

# OBSTRUCTIONS TO CHOOSING DISTINCT POINTS ON CUBIC PLANE CURVES

WEIYAN CHEN

**ABSTRACT.** Every smooth cubic plane curve has 9 inflection points, 27 sextatic points, and 72 “points of type nine”. Motivated by these classical algebro-geometric constructions, we study the following topological question: Is it possible to continuously choose  $n$  distinct unordered points on each smooth cubic plane curve for an arbitrary natural number  $n$ ? This question is equivalent to asking if certain fiber bundle admits a continuous section or not. We prove that the answer is no when  $n$  is not a multiple of 9. Our result resolves a conjecture of Benson Farb.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A cubic plane curve in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  is the zero locus of a homogeneous polynomial  $f(x, y, z)$  of degree 3. It has been a classical topic to study certain special points on smooth cubic curves, such as the 9 inflection points (dating back at least to Maclaurin; see the Introduction in [1] for an account of the history of this topic), the 27 sextatic points (studied by Cayley [2]), and the 72 “points of type 9” (studied by Gattazzo [5]; definition given in Section 2 below). These constructions lead one to wonder: For what integer  $n$  is it possible to continuously choose  $n$  distinct points on each cubic plane curve as the curve varies in family?

To make the question precise, let  $\mathcal{X}$  denote the parameter space of smooth cubic plane curves:

$$\mathcal{X} := \{f(x, y, z) : f \text{ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 and is smooth}\} / \sim$$

where  $f \sim \lambda f$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Let  $C_f$  denote the cubic plane curve defined by  $f$ , and let  $\text{UConf}^n C_f$  denote the configuration space of  $n$  distinct unordered points on  $C_f$  (see Section 2 for its precise definition). There is a fiber bundle whose fiber over each  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  is the unordered configuration space  $\text{UConf}^n C_f$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{UConf}^n C_f & \longrightarrow & E_n \\ & & \downarrow \xi_n \\ & & \mathcal{X} \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

The aforementioned algebro-geometric constructions give continuous sections to  $\xi_n$  for  $n = 9, 27$ , or 72. We ask: For what value  $n$  does the bundle  $\xi_n$  admit a continuous section?

Aiming for a partial answer to the question, Benson Farb (private communication) made the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1** (Farb).  *$n = 9$  is the smallest value for  $\xi_n$  to have a continuous section.*

We will prove this conjecture in this paper. In fact, we will prove the following stronger statement.

**Theorem 1.**  *$\xi_n$  has no continuous section if  $n$  is not a multiple of 9.*

Notice that  $\xi_1$  is precisely the tautological bundle whose fiber over every curve in  $\mathcal{X}$  is the curve itself. Theorem 1 applied to the case when  $n = 1$  implies:

**Corollary 2.** *The tautological bundle  $\xi_1$  does not have any continuous section.*

Corollary 2 has the following interpretation. Each smooth cubic plane curve is an elliptic curve after choosing a point for identity. However, it is not possible to continuously choose an elliptic curve structure for every cubic plane curve  $C_f$  in the universal family  $\mathcal{X}$ , because it is not possible to continuously choose a point on  $C_f$  to serve as the identity.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to Benson Farb for sharing his extremely interesting question and conjecture. I thank Igor Dolgachev for pointing me to the papers by Cayley and Gattazzo, and thank Ronno Das for making me aware of a mistake in the proof of Lemma 6 in an early draft.

#### 2. BACKGROUND AND SET UP

Every smooth cubic plane curve  $C_f$  has

- (a) 9 inflection points where the tangent line intersect  $C_f$  with multiplicity 3,
- (b) 27 sextatic points where an irreducible conic intersects  $C_f$  with multiplicity 6 ([2]),
- (c) 72 points of type 9 where an irreducible cubic intersects  $C_f$  with multiplicity 9 ([5]).

The coordinates of these special points on  $C_f$  change continuously as we vary  $f$  in the parameter space  $\mathcal{X}$ . For example, the 9 inflections points are precisely the vanishing locus of the Hessian function, which depends continuously on the defining equation of the cubic curve. The constructions (a), (b), and (c) above give continuous sections of the bundle  $\xi_n$  as in (1.1) for  $n = 9, 27$ , and 72, respectively.

**Remark 1 (Constructions of sections to  $\xi_n$  for various  $n$ ).** More generally, Gattazzo [5] defined *points of type  $3k$*  on  $C_f$  to be those points where an irreducible curve of degree  $k$  intersects  $C_f$  with multiplicity  $3k$ . In particular, points of type 3 or 6 are precisely inflection points or sextatic points, respectively. Choosing the points on type  $3k$  on  $C_f$  gives a section of  $\xi_n$  for various different  $n$ . We recall a classical fact:  $3k$  points  $P_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 3k$  on a cubic curve  $C$  are on another curve of order  $k$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^{3k} P_i = 0$  on the elliptic curve  $C$  with an inflection point chosen as identity. A proof of this fact can be found on page 392 in [3]. Therefore, points of type  $3k$  on  $C$  are precisely the  $3k$ -torsion points on  $C$  that are not  $3j$ -torsion points for any  $j < k$ , with an inflection point chosen as identity (also proved in Corollary 4.3 in [5]). Thus, the number of points of type  $3k$  on a cubic curve is

$$9k^2 \prod_{p|k, \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

Notice that the number above is always a multiple of 9, which is consistent with Theorem 1. Furthermore, by taking the sets of points of type  $3k$  or their unions, we can construct continuous sections to  $\xi_n$  when  $n/9 = 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, 24, \dots$  After Gattazzo's construction and Theorem 1, the smallest  $n$  for which we don't know whether a continuous section to  $\xi_n$  exists or not is  $n = 18$ .

Now we will make the definition of the fiber bundle  $\xi_n$  precise. Let  $\text{PConf}^n C_f$  denote the configuration space of  $n$  distinct *ordered* points on  $C_f$ :

$$\text{PConf}^n C_f := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in (C_f)^n : x_i \neq x_j \ \forall i \neq j\}.$$

Define

$$\widetilde{E}_n := \{(f, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X} \times (\mathbb{C}P^2)^n : x_i \in C_f \ \forall i \text{ and } x_i \neq x_j \ \forall i \neq j\}.$$

The projection  $\widetilde{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  gives a fiber bundle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{PConf}^n C_f & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{E}_n \\ & & \downarrow \widetilde{\xi}_n \\ & & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts freely on  $\widetilde{E}_n$  by permuting the  $n$  coordinates. Define  $E_n := \widetilde{E}_n / S_n$ . Since the bundle projection  $\widetilde{\xi}_n : \widetilde{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is invariant of  $S_n$ , it descends to  $\xi_n : E_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  as in (1.1). The fiber of  $\xi_n$  over  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  is precisely the *unordered* configuration space of  $C_f$

$$\mathrm{UConf}^n C_f := \mathrm{PConf}^n C_f / S_n.$$

The Lie group  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) / \mathbb{C}^\times$  acts on  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  by projective linear maps, and thus acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  by projective linear change of coordinates. Hence,  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  also acts on  $\widetilde{E}_n$  diagonally; this action projects to a  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -action on  $E_n$ . The bundle projection  $\xi_n : E_n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. However, a continuous section  $s$  of the bundle  $\xi_n$  does not have to be  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Suppose the bundle  $\xi_n$  has a continuous section  $s : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow E_n$ .

#### Step 1: $s$ induces a continuous map $\phi : \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{UConf}^n C_f$

Throughout the proof, we will fix a basepoint  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  to be the Fermat cubic:

$$f(x, y, z) = x^3 + y^3 + z^3.$$

If we choose a different basepoint in  $\mathcal{X}$ , the argument will go through with only small modification. See Remark 4 for an explanation. Recall from Section 2 that  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  acts on both  $E_n$  and  $\mathcal{X}$ . Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow E_n \\ g &\mapsto g \cdot s(g^{-1} \cdot f) \end{aligned}$$

where again  $E_n$  is the total space of the fiber bundle  $\xi_n$ . Notice that for any  $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n(\phi(g)) &= \xi_n(g \cdot s(g^{-1} \cdot f)) \\ &= g \cdot \xi_n(s(g^{-1} \cdot f)) && \text{since } \xi_n \text{ is } \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})\text{-equivariant} \\ &= g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot f) && \text{since } \xi_n \circ s = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the image of  $\phi$  is entirely in the fiber of  $\xi_n$  over  $f$ . Hence, we will simply consider  $\phi$  as a map  $\phi : \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{UConf}^n C_f$ .

Both the domain and the codomain of  $\phi$  are acted upon by a finite group  $\Gamma_f$ , the group of projective linear automorphisms of the Fermat cubic curve  $C_f$ :

$$\Gamma_f := \{g \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) : g \cdot f = f \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

$\Gamma_f$  acts on  $\mathrm{UConf}^n C_f$  since it acts on the curve  $C_f$ .  $\Gamma_f$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  and thus acts on  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  via multiplication from the left.

**Lemma 3.** *The map  $\phi : \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{UConf}^n C_f$  is  $\Gamma_f$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_f$  and any  $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\gamma g) &= (\gamma g) \cdot s(g^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \cdot f) \\ &= \gamma \cdot (g \cdot s(g^{-1} \cdot f)) && \text{since } \gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_f \text{ fixes } f \\ &= \gamma \cdot \phi(g)\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

Observe that  $\pi_1(\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  because we have  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  which is  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  modulo third roots of unity. On the other hand, Fadell-Neuwirth (Corollary 2.2 in [4]) proved that the ordered configuration space  $\mathrm{PConf}^n C_f$  (defined in Section 2) is aspherical. The same is true for  $\mathrm{UConf}^n C_f$ . So  $\pi_1(\mathrm{UConf}^n C_f)$  must be torsion free because the Eilenberg-MacLane space of any group with torsion must have infinite dimensions. Therefore,  $\phi : \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{UConf}^n C_f$  must induce a trivial map on fundamental groups. By lifting criterion, the map  $\phi$  can be lifted to a map  $\tilde{\phi}$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathrm{PConf}^n C_f \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\phi} & \downarrow S_n \\ \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathrm{UConf}^n C_f\end{array}$$

The natural  $\Gamma_f$ -action on  $\mathrm{PConf}^n C_f$  commutes with the  $S_n$  action.

**Step 2:  $\phi$  induces a group homomorphism  $\rho : \Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$ .**

The lift  $\tilde{\phi}$  may not be  $\Gamma_f$ -equivariant, but it projects down to a  $\Gamma_f$ -equivariant map  $\phi$  as in Lemma 3. Therefore, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_f$ , there exists a unique permutation  $\sigma_\gamma \in S_n$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma) = \sigma_\gamma(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(1))$ . Let  $\rho : \Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$  denote the function  $\gamma \mapsto \sigma_\gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.** (1) For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_f$  and any  $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(\gamma h) = \sigma_\gamma(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(h)). \quad (3.1)$$

(2) The function  $\rho : \Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$  is a group homomorphism.

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  is connected, we can take a path  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $p(0) = 1$  and  $p(1) = h$ . Now  $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma \cdot p(t))$  and  $\sigma_\gamma(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(p(t)))$  are paths in  $\mathrm{PConf}^n C_f$  that both lift the path  $\phi(\gamma \cdot p(t))$  in  $\mathrm{UConf}^n C_f$ , with the same starting point  $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma) = \sigma_\gamma(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(1))$  when  $t = 0$ . Thus they must end at the same point by the uniqueness of path lifting.

(2) Take any  $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma_f$ . On one hand,

$$\tilde{\phi}(\beta\gamma) = \sigma_{\beta\gamma}(\beta\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(1)).$$

On the other hand, by (3.1)

$$\tilde{\phi}(\beta\gamma) = \sigma_\beta(\beta \cdot \tilde{\phi}(\gamma)) = \sigma_\beta(\beta \cdot \sigma_\gamma(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(1))) = \sigma_\beta \sigma_\gamma(\beta\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(1))$$

where the last equality follows because the  $S_n$ -action commutes with the  $\Gamma_f$ -action on  $\mathrm{PConf}^n C_f$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_\beta \sigma_\gamma = \sigma_{\beta\gamma}$ .  $\square$

It follows from Lemma 4 that the homomorphism  $\rho$  is trivial if and only if the lift  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $\Gamma_f$ -equivariant. Therefore, the group homomorphism  $\rho : \Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$  measures the failure of the lift  $\tilde{\phi}$  to be  $\Gamma_f$ -equivariant.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\text{Proj}_i : \text{PConf}^n C_f \rightarrow C_f$  denote the projection onto the  $i$ -th coordinate. Consider the action of  $\Gamma_f$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  given by  $\rho : \Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$ . For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_f$  and any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , if  $\sigma_\gamma$  fixes  $i$ , then the composition  $\text{Proj}_i \circ \tilde{\phi}$

$$\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \text{PConf}^n C_f \xrightarrow{\text{Proj}_i} C_f$$

is equivariant with respect to  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* Given any  $h \in \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ , Lemma 4 gives that  $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma \cdot h) = \sigma_\gamma(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(h))$ . Thus, whenever  $\sigma_\gamma(i) = i$ , we have

$$\text{Proj}_i \circ \tilde{\phi}(\gamma \cdot h) = \text{Proj}_i \left( \sigma_\gamma(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(h)) \right) = \text{Proj}_i(\gamma \cdot \tilde{\phi}(h)) = \gamma \cdot \text{Proj}_i(\tilde{\phi}(h)). \quad \square$$

**Remark 2 (Interpretation of the group homomorphism  $\rho$  as monodromy).** The above construction of the group homomorphism  $\rho$  from a continuous section  $s$  appears to be ad hoc. We now briefly sketch a more conceptual but less direct construction of  $\rho$  to illustrate that  $\rho$  is a natural object to consider for finding obstructions to sections. We do not need this equivalent construction of  $\rho$  anywhere in the proof.

A continuous section  $s$  produces a cover of  $\mathcal{X}$  of degree  $n$ , where the fiber over each curve is the set of  $n$  points on the curve chosen by  $s$ . The monodromy representation of this cover gives a group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow S_n.$$

By restricting the monodromy representation to the  $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of the Fermat curve

$$\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})/\Gamma_f \approx \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \cdot f \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

we obtain a group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})/\Gamma_f) \rightarrow S_n.$$

This homomorphism factors through the quotient  $\pi_1(\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})/\Gamma_f) \rightarrow \Gamma_f$ , making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})/\Gamma_f) & \longrightarrow & S_n \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Gamma_f & & \end{array}$$

The induced homomorphism  $\Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$  is conjugate to  $\rho$ .

**Step 3:  $n$  must be a multiple of 9.** The homomorphism  $\rho : \Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$  gives an action of  $\Gamma_f$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . In the final step, we will show that  $\Gamma_f$  contains a subgroup  $K$  of order 9 that acts freely. Thus,  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a disjoint union of orbits of  $K$ , each of size 9. In particular,  $n$  must be a multiple of 9.

**Lemma 6.** *There are two commuting elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\Gamma_f$  such that*

- (1) *the subgroup  $K := \langle a, b \rangle \leq \Gamma_f$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ .*
- (2)  *$a$  and  $b$  act on  $C_f$  as translations of order 3.*

**Remark 3.** Lemma 6 was mentioned without proof in [1], first paragraph of Section 4. We include a brief proof here to make this paper complete and self-contained.

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two elements in  $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  that are represented by the following two matrices:

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i/3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{4\pi i/3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The commutator of the two matrices is in the center of  $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus,  $a$  and  $b$  commute in  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Both elements are of order 3 and preserve the Fermat cubic  $f : x^3 + y^3 + z^3$ . Thus, (1) is established.

We first claim that  $a$  acts on  $C_f$  via translation. In general, every morphism of an elliptic curve is a composition of a translation and a group homomorphism. Thus, it suffices to show that the induced map  $a_* \in \mathrm{Aut}(H_1(C_f, \mathbb{Z})) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is identity. A straightforward computation shows that the automorphism  $a$  on  $C_f$  does not have any fixed point. Thus, by the Lefschetz fixed point theorem,  $a_*$  must have trace 2. Now  $a_*$  is a finite order element in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of trace 2, and therefore must be identity.

The exact same argument applies to  $b$ . □

**Lemma 7.** *For any  $\gamma \in K = \langle a, b \rangle$ , if there is a continuous  $\gamma$ -equivariant map  $\psi : \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_f$ , then  $\gamma$  must be the identity.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\psi : \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_f$  must induce a trivial map on fundamental groups since  $\pi_1(\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\pi_1(C_f) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Thus we can lift  $\psi$  to  $\tilde{\psi}$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{R}^2 \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\psi} & \downarrow p \\ \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & C_f \end{array}$$

$\gamma \in K$  is a translation of the torus  $C_f$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the unique translation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\psi}(1)) = \tilde{\psi}(\gamma).$$

We claim that for any  $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\psi}(h)) = \tilde{\psi}(\gamma h). \quad (3.2)$$

Take a path  $\mu(t)$  in  $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\mu(0) = 1$  and  $\mu(1) = h$ . The two paths  $\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\psi}(\mu(t)))$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(\gamma \cdot \mu(t))$  are both lifts of the path  $\gamma(\psi(\mu(t))) = \psi(\gamma \cdot \mu(t))$  starting at the same point  $\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\psi}(1)) = \tilde{\psi}(\gamma)$ . Thus they must end at the same point by the uniqueness of path lifting, giving that  $\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\psi}(h)) = \tilde{\psi}(\gamma h)$ .

Applying (3.2) three times, we have

$$\tilde{\gamma}^3(\tilde{\psi}(1)) = \tilde{\psi}(\gamma^3) = \tilde{\psi}(1).$$

Thus,  $\tilde{\gamma}^3$  must be the trivial translation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and so is  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . In this case (3.2) gives that  $\tilde{\psi}(1) = \tilde{\psi}(\gamma)$ , and thus  $\psi(1) = \psi(\gamma) = \gamma \cdot \psi(1)$ .  $\gamma$  is a translation of the torus  $C_f$  that has a fixed point  $\psi(1)$ , and therefore must be the identity map. □

Recall that the group homomorphism  $\rho : \Gamma_f \rightarrow S_n$  gives an action of  $\Gamma_f$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Now Lemma 5 and Lemma 7 together imply that the subgroup  $K \leq \Gamma_f$  acts freely on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Thus,  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  decomposes to a disjoint union of  $K$ -orbits of size 9. In particular,  $n$  must be a multiple of 9. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Remark 4 (What if we choose a different basepoint?).** The choice of the Fermat cubic curve  $f : x^3 + y^3 + z^3$  as a basepoint of  $\mathcal{X}$  allows us to explicitly write down matrices for  $a$  and  $b$  in Lemma 6. If a different basepoint  $h \in \mathcal{X}$  is chosen, the argument will go through with only minor modification. There is an element  $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  that brings  $h$  to be in the *Hesse form*:  $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + \lambda xyz$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  (see e.g. Lemma 1 in [1]). One can check that  $a$  and  $b$  constructed in Lemma 6 act as translations on any smooth cubic curve in the Hesse form. Thus  $gag^{-1}$  and  $gbg^{-1}$  act on  $C_h$  as translations. Now the argument goes through by replacing  $a$  and  $b$  by their  $g$ -conjugates.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artebani and I. Dolgachev, The Hesse pencil of cubic plane curves, *Enseign. Math.*, **55**, 3/4 (2009), 235-273.
- [2] A. Cayley, On the Sextactic Points of a Plane Curve, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **155** (1865), 545-578.
- [3] A. Clebsch: *Leçons sur la géométrie*, tome II, Paris 1880.
- [4] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, Configuration spaces *Math. Scand.* 10 (1962), 111-118.
- [5] R. Gattazzo, Points of type 9 on an elliptic cubic. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **61** (1979), 285–301.