A VARIATIONAL APPROXIMATION SCHEME FOR ELASTODYNAMIC PROBLEMS USING A NEW CLASS OF ADMISSIBLE MAPPINGS

ANASTASIA MOLCHANOVA

ABSTRACT. We consider a variational approximation scheme for the 3D elastodynamics problem. Our approach uses a new class of admissible mappings that are closed with respect to the space of mappings with finite distortion.

Key words and phrases: elastodynamics, mapping with finite distortion, polyconvexity, variational approximation scheme.

The motion of a deformable solid body can be written:

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \cdot S(\nabla y),$$

where $y: \Omega \times [t_0, t_1] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the displacement and S is the first Piola–Kirchgoff stress tensor. This equation can also be written as a system of conservation laws for the deformation gradient $F = D_x y$ and the velocity $v = \partial_t y$

(1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_{i\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}v_{i},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v_{i} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}S_{i\alpha}(F),$$
 $i, \alpha = 1, 2, 3.$

In the case of hyperelastic materials, the tensor S can be expressed as the gradient of a scalar function W(F). This function is called the stored energy function, $W: \mathbb{M}^{3\times3} \to [0,\infty)$, where $\mathbb{M}^{3\times3}$ stands for (3×3) -matrices, i.e. $S(F) = \left[\frac{\partial W}{\partial F_{ij}}(F)\right]$. In order to prove the existence of solutions to the system of equations in 1, it would normally be necessary that W be convex. However, this is incompatible with the known physics of elastic materials such as the requirement of frame-indifference, i.e. the principle that certain properties of the system are invariant under arbitrary coordinate transformations [4].

This suggests the replacement of the condition of convexity with a weaker condition such as *polyconvexity* (for further details see, e.g. [1-3]). More precisely, we may assume that

$$W(F) = G(F, \operatorname{cof} F, \det F)$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E30 and 46E35.

This work was partially supported by a Grant of the Russian Foundation of the Russian Science Foundation (Agreement No. 16-41-02004).

holds for some convex function G(F, Z, w), where cof F and det F are the cofactor matrix (i.e. transposed adjunctive matrix cof $F = \operatorname{adj} F^T$) and determinant of the matrix F respectively.

At present, the question of the existence of a solution to the elastostatic problem has been thoroughly studied. A review of basic works and open problems can be found, for example, in [2]. Furthermore, the reader is referred to [5] for local existence of the classical solution of the elastodynamical system with rank-one convex and polyconvex stored energy functions. The existence of global weak solutions, excluding some particular cases [8], is still an open problem. Nevertheless, the existence of a global measure-valued solution was proven in [7] using a variational approximation scheme.

The variational approximation method or, in the nomenclature of E. De Giorgi [6], the minimizing movements method, is a method by which the limit of a minimizing sequence of iterations to the variational problem for an appropriate functional is found. The technique developed in [7] uses the variational approximation scheme to establish a link between elastostatics and elastodynamics. The method is based on the observation that the solution of the system (1) meets the *additional conservation laws* (the idea was suggested independently by P. G. Le Floch and T. Qin [12])

(2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \det F = \sum_{i,\alpha=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} ((\operatorname{cof} F)_{i\alpha} v_{i}),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\operatorname{cof} F)_{k\gamma} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} (\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{j\beta} v_{i})$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is the permutation symbol. Then, introducing new variables $Z = \operatorname{cof} F$, $w = \det F$ and setting $\Xi = (F, Z, w)$, we can use (1) together with (2) to derive the enlarged system

(3)
$$\partial_t v_i = \sum_{\alpha, A} \partial_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \Xi^A} (\Xi) \frac{\partial \Phi^A}{\partial F_{i\alpha}(F)} \right) = \sum_\alpha \partial_\alpha (g_{i\alpha}(\Xi; F)),$$
$$\partial_t \Xi^A = \sum_{i, \alpha} \partial_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Phi^A}{\partial F_{i\alpha}} (F) v_i \right),$$

where

$$g_{i\alpha}(F, Z, w; F^0) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial F_{i\alpha}} + \sum_{j,k,\beta,\gamma} \frac{\partial G}{\partial Z_{k\gamma}} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} F^0_{j\beta} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial w} (\operatorname{cof} F^0)_{i\alpha}.$$

and $\Phi(F) = (F, \operatorname{cof} F, \det F)$. Further, time discretization gives the variational problem

$$\min_{\mathcal{C}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} (v - v^0)^2 + G(F, Z, w) \, dx,$$

with initial data $v^0(x)$, $F^0(x)$, $Z^0(x)$, $w^0(x)$, and the admissible set involves the "additional" constraints

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ (v, F, Z, w) \in L_2(\Omega) \times L_p(\Omega) \times L_q(\Omega) \times L_r(\Omega), \ p > 4, \ q, \ r \ge 2 : \\ \frac{1}{h} (F_{i\alpha} - F_{i\alpha}^0) = \partial_\alpha v_i, \\ \frac{1}{h} (Z_{k\gamma} - Z_{k\gamma}^0) = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_\alpha \left(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{j\beta}^0 v_i \right), \\ \frac{1}{h} (w - w^0) = \sum_{i,\alpha} \partial_\alpha \left((\operatorname{cof} F^0)_{i\alpha} v_i \right) \right\}.$$

In this article, we consider the variational approximation scheme in a new class of admissible mappings, in function classes stemming from quasiconformal analysis, and derive the Euler-Lagrange equations in the cases of smaller regularity $(p \ge 3)$, finite distortion $(|F|^3 \le Mw)$ and nonnegative Jacobian $(w \ge 0)$ requirements. Recall that a mapping $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the *mapping with finite distortion*, $f \in FD(\Omega)$, if $f \in W^1_{1,\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, $J(x, f) \ge 0$ almost everywhere (henceforth abbreviated as a.e.) in Ω and

$$|Df(x)|^n \le K(x)J(x,f)$$
 a.e. in Ω ,

where $1 \leq K(x) < \infty$ a.e. in Ω (see for example [9]). We also note that the problem of the approximation preserving the constraint det F > 0 is still open, except for the very special case of radial elastodynamics [10]. This condition on the deformation gradient is necessary to ensure that the mappings representing motion are orientation-preserving i.e. that the deformations are physical.

For the sake of simplicity we will work with periodic boundary conditions, i.e. the domain Ω is taken to be a three dimensional torus. Consider the stored energy function $W: \Omega \times \mathbb{M}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

(H1) Polyconvexity: there exists a convex C^2 -function $G: \mathbb{M}^3 \times \mathbb{M}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $F \in \mathbb{M}^3$, det $F \ge 0$, the equality

$$G(F, \operatorname{cof} F, \det F) = W(F)$$

holds.

(H2) Coercivity: there are constants $C_1 > 0, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}, p \ge 3, q, r \ge 2$ such that

$$G(F, Z, w) \ge C_1 \left(|F|^p + |Z|^q + w^r \right) + C_2.$$

(H3) There is a constant c > 0 such that

$$G(F, Z, w) \le c(|F|^p + |Z|^q + w^r + 1).$$

(H4) There is a constant C > 0 such that the inequality

$$|\partial_F G|^{p'} + |\partial_Z G|^{\frac{pp'}{p-p'}} + |\partial_w G|^{\frac{pp'}{p-2p'}} \le C(|F|^p + |Z|^q + w^r + 1)$$

holds for $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ if p > 3 and $p' < \frac{3}{2}$ if p = 3. Then the iteration scheme is constructed by solving

$$\frac{v_i^J - v_i^{J-1}}{h} = \sum_{\alpha, A} \partial_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \Xi^A} (\Xi^{J-1}) \frac{\partial \Phi^A}{\partial F_{i\alpha}(F^{J-1})} \right),$$
$$\frac{(\Xi^J - \Xi^{J-1})^A}{h} = \sum_{i, \alpha} \partial_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Phi^A}{\partial F_{i\alpha}} (F^{J-1}) v_i^J \right).$$

The *J*-th iterates are given by

$$(v^J, \Xi^J) = (v^J, F^J, Z^J, w^J) = (S_h)^J (v^0, F^0, Z^0, w^0),$$

where a solution operator S_h is defined by

(4a)
$$\frac{1}{h}(v_i - v_i^0) = \sum_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} g_{i\alpha}(F, Z, w; F^0),$$

(4b)
$$\frac{1}{h}(F_{i\alpha} - F_{i\alpha}^0) = \partial_{\alpha} v_i,$$

(4c)
$$\frac{1}{h}(Z_{k\gamma} - Z^0_{k\gamma}) = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \partial_\alpha (\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} F^0_{j\beta} v_i),$$

(4d)
$$\frac{1}{h}(w-w^0) = \sum_{i,\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}((\operatorname{cof} F^0)_{i\alpha} v_i).$$

Given $M \in L_s(\Omega)$, s > 2, consider the space $X = L_2(\Omega) \times L_p(\Omega) \times L_q(\Omega) \times L_r(\Omega)$ and the set of admissible mappings

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ (v, F, Z, w) \in X, \ I(v, F, Z, w) < \infty, \ |F(x)|^3 \le M(x)w(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \\ w(x) \ge 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ and for every } \theta \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \\ \int_{\Omega} \theta \frac{1}{h} (F_{i\alpha} - F_{i\alpha}^0) \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} v_i \partial_{\alpha} \theta \, dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} \theta \frac{1}{h} (Z_{k\gamma} - Z_{k\gamma}^0) \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{j\beta}^0 v_i \partial_{\alpha} \theta \, dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} \theta \frac{1}{h} (w - w^0) \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,\alpha} (\operatorname{cof} F^0)_{i\alpha} v_i \partial_{\alpha} \theta \, dx \right\},$$

Let the initial data satisfy $y^0 = y(0) \in W_p^1(\Omega) \cap FD(\Omega), v^0 = \partial_t y(0) \in L_2(\Omega), F^0 = Dy^0 \in L_p(\Omega), Z^0 = \operatorname{cof} Dy^0 \in L_q(\Omega), w^0 = \det Dy^0 \in L_r(\Omega), |F^0(x)|^3 \leq M(x)w^0(x),$

 $w^0(x) \ge 0$ a.e. in Ω and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} (v^0)^2 + G(F^0, Z^0, w^0) \, dx < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that the following assertions hold.

Lemma 1. The admissible set \mathcal{A} is nonempty.

Lemma 2. The admissible set \mathcal{A} is invariant with respect to the relations

$$\sum_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} Z_{i\alpha} = 0,$$

$$\partial_{\beta} F_{i\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha} F_{i\beta} = 0.$$

In particular, if F^0 is a differential then so is F, and, thus, there exists the mapping $y \in W_p^1(\Omega)$ such that $\partial_{\alpha} y_i = F_{i\alpha}$.

Consider the minimization problem for the functional

(6)
$$I(v, F, Z, w) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |v - v^0|^2 + G(F, Z, w) \, dx.$$

Theorem 1. There exists $(v, F, Z, w) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$I(v, F, Z, w) = \inf_{\mathcal{A}} I(v', F', Z', w').$$

Furthermore, if G is a strictly convex function then the minimizer $(v, F, Z, w) \in \mathcal{A}$ is unique.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the next theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $\{(v_n, F_n, Z_n, w_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and } S = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(v_n, F_n, Z_n, w_n) < \infty$. Then there exist $(v, F, Z, w) \in X$ and a subsequence $(v_{\mu}, F_{\mu}, Z_{\mu}, w_{\mu})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} v_{\mu} \rightharpoonup v & \text{ in } L_{2}(\Omega), \\ F_{\mu} \rightharpoonup F & \text{ in } L_{p}(\Omega), \\ Z_{\mu} \rightharpoonup Z & \text{ in } L_{q}(\Omega), \\ w_{\mu} \rightharpoonup w & \text{ in } L_{r}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $(v, F, Z, w) \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$I(v, F, Z, w) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} I(v_n, F_n, Z_n, w_n) = s < \infty.$$

This statement can be proven by applying the techniques and methods of papers [7, 11, 1]13].

We will now show that the minimizer of (6) over the admissible set \mathcal{A} satisfies the weak form of the system of equations (4). To derive the Euler-Lagrange equations, we assume that the minimizer (v, F, Z, w) meets $w(x) \ge \gamma > 0$ a.e. in Ω .

We fix "direction" $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$|F + hD\theta|^n \le M\left(w + \sum_{i,\alpha} h \operatorname{cof} F^0_{i\alpha} \partial_\alpha \theta_i\right) \text{ and } \sum_{i,\alpha} \operatorname{cof} F^0_{i\alpha} \partial_\alpha \theta_i \in L_{\infty}(\Omega).$$

For

$$|\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon^0 = \frac{\gamma}{\|\sum_{i,\alpha} h \operatorname{cof} F^0_{i\alpha} \partial_\alpha \theta_i\|_{L_{\infty}} + 1}$$

we set

$$\varepsilon(\delta v_i, \delta F_{i\alpha}, \delta Z_{k\gamma}, \delta w) = \varepsilon \left(\theta_i, h\partial_\alpha \theta_i, \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} h\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}F^0_{j\beta}\partial_\alpha \theta_i, \sum_{i,\alpha} h\partial_\alpha \operatorname{cof} F^0_{i\alpha}\partial_\alpha \theta_i\right)$$

and a variation

-1

$$\Xi^{\varepsilon} = (v^{\varepsilon}, F^{\varepsilon}, Z^{\varepsilon}, w^{\varepsilon}) = (v, F, Z, w) + \varepsilon (\delta v_i, \delta F_{i\alpha}, \delta Z_{k\gamma}, \delta w).$$

One can readily see that such variations fulfill the conditions (5) since columns of the matrix cof F^0 are divergence-free vector fields. Additional requirements on θ allow us to conclude that $\Xi^{\varepsilon} = (v^{\varepsilon}, F^{\varepsilon}, Z^{\varepsilon}, w^{\varepsilon})$ belongs to the admissible set \mathcal{A} .

Furthermore, using the mean value theorem and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we find that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (I(v_i + \varepsilon \delta v_i, F_{i\alpha} + \varepsilon \delta F_{i\alpha}, Z_{k\gamma} + \varepsilon \delta Z_{k\gamma}, w + \varepsilon \delta w) - I(v_i, F_{i\alpha}, Z_{k\gamma}, w)) \\= \int_{\Omega} \sum_i \theta_i (v_i - v_i^0) + \sum_{i,\alpha} h \partial_\alpha \theta_i g_{i\alpha}(F, Z, w; F^0) \, dx$$

for $\varepsilon^* \in [0, \varepsilon]$. The last equality is the weak form of (4a). To apply the dominated convergence theorem we use the integrability properties of $g_{i\alpha}$ derived from hypothesis **(H4)** and Young's inequality [7].

In the case p > 4, following to [7], we find the mapping $y: (0, \infty) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $y \in W^1_{\infty}([0,\infty]; L_2) \cap L_{\infty}([0,\infty]; W^1_p)$ such that the conditions $\partial_t y = v$, $D_x y = F$, $\operatorname{cof} D_x y = Z$, $\det D_x y = w$ are fulfilled. Moreover, they satisfy the weak form of the additional conservation laws (2) and y is the measure-valued solution of the system (3).

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to professor Sergey Vodop'yanov for suggesting the problem and useful discussions.

References

- J. M. Ball. Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 63:337–403, 1977.
- [2] J. M. Ball. Some open problems in elasticity. In P. Newton et al., editor, Geometry, Mechanics, and Dynamics, pages 3–59. Springer, New York, 2002.
- [3] P. G. Ciarlet. Mathematical Elasticity, Vol. I: Three-Dimensional Elasticity, Series "Studies in Mathematics and its Applications". North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [4] B. D. Coleman and W. Noll. On the thermostatics of continuous media. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 4:97–128, 1959.

- [5] C. M. Dafermos. Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- [6] E. De Giorgi. New problems on minimizing movements. In Boundary Value Problems for PDEs and Applications, volume 29 of RMA Res. Notes Appl. Math., pages 81–98. Masson, Paris, 1993.
- [7] S. Demoulini, D. M. A. Stuart, and A. E. Tzavaras. A variational approximation scheme for three dimensional elastodynamics with polyconvex energy. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 157(4):324–344, 2001.
- [8] R. J. Di Perna. Convergence of approximate solutions to conservation laws. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 82:27–70, 1983.
- [9] T. Iwaniec and V. Šverák. On mappings with integrable dilatation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118:185– 188, 1993.
- [10] A. Miroshnikov and A. Tzavaras. A variational approximation scheme for radial polyconvex elasticity that preserves the positivity of Jacobians. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 10(1):87–115, 2012.
- [11] A. O. Molchanova and S. K. Vodop'yanov. Injectivity almost everywhere and mappings with finite distortion, 2017.
- [12] T. Qin. Symmetrizing nonlinear elasodynamics system. J. Elasticity, 50:245–252, 1998.
- [13] S. K. Vodop'yanov and A. O. Molchanova. Variational problems of the nonlinear elasticity theory in certain classes of mappings with finite distortion. *Dokl. Math.*, 92(3):739–742, 2015.

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 4 ACAD. KOPTYUG AVENUE, NOVOSIBIRSK 630090, RUSSIA

PEOPLES' FRIENDSHIP UNIVERSITY, 6 MIKLUKHO-MAKLAYA STR., MOSCOW 117198, RUSSIA *E-mail address*: a.molchanova@math.nsc.ru