) \setminus \{0\}$ by standard regularity argument and strong maximum principle to the Euler-Lagrange equation (5), see e.g. [13], [29]. Therefore we see that

$$G_{\text{rad}} = G_a = \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} > \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u|^q f_{a_*,\beta}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \geq G_{\text{rad}}.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore G_a is not attained for $a > a_*$. \square

Finally, we prove Lemma 3.

Proof of Lemma 3. Take a minimizing sequence $(u_m)_{m=1}^\infty \subset W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ of G_a . Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |u_m|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx = 1, \quad \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx = G_a + o(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since (u_m) is bounded in $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$, passing to a subsequence if necessary, $u_m \rightharpoonup$

u in $W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$. Then by Brezis-Lieb lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_a &= \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx + o(1) \\
&= \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla(u_m - u)|^N dx + \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx + o(1) \\
&\geq G_a \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u_m - u|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} + G_a \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} + o(1) \\
&\geq G_a \left(\int_{B_R(0)} (|u_m - u|^q + |u|^q) f_{a,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} + o(1) \\
&= G_a \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u_m|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} + o(1) = G_a
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that either $u \equiv 0$ or $u_m \rightarrow u \not\equiv 0$ in $L^q(B_R(0); f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$ holds true from the equality condition of the last inequality. We shall show that $u \not\equiv 0$. Assume that $u \equiv 0$. Then we claim that

$$G_{\text{rad}} \leq \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx + o(1). \quad (14)$$

If the claim (14) is true, then we see that $G_{\text{rad}} \leq G_a$ which contradicts the assumption. Therefore $u \not\equiv 0$ which implies that $u_m \rightarrow u \not\equiv 0$ in $L^q(B_R(0); f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$. Hence we have

$$1 = \int_{B_R(0)} |u|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx, \quad \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx = G_a.$$

Thus we can show that u is a minimizer of G_a . We shall show the claim (14). Since $u_m \rightarrow 0$ in $L^r(B_R(0))$ for any $r \in [1, \infty)$ and the potential function $f_{a,\beta}$ is bounded away from the origin, for any small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$1 = \int_{B_R(0)} |u_m|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx = \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon R}{2}}(0)} |u_m|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx + o(1).$$

Let ϕ_ε be a smooth cut-off function which satisfies the followings:

$$0 \leq \phi_\varepsilon \leq 1, \quad \phi_\varepsilon \equiv 1 \text{ on } B_{\frac{\varepsilon R}{2}}(0), \quad \text{supp } \phi_\varepsilon \subset B_{\varepsilon R}(0), \quad |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Set $\tilde{u}_m(y) = u_m(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon(y) = \phi_\varepsilon(x)$, where $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \left(\int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon R}{2}}(0)} |u_m|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} + o(1) \\
&\leq \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}(0)} |u_m \phi_\varepsilon|^q f_{a,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} + o(1) \\
&= \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |\tilde{u}_m \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon|^q f_{a\varepsilon^{-1},\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} + o(1) \leq G_{a\varepsilon^{-1}}^{-1} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla(\tilde{u}_m \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon)|^N dx + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We see that $a\varepsilon^{-1} \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$ for small ε . Since $G_{a\varepsilon^{-1}} = G_{a,\text{rad}} = G_{\text{rad}}$ from the proof of Theorem 1 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\leq G_{\text{rad}}^{-1} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla(\tilde{u}_m \tilde{\phi}_\varepsilon)|^N dx + o(1) \\
&\leq G_{\text{rad}}^{-1} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx + N \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}(0) \setminus B_{\frac{\varepsilon R}{2}}(0)} |\nabla u_m|^{N-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla \phi_\varepsilon u_m \phi_\varepsilon^{N-1} dx \right) + o(1) \\
&\leq G_{\text{rad}}^{-1} \left(\int_{B_{\varepsilon R}(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx + NC\varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla u_m\|_{L^N}^{N-1} \|u_m\|_{L^N} \right) + o(1) \\
&\leq G_{\text{rad}}^{-1} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R}(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx + o(1) \leq G_{\text{rad}}^{-1} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_m|^N dx + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the claim (14). The proof of Lemma 3 is now complete. \square

4. In the case of general bounded domain

We extend Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 to bounded domains. Throughout this section, we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, $0 \in \Omega$, and β and q satisfy (6). Set $R = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|$.

First we extend Proposition 2 to general bounded domains. If there exists $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega \cap \partial B_R(0)$ such that Γ is open in $\partial B_R(0)$, then we can obtain the same result as Proposition 2 as follows.

Proposition 4. *Assume that there exists $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega \cap \partial B_R(0)$ such that Γ is open in $\partial B_R(0)$. Then $G_1 > 0$ if and only if $\beta = q = N$.*

Proof of Proposition 4. First we show that $G_1 = 0$ if $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$. Set $x = r\omega$ ($r = |x|, \omega \in S^{N-1}$) for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. From the assumption, we can take $\delta > 0$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is open in $\partial B_R(0)$ and

$$\left\{ (r, \omega) \in [0, R) \times S^{N-1} \mid R - 2\delta \leq r \leq R, \omega \in \frac{1}{R}\tilde{\Gamma} \right\} \subset \Omega.$$

Let $0 \neq \psi \in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{R}\tilde{\Gamma})$ and $\phi \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ satisfy $\phi \equiv 1$ on $[R - \delta, R]$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ on $[0, R - 2\delta]$. Set $u_s(x) = \left(\log \frac{R}{r}\right)^s \psi(\omega)\phi(r)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_s|^N dx &= \int_{S^{N-1}} \int_0^R \left| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial r} \omega + \frac{1}{r} \nabla_{S^{N-1}} u_s \right|^N r^{N-1} dr dS_\omega \\ &\leq 2^{N-1} \int_{S^{N-1}} \int_0^R \left| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial r} \right|^N r^{N-1} + |\nabla_{S^{N-1}} u_s|^N r^{-1} dr dS_\omega \\ &\leq s^N C \int_{R-2\delta}^R \left(\log \frac{R}{r}\right)^{(s-1)N} \frac{dr}{r} + C \int_{R-2\delta}^R \left(\log \frac{R}{r}\right)^{sN} \frac{dr}{r} + C \\ &\leq s^N C \int_0^{\log \frac{R}{R-2\delta}} t^{(s-1)N} dt + C < \infty \quad \text{if } s > \frac{N-1}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u_s \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ for all $s > \frac{N-1}{N}$. However, direct calculation shows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_s|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx \geq C \int_{R-2\delta}^R \left(\log \frac{R}{r}\right)^{sq-\beta} \frac{dr}{r} = C \int_0^{\log \frac{R}{R-2\delta}} t^{sq-\beta} dt$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_s|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx = \infty$$

for s close to $\frac{N-1}{N}$ since $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$. Therefore we see that

$$G_1 = 0 \quad \text{if } \beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1. \quad (15)$$

Next we show that $G_1 = 0$ if $\beta > N$. Set $x_\varepsilon = (R - 2\varepsilon)\frac{y}{R}$ for $y \in \partial B_R(0)$. Note that $B_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) \subset \Omega$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $y \in \Gamma$. Then we define u_ε as follows:

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} v\left(\frac{|x-x_\varepsilon|}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } x \in B_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon), \end{cases} \quad \text{where } v(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2(1-t) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $\log t \leq t - 1$ for $t \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^N dx &= \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla v(|z|)|^N dz < \infty, \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^{\beta}} dx &\geq C \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon})} \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^q}{(R - |x|)^{\beta}} dx \geq \frac{C}{(3\varepsilon)^{\beta}} \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_{\varepsilon})} dx = C \varepsilon^{N-\beta} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ when $\beta > N$. Hence we see that

$$G_1 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \beta > N. \quad (16)$$

From (15), (16), and (6), we see that $G_1 > 0$ if and only if $q = \beta = N$. \square

If there does not exist Γ in Proposition 4, then we can expect that the relation between q, β and the positivity of G_1 depends on geometry of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. In order to see it, we consider special cuspidal domains which satisfy the following conditions:

$$(\Omega_1) : \partial\Omega \cap \partial B_R(0) = \{(0, \dots, 0, -R)\}.$$

$$(\Omega_2) : \partial\Omega \text{ is represented by a graph } \phi : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow [-R, \infty) \text{ near the point } (0, \dots, 0, -R).$$

Namely, for small $\delta > 0$ the following holds true:

$$\Omega_{\delta} := \Omega \cap (\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times [-R, -R + \delta]) = \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times [-R, -R + \delta] \mid x_N > \phi(x')\}.$$

$$(\Omega_3) : \text{there exist } C_1, C_2 > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1] \text{ such that}$$

$$C_1 |x'|^{\alpha} \leq \phi(x') + R \leq C_2 |x'|^{\alpha} \text{ for any } x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}.$$

α in (Ω_3) expresses the sharpness of the cusp at the point $(0, \dots, 0, -R)$. Then we can obtain the following theorem concerned with the positivity and the attainability of G_1 .

Theorem 2. *Assume that Ω satisfies the assumptions $(\Omega_1) - (\Omega_3)$. Then there exists $\beta^* = \beta^*(\alpha, q) \in [\frac{N-1}{\alpha} + 1, \frac{N}{\alpha}]$ such that $G_1 = 0$ for $\beta > \beta^*$ and $G_1 > 0$ for $\beta < \beta^*$. Furthermore G_1 is attained for $\beta \in (\frac{N-1}{N}q + 1, \beta^*)$.*

Remark 2. *When $\beta = q = N$ and $0 \in \Omega$, G_1 is not attained for any bounded domain. However, when $0 \notin \Omega$, the attainability of G_1 depends on a geometry of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Very recently, Byeon and Takahashi investigate the attainability of G_1 on cuspidal domains in their article [7] when $\beta = q = N$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. First we shall show that $G_1 = 0$ if $\beta > \frac{N}{\alpha}$. From (Ω_3) , we can observe that $B_{A\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}(x_\varepsilon) \subset \Omega$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$ and small $A > 0$, where $x_\varepsilon = (0, \dots, 0, -R + 2\varepsilon)$. Then we define w_ε as follows:

$$w_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} v\left(\frac{|x-x_\varepsilon|}{A\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right) & \text{if } x \in B_{A\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}(x_\varepsilon), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus B_{A\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}(x_\varepsilon), \end{cases}$$

where v is the same function in the proof of Proposition 4. In the same way as the proof of Proposition 4, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x w_\varepsilon(x)|^N dx < \infty, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w_\varepsilon(x)|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx \geq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{\alpha} - \beta} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ if $\beta > \frac{N}{\alpha}$. Therefore we have

$$G_1 = 0 \quad \text{at least for } \beta > \frac{N}{\alpha}. \quad (17)$$

Next we shall show that $G_1 > 0$ if $\beta < \frac{N-1}{\alpha} + 1$. For $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, we divide the domain Ω into three parts as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx = \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} + \int_{\Omega \setminus (B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0) \cup Q_\delta)} + \int_{Q_\delta} =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \quad (18)$$

From Theorem A, we obtain

$$I_1 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx \right)^{\frac{q}{N}}. \quad (19)$$

Since the potential function $|x|^{-N} \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^{-\beta}$ does not have any singularity in $\Omega \setminus (B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0) \cup Q_\delta)$, the Sobolev inequality yields that

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx \right)^{\frac{q}{N}}. \quad (20)$$

Finally, we shall derive a estimate of I_3 from above. Since $\log t \geq \frac{1}{2}(t-1)$ ($1 \leq t \leq 2$), we obtain

$$I_3 \leq C \int_{Q_\delta} \frac{|u(x)|^q}{(R-|x|)^\beta} dx \leq C \int_{z_N=0}^{z_N=\delta} \int_{z_N \geq C_1 |z'|^\alpha} \frac{|\tilde{u}(z', z_N)|^q}{|z|^\beta} dz, \quad (21)$$

where $u(x) = \tilde{u}(z)$ ($z = x + (0, \dots, 0, R)$). If $\beta < \frac{N-1}{\alpha} + 1$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p > \frac{N}{N-\varepsilon}$ such that $(\beta - \varepsilon)p < \frac{N-1}{\alpha} + 1$. By using the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{z_N=0}^{z_N=\delta} \int_{z_N \geq C_1 |z'|^\alpha} \frac{|\tilde{u}(z', z_N)|^q}{|z|^\beta} dz \\
&= \iint \frac{|\tilde{u}|^\varepsilon}{|z|^\varepsilon} |\tilde{u}|^{q-\varepsilon} |z|^{\beta-\varepsilon} dz \\
&\leq \left(\iint \frac{|\tilde{u}|^N}{|z|^N} dz \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{N}} \left(\iint |\tilde{u}|^{(q-\varepsilon) \frac{Np}{Np-N-p\varepsilon}} dz \right)^{\frac{Np-N-p\varepsilon}{Np}} \left(\iint |z|^{-(\beta-\varepsilon)p} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C \left(\iint \frac{|\tilde{u}|^N}{|z_N|^N} dz \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{N}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^N dz \right)^{\frac{q-\varepsilon}{N}} \left(\iint_{|z'| \leq \left(\frac{z_N}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} z_N^{-(\beta-\varepsilon)p} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C \left(\iint \frac{|\tilde{u}|^N}{|z_N|^N} dz \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{N}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^N dz \right)^{\frac{q-\varepsilon}{N}} \left(\int_{z_N=0}^{z_N=\delta} z_N^{\frac{N-1}{\alpha} - (\beta-\varepsilon)p} dz_N \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{N-1}{\alpha} - (\beta - \varepsilon)p > -1$, $\int_0^\delta z_N^{\frac{N-1}{\alpha} - (\beta-\varepsilon)p} dz_N < \infty$. Furthermore, applying the Hardy inequality on the half space $\mathbb{R}_+^N := \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid x_N > 0\}$:

$$\left(\frac{r-1}{r} \right)^r \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{|u|^r}{|x_N|^r} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla u|^r dx \quad (1 \leq r < \infty)$$

yields that

$$\int_{z_N=0}^{z_N=\delta} \int_{z_N \geq C_1 |z'|^\alpha} \frac{|\tilde{u}(z', z_N)|^q}{|z|^\beta} dz \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega+(0, \dots, 0, R)} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^N dz \right)^{\frac{q}{N}}. \quad (22)$$

By (21) and (22), we have

$$I_3 \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx \right)^{\frac{q}{N}}. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, from (18), (19), (20), and (23), for all $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$,

$$C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N dx.$$

Hence

$$G_1 > 0 \quad \text{at least for } \beta < \frac{N-1}{\alpha} + 1. \quad (24)$$

From (17) and (24), there exists $\beta^* \in [\frac{N-1}{\alpha} + 1, \frac{N}{\alpha}]$ such that $G_1 > 0$ for $\beta < \beta^*$ and $G_1 = 0$ for $\beta > \beta^*$.

Lastly we shall show that G_1 is attained for $\beta \in (\frac{N-1}{N}q + 1, \beta^*)$. In order to show it, we show that the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega; f_{1,\beta}(x)dx)$ is compact if $\frac{N-1}{N}q + 1 < \beta < \beta^*$. Let $(u_m)_{m=1}^\infty \subset W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ be a bounded sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $(u_{m_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m_k} &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), \\ u_{m_k} &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L^r(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq r < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We divide the domain into two parts as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{m_k} - u|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus Q_\delta} + \int_{Q_\delta} =: J_1(u_{m_k} - u) + J_2(u_{m_k} - u). \quad (26)$$

Since $\log \frac{R}{|x|} \geq C \log \frac{aR}{|x|}$ for any $x \in \Omega \setminus Q_\delta$ for some $a > 1$ and $C > 0$, it holds that

$$J_1(u_{m_k} - u) \leq C \int_{\Omega \setminus Q_\delta} \frac{|u_{m_k} - u|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{m_k} - u|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx.$$

Note that the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega; f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$ is compact for $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$ from Lemma 1, we obtain

$$J_1(u_{m_k} - u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

On the other hand, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we take $\gamma > 0$ which satisfies $\beta < \gamma < \beta^*$ and $(\log \frac{R}{|x|})^{\gamma-\beta} < \varepsilon$ for $x \in Q_\delta$ (If necessary, we take small $\delta > 0$ again.). Then we have

$$J_2(u_{m_k} - u) \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_\delta} \frac{|u_{m_k} - u|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\gamma} dx \leq C\varepsilon \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_{m_k} - u)|^N dx \right)^{\frac{q}{N}} \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (28)$$

From (26), (27), and (28), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{m_k} - u|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega; f_{1,\beta}(x)dx)$ is compact if $\frac{N-1}{N}q+1 < \beta < \beta^*$. In conclusion, we have showed that G_1 is attained if $\frac{N-1}{N}q+1 < \beta < \beta^*$. \square

Next we extend Theorem 1 to general bounded domains.

Theorem 3. *Let $a > 1$. Then the followings hold.*

- (i) *If $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q+1$, then G_a is attained for any bounded domains Ω .*
- (ii) *If $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q+1$, $q > N$, and $a \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$, then $G_a = G_{\text{rad}}$ and G_a is not attained for any bounded domain Ω .*
- (iii) *If $\beta = \frac{N-1}{N}q+1$, $q > N$, and Ω satisfies either (Ω_4) or (Ω_5) , where $(\Omega_4) : \partial\Omega$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition at some point $x_0 \in \Omega \cap B_R(0)$, $(\Omega_5) : \Omega$ satisfies $(\Omega_1) - (\Omega_3)$ and α in (Ω_3) is greater than $\frac{N}{\beta}$, then there exists $a_* \in (1, e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}]$ such that G_a is attained for $a \in (1, a_*)$ and G_a is not attained for $a > a_*$.*

In order to show Theorem 3 (iii), we need the continuity of G_a with respect to a at $a = 1$. Under the assumptions (Ω_4) , (Ω_5) , we can show the continuity of G_a at $a = 1$ as follows.

Lemma 4. *Let $\beta > N$. If Ω satisfies either (Ω_4) or (Ω_5) , then $G_1 = \lim_{a \searrow 1} G_a = 0$.*

Lemma 4 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *Let $a > 1$. If Ω satisfies either (Ω_4) or (Ω_5) , then there exists $C > 0$ such that for a close to 1, $G_a \leq C(a-1)^{\frac{N}{q}(\beta-\frac{N}{\alpha})}$, where α is regarded as 1 if Ω satisfies (Ω_4) .*

Proof of Proposition 5. Let $x_a = R(2-a)\frac{x_0}{|x_0|}$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$. Here x_0 is regarded as $(0, \dots, 0, -R)$ if Ω satisfies (Ω_5) . Then $B_{c(a-1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}(x_a) \subset \Omega$ for a close to 1 and for sufficiently small $c > 0$. Set $\phi_a(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x-x_a}{c(a-1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right)$. Since $\log \frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{1-t}{2}$ for t close to 1, we have the followings for a close to 1.

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_a|^N dx = \int_{B_1(0)} |\nabla \phi|^N dz < \infty,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\phi_a|^q}{|x|^N \left(\log \frac{aR}{|x|}\right)^\beta} dx \geq c^N (a-1)^{\frac{N}{\alpha}} \|\phi\|_{L^q}^q \left(\log \frac{aR}{R(2-a) - c(a-1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right)^{-\beta} \geq C(a-1)^{\frac{N}{\alpha}-\beta}.$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 3. (i) We can check that Lemma 1 holds true for any bounded domains Ω . Therefore (i) follows from the compactness of the embedding $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega; f_{a,\beta}(x)dx)$. We omit the proof.
(ii) Note that $W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_\varepsilon(0)) \subset W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ for small ε by zero extension. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_\varepsilon(0)) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} &\geq G_a \geq \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0)) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \\ &= \inf_{u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_R(0)) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the last equality comes from $a \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}$. From the proof of Proposition 3 (ii), we can observe that G_{rad} does not vary even if we replace $W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ to $W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_\varepsilon(0))$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus the right hand side and the left hand side of (29) take same value, that is G_{rad} . Therefore we have $G_a = G_{\text{rad}}$. Furthermore if we assume that G_a is attained by $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, then $u \in W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ is also a minimizer on a ball. This contradicts Theorem 1 (ii) in §2. Hence G_a is not attained for any bounded domains Ω .

(iii) Note that G_a is continuous with respect to $a \in (1, \infty)$, and is monotone increasing with respect to $a \in [1, \infty)$ for any bounded domains. From Lemma 4 and Theorem 3 (ii), we can show that there exists $a_* \in (1, e^{\frac{\beta}{N}}]$ such that $G_a < G_{\text{rad}}$ for $a \in (1, a_*)$ and $G_a = G_{\text{rad}}$ for $a > a_*$ in the same way as the proof of Theorem 1 (ii). The remaining parts of the proof are similar to the proof of Theorem 1 (ii). \square

5. Symmetry breaking

In this section, we consider radially symmetry of the minimizers of G_a when $\Omega = B_R(0)$. We can show that any minimizer of G_a has axial symmetry by using *spherical symmetric rearrangement*, see [23]. Namely, for any minimizer u_β of G_a there exists some $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ such that the restriction of u_β to any sphere $\partial B_r(0)$ is symmetric decreasing with respect to the distance to $r\xi$. See also [32]. The last result is as follows.

Theorem 4. *Let $\beta > \frac{N-1}{N}q + 1$, $a > 1$, and u_β be a minimizer of G_a in Theorem 1 (i). Then the followings hold true.*

- (i) For fixed $q > N$, there exists β_* such that u_β is non-radial for $\beta > \beta_*$.
(ii) u_β is radial for any β and $q \leq N$.

In order to show Theorem 4 (i), we need two lemmas concerning growth orders of G_a and $G_{a,\text{rad}}$ with respect to β .

Lemma 5. For fixed $q > N$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for sufficiently large β the following estimate holds true.

$$G_a \leq C\beta^{\frac{N^2}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N\beta}{q}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $u \in C_c^\infty(B_R(0))$. Following [33] we consider $u_\beta(x) := u(\beta(x - x_\beta))$ for $x \in B_{\beta^{-1}}(x_\beta)$, where $x_\beta := (R - \beta^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in B_R(0)$. Then for sufficiently large β we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\beta^{-1}}(x_\beta)} |\nabla u_\beta(x)|^N dx = \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u(y)|^N dy, \quad (30)$$

$$\int_{B_{\beta^{-1}}(x_\beta)} \frac{|u_\beta(x)|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \geq (R - 2\beta^{-1})^{-N} \left(\log \frac{aR}{R - 2\beta^{-1}} \right)^{-\beta} \beta^{-N} \int_{B_R(0)} |u(y)|^q dy. \quad (31)$$

We set $f(\beta) := (R - 2\beta^{-1})^{-N} (\log \frac{aR}{R - 2\beta^{-1}})^{-\beta}$. Since $\log \frac{1}{1-x} \leq 2x$ for all $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, for large β we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\beta) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\log a + \log \frac{1}{1 - 2\beta^{-1}R^{-1}} \right)^{-\beta} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\log a + 4\beta^{-1}R^{-1} \right)^{-\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\log a)^{-\beta} \left(1 + \frac{4}{\beta R \log a} \right)^{-\beta} \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$f(\beta) \geq C(\log a)^{-\beta} \quad \text{for large } \beta. \quad (32)$$

From (30), (31), and (32), we obtain

$$G_a \leq \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_\beta|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u_\beta|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \leq C\beta^{\frac{N^2}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N\beta}{q}}.$$

□

Lemma 6. For fixed $q > N$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for sufficiently large β the following estimate holds true.

$$G_{a,\text{rad}} \geq C\beta^{N-1+\frac{N}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N\beta}{q}-(N-1+\frac{N}{q})}.$$

Proof of Lemma 6. For $u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ we define $v \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ as follows:

$$v(s) = u(r), \text{ where } (\log a)^{A-1} \log \frac{aR}{s} = \left(\log \frac{aR}{r} \right)^A \text{ and } A = \frac{N(\beta-1)}{(N-1)q}.$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^R |u(r)|^q \left(\log \frac{aR}{r} \right)^{-\beta} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \omega_{N-1} A^{-1} (\log a)^{\frac{A-1}{A}(1-\beta)} \int_0^R \frac{|v(s)|^q}{s (\log \frac{aR}{s})^{\frac{A-1+\beta}{A}}} ds \\ &= A^{-1} (\log a)^{\frac{A-1}{A}(1-\beta)} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|v|^q}{|y|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|y|})^{\frac{N-1}{N}q+1}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx &= A^{N-1} (\log a)^{-\frac{A-1}{A}} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla v|^N \left(\log \frac{aR}{|y|} \right)^{\frac{A-1}{A}} dy \\ &\geq A^{N-1} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla v|^N dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}} \geq A^{N-1+\frac{N}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N}{q}(\beta-1)\frac{A-1}{A}} \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla v|^N dy}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|v|^q}{|y|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|y|})^{\frac{N-1}{N}q+1}} dy \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}$$

which yields that

$$G_{a,\text{rad}} \geq \left(\frac{N(\beta-1)}{(N-1)q} \right)^{N-1+\frac{N}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N\beta}{q}-(N-1+\frac{N}{q})} \inf_v \frac{\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla v|^N dy}{\left(\int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|v|^q}{|y|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|y|})^{\frac{N-1}{N}q+1}} dy \right)^{\frac{N}{q}}}.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large β we have

$$G_{a,\text{rad}} \geq C\beta^{N-1+\frac{N}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N\beta}{q}-(N-1+\frac{N}{q})}.$$

□

Finally we shall show Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. (i) It is enough to show that $G_a < G_{a,\text{rad}}$. By Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, for fixed $q > N$ there exists β_* such that for $\beta > \beta_*$

$$G_a \leq C\beta^{\frac{N^2}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N\beta}{q}} < C\beta^{N-1+\frac{N}{q}} (\log a)^{\frac{N\beta}{q}-(N-1+\frac{N}{q})} \leq G_{a,\text{rad}},$$

since $\frac{N^2}{q} < N - 1 + \frac{N}{q}$. Therefore we see that $G_a < G_{a,\text{rad}}$.

(ii) Let $x = r\omega$ ($r = |x|, \omega \in S^{N-1}$) for $x \in B_R(0)$. For $u \in W_0^{1,N}(B_R(0))$ we consider the following radial function U :

$$U(r) = \left(\omega_{N-1}^{-1} \int_{S^{N-1}} |u(r\omega)|^N dS_\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}.$$

Then we have

$$U'(r) \leq \left(\omega_{N-1}^{-1} \int_{S^{N-1}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} u(r\omega) \right|^N dS_\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$$

which yields that

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla U|^N dx \leq \int_{B_R(0)} \left| \nabla u \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \right|^N dx \leq \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u|^N dx. \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|U|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx &= \omega_{N-1} \int_0^R \left(\omega_{N-1}^{-1} \int_{S^{N-1}} |u(r\omega)|^N dS_\omega \right)^{\frac{q}{N}} \frac{dr}{r (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} \\ &\geq \int_0^R \int_{S^{N-1}} |u(r\omega)|^q dS_\omega \frac{dr}{r (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} \\ &= \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^N (\log \frac{aR}{|x|})^\beta} dx \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where the inequality follows from Jensen's inequality and $q \leq N$. From (33) and (34), we obtain $G_{a,\text{rad}} \leq G_a$. Therefore $G_{a,\text{rad}} = G_a$ for any $q \leq N$ and β . Moreover we observe that any minimizers of G_a must be radial from the equality condition of (33). □

Acknowledgment

Part of this work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Fellows (PD), No. 18J01053. The author would like to thank Professor Marta Calanchi (The University of Milan) for valuable advice and encouragement.

References

- [1] Adimurthi, Esteban, Maria J., *An improved Hardy-Sobolev inequality in $W^{1,p}$ and its application to Schrodinger operators*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **12** (2005), no. 2, 243-263.
- [2] Adimurthi, Sandeep, K. *Existence and non-existence of the first eigenvalue of the perturbed Hardy-Sobolev operator*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **132** (2002), no. 5, 1021-1043.
- [3] Baras, P., Goldstein, J. A., *The heat equation with a singular potential*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), no. 1, 121-139.
- [4] Barbatis G., Filippas, S., and Tertikas, A., *Series expansion for L^p Hardy inequalities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), no. 1, 171-190.
- [5] Barbatis G., Filippas, S., and Tertikas, A., *A unified approach to improved L^p Hardy inequalities with best constants*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 6, 2169-2196.
- [6] Brezis, H., Vázquez, J.L., *Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid **10** (1997), no. 2, 443-469.
- [7] Byeon, J., Takahashi, F., *Hardy's inequality in a limiting case on general bounded domains*, arXiv:1707.04018.
- [8] Caldiroli, P., Musina, R., *On the existence of extremal functions for a weighted Sobolev embedding with critical exponent*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **8** (1999), no. 4, 365-387.
- [9] Catrina, F., Wang, Z.-Q., *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **54** (2001), no. 2, 229-258.

- [10] Catrina, F., Wang, Z.-Q., *Positive bound states having prescribed symmetry for a class of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **18** (2001), no. 2, 157-178.
- [11] Chern, J.-L., Lin, C.-S., *Minimizers of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities with the singularity on the boundary*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **197** (2010), no. 2, 401-432.
- [12] Chou, K. S., Chu, C. W., *On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1993), no. 1, 137-151.
- [13] DiBenedetto, E., *$C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1983), No. 8, 827-850.
- [14] Ghoussoub, N., Kang, X. S., *Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **21** (2004), no. 6, 767-793.
- [15] Ghoussoub, N., Moradifam, A., *Functional inequalities: New perspectives and new applications, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Vol. **187**, A. M. S., Providence, RI, 2013. ISBN 978-0-8218-9152-0.
- [16] Ghoussoub, N., Robert, F., *The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy-Sobolev inequalities*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 6, 1201-1245.
- [17] Hardy, G. H., *Note on a theorem of Hilbert*, Math. Z. **6** (1920), no. 3-4, 314-317.
- [18] Horiuchi, T., *Best constant in weighted Sobolev inequality with weights being powers of distance from the origin*, J. Inequal. Appl. **1** (1997), no. 3, 275-292.
- [19] Horiuchi, T., *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities and related topics (Japanese)*, Sūgaku **68** (2016), no. 1, 1-23.
- [20] Horiuchi, T., Kumlin, P., *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg-type inequalities involving critical and supercritical weights*, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012), no. 4, 661-742.

- [21] Ioku, N., Ishiwata, M., *A note on the scale invariant structure of critical Hardy inequalities*, Geometric properties for parabolic and elliptic PDE's, 97-120, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., **176**, Springer, (2016).
- [22] Ioku, N., Ishiwata, M., *A Scale Invariant Form of a Critical Hardy Inequality*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 18, 8830-8846.
- [23] Kawohl, B., *Rearrangements and convexity of level sets in PDE*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1150. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1985). iv+136 pp.
- [24] Ladyzhenskaya, O.A., *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow, Second edition, revised and enlarged*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, (1969).
- [25] Leray, J., *Etude de diverses equations integrales non lineaires et de quelques problemes que pose l'hydrodynamique. (French)*, (1933), 82 pp.
- [26] Lieb, E. H., *Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities*, Ann. of Math. (2) **118** (1983), no. 2, 349-374.
- [27] Machihara, S., Ozawa, T., Wadade, H., *Generalizations of the logarithmic Hardy inequality in critical Sobolev-Lorentz spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2013), 2013:381, 14 pp.
- [28] Machihara, S., Ozawa, T., Wadade, H., *Hardy type inequalities on balls*, Tohoku Math. J. (2), **65** no.3, (2013), 321-330.
- [29] Pucci, P., Serrin, J., *The maximum principle*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, **73**, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, (2007).
- [30] Sano, M., Takahashi, F., *Scale invariance structures of the critical and the subcritical Hardy inequalities and their improvements*, Cal. Var. PDEs, **56** (2017), no. 3, 56-69.
- [31] Sano, M., Takahashi, F., *Sublinear eigenvalue problems with singular weights related to the critical Hardy inequality*, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., Vol. **2016** (2016), No. 212, pp. 1-12.
- [32] Smets, D., Willem, M., *Partial symmetry and asymptotic behavior for some elliptic variational problems*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **18** (2003), no. 1, 57-75.

- [33] Smets, D., Willem, M., Su, J., *Non-radial ground states for the Henon equation*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 4 (2002), no. 3, 467-480.
- [34] Takahashi, F., *A simple proof of Hardy's inequality in a limiting case*, Archiv der Math., **104**, (2015), no. 1, 77-82.
- [35] Talenti, G., *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **110** (1976), 353-372.
- [36] Zographopoulos, N. B., *Existence of extremal functions for a Hardy-Sobolev inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 1, 308-314.