The ALMA-PILS survey: Stringent limits on small amines and nitrogen-oxides towards IRAS 16293–2422B

N. F. W. Ligterink^{1,2}, H. Calcutt³, A. Coutens⁴, L. E. Kristensen³, T. L. Bourke⁵, M. N. Drozdovskaya⁶, H. S. P. Müller⁷, S. F. Wampfler⁶, M. H. D. van der Wiel⁸, E. F. van Dishoeck^{2,9}, and J. K. Jørgensen³

¹Raymond and Beverly Sackler Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands, E-mail: ligterink@strw.leidenuniv.nl

²Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

³Centre for Star and Planet Formation, Niels Bohr Institute & Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5–7, 1350 Copenhagen K., Denmark

⁵SKA Organization, Jodrell Bank Observatory, Lower Withington, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK11 9DL, UK

⁶Center for Space and Habitability (CSH), University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

⁷I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany

⁸ASTRON, the Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Postbus 2, 7990 AA Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

⁹Max-Planck Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), Giessenbachstr. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

Received

ABSTRACT

Context. Hydroxylamine (NH_2OH) and methylamine (CH_3NH_2) have both been suggested as precursors to the formation of amino acids and are therefore of interest to prebiotic chemistry. Their presence in interstellar space and formation mechanisms, however, are not well established.

Aims. We aim to detect both amines and their potential precursor molecules NO, N_2O and CH_2NH towards the low-mass protostellar binary IRAS 16293–2422, in order to investigate their presence and constrain their interstellar formation mechanisms around a young Sun-like protostar.

Methods. ALMA observations from the unbiased, high angular resolution and sensitivity Protostellar Interferometric Line Survey (PILS) are used. Spectral transitions of the molecules under investigation are searched for with the CASSIS line analysis software.

Results. CH_2NH and N_2O are detected for the first time towards a low-mass source, the latter molecule through confirmation with the single-dish TIMASSS survey. NO is also detected. CH_3NH_2 and NH_2OH are not detected and stringent upper limit column densities are determined.

Conclusions. The non-detection of CH_3NH_2 and NH_2OH limits the importance of formation routes to amino acids involving these species. The detection of CH_2NH makes amino acid formation routes starting from this molecule plausible. The low abundances of CH_2NH and CH_3NH_2 compared to Sgr B2 indicate that different physical conditions influence their formation in low- and high-mass sources.

Key words. astrochemistry — stars: formation — stars: protostars — ISM: molecules — ISM: individual objects: IRAS 16293–2422 — astrobiology

1. Introduction

The small molecules methylamine (CH_3NH_2) and hydroxylamine (NH_2OH) with an amine ($-NH_2$) functional group have both been suggested as precursors to the formation of amino acids (Blagojevic et al. 2003, Holtom et al. 2005, Snow et al. 2007, Bossa et al. 2009, Barrientos et al. 2012, Garrod 2013). Reactions involving these molecules could explain the presence of the simplest amino acid glycine in comets (Elsila et al. 2009, Altwegg et al. 2016). Despite their importance, both CH_3NH_2 and NH_2OH have turned out to be quite elusive molecules in the interstellar medium. CH_3NH_2 has exclusively been detected towards Sgr B2 and tentatively towards Orion KL (e.g. Kaifu et al. 1974, Pagani et al. 2017). Upper limit abundances of CH_3NH_2 towards other high-mass sources are generally found to be consistent with values determined towards Sgr B2 (Ligterink et al. 2015). In the Solar System CH_3NH_2 has been detected in comets 81P/Wild 2 and 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko (hereafter 67P/C-G Elsila et al. 2009, Goesmann et al. 2015, Altwegg et al. 2017). NH₂OH has not been detected thus far, down to upper limit abundances of $\sim 10^{-11}$ with respect to H₂ (Pulliam et al. 2012, McGuire et al. 2015).

The lack of detection of these two molecules not only constrains amino acid formation, but also contrasts with model predictions. Garrod et al. (2008) predicted efficient CH₃NH₂ formation from the radical addition reaction CH₃ + NH₂ in their models, whereas NH₂OH is assumed to form from the NH + OH addition followed by hydrogenation and NH₂ + OH reactions on ice surfaces. Abundances of CH₃NH₂ and NH₂OH are predicted to be on the order of 10^{-6} – 10^{-7} , depending on the model. It is generally found that these models overproduce both molecules compared with observations (Pulliam et al. 2012, Ligterink et al. 2015). Therefore, other formation, reaction or destruction mechanisms need to be considered.

⁴Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, B18N, allée Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 33615 Pessac, France

Several laboratory experiments have investigated the formation of NH₂OH and CH₃NH₂. Zheng & Kaiser (2010) show the formation of NH₂OH from electron irradiated H₂O:NH₃ ice mixtures, while He et al. (2015) produce the molecule by oxidation of NH₃ ice. Alternatively, NH₂OH is seen to efficiently form from the solid-state hydrogenation of nitric oxide (NO; Congiu et al. 2012, Fedoseev et al. 2012). In this scenario, NO is accreted from the gas-phase onto dust grains during cloud collapse (Visser et al. 2011). Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is found as a by-product of NO hydrogenation reactions. NO has been observed in a variety of sources (e.g. Liszt & Turner 1978, Yıldız et al. 2013, Codella et al. 2018). It is thought to mainly form via the N + OH \rightarrow NO + H neutral-neutral reaction in the gas-phase. Observations suggest that N₂O is related to NO (Ziurys et al. 1994, Halfen et al. 2001).

CH₃NH₂ formation has been demonstrated in electron irradiated CH₄:NH₃ ice mixtures (Kim & Kaiser 2011, Förstel et al. 2017), with the main formation pathways suggested to proceed through $CH_3 + NH_2$ radical reactions. Theule et al. (2011) investigated hydrogenation of solid hydrogen cyanide (HCN) and methanimine (CH₂NH), both of which lead to CH₃NH₂ formation. CH₂NH is hypothesized to have a larger reaction probability than HCN and reaction pathways to CH₃NH₂ may be completely different for reactions starting from either HCN or CH₂NH. In contrast with CH₃NH₂, its potential precursor CH₂NH has been observed in numerous sources (Dickens et al. 1997, Nummelin et al. 2000, Belloche et al. 2013, Suzuki et al. 2016). Halfen et al. (2013) investigated the relationship between this molecule and CH₃NH₂ in Sgr B2 and concluded that the two species have different formation routes, due to observed differences in rotational temperature and distribution. Interestingly, CH₂NH has also been implied as a precursor to amino acid formation (e.g. Woon 2002, Danger et al. 2011).

Searches for CH_3NH_2 and NH_2OH have so far mainly focused on high-mass sources. Detections or upper limits of these two molecules and their potential precursors towards a low-mass source would therefore expand our understanding of aminecontaining molecules and their formation in the ISM. The lowmass solar-type protostellar binary IRAS 16293–2422 (hereafter IRAS 16293) is an ideal source for such a study. Its physics and chemistry are well studied and it is abundant in complex organic molecules (e.g. Jørgensen et al. 2016). Abundance ratios will therefore constrain the chemistry of $-NH_2$ molecules as has been done for other nitrogen-bearing species (Coutens et al. 2016, Ligterink et al. 2017). In this paper we present the first detection of CH_2NH and N_2O towards a low-mass protostar. NO is also detected and analysed. The abundances of NH_2OH and CH_3NH_2 are constrained by upper limits from non-detections.

2. Observations and data analysis

The observations were taken as part of the Protostellar Interferometric Line Survey (PILS), an unbiased spectral survey using the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA, Jørgensen et al. 2016). The survey covers a spectral range of 329.147 to 362.896 GHz in Band 7, obtained with the 12 m array and the Atacama Compact Array (ACA). The combined data set analysed in this work was produced with a circular restoring beam of 0?'5. The maximum recoverable scale is $13^{"}$. A spectral resolution of 0.2 km s⁻¹ and a root mean square (RMS) noise level of about 7–10 mJy beam⁻¹ channel⁻¹, i.e. approximately 4–5 mJy beam⁻¹ km s⁻¹ is obtained. The dataset has a calibration uncertainty of 5–10%. The spectral analysis presented below is performed towards source B in IRAS 16293 at a position offset by one beam diameter (0".5) from the continuum peak position in the south west direction ($\alpha_{J2000}=16^{h}32^{m}22$.58, $\delta_{J2000}=-24^{\circ}28'32.8''$). This position is used for most other PILS molecular identifications and abundance analyses (Coutens et al. 2016, Lykke et al. 2017, Persson et al. 2018). Lines are particularly narrow towards this position, only 1 km s⁻¹, limiting line confusion.

The spectra are analysed with the CASSIS software¹ and linelists from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL²) catalog for molecular spectroscopy (Pickett et al. 1998) and Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS; Müller et al. 2001; 2005). Specifically rotational spectroscopic data of NO, N₂O, CH₂NH, NH₂OH and CH₃NH₂ are used (Kirchhoff et al. 1973, Pickett et al. 1979, Morino et al. 2000, Ilyushin et al. 2005, Ting et al. 2014). Identified transitions are fitted with synthetic spectra, assuming Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE). The input for these fits is given by the column density (*N*), excitation temperature (*T*_{ex}), line width (FWHM = 1 km s⁻¹), peak velocity (*V*_{peak}) and a source size of 0."5, based on the observed spatial extent of the emission. The CASSIS software takes beam dilution into account by $\theta_{source}^2 / (\theta_{source}^2 + \theta_{beam}^2)$. Warm, dense dust is present around IRAS 16293B and its

Warm, dense dust is present around IRAS 16293B and its emission is coupled to the molecular line emission. This affects the line strength of molecular emission and needs to be corrected for by taking a higher background temperature into account, see Eq. 1 for the line brightness $T_{\rm B}(\nu)$.

$$T_{\rm B}(\nu) = T_0 \left(\frac{1}{e^{T_0/T_{\rm ex}} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{T_0/T_{\rm bg}} - 1} \right) (1 - e^{-\tau \nu}), \tag{1}$$

where $T_0 = h\nu/k_B$, with *h* being the Planck constant and k_B the Boltzmann constant. For the one beam offset position at source B $T_{bg} = 21$ K, compared to the usual cosmic microwave background temperature of $T_{bg} = 2.7$ K.

To compute the best-fit spectral models for each molecule, a grid of models is run to determine the best fitting synthetic spectra. For CH₂NH, N was varied between $1 \times 10^{14} - 5 \times 10^{15}$ cm⁻² and T_{ex} between 25 – 300 K, while for NO and N₂O the parameter space of $N = 1 \times 10^{15} - 1 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻² and $T_{ex} = 25$ – 400 K was explored. The V_{peak} parameter space is explored between 2.5 – 2.9 km s⁻¹ and the line FWHM is fixed to 1 km s⁻¹, as found for most transitions at this position in the PILS data set (Jørgensen et al. 2016). From this model grid, we obtain the best-fit model solutions through χ^2 minimisation. Generally, we accept fits that are within the observational uncertainty of the observed line profile as judged by eye.

The uncertainty in the data derives from the calibration error (10%) and the RMS noise (mJy beam⁻¹ channel⁻¹) as $\sqrt{(0.1T_{\text{peak}})^2 + (RMS)^2}$, with T_{peak} the peak brightness of a line. This uncertainty also applies to any best-fit synthetic model. In Table A.1 in Appendix A, model parameters for each detected transition as well as their errors are given. The error on the peak velocity equals the channel width of the observations. The FWHM is kept as a fixed parameter. The error on the peak brightness temperature (T_{peak}) is determined from the combination of the RMS and the calibration error of the data, as mentioned above. The largest source of error on the quality of the fit of

http://cassis.irap.omp.eu/

² http://spec.jpl.nasa.gov

the spectral model to the data, particularly due to the large number of blended lines in the PILS dataset. The best-fit model results are listed in Table 1.

For the non-detected species the formalism in Ligterink et al. (2015) is used to derive upper limit column densities based on the 3σ upper limit line intensities of the strongest transitions in line-free ranges of the PILS data. The 1σ limit is given by $1.1 \cdot \sqrt{\delta v \cdot FWHM} \cdot \text{RMS}$, where the factor 1.1 accounts for a 10% calibration uncertainty, δv the velocity resolution of the data (0.2 km s⁻¹), FWHM is the line width at the one beam offset position in source B (1 km s⁻¹) and RMS the noise in mJy beam⁻¹ bin⁻¹.

3. Results

Spectral lines from CH_2NH , NO and N_2O emission are detected, while those of CH_3NH_2 and NH_2OH are not. An overview of the detected transitions is presented in Table A.1 in Appendix A. Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the detected transitions of CH_2NH , NO and N_2O towards source B, with synthetic spectra overplotted.

CH₂NH is detected for the first time towards a low-mass protostellar source. A total of 18 hyperfine transitions in four different spectral features are detected. The first feature shows up at 332.575 GHz and is blended with a neighbouring methylformate (CH₃OCHO) line. At 340.354 GHz five hyperfine lines form a distinct feature that is unblended with any other molecule. Around 351.455 GHz a distinct double peak feature is found and at 360.294 GHz the fourth feature is seen. These last three features are found to be unblended. ALMA observations in bands 3, 4, 6 and 9 towards IRAS 16293 were used to search for additional lines, but spectral features of CH₂NH fall outside the spectral windows of these observations. Publicly available data of the single dish TIMASSS survey towards IRAS 16293 (Caux et al. 2011) were analysed for CH₂NH spectral features, but no lines were detected, suggesting that the emission indeed arises mostly from a compact source.

Upper state energies (E_{up}) of the detected features range from 26 to 183 K, making it possible to constrain the excitation temperature. Using a grid of models, the emission of this species can be fitted with excitation temperatures ranging between 70–120 K and column densities of 6.0×10^{14} – 10.0×10^{14} cm⁻². Outside this temperature range synthetic spectra cannot reproduce the observed spectrum, as can be seen in Fig. B.1 in Appendix B for fits at $T_{ex} = 50$ and 150 K. The peak velocity is found to be 2.7 km s⁻¹. For the best fits, no anti-coincidence is found between the synthetic and observed spectrum. Figure 1 shows the synthetic spectrum at $T_{ex} = 100$ K and $N = 8.0 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻².

Five NO transitions, each with an upper state energy of 36 K, are detected. A number of NO lines at $E_{up} = 209$ K are in the spectral range covered by the data, but are not detected. The excitation temperature can therefore be constrained to be lower than 150 K, otherwise anti-coincidences with the $E_{up} = 209$ K lines in the synthetic spectrum show up, as can be seen in Fig. B.2 in Appendix B. The emission can be fitted with $T_{ex} = 40 - 150$ K and $N = 1.5 \times 10^{16} - 2.5 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻². A peak velocity of $V_{peak} = 2.5$ km s⁻¹ is found for the NO lines, slightly offset from the $V_{LSR} = 2.7$ km s⁻¹ of source B. A similar offset is seen for other species in the PILS dataset, specifically acetaldehyde and ethylene oxide (Lykke et al. 2017, Jørgensen et al. under rev.). Note that seven NO lines have already been detected towards the same source in the TIMASSS survey by Caux et al. (2011). These lines do not arise from the same regions. The lines from the TIMASSS

survey are emitted in the cold envelope and source A, since they show a $V_{\rm LSR}$ of ~ 4.0 km s⁻¹ (Caux et al. 2011), while the lines in the PILS data come from the hot core region in source B. Figure 2 shows the synthetic model to the observational data at an excitation temperature of 100 K and column density of 2.0×10^{16} cm⁻².

Three N₂O transitions fall in the frequency range of the PILS data, one v=0 line with $E_{up} = 127$ K and two v_2 =1 lines with $E_{up} = 973$ K. In the observed spectrum a feature is found that fits the v=0 line at 351.667 GHz. Since no other known species were found to correspond to this feature, a tentative detection of N₂O can be claimed. Similar to NO, $V_{peak} = 2.5$ km s⁻¹ fits the position of this line. Based on the non-detection of the v_2 =1 lines, the excitation temperature can be constrained to be lower than 350 K.

To further support this assignment, additional datasets were checked. No N₂O lines were covered in ALMA Band 3, 4, 6 and 9 datasets towards IRAS 16293. However, analysis of data from the TIMASSS survey resulted in the identification of a number of features. Four N2O lines are found to be unblended (see Fig. C.1 in Appendix C). Based on Gaussian fitting of the profiles, the peak velocity of the four lines is 2.5 km s⁻¹, similar to the N2O transition detected towards source B in the PILS survey. However, velocity components at 3.9 km s⁻¹, resulting from source A or extended emission, cannot be entirely ruled out. Based on a comparison of the N₂O 351.667 GHz line flux of 394 mJy beam⁻¹ km s⁻¹ or 15.7 K km s⁻¹ in the ALMA-PILS and 0.2 K km s⁻¹ in the JCMT-TIMASSS data, the emitting area in the TIMASSS data is found to be around 1".6. This is supported by the integrated emission map of the N₂O 351.667 GHz line (Fig. 4), where the emission has a larger FWHM than other species. The best fit to the optically thin lines in the TIMASSS data is found for $T_{\rm ex} = 68$ K and $N = 1.7 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻² (See Fig. C.2). Note that the precise source size for N_2O can change the excitation temperature by a couple tens of Kelvin, but can be constrained to be below 100 K, and column density by $\pm 25\%$. See Appendix C for further details.

In the PILS data, at $T_{\rm ex} = 100$ K a column density of 5.0×10^{16} cm⁻² is derived for the N₂O 351.667 GHz transition, see Fig. 3. However, since the TIMASSS data indicate that the excitation temperature is likely lower than 100 K, this line was fitted with $T_{\rm ex} = 25-100$ K. For these temperatures, emission is found to be optically thick and the column density is constrained to be $\geq 5.0 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻² for the entire temperature range. Excitation temperatures between 100 and 350 K cannot be neglected and for this range column densities of $\geq 4.0 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻² are derived.

Finally, lines of the ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O isotopologues of N₂O were searched for in the PILS data, but not detected to levels above the standard ¹⁴N/¹⁵N and ¹⁶O/¹⁸O ISM ratios (see Wilson 1999, Milam et al. 2005). Both the ¹⁵N¹⁴NO and ¹⁴N¹⁵NO transition are blended with an unknown feature and a HN¹³CO line, respectively. For N₂¹⁸O an upper limit column density of $\leq 1 \times 10^{15}$ cm⁻² at $T_{\rm ex} = 100$ K is determined, resulting in N₂O/N₂¹⁸O > 40 compared to an expected ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio of 560 (Wilson 1999).

Figure 4 shows the emission maps of the 332.572 GHz line of CH_2NH , the 351.052 GHz line of NO, and the 351.668 GHz line of N_2O towards source B. Emission is generally found to be compact and compares well with emission maps of other molecules towards source B, such as NH_2CHO (Coutens et al. 2016). Emission of N_2O is more extended than that of NO.

Transitions of both CH₃NH₂ and NH₂OH are not detected and therefore upper limit column densities are determined. For

Table 1. Column densities and rotational temperatures at the one beam offset position around source B from the ALMA-PILS data

Molecule	Chemical formula	$N_{\rm tot}^{\dagger} ({\rm cm}^{-2})$	$T_{\rm ex}$ (K)	$V_{\text{peak}} (\text{km s}^{-1})$
Nitric oxide	NO	$(1.5 - 2.5) \times 10^{16}$	40 - 150	2.5 ±0.2
Nitrous oxide	N_2O	≥4.0×10 ^{16,‡}	25 - 350	2.5 ± 0.2
Hydroxylamine	NH ₂ OH	$\leq 3.7 \times 10^{14}$	100	2.7
Methanimine	CH ₂ NH	$(6.0 - 10.0) \times 10^{14}$	70 - 120	2.7 ± 0.2
Methylamine	CH ₃ NH ₂	≤5.3×10 ¹⁴	100	2.7

Notes. All models assume LTE, a FWHM of 1 km s⁻¹ and a source size of 0".5. [†]Upper limits are 3σ and determined for $T_{\text{ex}} = 100$ K. [‡]Due to the large uncertainty on the highest excitation temperature only the lower limit column density is given.



Fig. 1. All detected transitions of CH₂NH in the PILS spectrum at one beam offset around source B (black), with the synthetic spectrum for $T_{ex} = 100$ K and $N = 8.0 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻² (red) and other known species indicated in green. The upper state energy of each CH₂NH transition is indicated in blue.



Fig. 2. All detected transitions of NO in the PILS spectrum at one beam offset around source B (black), with the synthetic spectrum for $T_{ex} = 100$ K and $N = 2.0 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻² (red) and other known species indicated in green. The upper state energy of each NO transition is indicated in blue.



Fig. 3. The N₂O transition identified in the PILS spectrum at one beam offset around source B (black), with the synthetic spectrum for $T_{\rm ex} = 100$ K and $N = 5.0 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻² (red). The upper state energy of the transition is indicated in blue.

CH₃NH₂, the $6_1 \rightarrow 5_0$ transition at 357.440 GHz best constrains the upper limit column density. For a 3σ upper limit line intensity of 23 mJy km s⁻¹, the upper limit column densities versus the rotational temperatures are plotted in Fig. D.1 in Appendix D. At $T_{\text{ex}} = 100$ K, the upper limit column density is $\leq 5.3 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻².

NH₂OH has three strong transitions at 352.522, 352.730 and 352.485 GHz for the 7₀ \rightarrow 6₀, 7₁ \rightarrow 6₁, 7₂ \rightarrow 6₂ transitions, respectively. Slightly different RMS noise conditions apply around each of these transitions, resulting in a 3 σ of 27, 21 and 27 mJy km s⁻¹, respectively. The upper limit column density versus rotational temperature plot is shown in Fig. D.2 in Appendix D. The transition at 352.522 GHz constrains the column density most, resulting in an upper limit column density of NH₂OH of $\leq 3.7 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻² at $T_{ex} = 100$ K.

Table 1 lists the column densities and excitation temperatures for the five molecules under investigation in this work. For the three detected species the column density and excitation temperature ranges that can fit the emission are listed. For the nondetected species CH_3NH_2 and NH_2OH the upper limit column density is determined for $T_{ex} = 100$ K. The typical uncertainty of the column densities of these upper limits for a reasonable range of excitation temperatures is a factor of ~2 (see Appendix D).

Table 2. Comparison of NO and N₂O abundances at one beam offset around source B.

Source	NO/H ₂	N ₂ O/H ₂	NO/N ₂ O	Reference
IRAS16293-2422B	$\leq 1.7 \times 10^{-9,a}$	~3.3×10 ^{-9,b}	≤0.5	This work
Sgr B2(M)	~9×10 ⁻⁹	~1×10 ⁻⁹	~10	Ziurys et al. (1994)
Sgr B2(N)	1.32×10^{-8}	1.5×10^{-9}	8.8	Halfen et al. (2001)
Sgr B2	1×10^{-8}	-	-	Liszt & Turner (1978)
L134N	6×10^{-8}	-	-	McGonagle et al. (1990)
NGC 1333 IRAS 4A,	2.3×10^{-8}	-	_	Yıldız et al. (2013)
L1157-B1	$4-7 \times 10^{-7}$	-	_	Codella et al. (2018)
SVS13-A	$\leq 3 \times 10^{-7}$	-	-	Codella et al. (2018)

Notes. "Ratio determined from a lower limit H₂ column density." Ratio determined from lower limit N₂O and H₂ column densities.

Table 3. Comparison of CH ₃ NH ₂ abundances at one beam offset around so	ource B
--	---------

Source	CH ₃ NH ₂ /CH ₂ NH	CH ₃ NH ₂ /NH ₂ CHO	CH ₃ NH ₂ /CH ₃ OH	Reference
IRAS 16293–2422B	≤0.88	≤0.053	≤5.3×10 ⁻⁵	This work
Sgr B2	~1	0.57	0.017	Turner (1991)
Sgr B2(M)	31	3.2	0.008	Belloche et al. (2013)
Sgr B2(N)	0.75	0.43	0.034	Belloche et al. (2013)
Sgr B2(N)	5.5	-	-	Halfen et al. (2013)
Sgr B2(N)	7.1	2.1	0.1	Neill et al. (2014)
Hot core model	-	1.1–1.7	0.034-0.13	Garrod et al. (2008) ^{<i>a</i>}
Hot core model	0.5-7.3	0.2-2.3	$1.8 - 7.3 \times 10^{-3}$	Garrod (2013) ^a

Notes. ^aAbundance ranges taken from the F(ast), M(edium) and S(low) warm-up models.

Tabl	e 4.	Com	parison	of	CH_2	NH	abunc	lances	at	one	beam	offset	around	source	В.
------	------	-----	---------	----	--------	----	-------	--------	----	-----	------	--------	--------	--------	----

Source	CH ₂ NH/NH ₂ CHO	CH ₂ NH/CH ₃ OH	CH ₂ NH/H ₂	Reference
IRAS 16293–2422B	0.08	8.0×10^{-5}	<6.7×10 ⁻¹¹	This work
Sgr B2	~0.57	~0.017	_	Turner (1991)
Sgr B2(M)	0.10	5.5×10^{-4}	_	Belloche et al. (2013)
Sgr B2(N)	0.57	0.044	-	Belloche et al. (2013)
Sgr B2(N)	-	-	3.0×10^{-10}	Halfen et al. (2013)
Sgr B2(N)	0.30	0.014	8.8×10^{-9}	Neill et al. (2014)
Orion KL	-	1.9×10^{-3}	4.2×10^{-9}	Crockett et al. (2014)
Hot core model	0.03-5.17	$1.0-3.9\times10^{-3}$	$6.8 - 80 \times 10^{-9}$	Garrod (2013) ^a

Notes. ^{*a*}Abundance ranges taken from the F(ast), M(edium) and S(low) warm-up models.

4. Astrochemical implications

To put the results into context, the column density ratios between the molecules studied here and formamide (NH₂CHO), methanol (CH₃OH) and molecular hydrogen (H₂) are computed and compared with other sources. The column densities of these reference species towards the same, one beam offset position in source B are: $NH_2CHO = 1 \times 10^{16}$, $CH_3OH = 1 \times 10^{19}$ and $H_2 > 1.2 \times 10^{25}$ cm⁻² (Coutens et al. 2016, Jørgensen et al. 2016). The H₂ lower limit column density is determined towards the continuum peak position of source B, but the same lower limit should hold for the one beam offset position being analysed in this paper, where the dust emission is still optically thick. Earlier results for Orion KL and for some Sgr B2 studies, used to put our ratios into context, are based on single dish data, whereas in this work interferometric data are used. It is important to stress that differences in abundance ratios do not necessarily reflect chemical differences, but could arise from the fact that single dish observations generally probe larger spatial scales and are more affected by beam dilution (see Jørgensen et al. 2016).

The NH₂OH upper limit abundance of $N(NH_2OH)/N(H_2)$ $\leq 3.1 \times 10^{-11}$ found in this work is comparable to upper limit abundances found for high-mass sources by Pulliam et al. (2012), but significantly lower than the ice abundances of $7 \times 10^{-9} N(H+H_2)$ found with a dark cloud model in Fedoseev et al. (2012). One explanation could be that the reaction barriers in this model are too low or that destruction or competing pathways are missing. It could also be that the dominant pathway to NH₂OH is a gas-phase formation route, although such a route would likely also be hindered by a large reaction barrier or subject to efficient destruction or competing pathways. The more extensive gas-grain model of Garrod (2013) also overpredicts this species, although the predicted abundance is lower by at least an order of magnitude. The full comparison of the hydroxylamine upper limit abundance compared with literature values is given in Table E.1. One example of missing destructions reactions is given by laboratory experiments which show that thermal processing of NH₂OH:H₂O mixtures results in the conversion of NH₂OH into HNO, NH₃ and O₂ before the onset of desorption (Jonusas & Krim 2016). Also, UV processing of ice mixtures containing NH2OH results in the efficient destruc-



Fig. 4. Integrated emission maps of the 332.572 GHz line of CH_2NH , the 351.052 GHz line of NO, and the 351.668 GHz line of N₂O. The emission is integrated between 2.2 and 3.2 km s^{-1} . The axes show the position offset from phase centre of the observations. Contour levels start at 30 mJy kms⁻¹ and increase in steps of 45 mJy kms⁻¹. The red star marks the peak continuum position and the black cross marks the one beam offset position where the spectra are analysed.

tion of this molecule (Fedoseev et al. 2016). The low gas-phase abundance of NH_2OH limits its involvement in gas-phase production routes of amino acids.

The NO abundance in IRAS 16293B $(N(NO)/N(H_2))$ $\leq 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$, given as an upper limit due to the lower limit H₂ column density) is low compared to observational and modelling studies of dark clouds, where NO abundances of 10^{-8} - 10^{-6} are found (e.g. McGonagle et al. 1990, Visser et al. 2011). The IRAS 16293B abundance of NO is much more stringent than the NO upper limit of $\leq 3 \times 10^{-7}$ derived towards the envelope of SVS13-A and also substantially lower than the NO abundance of $(4-7)\times10^{-6}$ towards the L1157-B1 shock (Codella et al. 2018). Modelling shows that NO is readily lost in the ice by conversion to other species, mainly NH₂OH (Yıldız et al. 2013), and in the gas-phase by photodissociation reactions in the hot core (Visser et al. 2011) and thus could explain the depletion of NO in IRAS 16293B. The high N₂O abundance may indicate other loss pathways of NO as well. N2O is found in laboratory ice experiments as a side product of NO hydrogenation and UV irradiation (Congiu et al. 2012, Fedoseev et al. 2012; 2016) and suggested to form via the reaction NO + NH in warm gas (Halfen et al. 2001). The $N(NO)/N(N_2O) \le 0.5$ ratio hints to a scenario where NO is at least partly converted to N₂O in the ice and/or gas surrounding IRAS 16293B. Interestingly the abundance ratio in IRAS 16293B contrasts with other known abundances toward Sgr B2, which have $N(NO)/N(N_2O) \sim 10$ (Ziurys et al. 1994, Halfen et al. 2001), see Table 2.

Table 3 lists the abundance ratios of CH_3NH_2 in IRAS 16293B, Sgr B2 and hot core models by Garrod et al. (2008) and Garrod (2013). The abundance ratios of CH_3NH_2/CH_2NH are slightly lower in IRAS 16293B compared to Sgr B2 and the models of Garrod (2013), but ratios with

respect to NH₂CHO and CH₃OH are lower by at least one to two orders of magnitude. Abundances of CH₂NH relative to NH₂CHO, CH₃OH and H₂ are given in Table 4. The observed CH₂NH/NH₂CHO abundance ratio in IRAS 16293B is lower than that in Sgr B2, but in range of model predictions. This can indicate that NH₂CHO is overpredicted in the models. The ratios with respect to CH₃OH and H₂ are in most cases at least an order of magnitude lower in IRAS 16293B compared to Sgr B2 and models. The comparison of these abundances indicates that the formation of both CH₃NH₂ and CH₂NH is less efficient in the low-mass source IRAS 16293B and overpredicted in models.

CH₃NH₂ was mass spectrometrically detected on the comet 67P/C-G (Goesmann et al. 2015). However, the recent detection with the ROSINA-DFMS instrument indicates that it is present at a lower abundance, below the 1 percent level with respect to water, than initially thought (Altwegg et al. 2017), resulting in a CH₃NH₂/H₂O abundance of 1.2×10^{-4} . Since IRAS 16293B is assumed to resemble an early formation stage of our solar system, the low abundance of CH₃NH₂ in 67P/C-G and non-detection in IRAS 16293B suggest that CH₃NH₂ is not efficiently formed in these environments. Furthermore, the low abundance of CH₃NH₂ he low abundance of CH₃NH₂ is not efficiently formed in these environments. Furthermore, the low abundance of CH₃NH₂ limits the relevance of amino acid formation routes involving this species. Conversely, the detection of CH₂NH makes amino acid formation routes involving this molecule a more likely possibility.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for the useful inputs given to this paper. This letter makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2013.1.00278.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada) and NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. Astrochemistry in Leiden is supported by the European Union A-ERC grant 291141 CHEMPLAN, by the Netherlands Research School for Astronomy (NOVA) and by a Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW) professor prize. The group of J.K.J. acknowledges support from a Lundbeck Foundation Group Leader Fellowship, as well as the ERC under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme through ERC Consolidator Grant S4F (grant agreement No 646908). Research at the Centre for Star and Planet Formation is funded by the Danish National Research Foundation. A.C. postdoctoral grant is funded by the ERC Starting Grant 3DICE (grant agreement 336474). M.N.D. acknowledges the financial support of the Center for Space and Habitability (CSH) Fellowship and the IAU Gruber Foundation Fellowship.

References

- Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., Bar-Nun, A., et al. 2016, Science Advances, 2, e1600285
- Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., Berthelier, J. J., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 469, S130
- Barrientos, C., Redondo, P., Largo, L., Rayón, V. M., & Largo, A. 2012, ApJ, 748, 99
- Belloche, A., Müller, H. S. P., Menten, K. M., Schilke, P., & Comito, C. 2013, A&A, 559, A47
- Blagojevic, V., Petrie, S., & Bohme, D. K. 2003, MNRAS, 339, L7
- Bossa, J.-B., Duvernay, F., Theulé, P., et al. 2009, A&A, 506, 601
- Caux, E., Kahane, C., Castets, A., et al. 2011, A&A, 532, A23
- Codella, C., Viti, S., Lefloch, B., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 474, 5694
- Congiu, E., Fedoseev, G., Ioppolo, S., et al. 2012, ApJ, 750, L12
- Coutens, A., Jørgensen, J. K., van der Wiel, M. H. D., et al. 2016, A&A, 590, L6
- Crockett, N. R., Bergin, E. A., Neill, J. L., et al. 2014, ApJ, 787, 112

- Danger, G., Borget, F., Chomat, M., et al. 2011, A&A, 535, A47
- Dickens, J. E., Irvine, W. M., DeVries, C. H., & Ohishi, M. 1997, ApJ, 479, 307
- Elsila, J. E., Glavin, D. P., & Dworkin, J. P. 2009, Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 44, 1323
- Fedoseev, G., Chuang, K.-J., van Dishoeck, E. F., Ioppolo, S., & Linnartz, H. 2016, MNRAS, 460, 4297
- Fedoseev, G., Ioppolo, S., Lamberts, T., et al. 2012, J. Chem. Phys., 137, 054714 Förstel, M., Bergantini, A., Maksyutenko, P., Góbi, S., & Kaiser, R. I. 2017, ApJ,
- 845, 83 Garrod, R. T. 2013, ApJ, 765, 60
- Garrod, R. T., Widicus Weaver, S. L., & Herbst, E. 2008, ApJ, 682, 283
- Goesmann, F., Rosenbauer, H., Bredehoft, J. H., et al. 2015, Science, 349
- Halfen, D. T., Apponi, A. J., & Ziurys, L. M. 2001, ApJ, 561, 244
- Halfen, D. T., Ilyushin, V. V., & Ziurys, L. M. 2013, ApJ, 767, 66
- He, J., Vidali, G., Lemaire, J.-L., & Garrod, R. T. 2015, ApJ, 799, 49
- Holtom, P. D., Bennett, C. J., Osamura, Y., Mason, N. J., & Kaiser, R. I. 2005, ApJ, 626, 940
- Ilyushin, V. V., Alekseev, E. A., Dyubko, S. F., Motiyenko, R. A., & Hougen, J. T. 2005, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 229, 170
- Jonusas, M. & Krim, L. 2016, MNRAS, 459, 1977
- Jørgensen, J. K., Calcutt, H., & Müller, H. S. P. under rev., A&A
- Jørgensen, J. K., van der Wiel, M. H. D., Coutens, A., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A117
- Kaifu, N., Morimoto, M., Nagane, K., et al. 1974, ApJ, 191, L135
- Kim, Y. S. & Kaiser, R. I. 2011, ApJ, 729, 68
- Kirchhoff, W. H., Johnson, D. R., & Lovas, F. J. 1973, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 2, 1
- Ligterink, N. F. W., Coutens, A., Kofman, V., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 469, 2219
- Ligterink, N. F. W., Tenenbaum, E. D., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2015, A&A, 576, A35
- Liszt, H. S. & Turner, B. E. 1978, ApJ, 224, L73
- Lykke, J. M., Coutens, A., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A53
- McGonagle, D., Irvine, W. M., Minh, Y. C., & Ziurys, L. M. 1990, ApJ, 359, 121
- McGuire, B. A., Carroll, P. B., Dollhopf, N. M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 812, 76
- Milam, S. N., Savage, C., Brewster, M. A., Ziurys, L. M., & Wyckoff, S. 2005, ApJ, 634, 1126
- Morino, I., Yamada, K., Klein, H., et al. 2000, Journal of Molecular Structure, 517, 367
- Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, Journal of Molecular Structure, 742, 215
- Müller, H. S. P., Thorwirth, S., Roth, D. A., & Winnewisser, G. 2001, A&A, 370, 1.49
- Neill, J. L., Bergin, E. A., Lis, D. C., et al. 2014, ApJ, 789, 8
- Nummelin, A., Bergman, P., Hjalmarson, A., et al. 2000, Doktorsavhandlingar vid Chalmers Tekniska Hogskola, 2
- Pagani, L., Favre, C., Goldsmith, P. F., et al. 2017, A&A, 604, A32
- Persson, M. V., Jørgensen, J. K., Müller, H. S. P., et al. 2018, A&A, 610, A54
- Pickett, H. M., Cohen, E. A., Waters, J. W., & Phillips, T. G. 1979, 34th Interna-
- tional Symposium on Molecular Spectroscopy, Columbus, OH, USA. Pickett, H. M., Poynter, R. L., Cohen, E. A., et al. 1998 J. Quant. Spectr. Rad. Transf., 60, 883
- Pulliam, R. L., McGuire, B. A., & Remijan, A. J. 2012, ApJ, 751, 1
- Snow, J. L., Orlova, G., Blagojevic, V., & Bohme, D. K. 2007, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 129, 9910-9917
- Suzuki, T., Ohishi, M., Hirota, T., et al. 2016, ApJ, 825, 79
- Theule, P., Borget, F., Mispelaer, F., et al. 2011, A&A, 534, A64 Ting, W.-J., Chang, C.-H., Chen, S.-E., et al. 2014, Journal of the Optical Society of America B Optical Physics, 31, 1954
- Turner, B. E. 1991, ApJS, 76, 617
- Visser, R., Doty, S. D., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2011, A&A, 534, A132
- Wilson, T. L. 1999, Reports on Progress in Physics, 62, 143
- Woon, D. E. 2002, ApJ, 571, L177
- Yıldız, U. A., Acharyya, K., Goldsmith, P. F., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A58
- Zheng, W. & Kaiser, R. I. 2010, Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 114, 5251
- Ziurys, L. M., Apponi, A. J., Hollis, J. M., & Snyder, L. E. 1994, ApJ, 436, L181

Appendix A: Spectroscopic data

Table A.1 lists the transitions of NO, N₂O and CH₂NH detected towards IRAS 16293B. Columns seven through ten list the line FWHM, peak velocity V_{peak} , line opacity τ and peak brightness temperature T_{peak} for each transition, based on the synthetic spectra at 100 K. The final column indicates if a line is partially blended with a neighboring line. The error on V_{peak} is the velocity resolution of 0.2 km s⁻¹. The line FWHM is kept as a fixed parameter at 1 km s⁻¹. The error in the peak brightness is given by the calibration uncertainty and RMS noise, as indicated in Section 2.

Appendix B: Additional fit spectra of CH₂NH and NO

Figure B.1 shows CH₂NH synthetic spectra at $T_{ex} = 50$ and 150 K. Best fit column densities of 7×10^{14} and 8×10^{14} cm⁻², respectively, are found. However, clear discrepancies in the fits exist, which are especially visible for the transitions at 340.354 and 351.454 GHz.

Figure B.2 shows the NO synthetic spectrum at $T_{\text{ex}} = 150 \text{ K}$ and $N_{\text{tot}} = 2.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The transitions at $E_{\text{up}} = 36 \text{ K}$ are well fitted, however, clear anti-coincidences start to arise at the transitions of $E_{up} = 209$ K, as can be seen for the transitions at 360.935 and 360.941 GHz. Excitation temperatures for NO are therefore constrained to $T_{\rm ex} < 150$ K.

Appendix C: N₂O fit of TIMASSS data set

Figure C.1 shows the four unblended lines of N₂O detected in the TIMASSS survey. All these transitions are velocity shifted to $V_{\text{peak}} = 2.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Gaussian profiles were fitted and line widths are found between 1.4 and 3.3 km s⁻¹, consistent with other FWHMs found in TIMASSS data for source B (Caux et al. 2011). The N₂O transition at 226.95 GHz is fitted with a second gaussian to properly account for the contribution of a nearby methylformate (CH₃OCHO) transition. From the fits a rotational temperature diagram is created, shown in Fig. C.2. The diagram gives $T_{\rm ex} = 68$ K and $N = 1.7 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻², using a source size of 1"6 (see text). Variations in source size will change the excitation temperature and column density slightly.

Appendix D: Upper limit column densities of NH₂OH and CH₃NH₂

Upper limit column densities of NH₂OH and CH₃NH₂ have been determined for excitation temperatures between 10 and 300 K. These are plotted in Figure D.1 and D.2. The upper limit column densities of NH₂OH are determined on the three transitions: 70 \rightarrow 6₀, 7₁ \rightarrow 6₁, 7₂ \rightarrow 6₂ transitions at 352 522, 352 730 and 352 485 MHz, respectively. These transitions are modelled with a 3σ line intensity of 27, 21 and 27 mJy km s⁻¹, respectively. The upper limit column densities of CH₃NH₂ are determined on the $6_5 \rightarrow 5_0$ transition at 357 440 MHz for a 3σ line intensity of 23 mJy km s^{-1} .

Appendix E: Comparison of NH₂OH upper limits



Fig. B.1. Fit models (red) of CH₂NH at $T_{ex} = 50$ K (top) and $T_{ex} = 150$ K (bottom) overplotted on the PILS data (black) and other detected species in PILS given in green. Upper state energies of the CH₂NH transitions are indicated in blue.



Fig. B.2. Fit models (red) of NO at $T_{ex} = 150$ K overplotted on the PILS data (black) and other detected species in PILS given in green. Upper state energies of the NO transitions are indicated in blue.



Fig. C.1. Gaussian fits (red) of unblended N_2O transitions in the single-dish TIMASSS data (black) by Caux et al. (2011). Upper state energies of the transitions are indicated in blue.

Molecules	Transitions	Frequency	$E_{ m up}$	$A_{ m ij}$	$g_{ m up}$	FWHM ^a	$V_{\mathrm{peak}}{}^a$	\mathcal{L}^{a}	$T^a_{ m neak}$	$Blended^{b}$
		(MHz)	(K)	(s^{-1})		(km s^{-1})	(km s^{-1})		(K)	
ON	4 -1 7/2 9/2 - 3 1 5/2 7/2	350 689.49	36	5.42×10^{-6}	10	1.0	2.5 ± 0.2	1.1×10^{-1}	4.0 ± 0.6	В
ON	4 -1 7/2 7/2 - 3 1 5/2 5/2	350 690.76	36	4.97×10^{-6}	×	1.0	2.5 ± 0.2	7.8×10^{-2}	3.0 ± 0.5	В
NO	4-17/25/2-315/23/2	350 694.77	36	4.81×10^{-6}	9	1.0	2.5 ± 0.2	5.7×10^{-2}	2.2 ± 0.5	Ŋ
NO	4 1 7/2 9/2 - 3 -1 5/2 7/2	351 043.52	36	5.43×10^{-6}	10	1.0	2.5 ± 0.2	1.1×10^{-1}	4.0 ± 0.6	В
NO	4 1 7/2 7/2 - 3 -1 5/2 5/2	351 051.46	36	4.99×10^{-6}	×	1.0	2.5 ± 0.2	7.8×10^{-2}	3.0 ± 0.5	Ŋ
ON	4 1 7/2 5/2 - 3 -1 5/2 3/2	351 051.70	36	4.83×10^{-6}	9	1.0	2.5 ± 0.2	5.7×10^{-2}	2.2 ± 0.5	N
N_2O	14 - 13	351 667.81	127	6.32×10^{-6}	29	1.0	2.5 ± 0.2	5.3×10^{-1}	16.3 ± 1.6	n
CH ₂ NH	5145-4134	332 573.04	56	3.15×10^{-4}	1	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	6.3×10^{-2}	2.3 ± 0.5	В
CH_2NH	5146 - 4135	332 573.07	56	3.28×10^{-4}	13	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	7.8×10^{-2}	$2.8\pm\!0.5$	В
CH_2NH	5144 - 4133	332 573.11	56	3.12×10^{-4}	6	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	5.1×10^{-2}	1.9 ± 0.5	В
CH_2NH	3 1 3 3 - 2 0 2 3	340 353.05	26	3.44×10^{-5}	٢	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	5.7×10^{-3}	0.2 ± 0.3	Ŋ
CH_2NH	3 1 3 3 - 2 0 2 2	340 353.37	26	2.75×10^{-4}	٢	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	4.6×10^{-2}	1.7 ± 0.4	Ŋ
CH_2NH	$3 \ 1 \ 3 \ 4 - 2 \ 0 \ 2 \ 3$	340 354.31	26	3.09×10^{-4}	6	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	6.6×10^{-2}	2.5 ± 0.4	N
CH_2NH	$3 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 - 2 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1$	340 354.58	26	2.60×10^{-4}	S	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.1×10^{-2}	1.2 ± 0.3	N
CH_2NH	$3\ 1\ 3\ 2\ -\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 2$	340 355.08	26	4.81×10^{-5}	S	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	5.7×10^{-3}	0.2 ± 0.3	Ŋ
CH_2NH	$10\ 1\ 9\ 9-10\ 0\ 10\ 9$	351 453.85	183	3.61×10^{-4}	19	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.2×10^{-2}	1.2 ± 0.5	В
CH_2NH	$10\ 1\ 9\ 11\ -\ 10\ 0\ 10\ 11$	351 454.02	183	3.62×10^{-4}	23	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.8×10^{-2}	1.5 ± 0.5	В
CH_2NH	$10\ 1\ 9\ 10-10\ 0\ 10\ 9$	351 454.43	183	3.30×10^{-6}	21	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.2×10^{-4}	0.01 ± 0.4	В
CH_2NH	$10\ 1\ 9\ 10 - 10\ 0\ 10\ 11$	351 454.55	183	3.30×10^{-6}	21	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.2×10^{-4}	0.01 ± 0.4	В
CH_2NH	$10\ 1\ 9\ 11\ -\ 10\ 0\ 10\ 11$	351 454.02	183	3.62×10^{-4}	23	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.2×10^{-4}	0.01 ± 0.4	В
CH_2NH	$10\ 1\ 9\ 11\ -\ 10\ 0\ 10\ 10$	351 455.17	183	3.02×10^{-6}	23	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.2×10^{-4}	0.01 ± 0.4	В
CH_2NH	$10\ 1\ 9\ 10 - 10\ 0\ 10\ 10$	351 455.70	183	3.58×10^{-4}	21	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	3.5×10^{-2}	1.4 ± 0.5	В
CH_2NH	6346 - 7257	360 293.85	135	6.91×10^{-5}	13	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	6.3×10^{-3}	0.3 ± 0.3	В
CH_2NH	6347 - 7258	360 294.05	135	6.93×10^{-5}	15	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	7.3×10^{-3}	0.3 ± 0.3	В
CH,NH	6345 - 7256	360 294.08	135	7.05×10^{-5}	11	1.0	2.7 ± 0.2	5.5×10^{-3}	0.2 ± 0.3	В

Table A.1. Detected lines of NO, N₂O and CH₂NH.

Notes. Quantum numbers are given as N' *PA*' *J*' *F*' – *N*'' *PA*'' *J*'' *F*'' for NO, *J*' – *J*'' for N₂O and *J*' K'_a , K'_a , K'_a , K'_a , F'_a for CH₂NH. *a* line width, peak line velocity, opacity and peak brightness temperature are taken from the synthetic fit of each molecule at $T_{ex} = 100$ K. The spectral resolution of the data is 0.2 km s⁻¹. ^bB = Blended, U = Unblended. Column covers blends with other species, not blends with transitions of the same species.

Article number, page 9 of 11

Source	NH ₂ OH/NO	NH ₂ OH/H ₂	Reference
IRAS16293-2422B	≤0.025	$\leq 3.1 \times 10^{-11}$	This work
Orion KL	-	≤3×10 ⁻¹¹	Pulliam et al. (2012)
Orion S	-	$\leq 9 \times 10^{-11}$	Pulliam et al. (2012)
IRC+10216	-	$\leq 3 \times 10^{-9}$	Pulliam et al. (2012)
Sgr B2(OH)	-	$\leq 3 \times 10^{-11}$	Pulliam et al. (2012)
Sgr B2(N)	_	$\leq 8 \times 10^{-12}$	Pulliam et al. (2012)
W51M	_	$\leq 4 \times 10^{-11}$	Pulliam et al. (2012)
W3IRS5	_	$\leq 3 \times 10^{-11}$	Pulliam et al. (2012)
L1157B1	_	$\leq 1.4 \times 10^{-8}$	McGuire et al. (2015
L1157B2	-	$\leq 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	McGuire et al. (2015
Dark cloud model	-	7×10^{-9}	Fedoseev et al. (2012
Hot core model	$7.3 - 110 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.6 - 17 \times 10^{-10}$	Garrod (2013) ^a

Table E.1. Upper limit abundance ratios for hydroxylamine in IRAS16293–2422.

Notes. ^aAbundance ranges taken from the F(ast), M(edium) and S(low) warm-up models.





Fig. C.2. Rotational temperature diagram of the four unblended N₂O transitions found in the TIMASSS dataset, resulting in $T_{\rm ex} = 68$ K and $N = 1.7 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻², for a source size of 1".6.



Fig. D.1. Upper limit column density for the strongest CH_3NH_2 transition as function of T_{ex} . A 3σ value of 23 mJy km s⁻¹ is used.

Fig. D.2. Upper limit column densities for the three strongest NH₂OH transitions as function of T_{ex} . 3σ values of 27, 21 and 27 mJy km s⁻¹ are used for the respective lines.