

# GENERIC TORUS ORBIT CLOSURES IN FLAG BOTT MANIFOLDS

EUNJEONG LEE AND DONG YOUP SUH

ABSTRACT. In this article the generic torus orbit closure in a flag Bott manifold is shown to be a non-singular toric variety, and its fan structure is explicitly calculated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

On the full flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  there is an effective action of complex torus  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ . The generic torus orbit closure, which is the closure of a generic  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -orbit, in the full flag manifold is well-known to be a non-singular toric variety, called the permutohedral variety. The fan of the permutohedral variety consists of Weyl chambers of a Lie group of  $A_n$ -type as its maximal cones. Note that the closure of an arbitrary  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -orbit is known to be normal hence a toric variety, see [CK00, Proposition 4.8], but non-singularity of it is not determined in general.

Generic torus orbit closures in a generalized flag manifold  $G/P$  are studied in [FH91] and [Dab96], and arbitrary orbit closures in Grassmannian manifolds are studied in [GGMS87], [GS87], [BT18]. Furthermore generic torus orbit closures in Schubert varieties are studied in [LM18].

In [KLSS17], the notion of flag Bott manifold is introduced as a generalization of both full flag manifolds and Bott manifolds. In fact, a flag Bott manifold  $F_m$  is the total space of an  $m$ -sequence of iterated fiber bundles whose fibers are full flag manifolds  $\mathcal{F}\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n_j+1})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and there is an effective action of complex torus  $\mathbf{H}$  of rank  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ . Therefore, it would be interesting to know when a torus orbit closure of  $F_m$  is a non-singular toric variety and to determine its fan structure.

Certain flag Bott manifolds are constructed from generalized Bott manifolds  $B_m$ , and such manifolds are called the associated flag Bott manifolds to  $B_m$ . It is shown in [KLSS17] that the generic torus orbit closure in the associated flag Bott manifold to  $B_m$  is a non-singular toric variety, and such toric variety can be obtained from  $B_m$  through a sequence of blow-ups.

In this article, we consider the generic torus orbit closure  $X$  of an arbitrary flag Bott manifold  $F_m$ . The toric variety  $X$  is the total space of an  $m$ -sequence of iterated fiber bundles with permutohedral varieties as its fibers. We calculate the

---

*Date:* July 16, 2018.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 55R10, 14M25; Secondary: 57S25, 14M15.

*Key words and phrases.* flag Bott tower, flag Bott manifold, GKM theory, toric manifold.

Lee and Suh were partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (No. 2016R1A2B4010823). Lee was partially supported by IBS-R003-D1.

fan of  $X$  in Theorem 3.2. As a consequence we can see that  $X$  is a non-singular toric variety, see Proposition 3.5.

## 2. FLAG BOTT MANIFOLDS

In this section we recall flag Bott manifolds from [KLSS17] and consider their orbit space construction. Let  $M$  be a complex manifold and  $E$  an  $n$ -dimensional holomorphic vector bundle over  $M$ . Recall from [BT82, p. 282] that the *associated flag bundle*  $\mathcal{F}\ell(E) \rightarrow M$  is obtained from  $E$  by replacing each fiber  $E_p$  by the full flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}\ell(E_p)$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([KLSS17, Definition 2.1]). A *flag Bott tower*  $F_\bullet = \{F_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq m\}$  of height  $m$  (or an  *$m$ -stage flag Bott tower*) is a sequence,

$$F_m \xrightarrow{p_m} F_{m-1} \xrightarrow{p_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} F_0 = \{\text{a point}\},$$

of manifolds  $F_j = \mathcal{F}\ell\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \xi_k^{(j)}\right)$  where  $\xi_k^{(j)}$  is a holomorphic line bundle over  $F_{j-1}$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq n_j + 1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . We call  $F_j$  the  *$j$ -stage flag Bott manifold* of the flag Bott tower.

The full flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}\ell(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) =: \mathcal{F}\ell(n+1)$  is a flag Bott manifold, and the product of flag manifolds  $\mathcal{F}\ell(n_1+1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}\ell(n_m+1)$  is a flag Bott manifold. Also an  $m$ -stage Bott manifold, which is a smooth projective toric variety, is an  $m$ -stage flag Bott manifold, see [GK94].

We call two flag Bott towers  $F_\bullet$  and  $F'_\bullet$  are *isomorphic* if there is a collection of holomorphic diffeomorphisms  $\varphi_j: F_j \rightarrow F'_j$  which commute with the projections  $p_j: F_j \rightarrow F_{j-1}$  and  $p'_j: F'_j \rightarrow F'_{j-1}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

Suppose that  $F_\bullet$  is an  $m$ -stage flag Bott tower. To describe the orbit space construction of a flag Bott manifold, we first define the right action  $\Phi_j$ . Suppose that  $B_{GL(n)}$  is the set of upper triangular matrices in  $GL(n) := GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Also let  $H_{GL(n)}$  be the set of diagonal matrices in  $GL(n)$ . For positive integers  $n$  and  $n'$ , let  $A$  be an integer matrix of size  $(n+1) \times (n'+1)$  whose row vectors are  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'+1}$ , i.e.,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the character group  $\chi(H_{GL(n'+1)})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{n'+1}$ , the matrix  $A$  defines a homomorphism  $H_{GL(n'+1)} \rightarrow H_{GL(n+1)}$  given by

$$h \mapsto \text{diag}(h^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, h^{\mathbf{a}_{n+1}}).$$

Here  $h = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_{n'+1})$  is an element of  $H_{GL(n'+1)}$  and  $h^{\mathbf{a}} := h_1^{\mathbf{a}(1)} \cdots h_{n'+1}^{\mathbf{a}(n'+1)}$  for  $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}(1), \dots, \mathbf{a}(n'+1)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n'+1}$ . By composing the canonical projection  $\Upsilon: B_{GL(n'+1)} \rightarrow H_{GL(n'+1)}$  which ignores entries not on the diagonals, the matrix  $A$  induces a homomorphism

$$\Lambda(A): B_{GL(n'+1)} \rightarrow H_{GL(n+1)}.$$

Suppose that  $(A_\ell^{(j)})_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} \in \prod_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} M_{(n_j+1) \times (n_\ell+1)}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a sequence of integer matrices. We define a right action  $\Phi_j$  of  $B_{GL(n_1+1)} \times \cdots \times B_{GL(n_j+1)}$  on the product  $GL(n_1+1) \times \cdots \times GL(n_j+1)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_j((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_j), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_j)) \\ & := (g_1 b_1, \Lambda_1^{(2)}(b_1)^{-1} g_2 b_2, \Lambda_1^{(3)}(b_1)^{-1} \Lambda_2^{(3)}(b_2)^{-1} g_3 b_3, \dots, \\ & \quad \Lambda_1^{(j)}(b_1)^{-1} \Lambda_2^{(j)}(b_2)^{-1} \cdots \Lambda_{j-1}^{(j)}(b_{j-1})^{-1} g_j b_j) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda_\ell^{(j)} := \Lambda(A_\ell^{(j)})$  for  $1 \leq \ell < j \leq m$ . Then the action  $\Phi_j$  is free and proper, see [KLSS17, Lemma 2.7]. Hence we have complex manifolds

$$(GL(n_1+1) \times \cdots \times GL(n_j+1))/\Phi_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

These manifolds are actually flag Bott manifolds (see [KLSS17, Proposition 2.8]), and every flag Bott manifold can be obtained by this construction.

**Proposition 2.2** ([KLSS17, Proposition 2.11]). *Let  $F_\bullet$  be a flag Bott tower of height  $m$ . Then there is a sequence of integer matrices*

$$(A_\ell^{(j)})_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} \in \prod_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} M_{(n_j+1) \times (n_\ell+1)}(\mathbb{Z})$$

such that  $F_\bullet$  is isomorphic to

$$\{(GL(n_1+1) \times \cdots \times GL(n_j+1))/\Phi_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq m\}$$

as flag Bott towers.

**Remark 2.3.** We notice that the sequence of integer matrices  $(A_\ell^{(j)})_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} \in \prod_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} M_{(n_j+1) \times (n_\ell+1)}(\mathbb{Z})$  are associated to the first Chern classes of line bundles  $\xi_k^{(j)}$  in the construction of a flag Bott manifold. To be more precisely, suppose that  $\mathbf{a}_{k,\ell}^{(j)}$  be the  $k$ th row vector of the matrix  $A_\ell^{(j)}$ . Then the set of vector  $\{\mathbf{a}_{k,1}^{(j)}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{k,j-1}^{(j)}\}$  determines the first Chern class of the line bundle  $\xi_k^{(j)}$ . For more details, see [KLSS17, §2].

**Example 2.4.** Suppose that  $n_1 = 2$  and  $n_2 = 1$ . Consider  $A_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then the following Bott tower  $\{F_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq 2\}$  is isomorphic to  $\{(GL(n_1+1) \times \cdots \times GL(n_j+1))/\Phi_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq 2\}$  as flag Bott towers.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}\ell(\xi(c_1, c_2, 0) \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}\ell(3) & \longrightarrow & \{\text{a point}\} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ F_2 & & F_1 & & F_0 \end{array}$$

The line bundle  $\xi(c_1, c_2, 0)$  over  $F_1$  is  $(GL(3) \times \mathbb{C})/B_{GL(3)}$ , where the right action of  $B_{GL(3)}$  is defined by

$$(g, v) \cdot b = (gb, b_{11}^{-c_1} b_{22}^{-c_2} v)$$

for  $g \in GL(3)$  and  $b = (b_{ij}) \in B_{GL(3)}$ .

## 3. GENERIC TORUS ORBIT CLOSURES IN FLAG BOTT MANIFOLDS

Let  $F_m$  be an  $m$ -stage flag Bott manifold, and let  $\mathbb{H} = H_{GL(n_1+1)} \times \cdots \times H_{GL(n_m+1)}$ . As introduced in [KLSS17, §3.1], the *canonical action* of  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $F_j$  is defined to be

$$(h_1, \dots, h_m) \cdot [g_1, \dots, g_j] := [h_1 g_1, \dots, h_j g_j] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Then  $q_j: F_j \rightarrow F_{j-1}$  is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -equivariant fiber bundle. Note that this action is not effective. If we write  $h_j = \text{diag}(h_{j,1}, \dots, h_{j,n_j+1}) \in GL(n_j + 1)$ , then the subtorus

$$\mathbf{H} := \{(h_1, \dots, h_m) \in \mathbb{H} \mid h_{1,n_1+1} = \cdots = h_{m,n_m+1} = 1\} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$

acts effectively on  $F_m$ , where

$$n := n_1 + \cdots + n_m.$$

In order to consider the closure of torus orbit with respect to the canonical action, we define a generic element in  $F_m$ . Let  $g = (g_{ij})$  be an element in  $GL(n+1)$ . For an ordered sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n+1$ , we define the Plücker coordinate

$$X_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(g) := \det((g_{i_p, p})_{p=1, \dots, k}).$$

**Definition 3.1** ([KLSS17, Definition 5.4]). We call an element  $g \in GL(n+1)$  is *generic* if  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(g)$  is nonzero for any  $k \in [n+1]$  and an ordered sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n+1$ . A point  $[g_1, \dots, g_m] \in F_m$  is *generic* if  $g_j \in GL(n_j+1)$  is generic for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . A *generic torus orbit* in  $F_m$  is the  $\mathbf{H}$ -orbit of a generic point.

The above definition of generic elements and generic torus orbits can be found in [FH91], [Kly95], and [Dab96]. The closure  $X_n$  of a generic torus orbit in the flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}\ell(n+1)$  is a smooth projective toric variety called the *permutohedral variety*, see [Kly85]. We recall the fan  $\Sigma_{X_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of the permutohedral variety  $X_n$  for the later use. The rays in  $\Sigma_{X_n}$  are parametrized by the nonempty proper subsets of  $[n+1]$ :

$$\Sigma_{X_n}(1) \xrightarrow{1-1} \{S \mid \emptyset \subsetneq S \subsetneq [n+1]\}$$

More precisely, for a nonempty proper subset  $S$  of  $[n+1]$ , the corresponding ray  $\rho_S$  is generated by

$$u_S := \begin{cases} \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s & \text{if } n+1 \notin S, \\ - \sum_{s \in [n+1] \setminus S} \varepsilon_s & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$


where  $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$  is the standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence there are  $2^{n+1} - 2$  many rays in  $\Sigma_{X_n}$ , see Figure 1(1). And the maximal cones in  $\Sigma_{X_n}$  are indexed by the set of proper chain of nonempty proper subsets of  $[n+1]$ . For a given proper chain

$$S_\bullet : \emptyset \subsetneq S_1 \subsetneq S_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_n \subsetneq [n+1]$$

of nonempty proper subsets, we have the corresponding maximal cone

$$\text{Cone}(u_{S_1}, u_{S_2}, \dots, u_{S_n}).$$

See Figure 1(2). Therefore  $|\Sigma_{X_n}(n)| = (n+1)!$ .


 FIGURE 1. Fan  $\Sigma_{X_2}$ .

Suppose that  $F_m$  is an  $m$ -stage flag Bott manifold. Considering the effective canonical  $\mathbf{H}$ -action, each fiber of a bundle  $F_j \rightarrow F_{j-1}$  has the restricted  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n_j}$ -action, and its orbit closure of a generic point is the permutohedral variety  $X_{n_j}$ . Hence the closure of a generic torus orbit of the torus  $\mathbf{H}$  in  $F_m$  is an iterated permutohedral varieties bundles. Now we describe the fan of a generic torus orbit closure in  $F_m$  in the following theorem whose proof will be given in Section 4.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $F_m$  be a flag Bott manifold determined by the sequence of integer matrices  $(A_\ell^{(j)})_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} \in \prod_{1 \leq \ell < j \leq m} M_{(n_j+1) \times (n_\ell+1)}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then the fan  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of a generic torus orbit closure  $\bar{X}$  in  $F_m$  is described as follows:*

- (1) the rays in  $\Sigma$  are parametrized by

$$\{(\ell, S) \mid \emptyset \subsetneq S \subsetneq [n_\ell + 1], 1 \leq \ell \leq m\}.$$

For  $(\ell, S)$ , the corresponding ray is generated by

$$u_S^\ell := \begin{cases} \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} - \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} ((A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, d+1} + \cdots + (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, n_\ell+1}) \varepsilon_{p, k} & \text{if } n_\ell + 1 \notin S, \\ - \sum_{s \in [n_\ell+1] \setminus S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} + \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} ((A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, 1} + \cdots + (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, d}) \varepsilon_{p, k} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $d = |[n_\ell + 1] \setminus S|$  and  $\{\varepsilon_{\ell, k}\}_{1 \leq k \leq n_\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq m}$  is the standard basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})$ . Here we set  $\varepsilon_{1, n_1+1} = \cdots = \varepsilon_{m, n_m+1} = \mathbf{0}$ .

- (2) The maximal cones in  $\Sigma$  are indexed by the sequences of proper chains of subsets

$$\{(S_\bullet^1, \dots, S_\bullet^m) \mid S_\bullet^\ell: \emptyset \subsetneq S_1^\ell \subsetneq S_2^\ell \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{n_\ell}^\ell \subsetneq [n_\ell + 1], 1 \leq \ell \leq m\}.$$

For  $(S_\bullet^1, \dots, S_\bullet^m)$ , the corresponding maximal cone is defined to be

$$\text{Cone} \left( \bigcup_{\ell=1}^m \{u_{S_1^\ell}^\ell, \dots, u_{S_{n_\ell}^\ell}^\ell\} \right).$$

We notice that if  $F_m$  is determined by the sequence of integer matrices such that each  $A_\ell^{(j)}$  has nonzero value only on the first column, then  $F_m$  is an *associated flag Bott manifold* to a generalized Bott manifold (see, for more details, [KLSS17, §4]). The fan of generic torus orbit closure in an associated flag Bott manifold has

been computed in [KLSS17, Theorem 5.5], and Theorem 3.2 extends this result for considering any flag Bott manifold.

**Example 3.3.** Suppose that  $F_2$  is a 2-stage flag Bott manifold given in Example 2.4. Then the fan  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  of the generic torus orbit closure in  $F_2$  has eight rays with the ray vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\{1\}}^1 &= (1, 0, 0), & u_{\{2\}}^1 &= (0, 1, 0), & u_{\{3\}}^1 &= (-1, -1, c_1 + c_2), \\ u_{\{1,2\}}^1 &= (1, 1, -c_2), & u_{\{2,3\}}^1 &= (-1, 0, c_1), & u_{\{1,3\}}^1 &= (0, -1, c_1), \\ u_{\{1\}}^2 &= (0, 0, 1), & u_{\{2\}}^2 &= (0, 0, -1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the fan  $\Sigma$  has twelve maximal cones:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Cone}(u_{\{1\}}^1, u_{\{1,2\}}^1, u_{\{1\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{1\}}^1, u_{\{1,3\}}^1, u_{\{1\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{2\}}^1, u_{\{1,2\}}^1, u_{\{1\}}^2), \\ &\text{Cone}(u_{\{2\}}^1, u_{\{2,3\}}^1, u_{\{1\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{3\}}^1, u_{\{1,3\}}^1, u_{\{1\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{3\}}^1, u_{\{2,3\}}^1, u_{\{1\}}^2), \\ &\text{Cone}(u_{\{1\}}^1, u_{\{1,2\}}^1, u_{\{2\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{1\}}^1, u_{\{1,3\}}^1, u_{\{2\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{2\}}^1, u_{\{1,2\}}^1, u_{\{2\}}^2), \\ &\text{Cone}(u_{\{2\}}^1, u_{\{2,3\}}^1, u_{\{2\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{3\}}^1, u_{\{1,3\}}^1, u_{\{2\}}^2), & \text{Cone}(u_{\{3\}}^1, u_{\{2,3\}}^1, u_{\{2\}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.4.** Suppose that  $F_3$  is a 3-stage flag Bott manifold determined by

$$A_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & 0 \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the fan  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^5$  of the generic torus orbit closure in  $F_3$  has fourteen rays which are generated by the following vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\{1\}}^1 &= (1, 0, 0, 0, 0), & u_{\{2\}}^1 &= (0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\ u_{\{3\}}^1 &= (-1, -1, x_{11} + x_{12}, x_{21} + x_{22}, y_1 + y_2), & u_{\{1,2\}}^1 &= (1, 1, -x_{12}, -x_{22}, -y_2), \\ u_{\{2,3\}}^1 &= (-1, 0, x_{11}, x_{21}, y_1), & u_{\{1,3\}}^1 &= (0, -1, x_{11}, x_{21}, y_1), \\ u_{\{1\}}^2 &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 0), & u_{\{2\}}^2 &= (0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\ u_{\{3\}}^2 &= (0, 0, -1, -1, z_1 + z_2), & u_{\{1,2\}}^2 &= (0, 0, 1, 1, -z_2), \\ u_{\{2,3\}}^2 &= (0, 0, -1, 0, z_1), & u_{\{1,3\}}^2 &= (0, 0, 0, -1, z_1), \\ u_{\{1\}}^3 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 1), & u_{\{2\}}^3 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, -1). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.5.** *All generic torus orbit closures in a flag Bott manifold  $F_m$  are isomorphic non-singular toric varieties.*

To give a proof of Proposition 3.5, we use the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.6** ([Dab96, Corollary of Theorem 3.3]). *The permutohedral variety  $X_n$  is smooth, i.e., for a proper chain  $S_\bullet: \emptyset \subsetneq S_1 \subsetneq S_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_n \subsetneq [n+1]$  of nonempty proper subsets of  $[n+1]$ , the determinant of the matrix*

$$(3.1) \quad [u_{S_1} \ u_{S_2} \ \cdots \ u_{S_n}]$$

*is  $\pm 1$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 3.5.* Let  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the fan of a generic torus orbit closure  $X$  in  $F_m$  described in Theorem 3.2. To prove the claim, it is enough to show that every maximal cones in  $\Sigma$  are smooth, i.e., the set of ray generators of each maximal

cone forms a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . For a maximal cone indexed by  $(S_{\bullet}^1, \dots, S_{\bullet}^m)$ , consider the matrix whose column vectors are the corresponding ray generators:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{S_1^1}^1 & \cdots & u_{S_{n_1}^1}^1 & \cdots & u_{S_1^m}^m & \cdots & u_{S_{n_m}^m}^m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the matrix in (3.2) is a block lower triangular matrix whose sizes of blocks are  $n_1, \dots, n_m$ . Moreover, diagonal blocks has the form in (3.1). Hence we have that the determinant of the matrix in (3.2) is

$$\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_{S_1^1} & \cdots & u_{S_{n_1}^1} \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_{S_1^2} & \cdots & u_{S_{n_2}^2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdots \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_{S_1^m} & \cdots & u_{S_{n_m}^m} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \pm 1$$

by Lemma 3.6. Here  $\{u_{S_1^\ell}, \dots, u_{S_{n_\ell}^\ell}\}$  is the set of ray generators of the maximal cone in the fan of the permutohedral variety  $X_{n_\ell}$  indexed by the proper chain  $\emptyset \subsetneq S_1^\ell \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{n_\ell}^\ell \subsetneq [n_\ell + 1]$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ . Hence the non-singularity of  $X$  follows.

Since the ray vectors  $u_S^\ell$  are determined independently of the choices of generic points, the fan of all generic torus orbit closures are identical. Therefore they are all isomorphic as smooth toric varieties.  $\square$

**Example 3.7.** Suppose that  $F_3$  is a 3-stage flag Bott manifold defined in Example 3.4. Consider a maximal cone  $\sigma$  indexed by

$$(\{2\} \subset \{2, 3\}, \{2\} \subset \{1, 2\}, \{2\}).$$

Then the corresponding ray generators form the following matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{\{2\}}^1 & u_{\{2,3\}}^1 & u_{\{2\}}^2 & u_{\{1,2\}}^2 & u_{\{2\}}^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{11} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{21} & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 & 0 & -z_2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{11} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{21} & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 & 0 & -z_2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \det [-1] = 1,$$

so that the maximal cone  $\sigma$  is smooth.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2

In this section we prove Theorem 3.2 using the combinatorial structure of the fan of toric varieties bundles and tangential representations around fixed points. To describe the fan  $\Sigma$  of the torus orbit closure in  $F_m$ , we first recall the following on equivariant fiber bundle of toric varieties:

**Proposition 4.1** ([Oda78, Proposition 7.3] and [CLS11, §3.3]). *Let  $\Sigma$ , respectively  $\Sigma'$ , be a complete fan in  $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ , respectively  $N'_{\mathbb{R}} := N' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ , for some lattice  $N$ , respectively  $N'$ . Let  $\overline{\varphi}: N \rightarrow N'$  be compatible with fans  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ , and let  $N'' = \ker(\overline{\varphi})$ . Then  $\varphi: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma'}$  is an equivariant fiber bundle with the fiber  $X_{\Sigma''}$ , where  $\Sigma'' = \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \sigma \subset N''_{\mathbb{R}}\} \subset N''_{\mathbb{R}}$ , if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\overline{\varphi}: N \rightarrow N'$  is surjective.

- (2) There exists a lifting  $\tilde{\Sigma}' \subset \Sigma$  of  $\Sigma'$ , i.e., for each  $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ , there exists a unique  $\tilde{\sigma}' \in \tilde{\Sigma}'$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}$  induces a bijection

$$\bar{\varphi}: \tilde{\sigma}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma'.$$

- (3)  $\Sigma = \tilde{\Sigma}' \cdot \Sigma''$ , i.e.,  $\Sigma$  consists of cones

$$\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}' + \sigma''$$

with  $\tilde{\sigma}'$  and  $\sigma''$  running through  $\tilde{\Sigma}'$  and  $\Sigma''$ .

The operation  $\cdot$  is called *join* in [Ewa96, III.1]. The closure of a generic torus orbit of the torus  $\mathbf{H}$  in  $F_m$  is an iterated permutohedral variety bundles. Hence we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.2** (see [KLSS17, Lemma 5.11]). *Let  $F_m$  be an  $m$ -stage flag Bott manifold. Let  $\Sigma$  be the fan of the closure of a generic torus orbit of the torus  $\mathbf{H}$  in  $F_m$ . Then there are liftings  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{n_1}, \dots, \tilde{\Sigma}_{n_{m-1}}$  of fans of permutohedral varieties such that*

$$\Sigma = \tilde{\Sigma}_{n_1} \cdots \tilde{\Sigma}_{n_{m-1}} \cdot \Sigma_{n_m}.$$

The above lemma proves that the combinatorial structure of the fan  $\Sigma$  is given as in Theorem 3.2(2). Now it is enough to show that the ray vectors are given as in Theorem 3.2(1). To complete the proof, we use the tangential representations around fixed points which have been computed in [KLSS17, §3].

Let  $F_m$  be an  $m$ -stage flag Bott manifold. Recall from [KLSS17, Proposition 3.3] that the fixed point set  $F_m^{\mathbf{H}}$  can be identified with  $\mathfrak{S}_{n_1+1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{n_m+1}$ . More precisely, for permutations  $(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_1+1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{n_m+1}$ , the corresponding fixed point in  $F_m$  is  $[\dot{v}_1, \dots, \dot{v}_m]$  where  $\dot{v}_j \in GL(n_j + 1)$  is the column permutation matrix of  $v_j$ . Also we have that  $F_m^{\mathbf{H}} = X^{\mathbf{H}}$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{T}$ , respectively  $\mathbf{T}$ , is the maximal compact torus in  $\mathbb{H}$ , respectively  $\mathbf{H}$ . Then, for every fixed point  $v := [\dot{v}_1, \dots, \dot{v}_m]$ , the weights of the isotropy representation  $T_v F_m$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  are explicitly computed in [KLSS17, Proposition 3.5].

We note that there is a one-to-one correspondence relation between the set  $\mathfrak{S}_{n_1+1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{n_m+1}$  and the sequences of chains of subsets  $\{(S_{\bullet}^1, \dots, S_{\bullet}^m)\}$  as follows. For a given  $(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n_1+1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{n_m+1}$ , we define

$$(4.1) \quad S_p^\ell := \{v(n_\ell + 2 - p), \dots, v(n_\ell + 1)\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq n_\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq m.$$

Moreover, for a given maximal cone indexed by  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , the adjacent maximal cones are indexed by permutations

$$(4.2) \quad (v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_j \cdot s_i, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m)$$

where  $s_i$  is the transposition  $(i, i + 1)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n_j$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

For a smooth projective toric variety  $X_\Sigma$  of complex dimension  $n$ , the weights of the isotropy representations around fixed points and the ray generators are closely related to each other. Let  $\rho$  be a ray in  $\Sigma(1)$  with  $u_\rho =: u_1$  as its generating vector. Suppose that  $\sigma = \text{Cone}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is a maximal cone containing  $\rho$ . Let  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  be the codimension-one faces of the cone  $\sigma$ . More precisely, we put

$$\tau_j = \text{Cone}(u_1, \dots, \hat{u}_j, \dots, u_n).$$

Then  $\tau_1$  is the unique codimension-one face of  $\sigma$  which does not contain  $\rho$ . By the orbit-cone correspondence, these cones correspond to torus invariant spheres  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  in  $X_\Sigma$ . Then these spheres meet at a point  $p \in X_\Sigma$  which is exactly the fixed point corresponding  $\sigma$ . Suppose that  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})^*$  are weights of the



isotropy representation  $T_p X_\Sigma$ , where  $\mathbf{T}$  is the compact torus of dimension  $n$ . Let  $H_i$  be the identity component of the kernel of the map

$$\exp(\sqrt{-1}w_i): \mathbf{T} \rightarrow S^1.$$

Then, by reordering  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  appropriately, one can see that the sphere  $S_i$  is the connected component of  $X_\Sigma^{H_i}$  containing  $p$ . Moreover, we have the following:

**Lemma 4.3** ([BP15, Proposition 7.3.18]). *Let  $u_1 = u_\rho, w_1, \dots, w_n$  be as above. Then we have the following relation:*

$$\langle w_i, u_\rho \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above lemma implies that the ray generators are completely determined by weights of isotropy representations around fixed points. Moreover, one can see that the computation of the ray generator  $u_\rho$  is independent of the choice of a maximal cone containing  $\rho$ , see [KLSS17, Lemma 5.13].

We choose  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$  and a nonempty proper subset  $S$  of  $[n_\ell + 1]$ . To compute the generator  $u_S^\ell$  of the ray  $\rho_S^\ell$ , we consider a specific maximal cone  $\sigma_S^\ell$  contains  $\rho_S^\ell$ . We set  $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{n_\ell+1-d}\}$  and  $[n_\ell + 1] \setminus S = \{t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_d\}$ . Let  $v_{\ell,S}$  be a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_{n_\ell+1}$  defined to be

$$v_{\ell,S} = (t_1 \ t_2 \ \dots \ t_d \ s_1 \ s_2 \ \dots \ s_{n_\ell+1-d}).$$

Then we choose a maximal cone  $\sigma_S^\ell$  indexed by

$$(4.3) \quad v = (v_1, \dots, v_m) := (\underbrace{e, \dots, e}_{\ell-1}, v_{\ell,S}, e, \dots, e),$$

so that  $\sigma_S^\ell$  contains the ray  $\rho_S^\ell$ .

We recall from [KLSS17, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.11] that the weight  $w_i^j$  corresponds to the codimension-one face of the cone  $\sigma_S^\ell$  intersecting the maximal cone indexed by  $(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_j \cdot s_i, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m)$  is computed as follows:

**Proposition 4.4** ([KLSS17, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.11]). *We have  $w_i^j = r_{i+1} - r_i$  where  $r_i$  is the  $i$ th row of the matrix*

$$\left[ X_1^{(j)} \ X_2^{(j)} \ \dots \ X_{j-1}^{(j)} \ B_j \ O \ \dots \ O \right].$$

Here  $X_\ell^{(j)}$  is the matrix of size  $(n_j + 1) \times (n_\ell + 1)$  defined by

$$(4.4) \quad X_\ell^{(j)} = \sum_{\ell < i_1 < \dots < i_r < j} \left( B_j A_{i_r}^{(j)} \right) \left( B_{i_r} A_{i_{r-1}}^{(i_r)} \right) \dots \left( B_{i_1} A_\ell^{(i_1)} \right) B_\ell + B_j A_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell$$

for  $1 \leq \ell < j \leq m$ , and  $B_j$  is the row permutation matrix corresponding to  $v_j$ , i.e.,  $B_j = (\dot{v}_j)^T$ .

We note that the codimension-one face of the cone  $\sigma_S^\ell$  which does not contain the ray  $\rho_S^\ell$  corresponds to the weight  $w_d^\ell$ . Hence to complete the proof, it is enough

to show that the vector

$$u_S^\ell = \begin{cases} \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} - \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} ((A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, d+1} + \cdots + (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, n_\ell+1}) \varepsilon_{p, k} & \text{if } n_\ell + 1 \notin S, \\ - \sum_{s \in [n_\ell+1] \setminus S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} + \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} ((A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, 1} + \cdots + (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, d}) \varepsilon_{p, k} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in Theorem 3.2 satisfies that

$$(4.5) \quad \langle w_i^j, u_S^\ell \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = \ell \text{ and } i = d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The weight  $w_i^j$ , computed in [KLSS17, Proposition 3.5], is an element of  $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})^*$ . Since we have

$$\mathbf{T} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{T} \mid t_{1, n_1+1} = \cdots = t_{m, n_m+1} = 1\}$$

where  $t_j = (t_{j,1}, \dots, t_{j, n_j+1}) \in (S^1)^{n_j+1}$ , we regard

$$\varepsilon_{1, n_1+1} = \cdots = \varepsilon_{m, n_m+1} = 0$$

to compute the pairing (4.5).

To prove the claim, we separate cases as  $j < \ell$ ,  $j = \ell$ , and  $j > \ell$ .

**Case 1**  $j < \ell$ . By Proposition 4.4, the weight vector  $w_i^j \in \text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})^*$  is a linear combination of  $\varepsilon_{1,1}^*, \dots, \varepsilon_{1, n_1}^*, \dots, \varepsilon_{j,1}^*, \dots, \varepsilon_{j, n_j}^*$ , where  $\{\varepsilon_{\ell, k}^*\}_{1 \leq k \leq n_\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq m}$  is the dual of the standard basis vector. On the other hand, since  $u_S^\ell$  is a linear combination of  $\varepsilon_{\ell,1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell, n_\ell}, \dots, \varepsilon_{m,1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{m, n_m}$  and  $j < \ell$ , their pairings always vanish.

**Case 2**  $j = \ell$ . By Proposition 4.4, the weight vector  $w_i^\ell \in \text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})^*$  is a linear combination of  $\varepsilon_{1,1}^*, \dots, \varepsilon_{1, n_1}^*, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell,1}^*, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell, n_\ell}^*$ . More precisely, we have that

$$w_i^\ell = (\varepsilon_{\ell, v_{\ell, S}(i+1)})^* - (\varepsilon_{\ell, v_{\ell, S}(i)})^* + \text{other terms},$$

where ‘other terms’ are the terms of  $\varepsilon_{p, k}^*$  for  $p < \ell$  and  $v_{\ell, S}$  is a permutation defined in (4.3). Since the vector  $u_S^\ell$  is a linear combination of  $\varepsilon_{\ell,1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell, n_\ell}, \dots, \varepsilon_{m,1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{m, n_m}$ , we have

$$(4.6) \quad \langle w_i^\ell, u_S^\ell \rangle = \langle (\varepsilon_{\ell, v_{\ell, S}(i+1)})^* - (\varepsilon_{\ell, v_{\ell, S}(i)})^*, u_S^\ell \rangle.$$

Because of the definition of permutation  $v_{\ell, S}$ , we have that  $v_{\ell, S}(i) \in S$  if and only if  $i \geq d+1$ . Therefore for the case when  $n_\ell + 1 \notin S$ , we have that the value  $\langle (\varepsilon_{\ell, v_{\ell, S}(i)})^*, u_S^\ell \rangle$  equals to 0 if  $i \leq d$ , and 1 otherwise. Also for the case when  $n_\ell + 1 \in S$ , we get that the pairing  $\langle (\varepsilon_{\ell, v_{\ell, S}(i)})^*, u_S^\ell \rangle$  is  $-1$  if  $i \leq d$  and 0 otherwise.

By applying (4.6) for  $n_\ell + 1 \notin S$ , we have the following:

$$\langle w_i^\ell, u_S^\ell \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 - 0 = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d-1, \\ 1 - 0 = 1 & \text{for } i = d, \\ 1 - 1 = 0 & \text{for } d+1 \leq i \leq n_\ell. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, when  $n_\ell + 1 \in S$ , we get the following:

$$\langle w_i^\ell, u_S^\ell \rangle = \begin{cases} -1 - (-1) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d-1, \\ 0 - (-1) = 1 & \text{for } i = d, \\ 0 - 0 = 0 & \text{for } d+1 \leq i \leq n_\ell. \end{cases}$$

**Case 3**  $j > \ell$ . The matrix  $X_j^{(\ell)}$  in Proposition 4.4 is

$$X_\ell^{(j)} = \sum_{\ell < i_1 < \dots < i_r < j} \left( B_j A_{i_r}^{(j)} \right) \left( B_{i_r} A_{i_{r-1}}^{(j)} \right) \cdots \left( B_{i_1} A_\ell^{(i_1)} \right) B_\ell + B_j A_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell.$$

Since  $v_j = e$  for  $j \neq \ell$ , the matrix  $X_\ell^{(j)}$  can be written by

$$X_\ell^{(j)} = \left( \sum_{\ell < i_1 < \dots < i_r < j} A_{i_r}^{(j)} A_{i_{r-1}}^{(i_r)} \cdots A_\ell^{(i_1)} + A_\ell^{(j)} \right) B_\ell.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1} &= \sum_{\ell < i_1 < \dots < i_r < j} A_{i_r}^{(j)} A_{i_{r-1}}^{(i_r)} \cdots A_\ell^{(i_1)} + A_\ell^{(j)} \\ (4.7) \quad &= X_{j-1}^{(j)} A_\ell^{(j-1)} + \cdots + X_{\ell+2}^{(j)} A_\ell^{(\ell+2)} + X_{\ell+1}^{(j)} A_\ell^{(\ell+1)} + A_\ell^{(j)} \\ &= \sum_{p=\ell+1}^{j-1} X_p^{(j)} A_\ell^{(p)} + A_\ell^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

For notational simplicity, we denote the  $i$ th row vector of the matrix  $X_\ell^{(j)}$  by  $x_{\ell,i}^{(j)}$ . Then the weight  $r_i$  in Proposition 4.4 equals to

$$x_{1,i}^{(j)} + \cdots + x_{j-1,i}^{(j)} + \varepsilon_{j,i}^*$$

because  $B_j$  is the identity matrix. Hence the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} (4.8) \quad &\left\langle r_i, \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k,z} \varepsilon_{p,k} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x_{\ell+1,i}^{(j)} + \cdots + x_{j-1,i}^{(j)} + \varepsilon_{j,i}^*, \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k,z} \varepsilon_{p,k} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

is the  $(i, z)$ entry of the matrix  $\sum_{p=\ell+1}^{j-1} X_p^{(j)} A_\ell^{(p)} + A_\ell^{(j)}$  for  $1 \leq z \leq n_\ell + 1$ .

By (4.7), the pairing (4.8) is same as the  $(i, z)$ entry of the matrix  $X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1}$ :

$$(4.9) \quad \left\langle r_i, \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k,z} \varepsilon_{p,k} \right\rangle = (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i,z}.$$

**Subcase 1**  $n_\ell + 1 \notin S$ . In this case, we first note that

$$(4.10) \quad \left\langle r_i, \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_{\ell,s} \right\rangle = \left\langle x_{\ell,i}^{(j)}, \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_{\ell,s} \right\rangle = \sum_{s \in S} (X_\ell^{(j)})_{i,s}.$$

Since  $B_\ell^{-1}$  is the column permutation matrix for  $v_{\ell,A}$ , the right hand side of (4.10) coincides with

$$(4.11) \quad (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i,d+1} + (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i,d+2} + \cdots + (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i,n_\ell+1}.$$

This is same as  $i$ th entry of the sum of  $(d+1), \dots, (n_\ell+1)$ th column vectors in  $X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1}$ . Hence we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_i, u_S^\ell \rangle &= \left\langle r_i, \sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} - \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} ((A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, d+1} + \dots + (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, n_\ell+1}) \varepsilon_{p, k} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} (X_\ell^{(j)})_{i, s} \\ &\quad - \left( (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i, d+1} + \dots + (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i, n_\ell+1} \right) \quad (\text{by (4.9) and (4.10)}) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{by (4.11)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w_i^j = r_{i+1} - r_i$ , the pairing  $\langle w_i^j, u_S^\ell \rangle$  vanishes.

**Subcase 2**  $n_\ell + 1 \in S$ . In this case, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \left\langle r_i, - \sum_{s \in [n_\ell+1] \setminus S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} \right\rangle = \left\langle x_{\ell, i}^{(j)}, - \sum_{s \in [n_\ell+1] \setminus S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} \right\rangle = - \sum_{s \in [n_\ell+1] \setminus S} (X_\ell^{(j)})_{i, s}.$$

Since  $B_\ell^{-1}$  is the column permutation matrix for  $v_{\ell, A}$ , the right hand side of (4.12) coincides with

$$(4.13) \quad - (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i, 1} - (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i, 2} - \dots - (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i, d}.$$

This is same as  $i$ th entry of the sum of 1st,  $\dots$ ,  $d$ th column vectors in  $-X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1}$ . Therefore, using the similar argument to Subcase 1, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_i, u_S^\ell \rangle &= \left\langle r_i, - \sum_{s \in [n_\ell+1] \setminus S} \varepsilon_{\ell, s} + \sum_{p=\ell+1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n_p+1} ((A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, 1} + \dots + (A_\ell^{(p)})_{k, d}) \varepsilon_{p, k} \right\rangle \\ &= - \sum_{s \in [n_\ell+1] \setminus S} (X_\ell^{(j)})_{i, s} \\ &\quad + \left( (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i, 1} + \dots + (X_\ell^{(j)} B_\ell^{-1})_{i, d} \right) \quad (\text{by (4.9) and (4.12)}) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{by (4.13)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the pairing  $\langle w_i^j, u_S^\ell \rangle$  vanishes since  $w_i^j = r_{i+1} - r_i$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BP15] Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Toric topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 204, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [BT82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [BT18] Victor M. Buchstaber and Svjetlana Terzić, *Toric topology of the complex Grassmann manifolds*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06449v2 (2018).
- [CK00] James B. Carrell and Alexandre Kurth, *Normality of torus orbit closures in  $G/P$* , J. Algebra **233** (2000), no. 1, 122–134.
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [Dab96] Romuald Dabrowski, *On normality of the closure of a generic torus orbit in  $G/P$* , Pacific J. Math. **172** (1996), no. 2, 321–330.
- [Ewa96] Günter Ewald, *Combinatorial convexity and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 168, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

- [FH91] Hermann Flaschka and Luc Haine, *Torus orbits in  $G/P$* , Pacific J. Math. **149** (1991), no. 2, 251–292.
- [GGMS87] I. M. Gel'fand, R. M. Goresky, R. D. MacPherson, and V. V. Serganova, *Combinatorial geometries, convex polyhedra, and Schubert cells*, Adv. in Math. **63** (1987), no. 3, 301–316.
- [GK94] Michael Grossberg and Yael Karshon, *Bott towers, complete integrability, and the extended character of representations*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), no. 1, 23–58.
- [GS87] I. M. Gel'fand and V. V. Serganova, *Combinatorial geometries and the strata of a torus on homogeneous compact manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **42** (1987), no. 2(254), 107–134, 287.
- [KLSS17] Shintarô Kuroki, Eunjeong Lee, Jongbaek Song, and Dong Youp Suh, *Flag Bott manifolds and the toric closure of a generic orbit associated to a generalized Bott manifold*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.02082 (2017).
- [Kly85] Aleksandr Anatol'evich Klyachko, *Orbits of a maximal torus on a flag space*, Functional analysis and its applications **19** (1985), no. 1, 65–66.
- [Kly95] ———, *Toric varieties and flag varieties*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics **208** (1995), 124–145.
- [LM18] Eunjeong Lee and Mikiya Masuda, *Generic torus orbit closures in Schubert varieties*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.02904 (2018).
- [Oda78] Tadao Oda, *Torus embeddings and applications*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, vol. 57, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978, Based on joint work with Katsuya Miyake.

CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE (IBS), POHANG 37673, KOREA

*E-mail address:* eunjeong.lee@ibs.re.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, 291 DAEHAK-RO YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON 34141, KOREA

*E-mail address:* dysuh@kaist.ac.kr