

Duality of (2,3,5)-distributions and Lagrangian cone structures

Goo Ishikawa,^{*} Yumiko Kitagawa,[†] Asahi Tsuchida,[‡] Wataru Yukuno[§]

Abstract

As was shown by a part of the authors, for a given (2,3,5)-distribution D on a 5-dimensional manifold Y , there is, locally, a Lagrangian cone structure C on another 5-dimensional manifold X which consists of abnormal or singular paths of (Y, D) . We give a characterization of the class of Lagrangian cone structures corresponding to (2,3,5)-distributions. Thus we complete the duality between (2,3,5)-distributions and Lagrangian cone structures via pseudo-product structures of type G_2 . A local example of non-flat perturbations of the global model of flat Lagrangian cone structure which corresponds to (2,3,5)-distributions is given.

1 Introduction

A distribution D on a 5-dimensional manifold Y is called a (2,3,5)-*distribution* if there is a local section η_1, η_2 of D such that

$$\eta_1, \eta_2, [\eta_1, \eta_2], [\eta_1, [\eta_1, \eta_2]], [\eta_2, [\eta_1, \eta_2]]$$

form a local frame of the tangent bundle to Y , in other words, if D has the weak growth (2,3,5), namely, if $\text{rank}(\partial\mathcal{D}) = 3$ and $\text{rank}(\partial^{(2)}\mathcal{D}) = 5$, where $\partial\mathcal{D} := [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}] (= \mathcal{D} + [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}])$, the derived system, and $\partial^{(2)}\mathcal{D} := [\mathcal{D}, \partial\mathcal{D}] (= \mathcal{D} + \partial\mathcal{D} + [\mathcal{D}, \partial\mathcal{D}])$ for the sheaf \mathcal{D} of section-germs to D .

The geometry and classification problem of (2,3,5)-distributions are studied after E. Cartan ([13]), related to the simple Lie group G_2 , by many mathematicians ([5][8][19][26][27][28][29][30]). The (2,3,5)-distributions are related to many problems, for instance, to the problem of “rolling balls” ([1][11][7][6]), to indefinite conformal metrics ([21][19]), to non-linear differential equations ([22]), and so on.

In [17][16][18], we studied the global duality of G_2 -homogeneous (flat) (2,3,5)-distribution and a Lagrangian cone structure from Cayley’s split Octonions and classified the related generic singularities. In [15], we associated locally with any given (2,3,5)-distribution D on a 5-dimensional

^{*}Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan. E-mail: ishikawa@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

[†]Oita National College of Technology, Oita 870-0152, Japan. E-mail: kitagawa@oita-ct.ac.jp

[‡]Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan. E-mail: asahi-t@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

[§]Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan. E-mail: yukuwata@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

manifold Y , a Lagrangian cone structure C on another 5-dimensional manifold X , which consists of abnormal or singular paths of (Y, D) , in the sense of sub-Riemannian geometry or geometric control theory (see [20][2]). Moreover it was shown in [15] that the original space Y turns to be the totality of singular paths of the “Lagrangian cone structure” (X, C) , when the cone field C is regarded as a control system on X .

In this paper, we give the characterization of the class of Lagrangian cone structures corresponding to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions, and thus we complete the duality between $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions and Lagrangian cone structures (Theorem 3.1). The duality is actually understood via pseudo-product structure of G_2 -type $E = K \oplus L$ on a 6-dimensional manifold Z (§2), which is regarded both as the prolongation of (Y, D) and (X, C) in the sense of Bryant ([9][8]), via the double fibration

$$(Y, D) \xleftarrow{\pi_Y} (Z, E) \xrightarrow{\pi_X} (X, C).$$

We realize, for the characterization a class of Lagrangian cone structures, that the language of cone structures is actually lacking: We introduce, regarding the cone structures as control systems, the notions of linear approximations and osculating bundles of cone structures, as well as the exact definition of non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structures (Definition 2.3).

We remark that our correspondence is purely local in nature: It is “spatially” local for (Z, E) while “spatially and directionally” local for (Y, D) and for (X, C) . Moreover the “directional locality” for the distribution (Y, D) is resolved by taking linear hull, however it is not the case for the cone structure (X, C) . This fact makes our duality delicate.

It is clear that $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions form an open set, for Whitney C^∞ -topology, in the space of all distributions of rank 2 on a 5-dimensional manifold. In particular a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution remains a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution by sufficiently small perturbations with compact supports. However it is not clear such a stability for cone structures which corresponding to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions via the duality. We give a local example of non-flat perturbations of the global model of flat Lagrangian cone structure ([16]), which corresponds to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions (Example 4.3). It is open the existence of non-flat *global* perturbations of Lagrangian cone structures which correspond to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions.

The cone structure was first given in [5] by a foliation on the space $P((\partial D)^\perp) \subset P(T^*Y)$ for the derived system ∂D of a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution D , which is an essentially same foliation in the space $P(D) \subset P(TY)$ of [15]. See also [5][14]. In fact there exists the natural fiber-preserving diffeomorphism $P(D) \rightarrow P((\partial D)^\perp)$ which preserves also the foliation induced from singular paths of D . Moreover the Lagrangian cone structure $C \subset TX$, which is contained in a contact structure $D' \subset TX$ on X , has the essentially same information with the Jacobi curves introduced in [3][4]. In fact each cone $C_x \subset D'_x$, $(x \in X)$ gives the (reduced) Jacobi curve associated to the singular path x of D in Lagrangian Grassmannian of D'_x by taking tangent planes to C_x .

In [29], it was shown that the Cartan tensor of any $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution is given by the fundamental invariant of Jacobi curves of singular paths and, in particular, the Cartan tensor is determined by the projective equivalence classes of the point-wise curves $P(C_x)$, $x \in X$ of the corresponding Lagrangian cone structure (X, C) . We give a short proof (Proposition 4.1), related to the study on G_2 -contact structures ([12][19]), that the $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution which corresponds to a cubic Lagrangian cone structure via our duality is necessarily flat, by using Zelenko’s theorem [29].

In §2, we review the results given in the previous paper [15] with additional explanations. In particular we give the exact definition of (non-degenerate) Lagrangian cone structures (Definition 2.3).

In §3, we complete the duality between (2,3,5)-distributions and non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structures with an additional condition via pseudo-product structures of type G_2 .

We conclude this paper by several remarks related to the duality in §4.

All manifolds and mappings are supposed to be of class C^∞ unless otherwise stated.

The authors are grateful to Professor Hajime Sato for valuable comment.

2 Pseudo-product structures of G_2 -type

Let D be a (2,3,5)-distribution on a 5-dimensional manifold Y . Let $Z := P(D) = (D - 0)/\mathbb{R}^\times$ be the space of tangential lines in D , $Z := \{(y, \ell) \mid y \in Y, \ell \subset D_y (\subset T_y Y), \dim(\ell) = 1\}$. Then $\dim(Z) = 6$ and the projection $\pi_Y : Z \rightarrow Y$ is an $\mathbb{R}P^1$ -bundle.

We define a subbundle $E \subset TZ$ of rank 2, *Cartan prolongation* of $D \subset TY$, by setting for each $(y, \ell) \in Z$, $\ell \subset D_y$, $E_{(y, \ell)} := \pi_{Y*}^{-1}(\ell) (\subset T_{(y, \ell)} Z)$. Then E is a distribution with (weak) growth (2,3,4,5,6): $\text{rank}(E) = 2$, $\text{rank}(\partial E) = 3$, $\text{rank}(\partial^{(2)} E) = 4$, $\text{rank}(\partial^{(3)} E) = 5$, $\text{rank}(\partial^{(4)} E) = 6$.

Then we see that there exists an *intrinsic* decomposition

$$E = K \oplus L$$

of E with $L := \text{Ker}(\pi_{Y*}) \subset E$ and a complementary line subbundle K of E , a *pseudo-product structure* in the sense of N. Tanaka [24][25].

We will explain this in terms of “geometric control theory” ([2][20]).

A *control system* $\mathbb{C} : \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{F} TM \rightarrow M$ on a manifold M is given by a locally trivial fibration $\pi_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow M$ over M and a map $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow TM$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{F} & TM \\ \pi_{\mathcal{U}} \searrow & & \swarrow \pi_{TM} \\ & M & \end{array}$$

Any section $s : M \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ defines a vector field $F \circ c : M \rightarrow TM$ over M . Via a local triviality on M , a control system is given by a family of vector fields $f_u(x) = F(x, u)$ over M , $(x, u) \in \mathcal{U}$, $x \in M$.

A distribution $D \subset TM$ is regarded as a control system $\mathbb{D} : D \hookrightarrow TM \rightarrow M$, by the inclusion.

Two control systems $\mathbb{C} : \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{F} TM \xrightarrow{\pi_{TM}} M$ and $\mathbb{C}' : \mathcal{U}' \xrightarrow{F'} TM' \xrightarrow{\pi_{TM'}} M'$ are called *isomorphic* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{F} & TM & \xrightarrow{\pi_{TM}} & M \\ \psi \downarrow & & \varphi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathcal{U}' & \xrightarrow{F'} & TM' & \xrightarrow{\pi_{TM'}} & M' \end{array}$$

commutes for some diffeomorphisms ψ and φ . Here φ_* is the differential of φ .

The pair (ψ, φ) of diffeomorphisms is called an *isomorphism* of the control systems \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{C}' .

Given a control system $\mathbb{C} : \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{F} TM \rightarrow M$, an L^∞ (measurable, essentially bounded) map $c : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is called an *admissible control* if the curve

$$\gamma := \pi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ c : [a, b] \rightarrow M$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = F(c(t)) \quad (\text{a.e. } t \in [a, b]).$$

Then the Lipschitz curve γ is called a *trajectory*. If we write $c(t) = (x(t), u(t))$, then $x(t) = \gamma(t)$ and

$$\dot{x}(t) = F(x(t), u(t)), \quad (\text{a.e. } t \in [a, b]).$$

We use the term “*path*” for a smooth (C^∞) immersive trajectory regarded up to parametrisation.

The totality \mathcal{C} of admissible controls $c : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ with a given initial point $q_0 \in M$ is a Banach manifold. The *endpoint mapping* $\text{End} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M$ is defined by

$$\text{End}(c) := \pi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ c(b).$$

An admissible control $c : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ with the initial point $\pi_{\mathcal{U}}(c(a)) = q_0$ is called *singular* or *abnormal*, if $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is a singular point of End , namely if the differential $\text{End}_* : T_c \mathcal{C} \rightarrow T_{\text{End}(c)} M$ is not surjective. If c is a singular control, then the trajectory $\gamma = \pi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ c$ is called a *singular trajectory* or an *abnormal extremal*.

Let $D \subset TY$ be a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution. Then, it can be shown that for any point y of Y and for any direction $\ell \subset D_y$, there exists uniquely a *singular D -path* (an immersed abnormal extremal for D) through y with the given direction ℓ . Thus the singular D -paths form another five dimensional manifold X .

Let $Z = P(D) = (D - 0)/\mathbb{R}^\times$ be the space of tangential lines in D , $\dim(Z) = 6$. Then Z is naturally foliated by the liftings of singular D -paths, and we have locally double fibrations:

$$Y \xleftarrow{\pi_Y} Z \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X.$$

If we put $L = \text{Ker}(\pi_{Y*}), K = \text{Ker}(\pi_{X*})$, then we have a decomposition $E = K \oplus L$ by sub-bundles of rank 1.

We denote, for any distribution E , by \mathcal{E} the sheaf of local sections to E . We set

$$\partial \mathcal{E} := [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}] = \mathcal{E} + [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}], \quad \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E} := [\mathcal{E}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \mathcal{E} + \partial \mathcal{E} + [\mathcal{E}, \partial \mathcal{E}]$$

and so on. If $\partial \mathcal{E}$ is generated by a local sections of a distribution, then we denote it by ∂E .

Definition 2.1 A distribution (Z, E) of rank 2 on a 6-dimensional manifold Z with a decomposition $E = K \oplus L$ by subbundles K, L of rank 1 is called a pseudo-product structures of G_2 -type if E has small growth $(2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ and moreover satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}] &= \partial \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{K}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{L}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \partial \mathcal{E}, \\ [\mathcal{K}, \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}] &= \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{K}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(4)} \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by taking the gradation of the filtration

$$\mathcal{E} \subset \partial \mathcal{E} \subset \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E} \subset \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E} \subset \partial^{(4)} \mathcal{E},$$

we have, at each point $z \in Z$, the symbol algebra:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}_{-5} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-4} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \langle e_6 \rangle \oplus \langle e_5 \rangle \oplus \langle e_4 \rangle \oplus \langle e_3 \rangle \oplus \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle,$$

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_2, e_3] = 0, [e_1, e_4] = e_5, [e_2, e_4] = 0, [e_1, e_5] = 0, [e_2, e_5] = e_6,$$

with the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{l} = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2 \rangle$.

Then we have

Theorem 2.2 *There exists a natural bijective correspondence of local isomorphism classes between $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions and pseudo-product structures of G_2 -type.*

Proof: First let us make sure that the prolongation E of a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution D on a 5-dimensional manifold Y has small growth $(2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$.

Let η_1, η_2 be a local frame of D . Then, setting

$$\eta_3 := [\eta_1, \eta_2], \eta_4 := [\eta_1, \eta_3], \eta_5 := [\eta_2, \eta_3],$$

we have a local frame $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5$ of TY . For each $y \in Y$, directions in D_y are, locally, parametrized via $\eta_1(y) + t\eta_2(y)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). Then, for any system of local coordinates $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5)$ of Y centered at base point of Y , (y, t) form a system of local coordinates of Z such that π_Y is expressed by $(y, t) \mapsto y$. We regard $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5$ as vector-fields over Z . Then

$$\zeta_1 := \eta_1 + t\eta_2 \quad \zeta_2 := \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

form a local frame of E , and $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5, \zeta_2$ of TZ .

Since $[\zeta_1, \zeta_2] = [\eta_1 + t\eta_2, \zeta_2] = -\eta_2$, we have

$$\partial \mathcal{E} = \langle \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_2 \rangle,$$

which is of rank 3. Here $\langle \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \eta_2 \rangle$ means the distribution generated by ζ_1, ζ_2, η_2 . Since $[\zeta_1, \eta_2] = [\eta_1 + t\eta_2, \eta_2] = \eta_3$ and $[\zeta_2, \eta_2] = 0$, we have

$$\partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E} = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \zeta_2 \rangle,$$

which is of rank 4. Since $[\zeta_1, \eta_3] = [\eta_1 + t\eta_2, \eta_3] = \eta_4 + t\eta_5$ and $[\zeta_2, \eta_3] = 0$, we have

$$\partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E} = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4 + t\eta_5, \zeta_2 \rangle,$$

that is of rank 5. Since $[\zeta_2, \eta_4 + t\eta_5] = \eta_5$, we have $\partial^{(4)} \mathcal{E} = TZ$. Therefore E has small growth $(2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$.

Note that \mathcal{L} is generated by ζ_2 . Moreover there exists a generator of \mathcal{K} of form $\zeta_1 + e(y,t)\zeta_2$. In fact the function $e(y,t)$ is uniquely determined by the condition $[\mathcal{K}, \partial^{(3)}\mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)}\mathcal{E}$, which is equivalent to the condition

$$e\eta_5 + [\eta_1, \eta_4] + t[\eta_1, \eta_5] + t[\eta_2, \eta_4] + t^2[\eta_1, \eta_5] \equiv 0, \quad \text{mod. } \partial^{(3)}\mathcal{E}.$$

Then other remaining conditions that $E = K \oplus L$ is a pseudo-product structure of type G_2 follow.

Conversely suppose $E = K \oplus L$ is a pseudo-product structure of type G_2 . Then L is the Cauchy characteristic of ∂E (see [10]). Let Y be the leaf space of L , which is locally defined 5 dimensional manifold. Moreover Z has a system of local coordinates (y,t) centered at the base point such that π_Y is given by $(y,t) \mapsto y$. Let D be the reduction of ∂E by L . Take a local frame η_1, η_2 of D such that, regarded as vector fields over Z , η_1 generates the quotient bundle $(\partial E)/E$. Moreover $\zeta_1 = \eta_1 + \varphi(y,t)\eta_2$ and $\zeta_2 = \partial/\partial t$ generates K and L respectively for some function $\varphi(y,t)$ with $\varphi(0,0) = 0$. Since

$$[\zeta_1, \zeta_2] = [\eta_1 + \varphi\eta_2, \zeta_2] = -(\partial\varphi/\partial t)\eta_2,$$

we have that $\partial\varphi/\partial t \neq 0$. Set $\zeta_3 := \eta_2$. Then

$$[\zeta_1, \zeta_3] = [\eta_1, \eta_2] + \eta_2(\varphi)\eta_2 \equiv [\eta_1, \eta_2] \quad \text{mod. } \partial\mathcal{E}.$$

Therefore $\eta_1, \eta_2, [\eta_1, \eta_2]$ are linearly independent point-wise on Y . We set $\zeta_4 := \eta_3 = [\eta_1, \eta_2]$ as a vector field over Z . Then

$$[\zeta_1, \zeta_4] = [\eta_1, \eta_3] + \varphi[\eta_2, \eta_3] - \eta_3(\varphi)\eta_2 \equiv [\eta_1, \eta_3] + \varphi[\eta_2, \eta_3] \quad \text{mod. } \partial^{(2)}\mathcal{E},$$

and $[\zeta_2, \zeta_4] = [\partial/\partial t, \eta_3] = 0$. Set $\eta_4 = [\eta_1, \eta_3], \eta_5 = [\eta_2, \eta_3]$ and $\zeta_5 = \eta_4 + \varphi\eta_5$. Then $\eta_4(0) \in (\partial^{(3)}E)_0 \setminus (\partial^{(2)}E)_0$. Then we have that $[\zeta_2, \zeta_5](0) \notin (\partial^{(3)}E)_0$, while $[\zeta_2, \zeta_5] = (\partial\varphi/\partial t)\eta_5(0)$. Therefore $\eta_5(0) \notin (\partial^{(3)}E)_0$. Therefore $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5$ are linearly independent point-wise. Thus we see that D is a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution.

These correspondences induce the bijection between local isomorphism classes of $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions and pseudo-product structures of G_2 -type on 5-manifolds. \square

Note that the original $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution D is obtained as the linear hull of the cone field (“bowtie”) induced from K :

$$D_y = \text{linear hull} \left(\bigcup_{z \in \pi_Y^{-1}(y)} \pi_{Y*}(K_z) \subset T_y Y \right).$$

Also, the $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution D is obtained as the reduction of ∂E by Cauchy characteristic $L = \text{Ker}(\pi_{Y*})$.

On the other hand we obtain a cone field $C \subset TX$ on X by setting, for each $x \in X$,

$$C_x := \bigcup_{z \in \pi_X^{-1}(x)} \pi_{X*}(L_z) \subset T_x X.$$

Now, to make sure, we formulate exactly the notion of Lagrangian cone structures (see [12]):

Definition 2.3 (1) Let X be a manifold of dimension m . A subset $C \subset TX$ is called a *cone structure* if there is an \mathbb{R}^\times -invariant subset $\underline{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, a model cone, such that, for any $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x and a local triviality $\Phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^m$ of $\pi : TX \rightarrow X$ over U satisfying $\Phi(\pi^{-1}(U) \cap C) = U \times \underline{C}$.

(2) Suppose that the model cone \underline{C} is non-singular away from the origin in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $P(C)$ is a submanifold of $P(TX)$. For each section $s : X \rightarrow P(C)$ for the projection $P(C) \rightarrow X$, we have the subbundle $T_s C \subset TX$ by taking tangent planes of C_x along the direction $s(x)$ at every point $x \in X$. We call the distribution $T_s C$ the *linear approximation* of C along s .

(3) A cone structure $C \subset TX$ is called a *Lagrangian cone structure* if there exists a contact structure D' on X such that $C \subset D'$ and, for any section $s : X \rightarrow P(C)$, $T_s C$ is a Lagrangian subbundle of D' . The last condition is equivalent to that, for any $x \in X$, $C_x \setminus \{0\}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of the linear symplectic manifold D'_x , or equivalently, $P(C_x)$ is a Legendrian submanifold of the contact manifold $P(D'_x)$ induced from the conformal symplectic vector space D'_x .

(4) Let $\dim(X) = 5$. A Lagrangian cone structure $C \subset TX$ for a contact structure $D' \subset TX$ is called *non-degenerate* if the spatial projective curve segment $P(C_x) \subset P(D'_x) \cong P^3$ is non-degenerate, i.e. the first, second and third derivatives of a parametrization of $P(C_x)$ are linearly independent.

From the condition (4), for each direction field s of C , we define *osculating bundles* $O_s^{(2)} C \subset TX$ of rank 3 and $O_s^{(3)} C \subset TX$ of rank 4, generated by osculating planes O_2 and 3-dimensional osculating spaces O_3 to $P(C_x)$ with direction s . Then the contact structure D' coincides with $O_s^{(3)} C$ which is independent of s .

Because distributions are regarded as cone structures of special type, the notion of Lagrangian cone structures is a natural generalization for that of Lagrangian subbundle of the tangent bundle over a contact manifold.

Lemma 2.4 *In our case, the above $C \subset TX$ corresponding to a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution $D \subset TY$ is a non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structure in the sense of Definition 2.3.*

Proof: By the condition $[\mathcal{H}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}$, C satisfies the conditions (1)(2) of Definition 2.3. By the condition $[\mathcal{H}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}$, K is the Cauchy characteristic of $\partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}$. Then the distribution $D' \subset TX$ induced from $\partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}$ is a contact structure by the condition $[\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(4)} \mathcal{E}$. Moreover $\partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}$ projects to tangent spaces to C_x along $\pi_X^{-1}(x)$. For any section $s : X \rightarrow L$, $s(x) \neq 0$, we have that the linear approximation $T_s C$ is a Lagrangian subbundle of D' by the condition $[\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}$. Therefore C satisfies also the condition (3) of Definition 2.3. Thus (X, C) is a Lagrangian cone structure. Moreover by the condition $[\mathcal{H}, \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}$, the condition (4) of Definition 2.3 is satisfied. Therefore (X, C) is a non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structure. \square

Now, we regard the cone field $C \subset TX$ as a control system over X :

$$\mathbb{C} : L \xrightarrow{\pi_{X*}|_L} TX \rightarrow X.$$

Then we have showed in [15] the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5 (Duality Theorem [15]) *Singular paths of the control system*

$$\mathbb{C} : L \xrightarrow{\pi_X^*|_L} TX \rightarrow X$$

are given by π_X -images of π_Y -fibers.

Therefore, for any $x \in X$ and for any direction $\ell \subset C_x$, there exists uniquely a singular \mathbb{C} -paths passing through x with the direction ℓ at x .

Thus the original space Y is identified with the space of singular paths for (X, C) , while X is the space of singular paths for (Y, D) .

We recall the local characterization of singular controls.

For a control system $\mathbb{C} : \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{F} TM \rightarrow M$ on a manifold M , we consider the fibre-product $\mathcal{U} \times_M T^*M$, and define the *Hamiltonian function* $H : \mathcal{U} \times_M T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the control system $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow TM$ by

$$H(x, p, u) := \langle p, F(x, u) \rangle, \quad ((x, u), (x, p)) \in \mathcal{U} \times_M T^*M.$$

A singular control $(x(t), u(t))$ is characterized by the liftability to an *abnormal bi-extremal* $(x(t), p(t), u(t))$ satisfying the constrained Hamiltonian equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(x(t), p(t), u(t)), & (1 \leq i \leq m) \\ \dot{p}_i(t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}(x(t), p(t), u(t)), & (1 \leq i \leq m) \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_j}(x(t), p(t), u(t)) = 0, & (1 \leq j \leq r), \quad p(t) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $E \subset TZ$ be a distribution on a manifold Z regarded as a control system. A singular path $x(t)$ for $E \subset TZ$ is called *regular singular* if it is associated with an abnormal bi-extremal $(x(t), p(t), u(t))$ such that $p(t) \in (\partial E)^\perp \setminus (\partial^{(2)} E)^\perp \subset T^*Z$. A singular path $x(t)$ for $E \subset TZ$ is called *totally irregular singular* if any associated abnormal bi-extremals $(x(t), p(t), u(t))$ satisfies that $p(t) \in (\partial^{(2)} E)^\perp \subset T^*Z$.

From the pseudo-product structure on $E \subset TZ$, we have

Theorem 2.6 (Asymmetry Theorem [15]) *A singular path for $E \hookrightarrow TZ \rightarrow Z$ is either a π_Y -fibre or a π_X -fibre. Each π_Y -fibre is regular singular, while each π_X -fibre is totally irregular singular.*

3 Complete duality

The description of the duality on $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions (Y, D) and non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structures (X, C) via (Z, E) which is given in §2 should be completed by answering the question: *What kinds of non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structures do they correspond to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions?*

Then we have

Theorem 3.1 *There exist natural bijective correspondences of isomorphism classes:*

$$\{(2, 3, 5)\text{-distributions } (Y, D)\} / \cong \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pseudo-product structures of } G_2\text{-type } (Z, E): \\ (2, 3, 4, 5, 6)\text{-distributions } E \text{ with a decomposition} \\ E = K \oplus L, \text{ rank}(K) = \text{rank}(L) = 1, \\ [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}] = \partial \mathcal{E} \text{ } (:= [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}] = \mathcal{E} + [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}]), \\ [\mathcal{H}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}, [\mathcal{L}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \partial \mathcal{E}, \\ [\mathcal{H}, \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}, [\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}, \\ [\mathcal{H}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}, [\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(4)} \mathcal{E}. \end{array} \right\} / \cong$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structures } (X, C) \\ \text{on 5-dimensional manifolds } X \text{ with the condition} \\ \partial(T_s C) \subset O_s^{(2)} C, \text{ for any direction field } s \text{ of } C. \end{array} \right\} / \cong$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

Let X be a 5-dimensional manifold and $C \subset TX$ a non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structure (Definition 2.3). Then $Z = P(C) := (C \setminus (\text{zero-section})) / \mathbb{R}^\times$ is a 6-dimensional manifold and that $\pi_X : Z \rightarrow X$ is a C^∞ -fibration with projective curves $P(C_x) \subset P(T_x X) \cong P^4$ as fibers.

By the non-degeneracy condition, we have that the first, second and third derivatives are linearly independent everywhere on $P(C_x)$, for any $x \in X$.

Then we define a subbundle $E \subset TZ$ of rank 2 by setting

$$E_{(x, \ell)} := (\pi_X)_*^{-1}(\ell),$$

for each $(x, \ell) \in Z$ as the prolongation of the cone structure $C \in TX$. We set $K = \text{Ker}((\pi_X)_*)$.

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ be a system of local coordinates of X and x, θ that of Z such that $\pi_X : Z \rightarrow X$ is given by $(x, \theta) \mapsto x$ and E is generated by $\zeta_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ and a vector field $\zeta_2(x, \theta)$ of form

$$\zeta_2(x, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + A \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + S \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + T \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5},$$

where A, B, S, T are function-germs of x, θ . The projective curve $C_x \subset P(T_x X)$ is given by

$$\theta \mapsto [1 : A(x, \theta) : B(x, \theta) : S(x, \theta) : T(x, \theta)]$$

in homogeneous coordinates, for each $x \in X$.

We have, on Z ,

$$[\zeta_1, \zeta_2](x, \theta) = \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial \theta}(x, \theta) =: \zeta_3,$$

and

$$[\zeta_1, \zeta_3](x, \theta) = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta_2}{\partial \theta^2}(x, \theta) =: \zeta_4.$$

In local coordinates,

$$\zeta_3 = A_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + B_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + S_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + T_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \quad \zeta_4 = A_{\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + B_{\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + S_{\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + T_{\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5},$$

and

$$\zeta_5 = A_{\theta\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + B_{\theta\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + S_{\theta\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + T_{\theta\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}.$$

Any direction field s of C is given by $x \mapsto (x, \theta(x))$ for some functions $\theta(x)$ on x and the linear approximation $T_s C$ of C along a direction field s is generated by $\zeta_2(x, \theta(x)), \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial \theta}(x, \theta(x))$. Moreover the osculating bundles $O_s^{(2)} C$ and $O_s^{(3)} C$ are generated by $\zeta_2(x, \theta(x)), \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial \theta}(x, \theta(x)), \frac{\partial^2 \zeta_2}{\partial \theta^2}(x, \theta(x))$ and by $\zeta_2(x, \theta(x)), \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial \theta}(x, \theta(x)), \frac{\partial^2 \zeta_2}{\partial \theta^2}(x, \theta(x)), \frac{\partial^3 \zeta_2}{\partial \theta^3}(x, \theta(x))$ respectively.

By the condition $\partial(T_s C) \subset O_s^{(2)} C$, we have that $[\zeta_2, \zeta_3] \equiv 0, \text{ mod. } \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4$. Then there exists uniquely a function $U(x, \theta)$ such that $\tilde{\zeta}_2 = \zeta_2 + U\zeta_1$ is a Cauchy characteristic vector field of ∂E , so that $[\tilde{\zeta}_2, \zeta_3] \equiv 0, \text{ mod. } \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$.

Taking the subbundle $L \subset E$ generated by $\tilde{\zeta}_2$, we have a pseudo-product structure $E = K \oplus L$ on Z satisfying the conditions

$$[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}] = \partial \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{H}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{L}, \partial \mathcal{E}] = \partial \mathcal{E}, \quad [\mathcal{H}, \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}.$$

Since we have, by Jacobi identity, $[\tilde{\zeta}_2, [\zeta_1, \zeta_3]] + [\zeta_1, [\zeta_3, \tilde{\zeta}_2]] + [\zeta_3, [\tilde{\zeta}_2, \zeta_1]] = 0$, we have that

$$[\tilde{\zeta}_2, \zeta_4] \equiv [\zeta_1, [\tilde{\zeta}_2, \zeta_3]] \equiv 0, \text{ mod. } \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4.$$

Therefore the condition $[\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(2)} \mathcal{E}$ is satisfied. Because $O_s^{(3)} C \subset TX$ is independent of s and is a contact structure on X , we have that $[\mathcal{H}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}$ and that $[\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}]$ generates the total tangent bundle TZ , therefore, the last condition $[\mathcal{L}, \partial^{(3)} \mathcal{E}] = \partial^{(4)} \mathcal{E}$.

Thus we see that, if C is a non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structure with the condition that $\partial(T_s C) \subset O_s^{(2)} C$ for any direction field s of C , then $E = K \oplus L$ is a pseudo-product structure of G_2 -type.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Remark 3.2 The cone structure $C \subset TX$ is regarded as the control system over X ,

$$\mathbb{C} : L \rightarrow TX \rightarrow X, \quad L \ni ((x, \ell), v) \mapsto (x, v) \mapsto x,$$

with 2-control parameters. In local coordinates, the control system \mathbb{C} is given by

$$F(x; r, \theta) := r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + A(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + B(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + S(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + T(x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} \right),$$

with the control parameters r, θ .

4 (2, 3, 5)-distributions and cubic Lagrangian cone structures

Let us denote by G'_2 the automorphism group of the split octonion algebra \mathbb{O}' . Then for a Borel group subgroup B and parabolic subgroups P_1, P_2 containing B of G'_2 , we have a double fibration

$$Y = G'_2/P_1 \xleftarrow{\pi_Y} Z = G'_2/B \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X = G'_2/P_2,$$

a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution $D \subset TY$ on Y , a pseudo-product structure of type G_2 $E = K \oplus L \subset TZ$ on Z and a non-degenerate Lagrangian cubic cone structure $C \subset TX$ (see IMT3). It is known also that Y is diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^2$ (resp. Z to $S^3 \times S^3$, X to $S^2 \times S^3$). On each of three places, there exists Cartan's parabolic geometry as a natural non-flat geometry modeled on the homogeneous space. On Y it is the geometry of $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions. On Z it is the geometry of pseudo-product structures of type G_2 . On X it is G_2 -contact structures ([12][19]). Moreover any G_2 -contact structure is accompanied with and is recovered from a non-degenerate Lagrangian cubic cone structure.

Hajime Sato [23] has suggested to the first author that any G_2 -contact structure corresponding to a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution should be flat, from the exact comparison of curvatures for associated Cartan connections on pseudo-product G_2 -structure and on G_2 -contact structures ([24][27]). Here we would like to provide alternative proof for the fact. In fact we have:

Proposition 4.1 *Any $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution (Y, D) which corresponds to a cubic cone structure (X, C) must be flat.*

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For each $x \in X$, the cone $C_x \subset D'_x(\subset T_x X)$ gives the (reduced) ‘‘Jacobi curve’’ in the sense of Agrachev and Zelenko [3][4][29][5]. Then, in [29], it is proved that ‘‘Cartan tensor’’ of D is recovered by a projective invariant, the fundamental invariant, a kind of cross ratio, of $P(C_x)$ point-wise. In fact, for the cone $C_x \subset D_x \cong \mathbb{R}^4$, there is associated a curve $P(C_x)$ in Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, \mathbb{R}^4)$, and the fundamental invariants is calculated from $P(C_x)$ in projective invariant way.

Suppose a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution D corresponds to a cubic cone structure $C \subset D' \subset TX$. Then the cone structure is non-degenerate. Since all non-degenerate cubic cones are projectively equivalent point-wise, the Cartan tensor of D coincides with the flat $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution. Therefore D must be flat. \square

Example 4.2 (Cubic Lagrangian cone structures not corresponding to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions.) Consider the cubic cone structure C on $(\mathbb{R}^5, 0)$ around the direction $\theta = 0$,

$$F(x; r, \theta) = r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + (\theta^2 + a) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + (\theta^3 - 3\theta a) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \{x_3 \theta - 2x_2(\theta^2 + a) + x_1(\theta^3 - 3\theta a)\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} \right),$$

defined by a C^∞ function $a(x_1)$ with $a(0) = 0$.

Then C is a non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structure for the contact structure $D' : dx_5 - x_3 dx_2 + 2x_2 dx_3 - x_1 dx_4 = 0$. Moreover C satisfies the condition $\partial(T_s C) \subset O_s^{(2)} C$ for any $s : X \rightarrow L \setminus \{0\}$, to correspond to a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution, if and only if $a \not\equiv 0$. The case $a \equiv 0$ corresponds to the G_2 -homogeneous flat case ([16]).

The following gives examples of non-degenerate Lagrangian non-cubic cone structures which correspond to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions and shows the necessity of the additional condition $\partial(T_s C) \subset O_s^{(2)} C$ of Theorem 3.1.

Example 4.3 (Non-cubic Lagrangian cone structures corresponding to $(2, 3, 5)$ -distributions.) Consider a cone structure on $(\mathbb{R}^5, 0)$ around the direction $\theta = 0$,

$$F(x; r, \theta) = r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + (\theta^2 + b) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + (\theta^3 + c) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \{x_3 \theta - 2x_2(\theta^2 + b) + x_1(\theta^3 + c)\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} \right),$$

where $b = b(\theta), c = c(\theta)$, with $\text{ord}_0 b(\theta) \geq 3$, $\text{ord}_0 c(\theta) \geq 4$.

Then F is a non-degenerate Lagrangian cone structure, for the contact structure $D' : dx_5 - x_3 dx_2 + 2x_2 dx_3 - x_1 dx_4 = 0$. Moreover F satisfies the condition $\partial(T_s C) \subset O_s^{(2)} C$, for any direction field s , to correspond to a $(2, 3, 5)$ -distribution, if and only if $c_\theta = 3\theta b_\theta - 3b$.

If $b_{\theta\theta\theta\theta} \neq 0$, then C is not cubic.

References

- [1] A.A. Agrachev, *Rolling balls and octonions*, Proceedings Steklov Math. Inst. **258** (2007), 13–22.
- [2] A.A. Agrachev, Y.L. Sachkov, *Control theory from the geometric viewpoint*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **87**, Control Theory and Optimization, II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004).
- [3] A.A. Agrachev, I. Zelenko, *Geometry of Jacobi curves, I* Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems, **8-1** (2002), 93–140.
- [4] A.A. Agrachev, I. Zelenko, *Geometry of Jacobi curves, II* Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems, **8-2** (2002), 167–215.
- [5] A.A. Agrachev, I. Zelenko, *Nurowski's conformal structures for $(2, 5)$ -distributions via dynamics of abnormal extremals*, Proceedings of RIMS symposium on developments of Cartan geometry and related mathematical problems, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1502** (2006), 204–218.
- [6] D. An, P. Nurowski, *Twistor space for rolling bodies*, Comm. Math. Phys., **326-2** (2014), 393–414
- [7] J. C. Baez, J. Huerta, *G_2 and the rolling ball*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **366-10** (2014), 5257–5293.
- [8] R. L. Bryant, *Élie Cartan and geometric duality*, A lecture given at the Institut d'Élie Cartan on 19 June 1998.
- [9] R.L. Bryant, L. Hsu, *Rigidity of integral curves of rank 2 distributions*, Inventiones mathematicae, **114-1** (1993), 435–461.
- [10] R.L. Bryant, S.S. Chern, R.B. Gardner, H.L. Goldschmidt, P.A. Griffiths, *Exterior Differential Systems*, Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [11] G. Bor, R. Montgomery, *G_2 and the rolling distributions*, Enseign. Math. **55** (2009), 157–196.
- [12] A. Čap, J. Slovák, *Parabolic Geometries I: Background and General Theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., (2009).
- [13] E. Cartan, *Les systèmes de Pfaff à cinq variables et les équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3), **27** (1910), 109–192.

- [14] B. Doubrov, I. Zelenko, *Equivalence of variational problems of higher order*, Diff. Geom. Appl., **29** (2011), 255–270.
- [15] G. Ishikawa, Y. Kitagawa, W. Yukuno, *Duality of singular paths for (2,3,5)-distributions*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., **21** (2015), 155–171.
- [16] G. Ishikawa, Y. Machida, M. Takahashi, *Singularities of tangent surfaces in Cartan’s split G_2 -geometry*, Asian Journal of Mathematics, **20–2**, (2016), 353–382.
- [17] Y. Kitagawa, *The Infinitesimal automorphisms of a homogeneous subriemannian contact manifold*, Thesis, Nara Women’s Univ. (2005).
- [18] Y. Kitagawa, *The duality of abnormal extremals on subriemannian Cartan structures*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B55 (2016), 111–132.
- [19] T. Leistner, P. Nurowski, K. Sagerschung, *New relations between G_2 -geometries in 5 and 7*, arXiv: 1601.03979v2 [math.DG].
- [20] R. Montgomery, *A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **91**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2002).
- [21] P. Nurowski, *Differential equations and conformal structures*, J. Geom. Phys., **55–1** (2005), 19–49.
- [22] M. Randall, *Flat (2, 3, 5)-distributions and Chazy’s Equations*, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications, **12** (2016), 029, 28 pages.
- [23] H.Sato, *private communication*, January 2018.
- [24] N. Tanaka, *On the equivalence problems associated with simple graded Lie algebras*, Hokkaido Math. J., **8** (1979), 23–84.
- [25] N. Tanaka, *On affine symmetric spaces and the automorphism groups of product manifolds*, Hokkaido Math. J., **14** (1985), 277–351.
- [26] D. The, *Exceptionally simple PDE*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **56** (2018), 13–41.
- [27] K. Yamaguchi, *Differential systems associated with simple graded Lie algebras*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, **22** (1993), 413–494.
- [28] K. Yamaguchi, *G_2 -geometry of overdetermined systems of second order*, in Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables, Birkhäuser, (1999), pp. 289–314.
- [29] I. Zelenko, *Fundamental form and the Cartan tensor of (2,5)-distributions coincide*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., **12-2** (2006), 247–276.
- [30] M. Zhitomirskii, *Exact normal form for (2,5) distributions*, RIMS Kokyuroku **1502** (2006), 16–28.