

# ONE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION ON THE MORAN SETS

SYMON SERBENYUK

ABSTRACT. In the present article, topological, metric, and fractal properties of certain sets are investigated. These sets are images of sets whose elements have restrictions on using digits or combinations of digits in own  $s$ -adic representations, under the map  $f$ , that is a certain distribution function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In [7], P. A. P. Moran introduced the following construction of sets and calculated the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in A_{0,p}} \Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $p$  is a fixed positive integer,  $A_{0,p} = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ , and sets  $\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$  are basic sets having the following properties:

- any set  $\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$  is closed and disjoint;
- for any  $i \in A_{0,p}$  the condition  $\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n i} \subset \Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$  holds;
- 

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}) = 0, \text{ where } d(\cdot) \text{ is the diameter of a set;}$$

- each basic set is the closure of its interior;
- at each level the basic sets do not overlap (their interiors are disjoint);
- any basic set  $\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n i}$  is geometrically similar to  $\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ ;
- 

$$\frac{d(\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n i})}{d(\Delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})} = \sigma_i,$$

where  $\sigma_i \in (0, 1)$  for  $i = \overline{1, p}$ .

The Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha_0$  of the set  $E$  is the unique root of the following equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \sigma_i^{\alpha_0} = 1.$$

It is easy to see that set (1) is a Cantor-like set and a self-similar fractal. The set  $E$  is called *the Moran set*.

Much research has been devoted to Moran-like constructions and Cantor-like sets (for example, see [3, 4, 6, 8, 1, 2, 5, 8, 21, 16] and references therein).

Fractal sets are widely applied in computer design, algorithms of the compression to information, quantum mechanics, solid-state physics, analysis and categorizations of signals of various forms appearing in different areas (e.g. the analysis of exchange rate fluctuations in economics), etc. In addition, such sets are useful for checking of preserving the Hausdorff dimension by certain functions [20, 21]. However, for much classes of fractals the problem of the Hausdorff dimension

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11K55, 11J72, 28A80, 26A09.

*Key words and phrases.*  $s$ -adic representation, Moran set, Hausdorff dimension, monotonic function, distribution function.

calculation is difficult and the estimate of parameters on which the Hausdorff dimension of certain classes of fractal sets depends is left out of consideration.

Let  $s > 1$  be a fixed positive integer. Let us consider the  $s$ -adic representation of numbers from  $[0, 1]$ :

$$x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{s^n},$$

where  $\alpha_n \in A = \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ .

In addition, we say that the following representation

$$x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^{-s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{(-s)^n},$$

is the nega- $s$ -adic representation of numbers from  $[-\frac{s}{s+1}, \frac{1}{s+1}]$ . Here  $\alpha_n \in A$  as well.

Some articles (see [1, 2, 16, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]) were devoted to sets whose elements have certain restrictions on using combinations of digits in own  $s$ -adic representation. Let us consider the following results.

Suppose  $s > 2$  be a fixed positive integer.

Let us consider a class  $\Upsilon_s$  of sets  $\mathbb{S}_{(s,u)}$  represented in the form

$$\mathbb{S}_{(s,u)} = \left\{ x : x = \frac{u}{s-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n - u}{s^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}, (\alpha_n) \in L, \alpha_n \neq u, \alpha_n \neq 0 \right\},$$

where  $u = \overline{0, s-1}$ , the parameters  $u$  and  $s$  are fixed for the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(s,u)}$ . That is the class  $\Upsilon_s$  contains the sets  $\mathbb{S}_{(s,0)}, \mathbb{S}_{(s,1)}, \dots, \mathbb{S}_{(s,s-1)}$ . We say that  $\Upsilon$  is a class of sets such that contains the classes  $\Upsilon_3, \Upsilon_4, \dots, \Upsilon_n, \dots$ .

It is easy to see that the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(s,u)}$  can be defined by the  $s$ -adic representation in the following form

$$\mathbb{S}_{(s,u)} = \left\{ x : x = \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_1-1} \alpha_1 \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_2-1} \alpha_2 \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_n-1} \alpha_n \dots}, (\alpha_n) \in L, \alpha_n \neq u, \alpha_n \neq 0 \right\},$$

**Theorem 1** ([13, 15, 16]). *For an arbitrary  $u \in A$  the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(s,u)}$  is an uncountable, perfect, nowhere dense set of zero Lebesgue measure, and a self-similar fractal whose Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha_0(\mathbb{S}_{(s,u)})$  satisfies the following equation*

$$\sum_{p \neq u, p \in A_0} \left( \frac{1}{s} \right)^{p\alpha_0} = 1.$$

*Remark 1.* We note that the statement of the last-mentioned theorem is true for all sets  $\mathbb{S}_{(s,0)}, \mathbb{S}_{(s,1)}, \dots, \mathbb{S}_{(s,s-1)}$  (for fixed parameters  $u = \overline{0, s-1}$  and any fixed  $2 < s \in \mathbb{N}$ ) without the sets  $S_{(3,1)}$  and  $S_{(3,2)}$ .

**Theorem 2** ([13, 15, 14, 16]). *Let  $E$  be a set, whose elements contain (in own  $s$ -adic or nega- $s$ -adic representation) only digits or combinations of digits from a certain fixed finite set  $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m\}$  of  $s$ -adic digits or combinations of digits.*

*Then the Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha_0$  of  $E$  satisfies the following equation:*

$$N(\sigma_m^1) \left( \frac{1}{s} \right)^{\alpha_0} + N(\sigma_m^2) \left( \frac{1}{s} \right)^{2\alpha_0} + \dots + N(\sigma_m^k) \left( \frac{1}{s} \right)^{k\alpha_0} = 1,$$

where  $N(\sigma_m^k)$  is a number of  $k$ -digit combinations  $\sigma_m^k$  from the set  $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $N(\sigma_m^1) + N(\sigma_m^2) + \dots + N(\sigma_m^k) = m$ .

Now we will describe the main function of our investigation. Let  $\eta$  be a random variable, that defined by the s-adic representation

$$\eta = \frac{\xi_1}{s} + \frac{\xi_2}{s^2} + \frac{\xi_3}{s^3} + \cdots + \frac{\xi_k}{s^k} + \cdots = \Delta_{\xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_k \dots}^s,$$

where  $\xi_k = \alpha_k$  and digits  $\xi_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) are random and taking the values  $0, 1, \dots, s-1$  with positive probabilities  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1}$ . That is  $\xi_k$  are independent and  $P\{\xi_k = i_k\} = p_{i_k}$ ,  $i_k \in A$ .

From the definition of a distribution function and the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \{\eta < x\} &= \{\xi_1 < \alpha_1(x)\} \cup \{\xi_1 = \alpha_1(x), \xi_2 < \alpha_2(x)\} \cup \dots \\ &\cup \{\xi_1 = \alpha_1(x), \xi_2 = \alpha_2(x), \dots, \xi_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1}(x), \xi_k < \alpha_k(x)\} \cup \dots, \\ P\{\xi_1 = \alpha_1(x), \xi_2 = \alpha_2(x), \dots, \xi_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1}(x), \xi_k < \alpha_k(x)\} &= \beta_{\alpha_k(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} p_{\alpha_j(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_{\alpha_k} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha_k(x)-1} p_i(x) & \text{whenever } \alpha_k(x) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{whenever } \alpha_k(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

it is easy to see that the following statement is true.

*Statement 1.* The distribution function  $f_\eta$  of the random variable  $\eta$  can be represented in the following form

$$f_\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{whenever } x < 0 \\ \beta_{\alpha_1(x)} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \beta_{\alpha_k(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} p_{\alpha_j(x)} \right) & \text{whenever } 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & \text{whenever } x \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $p_{\alpha_j(x)} > 0$ .

The function

$$f(x) = \beta_{\alpha_1(x)} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \beta_{\alpha_n(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{\alpha_j(x)} \right),$$

can be used as a representation of numbers from  $[0, 1]$ . That is

$$x = \Delta_{\alpha_1(x)\alpha_2(x)\dots\alpha_n(x)\dots}^P = \beta_{\alpha_1(x)} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \beta_{\alpha_n(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{\alpha_j(x)} \right),$$

where  $P = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1}\}$ ,  $p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1} = 1$ , and  $p_i > 0$  for all  $i = \overline{0, s-1}$ . The last-mentioned representation is *the P-representation of numbers from  $[0, 1]$* .

In the present article, we will consider properties of images of the sets considered in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 under the map  $f$ .

We begin with definitions.

Let  $s$  be a fixed positive integer,  $s > 2$ . Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$  be an ordered tuple of integers such that  $c_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  for  $i = \overline{1, m}$ .

**Definition 1.** A cylinder of rank  $m$  with base  $c_1 c_2 \dots c_m$  is a set  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P$  formed by all numbers of the segment  $[0, 1]$  with P-representations in which the first  $m$  digits coincide with  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P = \{x : x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^P, \alpha_j = c_j, j = \overline{1, m}\}.$$

Cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P$  have the following properties:

- (1) any cylinder  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P$  is a closed interval;

(2)

$$\inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m 000\dots}^P, \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [s-1][s-1][s-1]\dots}^P;$$

(3)

$$|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P| = p_{c_1} p_{c_2} \cdots p_{c_m};$$

(4)

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^P \subset \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P;$$

(5)

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P = \bigcup_{c=0}^{s-1} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^P;$$

(6)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^P| = 0;$$

(7)

$$\frac{|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c_{m+1}}^P|}{|\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D}|} = p_{c_{m+1}};$$

(8)

$$\sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m c}^P = \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [c+1]}^P,$$

where  $c \neq s - 1$ ;

(9)

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^{-D} = x = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m \dots}^{-D}.$$

**Definition 2.** A number  $x \in [0, 1]$  is called *P-rational* if

$$x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n 000\dots}^P$$

or

$$x = \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n-1} [\alpha_n - 1][s-1][s-1][s-1]\dots}^P.$$

The other numbers in  $[0, 1]$  are called *P-irrational*.

## 2. THE OBJECTS OF RESEARCH

Let  $2 < s$  be a fixed positive integer,  $A = \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ ,  $A_0 = A \setminus \{0\} = \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$ , and

$$L \equiv (A_0)^\infty = (A_0) \times (A_0) \times (A_0) \times \dots$$

be the space of one-sided sequences of elements of  $A_0$ .

Let  $P = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1}\}$  be a fixed set of positive numbers such that  $p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1} = 1$ .

Let us consider a class  $\Gamma$  that contains classes  $\Gamma_{P_s}$  of sets  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  represented in the form

$$\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)} \equiv \left\{ x : x = \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_1 - 1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_2 - 1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_n - 1} \dots}, (\alpha_n) \in L, \alpha_n \neq u, \alpha_n \neq 0 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $u = \overline{0, s-1}$ , the parameters  $u$  and  $s$  are fixed for the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ . That is the class  $\Gamma_{P_s}$  contains the sets  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)}, \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 1)}, \dots, \mathbb{S}_{(s, s-1)}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *An arbitrary set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a uncountable set.*

*Proof.* Let us consider the mapping  $g : \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)} \rightarrow S_u$ . That is

$$\forall (\alpha_n) \in L : x = \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_1-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_n-1}}^P \xrightarrow{g} \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n \dots}^s = y = g(x).$$

It follows from the definition of an arbitrary set  $S_u$  that s-adic-rational numbers of the form

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n 000 \dots}^s$$

do not belong to  $S_u$  (since the condition  $\alpha_n \notin \{0, u\}$  holds). Hence each element of  $S_u$  has the unique s-adic representation.

For any  $x \in \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  there exists  $y = g(x) \in S_u$  and for any  $y \in S_u$  there exists  $x = g^{-1}(y) \in \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ . Since P-rational numbers do not belong to  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ , we have that for arbitrary  $x_1 \neq x_2$  the inequality  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$  holds.

So from the uncountability of  $S_u$  follows the uncountability of the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ .  $\square$

To investigate topological and metric properties of  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ , we will study properties of cylinders. Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  be an ordered tuple of integers such that  $c_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  for  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

**Definition 3.** A cylinder of rank  $n$  with base  $c_1 c_2 \dots c_n$  is a set  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{(P, u)}$  of the form:

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{(P, u)} = \left\{ x : x = \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_{n+1}-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_{n+2}-1}}^P, \alpha_j = c_j, j = \overline{1, n} \right\}.$$

By  $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)$  denote the period  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$  in the representation of a periodic number.

**Lemma 2.** Cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n}^{(P, u)}$  have the following properties:

(1)

$$\inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n}^{(P, u)} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{s-2}}^P & \text{if } u = 0 \\ \Delta_{\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{s-2}}^P & \text{if } u = 1 \\ \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_n-1}}^P (1) & \text{if } u \in \{2, 3, \dots, s-1\}, \end{cases}$$

$$\sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n}^{(P, u)} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{c_1-1} \dots \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{s-3}}^P & \text{if } u = s-1 \\ \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_u}^P & \text{if } u \in \{1, \dots, s-2\} \\ \Delta_{\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_n-1}}^P (1) & \text{if } u = 0. \end{cases}$$

(2) If  $d(\cdot)$  is the diameter of a set, then

$$d(\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n}^{(P, u)}) = d(\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}) p_u^{c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_n - n} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j}.$$

(3)

$$\frac{d(\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n c_{n+1}}^{(P, u)})}{d(\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n}^{(P, u)})} = p_{c_{n+1}} p_u^{c_{n+1} - 1}.$$

(4)

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{(P,u)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1} \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n i}^{(P,u)} \quad \forall c_n \in A_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \neq u.$$

(5) The following relationships are satisfied:

(a) if  $u \in \{0, 1\}$ , then

$$\inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,u)} > \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,u)};$$

(b) if  $u \in \{2, 3, \dots, s-3\}$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,u)} < \inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,u)} & \text{for all } p+1 \leq u \\ \inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,u)} > \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,u)}, & \text{for all } u < p; \end{cases}$$

(c) if  $u \in \{s-2, s-1\}$ , then

$$\sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,u)} < \inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,u)} \quad (\text{in this case, the condition } p \neq s-1 \text{ holds}).$$

*Proof.* The first property follows from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{u c_1 u \dots u}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_{n+1}-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_{n+2}-1} \dots}^P \\ &= \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{u c_1 u \dots u}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_n-1} (0)}^P + p_u^{c_1 + \dots + c_n - n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n p_{c_k} \right) \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_{n+1}-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{\alpha_{n+2}-1} \dots}^P \end{aligned}$$

and the definition of  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ .It is easy to see that *the second property* follows from the first property, *the third property* is a corollary of the first and second properties, and *Property 4* follows from the definition of the set.Let us show that *Property 5* is true. We now prove that the first inequality holds for  $u = 1$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,0)} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,0)} &= \Delta_{\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{0 c_1 0 \dots 0}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{p-1} \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{s-2}}^P - \Delta_{\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{0 c_1 0 \dots 0}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{p}}^P \\ &= \beta_p p_0^{c_1 + \dots + c_n - n + p - 1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} + p_p p_0^{c_1 + \dots + c_n - n + p - 1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)} \\ &\quad - \beta_{p+1} p_0^{c_1 + \dots + c_n - n + p} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} - p_{p+1} p_0^{c_1 + \dots + c_n - n + p} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)} \\ &= p_0^{c_1 + \dots + c_n - n + p} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) (\beta_p p_0^{-1} + p_p p_0^{-1} \inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)} - \beta_{p+1} - p_{p+1} \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)}) \\ &= p_0^{c_1 + \dots + c_n - n + p - 1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) (p_0(1 - p_0 - p_p - p_{p+1}) \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)} + (1 - p_0)(p_1 + \dots + p_{p-1}) + p_p \inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)}) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

because

$$1 - p_0 - p_p - p_{p+1} \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, 0)} = 1 - p_0 - p_p - p_{p+1} \frac{p_0}{1 - p_1} = \frac{\sum_{i \notin \{0, 1, p, p+1\}} p_i + p_{p+1}(1 - p_0) + p_0 p_1 + p_1 p_p}{1 - p_1} > 0.$$

Also,

$$\inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,1)} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,1)} = \Delta_{\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{1 c_1 0 \dots 0}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{p-1} \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{s-2}}^P - \Delta_{\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{1 c_1 1 \dots 1}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{p}}^P \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta_p p_1^{c_1+\dots+c_n+p-n-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} + p_p p_1^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,1)} \\
&\quad - \beta_{p+1} p_1^{c_1+\dots+c_n+p-n} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} - p_{p+1} p_1^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,1)} \\
&= p_1^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) (\beta_p + p_p \inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,1)} - \beta_{p+1} p_1 - p_{p+1} p_1 \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,1)}) \\
&= p_1^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) (p_p \inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,1)} + p_1(1-p_1-p_p-p_{p+1} \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,1)}) + (1-p_1)(p_0+p_2+\dots+p_{p-1})) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,1)} = \Delta_{(12)}^P = \beta_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_1 p_1^k p_2^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_2 p_1^k p_2^k = \frac{p_0 + p_0 p_1 + p_1^2}{1 - p_1 p_2} > 0$$

and

$$1 = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1}.$$

Let us prove the system of inequalities. Consider the first inequality. For the case when  $p+1 \leq u$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,u)} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,u)} &= \Delta_{\underbrace{c_1-1}_{c_1-1} \dots \underbrace{c_1}_{c_1} \underbrace{c_2 \dots c_2}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{c_n}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{p}}^{(P)} - \Delta_{\underbrace{c_1-1}_{c_1-1} \dots \underbrace{c_1}_{c_1} \underbrace{c_2 \dots c_2}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{c_n}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{p-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^{(P)} \\
&= \beta_u p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} + \beta_{p+1} p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} + p_{p+1} p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{(1)}^P \\
&\quad - \beta_p p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} - p_p p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^P \\
&= p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \left( \beta_u + \beta_{p+1} p_u + p_{p+1} p_u \Delta_{(1)}^P - \beta_p - p_p \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^P \right) \\
&= p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \left( p_{p+1} p_u \Delta_{(1)}^P + (\beta_u - \beta_p) + p_u p_p + \beta_p p_u - p_p \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^P \right) > 0
\end{aligned}$$

since the conditions  $p < u$ ,  $\beta_u - \beta_p > 0$ , and  $\beta_{p+1} = \beta_p + p_p$  hold.

Let us prove that the second inequality is true. Here  $p > u$ , i.e.,  $p - u \geq 1$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n p}^{(P,u)} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P,u)} &= \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{p-1}}^{(P)} - \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{u \dots u}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{p} \underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^{(P)} \\
&= \beta_p p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} + p_p p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{(1)}^P \\
&\quad - \beta_u p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} - \beta_{p+1} p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} - p_{p+1} p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^P \\
&= p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \left( \beta_p + p_p \Delta_{(1)}^P - \beta_u - \beta_{p+1} p_u - p_{p+1} p_u \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^P \right) \\
&= p_u^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \left( p_p \Delta_{(1)}^P + (p_{u+1} + \dots + p_{p+1}) + p_u (p_{p+1} + \dots + p_{s-1} - p_{p+1} \Delta_{\underbrace{u \dots u}_{u}}^P) \right) > 0
\end{aligned}$$

since the conditions  $p > u$ ,  $\beta_p - \beta_u = p_u + p_{u+1} + \dots + p_{p-1}$ , and  $1 - \beta_{p+1} = p_{p+1} + \dots + p_{s-1}$  hold.

Suppose that  $u = s - 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P, s-2)} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n p}^{(P, s-2)} \\
&= \Delta_{\underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_p}_{[p+1](1)} \\
&- \Delta_{\underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{p-1} \underbrace{[s-2] \dots [s-2]}_{s-2}}_{[s-1]} \\
&= \beta_{s-2} p_{s-2}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} + \beta_{p+1} p_{s-2}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \\
&+ p_{p+1} p_{s-2}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{(1)}^P - \beta_p p_{s-2}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \\
&- p_p p_{s-2}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{\underbrace{([s-2] \dots [s-2])}_{s-2}}^P \\
&= p_{s-2}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) (\beta_{s-2} + \beta_{p+1} p_{s-2} + p_{s-2} p_{p+1} \Delta_{(1)}^P - \beta_p - p_p \Delta_{\underbrace{([s-2] \dots [s-2])}_{s-2}}^P) \\
&= p_{s-2}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n q_{c_j} \right) (p_p (1 - \Delta_{\underbrace{([s-2] \dots [s-2])}_{s-2}}^P) + (p_{p+1} + \dots + p_{s-3}) + \beta_{p+1} p_{s-2} + p_{s-2} p_{p+1} \Delta_{(1)}^P) > 0
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\beta_{s-2} - \beta_p = p_p + p_{p+1} + \dots + p_{s-3}$ . Here  $p \neq s - 1$ .

Suppose that  $u = s - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n [p+1]}^{(P, s-1)} - \sup \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n p}^{(P, s-1)} \\
&= \Delta_{\underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{c_2-1} \dots \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_p}_{[p+1](1)} \\
&- \Delta_{\underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{c_1-1} \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{c_n-1} \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{p-1} \underbrace{[s-1] \dots [s-1]}_{s-3}}_{[s-2]} \\
&= \beta_{s-1} p_{s-1}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} + \beta_{p+1} p_{s-1}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \\
&+ p_{p+1} p_{s-1}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{(1)}^P - \beta_p p_{s-1}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \\
&- p_p p_{s-1}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) \cdot \Delta_{\underbrace{([s-1] \dots [s-1])}_{s-3}}^P \\
&= p_{s-1}^{c_1+\dots+c_n-n+p-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j} \right) (\beta_{s-1} + \beta_{p+1} p_{s-1} + p_{s-1} p_{p+1} \Delta_{(1)}^P - \beta_p - p_p \Delta_{\underbrace{([s-1] \dots [s-1])}_{s-3}}^P) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.** *The set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P, s, u)}$  is a perfect and nowhere dense set of zero Lebesgue measure.*



*Proof.* We now prove that *the set*  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}$  *is a nowhere dense set.* From the definition it follows that there exist cylinders  $\Delta_{c_1 \dots c_n}^{(P_s,u)}$  of rank  $n$  in an arbitrary subinterval of the segment  $I = [\inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}, \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}]$ . Since Property 5 from Lemma 2 is true for these cylinders, we have that for any subinterval of  $I$  there exists a subinterval such that does not contain points from  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}$ . So  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}$  is a nowhere dense set.

Let us show that  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}$  *is a set of zero Lebesgue measure.* Suppose that  $I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{(P_s,u)}$  is a closed interval whose endpoints coincide with endpoints of the cylinder  $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{(P_s,u)}$ ,

$$|I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{(P_s,u)}| = d(\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_n}^{(P_s,u)}) = d(\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}) p_u^{c_1+c_2+\dots+c_n-n} \prod_{j=1}^n p_{c_j},$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^{(P_s,u)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{(P_s,u)} &= \bigcup_{c_1 \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} I_{c_1}^{(P_s,u)}, \\ E_2^{(P_s,u)} &= \bigcup_{c_1, c_2 \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} I_{c_1 c_2}^{(P_s,u)}, \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ E_k^{(P_s,u)} &= \bigcup_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_k}^{(P_s,u)}, \\ &\dots \dots \dots \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since  $E_{k+1}^{(P_s,u)} \subset E_k^{(P_s,u)}$ , we have

$$E_k^{(P_s,u)} = E_{k+1}^{(P_s,u)} \cup \bar{E}_{k+1}^{(P_s,u)}.$$

Let  $I$  be an initial closed interval such that  $\lambda(I) = d_0$  and  $[\inf \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}, \sup \mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}] = I$ ,  $\lambda(\cdot)$  be the Lebesgue measure of a set. Then

$$\lambda(E_1^{(P_s,u)}) = \sum_{c_1 \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} |I_{c_1}^{(P_s,u)}| = d(\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}) \sum_{c_1 \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} p_u^{c_1-1} = \gamma_0.$$

We get

$$\lambda(\bar{E}_1^{(P_s,u)}) = d_0 - \lambda(E_1^{(P_s,u)}) = d_0 - \gamma_0 d_0 = d_0(1 - \gamma_0).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\bar{E}_2^{(P_s,u)}) &= \lambda(E_1^{(P_s,u)}) - \lambda(E_2^{(P_s,u)}) = \gamma_0 d_0 - \gamma_0^2 d_0 = d_0 \gamma_0 (1 - \gamma_0), \\ \lambda(\bar{E}_3^{(P_s,u)}) &= \lambda(E_2^{(P_s,u)}) - \lambda(E_3^{(P_s,u)}) = \gamma_0^2 d_0 - \gamma_0^3 d_0 = (1 - \gamma_0) \gamma_0^2 d_0, \\ &\dots \dots \dots \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\lambda(\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}) = d_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(\bar{E}_k^{(P_s,u)}) = d_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_0^{k-1} d_0 (1 - \gamma_0) = d_0 - \frac{d_0(1 - \gamma_0)}{1 - \gamma_0} = 0.$$

The set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}$  is a set of zero Lebesgue measure.

Let us prove that  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)}$  *is a perfect set.* Since

$$E_k^{(P_s,u)} = \bigcup_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} I_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_k}^{(P_s,u)}$$

is a closed set ( $E_k^{(P_s,u)}$  is a union of segments), we see that

$$\mathbb{S}_{(P_s,u)} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^{(P_s,u)}$$

is a closed set.

Let  $x \in \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ ,  $P$  be any interval that contains  $x$ , and  $J_n$  be a segment of  $E_n^{(P_s, u)}$  that contains  $x$ . Choose a number  $n$  such that  $J_n \subset P$ . Suppose that  $x_n$  is the endpoint of  $J_n$  such that the condition  $x_n \neq x$  holds. Hence  $x_n \in \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  and  $x$  is a limit point of the set.

Since  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a closed set and does not contain isolated points, we obtain that  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a perfect set.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *The set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a self-similar fractal and the Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha_0(\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)})$  of the set satisfies the following equation*

$$\sum_{i \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} (p_i p_u^{i-1})^{\alpha_0} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)} \subset I$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a perfect set, we obtain that  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a compact set. In addition,

$$\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)} = \bigcup_{i \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} \left[ I_i^{(P_s, u)} \cap \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)} \right]$$

and  $\left[ I_i^{(P_s, u)} \cap \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)} \right] \stackrel{p_i p_u^{i-1}}{\sim} \mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  for all  $i \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}$ .

Since the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a compact self-similar set of space  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , we have that the self-similar dimension of this set is equal to the Hausdorff dimension of  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$ . So the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(P_s, u)}$  is a self-similar fractal, and its Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha_0$  satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{i \in A_0 \setminus \{u\}} (p_i p_u^{i-1})^{\alpha_0} = 1.$$

$\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $E$  be a set whose elements represented in terms of the  $P$ -representation by a finite number of fixed combinations  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m$  of digits from the alphabet  $A$ . Then the Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha_0$  of  $E$  satisfies the following equation:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left( \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i^{N_i(\tau_j)} \right)^{\alpha_0} = 1,$$

where  $N_i(\tau_k)$  ( $k = \overline{1, m}$ ) is a number of the digit  $i$  in  $\tau_k$  from the set  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m\}$  be a set of fixed combinations of digits from  $A$  and the  $P$ -representation of any number from  $E$  contains only such combinations of digits.

It is easy to see that there exist combinations  $\tau', \tau''$  from the set  $\Xi = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m\}$  such that  $\Delta_{\tau' \tau' \dots}^P = \inf E$ ,  $\Delta_{\tau'' \tau'' \dots}^P = \sup E$ , and

$$d(E) = \sup E - \inf E = \Delta_{\tau'' \tau'' \dots}^P - \Delta_{\tau' \tau' \dots}^P.$$

A cylinder  $\Delta_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n}^{(P, E)}$  of rank  $n$  with base  $\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n$  is a set formed by all numbers of  $E$  with the  $P$ -representations in which the first  $n$  combinations of digits are fixed and coincide with  $\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n$  respectively ( $\tau'_j \in \Xi$  for all  $j = \overline{1, n}$ ).

It is easy to see that

$$d(\Delta_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n}^{(P, E)}) = d(E) \cdot p_0^{N_0(\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n)} p_1^{N_1(\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n)} \dots p_{s-1}^{N_{s-1}(\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n)},$$

where  $N_i(\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n)$  is a number of the digit  $i \in A$  in  $\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n$ .

Since  $E$  is a closed set,  $E \subset [\inf E, \sup E]$ , and

$$\frac{d\left(\Delta_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n \tau'_{n+1}}^{(P,E)}\right)}{d\left(\Delta_{\tau'_1 \tau'_2 \dots \tau'_n}^{(P,E)}\right)} = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i^{N_i(\tau'_{n+1})},$$

$$E = [I_{\tau_1} \cap E] \cup [I_{\tau_2} \cap E] \cup \dots \cup [I_{\tau_m} \cap E],$$

where  $I_{\tau_j} = [\inf \Delta_{\tau_j}^{(P,E)}, \sup \Delta_{\tau_j}^{(P,E)}]$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we have

$$[I_{\tau_j} \cap E] \stackrel{\omega_j}{\approx} E \text{ for all } j = \overline{1, m},$$

where

$$\omega_j = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i^{N_i(\tau_j)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. DiMartino and W. O. Urbina, On Cantor-like sets and Cantor-Lebesgue singular functions, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1403.6554.pdf>
- [2] R. DiMartino and W. O. Urbina, Excursions on Cantor-like Sets, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1411.7110.pdf>
- [3] K. Falconer *Techniques in Fractal Geometry*, John Willey and Sons, 1997.
- [4] K. Falconer *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, Wiley, 2004.
- [5] S. Hua, H. Rao, Z. Wen et al., On the structures and dimensions of Moran sets, *Sci. China Ser. A-Math.* **43** (2000), No. 8, 836–852 doi:10.1007/BF02884183
- [6] B. B. Mandelbrot, *Fractals: Form, Chance and Dimension*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1977.
- [7] P. A. P. Moran, Additive functions of intervals and Hausdorff measure, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **42** (1946), No. 1, 15–23 doi:10.1017/S0305004100022684
- [8] M. Pollicott and Károly Simon, The Hausdorff dimension of  $\lambda$ -expansions with deleted digits, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 967–983 <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1995-1290729-0>
- [9] S. O. Serbenyuk, Topological, metric and fractal properties of one set defined by using the s-adic representation, *XIV International Scientific Kravchuk Conference: Conference materials II*, Kyiv: National Technical University of Ukraine “KPI”, 2012. — P. 220 (in Ukrainian), available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311665455>
- [10] S. O. Serbenyuk, Topological, metric and fractal properties of sets of class generated by one set with using the s-adic representation, *International Conference “Dynamical Systems and their Applications”*: Abstracts, Kyiv: Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 2012. — P. 42 (in Ukrainian), available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311415778>
- [11] S. O. Serbenyuk, Topological, metric and fractal properties of the set with parameter, that the set defined by s-adic representation of numbers, *International Conference “Modern Stochastics: Theory and Applications III” dedicated to 100th anniversary of B. V. Gnedenko and 80th anniversary of M. I. Yandrenko*: Abstracts, Kyiv: Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 2012. — P. 13, available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311415501>
- [12] S. O. Serbenyuk, Topological, metric, and fractal properties of one set of real numbers such that it defined in terms of the s-adic representation, *Naukovyi Chasopys NPU im. M. P. Dragomanova. Seria 1. Fizyko-matematychni Nauky*[*Trans. Natl. Pedagog. Mykhailo Dragomanov University. Ser. 1. Phys. Math.*] **11** (2010), 241–250. (in Ukrainian), available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292606441>
- [13] S. O. Serbenyuk, Topological, metric properties and using one generalizad set determined by the s-adic representation with a parameter, *Naukovyi Chasopys NPU im. M. P. Dragomanova. Seria 1. Fizyko-matematychni Nauky*[*Trans. Natl. Pedagog. Mykhailo Dragomanov University. Ser. 1. Phys. Math.*] **12** (2011), 66–75. (in Ukrainian), available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292970196>
- [14] S. O. Serbenyuk, On some sets of real numbers such that defined by nega-s-adic and Cantor nega-s-adic representations, *Trans. Natl. Pedagog. Mykhailo Dragomanov Univ. Ser. 1. Phys. Math.* **15** (2013), 168–187, available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292970280> (in Ukrainian)
- [15] S. Serbenyuk, One one class of fractal sets, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.05262.pdf>
- [16] S. Serbenyuk, More on one class of fractals, arXiv:1706.01546v1.

- [17] S. Serbenyuk. Nega- $\tilde{Q}$ -representation as a generalization of certain alternating representations of real numbers, *Bull. Taras Shevchenko Natl. Univ. Kyiv Math. Mech.* 1 (35) (2016), 32-39, available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308273000> (in Ukrainian)
- [18] S. O. Serbenyuk, Continuous Functions with Complicated Local Structure Defined in Terms of Alternating Cantor Series Representation of Numbers, *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* **13** (2017), no. 1, 57–81.
- [19] *Serbenyuk S.* Non-differentiable functions defined in terms of classical representations of real numbers, *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* **14** (2018), no. 2, 197–213.
- [20] S. O. Serbenyuk, Preserving the Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension by monotonic singular distribution functions. In: Second interuniversity scientific conference on mathematics and physics for young scientists: abstracts, pp. 106-107. Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv (2011). <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301637057> (in Ukrainian)
- [21] S. Serbenyuk, On one fractal property of the Minkowski function, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas* **112** (2018), no. 2, 555–559, doi:10.1007/s13398-017-0396-5

45 SHCHUKINA ST., VINNYTSIA, 21012, UKRAINE

*E-mail address:* `simon6@ukr.net`