arXiv:1807.09393v3 [q-bio.BM] 14 Sep 2018

Frictional Effects on RNA Folding: Speed Limit and Kramers Turnover

Naoto Hori,^{1, *} Natalia A. Denesyuk,² and D. Thirumalai^{1, †}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

²Biophysics Program, Institute for Physical Science and Technology,

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, United States

(Dated: September 1, 2018)

We investigated frictional effects on the folding rates of a human telomerase hairpin (hTR HP) and H-type pseudoknot from the Beet Western Yellow Virus (BWYV PK) using simulations of the Three Interaction Site (TIS) model for RNA. The heat capacity from TIS model simulations, calculated using temperature replica exchange simulations, reproduces nearly quantitatively the available experimental data for the hTR HP. The corresponding results for BWYV PK serve as predictions. We calculated the folding rates $(k_{\rm F})$ from more than 100 folding trajectories for each value of the solvent viscosity (η) at a fixed salt concentration of 200 mM. By using the theoretical estimate ($\propto \sqrt{N}$ where N is the number of nucleotides) for folding free energy barrier, $k_{\rm F}$ data for both the RNAs are quantitatively fit using one-dimensional Kramers' theory with two parameters specifying the curvatures in the unfolded basin and the barrier top. In the high-friction regime $(\eta \gtrsim 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{Pa}\,\mathrm{s})$, for both HP and PK, k_{F} s decrease as $1/\eta$ whereas in the low friction regime, k_{F} values increase as η increases, leading to a maximum folding rate at a moderate viscosity (~ 10⁻⁶ Pa·s), which is the Kramers turnover. From the fits, we find that the speed limit to RNA folding at water viscosity is between 1 and 4 μ s, which is in accord with our previous theoretical prediction as well as results from several single molecule experiments. Both the RNA constructs fold by parallel pathways. Surprisingly, we find that the flux through the pathways could be altered by changing solvent viscosity, a prediction that is more easily testable in RNA than in proteins.



INTRODUCTION

The effects of friction on barrier crossing events, with a rich history [1, 2], have also been used to obtain insights into the dynamics and folding of proteins. For example, in a pioneering study, Eaton and co-workers established that accounting for the internal friction is needed to explain experiments in the ligand recombination to the heme in myoglobin [3]. Only much later, the importance of internal friction, a concept introduced in the context of polymer physics [4], in controlling the dynamics of folded and unfolded states of proteins has been appreciated in a number of experimental [5–8] and theoretical [9–13] studies. The presence of internal friction is typically identified as a deviation in the viscosity (η) dependence of reaction rates from the predictions based on Kramers' theory [14]. The timeless Kramers' theory

showed that the rate should increase linearly with η at small η and decrease as $1/\eta$ at large η . The change from small η behavior to $1/\eta$ dependence with a maximum at intermediate viscosity values is often referred to as the Kramers turnover [1, 2, 15]. Theoretical studies [16, 17] also showed that folding rates of the so-called two-state folders are in accord with the theory of Kramers [14].

Kramers' theory has been used to understand frictional effects of the solvent in various reactions, from diffusion of single particles to folding of proteins that are more complex with the multidimensional folding landscape. Although Kramers' theory was originally developed for barrier crossing in a one-dimensional potential with a single barrier, experiments and simulations suggest the theory holds for dynamic processes in biomolecules. Interestingly, following the theoretical study, establishing that folding rates ($k_{\rm F}$) of proteins vary as $k_{\rm F} \sim 1/\eta$ [16], experiments on cold shock protein [18], chymotrypsin inhibitor [19], and protein L [20] confirmed Kramers' high- η predictions. Although these studies showed that the rate dependence on η follows Kramers' prediction, this

^{*} hori.naoto@gmail.com

[†] dave.thirumalai@gmail.com

was most vividly demonstrated in single molecule studies only recently by Chung and Eaton [6]. The success of the Kramers' theory, which views the complex process of polypeptide chain organization as diffusion in an effective one-dimensional landscape, is surprising. However, it has been shown using lattice models [21] that diffusion in an energy landscape as a function of a collective coordinates, such as the fraction of native contact (Q), provides an accurate description of the folding rates obtained in simulations. Subsequently, computational studies [22] using Go model for a helix bundle further showed that the rate dependence follows the theoretical predictions including the Kramers turnover, providing additional justification that Q is a good reaction coordinate for protein sequences that are well optimized.

In contrast to several studies probing viscosity effects on protein folding and dynamics, frictional effects on nucleic acid folding have been much less studied. A vexing issue in experiments is that common viscogens such as glycerol may significantly alter the stability of RNA molecules. Thus, in order to isolate the frictional effects, a condition of isostability has to be established by manipulating other experimental parameters such as temperature to compensate for the stability change caused by adding viscogens [23]. Ansari and Kuznetsov showed that, when corrected for stability changes, the rates of hairpin formation of a DNA sequence are proportional to $1/\eta$ [23]. Kramers' predictions at high η were also borne out in the folding of G-quadruplex DNA [24], and most recently in a tetraloop-receptor formation in RNA [25]. These studies show that nucleic acid folding might also be viewed as diffusion in an effective one-dimensional folding landscape.

In this paper, we consider frictional effects on RNA folding using coarse-grained (CG) simulations. We investigate the variations in rates of folding of a human telomerase hairpin (hTR HP) and an H-type pseudoknot from beet western yellow virus (BWYV PK) as a function of η . Because both the HP and PK fold by parallel pathways. our study allows us to examine whether frictional effects affect the flux through parallel pathways in RNA folding. Despite the differences in sequences and the folded structures, the dependence of $k_{\rm F}$ on η is quantitatively fit using Kramers' theory including the predicted turnover. The excellent agreement between theory and simulations allows us to estimate a speed limit for RNA, which we find to be $1 \sim 4 \,\mu s$. Surprisingly, we find that the flux through the pathways may be altered by changing solvent viscosity for both the HP and PK. The change in the flux is more pronounced for HP, especially at a temperature below the melting temperature. We argue that this prediction is amenable to experimental tests in RNA even though it has been difficult to demonstrate it for protein folding.



FIG. 1. (A) Secondary representation and sequence of human telomerase hairpin (hTR HP). The folded hairpin structure is on the right. Note that there are four noncanonical base pairs between S1 and S2. (B) Secondary structure of Beet Western Yellow Virus pseudoknot (BWYV PK). The tertiary structure of the PK is shown on the right. In the secondary structures, blue lines represent canonical base pairs (thick lines) and non-canonical pairs (dotted lines).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RNA Molecules: We choose a sequence that forms a hairpin (HP) with no tertiary interactions from the human telomerase (hTR) and an H-type BWYV pseudoknot (PK), which is a minimal RNA motif with tertiary interactions. The folding mechanisms of PKs are diverse [26], and they often reach the native structure by parallel pathways [27]. The use of two RNA molecules with different folded states, with both HP and PK folding occurring by parallel pathways, allows us to examine many consequences of viscosity effects on their folding. The structure of hTR HP (PDB ID 1NA2) has been determined using NMR (see Figure 1A) [28]. The folded structure of the BWYV PK is taken from the crystal structure (PDB ID 437D) [29]. The PK has 28 nucleotides forming two stems. The two loop regions have hydrogen bonding interactions with the stems (Figure 1B). We added an additional guanosine monophosphate to both the 5' and 3' terminus to minimize end effects. Thus, the simulated PK has 30 nucleotides.

Three Interaction Site (TIS) Model for RNA: We employed a variant of the TIS model, which has been previously used to make several quantitative predictions for RNA molecules ranging from hairpins to ribozymes [26, 30–32]. We incorporated the consequences of counterion condensation into the TIS model, allowing us to predict the thermodynamic properties of RNA hairpins and PKs that are in remarkable agreement with experiments [33]. Because the details of the model have been reported previously, we only provide a brief description here. In the TIS model [30], each nucleotide is represented by three coarse-grained spherical beads corresponding to phosphate (P), ribose sugar (S), and a base (B). Briefly, the effective potential energy (for details see Ref. [33]) of a given RNA conformation is $U_{\rm TIS} = U_{\rm L} + U_{\rm EV} + U_{\rm ST} + U_{\rm HB} + U_{\rm EL}$, where $U_{\rm L}$ accounts for chain connectivity and angular rotation of the polynucleic acids, $U_{\rm EV}$ accounts for excluded volume interactions of each chemical group, and $U_{\rm ST}$ and $U_{\rm HB}$ are the base-stacking and hydrogen-bond interactions, respectively.

Electrostatic interactions between the phosphate (P) The repulsive electrogroups are given by $U_{\rm EL}$. static interactions between the P sites are taken into account through the Debye-Hückel theory, $U_{\rm EL}$ = $\sum_{i,j} \frac{q^{*2}e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon(T)r_{ij}} \exp\left(-\frac{r_{ij}}{\lambda_{\rm D}}\right), \text{ where the Debye length is}$ $\lambda_{\rm D} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0\varepsilon(T)k_{\rm B}T}{2e^2N_{\rm A}I}}. \text{ In the present simulations, salt con-}$ centration (monovalent ions) is set to 200 mM, which is close to the physiological value. The ionic strength $I = \frac{1}{2} \sum c_i z_i^2$ where c_i is the molar concentration, and z_i is the charge number of ion *i*, and the sum is taken over all ion types. Following our earlier study [33], we used an experimentally fit function for the temperaturedependent dielectric constant $\varepsilon(T)$ [34]. To account for counterion condensation, we used a renormalized charge on the phosphate group, $-q^*e(q^* < 1)$. The renormalized value of the charge on the P group is approximately given by $-q^*(T)e = \frac{-be}{l_{\rm B}(T)}$, where the Bjerrum length is $l_{\rm B}(T) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon(T)k_{\rm B}T}$, and b is the mean distance between the charges on the phosphate groups [35]. We showed elsewhere [33] that a constant value of b = 0.44nm accounts for the thermodynamics of several RNA molecules, and is the value adopted here. All the forcefield parameters used here are the same as in our earlier study [33].

Simulation Details: We performed Langevin dynamics simulations by solving the equation of motion,

$$m\ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} = -\frac{\partial U_{\text{TIS}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} - \gamma \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \qquad (1)$$

where *m* is the mass of the particle, *x* is the coordinate, and Γ is a Gaussian random force that satisfies the fluctuation-dissipation relation given by $\langle \Gamma_i(t)\Gamma_j(t')\rangle = 6\gamma k_{\rm B}T\delta(t-t')\delta_{ij}$. The friction coefficient follows the Stokes-Einstein relation, $\gamma = 6\pi\eta R$, where *R* is the appropriate size of the coarse-grained bead (P, S and B) and η is the solvent viscosity. The numerical integration is performed using the velocity-Verlet algorithm [36].

In the high friction regime where $\eta = 10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s}$, we performed Brownian dynamics simulations [37] by neglecting the inertial term, since the dynamics is overdamped. In this limit, the equation of motion is,

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\partial U_{\text{TIS}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}.$$
 (2)

We used reduced units in the analysis of data [16]. In the TIS representation, we chose the mass of a bead m = 116 g/mol, the typical length scale a = 0.4 nm,

and the energy scale $\varepsilon = 1$ kcal/mol. Thus, the natural measure for time in Eq. 1 is $\tau = (ma^2)^{1/2} \sim 2$ ps. In the overdamped condition (Eq. 2), the natural unit of time is $\tau = \frac{\gamma a^2}{k_B T}$. We used this measure to obtain $\tau \approx 300$ ps for converting the simulation times to real times at the viscosity of water, $\eta_w = 10^{-3}$ Pa · s [38].

We confirmed that both Langevin dynamics and Brownian dynamics simulations give identical results at $\eta = 10^{-3}$ Pa·s, using simulations of hTR hairpin. The difference between the two simulations method is in the range of statistical error estimated by the jack-knife method. For example, the folding rate for hTR HP is $k_{\rm F} = 5.5 \pm 0.5 \,{\rm ms}^{-1}$ calculated from 100 trajectories generated using Brownian dynamics simulations and is $k_{\rm F} = 6.5 \pm 0.6 \,{\rm ms}^{-1}$ obtained from another set of 100 trajectories generated using Langevin dynamics simulations at $\eta = 10^{-3}$ Pa·s.

Hydrodynamic Interactions: In order to ensure that the results are robust, we did limited simulations of folding by including hydrodynamic interactions (HI). To take into account the effects of HI, we performed Brownian dynamics simulations using the following form with conformation-dependent mobility tensor,

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} = -\sum_{j} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{ij} \frac{\partial U_{\text{TIS}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{i}} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \qquad (3)$$

where μ , the mobility tensor, is computed using the Rotne-Prager-Yamakawa approximation [37],

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6\pi\eta R} & (i=j)\\ \frac{1}{8\pi\eta r_{ij}} \left[\left(\mathbf{1} + \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^2} \right) + \frac{2R^2}{r_{ij}^2} \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^2} \right) \right] & (i\neq j) \end{cases}$$
(4)

In the above equation, r_{ij} is a coordinate vector between beads *i* and *j*. Because coarse-grained beads in our TIS model have different radii (*R*) depending on the type of beads (phosphate, sugar, and bases) [33], we employed a modified form of μ developed by Zuk *et al.* [39].

Thermodynamics Properties: We performed temperature-replica-exchange simulations (T-REMD) [40] to calculate the heat capacity. Temperature was distributed from 0 to 120 °C with 16 replicas at 200 mM salt concentration. The T-REMD simulation is performed using a lower friction ($\eta = 10^{-5} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s}$) to enhance the efficiency of conformational sampling [36].

Order Parameter: In order to determine if a folding reaction is completed, we used the structural overlap function [41]

$$\chi = \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{i,j}^{N_p} H\left(d - \left|r_{ij} - r_{ij}^0\right|\right),$$
(5)

where H is the Heaviside step function, d = 0.25 nm is the tolerance, and r_{ij}^0 is the distance between particles i and j in the native structure. The summation is taken over all pairs of coarse-grained sites separated by two or more covalent bonds, and N_p is the number

TABLE I. Thermodynamic Properties of the RNAs.

	N	$T_{\rm L}$	$T_{\rm m1}$	$T_{\rm m2}$ ^a	$\langle \chi \rangle_{T_{\rm L}}$	$\Delta G^{\ddagger \ ab}$
hTR HP	31	22	$55 (54)^c$	$81 \ (74)^c$	0.63	5.1
BWYV PK	30	20	52	90	0.74	5.0

^{*a*} The temperatures are in the units of °C and ΔG^{\ddagger} in $k_{\rm B}T$. ^b The free energy barrier ΔG^{\ddagger} is estimated based on the number of nucleotides, N [42]. ^c For hTR hairpin, melting temperatures measured in experiments [28] are shown in parentheses.

of such pairs. The structural overlap function quantifies the similarity of a given conformations to the native conformation. It is unity if the conformation is identical to the native state. In T-quench kinetics simulations, if the value of the structural overlap function exceeds a threshold, $\langle \chi \rangle_{T_{\rm L}}$, the trajectory is deemed to be completed, and the folding time τ_i is recorded; $\langle \chi \rangle_{T_{\rm L}}$ is the thermodynamic average at the lower simulation temperature at which RNA molecules are predominantly folded (Table I). In addition to χ , we also calculated the average value of $\langle R_{\rm g} \rangle$, measurable in scattering experiments (SAXS or SANS), to assess the temperature dependence of compaction of the RNA molecules.

T-Quench Folding: To prepare the initial structural ensemble for T-quench simulations, we first performed low-friction Langevin dynamics simulations. The simulation temperatures are chosen to be 1.2 times higher than the second melting temperature (in Kelvin unit) to ensure that completely unfolded conformations are populated. After generating a sufficiently long trajectory to ensure that the chain has equilibrated, the unfolded conformations are sampled every 10^5 time steps. Finally, we collected hundreds of conformations, which were used as initial structures in the T-quench folding simulations.

In order to initiate folding, starting from an unfolded structure, we quenched the temperature to $T_{\rm S}$ and generated folding trajectories using Langevin or Brownian dynamics simulations by varying the solvent viscosity from $\eta = 3.2 \times 10^{-9}$ to 10^{-2} Pa·s (cf. water viscosity $\eta_{\rm w} \approx 10^{-3}$ Pa·s). The viscosity is directly related to the friction coefficient as $\gamma = 6\pi\eta R$ where R is the radius of coarse-grained beads. For each condition, at least 100 folding trajectories are generated. Folding time τ_i is measured by monitoring the overlap function, χ , in each trajectory i, and folding rates were calculated by averaging over M trajectories, $k_{\rm F} = \tau_{\rm MFPT}^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i}^{M}\tau_{i}\right)^{-1}$ [43]. We used two values of $T_{\rm S}$. One is $T_{\rm S} = T_{\rm m1}$, which is the lower melting temperature in the heat capacity curve (Figure 3), and the other is $T_{\rm S} = T_{\rm L} < T_{\rm m1}$, which is obtained by multiplying a factor 0.9 to T_{m1} in Kelvin unit. The values of $T_{\rm L}$ and $T_{\rm m1}$ are listed in Table I.

Data Analysis Using Kramers' Rate Theory: The simulation data for RNA is analyzed using Kramers' theory [14] in which RNA folding is pictured as a barrier crossing event in an effective one-dimensional landscape



FIG. 2. Schematic of effective one-dimensional landscape of RNA folding from the unfolded state (U) to the folded state (F). Parameters, ω_a and ω_b , determine curvatures of the free energy surface at the reactant basin (U) and the saddle point. ΔG^{\ddagger} is the height of the free energy barrier.

(see Figure 2). For our purposes here, it is not relevant to determine the optimal reaction coordinate because we estimate the values of the barrier heights theoretically and obtain the two frequencies ω_a and ω_b (see below for the definition) by fitting the simulation data to the theory. According to transition state theory (TST), the reaction rate is expressed as

$$k_{\rm TST} = \frac{\omega_a}{2\pi} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right),\tag{6}$$

where $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant and T is the temperature. The rate, k_{TST} , gives us an upper bound of the true reaction rate since in the TST there are no recrossing events once RNA reaches the saddle point [1, 2].

The Kramers' folding rate in the intermediate to high η range may be written as

$$k_{\rm KR} = \frac{\omega_a}{2\pi\omega_b} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} + \omega_b^2} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right), \quad (7)$$

where γ is the friction coefficient and ω_a and ω_b are the parameters that determine curvatures of the free energy surface at the reactant basin and saddle point (maximum in the free energy surface), respectively (Figure 2). It is assumed that, in the vicinity of the saddle point, the free energy may be approximated by a parabola G(x) = $G(x_b) - \frac{1}{2}m\omega_b^2(x_b - x)^2$ where x is an unknown reaction coordinate, x_b is the position of the saddle, and $m\omega_b^2 =$ $-\frac{\partial^2 G(x)}{\partial x^2}.$ In the high friction limit,

$$k_{\rm KR}^{\rm H} \sim \frac{\omega_a}{2\pi} \frac{\omega_b}{\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \quad \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \gg \omega_b\right), \qquad (8)$$

which shows that the folding rate should depend on the inverse of the friction coefficient. When the friction is small, the rate linearly approaches the TST limit, $k_{\rm KR}^{\rm M} \sim$ $\frac{\omega_a}{2\pi} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) = k_{\rm TST} \quad \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \ll \omega_b\right).$

If we further consider the time scale at which local equilibrium is achieved (very weak damping limit), the

$$k_{\rm KR}^{\rm L} \sim \gamma \frac{\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right).$$
 (9)

In this regime, barrier crossing is controlled by energy diffusion [44], and the TST is no longer valid. These extremely well-known results, used to analyze the simulations, can be summarized as follows: Kramers' theory predicts that $k_{\rm KR}^{\rm L} \propto \gamma$ in the low friction regime, $k_{\rm KR}$ reaches a maximum in moderate friction $(k_{\rm KR}^{\rm M})$, and $k_{\rm KR}^{\rm H} \propto \gamma^{-1}$ in the high friction regime. The folding rates over the entire range of γ can be fit using [45]

$$k_{\rm F}^{-1} = k_{\rm KR}^{\rm L}{}^{-1} + k_{\rm TST}{}^{-1} + k_{\rm KR}^{\rm H}{}^{-1}.$$
 (10)

Barrier Heights in the Folding Landscape: In order to use Eq. 7–10 to analyze simulation data, the free energy barrier to folding has to be calculated. However, estimating barrier heights is nontrivial in complex systems because the precise reaction coordinate is difficult to calculate or guess, particularly for RNA in which ion effects play a critical role in the folding reaction. In order to avoid choosing a specific reaction coordinate, we appeal to theory to calculate the effective barrier height. One of us has shown [46], which has been confirmed by other studies [47], that for proteins the free energy barrier $\approx \sqrt{N}$ where N is the number of amino acids. In the context of RNA folding, we showed that there is a robust relationship between the number of nucleotides (N) and the folding rates, $k_{\rm F} \approx k_0 \exp(-\alpha N^{0.5})$ [42]. Experimental data of folding rates spanning 7 orders of magnitude (with N varying from 8 to 414) were well fit to the theory using $\alpha = 0.91$ and $k_0^{-1} = 0.87 \,\mu \text{s}$ (speed limit for RNA folding) [42]. Therefore, in this study, we estimate the free energy barrier based on N alone. A clear advantage of using the theoretical estimate is that it eliminates the need to devise a reaction coordinate. The values of the barrier height for the two RNAs are given in Table I.

In summary, our strategy in this study is to (1) conduct folding simulations using the TIS RNA model to obtain the folding rates by varying the solvent viscosity and then (2) examine the applicability of the Kramers' theory to RNA folding by fitting the rates using Eqs. 7 to 10. In order to calculate the reaction rates in Kramers' theory, the barrier height ΔG^{\ddagger} and frequencies ω_a and ω_b are needed (Eq. 7). This would require an appropriate reaction coordinate to characterize the folding landscape. We eliminate the need for creating a specific reaction coordinate by estimating ΔG^{\ddagger} from the length of RNA (N) based on a previous study [42] and by using the other two quantities ω_a and ω_b as fitting parameters.

RESULTS

Thermal Denaturation: We calculated the heat capacities of the HP and the PK at the monovalent salt



FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of thermodynamic properties at 200 mM of monovalent salt concentration. (A, B) Heat capacity of (A) hTR HP and (B) BWYV PK. The red lines are heat capacities, C(T), computed from the T-REMD simulations. Black lines in panel A are UV absorbance melting profiles ($\delta A/\delta T$) at 260 nm (dotted line) and 280 nm (dotdash line) experimentally reported elsewhere [28]. The scale of $\delta A/\delta T$ is not relevant because we only compare the positions of the peaks. The melting temperatures for the HP are given in Table I. For the PK, the values of T_m are predictions. (C, D) Structural overlap functions (χ , red solid) and radius of gyration (R_g , blue dashed). (E, F) Populations of folded (purple), intermediate (green and cyan), and unfolded states (yellow) as functions of T.

concentration of 200 mM (Figure 3). The heat capacities have two distinct peaks, which indicate there is at least one intermediate between the unfolded and folded states. This finding is consistent with previous experimental and simulation studies [28, 48, 49]. From the position of the peaks, we determined the two melting temperatures, T_{m1} and T_{m2} , whose values are listed in Table I. It should not go unnoticed that the melting temperatures, T_{m1} and T_{m2} , for the hTR HP are in excellent agreement with experiments, demonstrating the effectiveness of TIS model in predicting the thermodynamic properties of RNA. The experimental heat capacity curve is not available for BWYV PK at 200 mM salt concentration, and hence the values reported in Table I serve as predictions.

Viscosity Dependence of the Folding Rates, Kramers Turnover and Absence of Internal Friction: Friction dependent folding rates obtained from the T-quench simulations are shown in Figure 4. In



FIG. 4. Friction (viscosity) dependence of folding rates at simulation temperatures $T_{\rm S} = T_{\rm L}$ and $T_{\rm m1}$ for hTR HP (A, B) and BWYV PK (C, D). See Table I for the numerical values of $T_{\rm S}$. Folding rates (blue circles) are normalized by the values from the transition state theory, $k_{\rm TST}$ (Eq. 6). Error bars, which are presented with 95% confidence level for each data point, lie within the size of the circles. The data in the moderate to high friction regime ($\eta \ge 10^{-6}$ Pa·s) were fit to Eq. 7 (lines in cyan). The data in the low friction regime ($\eta \le 10^{-7.5}$ Pa·s) were fit to Eq. 9 except rates of BWYV PK at $T_{\rm L}$. With use of ω_a and ω_b by fitting to Eq. 7, the rates for the entire range of η are well represented by the connecting formula, Eq. 10 (dashed line). The results of Brownian dynamics simulations with hydrodynamic interactions at the water viscosity ($\eta = 10^{-3}$ Pa·s) are shown in red in panels B and D for hTR HP and BWYV PK, respectively.

TABLE II. Fitting Parameters.

		ω_a	ω_b	α	$\frac{\omega_a \omega_b}{2\pi\gamma}$ at η_w
hTR HP	$T_{\rm L}~(22^{\circ}{\rm C})$	0.38	0.87	0.75	$3.1 \ \mu { m s}^{-1}$
	$T_{\rm m1}~(55^{\circ}{\rm C})$	0.062	2.6	0.86	$1.5 \ \mu \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
BWYV PK	$T_{\rm L}~(20^{\circ}{\rm C})$	0.018	1.2	0.094	$0.19 \ \mu { m s}^{-1}$
	$T_{\rm m1}~(52^{\circ}{\rm C})$	0.017	1.7	0.65	$0.27 \ \mu { m s}^{-1}$

the high friction regime, $\eta \gtrsim 10^{-5}$ Pa·s, the folding rates $k_{\rm F}$ decrease as the friction is increased. This behavior is found in both HP and PK at both temperatures, $T_{\rm L}$ and $T_{\rm m1}$. In the moderate friction regime,

As η decreases, there is a maximum in the rate followed by a decrease in $k_{\rm F}$ at low η , which shows the expected Kramers turnover (Figure 4). For $\eta \leq 10^{-7}$ Pa·s, the de $10^{-7} \leq \eta \leq 10^{-5}$ Pa·s, the folding rates reach maximum values. For $\eta \geq 10^{-6}$ Pa·s, we fit the values of $k_{\rm F}$ to Eq. 7 with $\Delta G^{\ddagger} \approx 0.91 \sqrt{N} k_{\rm B} T$. By adjustment of the two free parameters, ω_a and ω_b , Eq. 7 quantitatively accounts for the simulation data (lines in cyan in Figure 4, parameters are summarized in Table II). Thus, the variation of $k_{\rm F} \propto \eta^{-1}$ in the high friction regime shows that Kramers' theory accurately describes the dependence of the folding rates on η of these two RNA constructs. We conclude that even for RNA, driven by electrostatic interactions, folding could be pictured as a diffusive process in an effective one-dimensional landscape. The quantitative account of simulation data on $k_{\rm F}$ using Kramers' theory at high η shows the absence of internal friction in the folding process of these RNA constructs.

pendence of the rates is $k_{\rm F} \propto \eta^{\alpha}$ with a positive α (lines in purple in Figure 4, and values of α are in Table II). In contrast to the high friction case, the low η depen-



FIG. 5. Variations in the flux through the two pathways as a function of viscosity: (A) hTR HP and (B) BWYV PK. The definition of states for each RNA is schematically shown on the right. (A) hTR HP structure naturally splits into two helices, S1 and S2 (Figure 1A). The folding pathways are classified if either S1 forms first or S2 forms first. The fraction Φ is the number of trajectories in which S1 forms first divided by the total number of trajectories. (B) BWYV PK has two hairpin stems, S1 and S2, allowing us to classify the pathways in the same manner as in panel A. The error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

dence, that is, α value, varies with each RNA molecule. When the ω_a and ω_b obtained from the fitting to Eq. 7 in the high friction regime are used, the rates for the entire range of η are well described by the connection formula, Eq. 10 (dashed line in Figure 4). At $T = T_{m1}$, the low η dependence is in quantitative accord with the theory. This is remarkable because there is no additional fitting parameter in Eq. 10 to account for the dependence of η^{α} in the low η regime. Although there are some deviations at $T = T_{\rm L}$ case, the overall rate dependence showing turnover at moderate friction is well characterized by the Kramers' theory.

Viscosity Effects on Hairpin Folding Pathways: The hTR HP has two regions of consecutive canonical base pairs, which we label stem 1 (S1) and stem 2 (S2) (Figure 1A). Four noncanonical base pairs are flanked by S1 and S2. Because of the differences in base pairing between these regions, the folding pathways may be visualized in terms of formation of S1 and S2 separately. It is clear that S1 is more stable than S2, and we expect

Interestingly, at the lower temperature $T_{\rm L}$, we find that Φ changes substantially as η increases (Figure 5). At η in the neighborhood of $\eta_{\rm w}$, Φ is only ≈ 0.2 , which im-

the former to form first in the folding process according to the stability principle suggested by Cho, Pincus, and Thirumalai (CPT) [26]. In order to assess if the difference in stability leads to friction-induced changes in the flux between the two pathways (S1 forms before S2 or *vice versa*), we calculated the fraction of pathways (Φ) from the folding trajectories, which is obtained by counting the number of trajectories that reach the folded state by first forming S1. We found different trends between the two temperatures (Figure 5). At T_{m1} , the dominant pathway (labeled I) is characterized by formation of the more stable S1 at all values of η . The flux through I is ≈ 0.8 at η values close to $\eta_{\rm w}$ (the water viscosity) and that of minor pathway (II) $(1 - \Phi) \approx 0.2$ in which S2 forms first followed by S1 (figure 5A). This finding is in accord with the expectation based on the relative stabilities of S1 and S2 [26]. The dominance of pathway I at $T_{\rm m1}$ suggests that folding starts away from the loop with the formation of a base pair between nucleotides G1 and C29 and the HP forms by a zipping process.

plies that at $T_{\rm L}$ folding predominantly occurs in the less dominant pathway (II), by first forming the less stable S2. This finding may be understood using our previous



FIG. 6. A typical folding trajectory of hTR HP simulated at high viscosity ($\eta = 10^{-3} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s}$) with $T_{\text{S}} = T_{\text{L}}$. Time series in panel A shows the structural overlap function, and that in panel B shows the number of base pairs formed in each stem region (blue, stem 2; cyan, noncanonical; green, stem 1) along with several snapshots of representative conformations. In this trajectory, S2 transiently forms three times before the RNA folds. The folding was initiated with the formation of S2, followed by the non-canonical base pairs and S1 at last. (**B**, inset) Averaged number of the transient S2 formations before hTR HP reaches the folded state depending on η .

study on P5GA, a 22-nucleotide RNA hairpin containing only WC base pairs [38]. We found that, although there are multiple ways for P5GA to fold, the most probable route is through formation of a short loop (SL) that initiates nucleation of base pair formation involving nucleotides close to the loop. With that finding in mind, we can rationalize the flux changes at $T_{\rm L}$. The entropy loss (ΔS) due to loop closure, which in hTR HP would bring the two uracil bases (Figure 1) close enough to initiate a G–C base pair (nucleation step), would be small $(T\Delta S \approx k_{\rm B}T\ln 5)$. Once the G–C base pair near the loop forms, zipping occurs leading to HP formation. At $T_{\rm m1} > T_{\rm L}$, S1 formation occurs first, which necessarily involves long loop (LL) formation that brings 5' and 3'ends close. At high temperature this process is facile even though $T\Delta S \approx k_{\rm B}T \ln 30$. When the 5' and 3' are close, the highly favorable enthalpy gain due to the formation of a number of favorable WC base pairs compensates for the entropy loss due LL formation.

Viscosity Alters the Flux through the Parallel Routes in BWYV PK Folding: In BWYV PK folding, there are two potential intermediates, I1 characterized by the formation of the more stable stem 1 or I2 where only stem 2 is formed. In Figure 5B, we show Φ

The argument given above to explain the Φ values at $T_{\rm L}$ can be substantiated by analyzing a typical folding trajectory at $\eta_{\rm w} = 10^{-3} \, \text{Pa} \cdot \text{s}$ shown in Figure 6. Before folding occurs, there are several (three times in this particular trajectory) attempts to form S2 involving the favorable SL, as found in P5GA hairpin [38]. This step is the expected initiation step in helix nucleation. However, formation of S2, needed for growth of the helix, is disrupted because S2 is inherently unstable. Consequently, I2 unfolds and pauses in that state for a long time (Figure 6). In the fourth attempt, the formation of two base pairs near the loop is followed by formation of the noncanonical base pairs, followed by S1, resulting in the folding of the HP. Interestingly, the transient S2 formation is only observed at the higher friction regime (Figure 6B, inset). At $\eta > 10^{-4}$ Pa · s, there are, on average, 5 \sim 10 attempts of S2 formation before the RNA folds, whereas it does not apparently occur at lower η , which is dominated by energy diffusion.

as a function of viscosity. In contrast to the hTR HP case, the pathway through I1 is always dominant at all values of η at both the temperatures. At the viscosity of water, the fraction $\Phi \approx 0.8$. This result is consistent with experimental studies indicating that I1 is the major

intermediate [50, 51]. Our previous study also showed that the thermal and mechanical (un)folding occur predominantly through the I1 state [49]. The present results show that I1 state is not only thermodynamically stable, but also the major kinetic intermediate. Folding of the PK, which occurs by parallel pathways, with the dominant one being U \rightarrow I1 \rightarrow F ($\Phi \approx 0.8$ at η_w , for example). In contrast to hTR HP, the loop entropy in the PK is comparable (Figure 1), and hence the flux between the two pathways is determined by the CPT stability principle [26].

In the dominant pathway, the folding occurs by the following two steps (see Supplemental Movie): (i) stem 1 folds rapidly after *T*-quench ($\langle \tau_{\rm U\to I} \rangle = 0.02 \,\mathrm{ms}$ at $\eta = 10^{-3}$) forming the intermediate (I1) state, and then (ii) stem 2 folds after a substantial waiting time ($\langle \tau_{\rm I\to F} \rangle = 0.95 \,\mathrm{ms}$). Since there is a large gap in the time scale between the two transitions, the rate of the whole process (U \rightarrow F) is dominated by the second rate determining phase ($\tau_{\rm MFPT} \approx 1 \,\mathrm{ms}$).

Frictional Effects on Individual Steps in Fold*ing:* We have already shown that the rates for the whole folding process $(U \rightarrow F)$ of BWYV PK depend on the viscosity in accord with Kramers' theory (Figure 4). Since there is a major intermediate, I1, in the reaction process, we analyzed the folding rates by decomposing folding into two consecutive reactions, $U \rightarrow I$ and $I \rightarrow F$. Figure 7 shows the frictional dependence of the folding rates for the two transitions; $k_{I \rightarrow F}$ shows almost the same behavior as $k_{U\to F}$ since the two time scales are essentially the same (compare Figure 7 and Figure 4 C, D). It is interesting that the rate of the faster transition, $k_{U \rightarrow I}$, also exhibits the Kramers-type dependence especially in the high friction regime, that is, $k_{\rm F} \propto \eta^{-1}$ for $\eta \gtrsim 10^{-5}$ Pa·s. This result indicates that, even if the folding reaction involves intermediates, (i) the entire rate still exhibits the Kramers-type dependence, at least in a case that one of the substeps is rate limiting, and (ii) a substep that is not rate determining to the entire rate constant may also show Kramers-type viscosity dependence.

DISCUSSION

Effect of Hydrodynamic Interactions: In order to ensure that our conclusions are robust, we also examined the effect of hydrodynamic interactions by performing simulations only at the water viscosity ($\eta_w = 10^{-3} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s}$) for both hTR HP and BWYV PK. As shown in Figure 4 (red circles in B and D), the hydrodynamic interaction (HI) accelerates the folding rates, but its effect is not as significant as changing the viscosity. At η_w , hTR HP folds with $k_{\rm F} \sim 9.5 \, {\rm ms}^{-1}$ with HI, whereas $k_{\rm F} \sim 6.5 \, {\rm ms}^{-1}$ without HI. Thus, the reaction is about 1.5 times faster if HI is included. In BWYV PK case, $k_{\rm F} \sim 1.9 \, {\rm ms}^{-1}$ with HI, whereas $k_{\rm F} \sim 1.1 \, {\rm ms}^{-1}$ without HI, leading to a factor of ~1.7 increase, which is similar to the hTR HP case.



FIG. 7. For BWYV PK, folding rates are individually calculated for two sequential structural transitions through the intermediate, $U \rightarrow I$ (upper panels) and $I \rightarrow F$ (lower panels). The results of the whole process, $U \rightarrow F$, are shown in Figure 4C, D.

Changes in Viscosity Alter the Flux between Parallel Assembly of RNA: It is well accepted that RNA in general and PK in particular fold by parallel pathways [26, 27, 52]. Recently, it was shown unambiguously that monovalent cations could change the flux to the folded state between the two pathways in the VPK pseudoknot. Surprisingly, we find here (see Figure 5) that Φ could be also altered by changing the viscosity for both the HP and the PK. Although the same prediction was made in the context of protein folding [16], it is difficult to measure η dependence of Φ because the secondary structures in proteins are not usually stable in the absence of tertiary interactions. This is not the case in RNA. For instance, S1 and S2 are independently stable and hence their folding could be investigated by excising them from the intact RNA. Consequently, Φ as a function of η can be measured. Based on the results in Figure 5 showing that by varying η or η and T, our prediction could be tested either for the hTR HP or the extensively studied PK (BWYV or VPK). For example, at $T_{\rm L}$ we find that Φ changes from 0.2 to 0.4 as η is varied over a broad range for hTR HP. Although not quite as dramatic, the changes in Φ are large enough for BWYV PK to be detectable. The stabilities of the independently folding S1 and S2 constructs can be also altered by mutations. For instance, by converting some of the non-canonical base pairs neighboring S2 to WC base pairs in the hTR HP would increase the stability of S2. Because there are a variety of ways (concentration of ions, temperature, and mutations) of altering the independently folding units of RNA, our prediction that Φ changes with η could be readily tested experimentally.

Speed Limit for RNA Folding: Based on the idea that a protein cannot fold any faster than the fastest time in which a contact between residues that has the largest

probability of forming, it has been shown that the speed limit ($\tau_{\rm SL}$) for protein folding is $\tau_{\rm SL} \approx 1 \,\mu s$ [53]. With the observation that the typical folding barrier height scales as \sqrt{N} (see Eq. 10 in Ref. [46]) and analyses of experimental data [54], it was shown that $\tau_{\rm SL} \approx \tau_0 \approx (1-10) \mu s$, where $\tau_0 = \frac{2\pi\gamma}{\omega_a\omega_b}$ is the inverse of the prefactor in Eq. 8. A similar style of analysis of the experimental data shows that for RNA $\tau_{\rm SL}$ \approx 1 μs [42]. Here, an estimate of $\tau_{\rm SL} \approx \frac{2\pi\gamma}{\omega_a\omega_b}$ using the values of ω_a and ω_b in Table II and γ corresponding to water viscosity yields 0.7 μ s for the HP and 3.7 μ s for the PK. Alternatively, the value of $\tau_{\rm SL} = k_0^{-1}$ where $k_0 = k_{\rm F} \exp(0.91 N^{0.5})$ ($k_{\rm F}$ is the folding rate obtained using simulations) gives $\tau_{\rm SL} \approx 1 \ \mu {\rm s}$ for the HP and $\tau_{\rm SL} \approx 6 \ \mu s$ for the PK. If $\tau_{\rm SL}$ is equated with the transition path time, then we can compare estimates made for DNA hairpins [55] and for RNA constructs (several PKs and the *add* riboswitch) [56] obtained using single molecule experiments. The values range from about 1 to 10 μ s. Thus, there are compelling reasons to assert from the present and previous theoretical and experimental studies that an RNA cannot fold any faster than about 1 μ s.

Influence of Dielectric Friction: In this article, we have treated the electrostatic interactions implicitly, and hence only systematic and viscous dissipative forces act on the interaction sites of RNA. We have not considered the effects of dielectric friction, which could be significant even for an ion moving in an electrolyte solution [57–60]. In RNA folding, the many body nature of the problem makes it difficult to estimate the magnitude of the dielectric friction. There are multiple ions, with significant ion-ion correlations, that condense onto the RNA in a specific manner dictated by the architecture of the native fold [32]. The magnitude of dielectric friction in this many body system of highly correlated ions could be significant, which in turn could affect the kinetics of RNA folding. Despite this important issue, which has not been investigated to our knowledge, it is comforting to note that experiments as well as simulations reporting viscosity effects on RNA folding appear to be in accord with Kramers' theory.

Transmission Coefficients: The ratio $\kappa = \frac{k_{\rm F}}{k_{\rm TST}}$ shown in Figure 4 can be as small as $\approx 10^{-3}$, in the high viscosity region. Recently, based on transition path velocity as a measure of recrossing dynamics [61], the values of κ have been measured in single molecule pulling experiments [62] for several DNA hairpins. By fixing the mechanical force at the transition midpoint, where the probability of being folded and unfolded are equal, the folding trajectories were used to estimate that $\kappa \approx 10^{-5}$ [62]. For RNA hairpins, it is known that folding times obtained by T-quench are larger by at least 1 order of magnitude relative to times obtained by quenching the force [30]. Thus, the calculated values of κ are not inconsistent with experiments on DNA hairpins under force. It would be most interesting to examine the viscosity dependence of $k_{\rm F}$ by maintaining the RNA molecules under tension.

CONCLUSIONS

Using the TIS coarse-grained model, we investigated the thermodynamics and folding kinetics of a hairpin and an H-type pseudoknot RNA molecule, focusing on the dependence of the folding rates on the solvent viscosity. From temperature-quench folding simulations, we showed that the folding rates follow the so-called Kramers turnover; the rate increases in the low friction regime and decreases at high friction, with a maximum rate at moderate friction. For both the hairpin and the pseudoknot, the dependence of the folding rates between moderate and high friction regime is robust and is in accord with the Kramers' theory. We find clear η^{-1} dependence in the folding rates, leaving little doubt that RNA folding involves a diffusive search in an effective low dimensional folding landscape.

A major potentially testable prediction is that in the η values that are accessible in experiments the flux between pathways by which RNA folds depends on η . Because the stabilities of the individual stems could be altered in RNA easily, our prediction is amenable to experimental test.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Movie of a representative trajectory of BWYV PK folding.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

D.T. thanks Bill Eaton for numerous discussions over the years about the role of friction in many problems involving biomolecular dynamics. N.H. is grateful to Debayan Chakraborty, Mauro Mugnai, and Huong Vu for valuable discussions. We thank the Texas Advanced Computing Center at The University of Texas at Austin for providing computational resources. This work was supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation (CHE 16-36424). D.T. also acknowledges additional support from the Collie-Welch Regents Chair (F-0019) administered through the Welch Foundation.

- B. J. Berne, M. Borkovec, and J. E. Straub, "Classical and modern methods in reaction rate theory," J. Phys. Chem. 92, 3711–3725 (1988).
- [2] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, "Reaction-rate theory: Fifty years after Kramers," Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 251 (1990).
- [3] A. Ansari, C. M. Jones, E. R. Henry, J. Hofrichter, and W. A. Eaton, "The role of solvent viscosity in the dynamics of protein conformational changes," Science 256, 1796–1798 (1992).
- [4] P.-G. De Gennes, Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics (Cornell University Press, 1979).
- [5] A. Soranno, B. Buchli, D. Nettels, R. R. Cheng, S. Müller-Späth, S. H. Pfeil, A. Hoffmann, E. A. Lipman, D. E. Makarov, and B. Schuler, "Quantifying internal friction in unfolded and intrinsically disordered proteins with single-molecule spectroscopy," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 17800–17806 (2012).
- [6] H. S. Chung and W. A. Eaton, "Single-molecule fluorescence probes dynamics of barrier crossing," Nature 502, 685–688 (2013).
- [7] B. Schuler, A. Soranno, H. Hofmann, and D. Nettels, "Single-molecule FRET spectroscopy and the polymer physics of unfolded and intrinsically disordered proteins," Annu. Rev. Biophys. 45, 207–231 (2016).
- [8] A. Soranno, A. Holla, F. Dingfelder, D. Nettels, D. E. Makarov, and B. Schuler, "Integrated view of internal friction in unfolded proteins from single-molecule FRET, contact quenching, theory, and simulations," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **114**, E1833–E1839 (2017).
- [9] D. E. Sagnella, J. E. Straub, and D. Thirumalai, "Time scales and pathways for kinetic energy relaxation in solvated proteins: Application to carbonmonoxy myoglobin," J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 7702–7711 (2000).
- [10] I. Echeverria, D. E. Makarov, and G. A. Papoian, "Concerted dihedral rotations give rise to internal friction in unfolded proteins," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 8708–8713 (2014).
- [11] W. Zheng, D. De Sancho, T. Hoppe, and R. B. Best, "Dependence of internal friction on folding mechanism," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 3283–3290 (2015).
- [12] S. M. Avdoshenko, A. Das, R. Satija, G. A. Papoian, and D. E. Makarov, "Theoretical and computational validation of the Kuhn barrier friction mechanism in unfolded proteins," Sci. Rep. 7, 269 (2017).
- [13] J. O. Daldrop, J. Kappler, F. N. Bruenig, and R. R. Netz, "Butane dihedral angle dynamics in water is dominated by internal friction," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 5169–5174 (2018).
- [14] H. A. Kramers, "Brownian motion in a field of force and the diffusion model of chemical reactions," Physica 7, 284–304 (1940).
- [15] R. Grote and J. T. Hynes, "The stable states picture of chemical reactions ii. rate constants for condensed and gas-phase reaction models," J. Chem. Phys. 73, 2715– 2732 (1980).
- [16] D. K. Klimov and D. Thirumalai, "Viscosity dependence of the folding rates of proteins," Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 317–320 (1997).
- [17] S. S. Plotkin and P. G. Wolynes, "Non-markovian configurational diffusion and reaction coordinates for protein

folding," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5015 (1998).

- [18] M. Jacob, M. Geeves, G. Holtermann, and F. X. Schmid, "Diffusional barrier crossing in a two-state protein folding reaction," Nat. Struct. Biol. 6, 923 (1999).
- [19] A. G. Ladurner and A. R. Fersht, "Upper limit of the time scale for diffusion and chain collapse in chymotrypsin inhibitor 2," Nat. Struct. Biol. 6, 28–31 (1999).
- [20] K. W. Plaxco, I. S. Millett, D. J. Segel, S. Doniach, and D. Baker, "Chain collapse can occur concomitantly with the rate-limiting step in protein folding," Nat. Struct. Biol. 6, 554–556 (1999).
- [21] N. D. Socci, J. N. Onuchic, and P. G. Wolynes, "Diffusive dynamics of the reaction coordinate for protein folding funnels," J. Chem. Phys. **104**, 5860–5868 (1996).
- [22] R. B. Best and G. Hummer, "Diffusive model of protein folding dynamics with Kramers turnover in rate," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 228104 (2006).
- [23] A. Ansari and S. V. Kuznetsov, "Is hairpin formation in single-stranded polynucleotide diffusion-controlled?" J. Phys. Chem. B 109, 12982–12989 (2005).
- [24] F. M. Lannan, I. Mamajanov, and N. V. Hud, "Human telomere sequence DNA in water-free and high-viscosity solvents: G-quadruplex folding governed by Kramers rate theory," J. Am. Chem. Soc. **134**, 15324–15330 (2012).
- [25] N. F. Dupuis, E. D. Holmstrom, and D. J. Nesbitt, "Tests of Kramers' theory at the single-molecule level: Evidence for folding of an isolated RNA tertiary interaction at the viscous speed limit," J. Phys. Chem. B (2018), 10.1021/acs.jpcb.8b04014, in press.
- [26] S. S. Cho, D. L. Pincus, and D. Thirumalai, "Assembly mechanisms of RNA pseudoknots are determined by the stabilities of constituent secondary structures," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **106**, 17349–54 (2009).
- [27] J. Roca, N. Hori, S. Baral, Y. Velmurugu, R. Narayanan, P. Narayanan, D. Thirumalai, and A. Ansari, "Monovalent ions modulate the flux through multiple folding pathways of an RNA pseudoknot," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, E7313–E7322 (2018).
- [28] C. A. Theimer, L. D. Finger, L. Trantirek, and J. Feigon, "Mutations linked to dyskeratosis congenita cause changes in the structural equilibrium in telomerase RNA," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 449–454 (2003).
- [29] L. Su, L. Chen, M. Egli, J. M. Berger, and A. Rich, "Minor groove RNA triplex in the crystal structure of a ribosomal frameshifting viral pseudoknot," Nat. Struct. Biol. 6, 285–292 (1999).
- [30] C. Hyeon and D. Thirumalai, "Mechanical unfolding of RNA hairpins," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 6789–94 (2005).
- [31] S. Biyun, S. S. Cho, and D. Thirumalai, "Folding of human telomerase RNA pseudoknot using ion-jump and temperature-quench simulations," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 20634–43 (2011).
- [32] N. A. Denesyuk and D. Thirumalai, "How do metal ions direct ribozyme folding?" Nat. Chem. 7, 793–801 (2015).
- [33] N. A. Denesyuk and D. Thirumalai, "Coarse-grained model for predicting RNA folding thermodynamics," J. Phys. Chem. B 117, 4901–4911 (2013).
- [34] C. G. Malmberg and A. A. Maryott, "Dielectric constant of water from 0° to 100° C," J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand.

56, 1–8 (1956).

- [35] G. Manning, "Limiting laws and counterion condensation in polyelectrolyte solutions I. Colligative properties," J. Chem. Phys. 51, 924 (1969).
- [36] J. D. Honeycutt and D. Thirumalai, "The nature of folded states of globular proteins," Biopolymers 32, 695– 709 (1992).
- [37] D. L. Ermak and J. A. Mccammon, "Brownian dynamics with hydrodynamic interactions," J. Chem. Phys. 69, 1352–1360 (1978).
- [38] C. Hyeon and D. Thirumalai, "Multiple probes are required to explore and control the rugged energy landscape of RNA hairpins," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 1538– 1539 (2008).
- [39] P. J. Zuk, E. Wajnryb, K. A. Mizerski, and P. Szymczak, "Rotne–Prager–Yamakawa approximation for differentsized particles in application to macromolecular bead models," J. Fluid Mech. **741**, R5 (2014).
- [40] Y. Sugita and Y. Okamoto, "Replica-exchange molecular dynamics method for protein folding," Chem. Phys. Lett. 314, 141–151 (1999).
- [41] C. J. Camacho and D. Thirumalai, "Kinetics and thermodynamics of folding in model proteins," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90, 6369–6372 (1993).
- [42] C. Hyeon and D. Thirumalai, "Chain length determines the folding rates of RNA," Biophys. J. 102, L11–L13 (2012).
- [43] P. Reimann, G. J. Schmid, and P. Hänggi, "Universal equivalence of mean first-passage time and Kramers rate," Phys. Rev. E 60, R1–4 (1999).
- [44] R. W. Zwanzig, "Contribution to the theory of Brownian motion," Phys. Fluids 2, 12–19 (1959).
- [45] M. Borkovec and B. J. Berne, "Collisional model for diatomic recombination reactions," J. Phys. Chem. 89, 3994–3998 (1985).
- [46] D. Thirumalai, "From minimal models to real proteins: time scales for protein folding kinetics," J. Phys. I 5, 1457–1467 (1995).
- [47] K. A. Dill, K. Ghosh, and J. D. Schmit, "Physical limits of cells and proteomes," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 17876–17882 (2011).
- [48] N. A. Denesyuk and D. Thirumalai, "Crowding promotes the switch from hairpin to pseudoknot conformation in human telomerase RNA," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 11858– 11861 (2011).

- [49] N. Hori, N. A. Denesyuk, and D. Thirumalai, "Salt effects on the thermodynamics of a frameshifting RNA pseudoknot under tension," J. Mol. Biol. 428, 2847–2859 (2016).
- [50] P. L. Nixon and D. P. Giedroc, "Energetics of a strongly pH dependent RNA tertiary structure in a frameshifting pseudoknot," J. Mol. Biol. **296**, 659–671 (2000).
- [51] A. M. Soto, V. Misra, and D. E. Draper, "Tertiary structure of an RNA pseudoknot is stabilized by "diffuse" Mg²⁺ ions," Biochemistry 46, 2973–2983 (2007).
- [52] J. Pan, D. Thirumalai, and S. A. Woodson, "Folding of RNA involves parallel pathways," J. Mol. Biol. 273, 7–13 (1997).
- [53] J. Kubelka, J. Hofrichter, and W. Eaton, "The protein folding 'speed limit'," Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 14, 76–88 (2004).
- [54] M. S. Li, D. Klimov, and D. Thirumalai, "Thermal denaturation and folding rates of single domain proteins: size matters," Polymer 45, 573–579 (2004).
- [55] K. Truex, H. S. Chung, J. M. Louis, and W. A. Eaton, "Testing landscape theory for biomolecular processes with single molecule fluorescence spectroscopy," Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 018101 (2015).
- [56] K. Neupane, D. B. Ritchie, H. Yu, D. A. N. Foster, F. Wang, and M. T. Woodside, "Transition path times for nucleic acid folding determined from energylandscape analysis of single-molecule trajectories," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 068102 (2012).
- [57] R. Zwanzig, "Dielectric friction on a moving ion," J. Chem. Phys. 38, 1603–1605 (1963).
- [58] R. Zwanzig, "Dielectric friction on a moving ion. ii. revised theory," J. Chem. Phys. 52, 3625–3268 (1970).
- [59] J. Hubbard and L. Onsager, "Dielectric dispersion and dielectric friction in electrolyte solutions. i." J. Chem. Phys. 67, 4850–4857 (1977).
- [60] J. B. Hubbard and P. G. Wolynes, "Dielectric friction and molecular reorientation," J. Chem. Phys. 69, 998– 1006 (1978).
- [61] A. M. Berezhkovskii and D. E. Makarov, "Communication: Transition-path velocity as an experimental measure of barrier crossing dynamics," J. Chem. Phys. 148, 201102 (2018).
- [62] K. Neupane, N. Q. Hoffer, and M. T. Woodside, "Measuring the local velocity along transition paths during the folding of single biological molecules," Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 018102 (2018).