

# RANDOM JUMPS AND COALESCENCE IN THE CONTINUUM: EVOLUTION OF STATES OF AN INFINITE SYSTEM

YURI KOZITSKY AND KRZYSZTOF PILORZ

ABSTRACT. The dynamics of an infinite continuum system of randomly jumping and coalescing point particles is studied. The states of the system are probability measures on the corresponding configuration space  $\Gamma$  the evolution of which is constructed in the following way. The evolution of observables  $F_0 \rightarrow F_t$  is obtained from a Kolmogorov-type evolution equation. Then the evolution of states  $\mu_0 \rightarrow \mu_t$  is defined by the relation  $\mu_0(F_t) = \mu_t(F_0)$  for  $F_0$  belonging to a measure-defining class of functions. The main result of the paper is the proof of the existence of the evolution of this type for a bounded time horizon.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The random motion of infinite systems in the course of which the constituents can merge attracts considerable attention. The Arratia flow introduced in [1] provides an example of this kind. In recent years, it has been being extensively studied, see [4, 7, 8, 11] and the references therein.

In Arratia's model, an infinite number of Brownian particles move in  $\mathbb{R}$  independently up to their collision, then merge and move together as single particles. Correspondingly, the description of this motion (and its modifications) is performed in terms of stochastic (diffusion) processes. In this work, we propose an alternative look at this kind of motion. Similarly as in the Kawasaki model [3, 5], in the model which we propose and study here point particles perform random jumps with repulsion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ . Additionally, two particles (located at  $x$  and  $y$ ) can merge into a particle (located at  $z$ ) with intensity (probability per time)  $c_1(x, y; z)$ . Thereafter, this new particle participates in the motion. The phase space of such a system is the set  $\Gamma$  of all locally finite configurations  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , see [3, 5, 6, 9, 10], and the states of the system are probability measures on  $\Gamma$  the set of which will be denoted as  $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ . The description of their evolution  $\mu_0 \rightarrow \mu_t$  is based on the relation  $\mu_t(F_0) = \mu_0(F_t)$  where  $F_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is supposed to belong to a measure-defining class of functions,  $\mu(F) := \int F d\mu$  and the evolution  $F_0 \rightarrow F_t$  is obtained by solving the Kolmogorov equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_t = LF_t, \quad F_t|_{t=0} = F_0, \quad (1.1)$$

in which the operator  $L$  specifies the model, see (3.1) below. The main result of this work (Theorem 3.3) is the construction of the evolution of this kind for  $t < T$  (with some  $T < \infty$ ) and  $\mu_0$  belonging to a certain set of probability measures on  $\Gamma$ . The basic aspects of this construction can be outlined as follows. Let  $\Omega$  stand for the set of all compactly supported continuous functions  $\omega : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (-1, 0]$ . Set

$$F^\omega(\gamma) = \prod_{x \in \gamma} (1 + \omega(x)), \quad \omega \in \Omega. \quad (1.2)$$

Then the collection  $\{F^\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$  is a measure-defining class. The set of measures  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$  with which we will work is defined by the condition that its members enjoy

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60K35; 82C22.

*Key words and phrases.* Coalescence; Arratia's flow; Kolmogorov equation; jump dynamics; individual-based model; configuration.

the following property: the map  $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \mu(F^\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$  can be continued to an exponential type entire function on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, for  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$ , we set  $B_\mu(\omega) = \mu(F^\omega)$  and derive  $\tilde{L}$  from  $L$  according to the rule  $(\tilde{L}B_\mu)(\omega) = \mu(LF^\omega)$ . Thereafter, we construct the evolution  $B_{\mu_0} \rightarrow B_t$  by solving the corresponding evolution equation. The next (and the hardest) part of this scheme is to prove that  $B_t = B_{\mu_t}$  for a unique  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$ .

In Section 2, we outline the mathematical background of the paper. In Section 3, we introduce the model and present the results in the form of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. Their proof is performed in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As mentioned above, we work with the phase space

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d : |\Lambda \cap \gamma| < \infty \text{ for any compact } \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d\},$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes cardinality. It is equipped with the vague topology (see e.g., [6, 10]) and the corresponding Borel  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ . The set of all finite configurations is denoted by  $\Gamma_0$ . It is the union of the sets  $\Gamma^{(n)} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| = n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  that allows one to endow  $\Gamma_0$  with the disjoint union topology and with the corresponding Borel  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ . The topology of each  $\Gamma^{(n)}$  is obtained from the Euclidean topology of  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$  by the symmetrization. Note that  $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ .

It can be shown, cf. [6], that a function  $G : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable if and only if there exists a collection of symmetric Borel functions  $G^{(n)} : (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$G(\eta) = G^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \eta = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}. \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $G : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to have bounded support if there exist a compact set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  (spatial support) and an integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  (quantitative bound) such that  $G(\eta) = 0$  whenever  $\eta \cap \Lambda^c \neq \emptyset$  or  $|\eta| > N$ . By  $\mathcal{B}_{bs}$  we denote the set of all bounded measurable functions of bounded support.

The Lebesgue-Poisson measure  $\lambda$  on  $\Gamma_0$  is defined by the integrals

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) = G(\emptyset) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} G^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n, \quad (2.2)$$

holding for all  $G \in \mathcal{B}_{bs}$ . For a measurable set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define  $\Gamma_\Lambda = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \subset \Lambda\}$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma_\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ . We endow  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  with the topology induced from the vague topology of  $\Gamma$ , so that its Borel  $\sigma$ -field is  $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda) = \{A \cap \Gamma_\Lambda : A \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)\}$ . For a given measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ , we define its projection  $\mu^\Lambda$  by

$$\mu^\Lambda(A) = \mu(p_\Lambda^{-1}(A)), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_\Lambda), \quad p_\Lambda(\gamma) := \gamma \cap \Lambda. \quad (2.3)$$

For each  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ , we can set, see (1.2),

$$B_\mu(\omega) = \mu(F^\omega) := \int_{\Gamma} F^\omega(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma), \quad \omega \in \Omega. \quad (2.4)$$

The collection  $\{F^\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$  is a measure-defining class in the sense that  $\mu(F^\omega) = \nu(F^\omega)$  holding for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  implies  $\mu = \nu$  for each  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ , see [3, page 426]. Then the action of  $L$  can be transferred to  $B_\mu$  by means of the rule

$$(\tilde{L}B_\mu)(\omega) = \mu(LF^\omega). \quad (2.5)$$

This allows one to pass from (1.1) to the following evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} B_t = \tilde{L}B_t, \quad B_t|_{t=0} = B_{\mu_0}, \quad \mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}. \quad (2.6)$$

The advantage of using  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$  is that, for each of its members, the function  $B_\mu$  admits the representation

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu(\omega) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} k_\mu^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \omega(x_1) \cdots \omega(x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \quad (2.7) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} k_\mu(\eta) e(\omega; \eta) \lambda(d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Here every  $k_\mu^{(n)}$  is a symmetric element of  $L^\infty((\mathbb{R}^d)^n)$  such that

$$\|k_\mu^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty((\mathbb{R}^d)^n)} \leq C^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.8)$$

with one and the same  $C > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the second line of (2.7), we use the measure  $\lambda$  introduced in (2.2),  $k_\mu : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $k_\mu(\eta) = k_\mu^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for  $\eta = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , cf. (2.1), and

$$e(\omega; \eta) := \prod_{x \in \eta} \omega(x), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_0.$$

The function  $k_\mu$  is called the correlation function of the state  $\mu$ , whereas  $k_\mu^{(n)}$  is its  $n$ -th order correlation function.  $k_\mu$  completely characterizes  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$ . For instance,  $k_{\pi_\varrho}(\eta) = e(\varrho; \eta)$  for the Poisson measure  $\pi_\varrho$  with density  $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . On the other hand, the following is known, see [10, Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and Remark 6.3].

**Proposition 2.2.** *A function  $k : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a correlation function of a unique measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$  if and only if it satisfies the conditions: (a)  $k(\emptyset) = 1$ ; (b) the estimate in (2.8) holds for some  $C > 0$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; (c) for each  $G \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bs}}^*$ , the following holds*

$$\langle\langle G, k \rangle\rangle := \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) k(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{bs}}^* := \{G \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bs}} : (KG)(\eta) \geq 0\}, \quad (KG)(\eta) := \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} G(\xi). \quad (2.10)$$

Notably, the cone  $\{G \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{bs}} : G(\eta) \geq 0\}$  is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{bs}}^*$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *An exponential type entire function  $B : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (2.4) for a unique  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$  if and only if it admits the expansion as in (2.7) with  $k$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 2.2.*

Having in mind the latter facts we will look for the solutions of (2.6) in the form

$$B_t(\omega) = \langle\langle e(\omega; \cdot), k_t \rangle\rangle \quad (2.11)$$

with  $k_t$  satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt} k_t = L^\Delta k_t, \quad k_t|_{t=0} = k_{\mu_0}, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $L^\Delta$  is to be obtained from  $\tilde{L}$  (and thus from  $L$ ) according to the rule, cf. (2.5) and (2.11),

$$(\tilde{L}B_t)(\omega) = \langle\langle e(\omega; \cdot), L^\Delta k_t \rangle\rangle \quad (2.13)$$

For  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$  and a compact  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , the projection of  $\mu$  defined in (2.3) is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue-Poisson measure  $\lambda$ . Let  $R_\mu^\Lambda$  be its Radon-Nikodym derivative. It is related to the correlation function  $k_\mu$  by

$$k_\mu(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_\Lambda} R_\mu^\Lambda(\eta \cup \xi) \lambda(d\xi), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_\Lambda. \quad (2.14)$$

One of our tools in this work is based on the Minlos lemma according to which, cf. [6, eq. (2.2)],

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta \cup \xi) H(\eta, \xi) \lambda(d\eta) \lambda(d\xi) = \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} H(\xi, \eta \setminus \xi) \lambda(d\eta),$$

holding for appropriate  $G, H : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By taking here

$$H(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \begin{cases} h(x, \eta_2), & \eta_1 = \{x\} \\ 0, & |\eta_1| \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and then by (2.2) we obtain its following special case

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\eta \cup x) h(x, \eta) dx \lambda(d\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{x \in \eta} G(\eta) h(x, \eta \setminus x) \lambda(d\eta). \quad (2.15)$$

Analogously, for

$$H(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = \begin{cases} h(x, y, \eta_3), & \eta_1 = \{x\}, \eta_2 = \{y\} \\ 0, & |\eta_1| \neq 1 \text{ or } |\eta_2| \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\eta \cup \{x, y\}) h(x, y, \eta) dx dy \lambda(d\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} G(\eta) h(x, y, \eta \setminus \{x, y\}) \lambda(d\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

### 3. THE RESULTS

Our model is specified by the operator  $L$  the action of which on an observable  $F : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (LF)(\gamma) &= \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c_1(x, y; z) \left( F(\gamma \setminus \{x, y\} \cup z) - F(\gamma) \right) dz \\ &+ \sum_{x \in \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{c}_2(x, y; \gamma) \left( F(\gamma \setminus x \cup y) - F(\gamma) \right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Here  $c_1 \geq 0$  is the intensity of the coalescence of the particles located at  $x$  and  $y$  into a new particle located at  $z$ . Note that  $c_1$  does not depend on the elements of  $\gamma$  other than  $x$  and  $y$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $c_1(x, y; z) = c_1(y, x; z) = c_1(x + u, y + u; z + u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . For a more general version of this model, see [13]. The second summand in (3.1) describes jumps performed by the particles. As in [3, 5], we set

$$\tilde{c}_2(x, y; \gamma) = c_2(x - y) \prod_{u \in \gamma \setminus x} e^{-\phi(y - u)},$$

with  $\phi$  and  $c_2$  being the repulsion potential and the jump kernel, respectively. By these assumptions the model is translation invariant. The functions  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $\phi$  take non-negative values and satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} c_1(x_1, x_2; x_3) dx_i dx_j &= \langle c_1 \rangle < \infty, \\ c_1^{\max} &:= \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c_1(x, y; z) dz < \infty, \\ \langle c_2 \rangle &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c_2(x) dx < \infty, \quad \langle \phi \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) dx < \infty, \\ |\phi| &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now we pass to the equation in (2.12). The corresponding operator  $L^\Delta$  is to be calculated from (3.1) by (2.5) and (2.13). It thus takes the form, cf. [13],

$$L^\Delta = L_1^\Delta + L_2^\Delta,$$

where  $L_1^\Delta = L_{11}^\Delta + L_{12}^\Delta + L_{13}^\Delta + L_{14}^\Delta$  is the part responsible for the coalescence whereas  $L_2^\Delta = L_{21}^\Delta + L_{22}^\Delta$  describes the jumps. Their summands are:

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{11}^\Delta k)(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \sum_{z \in \eta} c_1(x, y; z) k(\eta \setminus z \cup \{x, y\}) dx dy, \\ (L_{12}^\Delta k)(\eta) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \sum_{x \in \eta} c_1(x, y; z) k(\eta \cup y) dy dz, \\ (L_{13}^\Delta k)(\eta) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \sum_{y \in \eta} c_1(x, y; z) k(\eta \cup x) dx dz, \\ (L_{14}^\Delta k)(\eta) &= -\Psi(\eta) k(\eta), \quad \Psi(\eta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} c_1(x, y; z) dz, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{21}^\Delta k(\eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{y \in \eta} c_2(x - y) \prod_{u \in \eta \setminus y} e^{-\phi(y-u)} (Q_y k)(\eta \setminus y \cup x) dx, \\ L_{22}^\Delta k(\eta) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{x \in \eta} c_2(x - y) \prod_{u \in \eta \setminus x} e^{-\phi(y-u)} (Q_y k)(\eta) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(Q_y k)(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} k(\eta \cup \xi) \prod_{u \in \xi} (e^{-\phi(y-u)} - 1) \lambda(d\xi). \tag{3.4}$$

In view of (2.8), the Banach spaces for (2.12) ought to be of  $L^\infty$  type. Thus, we set

$$\mathcal{K}_\theta = \{k : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|k\|_\theta < \infty\}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$\|k\|_\theta = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\eta \in \Gamma_0} \left( |k(\eta)| e^{-\theta|\eta|} \right) = \sup_{n \geq 0} \left( e^{-\theta n} \|k^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right).$$

By this definition it follows that each  $k \in \mathcal{K}_\theta$  satisfies

$$|k(\eta)| \leq e^{\theta|\eta|} \|k\|_\theta. \quad (3.6)$$

With the help of this estimate and (3.3), we show that the first three summands in  $L_1^\Delta$  satisfy

$$|(L_{1i}^\Delta k)(\eta)| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle c_1 \rangle e^\theta \|k\|_\theta \right) |\eta| e^{\theta|\eta|}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3.7)$$

At the same time,  $\Psi(\eta) \leq c_1^{\max} |\eta| (|\eta| - 1)/2$ , which yields the following estimate

$$|(L_{14}^\Delta k)(\eta)| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} c_1^{\max} \|k\|_\theta \right) |\eta| (|\eta| - 1) e^{\theta|\eta|}. \quad (3.8)$$

Since  $L_2^\Delta$  coincides with the corresponding operator of the Kawasaki model, by [3, eq. (3.18)] we have

$$|(L_2^\Delta k)(\eta)| \leq \left( 2 \langle c_2 \rangle \exp \left( \langle \phi \rangle e^\theta \right) \|k\|_\theta \right) |\eta| e^{\theta|\eta|}. \quad (3.9)$$

Let us now define  $L^\Delta$  in a given  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$ . To this end, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta = \{k \in \mathcal{K}_\theta : \exists C_k > 0 \, |\eta|^2 |k(\eta)| \leq C_k e^{\theta|\eta|}\}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then, similarly as in (3.7) – (3.9), we obtain that both  $L_1^\Delta$  and  $L_2^\Delta$  map the elements of  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$  into  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$ . Let  $L_\theta^\Delta$  denote the operator  $(L^\Delta, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$ . Then, in the Banach space  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$ , the problem in (2.12) takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} k_t = L_\theta^\Delta k_t, \quad k_t|_{t=0} = k_0. \quad (3.11)$$

**Definition 3.1.** A classical solution of (3.11) on a given time interval  $[0, T)$  is a continuous function  $[0, T) \ni t \mapsto k_t \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$  that is continuously differentiable in  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  on  $(0, T)$  and is such that both equalities in (3.11) hold.

As is typical for problems like in (3.11), in view of the complex character of the corresponding operator it might be unrealistic to expect the existence of classical solutions for all possible  $k_0 \in \mathcal{D}_\theta$ . Thus, we will restrict the choice of  $k_0$  to a proper subset of the domain (3.10). For  $\theta' > \theta$ , we have that  $\mathcal{K}_\theta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\theta'}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{K}_{\theta'}$ . Similarly as in [3, 5, 6] we will solve (3.11) in the scale  $\{\mathcal{K}_\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}$ . By means of the estimates in (3.7) – (3.9) one concludes that  $L^\Delta$  can be defined as a bounded linear operator from  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  to  $\mathcal{K}_{\theta'}$  whenever  $\theta' > \theta$ . We shall denote this operator by  $L_{\theta'\theta}^\Delta$ . By this estimate one also gets that

$$\mathcal{K}_\theta \subset \mathcal{D}_{\theta'}, \quad \theta' > \theta, \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$L_{\theta'\theta}^\Delta k = L_{\theta'\theta}^\Delta k, \quad k \in \mathcal{K}_\theta. \quad (3.13)$$

Set

$$\beta(\theta) = \frac{3}{2} \langle c_1 \rangle e^\theta + 2 \exp \left( \langle \phi \rangle e^\theta \right) \langle c_2 \rangle, \quad (3.14)$$

$$T(\theta', \theta) = \frac{\theta' - \theta}{\beta(\theta')}, \quad \theta' > \theta.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** For each  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_* > \alpha_0$ , and for an arbitrary  $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$ , the problem in (3.11) has a unique classical solution  $k_t \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}$  on  $[0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$ .

A priori the solution  $k_t$  described in Theorem 3.2 need not be a correlation function of any state, which means that the result stated therein has no direct relation to the evolution of states of the system considered. Our next result removes this drawback.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$  be such that  $k_{\mu_0} \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$ . Then, for each  $\alpha_* > \alpha_0$ , the evolution  $k_{\mu_0} \rightarrow k_t$  described in Theorem 3.2 has the property: for each  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)/2$ ,  $k_t$  is the correlation function of a unique state  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$ .*

By Theorem 3.3 we also have the evolution  $B_{\mu_0} \rightarrow B_t = B_{\mu_t} = \langle\langle e(\cdot; \cdot), k_t \rangle\rangle$ , where  $B_t$  solves (2.6), cf. (2.11). Along with its purely theoretical value, this result may serve as a starting point for a numerical study of the random motion of this type, cf. [12], including its consideration at different space and time scales [2, 14]. To this end one can use kinetic equations related to the model specified in (3.1), see [13].

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2

The solution in question will be obtained in the form

$$k_t = Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)k_0, \quad (4.1)$$

where the family of bounded operators  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) : \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_*} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}$ ,  $t \in (0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$  satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) = L_{\alpha_*}^{\Delta}Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t). \quad (4.2)$$

Additionally,  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(0)$  is considered as the embedding operator, and hence  $k_t$  given in (4.1) satisfies the initial condition up to this embedding. Each  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)$  is constructed as a series of  $t$ -dependent operators, convergent in the operator norm topology for  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ . In estimating the norms of these operators we crucially use (3.7) – (3.9).

As the right-hand sides of (3.7) and (3.8) contain different powers of  $|\eta|$ , it is convenient to split  $L^{\Delta} = A + B$  with  $A = L_{14}^{\Delta}$ . By  $A_{\theta}$  and  $B_{\theta}$  we denote the unbounded operators  $(A, \mathcal{D}_{\theta})$  and  $(B, \mathcal{D}_{\theta})$ , respectively. Likewise, we introduce  $A_{\theta'\theta}$  and  $B_{\theta'\theta}$ ,  $\theta' > \theta$ . Their operator norms are to be estimated by means of (3.7) – (3.9) and the following inequalities

$$xe^{-ax} \leq \frac{1}{ae}, \quad x^2e^{-ax} \leq \frac{4}{(ae)^2}, \quad a > 0.$$

After some calculations we then get

$$\|A_{\theta'\theta}\| \leq \frac{2c_1^{\max}}{e^2(\theta' - \theta)^2}, \quad \|B_{\theta'\theta}\| \leq \frac{\beta(\theta)}{e(\theta' - \theta)}, \quad (4.3)$$

with  $\beta(\theta)$  given in (3.14). Now, for  $\theta' > \theta$  and  $t > 0$ , we define a bounded linear (multiplication) operator  $S_{\theta'\theta}(t) : \mathcal{K}_{\theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\theta'}$  by the formula

$$(S_{\theta'\theta}(t)k)(\eta) = e^{-\Psi(\eta)t}k(\eta), \quad (4.4)$$

and by  $S_{\theta'\theta}(0)$  we will mean the corresponding embedding operator. Then, for each  $k \in \mathcal{K}_{\theta}$ , the map  $[0, +\infty) \ni t \mapsto S_{\theta'\theta}(t)k \in \mathcal{K}_{\theta}$  is continuous since

$$\|S_{\theta'\theta}(t)k - S_{\theta'\theta}(t')k\|_{\theta'} \leq |t - t'| \cdot \frac{2c_1^{\max}\|k\|_{\theta}}{(\theta' - \theta)^2e^2}, \quad (4.5)$$

that readily follows by (4.3). Note that the multiplication operator by  $\exp(-t\Psi)$  acts from  $\mathcal{K}_{\theta}$  to  $\mathcal{K}_{\theta}$  for any  $\theta$ ; hence,  $S_{\theta'\theta}(t) : \mathcal{K}_{\theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\theta'}$ , see (3.12). We define it, however, as above in order to have the continuity secured by the estimate in (4.5). By (4.4), for any  $\theta'' \in (\theta, \theta')$ , we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt}S_{\theta'\theta}(t) = A_{\theta'\theta''}S_{\theta''\theta}(t) = A_{\theta'}S_{\theta'\theta}(t). \quad (4.6)$$

Also by (4.4) it follows that

$$\|S_{\theta'\theta}(t)k\|_{\theta'} \leq \|k\|_{\theta}. \quad (4.7)$$

Let  $O$  be an operator acting in each  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  such that: (a)  $O : \mathcal{D}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\theta$ ; (b)  $O : \mathcal{K}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\theta'}$  is a bounded operator whenever  $\theta' > \theta$ . As in the case of  $A$  and  $B$ , we define the operators  $O_\theta = (O, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$  and  $O_{\theta'\theta}$ . Similarly as in (3.13), for these operators, we have

$$O_{\theta'}k = O_{\theta'\theta}k = S_{\theta'\theta''}(0)O_{\theta''\theta}k, \quad k \in \mathcal{K}_\theta, \quad (4.8)$$

where the second equality holds for all  $\theta'' \in (\theta, \theta')$ .

Now we can turn to constructing the resolving operators  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)$ , see (4.1). For a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q > 1$ , we introduce

$$\alpha_{2k+1} = \alpha_0 + \left( \frac{k+1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{q-1}{q} + \frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{q} \right) (\alpha_* - \alpha_0), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\alpha_{2k} = \alpha_0 + \left( \frac{k}{n+1} \cdot \frac{q-1}{q} + \frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{q} \right) (\alpha_* - \alpha_0), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

In particular  $\alpha_{2n+1} = \alpha_*$ . For these  $\alpha_l$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, 2n+1$  and  $0 \leq t_n \leq t_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq t$ , we introduce the operator  $\pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) : \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}$  as follows

$$\pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(0)}(t) = S_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(0)}(t), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) &= S_{\alpha_{2n+1}\alpha_{2n}}(t-t_1)B_{\alpha_{2n}\alpha_{2n-1}}S_{\alpha_{2n-1}\alpha_{2n-2}}(t_1-t_2) \\ &\times B_{\alpha_{2n-2}\alpha_{2n-3}} \cdots S_{\alpha_3\alpha_2}(t_{n-1}-t_n)B_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}S_{\alpha_1\alpha_0}(t_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in obtaining the second equality in (4.8), we conclude that  $\pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is independent of the particular choice of the partition of  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_*)$  into subintervals  $(\alpha_l, \alpha_{l+1})$ . In view of (4.6), we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) = A_{\alpha_*\alpha}\pi_{\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) = A_{\alpha_*}\pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n), \quad (4.11)$$

holding for all  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_*)$ . For the same  $\alpha$ , by setting in (4.10)  $t_1 = t$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t, t_2, \dots, t_n) &= S_{\alpha_*\alpha_{2n}}(0)B_{\alpha_{2n}\alpha_{2n-1}}\pi_{\alpha_{2n-1}\alpha_0}^{(n-1)}(t, t_2, \dots, t_n) \\ &= B_{\alpha_*\alpha}\pi_{\alpha_0}^{(n-1)}(t, t_2, \dots, t_n), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

see (4.8). By (4.7) and the second estimate in (4.3) we get the following estimate of the operator norm of (4.10)

$$\|\pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n)\| \leq \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{qn\beta(\alpha_{2k-1})}{(\alpha_* - \alpha_0)e} \right) \leq \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n \cdot \left( \frac{q}{T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)} \right)^n. \quad (4.13)$$

Now we set  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(0)}(t) = S_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)$  and

$$Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(k)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.14)$$

Then by (4.13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t) - Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n-1)}(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n \cdot \left( \frac{q}{T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)} \right)^n dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n = \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n \cdot \left( \frac{qt}{T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\tau < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ , by using (3.14) we conclude that there exist  $q > 1$  and  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_*)$  such that  $q\tau < T(\alpha, \alpha_0)$ . Then by the above estimate it follows that, uniformly on

$[0, \tau]$ ,  $\{Q_{\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the operator norm. Let  $Q_{\alpha_0}(t)$  be its limit. Clearly, this also applies to the sequence  $\{Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t)\}$ , which therefore converges to  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)$  in the same sense. By this we have that: (a) for each  $t \in [0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$ , there exists  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_*)$  such that

$$\forall k \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0} \quad Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)k = Q_{\alpha\alpha_0}(t)k; \quad (4.15)$$

(b) for each  $k \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$ , the map  $[0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)) \ni t \mapsto Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)k \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}$  is continuous, and

$$\forall k \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0} \quad Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)k \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_*}.$$

The latter follows by (3.12) and (4.15). In the sequel, we will use the following estimate

$$\|Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)\| \leq \frac{T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)}{T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0) - t}, \quad (4.16)$$

that readily follows by (4.13).

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_*)$ , by (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain from (4.14) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t) = A_{\alpha_*\alpha}Q_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t) + B_{\alpha_*\alpha}Q_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n-1)}(t). \quad (4.17)$$

Fix  $\tau < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  and then pick  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_*)$  such that  $q\tau < T(\alpha, \alpha_0)$ . By the arguments used above the right-hand side of (4.17) converges as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly on  $[0, \tau]$ , to

$$A_{\alpha_*\alpha}Q_{\alpha\alpha_0}(t) + B_{\alpha_*\alpha}Q_{\alpha\alpha_0}(t) = A_{\alpha_*}Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) + B_{\alpha_*}Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) = \text{RHS}(4.2).$$

This completes the proof that  $k_t$  given in (4.1) is a solution of the problem in (3.11) in the sense of Definition 3.1. The uniqueness stated in the theorem can be obtained similarly as in the proof of the same property in [3, Lemma 4.1].

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3

In this case, the proof is much longer and will be done in several steps. In view of (3.3), the solution described by Theorem 3.2 has the property  $k_t(\emptyset) = k_0(\emptyset)$  for all  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  since  $(L^\Delta k)(\emptyset) = 0$ . By the very choice of the spaces (3.5) this solution satisfies condition (b) of Proposition 2.2. Thus, it remains to prove that it has the positivity property defined in (2.9). To this end we make the following. First, in subsection 5.1 we introduce an auxiliary model, described by  $L^\sigma$  with some  $\sigma > 0$ . For this model, by repeating the proof of Theorem 3.2 we obtain the evolution  $k_0 \rightarrow k_t^\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$ -spaces. In subsection 5.3, we prove that

$$\langle\langle G, k_t^\sigma \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle G, k_t \rangle\rangle, \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (5.1)$$

holding for all  $G \in \mathcal{B}_{bs}$ , cf. (2.9) and (2.10). In the proof, we use the predual evolution constructed in subsection 5.2. To show that  $k_t^\sigma$  has the positivity property (2.9) we construct its approximations (subsection 5.4). As we then show, these approximations coincide with the directly obtained local correlation functions, see (5.31) and Corollary 5.8, that have the required positivity by construction. Finally, in subsection 5.4.4 we eliminate the approximation and thus obtain the desired positivity of  $k_t^\sigma$ .

**5.1. Auxiliary model.** For a given  $\sigma > 0$ , we set  $\psi_\sigma(x) = e^{-\sigma|x|^2}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Obviously

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\sigma|x|^2} dx = \left(\frac{\pi}{\sigma}\right)^{d/2}.$$

The model in question is described by

$$\begin{aligned} (L^\sigma F)(\gamma) &= \sum_{\{x,y\} \subset \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(z) c_1(x,y;z) \left( F(\gamma \setminus \{x,y\} \cup z) - F(\gamma) \right) dz \\ &+ \sum_{x \in \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(y) \tilde{c}_2(x,y;\gamma) \left( F(\gamma \setminus x \cup y) - F(\gamma) \right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Then we repeat the steps made in (2.5) and (2.13) to obtain the operator  $L^{\Delta,\sigma} = L_1^{\Delta,\sigma} + L_2^{\Delta,\sigma}$  in the following form, cf. (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} (L_1^{\Delta,\sigma} k)(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \sum_{z \in \eta} \psi_\sigma(z) c_1(x,y;z) k(\eta \setminus z \cup \{x,y\}) dx dy \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \psi_\sigma(z) \sum_{x \in \eta} c_1(x,y;z) k(\eta \cup y) dy dz \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \psi_\sigma(z) \sum_{y \in \eta} c_1(x,y;z) k(\eta \cup x) dx dz \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(z) \sum_{\{x,y\} \subset \eta} c_1(x,y;z) dz k(\eta) \\ (L_2^{\Delta,\sigma} k)(\eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{y \in \eta} (Q_y k)(\eta \setminus y \cup x) \psi_\sigma(y) c_2(x-y) \prod_{u \in \eta \setminus y} e^{-\phi(y-u)} dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (Q_y k)(\eta) \psi_\sigma(y) \sum_{x \in \eta} c_2(x-y) \prod_{u \in \eta \setminus x} e^{-\phi(y-u)} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_y$  is the same as in (3.4). Like above, cf. (3.3), we split  $L_1^{\Delta,\sigma}$  (resp.  $L_2^{\Delta,\sigma}$ ) into the summands  $L_{11}^{\Delta,\sigma}$ ,  $L_{12}^{\Delta,\sigma}$ ,  $L_{13}^{\Delta,\sigma}$ ,  $L_{14}^{\Delta,\sigma}$  (resp.  $L_{21}^{\Delta,\sigma}$  and  $L_{22}^{\Delta,\sigma}$ ). We also introduce

$$(A^\sigma k)(\eta) = -\Psi_\sigma(\eta) k(\eta), \quad B^\sigma = L^{\Delta,\sigma} - A^\sigma, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\Psi_\sigma(\eta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(z) \sum_{\{x,y\} \subset \eta} c_1(x,y;z) dz,$$

and then define the operators  $A_\theta^\sigma = (A^\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$ ,  $B_\theta^\sigma = (B^\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$ ,  $L_\theta^{\Delta,\sigma} = A_\theta^\sigma + B_\theta^\sigma$ ,  $A_{\theta'\theta}^\sigma$ ,  $B_{\theta'\theta}^\sigma$  for  $\theta' > \theta$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$  defined in (3.10). Since  $\psi_\sigma \leq 1$ , by the literal repetition of the proof of Theorem 3.2 we construct the family of operators  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^\sigma(t)$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha_* > \alpha$ ,  $t \in [0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$  such that  $k_t^\sigma = Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0$  with  $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$  is the unique classical solution – on the time interval  $[0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$  with  $T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  as in (3.14) – of the problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} k_t^\sigma = L_{\alpha_*}^{\Delta,\sigma} k_t^\sigma, \quad k_t^\sigma|_{t=0} = k_0. \quad (5.4)$$

Note that the norm of  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^\sigma(t)$  also satisfies (4.16).

**5.2. Predual evolution.** To prove (5.1) we allow  $G$  to evolve accordingly to the rule

$$\langle\langle G_t, k_0 \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle G_0, Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0 \rangle\rangle$$

The proper context to this is to construct the corresponding evolution in the space predual to  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}$ , which ought to be of  $L^1$ -type. For  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we introduce

$$\mathcal{G}_\theta = \{G : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : |G|_\theta < \infty\}, \quad |G|_\theta := \int_{\Gamma_0} |G(\eta)| e^{\theta|\eta|} \lambda(d\eta). \quad (5.5)$$

Obviously, for  $\theta' > \theta$ , we have that  $\mathcal{G}_{\theta'} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_\theta$ . Notably,  $G \in \mathcal{B}_{bs}$  lies in  $\mathcal{G}_\theta$  with an arbitrary  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, let  $M$  be the bound of  $|G|$  and  $N$  and  $\Lambda$  be as in Definition 2.1. Then we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} |G(\eta)| e^{\theta|\eta|} \lambda(d\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} e^{\theta n} \int_{\Lambda^n} |G(x_1, \dots, x_n)| dx_1 \dots dx_n \leq M e^{|\Lambda| e^\theta}. \quad (5.6)$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha_* > \alpha_0$ ,  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ , see (3.14), be the family of bounded operators constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Then there exists the family  $H_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}(t) : \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_*} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_0}$ ,  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  such that: (a) the norm of  $H_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}(t)$  satisfies (4.16); (b) for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_*}$  and  $k \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$ , the following holds*

$$\langle\langle H_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}(t)G, k \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle G, Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t)k \rangle\rangle; \quad (5.7)$$

(c) the map  $[0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)) \ni t \mapsto H_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}(t)$  is continuous in the operator norm topology.

*Proof.* Clearly, the most challenging part is the continuity stated in (c). Thus, we start by deriving the corresponding generating operator. To this end, we use the rule

$$\langle\langle G, L^\Delta k \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \hat{L}G, k \rangle\rangle.$$

It can be shown, see [13], that it has the following form

$$\hat{L} = \hat{L}_1 + \hat{L}_2,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{L}_1 G)(\eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{\{x,y\} \subset \eta} c_1(x,y;z) \\ &\times \left( G(\eta \setminus \{x,y\} \cup z) - G(\eta \setminus y) - G(\eta \setminus x) - G(\eta) \right) dz, \\ (\hat{L}_2 G)(\eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{x \in \eta} c_2(x-y) \sum_{\xi \subset \eta \setminus x} [G(\xi \cup y) - G(\xi \cup x)] \\ &\times \sum_{\zeta \subset \xi} \prod_{u \in \eta \setminus \xi \cup x \cup \zeta} \left( e^{-\phi(y-u)} - 1 \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set

$$\hat{L} = \hat{A} + \hat{B}, \quad (\hat{A}G)(\eta) := -\Psi(\eta)G(\eta),$$

where  $\Psi$  is as in the last line of (3.3). Then define, cf. (3.10),

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_\theta = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta : |\cdot|^2 G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta\}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.8)$$

Like in the dual spaces  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$ , cf. (3.12), here we have that both  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  map  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_\theta$  in  $\mathcal{G}_\theta$ . This allows one to introduce the operators  $\hat{A}_\theta = (\hat{A}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_\theta)$  and  $\hat{B}_\theta = (\hat{B}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}_\theta)$  as well as bounded operators  $\hat{A}_{\theta\theta'}$  and  $\hat{B}_{\theta\theta'}$  mapping  $\mathcal{G}_{\theta'}$  to  $\mathcal{G}_\theta$  for  $\theta' > \theta$ . Their operator norms satisfy the same estimates as the norms of  $A_{\theta\theta}$  and  $B_{\theta\theta}$ , respectively, see (4.3).

For such  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$ , we also set  $\hat{S}_{\theta\theta'}(t) : \mathcal{G}_{\theta'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\theta$  to be the multiplication operator by the function  $\exp(-t\Psi(\eta))$ . Similarly as in (4.5) one shows that

$$|S_{\theta\theta'}(t)G - S_{\theta\theta'}(t')G|_\theta \leq |t - t'| \frac{2C_1^{\max}}{(\theta' - \theta)^2 e^2} |G|_{\theta'}, \quad (5.9)$$

which yields the continuity of the map  $[0, +\infty) \ni t \mapsto \hat{S}_{\theta\theta'}(t)$  in the operator norm topology. By the very construction of these operators we have that, for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\theta'}$  and  $k \in \mathcal{K}_\theta$ , the following holds

$$\langle\langle \hat{B}_{\theta\theta'} G, k \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle G, B_{\theta'\theta} k \rangle\rangle, \quad \langle\langle \hat{S}_{\theta\theta'}(t) G, k \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle G, S_{\theta'\theta}(t) k \rangle\rangle, \quad (5.10)$$

where the second equality holds for all  $t \geq 0$ . Now, for a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha_l$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, 2n+1$  defined in (4.9) and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  as in (4.10), we set

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) &= \hat{S}_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}(t_n) \hat{B}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \hat{S}_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}(t_{n-1} - t_n) \hat{B}_{\alpha_3\alpha_4} \times \dots \times \\ &\times \hat{S}_{\alpha_{2n-2}\alpha_{2n-1}}(t_1 - t_2) \hat{B}_{\alpha_{2n-1}\alpha_{2n}} \hat{S}_{\alpha_{2n}\alpha_{2n+1}}(t - t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we define

$$H_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}(t) = S_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \varpi_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n. \quad (5.11)$$

Since the operator norms of all  $\hat{S}$  and  $\hat{B}$  satisfy the same estimates as the norms of respectively  $S$  and  $B$ , the operator norm of  $\varpi_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}^{(n)}$  satisfies (4.13). Hence, the series in (5.11) converges in the norm topology, uniformly on compact subsets of  $[0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$ , which together with (5.9) yields the continuity stated in claim (c) and the bound stated in (a). In view of the convergence just mentioned, to prove (5.7) it is enough to show that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq t_n \leq t_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq t$ , the following holds

$$\langle\langle \varpi_{\alpha_0\alpha_*}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) G, k \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle G, \pi_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) k \rangle\rangle,$$

which is obviously the case in view of (5.10).  $\square$

**5.3. Taking the limit  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ .** Our aim now is to prove the following statement, cf. (5.1).

**Lemma 5.2.** *For arbitrary  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha_* > \alpha_0$ , every  $G \in \mathcal{B}_{b_s}$  and  $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$ , the following holds*

$$\forall t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)/2 \quad \langle\langle G, Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0 \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \langle\langle G, Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) k_0 \rangle\rangle, \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (5.12)$$

*Proof.* First of all we note that, for each  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ , both  $Q_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}(0)$  and  $Q_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^\sigma(0)$  are the corresponding embedding operators. Then, for  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_*$ , we can write, cf. (4.2) and (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) - Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^\sigma(t)] k_0 &= - \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} [Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_2}(t-s) Q_{\alpha_2\alpha_0}^\sigma(s)] k_0 ds \\ &= \int_0^t Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_2}(t-s) (A_{\alpha_2\alpha_1} - A_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^\sigma) k_s^\sigma ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_2}(t-s) (B_{\alpha_2\alpha_1} - B_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^\sigma) k_s^\sigma ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

where  $k_s^\sigma$  is supposed to lie in  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha_1}$  and

$$t < \min\{T(\alpha_1, \alpha_0); T(\alpha_*, \alpha_2)\}. \quad (5.14)$$

Then

$$\langle\langle G, Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}(t) k_0 \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle G, Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0 \rangle\rangle = \Upsilon_\sigma^1(t) + \Upsilon_\sigma^2(t), \quad (5.15)$$

where  $\Upsilon_\sigma^1(t)$  and  $\Upsilon_\sigma^2(t)$  correspond to the first and second summands in the right-hand side of (5.13), respectively. By means of (5.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_\sigma^1(t) &= \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) \left( \int_0^t Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_2}(t-s)(A_{\alpha_2\alpha_1} - A_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^\sigma) k_s^\sigma(\eta) ds \right) \lambda(d\eta) \\ &= - \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} G_{t-s}(\eta) [\Psi(\eta) - \Psi_\sigma(\eta)] k_s^\sigma(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) \right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

where  $t$  (hence  $t-s$  and  $s$ ) satisfy (5.14), and  $G_{t-s} := H_{\alpha_2\alpha_*}(t-s)G \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_2}$  since  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_*}$ , see (5.6). By (3.6) and then by (4.16) we get from (5.16) the following estimate

$$|\Upsilon_\sigma^1(t)| \leq \frac{\|k_0\|_{\alpha_0} T(\alpha_1, \alpha_0)}{T(\alpha_1, \alpha_0) - t} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_0} |G_s(\eta)| |\Psi(\eta) - \Psi_\sigma(\eta)| e^{\alpha_1|\eta|} ds \lambda(d\eta) \quad (5.17)$$

For each  $\eta \in \Gamma_0$ , the integral in the right-hand side of the second line in (5.3) is bounded by  $c_1^{\max} |\eta| (|\eta| - 1)/2$ , see the second line in (3.2). Then by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we conclude

$$\forall \eta \in \Gamma_0 \quad \Psi_\sigma(\eta) \rightarrow \Psi(\eta). \quad (5.18)$$

At the same time, the integral over  $[0, t] \times \Gamma_0$  in (5.17) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &c_1^{\max} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} |\eta|^2 e^{-(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)|\eta|} |G_s(\eta)| e^{\alpha_2|\eta|} \lambda(d\eta) \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{4c_1^{\max}}{(e(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1))^2} \int_0^t |G_s|_{\alpha_2} ds \leq \frac{4c_1^{\max} t T(\alpha_*, \alpha_2)}{(e(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1))^2 (T(\alpha_*, \alpha_2) - t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the latter estimate is obtained by claim (a) of Lemma 5.1. This allows one to apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to the mentioned integral in (5.17), which by (5.18) yields

$$\Upsilon_\sigma^1(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0^+,$$

whenever  $t$  satisfies (5.14).

The second summand in the right-hand side of (5.15) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_\sigma^2(t) &= \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) \left( \int_0^t Q_{\alpha_*\alpha_2}(t-s) [B_{\alpha_2\alpha_1} - B_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^\sigma] k_s^\sigma(\eta) ds \right) \lambda(d\eta) \\ &= \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} G_{t-s}(\eta) [B_{\alpha_2\alpha_1} - B_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^\sigma] k_s^\sigma(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) \right) ds \\ &= \Upsilon_\sigma^{2,1}(t) + \dots + \Upsilon_\sigma^{2,5}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the summands  $\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,i}(t)$  correspond to  $L_{1i}^\Delta$  with the same  $i$ ; for  $i = 4, 5$ , they correspond to  $L_{21}^\Delta$  and  $L_{22}^\Delta$ , respectively, see (3.3). To estimate  $\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,1}(t)$ , by (2.15), (2.16)

and (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\Gamma_0} G_{t-s}(\eta) (L_{11}^\Delta - L_{11}^{\Delta, \sigma})_{\alpha_2 \alpha_1} k_s^\sigma(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) \right| \tag{5.20} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_0} |G_{t-s}(\eta)| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \sum_{z \in \eta} (1 - \psi_\sigma(z)) c_1(x, y; z) |k_s^\sigma(\eta \setminus z \cup \{x, y\})| dx dy \lambda(d\eta) \\
& = \int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} |G_{t-s}(\eta \setminus \{x, y\} \cup z)| (1 - \psi_\sigma(z)) c_1(x, y; z) dz |k_s^\sigma(\eta)| \lambda(d\eta) \\
& \leq \|k_s^\sigma\|_{\alpha_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \psi_\sigma(z)) \int_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} |G_{t-s}(\eta \setminus \{x, y\} \cup z)| c_1(x, y; z) e^{\alpha_1 |\eta|} \lambda(d\eta) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

By this estimate we then get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,1}(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_0} G_{t-s}(\eta) (L_{11}^\Delta - L_{11}^{\Delta, \sigma})_{\alpha_2 \alpha_1} k_s^\sigma(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) ds \right| \tag{5.21} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \psi_\sigma(z)) g(z) dz
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(z) = \int_{\Gamma_0} \int_0^t \|k_s^\sigma\|_{\alpha_1} \left( \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} |G_{t-s}(\eta \setminus \{x, y\} \cup z)| c_1(x, y; z) e^{\alpha_1 |\eta|} \lambda(d\eta) \right) ds.$$

Let us show that  $g$  is integrable whenever  $t$  (hence  $s$  and  $t - s$ ) satisfy (5.14). To this end by (2.15), (2.16) and claim (a) of Lemma 5.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) dz \tag{5.22} \\
&= \int_0^t \|k_s^\sigma\|_{\alpha_1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} |G_{t-s}(\eta \setminus \{x, y\} \cup z)| c_1(x, y; z) e^{\alpha_1 |\eta|} \lambda(d\eta) dz \right) ds \\
&= \frac{e^{\alpha_1}}{2} \int_0^t \|k_s^\sigma\|_{\alpha_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} e^{\alpha_2 |\eta|} |G_{t-s}(\eta)| e^{-(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) |\eta|} \left( \sum_{z \in \eta} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} c_1(x, y; z) dx dy \right) \lambda(d\eta) ds \\
&\leq \frac{e^{\alpha_1} \langle c_1 \rangle}{2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) e} \int_0^t \|k_s^\sigma\|_{\alpha_1} |G_{t-s}|_{\alpha_2} ds \leq \frac{e^{\alpha_1} \langle c_1 \rangle}{2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) e} D_t(\alpha_2, \alpha_1),
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$D_t(\alpha_2, \alpha_1) = \frac{tT(\alpha_*, \alpha_2)T(\alpha_1, \alpha_0)}{(T(\alpha_*, \alpha_2) - t)(T(\alpha_1, \alpha_0) - t)}. \tag{5.23}$$

Then by (5.21) we obtain that

$$\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,1}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0^+, \tag{5.24}$$

whenever  $t$  satisfies (5.14). By the literal repetition of the arguments yielding (5.24) we prove the same convergence to zero also for  $\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,2}(t)$  and  $\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,3}(t)$ , cf. (3.3). To estimate  $\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,4}(t)$  similarly as in (5.20), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,4}(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_0} G_{t-s}(\eta) (L_{21}^\Delta - L_{21}^{\Delta,\sigma})_{\alpha_2 \alpha_1} k_s^\sigma(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) ds \right| \quad (5.25) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \psi_\sigma(y)) \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{x \in \eta} c_2(x; y) |G_{t-s}(\eta \setminus x \cup y)| \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_{\Gamma_0} |k_s^\sigma(\eta \cup \xi)| \prod_{u \in \xi} (1 - e^{-\phi(y-u)}) \lambda(d\xi) \lambda(d\eta) \right) ds dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \psi_\sigma(y)) h(y) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
h(y) &= \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{x \in \eta} c_2(x - y) |G_{t-s}(\eta \setminus x \cup y)| \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} |k_s^\sigma(\eta \cup \xi)| \prod_{u \in \xi} (1 - e^{-\phi(y-u)}) \lambda(d\xi) \right) \lambda(d\eta) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously as in (5.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) dy &\leq \exp(\langle \phi \rangle e^{\alpha_1}) \int_0^t \|k_s^\sigma\|_{\alpha_1} \int_{\Gamma_0} e^{\alpha_1 |\eta|} |G_{t-s}(\eta)| \sum_{y \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c_2(x - y) dx \lambda(d\eta) ds \\
&\leq \frac{\langle c_2 \rangle}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) e} t D_t(\alpha_2, \alpha_1) \exp(\langle \phi \rangle e^{\alpha_1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $D_t$  is the same as in (5.23). Then we apply the same dominated convergence theorem in the last line of (5.25) and obtain that

$$\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,4}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0^+,$$

whenever  $t$  satisfies (5.14). The proof of the same convergence for  $\Upsilon_\sigma^{2,5}(t)$  is completely analogous. Thus, all the summands in the last line of (5.19) tend to zero as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$  – that yields (5.12) – whenever  $t$  satisfies (5.14). It remains to prove that, for each  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)/2$ , one can pick  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_*)$  such that (5.14) holds for these  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_1$ . To this end, we fix  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)/2$ , take  $\alpha_1 = (\alpha_* + \alpha_0)/2$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + \epsilon \beta(\alpha_*)$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  being chosen later and such that  $\alpha_2 < \alpha_*$ . For this choice, by (3.14) we have that  $T(\alpha_1, \alpha_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0) > t$  since  $\beta(\theta)$  is increasing. At the same time,  $T(\alpha_*, \alpha_2) + \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ . Then we take  $\epsilon = (\frac{1}{2} T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0) - t)/2$  in the choice of  $\alpha_2$ , which yields  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_2)$ .  $\square$

**5.4. Approximations.** Our aim now is to prove that  $k_t^\sigma = Q_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0$  has the positivity property defined in (2.9) whenever  $k_0$  is the correlation function of a certain  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$ . Then, for  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)/2$ , the same positivity property of  $k_t = Q_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}(t) k_0$  will follow by Lemma 5.2. Similarly as in [3, 9], the main idea of proving the positivity of  $k_t^\sigma$  is to approximate it by a correlation function of a finite system of this kind, which is positive

by Proposition 2.2. Thereafter, one has to prove that the positivity is preserved when the approximation is eliminated.

5.4.1. *The approximate evolution.* For a compact  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $\mu_0^\Lambda$  be the projection of the initial state  $\mu_0$ , see (2.3). Then its density  $R_{\mu_0}^\Lambda$  and the correlation function  $k_0$  are related to each other in (2.14). For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$R_0^{\Lambda,N}(\eta) = \begin{cases} R_{\mu_0}^\Lambda(\eta) & \text{if } |\eta| \leq N \text{ and } \eta \in \Gamma_\Lambda, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.26)$$

Note that  $R_0^{\Lambda,N} : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , unlike to  $R_{\mu_0}^\Lambda$  which is a function on  $\Gamma_\Lambda$ . Now we define

$$k_0^{\Lambda,N}(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_\Lambda} R_0^{\Lambda,N}(\eta \cup \xi) \lambda(d\xi), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_0, \quad (5.27)$$

By (5.26) and (5.27) we have that  $k_0^{\Lambda,N} \leq k_{\mu_0}$ , so that  $k_{\mu_0} \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$  implies  $k_0^{\Lambda,N} \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$ . Then by Theorem 3.2,

$$k_t^{\Lambda,N} = Q_{\alpha_0 \alpha_*}^\sigma(t) k_0^{\Lambda,N} \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*} \quad (5.28)$$

is the unique classical solution of the problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} k_t^{\Lambda,N} = L_{\alpha_*}^{\Delta, \sigma} k_t^{\Lambda,N}, \quad k_t^{\Lambda,N}|_{t=0} = k_0^{\Lambda,N} \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0},$$

on the time interval  $[0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $k_t^{\Lambda,N}$ ,  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  be as in (5.28). Then, for each  $G \in \mathcal{B}_{b_s}^*$  and  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ , the following holds*

$$\langle\langle G, k_t^{\Lambda,N} \rangle\rangle \geq 0. \quad (5.29)$$

The proof of this statement will follow by Corollary 5.8 proved below in which we show that

$$k_t^{\Lambda,N} = q_t^{\Lambda,N}, \quad (5.30)$$

where

$$q_t^{\Lambda,N}(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} R_t^{\Lambda,N}(\eta \cup \xi) \lambda(d\xi), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.31)$$

Here  $R_t^{\Lambda,N}$  is the (non-normalized) density obtained from  $R_0^{\Lambda,N}$  given in (5.26) in the course of the evolution related to  $L^\sigma$ . By this fact,  $q_t^{\Lambda,N}$  satisfies (5.29), which will yield the proof. According to this, we proceed by constructing the evolution  $R_0^{\Lambda,N} \rightarrow R_t^{\Lambda,N}$ , which will allow us to use  $q_t^{\Lambda,N}$  defined in (5.31). The next step will be to prove (5.30).

5.4.2. *The local evolution.* As just mentioned, the evolution  $R_0^{\Lambda,N} \rightarrow R_t^{\Lambda,N}$  is related to the local evolution of the auxiliary model described by  $L^\sigma$ , see subsection 5.1. Here *local* means the following. Assume that the initial state  $\nu_0$  is such that  $\nu_0(\Gamma_0) = 1$ . That is, the system is finite and hence local. Assume also that it has density  $R_{\nu_0} = \frac{d\nu_0}{d\lambda}$ . Then the evolution related to the Kolmogorov equation with  $L^\sigma$  can be described as the evolution of densities by solving the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} R_t = L^\dagger R_t, \quad R_t|_{t=0} = R_{\nu_0}, \quad (5.32)$$

where  $L^\dagger$  is related to  $L^\sigma$  according to the rule

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} (L^\sigma F)(\eta) R(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} F(\eta) (L^\dagger R)(\eta) \lambda(d\eta), \quad (5.33)$$

by which and (5.2), (2.15) and (2.16) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (L^\dagger R)(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in \eta} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \psi_\sigma(z) c_1(x, y; z) R(\eta \cup \{x, y\} \setminus z) dx dy \\ &+ \sum_{y \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(y) c_2(x - y) \prod_{u \in \eta \setminus y} e^{-\phi(y-u)} R(\eta \cup x \setminus y) dx \\ &- E^\sigma(\eta) R(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E^\sigma &= E_1^\sigma + E_2^\sigma, \\ E_1^\sigma(\eta) &= \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(z) c_1(x, y; z) dz, \\ E_2^\sigma(\eta) &= \sum_{x \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(y) \tilde{c}_2(x; y; \eta) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

Our aim now is to show that  $L^\dagger$  is the generator of a stochastic semigroup  $S^\dagger = \{S^\dagger(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , which we will use to obtain  $R_t^{\Lambda, N}$  in the form  $S^\dagger(t) R_0^{\Lambda, N}$ . In doing this, we follow the scheme developed in [3, Sect. 3.1].

The semigroup  $S^\dagger$  is supposed to act in the space  $\mathcal{G}_0$ , see (5.5). Along with this space we will also use

$$\mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac} = \{G : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : |G|_{\text{fac}, \theta} < \infty\}, \quad |G|_{\text{fac}, \theta} := \int_{\Gamma_0} |G(\eta)| |\eta|! e^{\theta|\eta|} \lambda(d\eta). \quad (5.36)$$

Clearly, for each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta' > \theta$ , we have that

$$\mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_0, \quad \mathcal{G}_{\theta'}^{fac} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}. \quad (5.37)$$

Note that these are *AL*-spaces, which means that their norms are additive on the corresponding cones of positive elements

$$\mathcal{G}_0^+ = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_0 : G(\eta) \geq 0\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac, +} = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac} : G(\eta) \geq 0\}.$$

These cones naturally define the cones of positive operators acting in the corresponding spaces. It is also convenient to relate this property to the following linear functionals

$$\varphi(G) = \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) \lambda(d\eta), \quad \varphi_\theta^{fac}(G) = \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\eta) |\eta|! e^{\theta|\eta|} \lambda(d\eta). \quad (5.38)$$

Then  $\varphi(G) = |G|_0$  and  $\varphi_\theta^{fac}(G) = |G|_{\text{fac}, \theta}$  for  $G \in \mathcal{G}_0^+$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac, +}$ , respectively.

To formulate (5.32) in the Banach space  $\mathcal{G}_0$ , we have to define the corresponding domain of  $L^\dagger$ . To this end, we write

$$L^\dagger = A^\dagger + B^\dagger, \quad (A^\dagger G)(\eta) = -E^\sigma(\eta) G(\eta), \quad (5.39)$$

and then set

$$\mathcal{D}^\dagger = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_0 : \int_{\Gamma_0} E^\sigma(\eta) |G(\eta)| \lambda(d\eta) < \infty\}. \quad (5.40)$$

By means of (2.15) we obtain that

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} |(BG)(\eta)| \lambda(d\eta) \leq \int_{\Gamma_0} E^\sigma(\eta) |G(\eta)| \lambda(d\eta). \quad (5.41)$$

By (5.41) and the evident positivity of  $B$  it follows that

$$B : \mathcal{D}_+^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0^+, \quad \mathcal{D}_+^\dagger := \mathcal{D}^\dagger \cap \mathcal{G}_0^+. \quad (5.42)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac} : A^\dagger G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}\}, \quad A_\theta^\dagger = A^\dagger|_{\mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger}. \quad (5.43)$$

That is,  $A_\theta^\dagger$  is the restriction of  $A^\dagger$  to  $\mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger$  – the trace of  $A^\dagger$  in  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$ . The construction of the semigroup  $S^\dagger$  is performed by means of the perturbation technique developed in [15]. We formulate here the corresponding statement borrowed from [3, Proposition 3.2] in the form adapted to the present context.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Assume that the operators introduced in (5.39), (5.40) have the following properties:*

- (i)  $-A^\dagger : \mathcal{D}_+^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0^+$  and  $B^\dagger : \mathcal{D}_+^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0^+$ ;
- (ii)  $(A^\dagger, \mathcal{D}^\dagger)$  is the generator of a sub-stochastic semigroup  $S_0^\dagger = \{S_0^\dagger(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{G}_0$  such that, for all  $t > 0$ ,  $S_0^\dagger(t) : \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$  and the restrictions  $S_0^\dagger(t)|_{\mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}}$  constitute a  $C_0$ -semigroup of operators on  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$  generated by  $(A_\theta^\dagger, \mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger)$  defined in (5.43);
- (iii)  $B^\dagger : \mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$  and  $\varphi((A^\dagger + B^\dagger)G) = 0$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{D}_+^\dagger$ ;
- (iv) there exist positive  $c$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\varphi_\theta^{fac} \left( (A^\dagger + B^\dagger)G \right) \leq c\varphi_\theta^{fac}(G) - \varepsilon|A^\dagger G|_0, \quad \text{for all } G \in \mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger \cap \mathcal{G}_0^+.$$

Then the closure of  $(A^\dagger, \mathcal{D}^\dagger)$  in  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is the generator of a stochastic semigroup  $S^\dagger = \{S^\dagger(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{G}_0$  that leaves  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$  invariant.

By means of this statement we prove the following.

**Lemma 5.5.** *The closure of the operator  $(A^\dagger, \mathcal{D}^\dagger)$  defined in (5.39), (5.40) generates a stochastic semigroup  $S^\dagger = \{S^\dagger(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  of operators in  $\mathcal{G}_0$  that leaves invariant  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$  with any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* We ought to show that all the conditions of Proposition 5.4 are met. Condition (i) is met by (5.39), (5.40) (case of  $A^\dagger$ ), and by (5.41), (5.42) (case of  $B^\dagger$ ). The operators  $S_0^\dagger(t)$  mentioned in item (ii) act as follows  $(S_0^\dagger(t)G)(\eta) = \exp(-tE^\sigma(\eta))G(\eta)$ . Hence, to prove that all the conditions mentioned in (ii) are met we have to show the continuity of the map  $t \mapsto (S_0^\dagger(t)G)(\eta) \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$ . That is, we have to show that

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} \left( 1 - \exp(-tE^\sigma(\eta)) \right) |G(\eta)| e^{\theta|\eta|} |\eta|! \lambda(d\eta) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

which obviously holds by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem since  $G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$ . To check that  $B^\dagger : \mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$ , for  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger \cap \mathcal{G}_0^+$ , we apply (2.15) and obtain from (5.34)

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_\theta^{fac}(B^\dagger G) \tag{5.44} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_0} |\eta|! e^{\theta|\eta|} \left( \sum_{z \in \eta} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \psi_\sigma(z) c_1(x, y; z) G(\eta \cup \{x, y\} \setminus z) dx dy \right) \lambda(d\eta) \\
&+ \int_{\Gamma_0} |\eta|! e^{\theta|\eta|} \left( \sum_{y \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(y) \tilde{c}_2(x, y; \eta) G(\eta \cup x \setminus y) dx \right) \lambda(d\eta) \\
&= e^{-\theta} \int_{\Gamma_0} (|\eta| - 1)! e^{\theta|\eta|} \left( \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(z) c_1(x, y; z) dz \right) G(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) \\
&+ \int_{\Gamma_0} |\eta|! e^{\theta|\eta|} \left( \sum_{x \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(y) \tilde{c}_2(x, y; \eta) dy \right) G(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) \\
&\leq \max\{1; e^{-\theta}\} \int_{\Gamma_0} |\eta|! e^{\theta|\eta|} E^\sigma(\eta) G(\eta) \lambda(d\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields  $B^\dagger : \mathcal{D}_\theta^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$ . In the same way, one shows that

$$\varphi(B^\dagger G) = \int_{\Gamma_0} E^\sigma(\eta) G(\eta) \lambda(d\eta),$$

that completes the proof of item (iii).

To prove that (iv) holds, by (5.39), (5.35) and (5.44) we obtain

$$\varphi_\theta^{fac} \left( (A^\dagger + B^\dagger) G \right) = \int_{\Gamma_0} (|\eta| - 1)! e^{\theta|\eta|} G(\eta) E_1^\sigma(\eta) \left( e^{-\theta} - |\eta| \right) \lambda(d\eta).$$

Then the inequality in item (iv) can be written in the form

$$-cG(\emptyset) + \int_{\Gamma_0} |\eta|! e^{\theta|\eta|} W(c, \varepsilon; \eta) G(\eta) \lambda(d\eta) \leq 0,$$

where  $W(c, \varepsilon; \emptyset) = 0$  and

$$W(c, \varepsilon; \eta) = \left( \frac{e^{-\theta}}{|\eta|} - 1 \right) E_1^\sigma(\eta) + \varepsilon \frac{e^{-\theta|\eta|}}{|\eta|!} E^\sigma(\eta) - c, \quad |\eta| \geq 1. \tag{5.45}$$

Since both first and second summands in (5.45) are bounded from above in  $\eta$ , one can pick  $c > 0$  big enough to make  $W(c, \varepsilon; \eta) \leq 0$  for all  $\eta \in \Gamma_0$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

By (5.26) and (5.38) we have that

$$|R_0^{\Lambda, N}|_{\text{fac}, \theta} = \varphi_\theta^{fac}(R_0^{\Lambda, N}) \leq N! e^{\theta N} \varphi(R_{\mu_0}^\Lambda) = N! e^{\theta N}.$$

Hence,  $R_0^{\Lambda, N} \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac}$  for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the same arguments we also have that  $|R_0^{\Lambda, N}|_0 \leq 1$ . Then, for all  $t > 0$ , we have that

$$R_t^{\Lambda, N} = S^\dagger(t) R_0^{\Lambda, N} \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{fac} \cap \mathcal{G}_0^+, \tag{5.46}$$

and  $|R_t^{\Lambda, N}|_0 \leq 1$ . Also,  $R_t^{\Lambda, N}$  is a unique classical solution of the problem in (5.32) with the initial condition  $R_0^{\Lambda, N}$ . These facts follow by Lemma 5.5. Now we define  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}$  by (5.31), see also (2.14). Then  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}(\emptyset) = |R_t^{\Lambda, N}|_0 \leq 1$  and  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}$  has both (b) and (c) properties of Proposition 2.2. By (5.33) and then by (2.13) and (2.5) we conclude that

$$\langle\langle F^\omega, L^\dagger F_t^{\Lambda, N} \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle e(\omega; \cdot), L^{\Delta, \sigma} q_t^{\Lambda, N} \rangle\rangle. \tag{5.47}$$

The latter means that one can apply  $L^{\Delta, \sigma}$  to  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}$  pointwise, and then calculate the integral with  $e(\omega; \cdot)$ . At the same time, for each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |q_t^{\Lambda, N}|_{\text{fac}, \theta} &= \int_{\Gamma_0} R_t^{\Lambda, N}(\eta) \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} e^{\theta|\xi|} |\xi|! \lambda(d\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} R_t^{\Lambda, N}(\eta) \sum_{k=0}^{|\eta|} \frac{|\eta|!}{(|\eta| - k)!} e^{\theta k} \lambda(d\eta) \leq e^{e^{-\theta}} |R_t^{\Lambda, N}|_{\text{fac}, \theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

Keeping in mind (5.47) and (5.48) let us define  $L^{\Delta, \sigma}$  in a given  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{\text{fac}}$ . To this end, we set, cf. (5.8),

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}} = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{\text{fac}} : |\cdot|^2 G \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{\text{fac}}\}, \quad (5.49)$$

and, see (5.3),

$$(A^{\text{fac}}G)(\theta) = -\Psi_\sigma(\eta)G(\eta), \quad B^{\text{fac}} = L^{\Delta, \sigma} - A^{\text{fac}}. \quad (5.50)$$

As above, one shows that both  $A^{\text{fac}}$  and  $B^{\text{fac}}$  map  $\mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}}$  into  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{\text{fac}}$  that allows for defining the corresponding unbounded operators  $A_\theta^{\text{fac}} = (A^{\text{fac}}, \mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}})$ ,  $B_\theta^{\text{fac}} = (B^{\text{fac}}, \mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}})$  and  $L_\theta^{\text{fac}, \Delta, \sigma} = (A^{\text{fac}} + B^{\text{fac}}, \mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}})$ . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we can define the corresponding bounded operators acting from  $\mathcal{G}_{\theta'}^{\text{fac}}$  to  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^{\text{fac}}$  for  $\theta' > \theta$ , see (5.37). Their operator norms satisfy

$$\|A_{\theta\theta'}^{\text{fac}}\| \leq \frac{2c_1^{\max}}{(\theta' - \theta)^2 e^2}, \quad \|B_{\theta\theta'}^{\text{fac}}\| \leq \frac{\frac{3}{2}c_1^{\max} e^{-\theta} + 2e^{e^{-\theta}} \langle c_2 \rangle}{(\theta' - \theta)e}. \quad (5.51)$$

By (5.37) and (5.49) we also have that

$$\forall \theta' > \theta \quad \mathcal{G}_{\theta'}^{\text{fac}} \subset \mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}}.$$

**Lemma 5.6.** *For each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $t \mapsto q_t^{\Lambda, N} \in \mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}} \subset \mathcal{G}_\theta^{\text{fac}}$  defined in (5.31) is a unique global in time classical solution of the Cauchy problem*

$$\frac{d}{dt} G_t = L_\theta^{\text{fac}, \Delta, \sigma} G_t, \quad G_t|_{t=0} = q_0^{\Lambda, N}, \quad (5.52)$$

with  $R_0^{\Lambda, N}$  defined in (5.26).

*Proof.* The continuity and continuous differentiability of the function  $t \mapsto q_t^{\Lambda, N} \in \mathcal{G}_\theta^{\text{fac}}$  follow by (5.46) and the fact that  $S^\dagger$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup. The inclusion  $q_t^{\Lambda, N} \in \mathcal{D}_\theta^{\text{fac}}$  follows by (5.48) and the fact that  $R_t^{\Lambda, N} \in \mathcal{G}_{\theta'}^{\text{fac}}$  with an arbitrary  $\theta'$ , see (5.37) and (5.50). The fact that  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}$  satisfies the first equality in (5.52) can be proved by means of (5.47). Thus, it remains to prove the stated uniqueness. Take any  $\theta'' > \theta' > \theta$  and consider the problem in (5.52) in  $\mathcal{G}_{\theta'}^{\text{fac}}$ . Since the initial condition  $q_0^{\Lambda, N}$  lies in  $\mathcal{G}_{\theta''}^{\text{fac}}$ , by means of the estimates in (5.51) and the technique developed for the proof of Theorem 3.2 one can prove the existence of a unique classical solution of the latter problem in  $\mathcal{G}_{\theta'}^{\text{fac}}$ , on a bounded time interval. The latter thus coincides with the one given in (5.31), which yields the uniqueness in question of this interval. Its further continuation is performed by repeating the same arguments.  $\square$

**5.4.3. The common evolution.** Our aim now is to show that (5.30) holds, which by (5.31) would yield the desired positivity of  $k_t^{\Lambda, N}$ . A priori (5.30) does not make any sense as  $k_t^{\Lambda, N}$  and  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}$  belong to different spaces (and are not defined pointwise). The resolution consists in placing  $k_t^{\Lambda, N}$  and  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}$  into a common subspace of these two spaces, that is  $\mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma$  which we define now.

For  $u : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we set

$$\|u\|_{\sigma,\theta} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\eta \in \Gamma_0} \frac{|u(\eta)|e^{-\theta|\eta|}}{e(\psi_\sigma; \eta)},$$

where  $e(\psi_\sigma; \eta) = \prod_{x \in \eta} e^{-\sigma|x|^2}$ . Analogously as in (3.6), we have

$$|u(\eta)| \leq e^{\theta|\eta|} e(\psi_\sigma; \eta) \|u\|_{\sigma,\theta}. \quad (5.53)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma := \{u : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|u\|_{\sigma,\theta} < \infty\} \subset \mathcal{K}_\theta. \quad (5.54)$$

By (5.53) and (5.36), for  $u \in \mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{\text{fac},\theta'} &\leq \int_{\Gamma_0} e(\psi_\sigma; \eta) e^{(\theta+\theta')|\eta|} |\eta|! \|u\|_{\sigma,\theta} \lambda(d\eta) \\ &= \|u\|_{\sigma,\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( e^{\theta+\theta'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\sigma(x) dx \right)^n = \|u\|_{\sigma,\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( e^{\theta+\theta'} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sigma} \right)^{d/2} \right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

which yields, cf. (5.54),

$$\mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma \subset \mathcal{G}_{\theta'}^{\text{fac}}, \quad \text{for } \theta' < -\theta - \frac{d}{2}(\ln \pi - \ln \sigma). \quad (5.55)$$

Since  $k_0^{\Lambda,N} = q_0^{\Lambda,N}$ , it belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0}^\sigma$  and to  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta_0}^{\text{fac}}$  with

$$\beta_0 < -\alpha_0 - \frac{d}{2}(\ln \pi - \ln \sigma). \quad (5.56)$$

To prove the former, by (5.27) we readily get

$$\|k_0^{\Lambda,N}\|_{\sigma,\theta} \leq \exp \left( \sigma N \sup_{y \in \Lambda} |y|^2 \right) \|k_{\mu_0}\|_{\alpha_0}.$$

Our aim now is to prove that both evolutions  $q_0^{\Lambda,N} = k_0^{\Lambda,N} \rightarrow k_t^{\Lambda,N}$  and  $q_0^{\Lambda,N} \rightarrow q_t^{\Lambda,N}$  take place in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_*}^\sigma$ . To this end, we define  $L^{\Delta,\sigma}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma$  and split it  $L^{\Delta,\sigma} = A^\sigma + B^\sigma$ , as we did in (5.3). Then set

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma = \{u \in \mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma : |\cdot|^2 u \in \mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma\} \subset \mathcal{D}_\theta, \quad (5.57)$$

see (3.10) and (5.54). At the same time, similarly as in (5.55) one shows that

$$\mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma \subset \mathcal{D}_{\theta'}^{\text{fac}}, \quad \text{for } \theta' < -\theta - \frac{d}{2}(\ln \pi - \ln \sigma). \quad (5.58)$$

Like above, one can show that  $\mathcal{U}_{\theta''}^\sigma \subset \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma$  whenever  $\theta'' < \theta$ , cf. (3.12). Thus,  $A^\sigma : \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma$ . Likewise,  $B^\sigma : \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma$ , and hence we can define in  $\mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma$  the unbounded operators  $A_{u,\theta}^\sigma = (A^\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma)$ ,  $B_{u,\theta}^\sigma = (B^\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma)$  and  $L_{u,\theta}^{\Delta,\sigma} = (A^\sigma + B^\sigma, \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma)$ . Note that  $L_{u,\theta}^{\Delta,\sigma}$  satisfies, cf. (5.57),

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma \quad L_{u,\theta}^{\Delta,\sigma} u = L_\theta^{\Delta,\sigma} u, \quad (5.59)$$

where the latter operator is the same as in (5.4). Likewise, by (5.58) we have

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma \quad L_{u,\theta}^{\Delta,\sigma} u = L_{\theta'}^{\text{fac},\Delta,\sigma} u, \quad (5.60)$$

with  $\theta'$  satisfying the bound in (5.58). That is,  $L_{u,\theta}^{\Delta,\sigma} = L_\theta^{\Delta,\sigma}|_{\mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma}$ , that holds for each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ; as well as,  $L_{u,\theta}^{\Delta,\sigma} = L_{\theta'}^{\text{fac},\Delta,\sigma}|_{\mathcal{D}_\theta^\sigma}$ , holding for all  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  satisfying (5.55).

Let us now consider the problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_t = L_{u,\alpha_*}^{\Delta,\sigma} u_t, \quad u_t|_{t=0} = q_0^{\Lambda,N}. \quad (5.61)$$

Its solution is to be understood according to Definition 3.1.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\alpha_*$  and  $\alpha_0$  be as in Theorem 3.2, and then  $T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  be as in (3.14). Then the problem in (5.61) has a unique classical solution in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_*}^\sigma$  on the time interval  $[0, T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0))$ .*

*Proof.* As in the case of Theorem 3.2, the present proof is based on the following estimates of the summands of  $L^{\Delta, \sigma}$ , cf. (3.7) and (3.8). By (5.53) and (2.15) together with (2.16), for  $\theta' > \theta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{11}^{\Delta, \sigma} u\|_{\sigma, \theta'} &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\eta \in \Gamma_0} \frac{e^{-\theta'|\eta|}}{e^{(\psi_\sigma; \eta)}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \sum_{z \in \eta} \psi_\sigma(z) c_1(x, y; z) |u(\eta) \setminus z \cup \{x, y\}| dx dy \quad (5.62) \\ &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\eta \in \Gamma_0} e^{-(\theta' - \theta)|\eta|} \cdot \frac{1}{2} e^\theta \|u\|_{\sigma, \theta} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \sum_{z \in \eta} c_1(x, y; z) \psi_\sigma(x) \psi_\sigma(y) dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{e^\theta \langle c_1 \rangle}{2e^{(\theta' - \theta)}} \|u\|_{\sigma, \theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one obtains, cf. (3.7), that  $L_{12}^{\Delta, \sigma}$  and  $L_{13}^{\Delta, \sigma}$  satisfy (5.62), and furthermore, cf. (3.9),

$$\|L_2^{\Delta, \sigma} u\|_{\sigma, \theta'} \leq \frac{2\langle c_2 \rangle}{e^{(\theta' - \theta)}} \exp(\langle \phi \rangle e^\theta) \|u\|_\sigma.$$

By means of these estimates we define a bounded operator  $(B_u^\sigma)_{\theta, \theta'}$  acting from  $\mathcal{U}_\theta^\sigma$  to  $\mathcal{U}_{\theta'}^\sigma$ . Its norm satisfies the corresponding estimate in (4.3) with the same right-hand side. Then the proof follows in the same way as in the case of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *For each fixed  $\sigma > 0$  and all  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ , it follows that  $k_t^{\Lambda, N} = q_t^{\Lambda, N}$ , and hence  $k_t^{\Lambda, N}$  satisfies (5.29) for all  $G \in \mathcal{B}_{b_s}^*$ .*

*Proof.* We take

$$\beta_* < -\alpha_* - \frac{d}{2}(\ln \pi - \ln \sigma), \quad (5.63)$$

and obtain by (5.55), (5.56) and (5.54) that

$$q_0^{\Lambda, N} = k_0^{\Lambda, N} \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0}^\sigma \subset \mathcal{G}_{\beta_0}^{fac} \cap \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_*}^\sigma \subset \mathcal{G}_{\beta_*}^{fac} \cap \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}. \quad (5.64)$$

Then  $k_t^{\Lambda, N} = Q_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0^{\Lambda, N}$  is a unique classical solution of the problem in (5.4) with the initial condition  $k_0^{\Lambda, N}$ . Let  $u_t$ ,  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  be the solution of the problem in (5.61). Then the map  $t \mapsto u_t \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_*}^\sigma \subset \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}$ , cf. (5.64), is continuous and continuously differentiable in  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha_*}$  as the corresponding embedding is continuous. By (5.59)  $u_t$  satisfies also (5.4) with the initial condition  $q_0^{\Lambda, N} = k_0^{\Lambda, N}$ , and hence  $u_t = k_t^{\Lambda, N} = Q_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}^\sigma(t) q_0^{\Lambda, N}$  in view of the uniqueness of the solution of (5.4). Likewise, by (5.60) and Lemma 5.6 one proves that  $u_t = q_t^{\Lambda, N}$ ,  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ , where  $q_t^{\Lambda, N}$  is the unique solution of (5.52) in  $\mathcal{G}_{\beta_*}^{fac}$  with  $\beta_*$  as in (5.63).  $\square$

5.4.4. *Eliminating the approximations.* We recall that  $k_t^{\Lambda, N} = Q_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0^{\Lambda, N}$  approximates  $k_t^\sigma = Q_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}^\sigma(t) k_0$  that solves (5.4) and is mentioned in Lemma 5.2. Our aim now is to eliminate this approximation. By a cofinal sequence of compact subsets  $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we mean a sequence which is ordered by inclusion  $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda_{n+1}$  and exhaustive in the sense that each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is eventually contained in its element.

**Lemma 5.9.** *For each fixed  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  and any cofinal sequence  $\{\Lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , it follows that*

$$\forall G \in \mathcal{B}_{bs} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle\langle G, k_t^{\Lambda_n, N} \rangle\rangle \right) = \langle\langle G, k_t^\sigma \rangle\rangle. \quad (5.65)$$

*Proof.* We mostly follow the line of arguments used in [5, Appendix]. Throughout the proof  $\sigma > 0$  will be fixed. For  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ , by (5.7) we have

$$\langle\langle G, k_t^{\Lambda_n, N} \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle H_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}(t)G, k_0^{\Lambda_n, N} \rangle\rangle, \quad \langle\langle G, k_t^\sigma \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle H_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}(t)G, k_0 \rangle\rangle.$$

Set  $G_t = H_{\alpha_* \alpha_0}(t)G$ , and then write

$$\delta(n, N) := \langle\langle G, k_t^\sigma \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle G, k_t^{\Lambda_n, N} \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle G_t, k_0 - k_0^{\Lambda_n, N} \rangle\rangle =: J_n^{(1)} + J_{n, N}^{(2)}.$$

Here

$$J_n^{(1)} = \int_{\Gamma_0} G_t(\eta) k_0(\eta) (1 - \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}}(\eta)) \lambda(d\eta), \quad (5.66)$$

$$J_{n, N}^{(2)} = \int_{\Gamma_0} G_t(\eta) \left( k_0(\eta) \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}}(\eta) - k_0^{\Lambda_n, N}(\eta) \right) \lambda(d\eta),$$

and  $\mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}}$  is the indicator of  $\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}$ . Let us prove that, for each  $n$ ,  $J_{n, N}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ . To this end we rewrite it in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n, N}^{(2)} &= \int_{\Gamma_0} \left[ G_t(\eta) \int_{\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}} R_0^{\Lambda_n}(\eta \cup \xi) I_{\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}}(\eta) (1 - I_N(\eta \cup \xi)) \lambda(d\xi) \right] \lambda(d\eta) \quad (5.67) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0} G_t(\eta) \int_{\Gamma_0} R_0^{\Lambda_n}(\eta \cup \xi) I_{\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}}(\eta \cup \xi) (1 - I_N(\eta \cup \xi)) \lambda(d\xi) \lambda(d\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_{\Lambda_n}} \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} G_t(\xi) R_0^{\Lambda_n}(\eta) (1 - I_N(\eta)) \lambda(d\eta) \\ &= \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \int_{(\Lambda_n)^m} R_0^{\Lambda_n}(\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} G_t^{(k)}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) dx_1 \cdots dx_m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_N(\eta) = 1$  whenever  $|\eta| \leq N$  and  $I_N(\eta) = 0$  otherwise. By (2.14) for  $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$ , it follows that

$$R_0^{\Lambda}(\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}) \leq k_0(\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}) \leq e^{\alpha_0 s} \|k_0\|_{\alpha_0}.$$

We apply this estimate in (5.67) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{n, N}^{(2)}| &\leq \|k_0\|_{\alpha_0} \quad (5.68) \\ &\times \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} e^{\alpha_0 m} \int_{(\Lambda_n)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} |G_t^{(k)}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})| dx_1 \cdots dx_m \\ &\leq \|k_0\|_{\alpha_0} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} e^{\alpha_0 m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} \|G_t^{(k)}\|_{L^1((\mathbb{R}^d)^k)} |\Lambda_n|^{m-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\Lambda|$  stands for the Lebesgue measure of  $\Lambda$ . The sum over  $m$  in the last line of (5.68) is the remainder of the series

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{e^{\alpha_0 k}}{k!} \|G_t^{(k)}\|_{L^1((\mathbb{R}^d)^k)} \frac{e^{\alpha_0(m-k)}}{(m-k)!} |\Lambda_n|^{m-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 k}}{k!} \|G_t^{(k)}\|_{L^1((\mathbb{R}^d)^k)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 m}}{m!} |\Lambda_n|^m \\ &= |G_t|_{\alpha_0} \exp\left(e^{\alpha_0} |\Lambda_n|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (5.68) we obtain that

$$\delta_n := \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(n, N) = J_n^{(1)}.$$

Then to complete the proof of (5.65) we should show that  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ . By (5.66) we have

$$|J_n^{(1)}| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^p} |G_t^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)| k_0^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p) \sum_{l=1}^p \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_N^c}(x_l) dx_1 \cdots dx_p.$$

Since  $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha_0}$  and  $G_t^{(p)}$  and  $k_0^{(p)}$  are symmetric, we may rewrite the above estimate as follows

$$|J_n^{(1)}| \leq \|k_0\|_{\alpha_0} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{p}{p!} e^{\alpha_0 p} \int_{\Lambda_n^c} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{p-1}} |G_t^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)| dx_1 \cdots dx_p.$$

For each  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$ , one finds  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $t < T(\alpha_* + \epsilon, \alpha_0 + \epsilon)$ , see (3.14). We fix these  $t$  and  $\epsilon$ . Since  $G_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{bs}$ , and hence  $G_0 \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_* + \epsilon}$ , by Lemma 5.1 we have that  $G_t \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_0 + \epsilon}$ . We apply the latter fact in the estimate above and obtain

$$|J_n^{(1)}| \leq \frac{\|k_0\|_{\alpha_0}}{e\epsilon} \Delta_n, \quad (5.69)$$

$$\Delta_n := \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} e^{(\alpha_0 + \epsilon)p} \int_{\Lambda_n^c} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{p-1}} |G_t^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)| dx_1 \cdots dx_p.$$

Furthermore, for each  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\Delta_n \leq \Delta_{n,M}^{(1)} + \Delta_M^{(2)}, \quad (5.70)$$

$$\Delta_{n,M}^{(1)} := \sum_{p=1}^M \frac{1}{p!} e^{(\alpha_0 + \epsilon)p} \int_{\Lambda_n^c} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{p-1}} |G_t^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)| dx_1 \cdots dx_p,$$

$$\Delta_M^{(2)} := \sum_{p=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} e^{(\alpha_0 + \epsilon)p} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^p} |G_t^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)| dx_1 \cdots dx_p,$$

Fix some  $\epsilon > 0$ , and then pick  $M$  such that  $\Delta_M^{(2)} < \epsilon/2$ , which is possible since

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} e^{(\alpha_0 + \epsilon)p} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^p} |G_t^{(p)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)| dx_1 \cdots dx_p = |G_t|_{\alpha_0 + \epsilon},$$

as  $G_t \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_0+\epsilon}$ . At the same time,

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N} \quad g_p(x) := \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{p-1}} |G_t^{(p)}(x, x_2, \dots, x_p)| dx_2 \cdots dx_p \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Thus, since the sequence  $\{\Lambda_n\}$  is exhausting, for  $M$  satisfying  $\Delta_M^{(2)} < \epsilon/2$ , there exists  $n_1$  such that, for  $n > n_1$ , the following holds

$$\Delta_{n,M}^{(1)} = \sum_{p=1}^M \frac{1}{p!} e^{(\alpha_0+\epsilon)p} \int_{\Lambda_n^c} g_p(x) dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By (5.70) this yields  $\Delta_n < \epsilon$  for all  $n > n_1$ , which by (5.69) completes the proof.  $\square$

5.4.5. *The proof of Theorem 3.3.* The proof will be done by showing that the solution  $k_t$  described in Theorem 3.2 has the three properties mentioned in Proposition 2.2. As discussed at the beginning of Section 5,  $k_t$  surely has properties (a) and (b). By Corollary 5.8 and Lemma 5.9 we have that  $k_t^\sigma$  satisfies (2.9) for all  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Then by Lemma 5.2 we get that  $k_t$  also satisfies (2.9) for  $t < T(\alpha_*, \alpha_0)/2$ , that completes the proof.

**Acknowledgement.** In 2016 and 2017, the research of both authors under the present paper was supported in part by the European Commission under the project STREVCOMS PIRSES-2013-612669. In 2018, Yuri Kozitsky was supported by National Science Centre, Poland, grant 2017/25/B/ST1/00051. All these supports are cordially acknowledged by the authors.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Arratia. *COALESCING BROWNIAN MOTIONS ON THE LINE*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1979. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Wisconsin - Madison.
- [2] J. Banasiak and M. Lachowicz. *Methods of small parameter in mathematical biology*. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [3] J. Barańska and Yu. Kozitsky. The global evolution of states of a continuum kawasaki model with repulsion. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 83(3):412–435, 2018.
- [4] N Berestycki, Ch. Garban, and A. Sen. Coalescing brownian flows: A new approach. *Annals of Probability*, 43(06):3177–3215, 2015.
- [5] Ch. Berns, Yu. Kondratiev, Yu. Kozitsky, and O. Kutoviy. Kawasaki dynamics in continuum: micro- and mesoscopic descriptions. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 25(4):1027–1056, 2013.
- [6] D. Finkelshtein, Yu. Kondratiev, Yu. Kozitsky, and O. Kutoviy. The statistical dynamics of a spatial logistic model and the related kinetic equation. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 25(02):343–370, 2015.
- [7] V. V. Konarovskii. On an infinite system of diffusing particles with coalescing. *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, 55(1):157–167, 2010.
- [8] V. Konarovskiy and M. von Renesse. Modified massive Arratia flow and Wasserstein diffusion. April 2015. arXiv:1504.00559.
- [9] Yu. Kondratiev and Yu. Kozitsky. The evolution of states in a spatial population model. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 30(1):135–173, 2016.
- [10] Yu. G. Kondratiev and T. Kuna. Harmonic analysis on configuration space. I. General theory. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 5(2):201–233, 2002.
- [11] Y. Le Jan and O. Raimond. Flows, coalescence and noise. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(2):1247–1315, 2004.

- [12] I. Omelyan and Yu. Kozitsky. Spatially inhomogeneous population dynamics: Beyond mean field approximation. May 2018. arXiv:1805.06795.
- [13] K. Pilorz. A kinetic equation for repulsive coalescing random jumps in continuum. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, sectio A Mathematica*, 70(1):47, 2016.
- [14] E. Presutti. *Scaling limits in statistical mechanics and microstructures in continuum mechanics*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [15] H. R. Thieme and J. Voigt. Stochastic semigroups: their construction by perturbation and approximation. In *Positivity IV—theory and applications*, pages 135–146. Tech. Univ. Dresden, Dresden, 2006.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ, 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* jkozi@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ, 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* krzysztof.pilorz@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl