

WANDERING DOMAINS FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF FINITE ORDER IN THE EREMENKO-LYUBICH CLASS

DAVID MARTÍ-PETE AND MITSUHIRO SHISHIKURA

ABSTRACT. Recently Bishop constructed the first example of a bounded-type transcendental entire function with a wandering domain using a new technique called quasiconformal folding. It is easy to check that his method produces an entire function of infinite order. We construct the first examples of entire functions of finite order in the class \mathcal{B} with wandering domains. As in Bishop's case, these wandering domains are of oscillating type, that is, they have an unbounded non-escaping orbit. To construct such functions we use quasiregular interpolation instead of quasiconformal folding, which is much more straightforward. Our examples have order $p/2$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and, since the order of functions in the class \mathcal{B} is at least $1/2$, we achieve the smallest possible order. Finally, we can modify the construction to obtain functions of finite order in the class \mathcal{B} with any number of grand orbits of wandering domains, including infinitely many.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an entire function f and a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the orbit of z_0 under iteration by f as the sequence $z_n := f(z_{n-1}) = f^n(z_0)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where f^n denotes the composition of f with itself n times, $f^n = f \circ \dots \circ f$. For each entire function f , we partition the complex plane into the *Fatou set* of f , or stable set,

$$F(f) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \{f^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a normal family in some neighbourhood of } z\}$$

and the *Julia set* of f , $J(f) := \mathbb{C} \setminus F(f)$, where the chaotic behaviour takes place. We refer to a connected component of $F(f)$ as a *Fatou component* of f . We also consider the partition of \mathbb{C} into the *escaping set*,

$$I(f) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\},$$

the set of points with bounded orbit, $K(f) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \{f^n(z)\}_n \text{ is bounded}\}$, and the set of points with unbounded non-escaping orbit $BU(f) := \mathbb{C} \setminus (I(f) \cup K(f))$. The book [Mil06] is a basic reference on the iteration of holomorphic functions in one complex variable. See [Ber93] for a survey on the dynamics of transcendental entire and meromorphic functions.

Let U be a Fatou component of f , and denote by U_n the Fatou component of f that contains $f^n(U)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that U is a *wandering domain* if $U_n \cap U_m \neq \emptyset$ implies that $m = n$. One of the main differences between the iteration of transcendental entire functions and that of polynomials is the existence of wandering domains. Sullivan [Sul85] proved that rational functions (and, in

Date: November 20, 2018.

This work was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid JP16F16807 (first author) and the Grant-in-Aid 26287016 and 15K13444 (second author) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

particular, polynomials) do not have wandering domains. This question had been open since the time of Fatou and Julia, and Sullivan's use of quasiconformal maps revolutionized complex dynamics. In the subsequent years, many other applications of quasiconformal maps in dynamics appeared, see [Shi87] and [BF14] for a compilation of such techniques known as *quasiconformal surgery*. Our construction also uses quasiconformal maps to produce oscillating wandering domains.

Fatou [Fat19] showed that if U is a wandering domain, then all the limit functions of converging partial sequences $(f^{n_k})_k$ on U are constant. Let $L(U) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the set of all possible limit values. For a transcendental entire function f , we define the *singular set* of f as $S(f) := \overline{\text{sing}(f^{-1})}$, which consists of all singular values (critical values and asymptotic values) of f as well as their accumulation points. We also consider the *postsingular set*, $P(f)$, which is the closure of the union of the forward orbits of all points in $S(f)$. Baker [Bak70] improved the result of Fatou by showing that limit functions satisfy that $L(U) \subseteq (J(f) \cap \overline{P(f)}) \cup \{\infty\}$. The last development in this direction is due to Bergweiler, Haruta, Kriete, Meier and Terplane [BHK⁺93], who showed that, in fact, $L(U) \subseteq (J(f) \cap P(f)') \cup \{\infty\}$, where $P(f)'$ is the derived set of $P(f)$.

According to their orbits and limit functions, wandering domains can be classified into the following types: we say that

- U is an *escaping* wandering domain if $U \subseteq I(f)$, that is, $L(U) = \{\infty\}$;
- U is an *oscillating* wandering domain if $U \subseteq BU(f)$, that is, $\{\infty, a\} \subseteq L(U)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$;
- U is a *bounded orbit* wandering domain if $U \subseteq K(f)$, that is, $\infty \notin L(U)$.

However, it is not known whether wandering domains with bounded orbit exist.

The first example of a transcendental entire function with a wandering domain was given by Baker [Bak63, Bak76] and consisted of an infinite product that had a sequence of multiply connected wandering domains escaping to infinity. In fact, if an entire function has a multiply connected Fatou component, then it must be a (fast) escaping wandering domain [Bak84, RS05]. In [KS08], Kisaka and Shishikura constructed functions with multiply connected wandering domains using quasiconformal surgery. Further examples of simply connected escaping wandering domains are due, for example, to Herman [Her84], Baker [Bak84, Example 5.3] and Eremenko and Lyubich [EL87, Example 2]. Regarding oscillating wandering domains, Eremenko and Lyubich [EL87, Example 1] constructed a wandering domain U such that $L(U)$ is an unbounded infinite set. Observe that every Fatou component in $BU(f)$ is necessarily an oscillating wandering domain [SO16, Theorem 1.1]. Nowadays, the existence of wandering domains for certain classes of holomorphic maps continues to be an important question in complex dynamics [MR13, ABD⁺16].

Singular values play an important role in the iteration of entire functions. Hence, among all transcendental entire functions, the classes of functions where we have some control on the singular set tend to have nicer properties. Let us introduce the class of *finite-type* transcendental entire functions, also known as the *Speiser class*,

$$\mathcal{S} := \{f \text{ transcendental entire function} : S(f) \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Eremenko and Lyubich [EL92, Theorem 3] and, independently, Goldberg and Keen [GK86, Theorem 4.2] were able to modify Sullivan's proof to show that functions

in the class \mathcal{S} have no wandering domains. Eremenko and Lyubich [EL92] also considered the dynamics of the larger class of *bounded-type* transcendental entire functions,

$$\mathcal{B} := \{f \text{ transcendental entire function} : S(f) \text{ is bounded}\},$$

which is now known as the *Eremenko-Lyubich class*. They proved that if $f \in \mathcal{B}$, then $I(f) \subseteq J(f)$ [EL92, Theorem 1]. In particular, this means that functions in the class \mathcal{B} do not have escaping wandering domains. It was a long standing question whether functions in the class \mathcal{B} could have wandering domains at all.

Recently, Bishop [Bis15] developed a revolutionary new technique to construct transcendental entire functions in the classes \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{B} known as *quasiconformal folding*. Given a bipartite tree T with certain bounded geometry conditions and, for every component Ω of $\mathbb{C} \setminus T$, let $\tau_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ be a conformal map such that $\operatorname{diam} \tau_\Omega(e) > \pi$ for every edge $e \in T \cap \partial\Omega$. Then [Bis15, Theorem 1.1] provides a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and a K -quasiconformal map ϕ such that $f = (\cosh \circ \tau) \circ \phi^{-1}$ outside a thin neighbourhood $T(r_0)$ of T . The function f has critical values at ± 1 and no asymptotic value. Bishop also gave a more general version of this construction, namely [Bis15, Theorem 7.2], that replaces the tree T by a graph and produces functions in the class \mathcal{B} with critical points of arbitrarily high degree and allows for asymptotic values. Using this result, Bishop [Bis15, Theorem 17.1] constructed the first example of a function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ with a wandering domain, which is of oscillating type. See also Bishop's note *Corrections for quasiconformal folding*¹, which contains some clarifications about this construction.

Since then, several modifications of Bishop's construction by other authors have appeared. Fagella, Godillon and Jarque [FGJ15] produced two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$ without wandering domains such that $f \circ g$ has an oscillating wandering domain. Lazebnik [Laz17] proved that the wandering domains in Bishop's construction are bounded, and modified it to create a function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ with an oscillating wandering domain U such that $f^n(U)$ is unbounded for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Lazebnik [Laz17] also constructed a function in \mathcal{B} with a wandering domain U such that $L(U)$ is an uncountable set. Finally, Fagella, Jarque and Lazebnik [FJL17] created a function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ with an oscillating wandering domain on whose orbit f is univalent.

We define the *order* of a transcendental entire function f as

$$\rho(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} \in [0, +\infty],$$

where, for $r > 0$, $M(r, f) := \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$. Functions of finite order in the class \mathcal{B} play an important role as Rottenfusser, Rückert, Rempe and Schleicher [RRRS11, Theorem 1.2] proved that the strong Eremenko conjecture holds for such functions and compositions thereof, namely every escaping point can be connected to ∞ by a curve of points that escape uniformly (see also [Bar07] for the disjoint type case). Furthermore, Barański, Jarque and Rempe [BJR12] showed that the escaping set of such functions contains an uncountable collection of curves known as a Cantor bouquet. Note that for a general transcendental entire function, Eremenko [Ere89]

¹<http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~bishop/papers/QC-corrections.pdf>

proved that the components of $\overline{I(f)}$ are all unbounded and conjectured that, in fact, all the components of $I(f)$ are unbounded, but this remains an open question.

Observe that the function f from [Bis15, Theorem 17.1] has infinite order as $f = g \circ \phi^{-1}$ with $g(z) = \cosh(\lambda \sinh z)$ for $z \in S_+ \setminus T(r_0)$, which contains a horizontal band of fixed height around \mathbb{R}_+ , and $\phi(z) = z + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and possibly even faster growth on other components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus T$. Our main theorem provides the first example of a function of finite order in the class \mathcal{B} that has a wandering domain.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a transcendental entire function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ of finite order with an oscillating wandering domain.*

To prove this result, we construct a transcendental entire function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ of order 1 that is a modification of the function $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$. Note that $J(g) = \mathbb{C}$. We give a brief outline of the proof, which follows some of the ideas from [Bis15] but is much simpler as it does not require quasiconformal folding and uses quasiregular interpolation instead. Geometrically, we collapse many simple critical points of g , which are located at $\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, into critical points of higher degree to obtain a certain contraction, and then adjust the position of the critical values which is a much finer tuning. First, we produce a quasiregular map $g_{\mathbf{w}}$, with $\mathbf{w} = (w_n)_n$, that equals g on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$, where E is a disjoint union of squares $\{E_n\}_n$ centered along the imaginary axis (see Figure 3). Inside each square E_n , we place a disc D_n on which $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a power map that has a critical point of multiplicity d_n with critical value $w_n \in \mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})$. To join these two holomorphic functions, in the doubly connected regions $\{E_n \setminus D_n\}_n$ we use quasiregular interpolation. At this point it is important to make sure that the dilatation of the interpolating map is independent of d_n . Then, it follows from the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem that there is an entire function $f_{\mathbf{w}}$ and a quasiconformal map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that $f_{\mathbf{w}} = g_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ as $S(f_{\mathbf{w}}) = \{\pm 2\} \cup \overline{\{w_n\}_n}$ and the sequence $\{w_n\}_n$ converges to a point $w_{\infty} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})$ (see Remark 5.7). In order to show that $f_{\mathbf{w}}$ has an oscillating wandering domain U , we need to estimate the quasiconformal map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$. To that end, the main ingredient will be a recent result on quasiconformal maps by Shishikura [Shi18, Lemma 9] (see Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6, which are of independent interest). In order to construct a wandering domain, we consider a specific inverse orbit U_n of $\frac{1}{2}D_n$ (more precisely, $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\frac{1}{2}D_n)$) near $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, with center $c_n(\mathbf{w})$ corresponding to the critical point in D_n . Our goal is to show that $f_{\mathbf{w}}^{n+2}(U_n) \subseteq g_{\mathbf{w}}(\frac{1}{2}D_n) \subseteq U_{n+1}$ by giving estimates on the size of the domains $\{U_n\}_n$ and by choosing the parameter \mathbf{w} so that the critical value w_n of $g_{\mathbf{w}}|_{D_n}$ hits the next center $c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w})$ for large n . The latter problem (a simultaneous shooting problem) is solved using Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem. Observe that the function $f_{\mathbf{w}}$ has only finitely many grand orbits of critical points and the wandering domain U satisfies that $L(U) = \{f_{\mathbf{w}}^n(w_{\infty})\}_n \cup \{\infty\}$.

We would like to emphasize that the map from our construction is much more simple than the previous example involving quasiconformal folding. We only alter the base map $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ inside the squares $\{E_n\}_n$, and the parameters $\{d_n\}_n$ (and also $\{R_n\}_n, \{h_n\}_n$) are given in the beginning with explicit formulas. Using quasiconformal folding allows for a small support of the Beltrami coefficient μ_{ϕ} , but one often lose control over the order of the resulting function f , while in our case

the support of μ_ϕ is large in the Euclidean sense, but sparse, and we can achieve finite order. In [Bis15], since the logarithmic area of $\text{supp } \mu_\phi$ decays exponentially, it follows from Dynkin's Theorem that one can normalise ϕ so that $\phi(z) = z + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. In contrast, our quasiconformal mapping $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ may have $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(z) - z$ unbounded, but we developed new estimates that allow us to have the necessary control over $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$, for example, we show that $\left| \log \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(z)}{z} \right| < \varepsilon$ (see Section 4).

Our shooting method is quite different from [Bis15, Theorem 17.1] (as well as [FGJ15, Laz17, FJL17]). Their argument to choose \mathbf{w} is based on a sequence of successive perturbations so that in each step we obtain a new quasiconformal map ϕ , and hence it is key to check that the new map $f = g \circ \phi^{-1}$ still satisfies the inclusion relations of domains that we set up in all the previous steps. This is guaranteed by the thinness of the support of the quasiconformal maps used to choose the position of the critical values $\{w_n\}_n$, which is achieved by giving a lower bound for the sequence $\{d_n\}_n$. Note that in order to avoid any circularity, it is important to first fix the sequence $\{d_n\}_n$ and then start choosing $\{w_n\}_n$ inductively (see Bishop's note¹). To do so, it is key to obtain a uniform estimate for the inner radius of the sets $\{U_n\}_n$ in the closure of all quasiconformal maps ϕ that arise from this construction with sufficiently large sequences $\{d_n\}_n$ and $\{w_n\}_n$ in a compact polydisc, which is a compact subset of the space of homeomorphisms from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{C} . In this paper we determine the $\{d_n\}_n$ explicitly at the beginning, and then use a shooting method to choose $\{w_n\}_n$ (see Section 7). Independently, for a different problem concerning meromorphic functions, Bishop and Lazebnik [BL18] have developed a similar strategy using a fixed point theorem combined with Bishop's quasiconformal folding construction.

The *lower order* of a transcendental entire function can be defined replacing the lim sup in the definition of the order by lim inf. By a result of Heins [Hei48], functions in the class \mathcal{B} have lower order (and, hence, also order) greater than or equal to $1/2$. In the following theorem, we adapt the previous construction to obtain functions with order $p/2$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and, in particular, we achieve order $1/2$, which is the smallest possible value in class \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 1.2. *For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a transcendental entire function in the class \mathcal{B} of order $p/2$ with an oscillating wandering domain.*

The reason why we only obtain orders that are half integers is that our construction is based on the function $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ which is an even function and therefore $\check{g}_p(z) = 2 \cosh(z^{\frac{p}{2}})$ is an entire function for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [FGJ15], Fagella, Godillon and Jarque showed that the function from [Bis15, Theorem 17.1] has precisely two grand orbits of oscillating wandering domains, and no other wandering domain. We can modify our construction to obtain functions of finite order in the class \mathcal{B} with any number of grand orbits of oscillating wandering domains, including functions with infinitely many grand orbits of wandering domains.

Theorem 1.3. *For every $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, there exists an entire function of finite order in the class \mathcal{B} with exactly $2q$ grand orbits of oscillating wandering domains.*

The functions from Theorem 1.3 all have an even number of grand orbits of wandering domains and this is due to the fact in the construction we use a slightly different function to that in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, which is symmetric with respect to the real line but is not an even function as before. However it is also possible to construct functions with odd numbers of wandering domains (see Remark 11.1).

Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we define the main quantities and sets involved with the construction, and also prove some growth estimates of the map $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ which is the base for the construction. We use quasiregular interpolation to modify g to a quasiregular function $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that $f_{\mathbf{w}} = g_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ has the desired dynamics; see Figure 3 in p. 13. The proof of our main result, Theorem 1.1, is in Section 8. In order to keep the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 as clear as possible, we placed the details of the construction of the quasiregular interpolation from Section 3 in Appendix A, and the proofs of the estimates about the integrating quasiconformal map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ from Section 4 are given in Appendix B. For those familiar with Bishop's construction, the domains $\{U_n\}_n$ are defined in Section 5; see Diagram 1 in p. 16 and Figure 6 in p. 19. In Section 6 we estimate the inner radius of U_n and in Section 7 we define \mathbf{w} . The modifications to obtain functions of order $p/2$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ (Theorem 1.2) and functions with $2q$ grand orbits of wandering domains for $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ (Theorem 1.3) are done in Sections 9 and 11, respectively.

Notation. Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{Z}^* := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\mathbb{N}_N := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_N := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-N+1, \dots, N-1\} = \mathbb{N}_N \cup (-\mathbb{N}_N)$. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_- := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we use $\lfloor x \rfloor$ to denote the largest integer not exceeding x . For $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, we use $[z_0, z_1]$ for the line segment joining z_0 to z_1 . We define the Euclidean discs of centre $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius $R > 0$ by

$$\mathbb{D}(z_0, R) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < R\}$$

and put $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(z_0, R) := \overline{\mathbb{D}(z_0, R)}$, $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{D}(0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{D}_R := \mathbb{D}(0, R)$. Suppose that $D = \mathbb{D}(z_0, R)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then $\lambda D := \mathbb{D}(z_0, \lambda R)$. We denote the upper half-plane by $\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ and also use $\mathbb{H}_l := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$ and $\mathbb{H}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ for the left and right half-planes, respectively.

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a hyperbolic domain, then $\operatorname{dist}_{\Omega}(z, w)$ is the hyperbolic distance between two points $z, w \in \Omega$. We define the hyperbolic disc of centre $z_0 \in \Omega$ and radius $R > 0$ by

$$\mathbb{D}_{\Omega}(z_0, R) := \{z \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}_{\Omega}(z, z_0) < R\}.$$

We will also consider the cylinder $\mathcal{C} := \mathbb{C}/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ and the distance on \mathcal{C} given by

$$|w|_{\mathcal{C}} := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |w + 2\pi ni|, \quad \text{for } w \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Christopher Bishop, Núria Fagella, Xavier Jarque, Masashi Kisaka and Kirill Lazebnik for helpful discussions on this topic.

2. SETUP AND PROPERTIES OF THE BASE MAP $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first construct a function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ of order 1, that is, the case $p = 2$, and then we will modify it to obtain functions of order $p/2$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$. For the convenience of the reader who may be familiar with the construction of the oscillating wandering domain from [Bis15, Theorem 17.1] (and also [FGJ15]), we use a similar notation wherever possible.

The function that is the base of our construction is $g(z) := 2 \cosh z$. Note that $g(z) = e^z + e^{-z} \sim e^z$ for $\operatorname{Re} z \gg 1$. Define the horizontal band

$$S_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0, |\operatorname{Im} z| < \pi\}$$

and, for $x > 1$, consider the rectangle

$$Q(x) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re} z - x| < 1, |\operatorname{Im} z| < \pi\} \subseteq S_+.$$

Later we will modify the function g on some squares centered along the imaginary axis, but it will be important that this modification takes place outside the horizontal band S_+ where the function will equal $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$. In the next lemma we collect a few basic properties of the function g .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $g(z) := 2 \cosh z$ and, for $R > 0$, define*

$$\mathcal{E}_R := \mathcal{E}(2 \cosh R, 2 \sinh R) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z)^2}{(2 \cosh R)^2} + \frac{(\operatorname{Im} z)^2}{(2 \sinh R)^2} < 1 \right\}.$$

- (i) *The critical points of g are $\pi i \mathbb{Z}$, and the critical values of g are $\{-2, 2\}$.*
- (ii) *The restriction $g|_{S_+} : S_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -2]$ is a conformal isomorphism.*
- (iii) *For $x > 1$, the function g maps the rectangle $Q(x)$ conformally to the region $\mathcal{E}_{x+1} \setminus (\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{x-1} \cup \mathbb{R}_-)$.*
- (iv) *Let $x_* := \frac{5}{3}$. If $x \geq x_*$, then $g(Q(x)) \supseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2}e^x < |z| < 2e^x\} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$.*

Proof. The statements (i) to (iii) follow immediately from the fact that the map g is the composition of the exponential function and twice the Joukowski transform $\zeta \mapsto \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$. For (iv), since $\cosh x > \sinh x$ for all $x > 0$, we have to check that, for $x > x_*$,

$$2e^x < 2 \sinh(x+1) = e^{x+1} - e^{-(x+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}e^x > 2 \cosh(x-1) = e^{x-1} + e^{-(x-1)}$$

(see Figure 1). Thus, it suffices that

$$x_* > \frac{1}{2}(-\log(e-2) + \log(2) + 2)$$

to obtain the desired result. \square

From this point, to simplify the notation, whenever we write g^{-1} , we refer to the inverse branch $(g|_{S_+})^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 2] \rightarrow S_+$.

Definition 2.2 (Reference orbit $(x_n)_n$, critical orbit $(v_n)_n$). Let $x_0 := \frac{1}{2}$ and define $x_n := g(x_{n-1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; we call $(x_n)_n$ the *reference orbit*. We also consider the orbit of the critical point $v_0 = 0$ and define $v_n = g(v_{n-1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; we refer to $(v_n)_n$ as the *critical orbit*, although g has many other critical points.

In the next lemma we show that indeed the orbits $(x_n)_n$ and $(v_n)_n$ escape and the distance between their points grows exponentially fast.




FIGURE 1. The base map $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ and the set $g(Q(x))$ from Lemma 2.1 for $x > x_*$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$. Then $\mathbb{R} \subseteq I(g) \subseteq J(g)$ and so do all the $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ translates of \mathbb{R} . The reference orbit $(x_n)_n$ is a strictly increasing sequence and we have $v_n < x_n < v_{n+1}$ with $v_{n+1} - x_n > 1$ and $x_n - v_n > 1$ for all $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Since g has only two critical values at ± 2 and no asymptotic value, we have $g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $I(g) \subseteq J(g)$ by [EL92, Theorem 1]. We show that there exists $A > 0$ such that $g(x) - x > A$ for all $x \geq 1$, and this implies that $g^n(x) > x + An \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $g'(x) - 1 = 2 \sinh x - 1 > 2x - 1 > 0$ for all $x > \frac{1}{2}$, the previous statement holds taking $A := g(1) - 1 > 1$. For $x < 1$, observe that $g(x) > 2$, and then the previous case applies. So $\mathbb{R} \subseteq I(g)$.

Since $v_0 < x_0 < v_1$ and g is a strictly increasing function, we have $v_n < x_n < v_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to check that $v_2 - x_1 > x_1 - v_1 > \frac{1}{4}$ and $g'(x) > 2$ for all $x > 1$, so $v_{n+1} - x_n > 1$ and $x_n - v_n > 1$ for all $n \geq 3$. \square

Next we define some quantities and sets associated to the reference orbit.

Definition 2.4 (Collections of sets $\{D_n\}_n$, $\{E_n\}_n$ and $\{Q_n\}_n$). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$d_n := \left\lfloor \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right\rfloor, \quad R_n := (d_n - \frac{1}{3})\pi, \quad h_n := 2\pi \left\lfloor \frac{x_{n+1} + \pi}{2\pi} \right\rfloor. \quad (1)$$

For $n \geq 3$, we define $Q_n := Q(x_n) \subseteq S_+$,

$$E_{\pm n} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re} z| < 2d_n\pi, \quad |\operatorname{Im} z \mp h_n| < 2d_n\pi\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus S_+,$$

and $D_{\pm n} := \mathbb{D}(\pm ih_n, R_n) \subseteq E_{\pm n}$ (see Figure 3).

In the following lemma we will provide some further growth estimates about the sequences that we have just introduced.

Lemma 2.5. *The reference orbit $(x_n)_n$ satisfies that $x_{n+1} > (n!)^2 x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,*

$$\frac{R_n}{h_n} < \frac{2\pi}{(n!)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} > (n!)^2, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 3. \quad (2)$$

In particular,

$$h_n + 3R_n + 6nR_n < \frac{6}{(n!)^2}(h_{n+1} - 3R_{n+1}), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 3, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{R_n}{h_n} < +\infty. \quad (4)$$

Proof. First, observe that $x_n > (n!)^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, it is easy to check that this holds for $n \leq 3$ directly. For $n \geq 4$, by induction, we have

$$x_n > e^{x_{n-1}} > x_{n-1}^2 > ((n-1)!)^4 > (n!)^2,$$

and our claim follows. Thus,

$$\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} > \frac{e^{x_n}}{x_n} > x_n > (n!)^2, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

One can also show easily that

$$\frac{R_n}{h_n} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} - \frac{1}{3}\right)\pi}{x_{n+1} - \pi} < \frac{\pi x_{n+1}}{x_n(x_{n+1} - \pi)} < \frac{2\pi}{x_n} < \frac{2\pi}{(n!)^2}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 3,$$

and

$$\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} \geq \frac{x_{n+2} - \pi}{x_{n+1} + \pi} > \frac{((n+1)!)^2 x_{n+1} - \pi}{x_{n+1} + \pi} > (n!)^2, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 3.$$

Finally, it follows from these two inequalities that

$$\frac{h_n + 3(1+2n)R_n}{h_{n+1} - 3R_{n+1}} < \frac{h_n \left(1 + \frac{6\pi(1+2n)}{(n!)^2}\right)}{h_{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{3}{(n!)^2}\right)} < \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \frac{12}{11} \left(1 + \frac{7\pi}{6}\right) < \frac{6}{(n!)^2}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 3,$$

and the series in (4) is finite. \square

Observe that inequality (3) in Lemma 2.5 implies that $h_{n+1} - 2d_{n+1}\pi > h_n + 2d_n\pi$ for all $n \geq 3$ and thus, the squares $\{E_n\}_n$ are disjoint. The next lemma concerns the first M iterates of the map g .

Lemma 2.6. *For $n \geq 1$, let g^{-n} be the n -th iterate of $g^{-1} = (g|_{S_+})^{-1}$, which is a univalent function that maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, v_n]$ into S_+ . For $M \geq 3$, the function g^{-M} is defined on \overline{Q}_M and $g^{-M}(\overline{Q}_M) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$.*

Proof. Since $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [v_1, \infty)$ is homeomorphic and monotone, the function $g^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 2] \rightarrow S_+$ maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, v_j]$ onto $S_+ \setminus (-\infty, v_{j-1}]$ for $j \geq 2$ and onto S_+ for $j = 1$. Therefore the function $g^{-n} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, v_n] \rightarrow S_+$ is defined and univalent for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $M \geq 3$, and define

$$R := 10^4 \leq x_3 - v_3 \leq x_M - v_M.$$

By the above, $\psi = g^{-M}$ is defined and univalent on $\mathbb{D}(x_M, R)$, with $g^{-M}(x_M) = x_0$ and its image does not contain the critical point 0. By Koebe 1/4-Theorem [Pom75, Corollary 1.4], we have

$$\frac{1}{4}|\psi'(x_M)|R \leq |0 - x_0| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, it follows from the Koebe Distortion Theorem [Pom75, Theorem 1.6] that if $0 < R' < R$, then $\psi(\mathbb{D}(x_M, R')) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(x_0, R'')$, where

$$R'' = |\psi'(x_M)| \frac{R'}{1 - (R'/R)^2}.$$

Now taking $R' = R/32 > \sqrt{1 + \pi}$, we obtain that

$$R'' \leq \frac{2R'/R}{1 - (R'/R)^2} \leq \frac{1}{16}$$

and thus $g^{-M}(\overline{Q}_M) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$. \square

3. QUASIREGULAR INTERPOLATION AND DEFINITION OF THE FUNCTION $f_{\mathbf{w}}$

In this section we state the results about how to modify the map $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ to obtain an entire function $f_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that, for an appropriate choice of the sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}}$, has an oscillating wandering domain. In order to make clear the outline of the proof of the main theorem, we provide all the details in the Appendix A.

We start with some basic definitions about quasiconformal mappings, see [BF14] for a reference on quasiconformal mappings with an emphasis on their applications on holomorphic dynamics.

Definition 3.1 (Quasiconformal map). Let $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 homeomorphism that preserves orientation. We define the *complex dilatation* (or the *Beltrami coefficient*) of ϕ at a point z by

$$\mu_{\phi}(z) := \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi(z)}{\partial_z\phi(z)} \in \mathbb{D}$$

and then, the *dilatation* of ϕ at a point z is given by

$$K_{\phi}(z) := \frac{1 + |\mu_{\phi}(z)|}{1 - |\mu_{\phi}(z)|}.$$

We say that ϕ is a K -*quasiconformal* map, $1 \leq K < +\infty$, if

$$K = K(\phi) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \mathbb{C}} K_{\phi}(z).$$

A map $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is K -*quasiregular* if and only if g can be expressed as

$$g = f \circ \phi,$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a K -quasiconformal map and $f : \phi(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function.

Let $\operatorname{supp} \mu_{\phi} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mu_{\phi}(z) \neq 0\}$. Observe that $\mu_{\phi}(z) = 0$ (or, equivalently, $K_{\phi}(z) = 1$) if and only if the map ϕ is conformal at z , and hence a K -quasiconformal map ϕ is conformal in the complement of $\operatorname{supp} \mu_{\phi}$. Geometrically, a conformal map sends infinitesimal circles to infinitesimal circles, while a K -quasiconformal map sends infinitesimal circles to infinitesimal ellipses with eccentricity bounded by K . Note that K -quasiregular maps also satisfy this property but are not required to be global homeomorphisms.

We will construct a K -quasiregular map that equals the base map $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ in the complement of the squares $\{E_n\}_n$ and is a different power map inside each disc D_n , followed by a further quasiconformal map. In the doubly connected sets $\{E_n \setminus D_n\}_n$ we will interpolate the two holomorphic functions defined on the boundaries ∂E_n and ∂D_n by quasiregular maps. In the following lemma we describe these quasiregular interpolating maps, which have a bounded dilatation that is independent of d (see Figure 2).

Lemma 3.2 (Cosh-power Interpolation Lemma). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the square*

$$E := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq 2d\pi, |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2d\pi\}.$$

Define $R := (d - \frac{1}{3})\pi$ and let $D := \mathbb{D}_R$. There exists $K_1 \geq 1$ independent of d and a K_1 -quasiregular map $G : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{2d\pi}$ with $\operatorname{supp} \mu_G \subseteq E \setminus D$ satisfying that $G(-z) = G(z)$, $G(\bar{z}) = \overline{G(z)}$ and

$$G(z) = \begin{cases} 2 \cosh z, & \text{if } z \in \partial E \cup ((E \cap i\mathbb{R}) \setminus D), \\ \left(\frac{z}{R}\right)^{2d}, & \text{if } z \in \overline{D}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Observe that two definitions of G match at the points $z = \pm Ri \in \partial D \cap i\mathbb{R}$ as

$$2 \cosh(\pm Ri) = e^{\pm d\pi i} e^{\mp \frac{\pi}{3} i} + e^{\mp d\pi i} e^{\pm \frac{\pi}{3} i} = (-1)^d = \left(\frac{\pm Ri}{R}\right)^{2d}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 will be given in the Appendix A (see p. 31).




FIGURE 2. The quasiregular map $G : E \setminus D \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{2d\pi}$ from Lemma 3.2 that interpolates $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ on ∂E and $h(z) = (z/R)^{2d}$ on ∂D with $d = 3$.

Remark 3.3. Note that Lemma 3.2 is similar to Bishop's exp-cosh Interpolation Lemma [Bis15, Lemma 7.1], which claims that given a partition of $i\mathbb{R}$ into two collections of intervals \mathcal{J}_1 and \mathcal{J}_2 with endpoints in $i\pi\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a quasiregular mapping $\nu : \mathbb{H}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ such that $\nu(z) = \cosh z$ on \mathcal{J}_1 and $\nu(z) = \exp z$ on \mathcal{J}_2 . Unfortunately, such a quasiregular mapping cannot exist because of the criticality/non-criticality of the maps. In fact, suppose, for example, $\nu(iy) = \exp(iy)$ for $y \geq 0$ and $\nu(iy) = \cosh(iy)$ for $y < 0$ and ν_j is quasiregular in \mathbb{H}_r . If

the local map ν_j near 0 covers the upper half unit disk n times and its complement $(n+1)$ times for some $n \geq 0$, let

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi}{\frac{3\pi}{2}(n+1) + \frac{\pi}{2}n} = \frac{2}{4n+3},$$

then, by composing the branched covering map $w \mapsto (w-1)^\alpha$, $h(z) = (\nu_j(z) - 1)^\alpha$ induces a quasiconformal map onto a smooth Jordan domain near 0, hence it is quasisymmetric. Therefore the Hölder exponents at 0 from both sides must coincide, and this contradicts the fact that \cosh has a critical point at 0, but \exp does not. However, this can be amended by replacing \cosh by a piecewise linear function on $i\mathbb{R}$ that maps $i\pi\mathbb{Z}$ to $\{\pm 1\}$ in the same way that \cosh does as described in Bishop's note¹.

Following the strategy of Bishop, each critical point $ih_n \in D_n$ of $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ will produce a critical value $w_n \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{3/4}$, the position of which will be adjusted to create the oscillating wandering domain. In the following result we show that the position of $w = \rho_w(0)$ can be chosen using a map ρ_w with bounded dilatation. This fact was already used in Bishop's construction; see the discussion on the map ρ in [Bis15, p. 28] or the map ρ_n in [FGJ15, p. 485].

Lemma 3.4. *There exists $K_2 > 1$ such that for all $w \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}$, there exists a K_2 -quasiconformal mapping $\rho_w : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that*

$$\rho_w(z) = \begin{cases} z, & \text{if } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}, \\ z + w, & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{1/8}, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and $\text{supp } \rho_w \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{1/8}$. Moreover the Beltrami coefficient μ_{ρ_w} depends continuously and holomorphically on $w \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}$ in the L^∞ sense.

The proof of Lemma 3.4 will be given in the Appendix A (see p. 34). One can find a sketch of the function ρ_w in Figure 13. Note that $\rho_{\bar{w}}(\bar{z}) = \rho_w(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

From now on we fix $K := \max\{K_1, K_2\}$. We define $\mathbb{N}_N := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_N := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-N+1, \dots, N-1\} = \mathbb{N}_N \cup (-\mathbb{N}_N)$, where the constant $N \in \mathbb{N}$ will be determined in Section 5. Combining the two previous lemmas we can define the K -quasiregular map $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ (see Figure 3).

Definition 3.5 (K -quasiregular map $g_{\mathbf{w}}$). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $d_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R_n, h_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be the quantities given in Definition 2.4. Recall that, for $n \geq 3$, we defined

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pm n} &:= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Re } z| \leq 2d_n\pi, |\text{Im } z \mp h_n| \leq 2d_n\pi\}, \\ D_{\pm n} &:= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z \mp ih_n| < R_n\} \subseteq E_{\pm n}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $G_n : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{2d\pi}$ be the quasiregular mapping from Lemma 3.2 for $d = d_n$ and $R = R_n$. Then we have $E = E_n - ih_n$ and $D = D_n - ih_n$. For every sequence $\mathbf{w} = (w_N, w_{N+1}, w_{N+2}, \dots) \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_N}$, define the function $g_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$g_{\mathbf{w}}(z) := \begin{cases} G_n(z \mp ih_n), & \text{if } z \in E_{\pm n} \setminus D_{\pm n} \text{ with } n \geq N, \\ \rho_{w_n} \circ G_n(z \mp ih_n), & \text{if } z \in D_{\pm n} \text{ with } n \geq N, \\ 2 \cosh z, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Then $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a K -quasiregular map such that

$$\text{supp } \mu_{g_{\mathbf{w}}} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} E_n \setminus \mathbb{D} \left(ih_n, \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{1/(2d_n)} R_n \right),$$

and $g_{\mathbf{w}}(-z) = g_{\mathbf{w}}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.




FIGURE 3. Sketch of the K -quasiregular map $g_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from Definition 3.5.

Definition 3.6 (Entire function $f_{\mathbf{w}}$ and K -quasiconformal map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$). Let $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ be the K -quasiregular map from Definition 3.5. By the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem [Ahl06, Theorem 3 in Chapter V], there exists a unique K -quasiconformal mapping $\phi_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(0) = 0$, $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(1) = 1$ and $\mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}} = \mu_{g_{\mathbf{w}}}$. Then define

$$f_{\mathbf{w}} := g_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

which is an entire function in the class \mathcal{B} with $S(f_{\mathbf{w}}) = \{\pm 2\} \cup \overline{\{w_n\}_n}$.

Remark 3.7. The base function $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ is both even and symmetric with respect to the real line. However, it is not possible to make the modified function $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ have these two properties at the same time. In Definition 3.5, we chose

the function $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ to be even, but not symmetric with respect to \mathbb{R} and therefore $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ (and, consequently, also $f_{\mathbf{w}}$) does not preserve the real line. See Definition 10.1 for an alternative modification $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}$ that is symmetric with respect to \mathbb{R} but not even.

4. ESTIMATES ON QUASICONFORMAL MAPS

In the previous section we constructed a K -quasiregular map $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ and then, using the measurable Riemann mapping theorem, we obtained an entire function $f_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $f_{\mathbf{w}} = g_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}$. Despite we know the function $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ explicitly, in order to be able to prescribe the dynamics of $f_{\mathbf{w}}$, we need to estimate the quasiconformal map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$, which is close to the identity.

Define the cylinder $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}/2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ and its distance $|w|_{\mathcal{C}} = \inf\{|w+2\pi in| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Important estimates on the function $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ will be derived from the following result on quasiconformal maps from [Shi18].

Theorem 4.1 (Key Inequality [Shi18, Lemma 9]). *Given $K > 1$, there exist $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ and $C > 0$ such that if $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a K -quasiconformal map with $f(0) = 0$ and $0 < |z_2| \leq \delta_1 |z_1|$, then*

$$\left| \log \frac{f(z_1)}{z_1} - \log \frac{f(z_2)}{z_2} \right|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq 2C \left(\left| \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mu_f(z) \varphi_{z_1, z_2}(z)}{1 - |\mu_f(z)|^2} dx dy \right| + \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|\mu_f(z)|^2 |\varphi_{z_1, z_2}(z)|}{1 - |\mu_f(z)|^2} dx dy \right),$$

where $\varphi_{z_1, z_2}(z) = \frac{z_1}{z(z-z_1)(z-z_2)}$.

The constants δ_1 , C depend on K and are related to the hyperbolic metric on the twice punctured plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ (see [Shi18, Lemma 5]). In the next corollary we adapt the Key Inequality to the form in which we will use it in this paper.

Corollary 4.2. *Let the constants $K > 1$, $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ and $C > 0$ be as in Theorem 4.1. If $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a K -quasiconformal map and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct points with*

$$0 < |\gamma - \alpha| \leq \delta_1 |\beta - \alpha|, \quad (9)$$

then

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} - \log \frac{\phi(\gamma) - \phi(\alpha)}{\gamma - \alpha} \right|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq C(K-1) \iint_{\text{supp } \mu_{\phi}} \frac{|\beta - \alpha| dx dy}{|(z - \alpha)(z - \beta)(z - \gamma)|}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 4.1 to $f(z) = \phi(z + \alpha) - \phi(\alpha)$ with $z_1 = \beta - \alpha$, $z_2 = \gamma - \alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \log \frac{\phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha)}{\beta - \alpha} - \log \frac{\phi(\gamma) - \phi(\alpha)}{\gamma - \alpha} \right|_{\mathcal{C}} \\ & \leq 2C \left(\left| \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mu_{\phi}(z) \varphi_{z_1, z_2}(z - \alpha)}{1 - |\mu_{\phi}(z)|^2} dx dy \right| + \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|\mu_{\phi}(z)|^2 |\varphi_{z_1, z_2}(z - \alpha)|}{1 - |\mu_{\phi}(z)|^2} dx dy \right) \\ & \leq 2C \iint_{\text{supp } \mu_{\phi}(z)} \frac{|\mu_{\phi}(z)| + |\mu_{\phi}(z)|^2}{1 - |\mu_{\phi}(z)|^2} \frac{|\beta - \alpha| dx dy}{|(z - \alpha)(z - \beta)(z - \gamma)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the result follows from the fact that

$$2 \frac{|\mu_{\phi}(z)| + |\mu_{\phi}(z)|^2}{1 - |\mu_{\phi}(z)|^2} = 2 \frac{|\mu_{\phi}(z)|}{1 - |\mu_{\phi}(z)|} = K_{\phi}(z) - 1 \leq K - 1$$

because ϕ is a K -quasiconformal map. \square

In the rest of this section, we make the following standing assumption.

Assumption 4.3. *Let $K > 1$ be a fixed constant and, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the disc $B_m := \mathbb{D}(\zeta_m, r_m)$ with $\zeta_m \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r_m > 0$. Assume that*

- (i) $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r_m/|\zeta_m| < +\infty$;
- (ii) $|\zeta_m| \geq 4$ and $r_m/|\zeta_m| \leq \min\{\frac{1}{4}, \delta_1\}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ is the constant from Theorem 4.1;
- (iii) there is a K -quasiconformal map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(1) = 1$ and $\text{supp } \mu_\phi \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m$.

The following three lemmas are consequences of Corollary 4.2, and their proofs will be given in the Appendix B. We state the results with large generality (see Figures 4 and 5). Later we will apply them by reindexing the discs $\{3D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ to $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and ϕ will be the quasiconformal map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ from Definition 3.6 (see Lemma 5.1). Note that $3D_{\pm n} = \mathbb{D}(\pm ih_n, 3R_n) \supseteq E_{\pm n}$ for $n \geq N$.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that Assumption 4.3 holds. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_1 = M_1(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\text{supp } \mu_\phi \subseteq \bigcup_{m=M_1}^{\infty} B_m$, then*

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right|_c < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (11)$$

and, in particular,

$$e^{-\varepsilon}|\zeta| < |\phi(\zeta)| < e^\varepsilon|\zeta| \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg \phi(\zeta) - \arg \zeta \pmod{2\pi}| < \varepsilon, \quad (12)$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Observe that it is also possible to show that under the hypothesis of Lemma 4.4, the K -quasiconformal map ϕ is conformal at ∞ , that is, the limit $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\zeta)/\zeta$ exists.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that Assumption 4.3 holds and suppose also that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that if $z \in B_m$ and $z' \in B_{m'}$ with $m \neq m'$, then $|z - z'| \geq C_1 \sqrt{|zz'|}$. For any $0 < \kappa \leq 1$, there exists $C_2 = C_2(\kappa) > 1$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $|\zeta - \zeta_m| = \kappa r_m$, then*

$$\frac{1}{C_2} \kappa r_m \leq |\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta_m)| \leq C_2 \kappa r_m. \quad (13)$$




FIGURE 4. Sketch of Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that Assumption 4.3 holds. For every $0 < \theta < \pi$, there exists $C_3 > 1$ such that if $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies that*

$$B_m \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z - \arg \zeta| < \theta\} = \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$\frac{1}{C_3} \leq |\phi'(\zeta)| \leq C_3. \quad (14)$$

For every $\eta > 0$, there exists $M_2 = M_2(\eta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\text{supp } \mu_\phi \subseteq \sum_{m=M_2}^{\infty} B_m$, then $1 < C_3 < 1 + \eta$.




FIGURE 5. Sketch of Lemma 4.6.

5. DEFINITION OF THE DOMAINS $\{U_n\}_n$ AND THE CENTERS $\{c_n\}_n$

Let $g_{\mathbf{w}}$, $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$, and $f_{\mathbf{w}} = g_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}$ be as in Section 3, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is to be determined in this section. For simplicity, we may omit \mathbf{w} in the notation, that is, we write g, ϕ, f instead of $g_{\mathbf{w}}, \phi_{\mathbf{w}}, f_{\mathbf{w}}$, respectively.

We are going to introduce two collections of domains $U_{n,j}$ and $\widehat{U}_{n,j} = \phi^{-1}(U_{n,j})$, for $n \geq N$ and $0 < j \leq n$, as in the following diagram. We shall think about the quasiconformal map ϕ as a different coordinate. The goal of this section is to define the sets $U_n = U_{n,0}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 \mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}) & \xleftarrow{(\phi \circ g^{-1})^M \circ \phi} & Q_M & \xrightarrow{g} & g(Q_M) & \xleftarrow{\phi} & Q_{M+1} & \xrightarrow{g} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g} & g(Q_{j-1}) & \xleftarrow{\phi} & Q_j & \xrightarrow{g} & g(Q_j) & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \cdots \\
 \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 U_n & \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1} \circ f^M} & \widehat{U}_{n,M} & \xrightarrow{g} & U_{n,M+1} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \widehat{U}_{n,M+1} & \xrightarrow{g} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g} & U_{n,j} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \widehat{U}_{n,j} & \xrightarrow{g} & U_{n,j+1} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \cdots \\
 \psi & & \psi & & \psi & & \psi & & \psi & & \psi & & \psi & & \psi & & \psi \\
 c_n & \longmapsto & \hat{c}_{n,M} & \longmapsto & c_{n,M+1} & \longmapsto & \hat{c}_{n,M+1} & \longmapsto & \cdots & \longmapsto & c_{n,j} & \longmapsto & \hat{c}_{n,j} & \longmapsto & c_{n,j+1} & \longmapsto & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \xleftarrow{\phi} & Q_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{g} & g(Q_{n-1}) & \xleftarrow{\phi} & Q_n & \xrightarrow{g} & g(Q_n) & \supseteq & \phi(\frac{1}{2}D_n) & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \frac{1}{2}D_n & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{D}(w_n, (\frac{1}{2})^{2d_n}) \\
 & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & & & & & \parallel \\
 \dots & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \widehat{U}_{n,n-1} & \xrightarrow{g} & U_{n,n} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \widehat{U}_{n,n} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{D}(\phi(ih_n), R'_n) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{D}(w_n, (\frac{1}{2})^{2d_n}) \\
 & & \Psi & & \Psi & & \Psi & & \Psi & & & & & & \Psi \\
 \dots & \mapsto & \widehat{c}_{n,n-1} & \mapsto & c_{n,n} & \mapsto & \widehat{c}_{n,n} & \mapsto & \phi(ih_n) & \mapsto & w_n
 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 1. The domains $U_{n,j}$ and $\widehat{U}_{n,j}$, for $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. The right hand side of the top part continues in the left hand side of the bottom part.

In this section we use the results from Section 4 with a particular choice of discs $\{B_m\}_m$ and quasiconformal map ϕ . So we need to check that Assumption 4.3 holds. The next lemma follows from Definition 3.6 and the property (4) that we gave in Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 5.1. *There is $N \geq 3$ sufficiently large so that if we define $\{B_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ by reindexing the discs $\{3D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ and let $\phi = \phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ be the K -quasiconformal map from Definition 3.6, then the Assumption 4.3 holds.*

Recall that in Section 2 we considered $M \geq 3$, from this point we fix $M = 3$. The next two lemmas bound the distortion of the map ϕ on some sets by supposing that the constant $N \in \mathbb{N}$ in Definition 3.5 is sufficiently large. The first lemma concerns the initial iterates f^n of $f = g \circ \phi^{-1}$, namely for $n \leq M$, while the second lemma deals with the case $n > M$.

Lemma 5.2. *If $N \geq 3$ in Definition 3.5 is large enough, then $(\phi \circ g^{-1})^M \circ \phi$ maps the rectangle Q_M into the disc $\mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})$.*

Proof. When $\phi = id$, $((\phi \circ g^{-1})^M \circ \phi)(Q_M) = g^{-M}(Q_M) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16})$ by Lemma 2.6. By Lemma 4.4, we can choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that the map ϕ is sufficiently close to the identity on the finite collection of sets $(g^{-1} \circ \phi)^j(Q_M)$ for $0 \leq j \leq M$. So the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 5.3. *If $N \geq 3$ in Definition 3.5 is large enough, then $\phi(Q_{j+1}) \subseteq g(Q_j)$ for $j \geq M$, and $\phi(D_{\pm n}) \subseteq g(Q_n)$ for $n \geq N$.*

Proof. Since $Q_{j+1} \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : x_{j+1} - 1 \leq |z| \leq x_{j+1} + 1 + \pi\}$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_1 = M_1(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\text{supp } \mu_\phi \subseteq \bigcup_{m \geq M_1} B_m$, then

$$\phi(Q_{j+1}) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-\varepsilon}(x_{j+1} - 1) \leq |z| \leq e^\varepsilon(x_{j+1} + 1 + \pi)\},$$

for all $j \geq M$. On the other hand, since $x_j \geq x_M > x_* = \frac{5}{3}$ for $j \geq M$ by Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.1 (iv) implies that

$$g(Q_j) \supseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2}e^{x_j} < |z| < 2e^{x_j}\} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$$

for $j \geq M$. Setting $\varepsilon := \log \frac{3}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain that

$$e^\varepsilon < \frac{2(e^{x_j} + e^{-x_j} - 1)}{e^{x_j}} \quad \text{and} \quad e^\varepsilon < \frac{2e^{x_j}}{e^{x_j} + e^{-x_j} + 1 + \pi}$$

and, for N sufficiently large, we have $\phi(Q_{j+1}) \subseteq g(Q_j) \cup \mathbb{R}_-$ for $j \geq M$. To show that $\phi(Q_{j+1}) \cap \mathbb{R}_- = \emptyset$, observe that $Q_{j+1} \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{6}\}$, and hence by Lemma 4.4, $\phi(Q_{j+1}) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ for all $j \geq M$.

Similarly, we have $D_{\pm n} = \mathbb{D}(\pm ih_n, R_n) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : h_n - R_n \leq |z| \leq h_n + R_n\}$ for $n \geq N$, and, by Lemma 4.4,

$$\phi(D_{\pm n}) \subseteq \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-\varepsilon} \left(x_{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{x_n} \right) - \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \leq |z| \leq e^{\varepsilon} \left(x_{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{x_n} \right) + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right\},$$

for $n \geq N$. It is easy to check that this implies that $\phi(D_{\pm n}) \subseteq g(Q_n) \cup \mathbb{R}_-$ with $\varepsilon = \log \frac{3}{2}$. To show that $\phi(D_{\pm n}) \cap \mathbb{R}_- = \emptyset$, observe that

$$D_{\pm n} \subseteq \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \arg z \mp \frac{\pi}{2} \right| < \arcsin \frac{R_n}{h_n} \right\},$$

and by Lemma 2.5, $R_n/h_n < 1/(n!)^2 \geq \frac{\pi}{18}$ for $n \geq 3$ and so $\arcsin(R_n/h_n) < \frac{\pi}{12}$ for $n \geq 3$. Then, by Lemma 4.4, for N large enough, we have

$$\phi(D_{\pm n}) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z \mp \frac{\pi}{2}| < \frac{\pi}{12} + \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{4}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-,$$

and therefore $\phi(D_{\pm n}) \subseteq g(Q_n)$ for all $n \geq N$ as we wanted to prove. \square

We now fix $N \geq 3$ sufficiently large so that Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 are satisfied. In particular, from here onwards the set $\text{supp } \mu_{g_{\mathbf{w}}}$ is also fixed and the map $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ (and also $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}, f_{\mathbf{w}}$) depends only on the choice of the sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_N}$, where $\mathbb{N}_N := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, \dots, N-1\}$.

Recall that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $D = \mathbb{D}(z_0, R)$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $R > 0$, then we use the notation $\lambda D := \mathbb{D}(z_0, \lambda R)$.

Lemma 5.4. *There exists $C_4 > 0$ such that if we set $R'_n := C_4 R_n$ for all $n \geq N$, then $\mathbb{D}(\phi(\pm ih_n), R'_n) \subseteq \phi(\frac{1}{2} D_{\pm n})$ for all $n \geq N$.*

Proof. Define $C_1 := \frac{1}{2}$, we want to show that if $z_1 \in B_{m_1}$ and $z_2 \in B_{m_2}$ with $1 \leq m_1 < m_2$, then $|z_2 - z_1| \geq C_1 \sqrt{|z_1 z_2|}$. If m_1 and m_2 have different parity, this is clear. Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that m_1, m_2 are both odd. Lemma 2.5 implies that if N is large enough

$$|z_2 - z_1| \geq (h_{n_2} - 3R_{n_2}) - (h_{n_2-1} + 3R_{n_2-1}) \geq C_1(h_{n_2} + 3R_{n_2}) \geq C_1 \max\{|z_1|, |z_2|\}$$

as required, where $n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ is the value that corresponded to $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ before reindexing. Thus, by Lemma 4.5, for $\kappa := \frac{1}{6}$, there exists $C_2 = C_2(\kappa) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{D}(\phi(\zeta_m), \kappa r_m / C_2) \subseteq \phi(\kappa B_m), \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\kappa r_m = 3\kappa R_n = \frac{1}{2} R_n$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, putting $C_4 := 3\kappa / C_2$ we obtain that $\mathbb{D}(\phi(\pm ih_n), R'_n) \subseteq \phi(\frac{1}{2} D_{\pm n})$ for all $n \geq N$. \square

We are now ready to define the domains in the middle line of the Diagram 1.

Definition 5.5 (Domains $\{U_n\}_n$). For every $n \geq N$, define the set

$$\widehat{U}_{n,n} := g^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(\phi(ih_n), R'_n)) \subseteq Q_n,$$

which is well defined by Lemmas 2.1, 5.3 and 5.4. Then, for $n \geq j \geq M + 1$, we can define recursively

$$U_{n,j} := \phi(\widehat{U}_{n,j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{U}_{n,j-1} := g^{-1}(U_{n,j}) \subseteq Q_{j-1},$$

where the inclusion is guaranteed by Lemma 5.3. Finally, let

$$U_n := (\phi \circ g^{-1})^M \circ \phi(\widehat{U}_{n,M}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}\right), \quad \text{for } n \geq N,$$

by Lemma 5.2.

Keeping a similar notation as in [Bis15], the sets $\{U_n\}_n$ will be contained in the grand orbit of the oscillating wandering domain (see Figure 6). Note that the set $f^{n+1}(U_n)$ in Bishop's notation corresponds to the set $f^{n+2}(U_n)$ in our notation.




FIGURE 6. The iterates of the domains \widehat{U}_n from Definition 5.5 by the function $\widehat{f}_{\mathbf{w}} = \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1} \circ g_{\mathbf{w}}$ which is conjugated to $f_{\mathbf{w}}$ by the map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$.

We now need to show that every domain U_n contains a disc $\mathbb{D}(c_n, \rho_n)$ with not too small radius $\rho_n > 0$. We first define the points $\{c_n\}_n$ that we call the centers of $\{U_n\}_n$.

Definition 5.6 (Centers $\{c_n\}_n$). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the point

$$\widehat{c}_{n,n}(\mathbf{w}) := g^{-1}(\phi(ih_n)) \in \widehat{U}_{n,n}$$

and, for $n \geq j \geq M + 1$, define recursively

$$c_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}) := \phi(\widehat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w})) \in U_{n,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{c}_{n,j-1}(\mathbf{w}) := g^{-1}(c_{n,j}(\mathbf{w})) \in Q_{j-1}.$$

Finally, we put

$$c_n = c_n(\mathbf{w}) := (\phi \circ g^{-1})^M \circ \phi(\hat{c}_{n,M}(\mathbf{w})) \in U_n, \quad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

Note that the maps in the middle row of Diagram 1, such as $g : \widehat{U}_{n,j} \rightarrow U_{n,j+1}$ and $\phi : \widehat{U}_{n,j} \rightarrow U_{n,j}$, are all conformal isomorphisms. In the top row, the maps $g : Q_j \rightarrow g(Q_j)$ are also conformal isomorphisms and independent of $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ except the last one, from $\frac{1}{2}D_n$, on which g is analytic and depends on w_n . The maps of the form $\phi : Q_j \rightarrow g(Q_{j-1})$ in the top row are also holomorphic, as Q_j is disjoint from $\text{supp } \mu_g$, but not surjective. We would like to emphasize that all the domains depend on \mathbf{w} , and we may write $U_n(\mathbf{w})$.

Remark 5.7. It follows from Lemma 4.6 and the expansivity of the function g on the rectangles $\{Q_n\}_n$ that $|\hat{c}_{n+1,n} - \hat{c}_{n,n}|$ is bounded and hence $|c_{n+1} - c_n| \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so the sequence of critical values $\{w_n\}_n$ converges to a point $w_\infty \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})$.

Although the function that maps each sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ to the Beltrami coefficient $\mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is not continuous, we can still prove the following.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ denote the space of all continuous maps from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{C} . The mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N} &\longrightarrow C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \\ \mathbf{w} &\longmapsto \phi_{\mathbf{w}} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous, where we use the product topology in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ and we use the compact open topology in $C(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. As a consequence, for each $n \geq N$, the center $c_n(\mathbf{w})$ depends continuously on the sequence \mathbf{w} , and the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N} &\longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N} \\ \mathbf{w} &\longmapsto (c_{N+1}(\mathbf{w}), c_{N+2}(\mathbf{w}), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous with respect to the product topology.

We give the proof at the end of Appendix B (see p. 38).

6. INNER RADIUS OF U_n AND DERIVATIVES ALONG THE ORBIT OF c_n

In order to create the oscillating wandering domain, we will prove that for some choice of $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_N}$, there exists $N_1 \geq N$ so that

$$f^{n+2}(U_n) \subseteq U_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_1,$$

and hence the domains $\{U_n\}_n$ are contained in the orbit of the wandering domain for $n \geq N_1$. To that end, first we need to make sure that U_n contains a disc with center $c_n(\mathbf{w})$ and sufficiently large radius $\rho_n > 0$ so that

$$f\left(\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}D_n\right)\right) = g\left(\frac{1}{2}D_n\right) = \mathbb{D}\left(w_n, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2d_n}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w}), \rho_{n+1}) \subseteq U_{n+1},$$

for $n \geq N_1$. Then, in the next section we will do the second part of this process, that consists on choosing the sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_N}$.

We start by giving positive numbers $\{\rho_n\}_n$ with the property that $\mathbb{D}(c_n(\mathbf{w}), \rho_n) \subseteq U_n$ for all $n \geq N$. In other words, ρ_n is a lower bound of the inner radius of the domain U_n with center at c_n for $n \geq N$.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that if we define*

$$\rho_n := \exp \left(-nC_5 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j - x_{n-1} \right), \quad \text{for } n \geq N, \quad (15)$$

then $\mathbb{D}(c_n(\mathbf{w}), \rho_n) \subseteq U_n$ for all $n \geq N$.

Proof. Let $\Psi := (f^{n+1}|_{U_n})^{-1}$ which is a univalent mapping from $\mathbb{D}(\phi(ih_n), R'_n)$ onto U_n , sending the center $\phi(ih_n)$ to $c_n(\mathbf{w})$. By the Koebe 1/4-Theorem [Pom75, Corollary 1.4], U_n contains a disc with center $c_n(\mathbf{w})$ and radius $\frac{1}{4}|\Psi'(\phi(ih_n))|R'_n$. So we want to show that $\rho_n \leq \frac{1}{4}|\Psi'(\phi(ih_n))|R'_n$ for all $n \geq N$.

By the chain rule, we have

$$\frac{1}{\Psi'(\phi(ih_n))} = (f^{n+1})'(c_n(\mathbf{w})) = ((g \circ \phi^{-1})^M)'(c_n(\mathbf{w})) \cdot \prod_{j=M}^n g'(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w})) \cdot \frac{1}{\phi'(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))}. \quad (16)$$

Since $\log g'(z) = z + \log(1 - e^{-2z})$ for the principal branch of \log , we have, for $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$,

$$|\log |g'(z)| - \operatorname{Re} z| \leq |\log g'(z) - z| = |\log(1 - e^{-2z})| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|e^{-2z}|^m}{m} \leq \frac{e^{-2\operatorname{Re} z}}{1 - e^{-2\operatorname{Re} z}}.$$

So for $j \geq M$, $\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}) \in Q_j$ and $\operatorname{Re} \hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}) \geq 1$, hence $\log |g'(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))| \leq x_j + 2$. On the other hand, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$B_m \subseteq \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \arg z - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| < \arcsin \frac{r_m}{|\zeta_m|} \right\}$$

and by inequality (2) in Lemma 2.5, we have $r_m/|\zeta_m| < \frac{\pi}{6}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\arcsin(r_m/|\zeta_m|) < \frac{\pi}{4}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{6}$ for all $z \in Q_j$ with $j \geq M$, Lemma 4.6 with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{12}$ implies that for $j \geq M$, $\log |\phi'(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))|$ is bounded by some constant. Finally, since $c_n(\mathbf{w})$ stays in a bounded region, $\log |((g \circ \phi^{-1})^M)'(\hat{c}_n(\mathbf{w}))|$ is bounded by some other constant.

As $R'_n = \lambda R_n$ and $\log R_n = \log \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \sim x_n - x_{n-1}$ up to a constant. We obtain that there is $C_5 > 0$ such that

$$\log \rho_n = -nC_5 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j - x_{n-1} \leq \sum_{j=M}^n (\log |\phi'(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))| - \log |g'(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))|) + \log \frac{1}{4} + \log R'_n,$$

which implies our assertion. Note that the crucial point here is that the term x_n from $\log R'_n$ cancels with the term x_n coming from $\log |g'(\hat{c}_{n,n}(\mathbf{w}))|$ in the sum. \square

Next, we check that there is a constant $N_1 \geq N$ so that the degrees $\{2d_n\}_n$ given in Definition 2.4 are sufficiently large that $\operatorname{diam}(f_{\mathbf{w}}(\frac{1}{2}D_n)) < 2\rho_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq N_1$.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists $N_1 \geq N$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2d_n} < \rho_{n+1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq N_1. \quad (17)$$

In particular, if $w_n = c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w})$ for $n \geq N_1$, then $f_{\mathbf{w}}^{n+2}(U_n) \subseteq U_{n+1}$ for $n \geq N_1$.

Proof. The left hand side of the inequality satisfies

$$\log\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2d_n}\right) = -2d_n \log 2 = -2\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \log 2 + O(1), \quad \text{for } n \geq N,$$

while the right hand side satisfies

$$\log \rho_{n+1} = -(n+1)C_5 - \sum_{j=0}^n x_j - x_n, \quad \text{for } n \geq N,$$

where $C_5 > 0$ is the constant from Lemma 6.1. Since $x_{n+1} > e^{x_n} > 6x_n^2$ for all $n \geq 3$, and therefore there exists $N_1 \geq N$ such that

$$\log\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2d_n}\right) < -\frac{x_{n+1}}{2x_n} < -3x_n < \log \rho_{n+1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq N_1,$$

as required. If $w_n = c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w})$ for $n \geq N_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{w}}^{n+2}(U_n) &= f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbb{D}(\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(ih_n), R'_n)) \subseteq f_{\mathbf{w}}(\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\tfrac{1}{2}D_n)) = g_{\mathbf{w}}(\tfrac{1}{2}D_n) = \mathbb{D}(w_n, \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2d_n}) \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{D}(c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w}), \rho_{n+1}) \subseteq U_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq N_1$. □

7. SIMULTANEOUS SHOOTING PROBLEM

It only remains to solve the equation $w_n = c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w})$. Observe that as we vary the parameters $\mathbf{w} = (w_n)_n$, the sequence $(c_n(\mathbf{w}))_n$ also moves, but more slowly. Recall that throughout the paper we use the notation $\mathbb{N}_N = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, where the constant $N \in \mathbb{N}$ was defined in Section 5.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists $\mathbf{w} = (w_N, w_{N+1}, \dots) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ such that*

$$w_n = c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w}), \quad \text{for } n \geq N. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Fix $T \geq N$ and take $\mathbf{w}'' = (w_{T+1}, w_{T+2}, \dots) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_{T+1}}$. For example, we can just set \mathbf{w}'' to be the constant sequence $w_j = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $j > T$. We first try to solve the finite shooting problem for

$$\mathbf{w}' = (w_N, w_{N+1}, \dots, w_T) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N \setminus \mathbb{N}_{T+1}}.$$

Writing $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{w}'') = (w_N, \dots) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$, by Lemma 5.8, the function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N \setminus \mathbb{N}_{T+1}} &\longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N \setminus \mathbb{N}_{T+1}} \\ \mathbf{w}' &\longmapsto (c_{N+1}(\mathbf{w}), \dots, c_{T+1}(\mathbf{w})) \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous mapping from a $(T - N + 1)$ -dimensional closed polydisc to itself. By Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem, this map has a fixed point, which is a solution of the equation

$$w_n = c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w}), \quad \text{for } N \leq n \leq T.$$

Denote one of these solutions by \mathbf{w}_T . By the compactness of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$, we can find a convergent subsequence $\mathbf{w}_{T_k} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 5.8, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_\infty$ is a solution for $w_n = c_{n+1}(\mathbf{w})$ for $n \geq N$. \square

Remark 7.2. When $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ is constructed so that $\mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}}$ is holomorphic with respect to w_n for $n \geq N$ (as in Definition 3.5), one can also use Rouché's Theorem repeatedly, one coordinate at a time. In that case we obtain that the solution is unique.

8. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Most of the work has already been done in the previous sections, but it remains to check that indeed the sets $\{U_n\}_n$ are contained in the grand orbit of a wandering domain U of f for all $n \geq N_1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Take the sequence $\mathbf{w} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ as in Lemma 7.1, and consider $f = f_{\mathbf{w}}$. By Lemma 6.2, $f^{n+2}(U_n) \subseteq U_{n+1}$ for $n \geq N_1$. So it follows by induction that

$$f^{kN_1 + \frac{k(k+3)}{2}}(U_{N_1}) \subseteq U_{N_1+k} \subseteq \mathbb{D}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}\right), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (19)$$

This means that any point in U_{N_1} has bounded derivatives for $f^{kN_1 + \frac{k(k+3)}{2}}$, hence cannot be a repelling periodic point. Therefore U_{N_1} is contained in the Fatou set, let U be the Fatou component that contains U_{N_1} .

Let us show that U is a wandering domain. Assume to the contrary that U is an eventually periodic Fatou component. Then (19) implies that there exists a finite limit function $l = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{n_k}$ on U_{N_1} . Since functions in the class \mathcal{B} have no escaping Fatou components [EL92], ∞ cannot be a limit function, and by the classification of periodic Fatou components (see [Ber93, Section 4.2]), all other possible limit functions are bounded on $U_{N_1} \subseteq \mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})$. On the other hand,

$$f^{kN_1 + \frac{k(k+3)}{2} + N_1 + k + 1}(U_{N_1}) \subseteq f^{N_1 + k + 1}(U_{N_1+k}) \subseteq \phi(D_{N_1+k}), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (20)$$

and, by Lemma 5.3, $\phi(D_{N_1+k}) \subseteq g(Q_{N_1+k}) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}(0, e^{x_{N_1+k-1}}/2)$ and we have $x_{N_1+k} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction. Therefore U is a wandering domain, which must be oscillating by (19) and (20).

It remains to check that the order of f is 1. By Lemma 4.4, it suffices to check that $g = g_{\mathbf{w}}$ has order 1. Suppose that the circle of radius $r > 0$ intersects the set E_n , so $h_n - 2d_n\pi < r < h_n + 3R_n$. The image of the arc that is contained in E_n is mapped inside the ellipse $\mathcal{E}_{2d_n\pi} \subseteq \mathbb{D}(0, 2 \cosh(2d_n\pi))$ by g . But we have $g(r) > 2 \cosh(h_n - 2d_n\pi) > 2 \cosh(2d_n\pi)$ as $h_n > 4d_n\pi$ for all $n \geq 3$ by Lemma 2.5. So the function $M(r, g_{\mathbf{w}}) = \max_{|z|=r} |g_{\mathbf{w}}(z)| = M(r, 2 \cosh)$ for all sufficiently large $r > 0$. Hence, $\rho(g_{\mathbf{w}}) = \rho(\cosh) = 1$, and this ends the proof of the main theorem. \square

9. GENERALISATION TO ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $p/2$

In this section we modify the previous construction to obtain functions of order $p/2$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$. It is of particular interest the case $p = 1$ which give a function of order equal to one half. Recall that functions in the class \mathcal{B} have lower order (and hence also order) greater or equal to one half [Hei48]. Therefore, the example that we provide here with $p = 1$ has the lowest possible order in the class \mathcal{B} .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Our model map is now

$$\check{g}_p(z) := 2 \cosh(z^{\frac{p}{2}}), \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (21)$$

Note that even if p is an odd integer, g_p is well-defined because $\cosh z$ is an even function. The reference orbit is now

$$\xi_0 := \frac{1}{2}, \quad \xi_n := \check{g}_p^n(\xi_0), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (22)$$

and the new critical orbit is given by $v_0 := 0$ and $v_n := \check{g}_p^n(v_0)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set

$$x_n := \xi_n^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (23)$$

Then, $\xi_{n+1} = 2 \cosh x_n = e^{x_n}(1 + o(1))$ with $\xi_{n+1} \geq e^{x_n}$ and $x_{n+1} = \xi_{n+1}^{\frac{p}{2}} \geq e^{\frac{p}{2}x_n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus, the sequence $(x_n)_n$ also has a fast growth as in Lemma 2.5. We use $(x_n)_n$ to define the quantities d_n , R_n and h_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as in Section 2. We use exactly the same function $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ as before, which is an even function, and define

$$g_{p,\mathbf{w}}(z) := g_{\mathbf{w}}(z^{\frac{p}{2}}), \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (24)$$

Let $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ be the K -quasiconformal mapping with $\mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}} = \mu_{g_{p,\mathbf{w}}}$ normalised with $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(0) = 0$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(1) = 1$, and define the entire function $f_{p,\mathbf{w}} := g_{p,\mathbf{w}} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}$. At this point one could redo the computations that we did for the function $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ for the function $g_{p,\mathbf{w}}$, but we choose a different approach in order to use as much as possible of what we know about $g_{\mathbf{w}}$. The Beltrami coefficient $\mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}}$ is the pull-back of $\mu_{g_{\mathbf{w}}}$ by the map $z \mapsto z^{\frac{p}{2}}$. In Diagram 1, we replace the quasiconformal map $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ by

$$\psi_{\mathbf{w}}(z) := \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(z^{\frac{2}{p}}), \quad (25)$$

which is defined for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$ and, for $p > 2$, we use the branch of the multivalued map $z \mapsto z^{\frac{2}{p}}$ with image $A_p := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \frac{2\pi}{p}\}$ (see Diagram 2).




DIAGRAM 2. The maps from the construction in Theorem 1.2.

The entire function $f_{p,\mathbf{w}}$ extends the composition of the three maps in the central row, namely

$$f_{p,\mathbf{w}} = g_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \psi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1} = g_{p,\mathbf{w}} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}. \quad (26)$$

A derivative estimate shows that if $|\arg \zeta| \leq \pi/2$ and $r/|\zeta| < 1/4$, then the aforementioned branch of $z^{2/p}$ maps $\mathbb{D}(\zeta, r)$ into $\mathbb{D}(\zeta^{2/p}, c|\zeta|^{2/p-1}r)$ with $c = \frac{2}{p}(3/4)^{2/p-1}$ (if $p \geq 2$) and $c = 5/2$ (if $p = 1$). The inverse images of $\pm ih_n$ by the map $z \mapsto z^{p/2}$ are the p -th roots of $-h_n^2$, which can be written as $\zeta_{n,\ell} = \omega^{2\ell+1}(h_n)^{2/p} = \omega^{2\ell+1}\xi_{n+1}(1 + o(1))$, where $\omega = e^{\pi i/p}$, for $0 \leq \ell \leq p-1$. The support of $\mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}}$ is contained in discs $B_m = \mathbb{D}(\zeta_{n,\ell}, r_n)$, where

$$r_n = c(h_n)^{2/p-1}3R_n = 3c \frac{x_{n+1}^{2/p}}{x_n}(1 + o(1)) = 3c \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{x_n}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From Lemma 2.5, the discs $\{B_m\}_m$ also satisfy the estimates required for Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6. In particular, $\psi_{\mathbf{w}}(\frac{1}{2}D_n)$ contains a disc centered at $\psi_{\mathbf{w}}(ih_n) = \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta_{n,0})$ of radius $R_n'' = C_4' \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{x_n}$ for all $n \geq N$.

Let us suppose $p \geq 2$. Then we take $Q_j = Q(x_j)$ as before, then $g(Q_j)$ contains the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2}e^{x_j} < |z| < 2e^{x_j}\} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$, while the image of Q_{j+1} by the map $z \mapsto z^{2/p}$ is contained in the disc $\mathbb{D}(x_{j+1}^{2/p}, cx_{j+1}^{2/p-1}(1+\pi)) = \mathbb{D}(\xi_{j+1}, c\frac{\xi_{j+1}}{x_{j+1}}(1+\pi))$. Since $\xi_{j+1} = e^{x_j}(1+o(1))$, we have $\psi_{\mathbf{w}}(Q_{j+1}) \subseteq g(Q_j)$ for $j \geq M$ by Lemma 4.4. Similarly, from $\zeta_{n,0} = \omega e^{x_n}(1+o(1))$, we have $\psi_{\mathbf{w}}(D_n) \subseteq g(Q_n)$ for $n \geq N$.

For $p = 1$, we make a special modification: for $n \geq M$, we replace the rectangle Q_n by $Q_n' = Q'(x_n) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re} z - x_n| < 1, -\frac{\pi}{2} < \operatorname{Im} z < \frac{3\pi}{2}\}$ so that $g(Q_n')$ covers $\psi(D_n)$, which in this case is near the negative real axis. It is immediate to check that in this case we still have that $\psi_{\mathbf{w}}(Q_{j+1}') \subseteq g(Q_j')$ for $j \geq M$ and $\psi_{\mathbf{w}}(D_n) \subseteq g(Q_n')$ for $n \geq N$.

Let $\Psi = (f^{n+1}|_{U_n})^{-1} : \mathbb{D}(\psi(ih_n), R_n'') \rightarrow U_n$ for any $n \geq N$. Since

$$\psi'_{\mathbf{w}}(z) = \phi'_{\mathbf{w}}(z^{2/p}) \frac{2}{p} z^{\frac{2}{p}-1}$$

and, by Lemma 4.6, $\log |\phi'_{\mathbf{w}}(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w})^{2/p})|$ is bounded, we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\frac{1}{4} |\Psi'(\psi(ih_n))| R_n'' \right) &= \sum_{j=0}^n (\log |\psi'_{\mathbf{w}}(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))| - \log |g'_{\mathbf{w}}(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))|) + \log R_n'' \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \log |\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w})| - \log |g'_{\mathbf{w}}(\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}))| \right) + \log R_n'' + O(n). \end{aligned}$$

As $\hat{c}_{n,j}(\mathbf{w}) \in Q(x_j)$ and $\log x_j = \frac{p}{2} \log \xi_j$ and $\log \xi_j = x_{j-1} + o(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\frac{1}{4} |\Psi'(\psi(ih_n))| R_n'' \right) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \log x_j - x_j \right) + \log \left(C'' \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{x_n} \right) + O(n) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left(1 - \frac{p}{2} \right) x_{j-1} - x_j \right) + x_n - \frac{p}{2} x_{n-1} + O(n) \\ &= -\frac{p}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j - \frac{p}{2} x_{n-1} + O(n). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

In Lemma 6.1, instead of the inner radius $\{\rho_n\}_n$, we can take

$$\rho_n' := \exp \left(-nC_5' - \frac{p}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} x_j - px_{n-1} \right), \quad \text{for } n \geq N, \tag{28}$$

for some constant C_5' , and then the rest of argument goes similarly with $d_n = \lfloor \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \rfloor$ for $n \geq N$. \square

Remark 9.1. Mihaljević-Brandt and Rempe-Gillen [MR13, Theorem 6.1] proved that if $f \in \mathcal{B}$ has order $\rho(f) < 1$, $f(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and all the zeros of f lie in the negative real line, then f does not have wandering domains. When $p = 1$, our construction provides a function $f_{1,\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{B}$ that is real and has order $\rho(f_{1,\mathbf{w}}) = 1/2$.

However, despite the zeros of the base function $\check{g}_1(z) := 2 \cosh(z^{\frac{1}{2}})$ lie in the negative real line, this does not contradict our result as the zeros of $f_{1,\mathbf{w}}$, which are the image by $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ of the zeros of $g_{1,\mathbf{w}}$, are not in the negative real line.

10. REAL-SYMMETRIC VERSION OF THE CONSTRUCTION

As we mentioned in the introduction, the base function $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ is both even and symmetric with the real line. However, the modified function $g_{\mathbf{w}}$ is even but not real-symmetric. To construct functions with an arbitrary number of grand orbits of wandering domains, it is more convenient to use a slightly different modification $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}$ of g that is symmetric with respect to \mathbb{R} (but not even).

Definition 10.1 (K -quasiregular map $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}$). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $d_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R_n, h_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be the numbers given in Definition 2.4 and, for $n \geq 3$, let the sets $E_{\pm n}$, $D_{\pm n}$ and the function $G_n : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{2d_n}$ be as in Definition 3.5. For every sequence $\mathbf{w} = (w_N, w_{N+1}, w_{N+2}, \dots) \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_N}$, define the function $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}(z) := \begin{cases} G_n(z \mp ih_n), & \text{if } z \in E_{\pm n} \setminus D_{\pm n} \text{ with } n \geq N, \\ \rho_{w_n} \circ G_n(z - ih_n), & \text{if } z \in D_n \text{ with } n \geq N, \\ \rho_{\overline{w_n}} \circ G_n(z + ih_n), & \text{if } z \in D_n \text{ with } n \leq -N, \\ 2 \cosh z, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Then $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a K -quasiregular map such that

$$\text{supp } \mu_{\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} E_n \setminus \mathbb{D} \left(ih_n, \left(\frac{1}{8} \right)^{1/(2d_n)} R_n \right),$$

and $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}(\bar{z}) = \overline{\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 10.2 (Entire function $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$ and K -quasiconformal map $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}$). Let $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}$ be the K -quasiregular map from Definition 10.1. By the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem [Ahl06, Theorem 3], there exists a unique K -quasiconformal mapping $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(0) = 0$, $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(1) = 1$ and $\mu_{\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}} = \mu_{\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}}$. Then define

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}} := \tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}, \quad (30)$$

which is an entire function in the class \mathcal{B} with $S(f_{\mathbf{w}}) = \{\pm 2\} \cup \overline{\{w_n, \overline{w_n}\}_n}$. Since $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}$ (and, in particular, $\mu_{\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}}$) is symmetric with respect to the real line, it follows that $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}$ preserves the real line (see [BF14, Exercise 1.4.1]), and so does $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Recall that in Lemma 2.3 we showed that the base map $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ satisfies $\mathbb{R} \subseteq I(g) \subseteq J(g)$. We now prove that the same holds for the function $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$ for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ provided that $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough.

Lemma 10.3. *The functions $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$ are symmetric with respect to the real line. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ in Definition 10.1 is sufficiently large, then $\mathbb{R} \subseteq I(\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}) \subseteq J(\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}})$.*

Proof. To show that $\mathbb{R} \subseteq I(\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}})$, recall that, by Lemma 4.6, we know there exists $C_3 > 1$ such that

$$(\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1})'(x) \geq \frac{1}{C_3}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and we can make this constant be arbitrarily close to 1 by choosing N sufficiently large. In particular, we can put $\eta = 1$ in Lemma 4.6 and choose $N \geq M_2(\eta)$ so that $1 < C_3 < 2$. Then,

$$\tilde{f}'_{\mathbf{w}}(x) - 1 = 2 \sinh(\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}(x))(\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1})'(x) - 1 \geq \frac{2x}{C_3^2} - 1 > 0, \quad \text{for } x > 2,$$

and since

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}(2) - 2 \geq 2 \cosh(1) - 2 > 1,$$

arguing as in Lemma 2.3, we obtain that $\mathbb{R} \subseteq I(\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}) \subseteq J(\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}})$. \square

Lemma 10.4. *The curves $L_k := \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z = k\pi\})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are a subset of $J(\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}})$. For every different $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the discs $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(ih_{n_1}), R'_{n_1})$ and $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(ih_{n_2}), R'_{n_2})$ lie in different complementary components of L_k .*

Proof. Recall that by Lemma 5.4, $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(ih_n), R'_n) \subseteq \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(\frac{1}{2}D_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. The result follows from the fact that $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a plane homeomorphism and that there is a line of the form $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z = k\pi\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ that separates $\frac{1}{2}D_{n_1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}D_{n_2}$. \square

11. FUNCTIONS WITH ANY NUMBER OF WANDERING DOMAINS

Now we prove Theorem 1.3, which says that we can obtain functions of finite order in the class \mathcal{B} with $2q$ grand orbits of oscillating wandering domains for any $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We use the \mathbb{R} -symmetric version of the construction introduced in the previous section. The strategy consists of introducing a sequence of sets $\{E_n^j\}_{n \geq 3+j}$ for each $0 \leq j < q$ that creates a new grand orbit of wandering domains (see Figure 7). We can do this because the distance between the original sets E_n and E_{n+1} tends to infinity sufficiently fast and also because $g(Q_n)$ is much larger than E_n . To prove that the resulting function has no other wandering domains apart from the ones given by the construction we follow [FGJ15, Theorem A].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $d_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_n \in 2\pi\mathbb{N}$ and $R_n > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in Definition 2.4. Then, for $n \geq 3$ and $0 \leq j < n - 2$, put

$$h_n^j := h_n + j6R_n \tag{31}$$

so that $h_n^0 = h_n$ and $h_n^j - h_n = j6R_n = j(6d_n\pi - 2\pi) \in 2\pi\mathbb{N}$. For $n \geq 3$ and $0 \leq j < \min\{n - 2, q\}$, define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pm n}^j &:= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Re } z| < 2d_n\pi, |\text{Im } z \mp h_n^j| < 2d_n\pi\} = E_{\pm n} \pm j6R_n, \\ D_{\pm n}^j &:= \mathbb{D}(\pm ih_n^j, R_n) = D_{\pm n} \pm j6R_n \subseteq E_{\pm n}^j. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Observe that at the level $n = 3$ we only have the square $E_3^0 = E_3$, when $n = 4$ we have $E_4^0 = E_4$ and $E_4^1 = E_4 + 6R_4$, and we keep adding one square at a time as we increase n . Since $h_{n+1} - 3R_{n+1} > h_n + 3R_n + 6nR_n$ by the inequality (3) in Lemma 2.5, the squares $\{E_{\pm n}^j\}_{n,j}$ are all disjoint (see Figure 7).

For $0 \leq j < q$, define $N_j := \max\{N, 3 + j\}$ and let

$$\mathbf{w}^j = (w_{N_j}^j, w_{N_j+1}^j, \dots) \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}^{\mathbb{N}_{N_j}}. \tag{33}$$

Then, we write $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^0, \mathbf{w}^1, \dots)$. In this case we define the function $\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}$ as in Definition 10.1 but with more squares. For $N \geq 3$, define

$$\tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}}(z) := \begin{cases} G_n(z \mp ih_n^j), & \text{if } z \in E_{\pm n}^j \setminus D_{\pm n}^j \text{ with } n \geq N, 0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}, \\ \rho_{w_n^j} \circ G_n(z - ih_n^j), & \text{if } z \in D_n^j \text{ with } n \geq N, 0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}, \\ \rho_{\overline{w_n^j}} \circ G_n(z + ih_n^j), & \text{if } z \in D_n^j \text{ with } n \leq -N, 0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}, \\ 2 \cosh z, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

where $G_n : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{2d\pi}$ is the quasiregular mapping from Lemma 3.2 for $d = d_n$ and $R = R_n$. Then, the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem gives an entire function $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$ and quasiregular map $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}} = \tilde{g}_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}$.

There is $N \geq 3$ sufficiently large such that if we define

$$B_m := \mathbb{D}(ih_n, h_n^{n-3} - h_n + 3R_n), \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (35)$$

where, after reindexing, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponds to $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ as before. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we have $E_n^j \subseteq B_m$ for all $0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}$ and, by inequality (3) in Lemma 2.5, the discs $\{B_m\}_m$ and the K -quasiconformal map $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}$ satisfy the Assumption 4.3.




FIGURE 7. Sketch of the squares $\{E_n^j\}_{n,j}$ and one possible indexing of the discs $\{B_m\}_m$ for $N = 3$ in the construction of Theorem 1.3.

Since the size of the discs $\{B_m\}_m$ is larger now, we may need to increase the value of N . But it is clear that after that, $\phi(Q_{j+1}) \subseteq g(Q_j)$ for all $j \geq M$ and also $\phi(\frac{1}{2}D_{\pm n}^j) \supseteq \mathbb{D}(\phi(\pm ih_n^j), R'_n)$ for all $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}$ (the values of R'_n may be different from before). We need to check that $\phi(D_{\pm n}^j) \subseteq g(Q_n)$ for all $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}$. This follows from the fact that by Lemma 2.5,

$$e^\varepsilon(h_n^{n-3} + 3R_n) = \frac{3}{2}(h_n + 6(n-3)R_n + 3R_n) < 2x_{n+1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq N,$$

where $\varepsilon = \log \frac{3}{2}$ as in the proof of Lemma 5.3. It follows that, for $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}$, we can define a domain U_n^j in the same way that we defined the domain U_n in Definition 5.5 but replacing the disc $\mathbb{D}(\phi(ih_n), R'_n)$ by $\mathbb{D}(\phi(ih_n^j), R'_n)$. Similarly, we can define the centers $c_n^j(\mathbf{w}) \in U_n^j$ for $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}$. The estimates from Lemma 6.1 show that $\mathbb{D}(c_n^j(\mathbf{w}), \rho_n) \subseteq U_n^j$ for all $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq j < \min\{n-2, q\}$. Finally, we can solve the simultaneous shooting problem

$$w_n^j = c_{n+1}^j(\mathbf{w}), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < q \text{ and } n \geq N_j := \max\{N, 3+j\}, \quad (36)$$

as in Lemma 7.1. Hence, there exists $N_1 \geq N$ as in Lemma 6.2 such that

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{n+2}(U_n^j) \subseteq U_{n+1}^j, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < q \text{ and } n \geq N'_j := \max\{N_1, 3+j\}. \quad (37)$$

To show that the sets U_n^j are contained in different Fatou components, we use the fact that $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}(U_n^j) \subseteq \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(\frac{1}{2}D_n^j)$ for all $0 \leq j < q$ and $n \geq N'_j$ and the sets $\{\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(D_n^j)\}_{n,j}$ are separated by curves of the form $L_k = \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z = k\pi\})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ that lie in the Julia set as in Lemma 10.4. Therefore the sets $\{U_n^j\}_{n,j}$ are contained in wandering domains, and for different values of j , these wandering domains belong to different grand orbits.

Up to now, we have shown that the entire function $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{B}$ has at least $2q$ grand orbits of wandering domains. To conclude that $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$ has exactly $2q$ grand orbits of wandering domains, we will follow closely the results of [FGJ15, Section 4].

Since w_∞ is the only accumulation point of the singular values of $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$ (see Remark 5.7), for every wandering domain U of $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$, a classical argument using normal families implies that there is a sequence $(n_k)_k$ such that $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{n_k}|_U \rightarrow w_\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{n_k-1}(U) \subseteq \bigcup_{n,j} \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(D_{\pm n}^j)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [FGJ15, Lemma 4.1]). Then, it follows from [MR13, Theorem 4.1] that the forward invariant closed set

$$A := \mathbb{R} \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{q-1} \bigcup_{n=N'_j}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{n+1} \overline{\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^k(U_n^j \cup \overline{U_n^j})} \quad (38)$$

containing all the singular values of $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}$ (the points ± 2 , the sequences $\{w_n^j\}_{n,j}$ and the limit point w_∞) satisfies that if $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^n(U) \cap A = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 0$, then

$$\text{dist}_V(\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{n_k-1}(U), V \setminus \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}))) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (39)$$

where $V = \mathbb{C} \setminus A$ (see [FGJ15, Lemma 4.2]). Finally, suppose to the contrary that $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^k(U) \cap (U_n^j \cup \overline{U_n^j}) = \emptyset$ for all n, j, k , then $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^k(U) \cap A = \emptyset$ and since $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{n_k-1}(U) \subseteq \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(D_{\pm n}^j)$ for some n, j , the domain $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(D_{\pm n}^j)$ must contain some of the connected components of A , and it follows from (39) that $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{w}}^{n_k-1}(U) \cap \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{w}}(\frac{1}{2}D_{\pm n}^j) \neq \emptyset$ for some n, j , which is a contradiction (see [FGJ15, Lemma 4.3]). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Remark 11.1. If one wished to construct entire functions in the class \mathcal{B} of finite order with an odd number q of grand orbits of wandering domains, then one may consider q different reference orbits near the orbit of $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and for each of them define a sequence of rectangles Q_n , and carry on the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

APPENDIX A. DETAILED CONSTRUCTION OF THE INTERPOLATION

Here we provide the details of the construction of the quasiregular interpolation that we described in Section 3. Our main tool will be the following result on quasiregular interpolation.

Theorem A.1 (Linear Interpolation Theorem). *Let $\gamma_j : [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, be \mathcal{C}^1 curves for some $t_0 > 0$. Suppose that $\gamma_1(t) \neq \gamma_2(t)$ and $\gamma_j'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Furthermore, suppose that there exist $s_0 > 0$ and $r > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{s_0 \gamma_j'(t)}{\gamma_2(t) - \gamma_1(t)} \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{H}}(i, r), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, t_0] \text{ and } j \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (40)$$

Then, the function $\Phi : [0, s_0] \times [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\Phi(s, t) := \left(1 - \frac{s}{s_0}\right) \gamma_1(t) + \frac{s}{s_0} \gamma_2(t) \quad (41)$$

is locally quasiconformal with Beltrami coefficient μ_Φ satisfying that

$$|\mu_\Phi(s, t)| \leq \tanh \frac{r}{2}, \quad \text{for all } (s, t) \in [0, s_0] \times [0, t_0], \quad (42)$$

and hence $K_\Phi \leq e^r$. If, in addition, the segments $[\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)]$ and $[\gamma_1(t'), \gamma_2(t')]$ are disjoint for $0 \leq t < t' \leq t_0$, then the map Φ is e^r -quasiconformal onto its image.

In particular, if there exist constants $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $v_{\min}, v_{\max}, l_{\min}, l_{\max} > 0$ such that

$$0 < \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta \leq \arg \left(\frac{\gamma_j'(t)}{\gamma_2(t) - \gamma_1(t)} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta < \pi, \quad (43)$$

$$v_{\min} \leq |\gamma_j'(t)| \leq v_{\max} \quad \text{and} \quad l_{\min} \leq |\gamma_2(t) - \gamma_1(t)| \leq l_{\max},$$

for all $t \in [0, t_0]$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, then it suffices to choose

$$s_0 = \sqrt{\frac{l_{\min} l_{\max}}{v_{\min} v_{\max}}} \quad \text{and} \quad r = \text{dist}_{\mathbb{H}} \left(s_0 \frac{v_{\max}}{l_{\min}} e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \theta)}, i \right) = 2 \operatorname{artanh} \left| \frac{s_0 \frac{v_{\max}}{l_{\min}} e^{i\theta} - 1}{s_0 \frac{v_{\max}}{l_{\min}} e^{i\theta} + 1} \right|.$$

Proof. Identifying the point (s, t) with $z = s + it$, we have

$$\mu_\Phi(s, t) = \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi(s, t)}{\partial_z \Phi(s, t)} = -\frac{\frac{\partial_t \Phi(s, t)}{\partial_s \Phi(s, t)} - i}{\frac{\partial_t \Phi(s, t)}{\partial_s \Phi(s, t)} + i} = -M \left(\frac{\partial_t \Phi(s, t)}{\partial_s \Phi(s, t)} \right),$$

where $M(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i}$ (see Figure 8). The partial derivatives of Φ are

$$\partial_s \Phi(s, t) = -\frac{1}{s_0} \gamma_1(t) + \frac{1}{s_0} \gamma_2(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \Phi(s, t) = \left(1 - \frac{s}{s_0}\right) \gamma_1'(t) + \frac{s}{s_0} \gamma_2'(t),$$

and therefore,

$$\frac{\partial_t \Phi(s, t)}{\partial_s \Phi(s, t)} = s_0 \left(\left(1 - \frac{s}{s_0}\right) \frac{\gamma_1'(t)}{\gamma_2(t) - \gamma_1(t)} + \frac{s}{s_0} \frac{\gamma_2'(t)}{\gamma_2(t) - \gamma_1(t)} \right) \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{H}}(i, r).$$

Finally, the image of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{H}}(i, r)$ by M is the Euclidean disc $\mathbb{D}_{\tanh(r/2)}$ and hence $|\mu_\Phi(s, t)| \leq \tanh \frac{r}{2}$ for all $(s, t) \in [0, s_0] \times [0, t_0]$ as required. \square




FIGURE 8. The Möbius transformation $M(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i}$ from Theorem A.1.

We now use linear interpolation to construct a quasiregular map G that interpolates between $g(z) = 2 \cosh z$ and a d -th power map in a doubly connected region. The dilatation K_G should be bounded by a constant independent of $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Consider the set

$$\Omega := (E \setminus \mathbb{D}_R) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0, \operatorname{Im} z \geq 0\}.$$

Since $\cosh(-z) = \cosh(z)$ and $\cosh(\bar{z}) = \overline{\cosh(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and the map G is an even power on $\partial\mathbb{D}_R$, we have that $G(-z) = G(z)$ and $G(\bar{z}) = \overline{G(z)}$ for all $z \in \partial E \cup ((E \cap i\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathbb{D}_R) \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_R$. Thus, we only need to do the interpolation in Ω and then extend it by these relations to $(E \setminus \mathbb{D}_R) \setminus \Omega$.

Let $Q := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 2d\pi, 0 \leq \operatorname{Im} z \leq 2d\pi\}$. First we construct a quasiconformal map $\Phi_1 : Q \rightarrow \Omega$. For example, we can partition both Q and Ω into four sets Q_i and Ω_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, as shown in Figure 9 and apply the Linear Interpolation Theorem A.1 to each pair Q_i, Ω_i , so that $\Phi_1(Q_i) = \Omega_i$ for all




FIGURE 9. The quasiconformal map $\Phi_1 : Q \rightarrow \Omega$ from Lemma 3.2.

$i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\Phi_1 \equiv \text{id}$ on $\partial Q_i \cap \partial Q$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\Phi_1(z) = R \exp(i \frac{\pi}{2R} \operatorname{Im} z)$ on $[0, iR]$ and $\Phi_1(p_1) = p_2$, where $p_1 := \frac{3}{2}d\pi + iR \in Q$ and $p_2 := \frac{3}{2}d\pi + i\frac{3}{2}R \in \Omega$. It is easy to check that the dilatation of Φ_1 is uniformly bounded independently of d .

The rest of the proof will be devoted to construct a quasiregular function $\check{G} : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2d\pi}$ so that defining $G = \check{G} \circ \Phi_1^{-1}$ on Ω (and extending the definition of G to the rest of $E \setminus \mathbb{D}_R$ as described before), then G has the required properties (see Figure 10). Note that \check{G} has to map the vertical segment $[0, iR] \subseteq \partial Q$ to the arc of circle $\{z = e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, d\pi]\} \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\check{G}(iR) = (-1)^d$.




FIGURE 10. The quasiregular map $\check{G} : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2d\pi}$ from Lemma 3.2 with d odd (here we used $d = 3$).

Define

$$y_d := \frac{2d-1}{2d}R = \frac{R}{d\pi}(d - \frac{1}{2})\pi = (d - \frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{6d})\pi,$$

then, we have

$$(d - \frac{5}{6})\pi < y_d < (d - \frac{1}{2})\pi < R.$$

Let T be the trapezoid of vertices $(d - \frac{1}{6})\pi i, 1 + (d - \frac{1}{6})\pi i, 1 + (d - \frac{1}{2})\pi i$ and $y_d i$ (see Figure 11). Observe that if we consider the translate $\tilde{T} := T - (d - 1)\pi i$ of T , then it becomes clear that the shape of T is bounded in the sense that the only vertex of \tilde{T} that depends on d is $\tilde{y}_d i := y_d i - (d - 1)\pi i$ and satisfies

$$\frac{\pi}{6} < \tilde{y}_d < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

The point $Ri \in \partial T$ corresponds to $\frac{2}{3}\pi i \in \partial \tilde{T}$. Let $A = \mathcal{E}_1 \setminus \mathbb{D}$ and define the region $H := g(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0, \frac{5}{6}\pi < \operatorname{Im} z < \frac{7}{6}\pi\})$ that is bounded by a hyperbola. Then, define the set $A_* := (A \cap \mathbb{H} \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}_l}) \setminus H$ if d is odd, and let A_* be the symmetric set with respect to the origin if d is even. We construct a quasiconformal map $\Phi_2 : T \rightarrow A_*$ such that

$$\Phi_2(z) = \begin{cases} 2 \cosh z, & \text{if } z \in [Ri, (d - \frac{1}{6})\pi i] \cup \\ & [(d - \frac{1}{6})\pi i, 1 + (d - \frac{1}{6})\pi i] \cup \\ & [1 + \frac{1}{2}\pi i, 1 + \frac{5}{6}\pi i], \\ \exp(i \frac{d\pi}{R} \operatorname{Im} z), & \text{if } z \in [y_d i, Ri], \\ (1 - \operatorname{Re} z)(-1)^{d-1}i + (\operatorname{Re} z)(-1)^{d-1}(e - e^{-1})i, & \text{if } z \in [y_d i, 1 + (d - \frac{1}{2})\pi i]. \end{cases}$$

We can partition T and A into quadrilaterals as in Figure 11 and apply again the Linear Interpolation Theorem A.1 to obtain quasiconformal maps $\Phi_{2,1,i} : R_i \rightarrow T_i$




FIGURE 11. The quasiconformal map $\Phi_2 : T \rightarrow A_*$ from Lemma 3.2 with d odd.

and $\Phi_{2,2,i} : R_i \rightarrow A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Then, it suffices to put $\Phi_2(z) := \Phi_{2,2,i} \circ \Phi_{2,1,i}^{-1}(z)$ if $z \in T_i$. This map is well defined since the maps match on the shared boundaries of T_i because they come from linear interpolation.

There exists a quasiconformal map $\Phi_{3,1}$ from the rectangle $[0, 1] + i[0, (d - \frac{1}{2})\pi i]$ onto the trapezoid S with vertices $0, 1, 1 + (d - \frac{1}{2})\pi i$ and $y_d i$ such that $\Phi_{3,1}$ is the identity on the right and bottom edges and is given by linear interpolation on the other two edges (see Figure 12). Define a quasiregular map $\Phi_{3,2}$ from the same rectangle $[0, 1] + i[0, (d - \frac{1}{2})\pi i]$ to the annulus A by

$$\Phi_{3,2}(s + it) := (1 - s)e^{it} + s2 \cosh(1 + it) = (1 - s)e^{it} + s(e^{1+it} + e^{-1-it}).$$

Observe that $|\arg(2 \cosh(1 + it)) - t| < \arcsin(1/e^2) < \arccos(1/e)$ and therefore for every $t \in [0, (d - \frac{1}{2})\pi]$, the line given by $\Phi_{3,2}([0, 1] + it)$ is disjoint from \mathbb{D} . Thus, the Linear Interpolation Theorem A.1 implies that $\Phi_{3,2}$ is a quasiconformal map with distortion bounded independently of d . Then, we put $\Phi_3 := \Phi_{3,2} \circ \Phi_{3,1}^{-1}$.

Now we just need to put all the previous maps together to define the quasiregular map $\check{G} : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{2d\pi}$: for $z \in Q$, set

$$\check{G}(z) := \begin{cases} \Phi_2(z), & \text{if } z \in T, \\ \Phi_3(z), & \text{if } z \in S, \\ 2 \cosh z, & \text{if } z \in Q \setminus (T \cup S), \end{cases}$$

and then put $G := \check{G} \circ \Phi_1^{-1}$ on $\Omega = (E \setminus \mathbb{D}_R) \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}} \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}}_r$ (see Figure 10). From the construction, it is clear that the different maps that define \check{G} coincide along the common boundaries of the regions where they are defined.




FIGURE 12. The quasiregular map $\Phi_3 : S \rightarrow A$ from Lemma 3.2 with d odd.

Let us check the values of \tilde{G} on the left side of Q . On the one hand, we have $\tilde{G}(yi) = 2 \cosh(yi)$ for $R \leq y \leq 2d\pi$. On the other hand, for $y_d \leq y \leq R$, $\tilde{G}(yi) = \exp(i \frac{d\pi}{R} y)$ and, for $0 \leq y \leq y_d$,

$$\tilde{G}(yi) = \Phi_3(yi) = \Phi_{3,2}(\Phi_{3,1}^{-1}(yi)) = \Phi_{3,2}\left(y \frac{(d - \frac{1}{2})\pi}{y_d} i\right) = \exp\left(i \frac{d\pi y}{R}\right).$$

Thus, $\tilde{G}(yi) = \exp(i \frac{d\pi y}{R})$ for all $0 \leq y \leq R$. Finally, recall that the two maps match at the point $z = Ri$ as

$$2 \cosh(Ri) = 2 \cos\left(\left(d - \frac{1}{3}\right)\pi\right) = (-1)^d = \exp(d\pi i) = \exp\left(i \frac{d\pi}{R} R\right).$$

If $z = Re^{i\theta} \in \partial\mathbb{D}_R \cap Q$ with $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $yi = \Phi^{-1}(z)$, then $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2R}y$. Therefore $0 \leq y \leq R$ and $\tilde{G}(yi) = \exp(i \frac{d\pi}{R} y)$, so $G(z) = \exp(2d\theta i) = (z/R)^{2d}$ as required.

Since the dilatation of every function Φ_j involved is bounded by a uniform constant which is independent of d , the dilatation K_G is bounded independently of d . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

In Lemma 3.4, we introduced the map $\rho_w : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ for $w \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}$. This map is the identity on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\rho_w(z) = z + w$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{1/8}$. We have to show that ρ_w is K_2 -quasiconformal for some constant $K_2 \geq 1$ that does not depend on the choice of $w \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. First, we use the principal branch of the logarithm to map the annulus $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{1/8}$ to the rectangle

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\log 8 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0, -\pi \leq \operatorname{Im} z \leq \pi\}.$$

Then, we subdivide this rectangle into a certain number of smaller rectangles and apply Theorem A.1 to each of them (see Figure 13). Observe that we can arrange them so that the angles of the image partition has angles bounded away from 0 and π at the corners, so we deduce that the dilatation K_{ρ_w} is bounded by a constant $K_2 \geq 1$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}$. \square




FIGURE 13. The quasiconformal map $\rho_w : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ from Lemma 3.4.

APPENDIX B. PROOFS OF THE ESTIMATES ON QUASICONFORMAL MAPS

In this appendix we prove the three results that we stated in Section 4 and also Lemma 5.8. Recall that throughout the section we had the standing assumption that $K > 1$, the discs $B_m := \mathbb{D}(\zeta_m, r_m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy that $|\zeta_m| \geq 4$ and $r_m/|\zeta_m| \leq \min\{\frac{1}{4}, \delta_1\}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r_m/|\zeta_m| < +\infty$ and there is a K -quasiconformal map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(1) = 1$ and $\text{supp } \mu_\phi \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m$ (see Assumption 4.3).

The following lemma will be used later in the proofs of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5.

Lemma B.1. *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$,*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}(\alpha, r)} \frac{dx dy}{|z - \beta|} \leq 2\pi r. \quad (44)$$

Proof. We may assume that $\beta = 0$. Since

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}(\alpha, r) \setminus \mathbb{D}_r} \frac{dx dy}{|z|} \leq \frac{1}{r} |\mathbb{D}(\alpha, r) \setminus \mathbb{D}_r| = \frac{1}{r} |\mathbb{D}_r \setminus \mathbb{D}(\alpha, r)| \leq \iint_{\mathbb{D}_r \setminus \mathbb{D}(\alpha, r)} \frac{dx dy}{|z|},$$

we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}(\alpha, r)} \frac{dx dy}{|z|} \leq \iint_{\mathbb{D}_r} \frac{dx dy}{|z|} = 2\pi r,$$

as required. \square

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 4.4, which states that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_1 = M_1(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\text{supp } \mu_\phi \subseteq \bigcup_{m=M_1}^\infty B_m$, then

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right|_c < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose that $\text{supp } \mu_\phi \subseteq \bigcup_{m=M_1}^\infty B_m$. Setting $\alpha = 0$, if $0 < |\gamma| \leq \delta_1 |\beta|$, then Corollary 4.2 gives the inequality

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\beta)}{\beta} - \log \frac{\phi(\gamma)}{\gamma} \right|_c \leq C(K-1) \sum_{m=M_1}^\infty \iint_{B_m} \frac{|\beta| dx dy}{|z(z-\beta)(z-\gamma)|}. \quad (45)$$

Assume $|\gamma| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_1}$ and $|\zeta_m| \geq \frac{4}{\delta_1}$, we will give an estimate on the integral on the right hand side. Note that if $z \in B_m$, then $|z| \geq |\zeta_m| - r_m = |\zeta_m|(1 - r_m/|\zeta_m|) \geq \frac{3}{4}|\zeta_m|$, and $|z - \gamma| \geq |\zeta_m|(1 - r_m/|\zeta_m| - |\gamma|/|\zeta_m|) \geq \frac{1}{2}|\zeta_m|$. Let $H \geq 6$ and $\eta \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and assume $r_m/|\zeta_m| \leq \eta$. Now we split the estimate into two cases.

- Case 1: $|\beta - \zeta_m| \leq \frac{1+H\eta}{H-1}|\zeta_m|$

Note that this includes the case $\beta \in B_m$, since $r_m \leq \eta|\zeta_m| \leq \frac{1+H\eta}{H-1}|\zeta_m|$. We have

$$\iint_{B_m} \frac{|\beta| dx dy}{|z(z-\beta)(z-\gamma)|} \leq \frac{(1 + \frac{1+H\eta}{H-1})|\zeta_m|}{\frac{3}{4}|\zeta_m| \cdot \frac{1}{2}|\zeta_m|} \iint_{B_m} \frac{dx dy}{|z-\beta|} \leq \frac{8H(1+\eta)}{3(H-1)} \frac{2\pi r_m}{|\zeta_m|},$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma B.1.

- Case 2: $|\beta - \zeta_m| > \frac{1+H\eta}{H-1}|\zeta_m|$

In this case, $H|\beta - \zeta_m| - |\beta - \zeta_m| \geq |\zeta_m| + Hr_m$ and

$$|\beta| \leq |\beta - \zeta_m| + |\zeta_m| \leq H(|\beta - \zeta_m| - r_m) \leq H(|\beta - \zeta_m| - |z - \zeta_m|) \leq H|z - \beta|,$$

for $z \in B_m$. Hence, $\frac{|\beta|}{|z-\beta|} \leq H$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{B_m} \frac{|\beta| dx dy}{|z(z-\beta)(z-\gamma)|} &\leq H \iint_{B_m} \frac{dx dy}{|z(z-\gamma)|} \leq \frac{2\pi H r_m^2}{\frac{3}{4}|\zeta_m| \cdot \frac{1}{2}|\zeta_m|} \\ &\leq \frac{16\pi H}{3} \frac{r_m^2}{|\zeta_m|^2} \leq \frac{16\pi H \eta}{3} \frac{r_m}{|\zeta_m|}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that $\sum_{m=1}^\infty r_m/|\zeta_m| < +\infty$, there exists $M_1 = M_1(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the right hand side of (45) is less than given $\varepsilon/2$. By taking $\gamma = 1$, if $|\beta| \geq \frac{1}{\delta_1}$,

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\beta)}{\beta} \right|_c < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now fix a β with $|\beta| > \frac{1}{\delta_1^2}$, then for any γ with $|\gamma| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_1}$, we also have

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\gamma)}{\gamma} \right|_c \leq \left| \log \frac{\phi(\beta)}{\beta} - \log \frac{\phi(\gamma)}{\gamma} \right|_c + \left| \log \frac{\phi(\beta)}{\beta} \right|_c < \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. \square

Next we will prove Lemma 4.5. On top of the Assumption 4.3, we also suppose that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that if $z \in B_m$ and $z' \in B_{m'}$ with $m \neq m'$, then $|z - z'| \geq C_1 \sqrt{|zz'|}$. Then, we have to prove that for any $0 < \kappa \leq 1$, there exists $C_2 > 1$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $|\zeta - \zeta_m| = \kappa r_m$, then

$$\frac{1}{C_2} \kappa r_m \leq |\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta_m)| \leq C_2 \kappa r_m.$$

We want to emphasize that C_2 depends on κ .

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and apply Corollary 4.2 with $\alpha = \zeta_n$, $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = \zeta \in B_n$. Then, since $0 < |\zeta - \zeta_n| = \kappa r_n < \delta_1 |\zeta_n|$ by hypothesis, we obtain

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta_n)}{\zeta - \zeta_n} - \log \frac{\phi(\zeta_n)}{\zeta_n} \right|_c \leq C(K-1) \sum_{m=M_1}^{\infty} \iint_{B_m} \frac{|\zeta_n| dx dy}{|z(z-\zeta)(z-\zeta_n)|}, \quad (46)$$

for some $M_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. We provide a different estimate of this integral according to m .

- For $m = n$, since $|z| \geq |\zeta_n| - r_n \geq \frac{3}{4} |\zeta_n|$ for $z \in B_n$,

$$\iint_{B_n} \frac{|\zeta_n| dx dy}{|z(z-\zeta)(z-\zeta_n)|} \leq \frac{|\zeta_n|}{\frac{3}{4} |\zeta_n|} \iint_{B_n} \frac{dx dy}{|(z-\zeta)(z-\zeta_n)|} = \frac{4}{3} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{dx' dy'}{|z'(z'-\kappa)|},$$

where we represent $\zeta = \zeta_m + \kappa r_m e^{i\theta}$ and then set $z = \zeta_m + r_m e^{i\theta} z'$ and $z' = x' + iy'$. Note that the last integral is finite.

- For $m \neq n$, since $z \in B_m$ and $\zeta, \zeta_n \in B_n$,

$$|(z-\zeta)(z-\zeta_n)| \geq C_1^2 |z| \sqrt{|\zeta| |\zeta_n|} \geq \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} C_1^2 |\zeta_m| |\zeta_n|$$

as $|z| \geq \frac{3}{4} |\zeta_m|$ and $|\zeta| \geq \frac{3}{4} |\zeta_n|$, and, by Lemma B.1, we obtain

$$\iint_{B_m} \frac{|\zeta_n| dx dy}{|z(z-\zeta)(z-\zeta_n)|} \leq \iint_{B_m} \frac{dx dy}{|z| \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} C_1^2 |\zeta_m|} = \frac{2\pi}{\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} C_1^2} \frac{r_m}{|\zeta_m|}.$$

Therefore the right hand side of (46) is convergent as $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r_m / |\zeta_m| < +\infty$, and bounded by a constant $\widetilde{C}_2 > 0$ which is independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Together with the estimate from Lemma 4.4, we obtain the inequality

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta_n)}{\zeta - \zeta_n} \right|_c \leq \left| \log \frac{\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta_n)}{\zeta - \zeta_n} - \log \frac{\phi(\zeta_n)}{\zeta_n} \right|_c + \left| \log \frac{\phi(\zeta_n)}{\zeta_n} \right|_c \leq \widetilde{C}_2 + \varepsilon,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently large so that the constant $M_1 = M_1(\varepsilon)$ from Lemma 4.4 equals 1. Hence, it suffices to put $C_2 := \exp(\widetilde{C}_2 + \varepsilon) > 1$ so that

$$\frac{1}{C_2} \leq \frac{|\phi(\zeta) - \phi(\zeta_n)|}{|\zeta - \zeta_n|} \leq C_2,$$

and use the assumption that $|\zeta - \zeta_n| = \kappa r_n$ to get the result. \square

Finally, we prove the third and last result from Section 4. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that there exists $0 < \theta < \pi$ such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$B_m \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg \zeta + \theta < \arg z < \arg \zeta + 2\pi - \theta\}. \quad (47)$$

Then there exists a constant $C_3 > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C_3} \leq |\phi'(\zeta)| \leq C_3.$$

Note that C_3 depends on θ but not on ζ , provided that ζ satisfies (47).

Proof of Lemma 4.6. Applying Corollary 4.2 with $\alpha = \zeta$, $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = (1 + \delta)\zeta$ with $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ so that $0 < |\gamma - \zeta| = \delta|\zeta| < \delta_1|\zeta|$, we obtain

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi(\gamma) - \phi(\zeta)}{\gamma - \zeta} - \log \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right|_c \leq C(K-1) \sum_{m=M_2}^{\infty} \iint_{B_m} \frac{|\zeta| dx dy}{|z(z-\zeta)(z-\gamma)|},$$

for some $M_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. For $z \in B_m$, let $\theta' = \arg(\zeta/z) \in (\theta, 2\pi - \theta)$. Then $\cos \theta' \leq \cos \theta$ and

$$|z - \zeta|^2 = |z|^2 + |\zeta|^2 - 2|z||\zeta|\cos \theta' \geq 2|z||\zeta|(1 - \cos \theta). \quad (48)$$

Since $\theta' = \arg(\gamma/z)$, there is a similar inequality replacing ζ for $\gamma = (1 + \delta)\zeta$ in (48). Thus,

$$|z - \zeta||z - \gamma| \geq 2(1 - \cos \theta)|z||\zeta|\sqrt{1 + \delta},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{B_m} \frac{|\zeta| dx dy}{|z(z-\zeta)(z-\gamma)|} &\leq \frac{|\zeta|}{2(1 - \cos \theta)|\zeta|\sqrt{1 + \delta}} \iint_{B_m} \frac{dx dy}{|z|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \cos \theta)\sqrt{1 + \delta}} \frac{2\pi r_m^2}{(\frac{3}{4}|\zeta_m|)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\zeta \notin \overline{\text{supp}} \mu_\phi$, the quantity $\phi'(\zeta)$ exists. Again, the sum is finite by the assumption, and letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that there exists $\widetilde{C}_3 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \log \phi'(\zeta) - \log \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right|_c \leq \widetilde{C}_3.$$

Together with Lemma 4.4, we obtain the desired inequality by proceeding as before and putting $C_3 := \exp(\widetilde{C}_3 + \varepsilon) > 1$. The last claim of the lemma follows from the fact that by choosing $M_2 = M_2(\eta) \geq M_1(\varepsilon)$ sufficiently large we can make both \widetilde{C}_3 and ε sufficiently small. \square

To conclude this appendix, we prove Lemma 5.8 which says that the sequence of centers $(c_{N+1}(\mathbf{w}), c_{N+2}(\mathbf{w}), \dots)$ depends continuously on $\mathbf{w} = (w_N, w_{N+1}, \dots) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$. This result was important for the shooting argument in Section 7.

Proof of Lemma 5.8. The sets $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(E_{\pm n})$ may be distorted, but Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 ensure that they will still satisfy the Assumption 4.3 with different constants. So with K replaced by K^2 , we obtain a similar estimate as Lemma 4.4. In particular, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any compact set $X \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}_R$, there exists $T \geq N$ such that if $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ and $w_n = w'_n$ for $N \leq n < T$, then

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{w}'} \circ \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2eR}, \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

that is, if $\xi = \phi_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}(\zeta)$,

$$\left| \log \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{w}'}(\xi)}{\xi} - \log \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi)}{\xi} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2eR}, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since $\log(\phi_{\mathbf{w}'}(\xi)/\xi), \log(\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi)/\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|e^{z'} - e^z| \leq e|z' - z|$ for all $z, z' \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{w}'}(\xi)}{\xi} - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi)}{\xi} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2R}, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

and

$$|\phi_{\mathbf{w}'}(\xi) - \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\xi)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \text{for } \xi \in X \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}_R.$$

If $\mathbf{w}'' \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8})^{\mathbb{N}_N}$ is chosen so that $|w_n'' - w_n|$ are small enough for $N \leq n < T$ and $w_n'' = w_n'$ for $T \leq n$, then $\|\mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}''}} - \mu_{\phi_{\mathbf{w}'}}\|_\infty$ will be small, and we can achieve $|\phi_{\mathbf{w}''}(\zeta) - \phi_{\mathbf{w}'}(\zeta)| < \varepsilon/2$ on X . Therefore we have $|\phi_{\mathbf{w}''}(\zeta) - \phi_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta)| < \varepsilon$ on X , and this proves the continuity of the map $\mathbf{w} \mapsto \phi_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Finally, recall that for each $n \geq N$, $c_n(\mathbf{w})$ was defined by a local composition of $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ and g^{-1} , so it is continuous. The final claim follows from the definition of the product topology. \square

REFERENCES

- [ABD⁺16] M. Astorg, X. Buff, R. Dujardin, H. Peters, and J. Raissy, *A two-dimensional polynomial mapping with a wandering Fatou component*, Ann. Math. (2) **184** (2016), no. 1, 263–313.
- [Ahl06] L. V. Ahlfors, *Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings*, second ed., with supplemental chapters by C. J. Earle, I. Kra, M. Shishikura and J. H. Hubbard, University Lecture Series, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Bak63] I. N. Baker, *Multiply connected domains of normality in iteration theory*, Math. Z. **81** (1963), 206–214.
- [Bak70] I. N. Baker, *Limit functions and sets of non-normality in iteration theory*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I **467** (1970), 3–11.
- [Bak76] I. N. Baker, *An entire function which has wandering domains*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **22** (1976), no. 2, 173–176.
- [Bak84] I. N. Baker, *Wandering domains in the iteration of entire functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **49** (1984), no. 3, 563–576.
- [Bar07] K. Barański, *Trees and hairs for some hyperbolic entire maps of finite order*, Math. Z. **257** (2007), no. 1, 33–59.
- [Ber93] W. Bergweiler, *Iteration of meromorphic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1993), no. 2, 151–188.
- [BF14] B. Branner and N. Fagella, *Quasiconformal Surgery in Holomorphic Dynamics*, with contributions by X. Buff, S. Bullett, A. L. Epstein, P. Haïssinsky, C. Henriksen, C. L. Petersen, K. M. Pilgrim, Tan L. and M. Yampolsky, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 141, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [BHK⁺93] W. Bergweiler, M. Haruta, H. Kriete, H.-G. Meier, and N. Terplane, *On the limit functions of iterates in wandering domains*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **18** (1993), no. 2, 369–375.
- [Bis15] C. J. Bishop, *Constructing entire functions by quasiconformal folding*, Acta Math. **214** (2015), no. 1, 1–60.
- [BJR12] K. Barański, X. Jarque, and L. Rempe, *Brushing the hairs of transcendental entire functions*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012), no. 8, 2102–2114.
- [BL18] C. J. Bishop and K. Lazebnik, *Prescribing the postsingular dynamics of meromorphic functions*, preprint arXiv:1807.04581, 2018.
- [EL87] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich, *Examples of entire functions with pathological dynamics*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **36** (1987), no. 3, 458–468.
- [EL92] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich, *Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **42** (1992), no. 4, 989–1020.

- [Ere89] A. E. Eremenko, *On the iteration of entire functions*, Dynamical systems and ergodic theory (Warsaw, 1986), Banach Center Publ., vol. 23, PWN, Warsaw, 1989, pp. 339–345.
- [Fat19] P. Fatou, *Sur les équations fonctionnelles*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **47** (1919), 161–271.
- [FGJ15] N. Fagella, S. Godillon, and X. Jarque, *Wandering domains for composition of entire functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **429** (2015), no. 1, 478–496.
- [FJL17] N. Fagella, X. Jarque, and K. Lazebnik, *Univalent wandering domains in the Eremenko-Lyubich class*, preprint arXiv:1711.10629, 2017.
- [GK86] L. R. Goldberg and L. Keen, *A finiteness theorem for a dynamical class of entire functions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **6** (1986), no. 2, 183–192.
- [Hei48] M. Heins, *Entire functions with bounded minimum modulus; subharmonic function analogues*, Ann. of Math. (2) **49** (1948), 200–213.
- [Her84] M. Herman, *Exemples de fractions rationnelles ayant une orbite dense sur la sphère de Riemann*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **112** (1984), 93–142.
- [KS08] M. Kisaka and M. Shishikura, *On multiply connected wandering domains of entire functions*, Transcendental Dynamics and Complex Analysis, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 348, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 217–250.
- [Laz17] K. Lazebnik, *Several constructions in the Eremenko-Lyubich class*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **448** (2017), no. 1, 611–632.
- [Mil06] J. W. Milnor, *Dynamics in One Complex Variable*, third ed., Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 160, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2006.
- [MR13] H. Mihaljević-Brandt and L. Rempe-Gillen, *Absence of wandering domains for some real entire functions with bounded singular sets*, Math. Ann. **357** (2013), no. 4, 1577–1604.
- [Pom75] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, with a chapter on quadratic differentials by G. Jensen, Studia Mathematica/Mathematische Lehrbücher, Band XXV, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [RRRS11] G. Rottenfusser, J. Rückert, L. Rempe, and D. Schleicher, *Dynamic rays of bounded-type entire functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 1, 77–125.
- [RS05] P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, *On questions of Fatou and Eremenko*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 4, 1119–1126.
- [Shi87] M. Shishikura, *On the quasiconformal surgery of rational functions*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **20** (1987), 1–29.
- [Shi18] M. Shishikura, *Conformality of quasiconformal mappings at a point, revisited*, to appear in Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., preprint arXiv:1802.09137v2, 2018.
- [SO16] D. J. Sixsmith and J. W. Osborne, *On the set where the iterates of an entire function are neither escaping nor bounded*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **41** (2016), 561–578.
- [Sul85] D. Sullivan, *Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics. I. Solution of the Fatou-Julia problem on wandering domains*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 3, 401–418.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: martipete@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

E-mail address: mitsu@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp