

Robust weak antilocalization due to spin-orbital entanglement in Dirac material Sr_3SnO

H. Nakamura,^{1,*} D. Huang,¹ J. Merz,¹ E. Khalaf,^{1,2} P. Ostrovsky,^{1,3} A. Yaresko,¹ D. Samal,^{4,5} and H. Takagi^{1,6,7}

¹Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

²Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138, USA

³L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics RAS, 119334 Moscow, Russia

⁴Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar 751005, India

⁵Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai 400085, India

⁶Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 113-0033 Tokyo, Japan

⁷Institute for Functional Matter and Quantum Technologies, University of Stuttgart, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

The presence of both inversion (P) and time-reversal (T) symmetries in solids leads to a well-known double degeneracy of the electronic bands (Kramers degeneracy). When the degeneracy is lifted, spin textures can be directly observed in momentum space, as in topological insulators or in strong Rashba materials. The existence of spin textures with Kramers degeneracy, however, is very difficult to observe directly. Here, we use quantum interference measurements combined with first-principle band structure calculations to provide evidence for the existence of hidden entanglement between spin and momentum in the antiperovskite-type 3D Dirac material Sr_3SnO . We find robust weak antilocalization (WAL) independent of the position of E_F . The observed WAL signal at low doping is fitted using a single interference channel, which implies that the different Dirac valleys are mixed by disorder. Notably, this mixing does not suppress WAL, suggesting contrasting interference physics compared to graphene. We identify scattering among axially spin-momentum locked states as a key process that leads to a spin-orbital entanglement, giving rise to robust WAL. Our work sheds light on the subtle role of spin and pseudospin, when both could contribute to the same quantum effect.

Electronic systems with Dirac or Weyl dispersion are characterized by pseudospin degrees of freedom [1–3], whose existence can be detected by techniques sensitive to the phase of the electron wavefunction. In magnetotransport measurements, the phase can be probed via the quantum interference of electron waves that occurs between electrons traveling the same closed path in opposite directions. For normal electrons with weak spin-orbit coupling, the interference causes weak localization (WL). The presence of a Berry curvature in momentum space may lead to an extra phase shift of π for such closed trajectories, resulting in weak antilocalization (WAL), as demonstrated for graphene [4–8]. This phase shift is a direct consequence of pseudospin-momentum locking. Magnetic field breaks time-reversal symmetry required for the interference, thus providing a sensitive probe for the quantum interference: a positive (negative) magnetoconductance follows as a result of WL (WAL).

The role of the valley degrees of freedom in quantum interference effects has been extensively studied in graphene [4–6], and also recently, in other systems including Weyl semimetals [9, 10] and transition metal dichalcogenides [11, 12]. For graphene, scattering between different valleys scrambles the pseudospin information and causes the system to revert back to WL (Fig.1a). More specifically, intervalley scattering causes a crossover from the symplectic time-reversal symmetry, which characterizes the emergent degrees of freedom in individual valleys (pseudospin), to orthogonal time-reversal symmetry, which characterizes the microscopic degrees of freedom (real spin). The underlying reason for this phenomenon is that the real spin does not play a significant role in graphene due to negligible spin-orbit interactions; the spin retains full rotational symmetry.

In contrast to graphene, Dirac materials with heavy elements may possess strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC) that

could lead to broken spin symmetry. As a result, antilocalization in a Dirac semimetal may persist in the presence of intervalley scattering. Thus, one cannot deduce the degrees of freedom responsible for quantum interference from the sign of the magnetoconductance alone. Instead, WAL can be attributed to one of the two distinct scenarios: (i) pseudospin-momentum locking within isolated Weyl-Dirac nodes or (ii) real spin-momentum locking due to SOC. Despite the recent experimental observation of antilocalization in magnetotransport measurements for Weyl/Dirac semimetals [13–19], the distinction between the aforementioned scenarios, which entails an understanding of the role of the valley degrees of freedom, has not been clarified. Elucidating the origin of the observed antilocalization and the role of pseudospin and valley degrees of freedom is the main objective of this work.

The band degeneracy induced by the existence of inversion (P) and time-reversal (T) symmetries [20] in the absence of spin-rotation symmetry makes spin-orbit coupled Dirac materials unique in comparison to both graphene, where spin is conserved, and Weyl semimetals such as TaAs [21–24], where the band degeneracy is lifted due to the broken T or P symmetries. Unlike in graphene, a global momentum-independent spin operator commuting with the Hamiltonian cannot be defined for a Dirac material with strong SOC. As a result, the electron spin is entangled to its momentum in a sense that will be explained in detail in this work. Such a “hidden” spin-momentum entanglement is challenging to observe in spin-resolved ARPES measurements [26] due to the existence of both spin states at every momentum. The situation is very different in Weyl semimetals in which a spin texture could be readily measured due to lifted degeneracy. Quantum interference measurements are ideally suited to detect such hidden entanglement in Dirac materials, since WAL is expected whenever spin symmetry is broken, regardless of the existence




FIG. 1. **a** Pseudospin-momentum locking induces a π phase shift for the two electron trajectories (antilocalization). Intervalley mixing causes weak localization for a system with spin-rotational symmetry. A Dirac system with strong spin-orbit coupling could give rise to antilocalization when valleys are mixed since spin-rotational symmetry is broken. **b** Antiperovskite structure of Sr_3SnO . The anion (O) is surrounded by cations (Sr), unlike in normal perovskites, where anion and cation positions are exchanged. **c** Highly schematic diagram showing band inversion of Sr and Sn bands, which produces Dirac nodes along Γ -X momentum directions. **d** Two of the six Dirac pockets which form under moderate hole doping are shown. The arrows represent pseudospins consisting of wavefunctions originating mainly from Sn p states and Sr d states.

of PT symmetry.

Here, we perform a systematic study of the quantum interference effects in a 3D SOC Dirac material Sr_3SnO as a function of doping, which tunes the Fermi energy measured from one of the Dirac nodes ($E_F = -30$ meV to -180 meV). We found dominant negative magnetoconductance (MC) from antilocalization for films at all hole dopings. The magnetoconductance for the lowest $|E_F|$ could be fit to a theoretical model assuming a single interference channel, suggesting that the multiple Dirac valleys are mixed. The observed WAL is thus ascribed




FIG. 2. **a** Band diagram of Sr_3SnO obtained from first-principles calculations. **b** The enlarged band diagrams near Dirac nodes. The large arrows indicate the location of Dirac nodes.

to the coupling of real spin, rather than pseudospin, to momentum. Scattering among states with orthogonal spin quantization axes are responsible for WAL. This scenario describes the robustness of WAL in the whole E_F range studied experimentally.

Sr_3SnO is a member of the family of 3D Dirac materials with antiperovskite structure [27–30, 32–36]. In this material, six Dirac nodes located along the equivalent Γ -X directions (due to cubic symmetry) form via the band inversion of the Sr $4d$ and Sn $5d$ bands (Fig.1c). For a lightly hole-doped case, this results in the presence of six Fermi pockets. The chirality for each valley can be defined using two bases composed mainly of Sn $5p$ and Sr $4d$ states for Sr_3SnO , as shown schematically in Fig. 1d.

The first-principles calculations[37] for Sr_3SnO are shown in Fig.2a and Fig.2b. The result indicates two sets of Dirac electrons for Sr_3SnO : one with a small gap (D1) common to other antiperovskites[27–30], and the other (D2) without a mass gap (Fig. 2b). The second Dirac points (D2) is found to be strictly protected by symmetry even after the inclusion of SOC, which was also discovered in a related material Ba_3SnO [36]. Both of these Dirac nodes (D1 and D2) are located along the Γ -X lines in the Brillouin zone with six copies of each due to cubic symmetry.

Sr_3SnO films were grown by a molecular beam epitaxy [32]. The growth was performed on YSZ (yttria stabilized ZrO_2) substrates at a substrate temperature of 450°C . The grown films were single-crystalline with no impurity phase in the X-ray diffraction (see Supplemental Material [37]). We grew and analyzed in total 13 films, with thicknesses $d=50$ - 300 nm. The carrier densities and mobilities obtained from the Hall effect at 10 K were $1.7 - 13 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$ and 18 - 270 cm^2/Vs . The sign of the Hall effect was always positive, indicating that all the films were hole doped. By adjusting the Sr/Sn ratio during the growth, the hole density n_p was controlled, which allowed systematic tuning of E_F (-30 to -180 meV). These E_F values were obtained by integrating the density of states from first-principles calculation until it matched experimental hole densities n_p .

Fig. 3 shows differential MR for two representative samples with different thicknesses (105 nm and 50 nm). A mag-




FIG. 3. Angle dependent MR of Sr_3SnO taken at low temperatures. The MR is normalized with resistance at zero magnetic field. **a** MR for 105 nm-thick film and **b** 50 nm-thick film for a wide field range ($B > 9$ T). The inset in **a** shows the orientation of current (\mathbf{I}) and magnetic field (\mathbf{B}) with respect to thin film (shown as a slab). **c** Zoom-in of the low-field MR indicated with dashed square in **a**. **d** The low field MR for the 50 nm-thick film at 2 K. The inset of **d** show MR at 0.42 K, in which the qualitative difference between the data for different angles remain unchanged compared to that of 2 K.

netic field was applied perpendicular ($\theta = 90^\circ$) or parallel to the current ($\theta = 0^\circ$). We observed a clear positive MR in the low-field region for both thick and thin films, which we attribute to weak antilocalization (Fig. 3a,b). Notably, for the 105nm-thick film, the low-field MR ($B < 0.5$ T) was insensitive to the relative angle between the magnetic field and the current direction (Fig. 3c), which suggests that the WAL is three dimensional in origin. In contrast, for the thinner film ($d = 50$ nm), although wide-field MR is very similar for the two orientations (Fig. 3b), the in-plane WAL has a broader lineshape than the out-of-plane WAL in a low-field magnified plot (Fig. 3d). This makes sense, because we expect a flat line in the pure 2D limit[38]. Thus, we interpret that the films with thickness $d \sim 100$ nm or greater are in a 3D regime for localization, whereas those with $d \sim 50$ nm are in a quasi-2D regime. We note that 50 nm is currently the thinnest film we can achieve without degradation.

The magnetoconductance, $\Delta\sigma$, taken for Sr_3SnO films with different carrier densities is shown in Fig. 4a-d. In these plots, $\Delta\sigma$ measured in units of $e^2/\pi^2 h \mu\text{m}^{-1}$, where h is the Planck constant and e is unit charge. WAL appears as a sharp peak around zero field at low temperatures, and is seen for the whole range of carrier density. A negative magnetoconductance proportional to B^2 is observed at higher fields. This contribution dominates the entire field range at higher temperatures ($T > 100$ K), and originates from classical orbital effects due to the Lorentz force. In addition, $\Delta\sigma$ develops clear positive slopes in intermediate field region for larger n ,

which is especially pronounced at the highest doping levels (Fig. 4c,d). We attribute this to a crossover to WL from the low-field WAL.

The following equation describes the field dependence of $\Delta\sigma$ [37]:

$$\Delta\sigma(B) = N \frac{e^2}{4\pi h l_B} \left[2\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l^2} \right) + \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right) - 3\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + 4 \frac{l_B^2}{l_{\text{SO}}^2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here, N is the number of independent interference channels, ζ is the Hurwitz zeta function, and B is the magnetic field. The length scales $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/4eB}$, l , l_ϕ , and l_{SO} denote the magnetic length, the mean free path, the phase coherence length, and the spin-orbit scattering length, respectively. Eq. 1 is an extension of the Hikami-Larkin-Nagaoka theory [39] of weak (anti)localization to 3D, and the spin-orbit-free WL formula ($l_{\text{SO}}^{-1} = 0$) derived by Kawabata [40, 41]. We also note that the strong SOC limit ($l_{\text{SO}}^{-1} = \infty$) of Eq. 1 coincides with a formula used to describe WAL in Weyl semimetals [9]. The prefactor N corresponds to a valley degeneracy factor in the limit of negligible intervalley scattering [42–44]. The derivation of Eq. 1 is provided in Supplementary Material[37].

To analyze the experimental MC, we consider the lowest magnetic fields when $l_B \gg l, l_{\text{SO}}$. As we discuss in detail in the Supplementary Material[37], the second zeta function in Eq. 1 becomes the dominant term:

$$\Delta\sigma(B) \sim N \frac{e^2}{4\pi h l_B} \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right). \quad (2)$$

Hence, the low-field data are fitted by a function with only two independent parameters: number of channels N , and dephasing length l_ϕ . The results of the fit using Eq. 2 are shown in Fig. 4e-o. From the estimate of the mean free path for each sample ($l=0.9\text{-}4.4$ nm), the low-field regime used for the fit was chosen to be $B < 0.1\text{-}0.5$ T ($l_B = 41\text{-}18$ nm), corresponding to l_B approximately a factor of 10 larger than l . The SOC length l_{SO} is less straightforward to estimate, especially for low n_p samples which do not show any high-field crossover from WAL to WL, to which l_{SO} is linked. By using a full formula (Eq. 1), we estimate $l_{\text{SO}} < 35$ nm by an analysis made for higher n_p data. This is comparable to l_B , and may indicate that we are at the boundary of applicability of Eq. 2 at higher n_p . Nevertheless, the fit based on Eq. 2 reproduces the low-field part of the experimental MC data perfectly (Fig. 4e-o), underscoring that the signal originates from WAL as described by Eq. 2. The number of quantum channels extracted from the analysis evolves with carrier density (Fig. 4r). At low n_p , N takes values close to 1. At higher n_p , however, larger N values of between 2 and 3 are deduced. For quasi-2D films, 2D WL/WAL analysis using a HLN formula[39] also gave N approaching 1 at low n (Fig. 4p). For completeness, the anal-




FIG. 4. Carrier density evolution of the magnetoconductance in Sr₃SnO thin films. **a-d** Wide-field ($|B| < 14$ T) MC for four samples with different carrier densities. The Fermi energy estimated from the carrier density (see text) is shown for each graph. Magnetic field orientations are **a** $B \perp I$ and **b-d** $B \parallel I$. Low-field MC for 3D films in the **e-j** low carrier density regime and **e-j** high carrier density regime. Experimental data are shown in open circles, whereas theoretical fits are shown in solid lines. Each panel represents a different sample. **p,q** Low-field MC for quasi-2D films ($B \perp I$), in which the theoretical fits are performed based on a 2D (HLN) formula. **r** The number of channels (N) as a function of carrier density. The average value of N for the two different N regimes are shown accompanied with dotted lines. The gray line is a guide to the eye.

ysis of quasi-2D films based on the 3D formula is given in the Supplementary Materials[37].




FIG. 5. Evolution of Fermi surface in Sr_3SnO with E_F obtained by first-principles calculation. Two different Dirac nodes D1 and D2 appear successively in Sr_3SnO .

The evolution of the Fermi surface as a function of E_F derived from the first-principles calculation is shown in Fig. 5. The E_F is measured from the top of the valence band. This corresponds to the energy distance from the first Dirac nodes (D1). At very low E_F (-5 meV), D1 forms separate Dirac valleys as expected. With small doping ($E_F = -25$ meV), which approximately corresponds to the lowest n in our experiment, another set of trivial electron pockets, which are separated from D1, emerge. Further doping merges these pockets together and forms a large Fermi sphere centered at the Γ point, while small D2 pockets appear. For $E_F = -180$ meV, which corresponds to the highest n studied in this work, two large Fermi surfaces and a small Fermi surface are found, all centered at Γ point.

By comparing the evolutions of N and band structure with hole doping, we arrive at the following dichotomy: At low n_p , $N \sim 1$. This implies that the six Dirac valleys and the trivial electron pockets are all mixed by scattering. Beyond a critical doping of $n_c = 3 - 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, however, N clusters between 2 and 3 (average of 2.4). This implies that the new Fermi pockets which emerge beyond n_c do not fully mix with the preexisting pockets in the presence of disorder, but instead contribute to additional quantum interference channels. Generally, intraorbital scattering dominates interorbital scattering [48, 49], and if the new pockets have different orbital characters, then scattering with the preexisting pockets may be suppressed. The non-integer value of $N \sim 2.4$ may be explained as follows: (1) There is small but non-negligible interorbital scattering, such that the new pockets do not form a fully independent interference channel, and/or (2) the different channels have different sets of parameters, requiring a more complicated fit. From here on, we focus solely on the physics in the low n_p regime, where $N \sim 1$.

The phase coherence lengths l_ϕ extracted from the fits are plotted in Fig. 6 for films with two different thicknesses ($d = 200$ nm and 50 nm). Both films had a low Hall carrier density of $n_p = 1.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The temperature dependence of l_ϕ in general reflects the dephasing due to inelastic scattering. Theoretically, it follows $l_\phi \propto T^{-p/2}$ [50, 51] ($\tau_\phi \propto T^{-p}$), where p depends on the dephasing mechanism. In three dimensions, $p=3/2$ for electron-electron interactions




FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of phase coherence length in Sr_3SnO obtained from localization analysis. Filled symbols represent data for a 200-nm-thick film analyzed by the 3D localization formula, whereas open symbols represent those for a 50-nm-thick film analyzed by the 3D formula and 2D formula (HLN formula). Error bars are estimated at the lowest temperature for each data. For the 50 nm film, the error was comparable or smaller than the size of the open symbols. Theory predicts dephasing from electron-electron interaction to follow $l_\phi = T^{-0.75}$ in 3D systems (upper dashed line), while $l_\phi = T^{-0.5}$ in 2D systems (lower dashed line).

and $p=3$ for electron-phonon interactions [50, 51]. However, note that the latter is for a clean limit, and for a disordered system, predicted values of p ranges from 2 to 4 [52]. In 2D, dephasing at low temperatures is dominated by electron-electron interactions and $p=1$. From Fig. 6, it can be seen that l_ϕ increases with decreasing T , with exponents -0.75 ($p = 3/2$) and -0.5 ($p = 1$) for 3D and quasi-2D films, respectively. This suggests that electron-electron interactions could be a dominant dephasing mechanism in both cases, although we note that dephasing due to electron-phonon interactions in a disor-

dered 3D system is not well understood[52]. Below 5 K, there is a change in exponent, followed by a possible saturation of l_ϕ around 0.5 K. Regarding a possible saturation in l_ϕ at low temperatures, in general, it could be attributed to a dephasing which is constant in T . Such a source of dephasing includes magnetic spin-spin scattering process [53–56], but many other mechanisms have also been discussed[52].

The experimental results demonstrate extremely robust antilocalization. It not only survives strong intervalley scattering inferred from the valley parameter $N=1$, but it is also observed at high carrier densities where the different pockets are merged and the non-Dirac bands dominate. The role of real spin in antilocalization needs to be considered to describe this observation.

To this end, we refer to the microscopic wave functions realized in Sr_3SnO , whose character is depicted in Fig. 7a. Here, the z axis points toward one of the X directions in the Brillouin zone. We assume a lightly hole-doped situation in which small Dirac pockets form. In this setup, the $p_x \pm ip_y$ states originating from Sn $5p$ orbitals forms the "north pole" of the Dirac pocket. The "south pole" is formed by Sr $4d$. Because of PT symmetry, all of these states are doubly degenerate. However, we find that the spin direction cannot be free as in the case for graphene.

We first describe the situation for the north pole. The wave functions are

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\uparrow}, +\rangle &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\text{Sn } p_x \uparrow\rangle + i |\text{Sn } p_y \uparrow\rangle), \\ |\tilde{\uparrow}, -\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\text{Sn } p_x \downarrow\rangle - i |\text{Sn } p_y \downarrow\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here, $\tilde{\uparrow}$ is the chirality (up), the indices \pm denotes a pair of states related by PT symmetry, and \uparrow, \downarrow is spin. These are $m_j = \pm 3/2$ ($J = 3/2$) states. The key observation is that the quantization axis of orbital angular momentum, l , is fixed to the direction of momentum, k . This is because the splitting between $m_j = \pm 3/2$ and $m_j = \pm 1/2$ states of Sn $5p$ orbitals at finite k breaks the rotational symmetry of $J = 3/2$. The breaking of the rotational symmetry of J , in turn, locks the quantization axis of spin via SOC, thus aligning s parallel to momentum (Fig. 7a). Thus, although the double degeneracy still allows both spin-up and -down states to exist at a k point, there is hidden locking between the spin-quantization axis and momentum in the north pole which is axial in nature. This means that any superposition of the two states in (3) (which represents spin pointing in a generic direction) will have strong spin-orbital entanglement, although each of the two states is a product of a spin part and an orbital part and is thus unentangled.

For the south pole, the situation is drastically different. Here, the wavefunction is a superposition of three $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals centered at three different Sr sites in an antiperovskite unit cell (Sr1, Sr2, and Sr3), whose principal axes are pointing

in three orthogonal [100] directions[27]:

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\downarrow}, \pm\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|\text{Sr1 } d_{y^2-z^2} \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\text{Sr2 } d_{z^2-x^2} \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \\ &\quad - 2 |\text{Sr3 } d_{x^2-y^2} \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here, $\tilde{\downarrow}$ is the chirality (down), and spin (\uparrow, \downarrow) in this case does not have fixed orientation with respect to the orbitals. Note that the dominant amplitude is provided by one of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals (Sr3), whose principal axis is parallel to $k_z \parallel [001]$. For simplicity, we use this function to display the south pole state in Fig. 7a. For this state, SOC is weak because this orbital does not form a basis for the orbital angular momentum, l . This means that the spin direction is free in the south pole, retaining an approximate spin-rotational symmetry as schematically shown in Fig. 7a. As a result, any superposition of the states (4) is unentangled.

Based on these considerations of the microscopic wavefunctions, we propose that intervalley scattering gives rise to spin-orbital entanglement for the states at the north pole, which is responsible for the WAL observed even when the symmetry related to chirality in individual valleys is lost by valley mixing. The essence of this process is captured by considering a scattering between valleys in orthogonal Γ -X directions. As shown in Fig. 7b, this type of intervalley scattering forces rotation of the spin-quantization axis when states at north poles are involved. As a result, a north pole state for which spin and orbitals are not entangled will become entangled once it is scattered to a north pole state in a different Dirac pocket. It is interesting to note that such spin-orbital entanglement is not introduced when we only consider scattering between a pair of Dirac pockets on the same momentum axis, because the spin-quantization axis remains uniaxial.

The mechanism is readily extended to situations where Dirac pockets are merged. Even in such cases (as shown in Fig. 5), some part of the bands could still have strong $m_j = \pm 3/2$ character from Sn orbitals, especially in the direction along Γ -X. Therefore, scattering which involves such states still could break spin-rotational symmetry and WAL could follow.

Before closing, we make a few remarks regarding possible future extensions of the current work. The crossover between spin-dominated to pseudospin-dominated antilocalization should be observed in the clean limit with negligible intervalley scattering by improving the quality of film. One expects significant enhancement of the antilocalization signal as we approach the clean (pseudospin-dominated) limit due to an increase in the valley factor, N . Such crossover may involve a few steps if only a specific pair of valleys (e.g. those along the same Γ -X line) mix[57]. Evaluation of valley factors in other Dirac-Weyl semimetals with different numbers of valleys will be useful to clarify the role of orbitals in scattering-induced (pseudo)spin rotation. Spin-flip impurity scattering was studied in detail for conventional metals and semiconductors[58–63], but little is known about its effects in Dirac-Weyl semimetals. Realistic calculations including the




FIG. 7. Orbital and spin character contributing to the Dirac pockets. **a** Magnified view of one of the Dirac pockets. The principal axis of the orbital is locked parallel to momentum for both the north and south poles. In addition, strong spin-orbit interaction locks the spin quantization axis at the north pole. **b** Intervalley scattering under strong locking of the spin-quantization axis and momentum. Rotation of the spin-quantization axis is expected for scattering between north pole states in Dirac pockets that lie along orthogonal Γ -X lines (dashed lines). Spin-momentum entanglement follows from such intervalley scattering processes.

orbital nature of the Dirac-Weyl nodes could thus provide a guideline to utilize such effects in spin transport and other spin-related phenomena.

In conclusion, we have performed a systematic study of quantum interference in a 3D Dirac material with strong SOC across a range of hole carrier concentrations. We observed robust WAL, whose mechanism is distinct from that found in Dirac semimetals with weak SOC (e.g., graphene) or Weyl semimetals with broken P or T symmetries. Our fitting results from the magnetoconductance data reveal that the number of independent interference channels N is greatly reduced by intervalley scattering. This implies that the observed WAL cannot be attributed to the chirality of Dirac electrons, like in graphene, since the pseudospin degree of freedom associated with an isolated valley is quenched. Instead, we propose that a locking of the quantization axis of the real spin along the axial momentum direction in each Dirac pocket can induce spin-orbital entanglement in the presence of intervalley scattering, thereby restoring WAL. Our measurements thus demonstrate the ability of quantum interference to detect hidden textures in the spin-quantization axis that are invisible to conventional spin-resolved probes. Our work also sheds light on the interplay between real spin and pseudospin in a multivalley Dirac system with strong SOC, which may be manifest in other quantum phenomena, such as spin/pseudospin (Klein) tunneling and the spin/valley Hall effect.

METHODS

First principles band calculations

Self-consistent band structure calculations were performed using the lin-

ear muffin-tin orbital (LMTO) method[64] as well as the Wien2k package[65] and consistency of the results has been confirmed. The LMTO method adopted the atomic sphere approximation (ASA) as implemented in the PY LMTO computer code[64]. The Perdew-Wang parameterization[66] was used to construct the exchange correlation potential in the local density approximation (LDA). Relativistic effects including SOC were taken into account by solving the Dirac equations inside atomic spheres. For the calculation using Wien2k[65], the generalized gradient approximation as parameterized by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof[67] was used to describe the exchange-correlation potential. We used atomic sphere radii (R_{MT}) of 2.33 (Sr), 2.50 (Sn), 2.33 (O) and $R_{\text{MT}}K_{\text{max}} = 9.0$, where K_{max} is the plane-wave cut off parameter in the interstitial region outside the atomic spheres. Momentum meshes of $100 \times 100 \times 100$ in the whole Brillouin zone are employed in the self-consistent calculations. Spin-orbit interactions are included using a second-variational method. The orbital character of bands is examined using the result of LMTO code and was in agreement with earlier theoretical works [27, 28].

MBE growth

Antiperovskite Sr_3SnO was grown by a custom-made molecular beam epitaxy system (Eiko, Japan) at 450°C [32]. A SrO buffer layer (10 nm) was grown on YSZ substrate at $500\text{-}600^\circ\text{C}$ prior to the deposition of the antiperovskite film. The elemental flux was controlled to be in the range of 0.015-0.024 $\text{\AA}/\text{s}$ (Sn) and 0.29-0.30 $\text{\AA}/\text{s}$ (Sr) as monitored by quartz crystal microbalance. Diluted oxygen gas (2% in Ar) was introduced using a leak valve. A computer-controlled sequence was used to regulate shutters and oxygen leak valves to separate the oxygen flux from the Sr and Sn fluxes. The main chamber pressure during the introduction of Ar-O₂ gas was 1.3×10^{-3} Pa, while the background pressure at the deposition temperature was $1\text{-}2 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa. Films with different carrier densities (n) were obtained by adjusting the Sr/Sn flux ratio during the MBE growth. The doping is likely induced by Sr deficiencies: a higher Sr/Sn ratio yielded lower n and the positive sign of the Hall effect (indicating hole as a carrier) is consistent with cation vacancies.

Assuming the chemical formula $\text{Sr}_{3-x}\text{SnO}$ and that one Sr vacancy provides two holes, the observed n corresponds to $x=0.0016-0.0094$.

Sample preparation and characterization

After the growth, the film was transferred in vacuum to an Ar glovebox for contact deposition and capping. For XRD, a gold film (80nm) was deposited uniformly on the film. For transport measurements, Apiezon-N grease was put on the film surface after depositing gold contacts, but avoiding part of the gold contacts which was later used for electrical connections. The electrical connection was made by wire bonding in air just before installing to PPMS. For some of the films, the connection was made by a silver paste inside the Ar glove box. The films were characterized by a high resolution four-circle X-ray diffraction system (in-house). Typical XRD $2\theta-\theta$ scans and reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) image are shown in Fig. S1 of the Supplementary Material. No impurity peaks are observed in XRD except for those related to the gold capping layer and substrate. Furthermore, RHEED images match the expected in-plane structure of films and indicate an atomically smooth surface. Relatively weak (00*l*) peaks with odd *l* for Sr_3SnO reflect a structure factor. From the position of the (003) peaks in XRD, we obtain the lattice constant of the film: $a=5.13 \text{ \AA}$ (Sr_3SnO). The value is very close to those reported for bulk crystals[31]: $a=5.139 \text{ \AA}$ (Sr_3SnO).

* hnakamur@uark.edu; present address: Department of Physics, University of Arkansas, AR 72701, USA

- [1] D. Pesin and A. H. MacDonald, Nat. Mater. **11**, 409 (2012).
- [2] A. A. Burkov, Nat. Mater. **15**, 1145 (2016).
- [3] A. Manchon, H. C. Koo, J. Nitta, S. M. Frolov and R. A. Duine, Nat. Mater. **14**, 872 (2012).
- [4] H. Suzuura and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 266603 (2002).
- [5] A. F. Morpurgo and F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 196804 (2006).
- [6] E. McCann, K. Kechedzhi, V. I. Falko, H. Suzuura, T. Ando, and B. L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 146805 (2006).
- [7] S.V. Morozov, K. S. Novoselov, M. I. Katsnelson, F. Schedin, L. A. Ponomarenko, D. Jiang, and A. K. Geim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 016801 (2006).
- [8] F.V. Tikhonenko, A. A. Kozikov, A. K. Savchenko, and R.V. Gorbachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 226801 (2009).
- [9] H. Z. Lu and S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 035203 (2015).
- [10] X. Dai, H. Z. Lu, S. Q. Shen, and H. Yao, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 161110(R) (2016).
- [11] H. Z. Lu, W. Yao, D. Xiao, and S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 016806 (2013).
- [12] H. Schmidt, I. Yudhistira, L. Chu, A. H. Castro Neto, B. Özyilmaz, S. Adam, and G. Eda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 046803 (2016).
- [13] H.-J. Kim, K. S. Kim, J. F. Wang, M. Sasaki, N. Satoh, A. Ohnishi, M. Kitaura, M. Yang, and L. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 246603 (2013).
- [14] X. Huang, L. Zhao, Y. Long, P. Wang, D. Chen, Z. Yang, H. Liang, M. Xue, H. Weng, Z. Fang, X. Dai, and G. Chen, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 031023 (2015).
- [15] C. Z. Li, L. X. Wang, H. Liu, J. Wang, Z. M. Liao, and D. P. Yu, Nat. Commun. **6**, 10137 (2015).
- [16] H. Li, H. He, H. Z. Lu, H. Zhang, H. Liu, R. Ma, Z. Fan, S. Q. Shen, and J. Wang, Nat. Commun. **7**, 10301 (2016).
- [17] B. Zhao, P. Cheng, H. Pan, S. Zhang, B. Wang, G. Wang, F. Xiu, and F. Song, Sci. Rep. **6**, 22377 (2016).
- [18] J. Hellerstedt, M. T. Edmonds, N. Ramakrishnan, C. Liu, B. Weber, A. Tadich, K. M. O'Donnell, S. Adam, and M. S. Fuhrer, Nano Lett. **16**, 3210 (2016).
- [19] T. Schumann, L. Galletti, D. A. Kealhofer, H. Kim, M. Goyal, and S. Stemmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 016801 (2018).
- [20] In fact, only their product PT is needed for such degeneracy.
- [21] H. Weng, C. Fang, Z. Fang, B. A. Bernevig, and X. Dai, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 011029 (2015).
- [22] S.M. Huang, S. Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, C. C. Lee, G. Chang, B. Wang, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, M. Neupane, C. Zhang, S. Jia, A. Bansil, H. Lin, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Commun. **6**, 7373 (2015).
- [23] S. Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, N. Alidoust, M. Neupane, G. Bian, C. Zhang, R. Sankar, G. Chang, Z. Yuan, C. C. Lee, S. M. Huang, H. Zheng, J. Ma, D. S. Sanchez, B. Wang, A. Bansil, F. Chou, P. P. Shibayev, H. Lin, S. Jia, M. Z. Hasan, Science **349**, 617 (2015).
- [24] B.Q. Lv, H.M. Weng, B.B. Fu, X.P. Wang, H. Miao, J. Ma, P. Richard, X.C. Huang, L.X. Zhao, G.F. Chen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, and H. Ding, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 031013 (2015).
- [25] K. S. Kim, H. J. Kim, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 195137 (2014).
- [26] C. N. Veenstra, Z. H. Zhu, M. Raichle, B. M. Ludbrook, A. Nicolaou, B. Slomski, G. Landolt, S. Kittaka, Y. Maeno, J. H. Dil, I. S. Elfimov, M.W. Haverkort, and A. Damascelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 127002 (2014).
- [27] T. Kariyado and M. Ogata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **80**, 083704 (2011).
- [28] T. Kariyado and M. Ogata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **81** 064701 (2012).
- [29] T. H. Hsieh, J. Liu, and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 081112(R) (2014).
- [30] C.-K. Chiu, Y.-H. Chan, X. Li, Y. Nohara, and A. P. Schnyder, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 035151 (2017).
- [31] J. Nuss, C. Mhle, K. Hayama, V. Abdolazimi, and H. Takagi, Acta Cryst. B **71**, 300 (2015).
- [32] D. Samal, H. Nakamura, H. Takagi, APL Mater. **4**, 076101 (2016).
- [33] M. Oudah, A. Ikeda, J. N. Hausmann, S. Yonezawa, T. Fukumoto, S. Kobayashi, M. Sato, and Y. Maeno, Nat. Commun. **7**, 13617 (2016).
- [34] Y. Okamoto, A. Sakamaki, and K. Takenaka, J. Appl. Phys. **119**, 205106 (2016).
- [35] Y. Obata, R. Yukawa, K. Horiba, H. Kumigashira, Y. Toda, S. Matsuishi, and H. Hosono, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 155109 (2017).
- [36] T. Kariyado and M. Ogata, Phys. Rev. Mater. **1**, 061201(R) (2017).
- [37] Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/XXX>.
- [38] C. J. Lin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 041307(R) (2013).
- [39] S. Hikami, A. I. Larkin, and Y. Nagaoka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **63**, 707 (1980).
- [40] A. Kawabata, Solid State Commun. **34**, 431 (1980).
- [41] A. Kawabata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **49**, 628 (1980).
- [42] H. Fukuyama, Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. **69**, 220 (1980).
- [43] H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **49**, 649 (1980).
- [44] B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, A. I. Larkin, and D. E. Khmel'nitskii, Soc. Phys. JETP **54**, 411 (1981).
- [45] J. Chen, X. Y. He, K. H. Wu, Z. Q. Ji, L. Lu, J. R. Shi, J. H. Smet, and Y. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 241304(R), (2011).
- [46] H. Steinberg, J.-B. Lalo'e, V. Fatemi, J. S. Moodera, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 233101, (2011).
- [47] I. Garate and L. Glazman, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 035422 (2012).
- [48] S. Chi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 104522 (2014).
- [49] D. Huang, T. A. Webb, S. Fang, C. L. Song, C. Z. Chang, J. S. Moodera, E. Kaxiras, and J. E. Hoffman, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 125129 (2016).
- [50] P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 287

- (1985).
- [51] D. J. Thouless, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 1167 (1977).
- [52] J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **14** 501 (2002).
- [53] A. Kaminski and L. I. Glazman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** 2400 (2001).
- [54] A. B. Gougam, F. Pierre, H. Pothier, D. Esteve, and N. O. Birge, J. Low Temp. Phys. **118** 447 (2000).
- [55] G. Goppert and H. Grabert Phys. Rev. B **64** 033301 (2001).
- [56] S. Engels, B. Terras, A. Epping, T. Khodkov, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. Beschoten, and C. Stampfer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 126801 (2014).
- [57] T. Kariyado, private communication.
- [58] R. J. Elliott, Phys. Rev. **96**, 266 (1954).
- [59] Y. Yafet, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1963), Vol. 14
- [60] J. Fabian, S. D. Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5624 (1998).
- [61] J. L. Cheng, M. W. Wu, and J. Fabian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 016601 (2010).
- [62] B. Zimmermann, P. Mavropoulos, S. Heers, N. H. Long, S. Blügel, and Y. Mokrousov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 236603 (2012).
- [63] N. H. Long, P. Mavropoulos, S. Heers, B. Zimmermann, Y. Mokrousov, and Stefan Blügel, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 144408 (2013).
- [64] V. Antonov, B. Harmon, and A. Yaresko, *Electronic structure and magneto-optical properties of solids*, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2004).
- [65] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, *WIEN2k*, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties, (Karlheinz Schwarz, Tech. Universität Wien, Vienna, 2001).
- [66] J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13244 (1992).
- [67] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).

Acknowledgments We are grateful to G. Jackeli, T. Kariyado, A. Schnyder, and U. Wedig for helpful discussions. We thank A. Bangura and G. McNally for a critical reading of the manuscript and discussions. We acknowledge F. Adams, M. Dueller, C. Muhle, and K. Pflaum for technical support. This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation and the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 14-42-00044).

Author Contributions H.N. designed the research. H.N and D.H. performed the MBE growth, the transport measurements, and the analysis. J.M and D.S. contributed in developing antiperovskite MBE. E.K. and P.O. provided the localization formula and theoretical support. A.Y. and H.N. performed first-principles band structure calculations. H.N., E.K., P.O., and H.T. wrote the paper with suggestions from all the other authors.

Supplementary Material for “Robust weak antilocalization due to spin-orbital entanglement in Dirac material Sr_3SnO ”

H. Nakamura,^{1,*} D. Huang,¹ J. Merz,¹ E. Khalaf,^{1,2} P. Ostrovsky,^{1,3} A. Yaresko,¹ D. Samal,^{4,5} and H. Takagi^{1,6,7}

¹Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

²Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138, USA

³L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics RAS, 119334 Moscow, Russia

⁴Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar 751005, India

⁵Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai 400085, India

⁶Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 113-0033 Tokyo, Japan

⁷Institute for Functional Matter and Quantum Technologies, University of Stuttgart, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

A. Growth and characterization of thin films




FIG. S1. Characterization of antiperovskite films. **a** X-ray $2\theta - \theta$ scan of Sr_3SnO . For a comparison, the XRD scan of bare YSZ substrate is also shown. The Miller indices correspond to those of the film unless otherwise noted. Data are shifted vertically for clarity. β denotes the diffraction peak related to Cu K_β line which is not filtered perfectly. **b** Magnified $2\theta - \theta$ scan around Sr_3SnO (002) diffraction, where the diffraction from the film is marked with the arrow. The weak oscillation in lower angle comes from a SrO buffer layer. Data are shifted vertically for clarity. **c** The RHEED image taken along $[100]$ direction of the YSZ substrate after the growth of 100 nm Sr_3SnO film.

* hnakamur@uark.edu; present address: Department of Physics, University of Arkansas, AR 72701, USA

B. Derivation of 3D weak (anti)localization formula

In order to describe the crossover between positive and negative magnetoresistance in 3D we consider a generic model of a disordered metal with three types of impurities: potential, spin-orbit, and magnetic. This model is completely analogous to that used by Hikami, Larkin, and Nagaoka [1] for the 2D case. Magnetic impurities are included for generality and will be neglected in the end of the calculation. Following Ref. 1, we assume that impurity scattering amplitude has the form

$$f_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') = a\delta_{\alpha\beta} + ib(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}')\sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{s}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (1)$$

The random scalar parameters a , b and the vector \mathbf{s} obey a Gaussian distribution with zero average and

$$\langle a^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\nu\tau_0}, \quad \langle b^2 \rangle = \frac{9}{4\pi\nu\tau_{\text{SO}}}, \quad \langle \mathbf{s}_\alpha \mathbf{s}_\beta \rangle = \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{2\pi\nu\tau_m}.$$

This defines scattering times τ_0 , τ_{SO} , and τ_m . Overall scattering rate is given by the imaginary part of the self energy or, equivalently, by the Fermi golden rule and equals

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = 2\pi\nu \langle f_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') f_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{n}) \rangle_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}'} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + \frac{3}{\tau_{\text{SO}}} + \frac{3}{\tau_m}.$$

Weak (anti)localization effect is due to interference between self-intersecting trajectories that differ by time reversal. Such pairs of trajectories are described by the Cooperon propagator that involves the following impurity vertex:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \langle f(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') \otimes f(-\mathbf{n}, -\mathbf{n}') \rangle_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}'} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\nu\tau_0} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2\pi\nu\tau_{\text{SO}}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2\pi\nu\tau_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For brevity, we write simply 1 instead of $1 \otimes 1$. The averaging over both \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{n}' is justified provided the spin-orbit scattering is a relatively rare event and the electron velocity is fully randomized by potential scattering between two consecutive spin-orbit impurities.

It is convenient to introduce the following two combinations:

$$\mathbb{S} = \frac{1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{4}, \quad \mathbb{T} = \frac{3 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{4}. \quad (3)$$

These operators obey $\mathbb{S}^2 = \mathbb{S}$ and $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{T}$ and project onto the subspaces with total spin zero and one respectively. These subspaces are naturally called the singlet and triplet channels. The vertex Γ can be rewritten in this basis as

$$\Gamma = \frac{\mathbb{S}}{2\pi\nu} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{6}{\tau_m} \right) + \frac{\mathbb{T}}{2\pi\nu} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{4}{\tau_{\text{SO}}} - \frac{2}{\tau_m} \right). \quad (4)$$

Here the spin-orbit and magnetic rates τ_{SO}^{-1} and τ_m^{-1} are regarded as small corrections to τ^{-1} ; the latter is dominated by the potential scattering.

In the Cooperon ladder, the vertices Γ are connected by the pairs of Green functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} G^R(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \otimes G^A(-\mathbf{p}) \\ &= 2\pi\nu\tau \left(1 - \tau Dq^2 - \frac{\tau}{\tau_\phi} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where we have included a phenomenological dephasing rate τ_ϕ^{-1} . Summation of the ladder diagrams leads to the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} C(q) &= \Gamma (1 - \Pi\Gamma)^{-1} = \frac{\mathbb{S}}{2\pi\nu\tau^2} \left(Dq^2 + \frac{6}{\tau_m} + \frac{1}{\tau_\phi} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbb{T}}{2\pi\nu\tau^2} \left(Dq^2 + \frac{4}{\tau_{\text{SO}}} + \frac{2}{\tau_m} + \frac{1}{\tau_\phi} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The small symmetry-breaking rates τ_{SO}^{-1} and τ_m^{-1} are kept only in the denominators. The weak (anti)localization correction is given by the integral of the Cooperon loop

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\sigma &= -\frac{2e^2\nu D\tau^2}{\hbar} \int d\mathbf{q} \text{Tr} C(q) \\ &= \frac{e^2}{\pi\hbar} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\left(q^2 + 6l_m^{-2} + l_\phi^{-2} \right)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3 \left(q^2 + 4l_{\text{SO}}^{-2} + 2l_m^{-2} + l_\phi^{-2} \right)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here we have used the values $\text{Tr} \mathbb{S} = -1$ and $\text{Tr} \mathbb{T} = 3$ and introduced the scattering lengths $l_i = \sqrt{D\tau_i}$ corresponding to different types of impurities.

To include an external magnetic field, we replace $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \mathbf{q} + (2e/c)\mathbf{A}$. Hence the Cooperon dynamics is quantized in the transverse direction giving the sum over effective Landau levels

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{q^2 + l_i^{-2}} \\ &\mapsto \frac{1}{4\pi l_B^2} \sum_n \int \frac{dq_z}{2\pi} \frac{1}{q_z^2 + l_B^{-2}(n + 1/2) + l_i^{-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In this expression, l_i^{-2} denotes one of the relevant mass terms from Eq. (7) and the magnetic length is $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/4eB}$.

The sum and integral in Eq. (8) diverge in the ultraviolet limit. They should be cut at the ballistic regime when the Cooperon denominator is comparable to l^{-2} . This can be achieved in several ways. One possibility is to subtract a similar integral at zero magnetic field as was done in Ref. [2]. Alternatively, we can introduce a regulating term in the denominator in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n \int \frac{dq_z}{q_z^2 + l_B^{-2}(n+1/2) + l_i^{-2}} \mapsto \sum_n \int \frac{dq_z}{q_z^2 + l_B^{-2}(n+1/2) + l_i^{-2} + l^2 [q_z^2 + l_B^{-2}(n+1/2) + l_i^{-2}]^2} \\ & = \pi l_B \sum_n \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1/2 + l_B^2/l_i^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1/2 + l_B^2/l^2 + l_B^2/l_i^2}} \right] = \pi l_B \left[\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_i^2} \right) - \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l^2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_i^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here ζ is the Hurwitz zeta function. In the argument of the second zeta function, l_i^{-2} can be neglected in favor of l^{-2} . Applying Eqs. (8) and (9) to the weak localization correction Eq. (7), we obtain a general expression for the magnetoconductivity

$$\Delta\sigma(B) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi h l_B} \left[2\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l^2} \right) + \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + 6\frac{l_B^2}{l_m^2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right) - 3\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + 4\frac{l_B^2}{l_{SO}^2} + 2\frac{l_B^2}{l_m^2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right) \right]. \quad (10)$$

This 3D result is fully analogous to the corresponding 2D result of Hikami, Larkin, and Nagaoka [1] up to the replacement of digamma functions with Hurwitz functions.

For the description of experimental magnetoresistance, we assume $l_m^{-1} = 0$ since, qualitatively, magnetic scattering has a similar effect to dephasing. This yields

$$\Delta\sigma(B) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi h l_B} \left[2\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l^2} \right) + \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right) - 3\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + 4\frac{l_B^2}{l_{SO}^2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right) \right]. \quad (11)$$

This result describes the crossover from negative to positive magnetoconductivity with increasing magnetic field. In Eq. (1) of the main text, the number of independent channels N has been included into Eq. (11).

The Hurwitz zeta function has the following two asymptotic forms:

$$\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + x^2 \right) \approx \begin{cases} -C_1 - C_2 x^2, & x \ll 1, \\ -2x - 1/48x^3, & x \gg 1, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

$$C_1 = (1 - \sqrt{2})\zeta(1/2) \approx 0.605, \quad (13)$$

$$C_2 = (1/2 - \sqrt{2})\zeta(3/2) \approx 2.39.$$

With the help of this expansion, we establish two limiting cases of Eq. (11). The limit of negligible spin-orbit scattering $l_{SO}^{-1} = 0$ corresponds to a metal with orthogonal symmetry exhibiting weak localization,

$$\Delta\sigma^{\text{orth}}(B) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi h l_B} \left[\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l^2} \right) - \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right) \right].$$

This has been discussed in Refs. 2 and 3. The limit of extremely strong spin-orbit coupling $l_{SO}^{-1} = \infty$ corresponds to symplectic symmetry and pure weak antilocalization. It differs only by a (negative) factor from the orthogonal

case,

$$\Delta\sigma^{\text{sympl}}(B) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta\sigma^{\text{orth}}(B). \quad (14)$$

This result (in a different form) was used to describe quantum interference in Weyl semimetals [4].

When fitting experimental data, we consider lowest magnetic fields where $l_B \gg l, l_{SO}$. In this case, only the second zeta function in Eq. (11) provides magnetic field dependence:

$$\Delta\sigma(B) = \text{const} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi h l_B} \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_\phi^2} \right). \quad (15)$$

Hence low-field data is fitted by the function with only two independent parameters: number of channels N , and dephasing length l_ϕ . For moderate values of magnetic field, such that $l, l_{SO} \ll l_B \ll l_\phi$, expression (15) simplifies further and loses any dependence on material parameters:

$$\Delta\sigma(B) = \text{const} - \frac{e^2 C_1}{4\pi h l_B}. \quad (16)$$

C. Details of the fitting procedure

Here, we present details of the fitting using Eq. 2 (low-field formula) in the main text.

1. Pick a magnetic field range for the fitting for the magnetoconductance curves, such that l_B is approximately ten times larger than l (as estimated from transport and band parameters).
2. Fit to determine N and l_ϕ at every temperature.
3. Report N for each sample as an average over a few lowest temperatures, with some standard deviation.
4. Using the average of N determined for the lowest few temperatures, redo the fits and extract l_ϕ versus T for fixed N . (To determine the temperature dependence of l_ϕ , we note that N should physically be a T -independent quantity.)
5. Additional fits were tried up to $\pm 30\%$ of the original magnetic field range to obtain an error due to the range of magnetic field used in the fitting.

In a few cases, using the average value of N caused a larger change in l_ϕ than the error obtained from changing field range, so this was accounted for in the error of l_ϕ . Thus, the error in N includes considerations from temperature averaging and range of magnetic field used, while the error in l_ϕ includes contributions from errors in N and also the range of magnetic field used.

D. Analysis of quasi-2D films based on 3D WAL formula

In the main text, quasi-2D films ($d=50$ nm) were analyzed using the 2D HLN formula[1]. We show an analysis based on the 3D WAL formula (Eq. 2 in the main text) in Fig. S2. The fit based on the 3D formula gives N values significantly larger than those obtained for 3D films with comparable n (Fig. S2a,b), signalling that the 3D WAL may not apply for these quasi-2D films.




FIG. S2. Low-field MC for quasi-2D films at **a** low ($n = 1.8 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$) and **b** high ($n = 7.2 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$) hole density. Experimental data are shown in open circles. Theoretical fits based on 3D formula are shown in solid lines.

[1] S. Hikami, A. I. Larkin, and Y. Nagaoka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **63**, 707 (1980).

[2] A. Kawabata, Solid State Commun. **34**, 431 (1980).

[3] A. Kawabata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **49**, 628 (1980).

[4] H.Z. Lu and S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 035203 (2015).