

ON GRAPH ALGEBRAS FROM INTERVAL MAPS

CARLOS CORREIA RAMOS, NUNO MARTINS, AND PAULO R. PINTO

ABSTRACT. We produce and study a family of representations of relative graph algebras on Hilbert spaces that arise from the orbits of points of one dimensional dynamical systems, where the underlying Markov interval maps f have escape sets. We identify when such representations are faithful in terms of the transitions to the escape subintervals.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46L05, 37B10; Secondary 37E05.

Keywords: Graph C^* -algebra; Representation; Transition matrix; Interval map

1. INTRODUCTION

For x in the escape set of a Markov interval map f , see [8], we find a relative graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V_x)$, see [19], with \mathcal{G} encoding the dynamics of f and a carefully chosen subset V_x of vertex set of \mathcal{G} , and a permutative representation ν_x of this C^* -algebra on the underlying Hilbert space H_x of the orbit of x such that ν_x is faithful. This is proved in Theorem 3.1, the main result of this paper. The unitary equivalence within this family of representations is studied through the tree structure of each orbit, see Corollary 3.7.

Several concrete subclasses of representations of Cuntz, Cuntz-Krieger and graph algebras have been studied. We can trace this back to the pioneering work by Bratteli and Jorgensen [5], where they started studying the permutative representations (where the concrete operators that will generate the representation permute the vectors of the orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space at hand) of the Cuntz algebras \mathcal{O}_n . From the applications viewpoint, and besides its own right, applications of representation theory of Cuntz and Cuntz-Krieger algebras to wavelets, fractals, dynamical systems, see e.g. [5, 17], and quantum field theory in [1] are particularly remarkable. For example, it is known that these representations of the Cuntz algebra serve as a computational tool for wavelets analysts, see [16], as such a representation on a Hilbert space H induces a subdivision of H into orthogonal subspaces. Then the problem in wavelet theory is to build orthonormal bases in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ from these data. Indeed this can be done and these wavelet bases have advantages over the earlier known basis constructions (one advantage is the efficiency of computation). This method has also been applied to the context of fractals that arise from affine iterated function systems [11]. Some of these results have been extended to the more general class of Cuntz-Krieger algebras, see [6, 17], to graph algebras [15] and more recently to higher-rank graph algebras [13]. Much of these applications usually rely on the study of irreducible representations, their unitary equivalence classes and identify the faithful ones. We remark that if the C^* -algebra is simple (for example Cuntz algebras) then a nonzero representation is faithful. So, as soon a new family of permutative

representations is build, it is expected that the study of their unitary equivalence of representations will be of great importance.

The relative graph algebras are universal C^* -algebras introduced by Muhly and Tomforde in [19] as generalizations of graph algebras and Toeplitz algebras. For a finite oriented graph \mathcal{G} and a subset V of vertices of \mathcal{G} , the relative graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V)$ is like the graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ except that the relations

$$p_v = \sum_{e:s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*$$

are required to hold only for $v \in V$. If $V=\emptyset$, $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \emptyset)$ is the Toeplitz algebra [14] and if $V = \mathcal{G}^0$ is the whole set of vertices, then $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^0)$ is the graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ (which is a Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A if \mathcal{G} is the graph represented by an adjacency matrix A , see [10, 18]).

In this paper we investigate how dynamical systems arising from interval maps can produce relative graph algebras. This is done by yielding representations of relative graph algebras on the orbits of the underlying dynamical system. Moreover, we study when such representations are faithful. This provides a situation in which relative graph algebras prove to be convenient. We follow the spirit of [6, 7, 8] where this was pursued for the two extremal cases, the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^0)$ and the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \emptyset)$ case. Since $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V)$ is in general nonsimple, faithfulness of the representations do not come for free.

Indeed, the underlying interval maps $f : \text{dom}(I) \rightarrow I$ (with $\text{dom}(f) \subset I$) can have escape sets, where the orbits of the points may fall outside of the domain $\text{dom}(f)$ of f . This originates an *escape transition matrix* \widehat{A}_f as in [8, 9] that encodes not only the transitions from Markov subintervals to Markov subintervals (which are recorded in a matrix A_f) but also the transitions to escape subintervals of I . If we gather the Markov subintervals first and then the escape subintervals, then there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$P\widehat{A}_fP^T = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_f & B_f \\ \hline 0_{m \times n} & 0_{m \times m} \end{array} \right] \quad (1.1)$$

where the lower blocks are matrices with all entries equal to zero and the matrix B_f collects the transitions from Markov subintervals into escape subintervals (see [9]).

More precisely, in [8] we considered the interval maps f where the orbit of a point x can be in the *escape set* of f – see [12] – namely, $f^k(x) \in I$ does not belong to the domain of f for a certain $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We likewise define a Hilbert space H_x from the backward orbit of x and partial isometries on H_x . In that situation we proved that these operators do define a representation ν_x of the Toeplitz algebra associated to the transition matrix A_f on H_x (which is no longer a representation of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_{A_f} if the above matrix $B_f \neq 0$). This representation ν_x is in general non faithful and this is where the relevance of the relative graph algebras will play a role as we see in the sequel.

Given such an interval map f , we concentrate on the graph \mathcal{G}_{A_f} attached to the matrix A_f and work on the family of relative graph algebras we can associate to \mathcal{G}_{A_f} . If the point x is in the escape set of f , then under iteration x will fall into

one of the escape sets of f , which is encoded in some column j of B_f . Then we consider the set V_x of rows of A_f for which there is no transition to the escape set E_j . Then we prove that indeed we can define a representation ν_x of the relative graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_x)$ on the Hilbert space H_x and show that ν_x is faithful. However ν_x is also a representation of the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, \emptyset)$ or even a representation of any $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ with $V \subset V_x$, so we may apply [8] which leads us to the study of the irreducibility and unitary equivalence of this family $\{\nu_x\}_{x \in I}$ of representations.

The plan for the rest of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we first review the dynamical systems background for the interval maps with escape sets, emphasizing the notion of *escape transition matrix* as in Definition 2.2.

In Sect. 3, we first review some elements of the (relative) graph algebra theory, and then proceed in Subsect. 3.2 with a construction of a representation of relative graph algebras for every point x and interval map f . The underlying Hilbert space H_x encodes the (generalised) orbit of x , and the generating partial isometries of the graph algebra are defined as in (3.7). If A_f is the transition matrix of an interval map f , \mathcal{G}_{A_f} its oriented graph, then fixing $V \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^0$ our main result, Theorem 3.1, shows how we obtain a representation ν_x of the C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ on H_x and the conditions under which ν_x is faithful. Example 3.3 guides us through a concrete example where we build an interval map (and we also write the transitions matrices A_f and \hat{A}_f together with the graph \mathcal{G}_{A_f}) – then Theorem 3.1 tells us when ν_x is a representation and when ν_x is a faithful representation of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$, for every set $V \subset \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^0$. We show in Corollary 2 the richness of this class of representations. We conclude the paper with some remarks that are consequences of the above results together with [8].

2. MARKOV INTERVAL MAPS WITH ESCAPE SETS

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Gamma = \{c_0, c_1^-, c_1^+, \dots, c_{n-1}^-, c_{n-1}^+, c_n\}$ be an ordered set of (at most) $2n$ real numbers such that

$$c_0 < c_1^- \leq c_1^+ < c_2^- \leq \dots < c_{n-1}^- \leq c_{n-1}^+ < c_n. \quad (2.1)$$

Given Γ as above, we define the collection of closed intervals $C_\Gamma = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$, with

$$I_1 = [c_0, c_1^-], \dots, I_j = [c_{j-1}^+, c_j^-], \dots, I_n = [c_{n-1}^+, c_n]. \quad (2.2)$$

We also consider the collection of open intervals $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}\}$, with

$$E_1 =]c_1^-, c_1^+[, \dots, E_{n-1} =]c_{n-1}^-, c_{n-1}^+[, \quad (2.3)$$

in such a way that $I := [c_0, c_n] = (\cup_{j=1}^n I_j) \cup (\cup_{j=1}^{n-1} E_j)$.

We now consider the interval maps for which we can construct partitions of the interval I as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3).

Definition 2.1 (See [6]). Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A map f is called a Markov interval map or it is in the class $\mathcal{M}(I)$ if it satisfies the following properties:

- (P1) [Existence of a finite partition in the domain of f] There is a partition $C = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ of closed intervals with $\#(I_i \cap I_j) \leq 1$ for $i \neq j$, $\text{dom}(f) = \cup_{j=1}^n I_j \subset I$, $\min(I_1) = \min(I)$, $\max(I_n) = \max(I)$ and $\text{im}(f) = I$.

- (P2) [Markov property] For every $i = 1, \dots, n$ the set $f(I_i) \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j \right)$ is a non-empty union of intervals from C .
- (P3) [Expansive map] $f|_{I_j} \in \mathcal{C}^1(I_j)$, monotone and $|f'_{|I_j}(x)| > b > 1$, for every $x \in I_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, and some b .
- (P4) [Aperiodicity] For every interval I_j with $j = 1, \dots, n$ there is a natural number q such that $\text{dom}(f) \subset f^q(I_j)$.

The minimal partition C satisfying the Definition 2.1 is denoted by C_f . We remark that the Markov property (P2) allows us to encode the transitions between the intervals in the so-called (Markov) transition $n \times n$ matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})$, defined as follows:

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(\overset{\circ}{I}_i) \supset \overset{\circ}{I}_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{A}$ denotes the interior of the set A . A map $f \in \mathcal{M}(I)$ uniquely determines (together with the minimal partition $C_f = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$):

- (i) The f -invariant set $\Omega_f := \{x \in I : f^k(x) \in \text{dom}(f) \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots\}$.
- (ii) The collection of open intervals $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}\}$, such that $I \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} E_j$.
- (iii) The transition matrix $A_f = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$.

Let I be an interval and $f \in \mathcal{M}(I)$. Once we chose a Markov partition C , then there exists a unique set Γ of boundary points satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). Note that the Markov property implies that $f(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$.

Matrices A for which there exists a positive integer m such that all the entries of A^m are non-zero are called primitive. We note that the matrix A_f is primitive (thus irreducible) because $f \in \mathcal{M}(I)$, see Definition 2.1.

Note that we explicitly use the notation c_j^+ and c_j^- to represent the boundary points of the Markov partition of f , whose domain equals the union of the closed subintervals I_j . This is done to formalize important notions for the study of the orbit structure of f , see [12]. If $c_j^- < c_j^+$ then the points c_j^- and c_j^+ are distinct. In this case, the domain of f is disconnected and the escape interval $E_j =]c_j^-, c_j^+[$ is non-empty. In the case c_j^- and c_j^+ are equal, then c_j^+, c_j^- represent the side limits of the point c_j , and the escape interval E_j is empty. In this case, f can be either differentiable, continuous but non-differentiable or discontinuous at c_j , see [2]. In this last case, we could arbitrarily choose the side limit c_j^- or c_j^+ where the map f is defined, since $f(c_j^-) \neq f(c_j^+)$. However the two side limits give origin to important orbits (the orbit of $f(c_j^-)$ and $f(c_j^+)$) for the characterization of the dynamics. In the present paper these orbits of $f(c_j^-)$ and $f(c_j^+)$ will not be used as we will see in the sequel.

Note that Ω_f is the set of points that remain in $\text{dom}(f)$ under iteration of f , and is usually called a *cookie-cutter set*, see [12]. The open set

$$E_f := I \setminus \Omega_f = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{-k} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} E_j \right) \quad (2.5)$$

is called the *escape set*. Every point in E_f will eventually fall, under iteration of f , into some interval E_j (where f is not defined) and the iteration process ends. We may say that x is in the escape set E_f of f if and only if there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^k(x) \notin \text{dom}(f)$.

We define an equivalence relation R_f on I by

$$R_f := \{(x, y) : f^n(x) = f^m(y) \text{ for some } n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

(The restriction of this equivalence relation to Ω_f was considered in [6].) The relation R_f is a countable equivalence relation in the sense that the equivalence class $R_f(x)$ of $x \in I$, is a countable set, and can be seen as the generalized orbit of x (forward and backward orbit).

Given a set $X \subset \text{dom}(f)$, $R_f(X)$ is the set of generalized orbits of the points in X . We are interested in studying points in the escape set or in the so-called *regular set*

$$\Lambda_f = \Omega_f \setminus R_f(\Gamma) \quad (2.6)$$

of $f \in \mathcal{M}(I)$. The regular set Λ_f is the set of the points in the maximal invariant set, under f , which are not boundary points and are not pre-images of boundary points. Note that since we are in Markov case the image of a boundary point is always a boundary point.

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(I)$ be such that $E_f \neq \emptyset$. This means that there is at least one non empty open interval $E_j =]c_j^-, c_j^+[$, with $c_j^- \neq c_j^+$, with $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. The non-empty open subinterval E_j is called an *escape interval*.

In order to describe symbolically the escape orbits, we extend the symbol space adding a symbol for each escape interval E_j , which will represent an end for the symbolic sequence. For each escape interval E_j we associate a symbol \hat{j} to distinguish from the symbol associated to the interval I_j of the partition. That is, we consider the symbols ordered by:

$$1 < \hat{1} < 2 < \hat{2} < \dots < n-1 < \widehat{n-1} < n. \quad (2.7)$$

If E_j is not an interval, that is $E_j = \emptyset$, then there is no symbol \hat{j} . Moreover, we define

$$\Sigma_{E_f} = \{\hat{j} : E_j \neq \emptyset, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}\}. \quad (2.8)$$

For every $y \in E_f$ there is a least natural number $\tau(y)$ such that $f^{\tau(y)}(y) \notin \text{dom}(f)$, which means that, $f^{\tau(y)}(y) \in E_j$, for some j such that $E_j \neq \emptyset$. The final escape point, for the orbit of y , is then denoted by $e(y) := f^{\tau(y)}(y)$ and the final escape interval index is denoted by $\iota(y)$, that is, if $f^{\tau(y)}(y) \in E_j$ then $\iota(y) = \hat{j}$.

Thus we have an index set $\{1, \dots, n\} \cup \Sigma_{E_f}$ which is ordered as in (2.7) and (2.8). In order to deal with the possible transitions from Markov transition intervals to escape intervals we define the escape transition matrix \hat{A}_f as follows.

Definition 2.2 (see [8, 9]). Given the transition matrix A_f as in (2.4), we define a matrix $\hat{A}_f = (\hat{a}_{ij})$ indexed by $\{1, \dots, n\} \cup \Sigma_{E_f}$ such that

$$\hat{a}_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & \text{if } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j = \hat{k} \in \Sigma_{E_f} \text{ and } I_i \cap f^{-1}(E_k) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

For row and column labeling, the matrix \widehat{A}_f is defined by considering the order in (2.7). Note that if we use the row and column labeling order $I_1 \dots I_n E_1 \dots E_m$ with $\#\Sigma_{E_f} = m$, then we obtain the reordered transition matrix in the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_f & B_f \\ \hline 0_{m \times n} & 0_{m \times m} \end{array} \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where A_f is the Markov transition matrix of f and B_f is the transition matrix from Markov subintervals to the escape subintervals. We write $0_{p \times q}$ for the $p \times q$ matrix with zeros everywhere, whereas $1_{p \times q}$ is the $p \times q$ matrix with ones everywhere.

3. RELATIVE GRAPH C^* -ALGEBRAS FROM INTERVAL MAPS

3.1. Background on relative graph algebras. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}^0, \mathcal{G}^1, r, s)$ be a (oriented, finite) graph, with \mathcal{G}^0 the vertex set, E^1 the edge set and $r, s : \mathcal{G}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0$ the range and source maps, respectively. The graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by partial isometries $\{s_e : e \in \mathcal{G}^1\}$ with commuting range projections together with a collection of mutually orthogonal projections $\{p_v : v \in \mathcal{G}^0\}$ that satisfy the relations

$$s_e^* s_e = p_{r(e)}, \quad p_v = \sum_{e: s(e)=v} s_e s_e^* \text{ whenever } s^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset.$$

We remark that then have $s_e s_e^* \leq p_{s(e)}$ since we have assumed \mathcal{G} is a finite graph. We refer to the textbook [20] for the standard theory of graph algebras, but [20] uses a different convention to the one you are using, namely that the partial isometries representing the edges go in the same direction as the edges (cf. [20, p.1 2 Conventions]).

For any $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, we can construct a directed graph $\mathcal{G}_A = (\mathcal{G}_A^1, \mathcal{G}_A^0, r, s)$ with

$$\mathcal{G}_A^0 = \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_A^1 = \{e_{ij} : i, j \in \mathcal{G}_A^0, a_{ij} = 1\} \quad \text{with } s(e_{ij}) = i, \quad r(e_{ij}) = j \quad (3.1)$$

(i.e. we draw an edge e_{ij} from i to j if and only if $a_{ij} = 1$) where s and r are the source and range maps, respectively. The Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A is then the graph C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A)$, see [18, Prop. 4.1]. The particular case, but important, when A is full ($a_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j), the Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A is the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n , where the partial isometries are all isometries.

For any $V \subset \mathcal{G}^0$, the *relative graph algebra* $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V)$ (see [19, Def. 3.4]) is the universal C^* -algebra generated by partial isometries $\{s_e : e \in \mathcal{G}^1\}$ and mutually orthogonal projections $\{p_v : v \in \mathcal{G}^0\}$ satisfying the following set of relations \mathcal{R}_V :

$$s_e^* s_e = p_{r(e)}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$s_e s_e^* \leq p_{s(e)}, \text{ for all } e \in \mathcal{G}^1, \text{ and} \quad (3.3)$$

$$p_v = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}^1: s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*, \quad (3.4)$$

for all $v \in V$ such that $\{e \in \mathcal{G}^1 : s(e) = v\} \neq \emptyset$. As remarked in [19], for $v \in \mathcal{G}^0 \setminus V$ we have $p_v > \sum_{s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*$. Besides this, $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V_1)$ is a quotient of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V_2)$ whenever $V_2 \subset V_1$, and in particular $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V)$ is a quotient of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \emptyset)$, for any V . Namely, if J is the ideal generated by the *gap* projections

$$\{p_v - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}^1: s(e)=v} s_e s_e^* : v \in V_1\}$$

then $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V_1) = C^*(\mathcal{G}, V_2)/J$.

The relative graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \emptyset)$ is the so-called Toeplitz algebra [14, 19], and denoted by \mathcal{T}_A in [8], where A is a 0-1 matrix whose associated graph is \mathcal{G}_A , see (3.1).

The Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A of a primitive matrix A is known to be simple (thus the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n is simple), but the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T}_A is non-simple, see [14, Corollary 4.3]. Therefore every non-zero representation of \mathcal{O}_A is automatically faithful, whereas for the Toeplitz algebra or other relative graphs algebras $C^*(\mathcal{G}, V)$, faithfulness does not come for free.

3.2. Representations of relative graph algebras arising from interval maps. Now assume that an $(n+m) \times (n+m)$ matrix \widehat{A}_f with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ is given produced from a Markov interval map $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$ whose transition matrix is an $n \times n$ primitive transition matrix A_f . Thus

$$P \widehat{A}_f P^T = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_f & B_f \\ \hline 0_{m \times n} & 0_{m \times m} \end{array} \right],$$

with P a permutation matrix, B_f an $n \times m$ matrix that encodes the transitions from the Markov intervals into the escape intervals.

Let $H_x = \ell^2(R_f(x))$, with $x \in E_f$, be the Hilbert space with canonical base

$$\{|z\rangle : f^k(z) = e(x) \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Note that there is a special vector basis which is $|e(x)\rangle$. The rank one projection on the 1-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}|z\rangle$ is denoted by P_z , or as usual in Dirac notation, $P_z = |z\rangle\langle z|$.

Let $x \in E_f$ and let T_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ be defined by:

$$T_i |y\rangle = \chi_{f(I_i)}(y) |f_i^{-1}(y)\rangle \text{ for } y \in R_f(x) \quad (3.5)$$

where $f_i := f|_{I_i}$, χ_B denotes the characteristic function on a set B . The following equations are naturally satisfied, and will be used in the sequel,

$$|f \circ f_i^{-1}(y)\rangle = \chi_{f(I_i)}(y) |y\rangle, \quad |f_i^{-1} \circ f|_{I_i}(y)\rangle = \chi_{I_i}(y) |y\rangle \quad (3.6)$$

where f_i is invertible $f|_{I_i}^{-1} : f(I_i) \rightarrow I_i$ because f is a Markov map (note that $f \circ f_i^{-1}(y)$ is not defined for $y \notin f(I_i)$. However we set $|f \circ f_i^{-1}(y)\rangle = 0$ so that the above expression is well defined.)

Its adjoint, T_i^* , is given by

$$T_i^* |y\rangle = \chi_{I_i}(y) |f(y)\rangle.$$

In particular, $T_i^* |e(x)\rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $T_i |e(x)\rangle = 0$ if there are no transitions from the interval I_i to the escape interval E_j . If there is any transition,

a $z \in I_i$ with $f(z) = e(x)$ say, then $T_i|e(x)\rangle = |z\rangle$ such that $z \in I_i$ and $f(z) = x$. The operators T_1, \dots, T_n , are all partial isometries.

In order to translate these partial isometries into the graph algebras framework, we take the associated graph \mathcal{G}_{A_f} and define the following operators:

$$S_{e_{ij}} := T_i T_j T_j^* \text{ if } e_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^1, \text{ and } P_i := T_i T_i^*, Q_i := T_i^* T_i \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.7)$$

We will write S_{ij} instead of $S_{e_{ij}}$. If we use the definition of the partial isometries T_1, \dots, T_n , for vectors $|y\rangle$ such that $y \in R_f(x)$, we obtain

$$S_{ij}|y\rangle = \chi_{I_j}(y) |f_i^{-1}(y)\rangle, \quad (\text{if } a_{ij} = 1), \quad (3.8)$$

$$P_i|y\rangle = \chi_{I_i}(y) |y\rangle, \quad (3.9)$$

$$Q_i|y\rangle = \chi_{f(I_i)}(y) |y\rangle. \quad (3.10)$$

It is clear that S_{ij} are nonzero partial isometries for $e_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^1$ (i.e. $a_{ij} = 1$) with

$$S_{ij}^*|y\rangle = \chi_{I_j}(f(y)) \chi_{I_i}(y) |f(y)\rangle,$$

and P_i nonzero projection for all $i \in \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^0$ (i.e. $i = 1, \dots, n$). We also note that the range projections P_1, \dots, P_n are pairwise orthogonal because $\mathring{I}_i \cap \mathring{I}_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $Q_i P_j = a_{ij} P_j$.

Recall from (2.6) that Λ_f is the regular set of a Markov map f . We then remark that if $x \in E_f \cup \Lambda_f$, then $\Gamma \cap R_f(x) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A_f be the transition matrix of an interval map f and \widehat{A}_f its escape transition matrix so that $E_f \neq \emptyset$. Consider its oriented graph \mathcal{G}_{A_f} and fix $V \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^0$. Let $x \in I$.*

- (1) *Let $x \in E_f$.*
 - (a) *If $\widehat{a}_{iu(x)} = 0$ for $i \in V$, then $s_{e_{ij}} \mapsto S_{ij}$ and $p_i \mapsto P_i$ defined in (3.7) yield a representation, ν_x , of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ on the Hilbert space H_x .*
 - (b) *If $\widehat{a}_{iu(x)} = 0$ for $i \in V$ and $\widehat{a}_{k\iota(x)} = 1$ for $k \notin V$, then the representation in part (1) is faithful.*
- (2) *If $x \in \Lambda_f$, then the operators defined in (3.7) yield a faithful representation of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^0)$ on the Hilbert space H_x , which is the Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_{A_f} .*

Proof. To prove part (1a), we use the universality of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ and check that the nonzero projections P_i and partial isometries S_{ij} do satisfy the defining relations (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4). First note that S_{ij} is defined only if $a_{ij} = 1$ and in this case $S_{ij} = T_i P_j$, so

$$S_{ij}^* S_{ij} = P_j T_i^* T_i P_j = P_j Q_i P_j = a_{ij} P_j P_j = P_j.$$

We therefore obtain the relation (3.2).

It is straightforward to check that $P_i(S_{ij} S_{ij}^*) = S_{ij} S_{ij}^*$, thus $S_{ij} S_{ij}^* \leq P_i$, which implies (3.3).

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e_{ij})=i} S_{ij} S_{ij}^* &= \sum_{j: a_{ij}=1} T_i T_j T_j^* T_i^* = T_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} T_j T_j^* \right) T_i^* \\ &= T_i (T_i^* T_i - \widehat{a}_{iu(x)} P_{e(x)}) T_i^* \end{aligned}$$

where we use [8, Lemma 4.1] in the last equality. So if $\widehat{a}_{iu(x)} = 0$, then the RHS of the above expression can be further simplified as follows:

$$T_i (T_i^* T_i - \widehat{a}_{iu(x)} P_{e(x)}) T_i^* = T_i T_i^* T_i T_i^* = P_i = P_{s(e_{ij})}$$

which implies the equality (3.4).

Now we prove statement (1b). For such data \mathcal{G}_A and V , let us consider the extended (oriented) graph \mathcal{G}_A^V taking \mathcal{G}_A and adding a sink v' for each $v \in \mathcal{G}_A^0 \setminus V$ as well as edges to this sink from each vertex that feeds (in \mathcal{G}_A) into v . Thus, the condition (L) – every cycle in the graph has an exit – of \mathcal{G}_A^V is inherited from \mathcal{G}_A . (Condition (L) holds for \mathcal{G}_A because A is a primitive matrix.)

Let $\{p_v : v \in \mathcal{G}_A^0\} \cup \{p_e : e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1\}$ be the generators of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V)$ and $\{\bar{p}_v : v \in (\mathcal{G}_A^V)^0\} \cup \{\bar{p}_e : e \in (\mathcal{G}_A^V)^1\}$ the generators of the graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A^V)$. Then [19, Theorem 3.7] shows that there is a canonical isomorphism $\phi : C^*(\mathcal{G}_A^V) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V)$ such that

$$\phi(\bar{p}_v) = \begin{cases} p_v & \text{if } v \in V \\ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e)=v} s_e s_e^* & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{G}_A^0 \setminus V \\ p_v - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e)=v} s_e s_e^* & \text{if } v = u' \text{ for some } u \in \mathcal{G}_A^0 \setminus V. \end{cases}$$


Of course ν_x is faithful if and only if $\nu_x \circ \phi$ is faithful. By [3, Theorem 3.1], $\nu_x \circ \phi$ is faithful if the images of the projections $\{\bar{p}_v : v \in (\mathcal{G}_A^V)^0\}$ of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A^V)$ in $B(H_x)$ are all nonzero. Clearly $P_i \neq 0$ for $i \in V$. If $i \notin V$,

$$P_i - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e)=i} S_{ij} S_{ij}^* = T_i (T_i^* T_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} T_j T_j^*) T_i^* = \widehat{a}_{iu(x)} P_{e(x)} = P_{e(x)} \quad (3.11)$$

where we use [8, Lemma 4.1] in the last but one equality and the hypothesis $\widehat{a}_{iu(x)} = 1$ for $i \notin V$. Thus $P_i - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e)=i} S_{ij} S_{ij}^* \neq 0$ for $i \notin V$. Finally, if $\sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e)=i} S_{ij} S_{ij}^* = 0$ for $i \notin V$ then Eq. (3.11) would imply that $P_i = P_{e(x)}$ which is not true. Therefore $\sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e)=i} S_{ij} S_{ij}^* \neq 0$ for $i \notin V$. This finishes the proof of part (1b).

For part (2), we either follow part (1) or use [6, Thm. 6] where it is shown that the partial isometries T_1, \dots, T_n as in Eq. (3.5) produce a faithful representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_{A_f} . \square

Definition 3.2. We denote by ν_x (or $\nu_{x,V}$) the representation of the C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ produced in Theorem 3.1.

FIGURE 1. Graph of the function f in (3.12)

Example 3.3. Let f be an interval map such that its transition matrix is $A_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The alphabet of the dynamics has four symbols $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. The escape matrix can be chosen as

$$\widehat{A}_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The existence follows from [9] or by constructing an interval map with the requested transitions. For example, let f be the interval map defined by (see Fig. 1)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{2}x + \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } x \in I_1 \\ 2x + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in I_2 \\ \frac{5}{4}x - \frac{7}{8} & \text{if } x \in I_3 \\ 2x - \frac{11}{10} & \text{if } x \in I_4 \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

with $I_1 = [0, \frac{1}{5}]$, $I_2 = [\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}]$, $E_2 =]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{10}[$, $I_3 = [\frac{7}{10}, \frac{9}{10}]$, and $I_4 = [\frac{9}{10}, 1]$. Note that

$$\Gamma = \{0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, 1\}, \quad \text{dom}(f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 I_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im}(f) = [0, 1] = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 I_i \cup E_2,$$


$f(\frac{9}{10}^-) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $f(\frac{9}{10}^+) = \frac{7}{10}$. It is now easy to check that $f \in \mathcal{M}([0, 1])$,

$$f(I_1) = I_2 \cup E_2 \cup I_3, \quad f(I_2) = I_4, \quad f(I_3) = I_1 \cup I_2 \quad \text{and} \quad f(I_4) = E_2 \cup I_3$$

thus the Markov transition matrix as well as the escape transition matrix of this concrete interval map are indeed given by A_f and \widehat{A}_f (written above).

The escape dynamics has then an extra symbol $\widehat{2}$ so $\Sigma_{E_f} = \{\widehat{2}\}$, and the alphabet of the escape dynamics is $\{1, 2, \widehat{2}, 3, 4\}$. Let $x \in E_f$. Thus $\iota(x) = \widehat{2}$.

For every $V \subset \mathcal{G}_{A_f}^0$, the relative C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ is generated by 6 partial isometries and 4 projections. The directed graph \mathcal{G}_{A_f} is as follows:



where we label the edges by the defining 6 partial isometries and the vertices by the 4 projections of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$.

If $x \in E_f$ then we have the concrete 6 partial isometries and 4 projections:

$$S_{12}, S_{13}, S_{24}, S_{31}, S_{32}, S_{43}, P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 \quad (3.13)$$

given by Eqs. (3.8) and (3.9).

If $1 \in V$ or $4 \in V$, then $\widehat{a}_{iu(x)} \neq 0$ for some $i \in V$. This implies that partial isometries and projections in (3.13) do not define a representation of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$.

If $V = \{2, 3\}$ the conditions in part (1) of the above Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. In this case Theorem 3.1 implies that ν_x is a faithful representation on H_x .

If $V = \{2\}$ then condition (1a) of the above Theorem 3.1 is fulfilled. Then the operators in (3.13) generate a (non faithful) representation ν_x on H_x . Similarly with $V = \{3\}$.

Remark 3.4. Fix $v \in V_2 \setminus V_1$ if $V_1 \subseteq V_2$. Then we have the relation

$$p_v > \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1: s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*$$

in $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_1)$ whereas in $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_2)$ we have the equality

$$p_v = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1: s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*.$$

The quotient map $q : C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_1) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_2)$ satisfies

$$q(p_v - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1: s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*) = 0.$$

Thus q is not faithful. In particular, any representation $\pi : C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_2) \rightarrow B(H)$ gives rise to a non-faithful representation $\pi \circ q$ of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_1)$ on the same Hilbert space H .

Let A be a 0-1 $n \times n$ matrix and \mathcal{G}_A its oriented graph as in (3.1). We remark that we have a bijection between the vectors $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and subsets V of \mathcal{G}_A^0 such that: given u let

$$V_u := \{i : u_i = 0\}, \quad (3.14)$$

and given V , we associate $u_V \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with $(u_V)_i = 0$ if $i \in V$ and $(u_V)_i = 1$ if $i \notin V$. Clearly $V = V_{u_V}$ and $u = u_{V_u}$.

We prove now that indeed we can exhaust all such data $(n; u_1, \dots, u_n)$ in the representation theory of the relative graph algebras arising from interval maps.

Corollary 3.5. (1) Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(I)$ and write \widehat{A}_f in the block form

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_f & B_f \\ \hline 0_{m \times n} & 0_{m \times m} \end{array} \right]$$

as in (2.10). Then each column \mathbf{u} of B_f gives rise to one faithful representation of the relative graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_{\mathbf{u}})$.

(2) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then there exists an interval map $f \in \mathcal{M}(I)$ and a point $x \in I$ such that

$$P\widehat{A}_fP^T = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_f & \begin{array}{c} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{array} \\ \hline 0_{1 \times n} & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

for some permutation matrix P , and the representation ν_x of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V_{\mathbf{u}})$ is faithful, where $V_{\mathbf{u}}$ is given as in Eq. (3.14).

Proof. Part (1) is a consequence of the definition of $V_{\mathbf{u}}$. For part (2), we note that the existence of such an interval map f with that escape transition matrix is a consequence of [9, Proposition 4]. Then take $x \in E_f$. The representation ν_x of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_A, V_{\mathbf{u}})$ is faithful by Theorem 3.1. \square

Remark 3.6. Let \widehat{A}_f be the escape transition matrix of f . Take two escape intervals E_i and E_j from E_f . Then we have two column vectors $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{in}) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $\mathbf{u}_j = (u_{j1}, \dots, u_{jn}) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ together with the associated sets as in (3.14): $V_{\mathbf{u}_i}$ and $V_{\mathbf{u}_j}$.

- (1) Fixing $x \in E_i$ and $y \in E_j$, Theorem 3.1 implies that we have two representations ν_x and ν_y of the same relative graph algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V_{\mathbf{u}_i} \cap V_{\mathbf{u}_j})$. Note that ν_x and ν_y are faithful representations of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V_{\mathbf{u}_i})$ and $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V_{\mathbf{u}_j})$, respectively, by Theorem 3.1.
- (2) If $V_{\mathbf{u}_i} \neq V_{\mathbf{u}_j}$, then ν_x and ν_y are not unitary equivalent. Indeed, we then can find $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\widehat{a}_{k\iota(x)} = 1$ and $\widehat{a}_{k\iota(y)} = 0$ (or $\widehat{a}_{k\iota(x)} = 0$ and $\widehat{a}_{k\iota(y)} = 1$). Then,

$$\nu_x(p_k - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1 : s(e)=k} s_e s_e^*) \neq 0$$

whereas

$$\nu_y(p_k - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{G}_A^1: s(e)=k} s_e s_e^*) = 0$$

(as in the proof of part (2) in Theorem 3.1). This contradicts $U\nu_x(\cdot)U^* = \nu_y(\cdot)$ if they were unitary equivalent. For the irreducibility of ν_x , see [8].

If $x \in E_f$ then $R_f(x)$ has a natural structure of a rooted tree. The root of $R_f(x)$ is the point $e(x)$ with no outgoing edge, so $f^{-1}(e(x)) \in \text{dom}(f)$ but $e(x) \notin \text{dom}(f)$ (see [8, Remark 3.7(2)]).

Corollary 3.7. *Let ν_x and ν_y be representations of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ as in Definition 3.2. Then ν_x and ν_y are unitary equivalent whenever $R_f(x)$ and $R_f(y)$ are isomorphic as rooted trees. Moreover they are irreducible.*

Proof. Since ν_x is a representation of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$, $\nu_x \circ q$ is a representation of the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T}_{A_f} (which is $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, \emptyset)$), where $q : \mathcal{T}_{A_f} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ is the quotient map. Similar argument goes for $\nu_y \circ q$.

We know by [8, Theorem 4.5] that the representations $\nu_x \circ q$ and $\nu_y \circ q$ of the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T}_{A_f} are unitary equivalent whenever $R_f(x)$ and $R_f(y)$ are isomorphic as rooted trees. If so, then again by definition, the representations ν_x and ν_y of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, V)$ are unitary equivalent.

Finally, By [8, Theorem 4.7], ν_x is irreducible $(\nu_x \circ q(\mathcal{T}_{A_f}))' = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, implying that $(\nu_x(\mathcal{T}_{A_f}))' = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ because q is surjective. Therefore ν_x is irreducible. \square

We remark that if $x \in E_f$ and $y \notin E_f$, then by [8, Proposition 4.6] ν_x and ν_y are not unitary equivalent representations of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{A_f}, \emptyset)$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referees for a close reading of the paper and for useful suggestions. The first author was partially sponsored by national funds through the Fundação Nacional para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal-FCT, under the project PEst- OE/MAT/UI0117/2014 and CIMA-UE. The last two authors were partially supported by FCT/Portugal through the project UID/MAT/04459/2013.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abe, K. Kawamura, *Recursive fermion system in Cuntz algebra. I. Embeddings of fermion algebra into Cuntz algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **228** (2002), 85–101.
- [2] L. Bandeira, C. Correia Ramos, *Transition matrices characterizing a certain totally discontinuous map of the interval*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **444** (2016), 1274–1303.
- [3] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and W. Szymański, *The C^* -algebras of row-finite graphs*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 307 – 324.
- [4] A. Berman, R. Plemmons, *Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, SIAM 1994.
- [5] O. Bratteli, P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Iterated function systems and permutation representations of the Cuntz algebra*, Memoirs of AMS **663** (1999), 1–89.
- [6] C. Correia Ramos, N. Martins, Paulo R. Pinto, J. Sousa Ramos, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras representations from orbits of interval maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **341** (2008), 825–833.
- [7] C. Correia Ramos, N. Martins, P.R. Pinto, *Interval maps from Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **374** (2011), 347–354.

- [8] C. Correia Ramos, N. Martins, P.R. Pinto, *Toeplitz algebras arising from escape points of interval maps*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **11**, 536 – 553 (2017), doi:10.1215/17358787-2017-0005
- [9] C. Correia Ramos, N. Martins, P.R. Pinto, *Escape dynamics for interval maps*, Preprint 2017.
- [10] J. Cuntz, W. Krieger, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*. *Inv. Math.* **56** (1980), 251–268.
- [11] D.E. Dutkay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Wavelet constructions in non-linear dynamics*, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (2005), 21–33.
- [12] K. Falconer, *Techniques in fractal geometry*, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, 1997.
- [13] C. Farsi, E. Gillaspy, P. Jorgensen, S. Kang, J. Packer, *Separable representations of higher-rank graphs*, preprint arXiv:1709.00592
- [14] N.J. Fowler, I. Raeburn, *The Toeplitz algebra of a Hilbert bimodule*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **48** (1999), 155–181.
- [15] D. Gonçalves, D. Royer, *Unitary Equivalence of Representations of Graph Algebras and Branching Systems*, *Funct. Anal. and Its Appl.*, **45**, (2011), 117 – 127.
- [16] P.E. Jorgensen, *Certain representations of the Cuntz relations, and a question on wavelets decompositions*, in *Operator Theory, Operator Algebras, and Applications*, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 414, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, 165–188.
- [17] M. Marcolli, A.M. Paolucci, *Cuntz–Krieger algebras and wavelets on fractals*, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **5** (2011), 41–81.
- [18] M.H. Mann, I. Raeburn, C.E. Sutherland, *Representations of finite groups and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **46** (1992), 225–243.
- [19] P.S. Muhly, M. Tomforde, *Adding tails to C^* -correspondences*, *Doc. Math.* **9** (2004), 79–106.
- [20] I. Raeburn, *Graph algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **103**. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by AMS, Providence, RI, 2005. vi+113 pp.

CENTRO DE INVESTIGAÇÃO EM MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSIDADE DE ÉVORA, R. ROMÃO RAMALHO, 59, 7000-671 ÉVORA,, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: ccr@uevora.pt

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMGSD, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSITY OF LISBON, AV. ROVISCO PAIS 1, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: nmartins@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMGSD, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSITY OF LISBON, AV. ROVISCO PAIS 1, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: ppinto@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt