

Relativistic and Newton-Cartan Particle in de Broglie-Bohm Theory

Josef Klusoň¹

¹*Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Faculty of Science,
Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37, Brno, Czech Republic*

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the analysis of massive particle in general and Newton-Cartan Background in de Broglie-Bohm Theory. We find classical and quantum version of Hamilton-Jacobi equations and find their relations to wave equations. We also discuss fundamental difference between classical and quantum description of these two systems.

arXiv:1807.02310v2 [quant-ph] 16 Jul 2018

¹Email address: klu@physics.muni.cz

1 Introduction

De Broglie-Bohm Mechanics also known as pilot-wave formulation was formulated by D. Bohm in [1, 2] based on previous work of L. de Broglie [3, 4] who suggested that particle trajectories are guided by waves. In this interpretation, individual quantum system is formed by a point particle *and* a guiding wave. This is in sharp contrast with orthodox or Copenhagen interpretation of quantum mechanics, where an individual quantum system is simultaneously both a wave and particle. This system exhibits its particle or wave origin in dependence on the experiments. Say differently, in orthodox formulation of quantum mechanics does not make sense to speak about particle's position until its position is measured while in de Broglie-Bohm mechanics particle still has its own trajectory even if its form can differ from the classical one. It turns out that de Broglie-Bohm mechanics is, in words of John Bell [5] "Bohmian mechanics is a correct interpretation of quantum phenomena that exactly reproduce the predictions of the orthodox interpretation!"². This theory claims, that no rule of the quantum theory is broken when we include a single trajectory to specify the state of a system more finely than does the wave function. There is however an important point that whenever we want to calculate the trajectory we *firstly* have to know the wave function *whose determination is independent* on the path. This is main objection to the de Broglie-Bohm theory since the concept of trajectory of particle can be interpreted as an redundant addendum and as an interpretative element rather than an intrinsic ingredient of quantum theory.

However there could be deeper reason why we should consider trajectory of particle as well defined physical object. The clue to this problem is in the similarity between the Schrödinger equation in the position representation suitable expressed as two real equations. In more details, we can always write the wave function in the form $\psi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{iS}$, where ρ and S are two real functions. Then it can be shown that the equation of motion for S has the form of the quantum version of Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) equation while the equation of motion for ρ corresponds to the equation of continuity. This is very nice picture and one can ask the question whether similar analysis can be extended to another situations. In fact, the goal of this paper is to perform similar analysis in case of relativistic massive particle in general background metric and gauge field and also to the case of particle in Newton-Cartan background³. We show, following very nice analysis reviewed in [10], that even the classical relativistic particle and particle in Newton-Cartan background can be described with the help of wave function. We also show that fundamental difference between classical and quantum description is in the the fact that the equations of motion that follow from the equations of motion for complex field in general background allow superposition of two solutions while in case of the classical description this is not possible. The same situation occurs in case of particle in Newton-Cartan background. More precisely, we firstly determine an action for

²For review and extensive list of references, see [6, 7, 8, 9].

³For related work, see [15].

particle in Newton-Cartan background implementing null dimensional reduction [11, 12]. Then we use the relation between conjugate momenta and partial derivative of the action in order to find HJ equation for particle in Newton-Cartan background that has not been determined before. As the next step we determine an action for Schrödinger field in Newton-Cartan background again using null dimensional reduction. Then we write this field using polar form and derive equations of motion where the first one correspond to the quantum HJ equation for particle in Newton-Cartan background while the second one corresponds to the equation of continuity. We also find an action for the complex scalar field that describes classical Newton-Cartan particle and we again show that the crucial difference between quantum and classical description is in the fact that linear combinations of two solutions of the classical equations of motion is not their solutions.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section (2) we review basic facts about Hamilton-Jacobi theory which is central for formulation de Broglie-Bohm theory and relation between classical and quantum physics. In section (3) we analyze relativistic massive particle in general background and find classical and quantum form of HJ equation for it. We also find Lagrangian for quantum relativistic particle. In section (4) we extend this analysis to the case of particle in Newton-Cartan background. Finally in conclusion (5) we outline our results and suggest possible extension of this work.

2 Review of Hamilton-Jacobi Theory

In this section we briefly review basic facts about Hamilton-Jacobi theory, following [10], for more detailed treatment, see for example [13]. Let us consider an action defined as $S = \int d\lambda L(X, \dot{X})$ where X label position of particle in target space time and where $\dot{X} = \frac{dX}{d\lambda}$. All X 's depend on λ which parameterizes world-line of particle. Let λ_0 and λ_f are initial and final values of world-line parameters and let $X_0 = X[\lambda_0], X_f = X[\lambda_f]$ are initial and final positions of the particle. Classical trajectory, which we denote as $X_p[\lambda]$, is a trajectory that solves the equations of motion corresponds to the stationary value of the action functional

$$S[X, X_0, \lambda_0, X_f, \lambda_f] = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda L(X, \dot{X}) . \quad (1)$$

More precisely, let $X_{np}(\lambda) = X_p(\lambda) + \delta X(\lambda)$ is a trajectory that differs from the physical one by small displacement parameterized by δX . We only demand that initial and final positions of these two trajectories are the same so that $\delta X(\lambda_0) =$

$\delta X(\lambda_f) = 0$. Then the action evaluated on the second trajectory is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
S[X_{np}, X_0, X_f] &= \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda L(X_p + \delta X, \dot{X}_p + \delta \dot{X}_p) = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda L(X_p, \dot{X}_p) + \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial X} - \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \right] \right]_{X=X_p} \delta X(\lambda) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \delta X \Big|_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} = \\
&+ \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial X} - \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \right] \right]_{X=X_p} \delta X(\lambda) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where we used the fact that $\delta X(\lambda_0) = \delta X(\lambda_f) = 0$. Now the fact that physical trajectory corresponds to the stationary point of the action means that $S[X_{np}] - S[X_p] = 0$ for any small variation δX which can be also written as $\delta S = 0$. As a result we derive Lagrangian equations of motion

$$\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial X} - \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \right] \right]_{X=X_p} = 0 . \tag{3}$$

Of course, this result is well known. On the other hand it is less known that the action formalism is more powerful and allows more general analysis. For example, we would like to analyze an ensemble of physical trajectories that differ in initial or final conditions. For that reason we define

$$S_{p-\delta\lambda} = S(X_{p-\delta\lambda}) = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f + \delta\lambda} d\lambda L(X_{p-\delta\lambda}(\lambda), \dot{X}_{p-\delta\lambda}[\lambda]) , \tag{4}$$

where $X_{p-\delta\lambda}[\lambda]$ is physical trajectory that has identical initial and final positions as $X_p[\lambda]$ but taking a longer time $\lambda_f + \delta\lambda$. Let us write this new physical trajectory as $X_{p-\delta\lambda}(\lambda) = X_p(\lambda) + \delta X(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, \lambda_f]$. For λ that is outside from this interval we have

$$X_{p-\delta\lambda}[\lambda_f + \delta\lambda] = X_p[\lambda_f] + \dot{X}_p[\lambda_f] \delta\lambda + \delta X[\lambda_f + \delta\lambda] . \tag{5}$$

Since by definition we have

$$X_{p-\delta\lambda}[\lambda_f + \delta\lambda] = X_p[\lambda_f] \tag{6}$$

the equation (5) implies

$$\delta X[\lambda_f + \delta\lambda] = -\dot{X}_p[\lambda_f] \delta\lambda . \tag{7}$$

Then we can again write

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{p-\delta\lambda} &= \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f + \delta\lambda} d\lambda \left[L(X, \dot{X})_{X=X_p} + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial X} \delta X - \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \right] \right)_{X=X_p} \delta X \right] \\
&+ \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \delta X \right]_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f + \delta\lambda} .
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

To proceed further we note that we have

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f + \delta\lambda} L(X)_{X=X_p} = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} L(X)_{X=X_p} + \int_{\lambda_f}^{\lambda_f + \delta\lambda} L(X)_{X=X_p} = S_p + L[X_p]\delta t . \quad (9)$$

Finally note that the last term in (8) can be written as

$$\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \delta X \right]_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f + \delta\lambda} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}}(\lambda_f + \delta\lambda) \delta X(\lambda + \delta\lambda) = -p(\lambda_f + \delta\lambda) \dot{X}(\lambda_f) \quad (10)$$

using (6). Finally, since X_p is physical trajectory we find that the second term on the first line in (8) is zero. Putting these terms together we find

$$S_{p-\delta\lambda} = S_\lambda + L\delta\lambda - p\dot{X}\delta\lambda = S_p - H\delta\lambda \quad (11)$$

that can be written as

$$\frac{\partial S(X_p(\lambda_f))}{\partial \lambda_f} = -H(X_p(\lambda_f)) . \quad (12)$$

As the next step we analyse the value of the action $S_{p-\delta X}$ when we modify the final position $X_f + \delta X$ without modification of the initial and final times. The final position on the new physical trajectory $X_{p-\delta X}(\lambda) = X[\lambda] + \delta X[\lambda]$ means

$$\delta X[\lambda_0] = 0 , \quad \delta X[\lambda_f] = \delta X_f . \quad (13)$$

Let us now evaluate the action on this new trajectory

$$\begin{aligned} S_{p-\delta X} &= \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda L(X)|_{X=X_p+\delta X} = \\ &= \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda L[X_p] + \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \delta X \right]_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} + \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} d\lambda \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial X} - \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \right] \right]_{X=X_p} \delta X . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The first term on the second line in (14) is S_p . Further, the last expression on the second line in (14) vanishes due to the fact that the physical trajectory solves the equation of motion. Finally the second term is equal to

$$\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \delta X \right]_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_f} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}} \Big|_{X=X_p} \delta X_f = p[\lambda_f] \delta X_f . \quad (15)$$

Then (14) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial X_f} = \lim \frac{S_{p-\delta X} - S_p}{\delta X_f} = p[\lambda_f] . \quad (16)$$

In summary, the variation of the action with respect to final point is equal to momentum of the trajectory at the final time. Then collecting all terms together we obtain Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} + H \left(X, \frac{\partial S}{\partial X}, \lambda \right) = 0 . \quad (17)$$

3 Relativistic Classical and Quantum Particle

3.1 Derivation of Relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation

Let us consider a relativistic particle with the action

$$S = -m \int d\lambda \sqrt{-g_{MN} \dot{X}^M \dot{X}^N} + q \int d\lambda A_M \dot{X}^M, \quad (18)$$

where $X^M, M = 0, \dots, D - 1$ label position of particle in target space-time with general metric $g_{MN}(X)$ and gauge field A_M . Further, m is the mass of the particle while q is its charge. Now from the action (18) we derive following conjugate momenta

$$p_M = m \frac{g_{MN} \dot{X}^N}{\sqrt{-g_{MN} \dot{X}^M \dot{X}^N}} + q A_M. \quad (19)$$

It is easy to see that the Hamiltonian is zero

$$H = p_M \dot{X}^M - L = 0 \quad (20)$$

and hence Hamilton Jacobi equation implies $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} = 0$. In other words in case of the relativistic theory there is no explicit dependence of the action on parameter λ . In order to find relativistic HJ equation we firstly use the definition of p_M given in (19) and the relation between partial derivative of action and conjugate momenta as was given in (16). Explicitly, using (19) we derive classical HJ equation for relativistic particle

$$(\partial_M S - q A_M) g^{MN} (\partial_M S - q A_M) + m^2 = 0.$$

3.2 Ensemble of Trajectories

The solution of the HJ equation is function S that depends on space-time coordinates x . Then it is more natural to use it for the description of ensemble of trajectories where each trajectory differs by initial position and velocity. In order to describe this fact we introduce ρ as a function of space-time points that describe distribution of the initial positions at time λ_0 . These trajectories then evolve according to HJ equations. Further, let us define following particle four-velocity

$$u^M(\lambda) = \frac{\dot{X}^M}{\sqrt{-g_{MN} \dot{X}^M \dot{X}^N}}. \quad (21)$$

To see that this is a correct form of the particle four-velocity let us presume that there is well defined relation between arbitrary parameter λ and proper-time τ in the form $\lambda = \lambda(\tau)$. Then we can rewrite u^M as

$$u^M = \frac{\frac{dX^M}{d\tau} \frac{d\tau}{d\lambda}}{\sqrt{-g_{MN} \frac{dX^M}{d\tau} \frac{dX^N}{d\tau} \frac{d\tau}{d\lambda}}} = \frac{dX^M}{d\tau} \quad (22)$$

since $\sqrt{-g_{MN}\frac{dX^M}{d\tau}\frac{dX^N}{d\tau}} = 1$. On the other hand we know that u^M can be expressed with the help of the partial derivative of S and external gauge field A_M as

$$u^M = g^{MN}(\partial_N S - qA_N) . \quad (23)$$

Hence it is natural to define current density in the form

$$J^M = \rho\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}(\partial_N S - qA_N) \quad (24)$$

which is current defined in each point of space-time. This current is conserved

$$\partial_M J^M = \partial_M(\sqrt{-g}\rho g^{MN}(\partial_N S - qA_N)) = 0 \quad (25)$$

which expresses the fact that trajectory cannot be created or destroyed. In summary, in case of the ensemble of trajectories of classical relativistic particle we have following two equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_M[\rho\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}(\partial_N S - qA_N)] &= 0 , \\ (\partial_M S - qA_M)g^{MN}(\partial_N S - qA_N) + m^2 &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We see that even classical particle has description with the help of two fields $S(x)$ and $\rho(x)$, where $S(x)$ is action function that solves classical relativistic Hamilton Jacobi equation while $\rho(x)$ describes a distribution of trajectories from ensemble over space-time. It is also nice that these equations of motion can be derived from the action ⁴

$$I_{class} = - \int d^D x \sqrt{-g}(\rho g^{MN}(\partial_M S - qA_N)(\partial_M S - qA_M) + m^2\rho) . \quad (27)$$

In fact, performing variation of the action (27) with respect to ρ we obtain the second equation in (26) while performing variation with respect to S we obtain first equation in (26). As the next step we introduce complex field $\psi_{clas} = \sqrt{\rho}e^{iS}$ so that we can write

$$\rho = \psi_{clas}\psi_{clas}^* , \quad S = \frac{1}{2i} \ln \frac{\psi_{clas}}{\psi_{clas}^*} . \quad (28)$$

Then it is easy to see that the action (27) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_{class} = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{2} D_M \psi (D_N \psi)^* g^{MN} + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\psi_{clas}^*}{4\psi_{clas}} D_M \psi_{clas} D_N \psi_{clas} g^{MN} + \frac{\psi_{clas}}{4\psi_{clas}^*} (D_M \psi_{clas})^* (D_N \psi_{clas})^* g^{MN} + m^2 \psi_{clas} \psi_{clas}^* \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$D_M \psi_{clas} = \partial_M \psi_{clas} - iqA_M \psi_{clas} . \quad (30)$$

⁴We work in units where $\hbar = 1$.

Note that the equation of motion that follow from the action above takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}D_M[\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}D_N\psi_{clas}] + \frac{1}{4\psi_{clas}}D_M\psi_{clas}D_N\psi_{clas}g^{MN}\sqrt{-g} + m^2\psi_{clas}\sqrt{-g} - \\ & - \frac{\psi_{clas}}{4(\psi_{clas})^*}(D_M\psi_{clas})^*(D_N\psi_{clas})^*g^{MN}\sqrt{-g} - \frac{1}{2}D_M\left[\frac{\psi_{clas}}{\psi_{clas}^*}\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}(D_N\psi_{clas})^*\right] = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The crucial point of this equation is the lack of linearity of solutions. In fact, if ψ_{clas}^1 and ψ_{clas}^2 are two solutions of the equation (31) then their linear combinations $a\psi_{clas}^1 + b\psi_{clas}^2$, where a, b are two complex numbers cannot be solutions of (31). This is fundamental difference between classical and quantum relativistic particle.

3.3 Quantum Relativistic Particle

Now we consider complex field action

$$I = - \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g} [g^{MN}D_M\Psi(D_N\Psi)^* + m^2\Psi\Psi^*] , \quad (32)$$

where $D_M\Psi = \partial_M\Psi - iqA_M\Psi$. The equation of motion derived from this action has the form

$$D_M[\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}D_N\Psi] - m^2\Psi = 0 \quad (33)$$

that are clearly linear which is fundamental difference between classical and quantum description.

As the next step we introduce polar decomposition of Ψ as

$$\Psi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{iS} , \quad (34)$$

so that we have

$$D_M\Psi = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\rho}}\partial_M\rho e^{iS} + i\sqrt{\rho}(\partial_MS - qA_M)e^{iS} . \quad (35)$$

Then it is easy to see that the action has the form

$$I = - \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g} \left(g^{MN} \frac{1}{4\rho} \partial_M\rho \partial_N\rho + \rho g^{MN} (\partial_MS - qA_M)(\partial_NS - qA_N) + m^2\rho \right) \quad (36)$$

with following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sqrt{-g}\frac{1}{4\rho^2}g^{MN}\partial_M\rho\partial_N\rho - \partial_M[\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}\frac{1}{4\rho}\partial_N\rho] + \\ & + \sqrt{-g}g^{MN}(\partial_MS - qA_M)(\partial_NS - qA_N) + m^2\sqrt{-g} = 0 , \\ & \partial_M[\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}\rho(\partial_NS - qA_N)] = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Note that the first equation can be interpreted as the quantum relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation when we write it in the form

$$\begin{aligned} g^{MN}(\partial_M S - qA_M)(\partial_N S - qA_N) + m^2 + Q &= 0 , \\ Q &= -\frac{1}{4\rho^2}g^{MN}\partial_M\rho\partial_N\rho - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_M[\sqrt{-g}g^{MN}\frac{1}{4\rho}\partial_N\rho] . \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Now we would like to ask a question what is a consequence of the quantum potential Q on the dynamics of quantum particle. Since $p_M = \partial_M S$ we can rewrite the HJ equation into the form

$$(p_M - qA_M)g^{MN}(p_N - qA_N) + m^2 + Q = 0 \quad (39)$$

which is nothing else than the quantum version of Hamiltonian constraint. As a consequence the Hamiltonian has the form

$$H_Q = \Gamma \left((p_M - qA_M)g^{MN}(p_N - qA_N) + m^2 + Q \right) , \quad (40)$$

where Γ is Lagrange multiplier corresponding to the Hamiltonian constraint. Let us now derive corresponding Lagrangian. Using the Hamiltonian given above we obtain

$$\dot{X}^M = \{X^M, H_Q\} = 2\Gamma g^{MN}(p_N - qA_N) \quad (41)$$

and it is easy to find

$$L_Q = p_M \dot{X}^M - H_Q = \frac{1}{4\Gamma} \dot{X}^M g_{MN} \dot{X}^N - \Gamma(m^2 + Q) . \quad (42)$$

Finally we can eliminate Γ using corresponding equations of motion

$$-\frac{1}{4\Gamma^2} \dot{X}^M g_{MN} \dot{X}^N - (m^2 + Q) = 0 \quad (43)$$

that has solution

$$\Gamma = \frac{\sqrt{-g_{MN} \dot{X}^M \dot{X}^N}}{2\sqrt{m^2 + Q}} . \quad (44)$$

Inserting back to the Lagrangian we derive the final form of the Lagrangian for quantum relativistic particle in Bohmian mechanics

$$L_Q = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{m^2 + Q}\sqrt{-g_{MN}\dot{X}^M\dot{X}^N} + qA_N\dot{X}^N . \quad (45)$$

We mean that this is very remarkable result. In fact, solving the equations of motion that follow from this Lagrangian we derive quantum trajectories for relativistic particle where these trajectories are strongly affected by quantum potential Q which depends on function ρ . It is further very interesting that the quantum potential appears in the relativistic Lagrangian under square root together with the rest mass of the particle. Of course, in order to determine quantum trajectories we have to know the form of ρ that is determined by second equation in (37) that, since it contains S has to be solved together with the first equation in (37).

4 Particle in Newton-Cartan Background and Its Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

In this section we find Hamilton-Jacobi equation for particle in Newton-Cartan background. To do this we find an action for massive particle in this background with the help of null dimensional reduction, following [11, 12]. Explicitly, let us consider an action for massive particle in $D + 1$ dimensions with the background metric g_{AB} and the gauge field A_A where $A = 0, \dots, D$. The particle action has standard form

$$S = -T \int d\lambda \sqrt{-\gamma_{AB} \dot{X}^A \dot{X}^B} + q \int d\lambda A_M \dot{x}^M, \quad (46)$$

where T is the mass of particle and where q is its charge. As the next step we determine Hamiltonian for this particle. From (46) we find following conjugate momenta

$$p_A = T \frac{\gamma_{AB} \dot{X}^B}{\sqrt{-\gamma_{AB} \dot{X}^A \dot{X}^B}} + q A_A \quad (47)$$

that implies following Hamiltonian constraint

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau = (p_A - q A_A) \gamma^{AB} (p_B - q A_B) + T^2 \approx 0. \quad (48)$$

Let us now consider background metric which possesses a null isometry that is generated by coordinates ∂_u

$$ds^2 = \gamma_{AB} dx^A dx^B = 2\tau_\mu dx^\mu (du - M_\nu dx^\nu) + h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1 \quad (49)$$

so that

$$\gamma_{\mu u} = \gamma_{u\mu} = \tau_\mu, \quad \gamma_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \tau_\mu M_\nu - \tau_\nu M_\mu \equiv \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \quad (50)$$

and also

$$\sqrt{-\gamma} = e, \quad e = \det(\tau_\mu, e_\mu^a). \quad (51)$$

Note that the metric inverse to (50) has the form

$$\gamma^{uu} = 2\Phi, \quad \gamma^{u\mu} = -\hat{v}^\mu, \quad \gamma^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu}, \quad (52)$$

where we defined spatial vierbein e_μ^a and e_μ^a , $a = 1, \dots, D-1$ as ⁵

$$h_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \delta_{ab}, \quad h^{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \delta^{ab}, \quad e_\mu^a e_\mu^b = \delta_a^b. \quad (53)$$

We also introduce temporal vector v^μ that obeys the relation

$$v^\mu \tau_\mu = -1, \quad v^\mu e_\mu^a = 0, \quad \tau_\mu e_\mu^a = 0. \quad (54)$$

⁵We follow convention used in [11].

Finally we introduced Galilean invariant objects $\hat{v}^\mu, \hat{e}_\mu^a$ and Φ defined as

$$\hat{v}^\mu = v^\mu - h^{\mu\nu} M_\nu, \quad \hat{e}_\mu^a = e_\mu^a - M_\nu e_\nu^b \delta^{ba} \tau_\mu, \quad \Phi = -v^\mu M_\mu + \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\nu} M_\mu M_\nu. \quad (55)$$

We further presume that the gauge field has the form $A_A = (A_u, A_\mu) = (\varphi, \bar{A}_\mu - \varphi M_\mu)$. Now with the help of this metric we perform dimensional reduction. To do this we have to presume that all fields do not depend on u . Then using the previous form of the Hamiltonian constraint and the form of the background field we find that the Hamiltonian constraint has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\tau = & 2(p_u - q\varphi)\Phi(p_u - q\varphi) - 2(p_u - q\varphi)\hat{v}^\mu(p_\mu - q(\bar{A}_\mu - \varphi M_\mu)) + \\ & + h^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu - q(\bar{A}_\mu - \varphi M_\mu))(p_\nu - q(\bar{A}_\nu - \varphi M_\nu)) + T^2 \approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Let us now assume that the particle has constant momentum along u -direction that we denote as m . This is certainly reasonable assumption due to the fact that background fields do not depend on u -coordinate. We also see that it is possible to take the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ at the Hamiltonian constraint. In the end we obtain Hamiltonian constraint in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\tau = & 2(m - q\varphi)\Phi(m - q\varphi) - 2(m - q\varphi)\hat{v}^\mu(p_\mu - q(\bar{A}_\mu - \varphi M_\mu)) + \\ & + h^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu - q(\bar{A}_\mu - \varphi M_\mu))(p_\nu - q(\bar{A}_\nu - \varphi M_\nu)) \approx 0, \quad H = \Gamma \mathcal{H}_\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

In order to find corresponding Lagrangian we determine equations of motion for X^μ

$$\dot{X}^\mu = \{X^\mu, H\} = \Gamma 2h^{\mu\nu}(p_\nu - q(\bar{A}_\nu - \varphi M_\nu)) - 2(K - q\varphi)\Gamma \hat{v}^\mu, \quad (58)$$

where Γ is Lagrange multiplier corresponding to the constraint $\mathcal{H}_\tau \approx 0$. Then we obtain Lagrangian for particle in Newton-Cartan background in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & p_\mu \dot{X}^\mu - H = \\ = & \Gamma(p_\mu - q(\bar{A}_\mu - \varphi M_\mu))h^{\mu\nu}(p_\nu - q(\bar{A}_\nu - \varphi M_\nu)) - 2(m - q\varphi)^2 \Phi \Gamma + qA_\mu \partial_\lambda x^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

To proceed further we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_\mu^a \dot{X}^\mu &= 2\Gamma e_\nu^c \delta^{ca}(p_\nu - q(\bar{A}_\nu - \varphi M_\nu)), \\ \dot{X}^\nu \hat{e}_\nu^a \delta_{ab} \hat{e}_\mu^b \dot{X}^\mu &= 4\Gamma^2 (p_\mu - q(\bar{A}_\mu - \varphi M_\mu))h^{\mu\nu}(p_\nu - q(\bar{A}_\nu - \varphi M_\nu)) \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

so that the Lagrangian (59) has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\Gamma} \dot{X}^\mu \hat{e}_\mu^a \delta_{ab} \hat{e}_\nu^b \dot{X}^\nu - 2(m - q\varphi)^2 \Phi \Gamma + qA_\mu \dot{X}^\mu. \quad (61)$$

Finally we solve the equations of motion for Γ and we get

$$\tau_\mu \dot{X}^\mu = 2\Gamma(m - q\varphi) \quad (62)$$

that implies $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2(K - q\varphi)} \dot{X}^\mu \tau_\mu$ and hence the Lagrangian density has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{(m - q\varphi)}{2\tau_\mu \dot{X}^\mu} \dot{X}^\mu \hat{e}_\mu^a \delta_{ab} \hat{e}_\nu^b \dot{X}^\nu - (m - q\varphi) \Phi \tau^\mu \dot{X}^\mu + a A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu = \\ &= \frac{(m - q\varphi)}{2\tau_\mu \dot{X}^\mu} \dot{X}^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu + q A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where in the last step we used the fact that

$$\hat{e}_\mu^a \delta_{ab} \hat{e}_\nu^b = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + 2\Phi \tau_\mu \tau_\nu. \quad (64)$$

This is an action for the massive particle in Newton-Cartan background and in the background gauge field that coincides with the action found in [11].

Now we derive HJ equation for particle in NC background. We start with the conjugate momenta that follow from (63)

$$p_\mu = -\frac{(m - q\varphi)}{2(\tau_\mu \dot{X}^\mu)^2} \tau_\mu \dot{X}^\rho \bar{h}_{\rho\sigma} \dot{X}^\sigma + q A_\mu + \frac{(m - q\varphi) \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu}{\tau_\rho \dot{X}^\rho} = \partial_\mu S. \quad (65)$$

As the next step we multiply this expression with v^μ and we obtain

$$v^\mu (\partial_\mu S - q A_\mu + (m - q\varphi) M_\mu) = \frac{(m - q\varphi)}{2(\tau_\mu \dot{X}^\mu)^2} \dot{X}^\rho h_{\rho\sigma} \dot{X}^\sigma \quad (66)$$

On the other hand when we multiply (65) with $h^{\nu\mu}$ we get

$$h^{\nu\mu} (\partial_\mu S - q A_\mu) = \frac{(m - q\varphi)}{\tau_\rho \dot{X}^\rho} h^{\nu\mu} h_{\mu\rho} \dot{X}^\rho - (m - q\varphi) h^{\nu\mu} M_\mu. \quad (67)$$

Collecting there results together we obtain following classical HJ equation for massive and charged particle in Newton-Cartan background

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_\mu S - q A_\mu + (m - q\varphi) M_\mu) h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\nu S - q A_\nu + (m - q\varphi) M_\nu) - \\ &- 2(m - q\varphi) v^\mu (\partial_\mu S - q A_\mu + (m - q\varphi) M_\mu) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

For further purposes we rewrite this equation into the form

$$2(m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu (\partial_\mu S - q A_\mu) - (\partial_\mu S - q A_\mu) h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\nu S - q A_\nu) - 2(m - q\varphi)^2 \Phi = 0. \quad (69)$$

In order to find its quantum version we start with the action for complex scalar field in NC background. We again derive this action using null dimensional reduction. More explicitly, let us consider an action for complex scalar field in $D+1$ dimensions

$$I = \int d^D x \sqrt{-\gamma} (-\gamma^{AB} D_A \Psi D_B \Psi^*) , \quad (70)$$

where $D_A = \partial_A \Psi - iqA_A \Psi$. Now we presume that the background metric is given in (50) and (52). We further presume that all fields do not depend on u and impose following ansatz for the field Ψ

$$\Psi = e^{imu} \psi , \quad \partial_u \Psi = im \Psi . \quad (71)$$

Then we obtain an action for Schrödinger field in NC background

$$I = \int d^D x e (i(m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu (D_\mu \psi)^* \psi - i(m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu D_\mu \psi \psi^* - 2\Phi(m - q\varphi)^2 \psi \psi^* - h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \psi (D_\nu \psi)^*) , \quad (72)$$

where

$$D_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi - iqA_\mu \psi . \quad (73)$$

From (72) we could find equations of motion for ψ which are clearly linear.

As the next step we use polar parametrization of ψ defined as

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS} , \quad (74)$$

where ρ and S are real. Inserting this form of ψ into (72) we obtain

$$I^{sch} = \int d^D x e \left(2(m - q\varphi) \rho \hat{v}^\mu (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) - 2\Phi(m - q\varphi)^2 \rho - \frac{1}{4\rho} h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \rho \partial_\nu \rho - \rho h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) (\partial_\nu S - qA_\nu) \right) . \quad (75)$$

From (75) we obtain following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\mu [e(m - q\varphi) \rho \hat{v}^\mu] - \partial_\mu [e \rho h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\nu S - qA_\nu)] = 0 , \\ & 2e(m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) - 2e\Phi(m - q\varphi)^2 + \\ & + \frac{1}{4\rho^2} e h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \rho \partial_\nu \rho + \partial_\mu \left[\frac{1}{2\rho} e h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \rho \right] - e h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) (\partial_\nu S - qA_\nu) = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The first equation can be interpreted as continuity equation that expresses conservation of the trajectories in the ensemble of all possible trajectories of massive particle in NC background while the second one can be written into the form

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) - h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) (\partial_\nu S - qA_\nu) - \\ & - 2\Phi(m - q\varphi)^2 + Q = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where Q is defined as

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\rho^2} h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \rho \partial_\nu \rho + \frac{1}{2e} \partial_\mu \left[\frac{1}{\rho} e h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \rho \right]. \quad (78)$$

It is clear that classical action corresponds to the situation when $Q = 0$. Then the equations of motion for S and ρ can be derived from the action

$$I_{clas}^{sch} = \int d^D x e (2(m - q\varphi) \rho \hat{v}^\mu (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) - 2\Phi(m - q\varphi)^2 \rho - \rho h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu S - qA_\mu) (\partial_\nu S - qA_\nu)) \quad (79)$$

or equivalently using complex field $\psi_{clas} = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS}$

$$I_{clas}^{sch} = \int d^D x e \left(i(m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu (\psi_{clas} (D_\mu \psi_{clas})^* - \psi_{clas}^* D_\mu \psi_{clas}) - 2\Phi(m - q\varphi)^2 \psi_{clas} \psi_{clas}^* - \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \psi_{clas} (D_\nu \psi_{clas})^* + \frac{1}{4} h^{\mu\nu} \frac{D_\mu \psi_{clas} D_\nu \psi_{clas}}{\psi_{clas} \psi_{clas}} + \frac{1}{4} h^{\mu\nu} \frac{(D_\mu \psi_{clas})^* (D_\nu \psi_{clas})^*}{\psi_{clas}^* \psi_{clas}^*} \right). \quad (80)$$

We again see that resulting equations of motions will be non-linear due to the last two terms on the second line and hence superposition of two solutions of the equations of motion of classical particle in NC background is not solutions of these equations.

Finally we will try to find quantum version of Lagrangian for massive particle in NC background starting with the quantum HJ equation. Replacing $\partial_\mu S$ with p_μ we find that it corresponds to the Hamiltonian constraint in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\tau^q &= 2(m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu (p_\mu - qA_\mu) - h^{\mu\nu} (p_\mu - qA_\mu) (p_\nu - qA_\nu) - \\ &- 2\Phi(m - q\varphi)^2 + Q = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

so that the Hamiltonian is $H^q = \Gamma \mathcal{H}_\tau^q$, where Γ is corresponding Lagrange multiplier. With the help of this Hamiltonian we find

$$\dot{X}^\mu = \{X^\mu, H^q\} = 2\Gamma((m - q\varphi) \hat{v}^\mu - h^{\mu\nu} (p_\nu - qA_\nu)) \quad (82)$$

and hence

$$L^q = p_\mu \dot{X}^\mu - H^q = \frac{(m - q\varphi)}{2\dot{X}^\mu \tau_\mu} \dot{X}^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu + qA_\mu + \frac{1}{m - q\varphi} Q \tau_\mu \dot{X}^\mu \quad (83)$$

using the fact that from the equation of motion for X^μ we obtain

$$\Gamma = -\frac{\dot{X}^\mu \tau_\mu}{2(m - q\varphi)}. \quad (84)$$

We see fundamental difference between relativistic quantum particle and quantum particle in NC background which is in the presence of the quantum potential in both Lagrangians where in case of Newton-Cartan quantum particle the quantum potential is simply added to the Lagrangian of classical particle while in case of quantum relativistic particle it appears under square root together with m^2 .

5 Conclusion

In this short note we performed analysis of classical and quantum particles in relativistic and Newton-Cartan background in the framework of de Broglie-Bohm quantum mechanics. We determined classical and quantum version of HJ equation for relativistic particle and discuss properties of the wave function description of both theories. We performed the same analysis in case of particle in Newton-Cartan background where we determined classical and quantum HJ equation. We mean that this is really interesting result since we found covariant version of non-relativistic de-Broglie-Bohm quantum mechanics.

De Broglie-Bohm formulation of quantum mechanics is very fascinating and certainly deserves further study and analysis. In particular, it is very interesting that Lagrangian for quantum particle contains quantum potential that depends on derivatives of density of trajectories at space-time point. Further, it can be shown that the Schrödinger equation may be recast as a self-contained second-order Newtonian law for a congruence of spacetime trajectories, see for example [14]. It would be certainly very interesting to perform similar analysis in case of massive particle in Newton-Cartan background. We hope to return to this problem in near future.

Acknowledgement:

This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the grant P201/12/G028.

References

- [1] D. Bohm, “*A Suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of hidden variables. 1.*,” Phys. Rev. **85** (1952) 166. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.85.166
- [2] D. Bohm, “*A Suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of hidden variables. 2.*,” Phys. Rev. **85** (1952) 180. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.85.180
- [3] L. de Broglie, “*Recherches sur la theorie des quantas*”, Ann. de Physique, 3, 22 (1925).
- [4] L. de Broglie, “*Recherches sur la theorie des quantas*”, PhD thesis, University of Paris (1924);
- [5] J. S. Bell, “*Speakable And Unspeakable In Quantum Mechanics. Collected Papers On Quantum Philosophy*,” Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1987) 212 p
- [6] K. Berndl, M. Daumer, D. Durr, S. Goldstein and N. Zanghi, “*A Survey of Bohmian mechanics*,” Nuovo Cim. B **110** (1995) 737 doi:10.1007/BF02741477 [quant-ph/9504010].

- [7] R. Tumulka, “*On Bohmian Mechanics, Particle Creation, and Relativistic Space-Time: Happy 100th Birthday, David Bohm!*,” *Entropy* **20** (2018) no.6, 462 doi:10.3390/e20060462 [arXiv:1804.08853 [quant-ph]].
- [8] P. Holland, :*Quantum Theory of Motion,*” Cambridge University Press,1993
- [9] D. Bohm, B.J. Hiley, ”*The Undivided Universe: An Ontological Interpretation of Quantum Theory,*” Routledge, 1995
- [10] Xavier Oriols, Jordi Mompart ”*Overview of Bohmian Mechanics,*” [arXiv:1206.1084 [quant-ph]]
- [11] G. Festuccia, D. Hansen, J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, “*Symmetries and Couplings of Non-Relativistic Electrodynamics,*” *JHEP* **1611** (2016) 037 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2016)037 [arXiv:1607.01753 [hep-th]].
- [12] B. Julia and H. Nicolai, “*Null Killing vector dimensional reduction and Galilean geometrodynamics,*” *Nucl. Phys. B* **439** (1995) 291 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)00584-2 [hep-th/9412002].
- [13] H. Goldstein, Ch. Poole and J. Safko, ”*Classical Mechanics,*” Pearson, 2001
- [14] P. Holland, ”*The quantum state as spatial displacement,*” [arXiv:1601.03993 [quant-ph]]
- [15] H. Nikolic, “*Relativistic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory,*” arXiv:1205.1992 [hep-th].